

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON A COHOMOLOGY THEORY BASED ON HYPERFINITE
SUMS OF MICROSIMPLEXES**

RADE ŽIVALJEVIĆ

ON A COHOMOLOGY THEORY BASED ON HYPERFINITE SUMS OF MICROSIMPLEXES

RADE T. ŽIVALJEVIĆ

In this note we investigate a cohomology theory $H^\#(X, G)$, defined by M. C. McCord, which is dual to a homology theory based on hyperfinite chains of microsimplices. We prove that if X is a locally contractible, paracompact space then $H^\#(X, G) \simeq H_\#^\#(X, \text{Hom}(*Z, G))$ where $H_\#^\#$ is the Čech theory. Nonstandard analysis, particularly the Saturation Principle, is used in this proof in essential way to construct a fine resolution of the constant sheaf $X \times \text{Hom}(*Z, Z)$. This gives a partial answer to a question of McCord. Subsequently, we prove a proposition from which it is deduced that $\text{Hom}(*Z, Z) = \{0\}$ i.e. $H^\#(X, Z) = \{0\}$ if X is paracompact and locally contractible. At the end we briefly discuss a related cohomology theory which is obtained by application of the internal (rather than external) $\text{Hom}(\cdot, G)$ functor.

Introduction. As it is well known, nonstandard or infinitesimal analysis of Abraham Robinson was developed in an attempt to justify usage of infinitesimals and infinite numbers in calculus and other areas of mathematics. In the case of a general topological space (X, τ) , a related notion is notion of the monad of $x \in X$, more precisely

$$\text{monad}(x) = \bigcap \{ *V \mid V \in \tau, x \in V \}.$$

Informally, the monad of x is the set of all $y \in *X$ which are infinitely close to x . This leads to a precise definition of a microsimplex. A $(n + 1)$ -tuple $s = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in (*X)^{n+1}$ is a microsimplex if there exists $x \in X$ such that $\{a_0, \dots, a_n\} \subset \text{monad}(x)$.

Motivated by Vietoris homology and Alexander-Spanier cohomology, where the notion of a small simplex is used only in an informal sense, M. C. McCord in [7] defined a conceptually clear and technically easy homology theory based on hyperfinite chains of microsimplices. The proofs are given in such a way that one automatically gets an associated cohomology theory by composing the chain complex functor with (external) functor $\text{Hom}(\cdot, G)$.

At the end of his paper McCord raised three natural questions. The first two were about the relationship of his and Čech homology theory, whereas in the third a similar question is asked for his cohomology theory. The first two questions were answered by S. Garavaglia in [4]. He proved

that McCord's theory is naturally isomorphic to Čech theory in the category of compact pairs. In the course of proof he obtained also the following remarkable result. Čech theory is exact if and only if the coefficient group is algebraically compact.

The main result of this paper is a partial answer to the third question of McCord. It turns out that McCord's cohomology with coefficients in G is naturally isomorphic to Alexander-Spanier (or Čech) theory with coefficients in $\text{Hom}(*X, G)$ in the category of paracompact, locally contractible spaces! In the sequel we prove that $\text{Hom}(*Z, Z) = \{0\}$ which means that McCord's cohomology with coefficients in Z is trivial. At the end we briefly discuss a cohomology theory based on the application of internal (rather than external) $\text{Hom}(\cdot, G)$ functor where G is an internal group.

The reader is supposed to be acquainted with basic facts and methods of nonstandard analysis. Short introduction which covers all essential definitions and facts can be found in papers of Cutland [2] and Loeb [6] whereas more detailed and comprehensive introductions are Robinson [9], Davis [3] and Stroyan-Luxemburg [11]. Also, we assume some basic knowledge of the theory of sheaves. The main sources of information are Godement [5] and Bredon [1]. More precisely, all we need is a consequence of the Fundamental Theorem in Godement [5] p. 178. Nonstandard analysis is used in an essential way (particularly the Saturation Principle) to construct a fine resolution of the constant sheaf $X \times \text{Hom}(*Z, G)$. So, we assume that the nonstandard model is polysaturated.

1. The relationship between McCord's and Čech cohomology.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let (X, τ) be a Hausdorff topological space. For $x \in X$, the monad of x is the set $m(x) = \bigcap \{ *O \mid x \in O \text{ and } O \in \tau \}$. Let us denote by $\text{st}(\cdot)$ the standard part map, i.e. the function $\text{st}: \text{ns}(*X) \rightarrow X$ where $\text{ns}(*X) = \{ z \in *X \mid (\exists x \in X) z \in m(x) \}$ and $\text{st}(z)$ is the unique $x \in X$, called the standard part of z , such that $z \in m(x)$. A point $z \in \text{ns}(*X)$ is called near-standard while the set $\text{ns}(*X)$ is called the set of near-standard points in $*X$. Two near-standard points are infinitely close if they belong to the same monad.

DEFINITION 2.1. A simplex, or more precisely a p -simplex, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, in a set S is just an ordered $(p + 1)$ -tuple of elements in S . If X is a topological space then a microsimplex in $*X$ is a simplex in $\text{ns}(*X)$ with all vertices infinitely close to each other. Equivalently, a simplex $s = (a_0, \dots, a_p) \in (*X)^{p+1}$ is a microsimplex if there exists $x \in X$ such that $a_i \in m(x)$ for all i , $0 \leq i \leq p$.

Let us recall the definition of McCord's homology groups with coefficients in Z . Let $*\text{Free}(X^{p+1})$ be the $*$ -free Abelian group generated by the set $(*X)^{p+1}$ of all p -simplexes in $*X$. The sequence of groups $\{*\text{Free}(X^{p+1}), p \in N\}$ together with the boundary homomorphism $\partial_p: *\text{Free}(X^{p+1}) \rightarrow *\text{Free}(X^p)$ which is defined in the usual way by

$$\partial_p \left(\sum_{i=1}^H n_i (a_0^i, \dots, a_p^i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^H \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j n_i (a_0^i, \dots, \hat{a}_j^i, \dots, a_p^i)$$

is an acyclic chain complex. As usual, by $(a_0, \dots, \hat{a}_j, \dots, a_p)$ we denote the $(p - 1)$ -simplex obtained by deleting vertex a_j of the p -simplex (a_0, \dots, a_p) . Also, let us emphasize that all sums are formal and that H and $n_i, 1 \leq i \leq H$, are hyperintegers. Microchain complex of X , or shortly μ -complex of X , is

$$M_p(X) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^H n_i s_i \in *\text{Free}(X^{p+1}) \mid s_i \text{ is a microsimplex in } *X, 1 \leq i \leq H \right\}$$

By applying the (external) functor $\text{Hom}(\cdot, G)$ we obtain the corresponding cochain complex $M^p(X, G) = \text{Hom}(M_p(X), G)$. More generally, the last definition can be extended to pairs of spaces (X, A) where A is a closed subspace, as follows. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map then, by the nonstandard characterization of continuity, $*f$ sends monads of $*X$ into monads of $*Y$, hence it induces a chain map $M_{\#}(f): M_{\#}(X) \rightarrow M_{\#}(Y)$ where $M_{\#}(X)$ is an abbreviation for the μ -complex of X . In particular, if $A \subset X$ is a closed subspace of X and $i: A \rightarrow X$ the inclusion map, the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M_{\#}(A) \rightarrow M_{\#}(X) \rightarrow M_{\#}(X, A) \rightarrow 0$ where $M_{\#}(X, A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_{\#}(X)/M_{\#}(A)$ splits which enables us to prove the exactness of the dual sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M^{\#}(X, A; G) \rightarrow M^{\#}(X, G) \rightarrow M^{\#}(A, G) \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$M^{\#}(X, A; G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}(M_{\#}(X, A); G).$$

Our aim is to characterize the homology groups of the complex $M^{\#}(X, A; G)$.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let X be a locally contractible, paracompact space and G a group. If $H_{\check{c}}^{\#}(X, G)$ denotes the Čech cohomology functor whereas $H^{\#}(X, G)$ is the functor defined above then*

$$H^{\#}(X, G) = H_{\check{c}}^{\#}(X, \text{Hom}(*Z, G)).$$

Proof. We need the following important result from the Theory of Sheaves (see Godement [5], p. 178, or Bredon [1]). Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \dots$$

be a fine or more generally a soft resolution of a sheaf F . Then $H^n(X; \mathcal{F}) \cong H^n(\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_\#))$ where the left term is the cohomology of X with coefficients in \mathcal{F} while the right term is the n th cohomology group of the cochain complex

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_n) \rightarrow \dots$$

where $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{G})$ is the group of all (global) sections of a sheaf \mathcal{G} . Our goal is to compute $H^\#(X, G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} H^\#(\text{Hom}(M_\#(X), G))$ so, in order to apply the theorem above we should realize the cochain complex $\text{Hom}(M_\#(X), G)$ as a cochain complex of the form (1). Let \mathcal{K}_n be the presheaf of groups on X defined by $\mathcal{K}_n(U) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Hom}(M_n(U), G)$ and let \mathcal{L}_n be the associated sheaf. Let us observe that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_n) \cong \mathcal{K}_n(X) \cong \text{Hom}(M_n(X), G)$. Indeed, if $g \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_n)$ is given then g is represented by a cover \mathcal{U} of X and a compatible family of maps $\{f_U \in \text{Hom}(M_n(U), G) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. In order to define $f \in \text{Hom}(M_\#(X), G)$ which extends all functions f_U , $U \in \mathcal{U}$ let us express an element $s \in M_n(X)$ as a finite sum $s = s_1 + s_2 + \dots + s_m$ such that for some $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ $s_i \in M_n(U_i)$. To see that the expression above is possible let us assume that \mathcal{U} is a locally finite covering. Then, by the shrinking lemma for normal spaces, there exists a closed covering \mathcal{X} of X which is a refinement of \mathcal{U} such that $\{\text{int}(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is also a covering of X . The family of internal sets $\{*F \mid F \in \mathcal{X}\}$ covers all vertices of all microsimplexes in s so (Saturation Principle) there exists a finite family $\{F_1, \dots, F_m\}$ with the same property. Now, by repeating essentially the argument of the proof of Excision axiom in [7], it is easy to split s in the sum $s = s_1 + \dots + s_m$ such that $s_i \in M_n(F_i)$. Indeed, if $s = \sum_{j=1}^H n_j \cdot d_j$ where $d_j = (a_0^j, \dots, a_n^j) \in M_n(X)$, then

$$s_i = \sum \{n_j d_j \mid d_j \in M_n(F_i) \& d_j \notin M_n(F_k) \text{ for } k < i\}.$$

Let us define the desired function $f \in \text{Hom}(M_n(X), G)$ by the formula $f(s) = f_{U_1}(s_1) + \dots + f_{U_m}(s_m)$ where $U_i \in \mathcal{U}$ and $F_i \subset U_i$ for all i , $1 \leq i \leq m$. To prove that f is a well-defined homomorphism, it is enough to note that if $s = s_1 + \dots + s_m = s'_1 + \dots + s'_n$ then both expressions for s can be refined by a third expression.

Let us prove now that \mathcal{L}_n is a fine sheaf. Let \mathcal{U} be a locally finite open covering of X and \mathcal{X} a closed shrinking of U such that $\{\text{int}(F) \mid F \in \mathcal{X}\}$ is still a covering of X . Let ϕ be a function which to any n -simplex

$s = (a_0, \dots, a_n) \in X^{n+1}$ assigns, if it exists, a set $F \in \mathcal{X}$ such that $s \in F^{n+1}$. If $F \in \mathcal{X}$ is contained in $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $e_U: \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n$ be defined by

$$e_U(f)(s) = f(s') \quad \text{where } f \in \text{Hom}(M_n(V), G)$$

represents a germ in \mathcal{L}_n , $s = \sum_{i=1}^H n_i s_i \in M_n(V)$ and s' is defined by $s' = \sum \{n_j \cdot s_j \mid * \phi(s_j) = F\}$. Since s' is a chain in $F \subset U$ it is clear that the support of the endomorphism e_U is in U . To see that $\{e_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a partition of unity, i.e. that $\{U \mid e_U(f) \neq 0\}$ is finite and

$$f = \sum \{e_U(f) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

let V be small enough so that $\{U \mid U \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite. Hence, if $s = \sum_{i=1}^H n_i \cdot s_i \in M_n(V)$ the set $\{* \phi(s_j) \mid j\} \subset \{U \in \mathcal{U} \mid U \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ is finite and obviously $f(s) = \sum \{e_U(f)(s) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

Let us prove now that

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{j} \mathcal{L}_0 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{L}_1 \xrightarrow{d} \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \rightarrow \dots$$

is an exact sequence of sheaves where $\mathcal{F} = X \times \text{Hom}(*Z, G)$ is a constant sheaf. Let $n \neq 0$ and let V be a contractible neighborhood of $x \in X$. It is known (see [7]) that there exists a chain homotopy $D_n: M_n(V) \rightarrow M_{n+1}(V)$ such that $(n > 0) \ s = \partial D_n(s) + D_{n-1} \partial(s)$ for any $s \in M_n(V)$. If $f \in \text{Hom}(M_n(V), G)$ is a cocycle then $f = d(g)$ where $g = f \circ D_{n-1}$, hence f must be a coboundary. Now, let $n = 0$. The map $j: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$ is defined as follows. The map X into a one point space induces a homomorphism $M_0(X) \rightarrow M_0(\{1\}) = *Z$ and $j: \text{Hom}(*Z, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_0(X), G)$ is defined by applying the functor $\text{Hom}(\cdot, G)$. Clearly, $d \circ j = 0$. Now, let V be a path connected open set in X (recall that X is locally contractible, hence locally path connected) and $f \in \text{Hom}(M_0(V), G)$ such that $d(f) = 0$. Now, if $x, y \in M_0(V)$ are 0-simplexes (i.e. they are points in $\text{ns}(*V)$) then there exists a 1-chain $s \in M_1(V)$ such that $y - x = \partial(s)$, hence $H \cdot y - H \cdot x = \partial(H \cdot s)$ for any $H \in *Z$. Hence, $f(H \cdot y) - f(H \cdot x) = f(\partial(H \cdot s)) = 0$ which means that $f = j(g)$ where $g(H) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(H \cdot x)$ for some $x \in \text{ns}(*V)$.

This completes the proof of the theorem because $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$ is the Čech (or Alexander-Spanier) cohomology of X with coefficients in $\text{Hom}(*Z, G)$.

COROLLARY 1.1. *Let (X, A) be a pair of paracompact, locally contractible spaces such that A is a closed subspace of X . Then*

$$H^n(X, A; G) = H^n_c(X, A; \text{Hom}(*Z, G))$$

Proof. The proof of this result follows directly from the naturality of the isomorphism between $H^n(X, G)$ and $H^n_\xi(X, \text{Hom}(*Z, G))$ and the five lemma applied to the corresponding long exact sequences of the pair (X, A) for both H^n and H^n_ξ .

In light of the Theorem 1.1. it is interesting to determine the group $\text{Hom}(*Z, G)$. Since $*N$ is a model of full arithmetic, in particular a model of Peano arithmetic, a partial answer is given by the following proposition which may be of some independent interest.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Let M be a nonstandard model of Peano arithmetic and W the symmetrization of M i.e. W is the group obtained from the semigroup M in the same way the group Z is obtained from N . Then*

- (a) $\text{Hom}(W, Z) = \{0\}$
- (b) $\text{Hom}(W, Z/nZ) = Z/nZ, n \neq 0$
- (c) $\text{Hom}(W, W) \cong W$.

Proof. (a) Let $f: W \rightarrow Z$ be a homomorphism and $i: Z \rightarrow W$ the inclusion map. Let us note that W/Z is divisible by any $n \in Z/\{0\}$. As a consequence $f(Z) = f(W)$, otherwise f would induce a homomorphism from a divisible group W/Z onto a nontrivial cyclic group $f(W)/f(Z)$. If $f(Z)$ is nontrivial it can be assumed without loss of generality that $f(Z) = Z$ and $f|_Z = 1_Z$ i.e. we can assume that $i: Z \rightarrow W$ splits the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(f) \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{f} Z \rightarrow 0$. In other words $W = Z \oplus D$ where $D = \text{Ker}(f)$ is a divisible group. Let P be an infinite prime number in W of the form $5k + 3$. The existence of P follows from the fact that there exist infinitely many primes of the form $5k + 3$ (Dirichlet thm., see [10]) and the fact that every nonstandard model of Peano arithmetic is Σ_m -recursively saturated. In particular the following type

$$\{x \text{ is a prime number}\} \cup \{(\exists k)x = 5k + 3\} \cup \{x \geq \underline{n} \mid n \in N\}$$

where \underline{n} ($n \in N$) are numerals, is realized in any nonstandard model of Peano arithmetic. It is interesting to note that the Dirichlet Theorem itself is a theorem of Peano arithmetic. Indeed, G. Takeuti in [12] showed that the results of classical number theory which are obtained with the aid of so called elementary complex analysis are actually provable in Peano arithmetic.

Now, let $f(P) = n \in Z$ i.e. $P = n + (P - n)$ where $P - n \in D$. Since P is a prime number and $P - n$ is divisible by any nonzero integer we see that $n = 1$ or $n = -1$. This is a contradiction because in both cases $P - n$ cannot be divisible by 5.

(b) It is enough to show that $\text{Hom}(W, Z/nZ) = \text{Hom}(Z, Z/nZ)$, i.e. that for any two homomorphisms $f, g \in \text{Hom}(W, Z/nZ)$ $f|_Z = g|_Z$ implies $f = g$. This is true because $h = f - g$ induces a homomorphism from a divisible group W/Z into a cyclic group so it must be trivial.

(c) W is imbedded in $\text{Hom}(W, W)$ in the obvious way. To show that this inclusion $W \subset \text{Hom}(W, W)$ is proper let

$$A = \{x \in W \mid x \text{ is divisible by any } n \in Z \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

A is a nontrivial divisible group so both A and W/Z can be thought of as vector spaces over Q . Any nontrivial Q -linear map from W/Z to A induces a homomorphism in $\text{Hom}(W, W)$ which is zero on Z .

2. Yet another cohomology theory. Instead of applying the standard external $\text{Hom}(\cdot, G)$ functor to the complex $M_{\#}(X)$, one may find it more natural to apply a functor which is based on the internal $\text{Hom}_{\text{int}}(\cdot, G)$ functor where G is internal group and $\text{Hom}_{\text{int}}(A, G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{f: A \rightarrow G \mid f \text{ is an internal homomorphism}\}$. We shall briefly outline this construction. A different, although similar in spirit, construction was proposed by J. P. Reveilles [8] but in the context of E. Nelson's Set Theory.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let Δ_p be the set of all microsimplxes in $*X$. Let $M_{\mu}^p(X, G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{g: \Delta_p \rightarrow G \mid \exists f: (*X)^{p+1} \rightarrow G, \text{ internal, such that } g = f|_{\Delta_p}\}$. Then $\{M_{\mu}^{\#}(X, G), d\}$ where $d(g)(s) = f(\partial s)$ is a cochain complex. Let us note that every internal function $f: (*X)^{p+1} \rightarrow G$ can be identified with a homomorphism from $*\text{Free}(X^{p+1})$ to G i.e. $d(g)$ is well defined. More generally, if $i: A \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion map then $M_{\mu}^{\#}(X, A; G) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Ker}\{M^{\#}(X, G) \rightarrow M^{\#}(A, G)\}$. The cohomology groups of the chain complex $M_{\mu}^{\#}(X, A; G)$ are denoted by $H_{\mu}^{\#}(X, A; G)$. It is not difficult to check that all axioms of Eilenberg and Steenrod for a cohomology theory are satisfied in this case.

THEOREM 2.1. *If X is a paracompact space and G an internal group then $H_{\mu}^n(X, G) = H^n(X, G)$ where $H^n(X, G)$ is the Alexander-Spanier (or Čech) cohomology of the space X with coefficients in G .*

Proof. Since it is based on similar ideas as the proof of Theorem 1.1 we shall give only a brief outline of the proof. It is enough to define a fine resolution.

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \underline{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \rightarrow \dots$$

of the constant sheaf $\underline{G} = X \times G$ such that $M_{\mu}^n(X, G) = \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F}_n)$ for all

$n \geq 0$. Let F_p be a presheaf defined by $F_p(U) = \{f: (*U)^{p+1} \rightarrow G \mid f \text{ internal}\}$ and let \mathcal{F}_p be the associated sheaf. To prove the desired isomorphism one has to show that not only every $f \in M^p(X, G)$ induces a section in \mathcal{F}_p but that every section arises in this way. This is proved by an easy saturation argument by observing that for any compatible family $\{f_U \mid U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ of internal functions $f_U: (*U)^{p+1} \rightarrow G$, where \mathcal{U} is a locally finite open cover of X , there exists an internal function $f: (*X)^{p+1} \rightarrow G$ which extends all functions f_U . The proof that (2) is indeed a fine resolution of the constant sheaf \underline{G} is similar to the proof of the corresponding result for Alexander-Spanier cohomology so we omit the details.

Acknowledgments. This paper is based on some results from the first Chapter of my PhD. thesis "Infinitesimal Analysis and Homology Theory", University of Wisconsin, Madison, 1985. I wish to express my thanks to my advisor Professor H. Jerome Keisler for his generous support and encouragement.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. E. Bredon, *Sheaf Theory*, McGraw-Hill, New York 1967.
- [2] N. J. Cutland, *Nonstandard measure theory and its applications*, Bull. London Math. Soc., **15** (1983), 529–589.
- [3] M. Davis, *Applied Nonstandard Analysis*, J. Wiley Pub., New York, 1977.
- [4] S. Garavaglia, *Homology with equationally compact coefficients*, Fund. Math., **100** (1978), 89–95.
- [5] R. Godement, *Topologie Algébrique et Théorie des Faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris (1958).
- [6] P. A. Loeb, *An Introduction to Nonstandard Analysis and Hyper-finite Probability Theory, Probabilistic Analysis and Related Topics*, Vol. 2 (Ed. A. T. Bharucha-Reid, Academic Press, New York, 1979) pp. 105–142.
- [7] M. C. McCord, *Non-standard analysis and homology*, Fund. Math., **74** (1972), 21–28.
- [8] J. P. Revesilles, *Infinitesimaux et Topologie*, Publ. I.R.M.A., Strasbourg, 1983.
- [9] A. Robinson, *Non-Standard Analysis*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1966.
- [10] J. P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [11] K. D. Stroyan, and W. A. J. Luxemburg, *Introduction to the Theory of Infinitesimals*, Academic Press, 1976.
- [12] G. Takeuti, *Two Applications of Logic to Mathematics*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1978.

Received December 1, 1985.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE
KNEZ MIHAILOVA 35 / 1
11000 BEOGRAD
YUGOSLAVIA

Temporary address: Western Illinois University
Macomb, IL 61455
U.S.A.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024
HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
RAMESH A. GANGOLLI
University of Washington
Seattle, WA 98195
VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF K. YOSHIDA
(1906–1982)

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 128, No. 1

March, 1987

Anthony Peter Bahri and Peter Gilkey , The eta invariant, Pin^c bordism, and equivariant Spin^c bordism for cyclic 2-groups	1
Friedrich-Wilhelm Bauer , Extensions of generalized homology theories	25
Marilyn Breen , A characterization theorem for compact unions of two starshaped sets in R^3	63
Boniface Ihemotuonye Eke , Special generating sets of purely inseparable extension fields of unbounded exponent	73
Robert William Gilmer, Jr. and William James Heinzer , Jónsson ω_0 -generated algebraic field extensions	81
Guido Lupacciolu , Holomorphic continuation in several complex variables	117
Douglas C. McMahon, Jaap C. S. P. van der Woude and Ta-Sun Wu , Connectedness related to almost periodicity of compositions of flow homomorphisms	127
Sophocles Mercourakis , Some characterizations of analytic metric spaces	149
Peter Frederick Stiller , The Picard numbers of elliptic surfaces with many symmetries	157
David J. Winter , Reducible complements of Lie algebra radicals	191
Rade Živaljević , On a cohomology theory based on hyperfinite sums of microsimplexes	201