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Given a fixed elliptic curve $E$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ having no rational
torsion points, we discuss the probability that the number of points on $E$
mod $p$ is prime as the prime $p$ varies. We give conjectural asymptotic
formulas for the number of $p \leq n$ for which this number is prime, both
in the case of a complex multiplication and a non-CM curve $E$. Numerical
evidence is given supporting these formulas.

1. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve defined over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational
numbers which has no rational torsion points. Motivated by an analogy
with a classical question about finite fields (see §2) and by cryptographic
applications (where certain public key cryptosystems use an elliptic curve
whose group of points mod $p$ has order divisible by a very large prime, see
[6]), we ask the question: As the prime $p$ varies, what is the probability
that the number of points on $E$ mod $p$ is prime? After recalling analogous
questions in classical number theory, in §3 we give a conjectural answer to
this question in the case of elliptic curves without complex multiplication,
and present some numerical evidence supporting the conjecture. In §4 we
give a conjectural asymptotic formula in the case of CM curves, and
describe some supporting evidence.

2. In Hardy and Littlewood's paper [4] about the Goldbach conjecture
and related questions, they give a conjectural asymptotic formula for half
the number of twin primes (primes $p$ for which $p + 2$ is prime) less than
$n$:

$$C_2 \frac{n}{(\log n)^2}, \quad \text{where } C_2 = \prod_{\text{primes } l \geq 3} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1 - l)^2}\right) \approx 0.660164.$$  

The same heuristics lead to the identical asymptotic formula for a slightly
different question (not considered in the Hardy-Littlewood paper): For
how many primes $5 \leq p \leq n$ is $(p - 1)/2$ prime? It should be recalled,
by the way, that, as in the case of twin primes, no one has even been able
to prove that there are infinitely many $p$ such that both $p$ and $(p - 1)/2$
are prime.
The heuristic argument for the asymptotic formula (1) for the number of prime pairs \( (p, (p - 1)/2) \), \( p \leq n \), goes as follows. By the Prime Number Theorem, the probability that a large integer \( n \) is prime equals \( 1/(\log n) \). Given that \( n = p \) is prime, if one supposed \( (p - 1)/2 \) to be random, its chance of being prime would be \( 1/(\log((p - 1)/2)) \approx 1/(\log n) \), and so the probability of primality of both \( n = p \) and \( (p - 1)/2 \) would be \( (\log n)^{-2} \). However, if \( p \) is prime, then for each odd prime \( l \neq p \) we have \( (p - 1)/2 \neq (l - 1)/2 \mod l \), because \( l \) does not divide \( p \). Thus, \( (p - 1)/2 \) can fall in \( l - 1 \) residue classes mod \( l \), of which \( l - 2 \) are nonzero. So the chance that \( l \div (p - 1)/2 \) is \( (l - 2)/(l - 1) \) rather than \( (l - 1)/l \), as would be the case if \( (p - 1)/2 \) were random. Hence, one would expect a correction factor giving the ratio of primality probabilities for \( (p - 1)/2 \) and for a random integer which is equal to the product over \( l \) of the ratio of \( (l - 2)/(l - 1) \) to \( (l - 1)/l \). Since the latter ratio is \( 1 - (l - 1)^{-2} \), this correction factor is the constant \( C_2 \) in (1). (Note: The same argument applies to the twin prime problem, except that there is an additional correction factor of 2 because automatically \( p + 2 \) is odd, whereas there is only a \( 1/2 \) probability of a random number being odd; thus the formula in (1) is for half the number of twin prime pairs.)

As Hardy and Littlewood remark, one expects—and finds—that the asymptotically equivalent formula \( C_2 \int_2^n (\log t)^{-2} dt \) is in closer agreement with numerical data. In the case of our \( (p - 1)/2 \) problem, one expects still closer agreement if one uses

\[
(2) \quad C_2 \sum_{\text{primes } p \atop 5 \leq p \leq n} \frac{1}{\log((p - 1)/2)}.
\]

And in fact, for \( n = 10^5 \) we find that the ratio of \( |\{5 \leq p \leq n | (p - 1)/2 \text{ is prime}\} | \) to the value predicted by (2) is 1.0043.

Letting \( \mathbb{F}_q \) denote the finite field of \( q = p^f \) elements, we can rephrase our \( (p - 1)/2 \) question in the form: Does the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{F}_p^* \) have any nontrivial subgroups besides \( \{ \pm 1 \} \) and the subgroup of squares, i.e., is \( \mathbb{F}_p^*/\{ \pm 1 \} \) cyclic of prime order? A natural complementary question is: For fixed \( p \) and for \( f > 1 \), how often is \( \mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_p^* \) cyclic of prime order, i.e., is \( (p^f - 1)/(p - 1) \) prime? The case \( p = 2 \) is the famous Mersenne prime problem, and other cases of this primality question have also been investigated (see [1], [13]).

The common element in these questions is that, after dividing by a subgroup that is trivially known to exist, one asks about the likelihood that the quotient group is simple. Thus, an analogous question for elliptic curves is: Given an elliptic curve defined over \( \mathbb{Q} \), after one divides by its
Q-torsion subgroup, what is the probability for large $p$ that its reduction modulo $p$ is of prime order?

3. Certain public key cryptographic systems, based on intractability of the discrete logarithm problem, can be implemented using the group of points on an elliptic curve $E$ defined over a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ (see [6], [11]). In that case one wants the cyclic subgroup generated by certain points $G$ to have order divisible by a large prime. One way to accomplish this is to choose $E$ and $\mathbb{F}_q$ so that the group of points has prime order; then the desired condition holds for any point $G$ not the identity (point at infinity).

To find an elliptic curve defined over a finite field having a prime number of points, one method is to choose a fixed large prime $p$ and let the coefficients of $E$ vary in $\mathbb{F}_p$. The probability that the number of points is prime can be estimated using results of Deuring, Waterhouse, Goldwasser, Killian, and Heath-Brown (for details and references, see §5 of [10]).

We shall consider a different method. Namely, if we have a fixed elliptic curve $E$ defined over the rational integers $\mathbb{Z}$, we might try to choose a prime finite field $\mathbb{F}_p$ such that $|E \mod p|$ is prime. One can proceed as follows. Choose a very large odd number $M$ at random, then, with the help of an efficient primality test, find the successive primes $p$ among the sequence $M, M + 2, M + 4, \ldots$. In each case compute the order of $E \mod p$. (In principle, one can compute $|E \mod p|$ using the deterministic polynomial time algorithm of R. Schoof [12]; however, Schoof’s algorithm is not very practical, and so in practice one might use a probabilistic algorithm. For more discussion of algorithms for computing $|E \mod p|$, see §4 of [10].) Test $|E \mod p|$ for primality, and stop when it is prime.

For fixed $E$, what is the probability that $N_p = |E \mod p|$ is prime? As $p$ varies, $N_p$ is distributed close to $p$ in a uniform way. More precisely, it is known that $(N_p - p - 1)/2\sqrt{p}$ is always between $-1$ and $1$ (Hasse’s theorem) and its distribution there as $p$ varies is proportional to the measure $\sqrt{1 - x^2} \, dx$ (the Sato-Tate distribution). But it would be wrong to think that $N_p$ behaves like a random number in that range with respect to primality. Namely, using the Chebotarev density theorem as in [9], one finds that the probability that $N_p$ is divisible by a fixed prime $l$ is equal not to $1/l$ (as for a random integer) but rather, if we let $G_l$ denote the Galois group of $l$-division points in $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$, to the ratio

$$\left| \left\{ g \in G_l \mid g \text{ has eigenvalue } 1 \right\} \right| / |G_l|.$$


For example, if $G_i$ is all of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$, then this ratio is
\[
\frac{l^2 - 2}{(l^2 - 1)(l - 1)} = \frac{1}{l} + \frac{1}{l^2} + O(l^{-3}).
\]
Thus, in that case $N_p$ has a slightly greater chance of being divisible by $l$. So heuristically, in the case of the “Serre curves” in (4) below, where $G_i$ is always equal to all of $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$, one would expect that $N_p$ has a lower chance of being prime, by a factor of
\[
\prod_{\text{primes } l} \frac{1 - (l^2 - 2)/(l^2 - 1)(l - 1)}{1 - 1/l} = \prod_{\text{primes } l} \left(1 - \frac{l^2 - l - 1}{(l^2 - 1)(l - 1)^2}\right).
\]

We first treat curves $E$ without complex multiplication.

Conjecture A. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve of discriminant $\Delta$ defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ which is not $\mathbb{Q}$-isogenous to a curve with nontrivial $\mathbb{Q}$-torsion and which does not have complex multiplication. Then
\[
\left|\left\{\text{primes } p \leq n, \ p \not|\Delta \mid |E \mod p| \text{ is prime}\right\}\right|
\]
is asymptotic to
\[
(3) \quad C \frac{n}{(\log n)^2},
\]
where $C$ is a positive constant of the form $C = \prod a(l)$ which depends on $E$. Here $a(l)$ is the ratio of $1 - |\{g \in G_i \mid g \text{ has eigenvalue 1}\}|/|G_i|$ to $1 - 1/l$, where $G_i$ denotes the Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$ of the field of $l$-division points; for all but finitely many primes $l$
\[
a(l) = 1 - \frac{l^2 - l - 1}{(l^2 - 1)(l - 1)^2}.
\]

We tested this conjecture numerically using the same three curves that Lang and Trotter used to test their conjecture about primitive points in [9], namely, the curves
\[
A: \ y^2 + y = x^3 - x, \quad B: \ y^2 + y = x^3 + x^2,
\]
\[
C: \ y^2 + xy + y = x^3 - x^2
\]
of conductor 37, 43, 53, respectively. These curves have no $\mathbb{Q}$-torsion, and the Galois group of $l$-division points is always the full general linear group mod $l$.

In the case of the curves (4), we have
\[
C = \prod_l \left(1 - \frac{l^2 - l - 1}{(l^2 - 1)(l - 1)^2}\right) \approx 0.5052.
\]
Table I shows the function of \( n \) in the conjecture

\[
f(n) = |\{ \text{primes } p \leq n, p \nmid \Delta | E \mod p \text{ is prime} \}|
\]

for \( n \) at intervals of 2000 up to 30000, for the three curves in (4). We compare with the predicted value, where instead of (3) we use the asymptotically equivalent formula \( C \sum_{\text{primes } p \leq n, p \nmid \Delta} (\log p)^{-1} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( n )</th>
<th>predicted value</th>
<th>Curve A</th>
<th>Curve B</th>
<th>Curve C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12000</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14000</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16000</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18000</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20000</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22000</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24000</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26000</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28000</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30000</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>179</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. We now suppose that \( E \) is an elliptic curve of discriminant \( \Delta \) defined over \( \mathbb{Z} \) which has trivial \( \mathbb{Q} \)-torsion (more precisely, is not \( \mathbb{Q} \)-isogenous to a curve with nontrivial \( \mathbb{Q} \)-torsion) and has complex multiplication by the ring of integers \( O_K \) of an imaginary quadratic field \( K \) (necessarily of class number 1). Let \( N_p = |E \mod p| \) for \( p \nmid \Delta \). First, if \( p \) remains prime in \( K \), then \( N_p = p + 1 \) is never prime for \( p > 2 \); but one can ask for \((p + 1)/2\) to be prime. This question—and the more general question of factorization of \( N_p^f = p^f + 1 \)—is analogous to the \((p - 1)/2\) and \((p^f - 1)/(p - 1)\) problem mentioned in §2. The same heuristics apply, giving the conjectural asymptotic formula (1), except that one must insert
a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ (because we are asking only for $p$ which remain prime in $K$ for which $(p + 1)/2$ is prime), and, in the case when $K$ has discriminant $-l$, one must also change the $l$-term in $C_2$ to $1 - (l + 1)/(l - 1)^2$. (Note that when $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$, this makes $C_2 = 0$, because the 3-term is zero; in addition, $C_2$ must be replaced by 0 if $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$, since in that case as well $(p + 1)/2$ is even whenever $p$ remains prime in $K$; thus, one should really ask about primality of $(p + 1)/w$, where $w$ is the number of roots of unity in $K$.) Alternately, one has the estimate (2) with $(p - 1)/2$ replaced by $(p + 1)/2$ and the summation taken only over $p$ which remain prime in $K$.

Now we consider the primes $p$ which split in $K$. In investigations of similar questions, such as the primitive point conjecture of Lang-Trotter, it has turned out to be natural to restrict one's attention to the class of $p$ which split in $K$ (see [3]).

Conjecture B. Let $E$ be an elliptic curve of discriminant $\Delta$ defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ which is not $\mathbb{Q}$-isogenous to a curve with nontrivial $\mathbb{Q}$-torsion and which has complex multiplication by the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_K$ of an imaginary quadratic field $K$. Then

$$\left| \{ \text{primes } p \leq n, p \nmid \Delta, p \text{ splits in } K \mid \text{ } E \text{ mod } p \text{ is prime} \} \right|$$

is asymptotic to

$$(5) \quad C \frac{n}{2(\log n)^2},$$

where $C$ is a positive constant of the form $C = \prod a(l)$ which depends on $E$. Here $a(l)$ is again $(1 - |\{ g \in G_l \mid g \text{ has eigenvalue } 1 \}|)/|G_l|/(1 - 1/l)$, where here $G_l$ denotes the Galois group over $K$ of the field of $l$-division points; for all but finitely many primes $l$

$$(6) \quad a(l) = 1 - \chi(l) \frac{l^2 - l - 1}{(l - \chi(l))(l - 1)^2},$$

where $\chi$ is the quadratic character corresponding to the field $K$.

Note that the infinite product of the $a(l)$ in (6) converges conditionally to a nonzero limit, as one can see by comparison with the Euler product for the Dirichlet $L$-series value $L(1, \chi) = \prod(1 - \chi(l)/l)^{-1}$.

Since we have restricted attention to rational primes of degree 1 in $K$, in applying the Chebotarev density theorem we must work with the Galois group over $K$ of the $l$-division points. Since $E$ has complex multiplication
by $O_K$, this Galois group $G_l \subset \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group of units of $O_K/lO_K$. This group of units is isomorphic as a group to either $\mathbb{F}_l^* \sqrt[l]{\equiv}$ (if $l$ remains prime) or $\mathbb{F}_l^* \otimes \mathbb{F}_l^*$ (if $l$ splits) or $\mathbb{F}_l^* \otimes \mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$ (if $l$ ramifies). Meanwhile, the number of elements with eigenvalue 1 equals, respectively: $1, 2l - 3, l$. Thus, if $G_l$ is the largest it can be (as is the case for all but finitely many $l$), the factor $a(l)$ is respectively:

\[
\left(1 - \frac{1}{l^2 - 1}\right)/\left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right), \quad \left(1 - \frac{2l - 3}{(l - 1)^2}\right)/\left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right), \quad \left(1 - \frac{1}{l - 1}\right)/\left(1 - \frac{1}{l}\right).
\]

This equals (6) in the first two cases, and $a(l) = 1 - (l - 1)^{-2}$ when $l$ ramifies.

To test Conjecture B, we chose two families of CM-curves for which the fields of $l$-division points are probably always largest possible and linearly disjoint:

(7) $D_j$: $y^2 - y = x^3 - (j + 1)/4$;

(8) $E_j$: $y^2 = j(x^3 - x^2 - 7x + 41/4)$,

where $j$ is a prime that remains prime in the CM-field $K$. The curves $D_j$ and $E_j$ have complex multiplication by the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-11})$, respectively; they have discriminant $\Delta = 27j^2$ and $121j^2$, respectively. In (7) we let $j$ run through the first 50 primes $\equiv -1 \mod 12$; in (8) we let $j$ run through the first 50 primes for which $(\chi/11) = -1$. In each case let $f_j(n)$ denote the number of primes $p \leq n$ such that $(\chi/p) = 1$ (resp. $(p/11) = 1$) and $|D_j \mod p|$ (resp. $|E_j \mod p|$) is prime. In Table II we give the average of $f_j(n)$ for the 50 values of $j$ for $n$ at intervals of 2000 up to 10000. We compare with the predicted values

\[
C \sum \frac{\log p}{\text{primes } p \leq n, \chi(p) = 1},
\]

where

\[
C = a(l_0) \prod_{\chi(l) \neq 0} \left(1 - \chi(l)(l^2 - l - 1)(l - \chi(l))^{-1}(l - 1)^{-2}\right).
\]

Here $l_0 = 3$ and 11, respectively, denotes the one ramified value of $l$; for this value we have $a(l_0) = 1 - (l_0 - 1)^{-2} = 0.75$ and 0.99, respectively.
TABLE II
Average value of $f_j(n)$ in the CM-case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Curves $D_j$ predicted</th>
<th>Curves $D_j$ actual</th>
<th>Curves $E_j$ predicted</th>
<th>Curves $E_j$ actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6000</td>
<td>53.2</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8000</td>
<td>65.9</td>
<td>67.0</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>35.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As in Table I, the agreement between the actual incidence of primal-
ity and the predictions of the conjecture is as good as can reasonably be
expected. (Of course, this numerical evidence supports Conjecture B only
in some "average sense," since in order to obtain a large sample we
averaged over families of curves.)

REMARK. Here are two directions relevant to cryptographic applica-
tions in which the primality question considered above can be generalized:
(1) In the case when $E$ has a nontrivial $\mathbb{Q}$-torsion subgroup $E_i$, what is the
probability that $|(E \mod p)/(E_i \mod p)|$ is prime?, and (2) Given $E$ and
also a bound $B$ (perhaps depending on the size of $p$), what is the
probability that $E \mod p$ has a subgroup of prime order with index less
than $B$?

A third question is the analog of the $(p^f - 1)/(p - 1) = |\mathbb{F}_p^*/\mathbb{F}_p^*|$
problem. Namely, if $E$ is an elliptic curve defined over $\mathbb{F}_p$, then, by Weil’s
theorem, there is a quadratic imaginary algebraic integer $\alpha$ of norm $p$
such that $N_{p^f} = |E(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})|$ is equal to $p^f + 1 - \alpha^f - \overline{\alpha}^f = N(\alpha^f - 1)$ (where
$N(x) = x \cdot \overline{x}$ denotes the norm). Thus, a question complementary to
primality of $N_p = N(\alpha - 1)$ (as $p$ and hence $\alpha$ varies, for fixed $E$ defined
over $\mathbb{Z}$) is the question of primality of

$$|E(\mathbb{F}_{p^f})/E(\mathbb{F}_p)| = N((\alpha^f - 1)/(\alpha - 1))$$

s $f$ varies (for fixed $E$ and $p$).

EXAMPLE. When $p = 2$ or 3, it is possible for an elliptic curve $E$
declared over $\mathbb{F}_p$ to have only one $\mathbb{F}_p$-point (the point at infinity). Namely,
let $E$ be given by the equation $y^2 + y = x^3 - x + 1$. In that case the
number $N_{p^f}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{p^f}$-points on $E$ is

$$N_2^f = N((1 + i)^f - 1); \quad N_3^f = N((1 + \omega)^f - 1),$$

where $\omega = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$,

and it makes sense to ask whether $N_{p^f}$ is prime. Clearly, this is possible only if $f$ is prime. The $N_{p^f}$ are analogs of the Mersenne numbers; in [16] the numbers $(1 + i)^f - 1$ are called "complex Mersenne numbers."
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