

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**LOCALLY A-PROJECTIVE ABELIAN GROUPS AND
GENERALIZATIONS**

ULRICH F. ALBRECHT

LOCALLY A -PROJECTIVE ABELIAN GROUPS AND GENERALIZATIONS

ULRICH ALBRECHT

Let A be an abelian group. An abelian group G is locally A -projective if every finite subset of G is contained in a direct summand P of G which is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\bigoplus_I A$ for some index-set I . Locally A -projective groups are discussed without the usual assumption that the endomorphism ring of A is hereditary, a setting in which virtually nothing is known about these groups. The results of this paper generalize structure theorems for homogeneous separable torsion-free groups and locally free modules over principal ideal domains. Furthermore, it is shown that the conditions on A imposed in this paper cannot be relaxed, in general.

1. Introduction and discussion of results. In 1967, Osofsky investigated the projective dimension of torsion-free modules over a valuation domain R . One of her main results in [O1] is that a torsion-free R -module M which is generated by \aleph_n many elements has projective dimension at most $n + 1$. [F2, Proposition 3.2] emphasizes that it is necessary to assume in this result that R is a valuation domain. However, one of the initial results of this paper shows that these conditions on R are by far too strong (Proposition 2.2).

For this, it is necessary to extend the concept of torsion-freeness of modules over integral domains to modules over arbitrary rings. The obvious way to do this is to call an R -module M *torsion-free* if $mc \neq 0$ for all non-zero $m \in M$ and non-zero-divisors c of R . However, the following approach used in [G] proved more successful: An R -module is *non-singular* if $mI \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq m \in M$ and all essential right ideals I of R . The ring R itself is right non-singular if it is non-singular as a right R -module.

A right non-singular ring R is *strongly non-singular*, if the finitely generated non-singular R -modules are exactly the finitely generated submodules of free modules. For instance, every semi-prime ring of finite left and right Goldie-dimension is strongly non-singular [G, Theorems 3.10 and 5.17]. Furthermore, these finite dimensional rings

are exactly those for which the concepts of torsion-freeness and non-singularity coincide for right and left modules. Moreover, every valuation domain is strongly non-singular and semi-hereditary.

We extend Osofsky's result in Proposition 2.2 in a surprisingly simple and natural way to non-singular modules over strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary rings.

This natural extension of Osofsky's result indicates that similar results may be available for other classes of modules. It is the main goal of Section 2 to give estimates for the projective dimension of modules M over an arbitrary ring R such that every finite subset of M is contained in a projective direct summand of M (Theorem 2.3). Chase called such a module *locally projective* in [C], where he discussed locally projective modules in the case that R is a principal ideal domain. The author was able to extend Chase's work in [A3] to modules over semi-prime, two-sided Noetherian, hereditary rings. However, virtually nothing is known about this class of modules in the case that R is not hereditary.

In the remaining part of this paper, we apply the previously obtained module-theoretic results to the discussion of abelian groups. Before we can start, we have to introduce some further notation: Let A and G be abelian groups. The *A -socle of G* , denoted by $S_A(G)$, is the fully invariant subgroup of G which is generated by $\{\phi(A) \mid \phi \in \text{Hom}(A, G)\}$. Clearly, $S_A(G)$ is the image of the natural evaluation map $\theta_C: \text{Hom}(A, G) \otimes_{E(A)} A \rightarrow G$. The group G is *A -solvable* if θ_G is an isomorphism. It is *A -projective* if it is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\bigoplus_I A$ for some index-set I . The smallest cardinality possible for I is the *A -rank* of G . Finally, Arnold and Murley called an abelian group *A self-small*, if the functor $\text{Hom}(A, -)$ preserves direct sums of copies of A , and showed that *A -projective groups are A -solvable* in this case. In particular, A is self-small if there is a finite subset X of A such that $\phi(X) \neq 0$ for all $0 \neq \phi \in E(A)$, i.e. $E(A)$ is *discrete in the finite topology*. [A7, Theorem 2.8] shows that, for every cotorsion-free ring R , there exists a proper class of abelian groups A which are discrete in the finite topology and flat as $E(A)$ -modules such that $E(A) \cong R$.

It is easy to see that an abelian group G is an epimorphic image of an *A -projective* iff $S_A(G) = G$. Although every abelian group G with $S_A(G) = G$ admits an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \bigoplus_I A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ with respect to which A is projective, any two *A -projective resolutions* of such a G can be quite different (Example 3.3). This is primarily due to the fact that there is no general version of Shanuel's Lemma

for A -projective resolutions. In particular, there exist abelian groups A and G with $S_A(G) = G$ which admit an A -projective resolution $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \bigoplus_I A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ in which U is not an epimorphic image of an A -projective group. It is the goal of Section 3 to characterize the abelian groups which are well-behaved with respect to A -projective resolution in the sense that $S_A(U) = U$ in every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \bigoplus_I A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$. In view of [A7, Theorem 2.8], we address this problem in the case that A is self-small and flat as an $E(A)$ -module, and show that an abelian group G has the previously stated property exactly if it is A -solvable (Proposition 3.2). This result allows us to extend the definition of projective dimension to A -solvable groups G .

Ulmer first introduced the class \mathcal{S}_A of A -solvable groups in [U] as a tool to investigate abelian groups which are flat as modules over their endomorphism ring. Another application of A -solvable groups was obtained in [A5] and [A7] where the consideration of \mathcal{S}_A yielded partial answers to [F, Problem 84a and c]. The same papers also showed that the restriction that A is flat as an $E(A)$ -module is essential in the discussion of A -solvable abelian groups [A7, Theorem 2.2]. Moreover, Hausen used methods similar to the ones used in [A5] and [A7] and some of the results of [A3] to give a partial answer to [F, Problem 9] in [H].

In Section 4, we turn our attention to a class of abelian groups which was first introduced by Arnold and Murley in [AM]: An abelian group G is *locally A -projective* if every finite subset of G is contained in an A -projective direct summand of G . [AM, Theorem III] yields that the categories of locally A -projective abelian groups and locally projective right $E(A)$ -modules are equivalent if $E(A)$ is discrete in the finite topology. Since we frequently use the same category equivalence, we assume that A is discrete in the finite topology.

The module-theoretic results of this paper enable us to investigate the structure of locally A -projective groups in the case that $E(A)$ is not hereditary. Our first result shows that a locally A -projective group G has A -projective dimension at most n if there exists an exact sequence $\bigoplus_{\aleph_n} A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ (Theorem 4.1).

Although the class of locally A -projective groups is not closed with respect to subgroups U which satisfy $S_A(U) = U$, there is a special type of subgroups for which this is true: (Theorem 4.3) A subgroup H of an abelian group G with $S_A(G) = G$ is *A -pure* if $S_A(H) = H$

and $\langle H, \phi_0(A), \dots, \phi_n(A) \rangle / H$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of an A -projective group of finite A -rank for all $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_n \in \text{Hom}(A, G)$. A -purity naturally extends the concepts of $\{A\}_*$ -purity which have been introduced in [W] and [A3].

We adopt the notations of [F] and [G]. All mappings are written on the left.

2. Locally projective modules. The initial results of this section extend Osofsky's Theorem to strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary rings. Our discussion is based on the following result by Auslander. Denote the projective dimension of a right R -module M by $\text{p.d. } M$.

LEMMA 2.1 [Au]. *Let M be an R -module which is the union of a smooth ascending chain of submodules $\{M_\alpha\}_{\alpha < \kappa}$ whose projective dimension is at most n . Then, $\text{p.d. } M \leq n + 1$.*

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The following conditions are equivalent for a strongly non-singular ring R :*

(a) *A non-singular R -module M , which is generated by strictly less than \aleph_n many elements for some $n < \omega$, has projective dimension at most n .*

(b) *R is right semi-hereditary.*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) is obvious.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Without loss of generality, we may assume that $n \geq 1$. Suppose that M is countably generated. Since R is a strongly non-singular, semi-hereditary ring, $M = \bigcup_{n < \omega} P_n$ where $0 = P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq \dots$ is a chain of finitely generated projective submodules of M . By Lemma 2.1, $\text{p.d. } M \leq 1$.

We proceed by induction and assume that M is generated by elements $\{x_\nu \mid \nu < \omega_n\}$. Define $M_0 = \{0\}$, $M_{\alpha+1} = \langle M_\alpha, x_\alpha \rangle$, and $M_\lambda = \bigcup_{\nu < \lambda} M_\nu$ if λ is a limit ordinal. The projective dimension of M_α is at most n for all $\alpha < \omega_n$ since M_α is generated by at most ω_{n-1} many elements. By Lemma 2.1, $\text{p.d. } M \leq n + 1$.

We now turn to locally projective modules over arbitrary rings.

THEOREM 2.3. *A locally projective module M over a ring R has projective dimension at most n if it is generated by at most \aleph_n many elements.*

Proof. If $n = 0$, choose a countable generating set $\{x_n | n < \omega\}$ for M with $x_0 = 0$. For every projective direct summand P of M , there is a free R -module $Q(P)$ such that $P \oplus Q(P)$ is free. We set

$$\widehat{M} = M \oplus \left[\bigoplus \{Q(P) | P \text{ is a projective summand of } M\} \right].$$

To see that every finite subset $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$ of \widehat{M} is contained in a finitely generated free direct summand of \widehat{M} , write $w_i = a_i + b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, where $a_i \in M$ and $b_i \in \bigoplus \{Q(P) | P \text{ a projective direct summand of } M\}$. There are projective direct summands P_0, \dots, P_m of M such that $a_0, \dots, a_n \in P_0$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in Q(P_1) \oplus \dots \oplus Q(P_m)$. If $P_0 \in \{P_1, \dots, P_m\}$, then we may assume $P_0 = P_1$. The module $P_0 \oplus Q(P_1) \oplus \dots \oplus Q(P_m)$ is a free direct summand of \widehat{M} which contains $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$. In the other case, consider the direct summand $P_0 \oplus Q(P_0) \oplus Q(P_1) \oplus \dots \oplus Q(P_m)$ of \widehat{M} . In either case, there exists a finitely generated free direct summand V of \widehat{M} which contains $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$.

Set $U_0 = \{0\}$, and suppose that we have constructed an ascending chain $U_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_n$ of finitely generated free direct summands of \widehat{M} such that $\{x_0, \dots, x_i\} \subseteq U_i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$. By the results of the previous paragraph, there exists a finitely generated free direct summand U_{n+1} of \widehat{M} which contains U_n and x_{n+1} . If we write $U_{n+1} = U_n \oplus V_n$, then $M \subseteq \bigcup_{n < \omega} U_n = \bigoplus_{n < \omega} V_n$. Since M is a direct summand of \widehat{M} , it also is one of the projective module $\bigcup_{n < \omega} U_n$. This completes the proof in the case $n = 0$.

Assume that the result fails for a minimal positive integer n . Let $\{x_\nu | \nu < \omega_n\}$ be a generating set of M , and suppose that we have constructed a smooth ascending chain $\{M_\nu\}_{\nu < \alpha}$ of submodules of M for some $\alpha < \omega_n$ which are generated by less than \aleph_n many elements and have the following two properties: Every finite subset of M_ν is contained in a projective direct summand N of M which satisfies $N \subseteq M_\nu$; and $x_\nu \in M_{\nu+1}$ for all $\nu < \alpha$.

We set $M_0 = \{0\}$ and $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{\nu < \alpha} M_\nu$ if α is a limit ordinal. In the case $\alpha = \nu + 1$, we choose a generating set Z_α of $M_\alpha^0 = \langle M_\nu, x_\nu \rangle$ whose cardinality is less than \aleph_n . For every finite subset Y of Z_α , there is a projective direct summand N_Y of M which contains Y . Since N_Y is a direct sum of countably generated R -modules by Kaplansky's Theorem [K, Proposition 1.1], we may assume that N_Y itself is countably generated. Consequently, the submodule $M_\alpha^1 = \langle N_Y | Y \subseteq Z_\alpha, |Y| < \infty \rangle$ of M is generated by less than \aleph_n many elements. Inductively, we construct an ascending chain $M_\alpha^0 \subseteq M_\alpha^1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq M_\alpha^m$ ($m < \omega$) such

that $M_\alpha = \bigcup_{m < \omega} M_\alpha^m$ is generated by less than \aleph_n many elements and has the properties required for the M_ν 's. Therefore, p.d. $M_\alpha \leq n - 1$. Since the chain $\{M_\nu\}_{\nu < \omega_n}$ is smooth, Lemma 2.1 yields p.d. $M \leq n$.

Following [G], we call a submodule U of an R -module M \mathcal{S} -closed if M/U is non-singular. Let M be a non-singular R -module. The \mathcal{S} -closure of a submodule U of M is the smallest submodule W of M which contains U and has the property that M/W is non-singular.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let R be a strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary ring.*

(a) *The class of locally projective R -modules is closed under \mathcal{S} -closed submodules.*

(b) *A non-singular R -module M is locally projective if and only if the \mathcal{S} -closure of a finitely generated submodule of M is a direct summand of M .*

Proof. (a) Suppose that U is an \mathcal{S} -closed submodule of the locally projective module M . For every finite subset X of U , there exists a projective direct summand V of M which contains X . Since R is right semi-hereditary, V is a direct sum of finitely generated modules by [Ab]. Consequently, we may assume that V itself is finitely generated.

The module $V/(V \cap U) \cong \langle V, U \rangle / U$ is finitely generated and non-singular. Because R is strongly non-singular and right semi-hereditary, $V \cap U$ is a projective direct summand of V which contains X . Finally, if we observe that V is a direct summand of M , then $V \cap U$ is a direct summand of U . Therefore, U is locally projective.

(b) It remains to show that a locally projective module M has the splitting property for \mathcal{S} -closures of finitely generated submodules. If U is a finitely generated submodule of M , then there exists a finitely generated projective direct summand V of M which contains U by (a). As in the proof of that result, the \mathcal{S} -closure of U is a direct summand of V .

Furthermore, this characterization of locally projective modules may fail if R is not right semi-hereditary:

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let R be a semi-prime, right and left finite dimensional ring. The following conditions are equivalent:*

(a) *R is right semi-hereditary.*

(b) *If M is locally projective, then the \mathcal{S} -closure of a finitely generated submodule is a direct summand of M .*

Proof. By [G, Theorem 3.10], a semi-prime right and left finite dimensional ring is strongly non-singular. Therefore, it suffices to show (b) \Rightarrow (a): Let I be a finitely generated right ideal of R . There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \bigoplus_n R \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ for some $n < \omega$. Since $(\bigoplus_n R)/U$ is non-singular, U is a direct summand of $(\bigoplus_n R)$ by (b). Consequently, I is projective.

COROLLARY 2.6. *Let R be a strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary ring. A \mathcal{S} -closed countably generated submodule U of a locally projective module is projective.*

3. A -Projective resolutions. Let A and G be abelian groups, M a right $E(A)$ -module. The functors H_A and T_A between the categories of abelian groups and right $E(A)$ -modules, which are defined by $H_A(G) = \text{Hom}(A, G)$ and $T_A(M) = M \otimes_{E(A)} A$, are an adjoint pair where the $E(A)$ -module structure of $H_A(G)$ is induced by composition of maps. Associated with them are the natural maps $\theta_G: T_A H_A(G) \rightarrow G$ and $\phi_M: M \rightarrow H_A T_A(M)$ which are given by the rules $\theta_G(\phi \otimes a) = \phi(a)$ and $[\phi_M(m)](a) = m \otimes a$ for all $a \in A$, $\phi \in H_A(G)$ and $m \in M$. Finally, an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ of abelian groups is A -balanced if the induced sequence $0 \rightarrow H_A(B) \rightarrow H_A(C) \rightarrow H_A(G) \rightarrow 0$ is exact.

The full subcategory of the category of abelian groups whose elements G have the property that θ_G is an isomorphism is denoted by \mathcal{T}_A . Similarly, \mathcal{M}_A indicates the category of all right $E(A)$ -modules M for which ϕ_M is an isomorphism. The functors H_A and T_A define a category-equivalence between \mathcal{T}_A and \mathcal{M}_A [A5].

LEMMA 3.1 [A7, LEMMA 2.1]. *Let A be an abelian group. An exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$ of abelian groups such that C is A -solvable induces an exact sequence*

$$\text{Tor}_{E(A)}^1(M, A) \xrightarrow{A} T_A H_A(B) \xrightarrow{\theta_B} B \xrightarrow{\delta} T_A(M) \xrightarrow{\theta(\beta)} G \rightarrow 0$$

where $M = \text{im } H_A(\beta)$ and $[\theta(\beta)](m \otimes a) = m(a)$ for all $m \in M$ and $a \in A$.

In particular, the last result shows that a subgroup B of an A -solvable group which satisfies $S_A(B) = B$ is A -solvable if A is flat over $E(A)$. Under the same conditions on A , every A -balanced exact sequence $0 \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ such that C is A -solvable satisfies $M = H_A(G)$ and $\theta(\beta) = \theta_G$. Therefore, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_A H_A(B) \xrightarrow{\theta_B} B \xrightarrow{\delta} T_A H_A(G) \xrightarrow{\theta_G} G \rightarrow 0.$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Let A be a self-small abelian group which is flat as an $E(A)$ -module. The following conditions are equivalent for an abelian group G with $S_A(G) = G$:*

- (a) G is A -solvable.
 (b) If $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence such that P is A -projective, then $S_A(U) = U$.
 (c) Every epimorphism $\phi_0: P_0 \rightarrow G$ where P_0 is A -projective extends to a long exact sequence

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\phi_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} G \rightarrow 0$$

in which P_n is A -projective for all $n < \omega$.

- (d) There exists an exact sequence $\dots \xrightarrow{\phi_{n+1}} P_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} G \rightarrow 0$ such that, for all $n < \omega$, P_n is A -projective and the induced sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{im } \phi_{n+1} \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \text{im } \phi_n \rightarrow 0$$

is A -balanced.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$ induces a projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow H_A(U) \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} H_A(P) \xrightarrow{H_A(\beta)} M \rightarrow 0$$

of the right $E(A)$ -module $M = \text{im } H_A(\beta)$. By Lemma 3.1, there is a map $\theta(\beta): T_A(M) \rightarrow G$ which fits into the following commutative diagram whose rows are exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 \rightarrow T_A(M) & \rightarrow & T_A H_A(G) \\ & \parallel & \wr \downarrow \theta_G \\ & & G \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \theta(\beta) \\ T_A(M) & \xrightarrow{\theta(\beta)} & G \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Thus, $\theta(\beta)$ is an isomorphism, and $S_A(U) = U$ by Lemma 3.1.

- (b) \Rightarrow (c): The map ϕ_0 induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} G \rightarrow 0,$$

where $S_A(U) = U$ because of (b). Lemma 3.1 yields that U is A -solvable. The long exact sequence is now constructed inductively.

- (c) \Rightarrow (d): There exists an A -balanced exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow P_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} G \rightarrow 0 \quad (1)$$

where P_0 is A -projective, and $U \subseteq P_0$ satisfies $S_A(U) = U$ because of (c). Since U is A -solvable by Lemma 3.1, and we have already verified the implication (a) \Rightarrow (c), we obtain an A -balanced exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow P_1 \xrightarrow{\phi_1} U \rightarrow 0$$

with P_1 A -projective. Because of the validity of the implication (a) \Rightarrow (b), $S_A(V) = V$. Consequently, an inductive argument completes the proof.

(d) \Rightarrow (a): The sequence in (d) induces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_A H_A(U) \xrightarrow{\theta_U} U \xrightarrow{\delta} T_A H_A(G) \xrightarrow{\theta_G} G \rightarrow 0$$

by Lemma 3.1 where $U = \text{im } \phi_1$. Because of $S_A(U) = U$, the map θ_U is onto; and θ_G is an isomorphism.

EXAMPLE 3.3. Let A be a countable abelian group of infinite rank with $E(A) \cong \mathbf{Z}$. Every free subgroup F of A which has infinite rank contains a subgroup F_1 such that $F/F_1 \cong T_A(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Q}$. Thus, F/F_1 is a direct summand of A/F_1 , and there exists a non-zero proper subgroup U of A with $A/U \cong \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Q}$.

We now show that $S_A(U) = 0$. If this is not the case, then $H_A(U) \neq 0$; and $H_A(A)/H_A(U)$ is a bounded abelian group. However, since the latter is isomorphic to a subgroup of the torsion-free group $H_A(\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Q})$, this is only possible if $H_A(A) = H_A(U)$. Because this contradicts the condition $A \neq U$, we obtain $S_A(U) = 0$. On the other hand, every free resolution $0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$ yields an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, we construct an A -balanced exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \bigoplus_I A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$ such that $S_A(V) \neq V$: For this, we observe $H_A(\mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{2^{\aleph_0}} \mathbb{Q}$. Hence, there exists an A -balanced exact sequence $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow \bigoplus_{2^{\aleph_0}} A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow 0$. By Proposition 3.2, $S_A(V) \neq V$.

In the next part of this section, we introduce the concept of an A -projective dimension for A -solvable groups. In view of Proposition 3.2, we assume, that A is self-small and flat as an $E(A)$ -module, and consider two A -balanced A -projective resolutions $0 \rightarrow U_i \rightarrow P_i G \rightarrow 0$ ($i = 1, 2$) of an A -solvable group G . They induce exact sequences $0 \rightarrow H_A(U_i) \rightarrow H_A(P_i) \rightarrow H_A(G) \rightarrow 0$ of right $E(A)$ -modules for $i = 1, 2$. By Shanuel's Lemma [R, Theorem 3.62], $H_A(P_1) \oplus H_A(U_2) \cong H_A(P_2) \oplus H_A(U_1)$. Since both, the U_i 's and the P_i 's, are A -solvable, we

obtain

$$U_1 \oplus P_2 \cong T_A(H_A(U_1) \oplus H_A(P_2)) \cong T_A(H_A(P_1) \oplus H_A(U_2)) \cong P_1 \oplus U_2.$$

As in the case of modules this suffices to show that the following is well-defined:

An A -solvable group G has A -projective dimension at most n if there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} G \rightarrow 0$ such that P_0, \dots, P_n are A -projective, and the induced sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{im} \phi_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \operatorname{im} \phi_i \rightarrow 0$$

are A -balanced for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$. We write A -p.d. $G \leq n$ in this case. Otherwise, we say that G has infinite A -projective dimension and write A -p.d. $G = \infty$.

Our next result relates the A -projective dimension of an A -solvable group G to the projective dimension of the $E(A)$ -module $H_A(G)$.

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let A be a self-small abelian group which is flat as an $E(A)$ -module. If G is an A -solvable abelian group, then*

$$A\text{-p.d. } G = \text{p.d. } H_A(G).$$

Proof. Since an A -solvable group G is A -projective iff $H_A(G)$ is projective, it suffices to consider the case A -p.d. $G > 0$. Suppose that there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow P_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} \dots \xrightarrow{\phi_1} P_0 \xrightarrow{\phi_0} G \rightarrow 0$$

such that P_0, \dots, P_n are A -projective, and the induced sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{im} \phi_{i+1} \rightarrow P_i \xrightarrow{\phi_i} \operatorname{im} \phi_i \rightarrow 0$$

are A -balanced exact for every $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$. Therefore the induced sequences of right $E(A)$ -modules,

$$0 \rightarrow H_A(\operatorname{im} \phi_{i+1}) \rightarrow H_A(P_i) \xrightarrow{H_A(\phi_i)} H_A(\operatorname{im} \phi_i) \rightarrow 0,$$

are also exact for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$; and we obtain $\operatorname{im} H_A(\phi_i) = H_A(\operatorname{im} \phi_i)$. Consequently, there is a projective resolution

$$0 \rightarrow H_A(P_n) \xrightarrow{H_A(\phi_n)} \dots \xrightarrow{H_A(\phi_1)} H_A(P_0) \rightarrow H_A(G) \rightarrow 0$$

of $H_A(G)$, and p.d. $H_A(G) \leq n$.

Conversely, suppose that the right $E(A)$ -module $H_A(G)$ has projective dimension at most n for some positive integer n . There exists

an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} P \xrightarrow{\beta} H_A(G) \rightarrow 0$ of right $E(A)$ -modules, where P is projective, and p.d. $U \leq n - 1$. It induces the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A(U) & \xrightarrow{H_A T_A(\alpha)} & H_A T_A(P) & \xrightarrow{H_A T_A(\beta)} & H_A T_A H_A(G) \\
 & & \uparrow \phi_U & & \uparrow \phi_P & & \uparrow \phi_{H_A(G)} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & U & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & P & \xrightarrow{\beta} & H_A(G) \longrightarrow 0.
 \end{array}$$

with exact rows. The maps ϕ_P and $\phi_{H_A(G)}$ are isomorphisms since H_A and T_A are a category equivalence between \mathcal{T}_A and \mathcal{M}_A . Therefore, the map $H_A T_A(\beta)$ is onto. This shows that the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_A(U) \rightarrow T_A(P) \rightarrow T_A H_A(G) \rightarrow 0$$

is A -balanced exact, and $U \cong H_A T_A(U)$. Consequently, A -p.d. $T_A(U) \leq n - 1$. Hence, G has A -projective dimension at most n .

As a first application of the last result, we give an upper estimate for the A -projective dimension of an A -torsion-free group provided that A is self-small and flat as an $E(A)$ -module and has a strongly non-singular right semi-hereditary endomorphism ring: Following [A8], we call an abelian group G with $S_A(G) = G$ *A -torsion-free* if $\langle \phi_0(A), \dots, \phi_n(A) \rangle$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of an A -projective group of finite A -rank for all $\phi_0, \dots, \phi_n \in H_A(G)$. If A is flat as $E(A)$ -module, and if $E(A)$ is strongly non-singular, then G is A -torsion-free, iff G is A -solvable, and $H_A(G)$ is non-singular.

In [A5, Satz 5.9], we showed that every exact sequence $\bigoplus_I A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ such that G is A -solvable is A -balanced if A is *faithfully flat* as an $E(A)$ -module; i.e. A is a flat $E(A)$ -module, and $IA \neq A$ for all proper right ideals I of $E(A)$. [A7, Theorem 2.8] shows that for every cotorsion-free ring R there is a proper class of abelian groups A with $E(A) \cong R$, which are faithfully flat as an $E(A)$ -module, and whose endomorphism ring is discrete in the finite topology. On the other hand, the group $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$ is not faithful although it is self-small and flat as an $E(A)$ -module, [Ar2, Example 5.10].

COROLLARY 3.5. *Let A be a self-small abelian group which is faithfully flat as an $E(A)$ -module and has a strongly non-singular endomorphism ring. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $E(A)$ is right semi-hereditary.

(b) If G is an A -torsion-free abelian group which admits an exact sequence $\bigoplus_I A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ where $|I| < \aleph_n$ for some $n < \omega$, then A -p.d. $G \leq n$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Since A is faithfully flat, the sequence $\bigoplus_I A \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ is A -balanced exact. Hence, $H_A(G)$ is generated by less than \aleph_n -many elements. Because of Proposition 2.2, $H_A(G)$ has projective dimension at most n . By Proposition 3.4, A -p.d. $G \leq n$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Let I be a finitely generated right ideal of $E(A)$. There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow \bigoplus_n E(A) \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0$ for some $n < \omega$. It induces the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T_A(U) \rightarrow T_A(\bigoplus_n E(A)) \rightarrow T_A(I) \rightarrow 0$ which is A -balanced exact since A is faithfully flat as an $E(A)$ -module. Thus, A -p.d. $T_A(I) \leq 0$ by (b). In particular, $T_A(I)$ is A -projective. Consider the induced diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_A T_A \left(\bigoplus_n E(A) \right) & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A(I) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \wr \uparrow \phi_{\bigoplus_n E(A)} & & \uparrow \phi_I & & \\ \bigoplus_n E(A) & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

It yields that ϕ_I is onto. Furthermore, the natural isomorphism $\delta_I: T_A(I) \rightarrow IA$ which is defined by $\delta_I(i \otimes a) = i(a)$ for all $i \in I$ and $a \in A$ yields $[H_A(\delta_I)\phi_I(i)](a) = i(a)$ for all $i \in I$ and $a \in A$. Thus, $\phi_I(i) = 0$ implies $i = 0$. Thus, ϕ_I is an isomorphism, and $I \cong H_A T_A(I)$ is projective.

We conclude this section with another application of Proposition 3.4. Denote the right global dimension of a ring R by $\text{gl. dim } R$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Let A be a self-small abelian group which is faithfully flat as an $E(A)$ -module.*

(a) *If $E(A)$ has right global dimension $n < \infty$, then there exist a subgroup U of an A -projective group with $S_A(U) = U$ and A -p.d. $U = n - 1$.*

(b) *If $E(A)$ has infinite global dimension, then there exists a subgroup U of an A -projective group with $S_A(U) = U$ and A -p.d. $U = \infty$.*

Proof. The global dimension of $E(A)$ is the supremum of the projective dimensions of modules of the form $E(A)/I$ where I is a right ideal of $E(A)$. Hence, there exists a family $\{I_n\}_{n < \omega}$ of right ideals

of I such that $\text{p.d.} \left(\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n \right) = \text{gl. dim } R - 1$ where $\infty - 1$ is defined to be ∞ . The inclusion map $i: \bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\omega} E(A)$ yields the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A \left(\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n \right) & \xrightarrow{H_A T_A(i)} & H_A T_A \left(\bigoplus_{\omega} E(A) \right) \\ & & \uparrow \phi_{\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n} & & \uparrow \wr \phi_{\bigoplus_{\omega} E(A)} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n & \xrightarrow{i} & \bigoplus_{\omega} E(A) \end{array}$$

Hence $\phi_{\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n}$ is a monomorphism.

Choose a free right $E(A)$ -module F which admits an epimorphism $\pi: F \rightarrow \bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n$. Since $T_A(\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n) \subseteq T_A(\bigoplus_{\omega} E(A))$ yields that the sequence $T_A(F) \rightarrow T_A(\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n) \rightarrow 0$ is A -balanced, because A is faithfully flat, the top-row of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_A T_A(F) & \xrightarrow{H_A T_A(\pi)} & H_A T_A \left(\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n \right) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \wr \uparrow \phi_F & & \uparrow \phi_{\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n} & & \\ F & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

is exact. Hence $\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n \cong H_A T_A(\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n)$ and has projective dimension equal to $(\text{gl. dim } R) - 1$. By Proposition 3.4,

$$A\text{-p.d.} \left(T_A \left(\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n \right) \right) = \text{p.d.} \left(\bigoplus_{n < \omega} I_n \right).$$

4. Locally A -projective groups. The combination of the results of Sections 2 and 3 allows to give a more precise estimate of the A -projective dimension of locally A -projective groups than we can obtain from Corollary 3.5:

THEOREM 4.1. *Let A be an abelian group which is flat as an $E(A)$ -module and has an endomorphism ring which is discrete in the finite topology. A locally A -projective group G has A -projective dimension at most n if it is an epimorphic image of $\bigoplus_{\omega_n} A$.*

Proof. Since G is an epimorphic image of $\bigoplus_{\omega_n} A$, there exists a family $\{\phi_\nu\}_{\nu < \omega_n} \subseteq H_A(G)$ such that $G = \langle \phi_\nu(A) \mid \nu < \omega_n \rangle$. Moreover, we can find a finite subset X of A such that $\{\alpha \in E(A) \mid \alpha(X) = 0\} = 0$. We show that G is an A -balanced epimorphic image of a group isomorphic to a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{\omega_n} A$.

For any indices $\nu_0, \dots, \nu_m < \omega_n$, choose an A -projective direct summand V of G which contains $\langle \phi_{\nu_0}(X), \dots, \phi_{\nu_m}(X) \rangle$ and write $G = V \oplus W_1$. Since $H_A(V)$ is projective, Kaplansky's Theorem, [K, Proposition 1.1], yields a decomposition $H_A(V) = \bigoplus_{j \in J} P_j$ where P_j is countably generated for all $j \in J$. Hence, we can choose V in such a way that it is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{\omega} A$.

We show that $H_A(V)$ contains $\psi(A)$ for every map $\psi \in H_A(G)$ which satisfies $\psi(X) \subseteq V$. Denote the projection of G onto V with kernel W_1 by π . If there is an element a of A such that $(1 - \pi)\psi(a) \neq 0$, then we can find a map $\delta \in \text{Hom}(G, A)$ such that $\delta(1 - \pi)\psi(a) \neq 0$ because $(1 - \pi)\psi(a)$ is contained in an A -projective direct summand of G . The map $\delta(1 - \pi)\psi$ is a non-zero element of $E(A)$ with $\delta(1 - \pi)\psi(X) = 0$ whose existence contradicts the choice of X . Consequently, $\psi \in H_A(V)$.

For every finite subset Y of $\{\phi_{\nu} \mid \nu < \omega_n\}$, we choose a direct summand V_Y of G , which contains $\phi(X)$ for all maps $\phi \in Y$ and is isomorphic to a direct summand of $\bigoplus_{\omega} A$. Let \mathfrak{M} be the collection of all these V_Y 's. The inclusion maps $V_Y \subseteq G$ induce an epimorphism $\nu: W \rightarrow G$ where $W = \bigoplus\{U \mid U \in \mathfrak{M}\}$. For every map $\mu \in H_A(G)$, there exist indices $\nu_0, \dots, \nu_m < \omega$ such that $\mu(X) \subseteq \langle \phi_{\nu_0}(A), \dots, \phi_{\nu_m}(A) \rangle$. If we denote the set $\{\phi_{\nu_0}, \dots, \phi_{\nu_m}\}$ by Y , then the result in the previous paragraph yields that V_Y contains $\langle \phi_{\nu_0}(A), \dots, \phi_{\nu_m}(A) \rangle$ and $\mu(A)$. Thus, $\mu \in H_A(V_Y)$. This shows that the sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker \nu \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{\nu} G \rightarrow 0$ is A -balanced. Furthermore, W is isomorphic to a direct sum of at most \aleph_n abelian groups which are direct summands of $\bigoplus_{\omega} A$. Therefore, the A -rank of W is at most \aleph_n .

Consequently, $H_A(G)$ is a locally projective right $E(A)$ -module which is generated by at most \aleph_n elements. Since the induced sequence $H_A(W) \rightarrow H_A(G) \rightarrow 0$ is exact, we have A -p.d. $G = \text{p.d. } H_A(G) \leq n$ by Proposition 3.4 and Theorem 2.3.

The subgroup $U = 2 \cdot \mathbb{Z}^{\omega} + [\bigoplus_{\omega} \mathbb{Z}]$ of the locally \mathbb{Z} -projective group \mathbb{Z}^{ω} is not locally \mathbb{Z} -projective, although $S_{\mathbb{Z}}(U) = U$. On the other hand, the next result yields that the class of locally A -projective groups is closed under A -pure subgroups if $E(A)$ is strongly non-singular and right semi-hereditary.

It also allows to recapture the following property of homogeneous separable abelian groups G [F1, Proposition 87.2]: Any pure finite rank subgroup of such a group G is a direct summand of G . To facilitate this, we consider A -purifications which were introduced in [A8]: If H

is a subgroup of an A -torsion-free group G with $S_A(H) = H$, then the A -purification of H in G is defined to be $H_* = \theta_G(T_A(W))$ where W is the \mathcal{S} -closure of $H_A(H)$ in $H_A(G)$. In [A8], we showed that H_* is the smallest A -pure subgroup of G which contains H .

THEOREM 4.3. *Let A be an abelian group which is flat as an $E(A)$ -module and has a strongly non-singular, right semi-hereditary endomorphism ring which is discrete in the finite topology:*

(a) *The class of locally A -projective groups is closed under A -pure subgroups.*

(b) *An abelian group G is locally A -projective, if and only if $G = S_A(G)$, and the A -purification U_* is an A -projective direct summand of G for all subgroups U of G which admit an exact sequence $\bigoplus_n A \rightarrow U \rightarrow 0$ for some $n < \omega$.*

(c) *An A -pure subgroup U of a locally A -projective group is A -projective if it is an epimorphic image of $\bigoplus_\omega A$.*

Proof. (a) Let U be an A -pure subgroup of the locally A -projective group G . Then, $S_A(U) = U$ yields that U is A -solvable by Lemma 3.1. Moreover, $H_A(G)$ is locally projective, and $H_A(U)$ is \mathcal{S} -closed in $H_A(G)$. By Proposition 2.4, $H_A(U)$ is locally projective. Consequently, $U \cong T_A H_A(U)$ is a locally A -projective group.

(b) and (c) are deduced in a similar way from the corresponding results of Section 2.

Furthermore, the condition that $E(A)$ is right semi-hereditary may not be omitted from the last result as is shown in Corollaries 2.5 and 3.5.

Let $TL(A) = \{G \mid S_A(G) = G \text{ and } G \subseteq A^I \text{ for some index-set } I\}$ be the class of A -torsion-less groups. Similarly, $TL(E(A))$ denotes the class of torsion-less right $E(A)$ -modules.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Let A be a self-small abelian group which is faithfully flat as an $E(A)$ -module and has the property that $S_A(A^I)$ is A -solvable for all index-sets I . The functors H_A and T_A define an equivalence between the categories $TL(A)$ and $TL(E(A))$.*

Proof. Let M be a submodule of $E(A)^I$ for some index-set I . Because of $H_A(A^I) = H_A(S_A(A^I))$ and the remarks preceding Lemma 3.1, the map $\phi_{H_A(A^I)}$ is an isomorphism. In order to show that ϕ_M is an isomorphism, we consider the following commutative diagram

whose rows are exact:

$$(I) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A(M) & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A H_A(A^I) \\ & & \uparrow \phi_M & & \uparrow \phi_{H_A(A^I)} \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & H_A(A^I) \end{array}$$

Furthermore, an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigoplus_J E(A) \xrightarrow{\beta} M \rightarrow 0$ (1) induces the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T_A(U) \xrightarrow{T_A(\alpha)} T_A\left(\bigoplus_J E(A)\right) \xrightarrow{T_A(\beta)} T_A(M) \rightarrow 0 \quad (2)$$

in which the group $T_A(M)$ is A -solvable as a subgroup of $T_A(E(A)^I) \cong S_A(A^I)$.

Therefore, (2) is A -balanced because A is faithfully flat as an $E(A)$ -module; and we obtain the following commutative diagram with exact rows:

$$(II) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_A T_A(U) & \xrightarrow{H_A T_A(\alpha)} & H_A T_A(\bigoplus_J E(A)) & \xrightarrow{H_A T_A(\beta)} & H_A T_A(M) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow \phi_U & & \uparrow \phi_{\bigoplus_J E(A)} & & \uparrow \phi_M \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & 0 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \bigoplus_J E(A) & \xrightarrow{\beta} & M \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Combining diagrams (I) and (II) yields that ϕ_M is an isomorphism.

On the other hand, if $G \subseteq A^I$ with $S_A(G) = G$, then θ_G is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.1. This shows that H_A and T_A define a category equivalence between $TL(A)$ and $TL(E(A))$.

Furthermore, we obtain a converse of the last result under some slight restrictions on A .

THEOREM 4.5. *Let A be a self-small abelian group, which is flat as an $E(A)$ -module, and whose endomorphism ring has no infinite set of orthogonal idempotents. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The functors H_A and T_A are a category equivalence between $TL(A)$ and $TL(E(A))$.*
- (b) (i) *A is a faithful left $E(A)$ -module.*
 (ii) *$S_A(A^I)$ is A -solvable for all index-sets I .*

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let I be a right ideal of $E(A)$ with $IA = A$. Consider the evaluation map $j_I: I \rightarrow H_A(IA)$ which is defined by

$[j_I(i)](a) = i(a)$ for all $i \in I$ and $a \in A$. Since A is flat as an $E(A)$ -module, there is an isomorphism $\delta: T_A(I) \rightarrow IA$ with $\delta(i \otimes a) = i(a)$.

Furthermore, the map ϕ_I is an isomorphism since $I \in \text{TL}(E(A))$. Therefore, $I \cong H_A T_A(I) = H_A(IA) = E(A)$, and $I = \phi E(A)$ for some $\phi \in I$. This yields an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} A \rightarrow 0$. Since the group U is A -solvable by Lemma 3.1 there exists a non-zero map $\alpha \in H_A(U)$ if $U \neq 0$.

On the other hand, we have a decomposition $E(A) = J_1 \oplus J_2$ where $J_1 = \{\sigma \in E(A) \mid \phi\sigma = 0\} \neq 0$ and $J_2 \cong E(A)$ because of $I \cong E(A)$ and $\phi\alpha = 0$. Then, $E(A)$ contains an infinite family of orthogonal idempotents which is not possible by the hypotheses on A . Hence, ϕ is an isomorphism, and $I = E(A)$.

Finally, $S(A^I) \in \text{TL}(A)$ yields that $\theta_{S_A(A^I)}$ is an isomorphism because of (a).

(b) \Rightarrow (a) immediately follows from Proposition 4.4.

[G, Problem 5.B3] immediately yields that the map $\theta_{S_A(A^I)}$ is an isomorphism if the inclusion map $i: B \rightarrow A$ factors through a finitely presented module for all finitely generated $E(A)$ -submodules B of A . This condition is, for instance, satisfied if A is \aleph_0 -projective, i.e. every finite subset of A is contained in a finitely generated projective submodule of A . In particular, all groups constructed by [DG, Theorem 3.3] belong to this latter class of groups. Another important class of examples is given by

COROLLARY 4.6. *Let A be a self-small abelian group with a left Noetherian endomorphism ring which is flat as an $E(A)$ -module. The functors H_A and T_A define a category equivalence between $\text{TL}(A)$ and $\text{TL}(E(A))$ if and only if A is faithful as an $E(A)$ -module.*

5. Examples. We give an example of a strongly non-singular, semi-hereditary ring which is neither a valuation domain, finite dimensional, nor hereditary:

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let $R = \prod_{n < \omega} R_n$ where addition and multiplication are defined coordinatewise, and each R_i is a principal ideal domain. The ring R is semi-hereditary and strongly non-singular. An ideal I of R is essential in R if and only if $\pi_i(I) \neq 0$ for all $i < \omega$ where $\pi_i: R \rightarrow R_i$ is the projection onto the i th-coordinate.*

Proof. Denote the ring $\prod_{n < \omega} Q_n$ by $S^\circ R$ where Q_n is the field of quotients of R_n for all $n < \omega$. It is self-injective and regular; and R is

an essential R -submodule of $S^\circ R$. Thus, $S^\circ R$ is the maximal ring of quotients of R by [G, Theorem 2.10]. Suppose $x = (r_n^{-1}s_n)_{n<\omega} \in S^\circ R$ where $r_n = 1$ if $s_n = 0$ and $(r_n, s_n) = 1$ otherwise. If $r = (m_n)_{n<\omega} \in R$ with $rx \in R$, then $r_n | m_n$ for all $n < \omega$. Thus, $\{r \in R | rx \in R\} = (r_n)_{n<\omega}R$ is a finitely generated ideal of R . By [G, Theorem 3.10], R is a strongly non-singular ring.

Moreover, let x_1, \dots, x_m be in R , say $x_i = (x_{i,n})_{n<\omega}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. We set $I_n = \langle x_{1,n}; \dots; x_{m,n} \rangle$, which is an ideal of R_n . Then,

$$I = \langle x_1, \dots, x_m \rangle = \left\{ \left(\sum_{j=1}^m x_{j,n} r_{j,n} \right)_{n<\omega} \middle| r_{j,n} \in R_n \right\} = \prod_{n<\omega} I_n.$$

If $Y \subseteq \omega$ is the set of all $n < \omega$ with $I_n \neq 0$, then $I_n \cong R_n$ for $n \in Y$ yields that $I = \prod_{n<\omega} I_n \cong \prod_{n \in Y} I_n \cong \prod_{n \in Y} R_n$ is a projective R -module. Thus, R is semi-hereditary.

Suppose, that E is an essential ideal of R . We denote the embedding into the i th coordinate of R by δ_i . If $\pi_n(E) = 0$ for some $n < \omega$, then $E \cap \delta_n(R_n) = 0$ yields a contradiction.

On the other hand, assume $\pi_i(I) \neq 0$ for all $i < \omega$ where I is an ideal of R . Let $r = (r_n)_{n<\omega}$ be a non-zero element of R . If $r_m \neq 0$, then there is $e \in I$ such that $s_m = \pi_m(e) \neq 0$. Then,

$$0 \neq r\delta(s_m) = \delta_m(r_ms_m) = e\delta_m(r_m) \in rR \cap I.$$

Hence, I is essential in R .

COROLLARY 5.2. *The ring $R = \mathbb{Z}^\omega$ is strongly non-singular and semi-hereditary, but not hereditary.*

Proof. Let I be the ideal $(2, \dots) \cdot R + [\bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}]$ of R . If I were projective, then it would be finitely generated by Sandomierski's Theorem, [CH, Proposition 8.24] since it contains the cyclic essential submodule $(2, \dots)R$. Hence, we can find an index $n_0 < \omega$ such that m_n is even for all elements $(m_i)_{i<\omega} \in I$ and all indices $n_0 \leq n < \omega$. However, because of $\bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z} \subseteq I$, this is not possible. Therefore, I is not projective; and R is not hereditary.

By [DG, Theorem 3.3] in conjunction with [A7, Theorem 2.8], there exists a proper class of abelian groups A with $E(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}^\omega$ which are faithfully flat and have the additional property that $E(A)$ is discrete in the finite topology.

However, the groups considered in this paper need not be torsion-free:

EXAMPLE 5.3. Let $A = \prod\{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \text{ is prime}\}$. Then, $E(A)$ is strongly non-singular, semi-hereditary and discrete in the finite topology; and A is flat as an $E(A)$ -module. However, $E(A)$ is not hereditary.

Proof. As a product of fields, $E(A)$ is self-injective. By [O2], a hereditary, self-injective ring is semi-simple Artinian. But this is not the case for $E(A)$.

REFERENCES

- [Ab] F. Albrecht, *On projective modules over a semi-hereditary ring*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **12** (1961), 638–639.
- [A1] U. Albrecht, *Chain conditions in endomorphism rings*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **15** (1985), 91–106.
- [A2] —, *Endomorphism rings and A -projective torsion-free abelian groups*, Abelian Groups, Proceedings Honolulu 1982/83; Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1006; Springer Verlag; Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1983), 209–227.
- [A3] —, *A note on locally A -projective groups*, Pacific J. Math., **120** (1985), 1–17.
- [A4] —, *Baer's lemma and Fuchs' Problem 84a*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **293** (1986), 565–582.
- [A5] —, *Abelsche Gruppen mit A -projektiven A -balanzierten Auflösungen*, Habilitationsschrift, Universität Duisburg (1987).
- [A6] —, *Abelian groups, A , such that the category of A -solvable groups is pre-abelian*, Abelian Group Theory, Perth 1987; Contemporary Mathematics **87**, AMS (1989), 117–131.
- [A7] —, *Endomorphism rings of faithfully flat abelian groups*, to appear in Resultate der Mathematik.
- [A8] —, *Strongly non-singular abelian groups*, Comm. in Alg. **17** (5) (1989), 1101–1135.
- [Ar1] D. Arnold, *Abelian groups flat over their endomorphism ring*, preprint.
- [Ar2] —, *Finite Rank Torsion-Free Abelian Groups and Rings*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics 931, Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1982).
- [AL] D. Arnold and L. Lady, *Endomorphism rings and direct sums of torsion-free abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **211** (1975), 225–237.
- [AM] D. Arnold and C. Murley, *Abelian groups, A , such that $\text{Hom}(A, -)$ preserves direct sums of copies of A* , Pacific J. Math., **56** (1975), 7–20.
- [Au] L. Auslander, *On the dimension of modules and algebras III*, Nagoya Math. J., **9** (1955), 67–77.
- [C] S. Chase, *Locally free modules and a problem by Whitehead*, Illinois J. Math., **6** (1982).
- [CH] A. Chatters and C. Hajavnavis, *Rings with Chain Conditions*, Research Notes in Mathematics 44, Pitman Advanced Publishing Program; Boston, Melbourne, London (1980).

- [DG] M. Dugas and R. Gobel, *Every cotorsion-free ring is an endomorphism ring*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **45** (5) (1982), 319–336.
- [F1] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. I/II, Academic Press, London, New York (1970/73).
- [F2] L. Fuchs and L. Salce, *Modules over Valuation Domains*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, #97, Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel (1985).
- [G] K. Goodearl, *Ring Theory*, Marcel Dekker, Basel, New York (1976).
- [H] J. Hausen, *Modules with the summand intersection property*, to appear in Comm. Algebra.
- [O1] B. Osofsky, *Rings all of whose finitely generated modules are injective*, Pacific J. Math., **14** (1964), 645–650.
- [O2] —, *Global dimension of valuation rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **127** (1967), 136–149.
- [R] J. Rotman, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Academic Press, New York, London (1979).
- [U] F. Ulmer, *Localizations of endomorphism rings and fixpoints*, J. Algebra, **43** (1976), 529–551.
- [W] C. Walther, *Relative homological algebra and abelian groups*, Illinois J. Math., **10** (1966), 186–209.

Received November 13, 1987.

AUBURN UNIVERSITY
AUBURN, AL 36849-3501

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

ROBION KIRBY
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Reviews, Index to Vol. 39. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555-05.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is issued monthly as of January 1966. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (5 Vols., 10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) publishes 5 volumes per year. Application to mail at Second-class postage rates is pending at Carmel Valley, California, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1990 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 141, No. 2 December, 1990

Ulrich F. Albrecht , Locally A -projective abelian groups and generalizations	209
Michel Carpentier , Sommes exponentielles dont la géométrie est très belle: p -adic estimates	229
G. Deferrari, Angel Rafael Larotonda and Ignacio Zalduendo , Sheaves and functional calculus	279
Jane M. Hawkins , Properties of ergodic flows associated to product odometers	287
Anthony To-Ming Lau and Viktor Losert , Complementation of certain subspaces of $L_\infty(G)$ of a locally compact group	295
Shahn Majid , Matched pairs of Lie groups associated to solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations	311
Diego Mejia and C. David (Carl) Minda , Hyperbolic geometry in k -convex regions	333
Vladimír Müller , Kaplansky's theorem and Banach PI-algebras	355
Raimo Näkki , Conformal cluster sets and boundary cluster sets coincide	363
Tomasz Przebinda , The wave front set and the asymptotic support for p -adic groups	383
R. F. Thomas , Some fundamental properties of continuous functions and topological entropy	391