

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A SHORT PROOF OF ISBELL'S ZIGZAG THEOREM

PETER MICHAEL HIGGINS

A SHORT PROOF OF ISBELL'S ZIGZAG THEOREM

PETER M. HIGGINS

Isbell's Zigzag Theorem, which characterizes semigroup dominions (defined below) by means of equations, has several proofs. We give a short proof of the theorem from first principles.

The original proof Isbell [4] and that of Philip [6] are topological in flavour. The algebraic proofs of Howie [2] and Storrer [8] are based on work by Stenstrom [7] on tensor products of monoids. Yet another proof, using the geometric approach of regular diagrams, is due to David Jackson [5]. This latter approach also employs HNN extensions of semigroups to solve the problem. In this note we follow Jackson's lead in using what is essentially a HNN extension for our embedding (instead of the more intractable free product with amalgamation) to derive a short and direct proof of the Zigzag Theorem.

Following Howie and Isbell [3] we say that a subsemigroup U of a semigroup S *dominates* an element $d \in S$ if for every semigroup T and all morphisms $\phi_1: S \rightarrow T$, $\phi_2: S \rightarrow T$, $\phi_1|_U = \phi_2|_U$ implies that $d\phi_1 = d\phi_2$. The set of all elements in S dominated by U is called the *dominion* of U in S ; it is obviously a subsemigroup of S containing U , and we denote it by $\text{Dom}(U, S)$. Dominions are connected with epimorphisms (pre-cancellable morphisms) by the fact that a morphism $\alpha: S \rightarrow T$ is epi iff $\text{Dom}(S\alpha, T) = T$.

ISBELL'S ZIGZAG THEOREM. *Let U be a subsemigroup of S . Then $d \in \text{Dom}(U, S)$ if and only if $d \in U$ or there exists a sequence of factorizations of d as follows:*

$$d = u_0y_1 = x_1u_1y_1 = x_1u_2y_2 = x_2u_3y_2 = \cdots = x_mu_{2m-1}y_m = x_mu_{2m},$$

where

$$u_i \in U, \quad x_i, y_i \in S, \quad u_0 = x_1u_1, \quad u_{2i-1}y_i = u_{2i}y_{i+1}, \\ x_iu_{2i} = x_{i+1}u_{2i+1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq m-1) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{2m-1}y_m = u_{2m}.$$

Such equations are known as a *zigzag* in S over U with *value* d , *length* m , and *spine* the list u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2m} . For a survey on

epimorphisms and semigroup amalgams featuring applications of the Zigzag Theorem see Higgins [1].

We give a new proof of the forward implication in the theorem; the reverse implication follows by a straightforward manipulation of the zigzag.

Suppose that $d \in \text{Dom}(U, S) \setminus U$. Form a semigroup H by adjoining a new element t to S subject to the relations $t^2 = 1$, $tu = ut$, $tut = u$ for all $u \in U$. Define the morphisms $\phi_1, \phi_2: S \rightarrow H$ by $s\phi_1 = s$ and $s\phi_2 = tst$ (indeed ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are embeddings). Clearly $\phi_1|_U = \phi_2|_U$ so that $tdt = d$, or what is the same, $td = dt$ in H . We prove that this latter equation implies that d is the value of some zigzag in S over U .

Since $td = dt$ there is a sequence of transitions of minimal length $I: td \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow dt$ where each transition $pwq \rightarrow pw'q$ ($p, w, w', q \in H$) is either a *t-transition*, i.e., involves a relation in which t occurs, or is a *refactorization*, i.e., $w = w'$ in S . We claim that no transition in I involves any of the relations $t^2 = 1$ or $tut = u$ ($u \in U$). Suppose to the contrary that I has a transition $\alpha: pq \rightarrow pt^2q$ ($p, q \in H$). Clearly α is not the final transition of I , so consider the next transition $\beta: pt^2q \rightarrow \cdot$. Suppose that the right-hand side of β has one of the forms

$$(i) pq; \quad (ii) p't^2q; \quad (iii) pt^2q'.$$

In the first case the two transitions cancel, while in cases (ii) and (iii) α and β can be performed in the opposite order without changing the net effect. If β does not have one of these forms then either (iv) the product p has the form $p = p'u$ or $p'tu$ ($u \in U$) and the right side has the form $p'tutq$ or $p'utq$ or (v) a similar remark applies to q . In this case the pair of transitions α, β could be replaced by the single transition $p'uq \rightarrow p'tutq$ or $p'tuq \rightarrow p'utq$ (with a similar remark applying to case (v)). Therefore cases (i), (iv) and (v) contradict our minimum length assumption, whence it follows that all transitions of I of the form $pq \rightarrow pt^2q$ can be taken to appear at the end of I , and thus there are none.

Next suppose that α has the form $puq \rightarrow ptutq$, and once again consider the following transition β . If p has the form $p'v$ or $p'tv$ ($v \in U$) then β could have the form $p'vtutq \rightarrow p'tvutq$ or $p'tvtutq \rightarrow p'vutq$; but in that case the pair α, β could be replaced by the single transition $p'vuq \rightarrow p'tvutq$ or $p'tvuq \rightarrow p'vutq$. A similar remark applies if q has the form vq' or vtq' . If p has the form $p't$ then β could have the form $p'ttutq \rightarrow p'utq$; but again it would then

be possible to shorten I by replacing our pair α, β with the single transition $p'tuq \rightarrow p'utq$; and again a similar remark applies to q . Another possibility for β is $ptutq \rightarrow put^2q$ or $ptutq \rightarrow pt^2uq$, but here again α and β could be replaced by just one transition. The remaining possibilities for β (β cancels α , or β involves only the product p or only the product q) are disposed of as in the previous paragraph, thus establishing the claim.

Call a t -transition of the form $putq \rightarrow ptuq$ [$ptuq \rightarrow putq$] a *left* [*right*] transition, so that our sequence I consists entirely of refactorizations and left and right transitions with exactly one occurrence of the symbol t in each word of I . Suppose that ptq is a product occurring in I , and that the next t -transition in the sequence is a left transition. We claim that we may assume that this left transition occurs immediately, or is preceded by just one refactorization of the form $ptq \rightarrow p'utq$, for it is clear that any refactorization of p can be performed in one step, while any refactorization of q can be delayed until after the left transition. Next suppose that I contains two left transitions with no intervening right transition, which we may assume have the form $putq \rightarrow ptuq \rightarrow p'vtuq \rightarrow p'tvuq$ ($u, v \in U$), or simply the form $p'vutq \rightarrow p'vtuq \rightarrow p'tvuq$. In the latter case the pair of transitions can be replaced by a single left transition, while the three transitions of the first case can be replaced by two: $putq \rightarrow p'vutq \rightarrow p'tvuq$. Coupling all this with similar arguments for right transitions allows us to conclude that I consists of alternate left and right transitions, separated by single refactorizations; furthermore the first t -transition is right and the final t -transition is right. The sequence I therefore implies equalities in H of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} td &= tu_0y_1 = u_0ty_1 = x_1u_1ty_1 = x_1tu_1y_1 = x_1tu_2y_2 = x_1u_2ty_2 \\ &= x_2u_3tu_2 = \cdots = x_{m-1}u_{2m-2}ty_m = x_mu_{2m-1}ty_m \\ &= x_mt u_{2m-1}y_m = x_mt u_{2m} = x_mu_{2m}t = dt, \end{aligned}$$

for some $m \geq 1$, $u_i \in U$ ($1 \leq i \leq 2m$) $x_i, y_i \in S^1$, and $u_0 = x_1u_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2i-1}y_i &= u_{2i}y_{i+1} \quad x_iu_{2i} = x_{i+1}u_{2i+1}, \quad (1 \leq i \leq m-1) \quad \text{and} \\ u_{2m-1}y_m &= u_{2m}. \end{aligned}$$

In fact $x_i, y_i \in S$ for if $x_i = 1$ then in S we have

$$d = u_0y_1 = x_1u_1y_1 = x_1u_2y_2 = \cdots = u_{2i}y_{i+1} = \cdots = x_mu_{2m};$$

and so I could be shortened by beginning with $td \rightarrow tu_{2i}y_{i+1}$, with a similar remark applying if some $y_i = 1$. Hence d is the value of a zigzag in S over U , thus completing the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. M. Higgins, *Epimorphisms and amalgams*, Colloquium Mathematicum, **LVI** (1988), 1–17.
- [2] J. M. Howie, *An Introduction to Semigroup Theory*, London Math. Soc. Monographs 7, Academic Press, 1976.
- [3] J. M. Howie and J. R. Isbell, *Epimorphisms and dominions II*, J. Algebra, **6** (1967), 7–21.
- [4] J. R. Isbell, *Epimorphisms and dominions*, Proc. of the Conference on Categorical Algebra, La Jolla, (1965), Lange and Springer, Berlin 1966, 232–246.
- [5] D. Jackson, *Regular diagrams for the study of algebraic semigroups*, manuscript.
- [6] J. M. Philip, *A proof of Isbell's Zigzag Theorem*, J. Algebra, **32** (1974), 328–331.
- [7] B. Stenstrom, *Flatness and localization over monoids*, Math. Nachr., **48** (1971), 315–334.
- [8] H. H. Storrer, *An algebraic proof of Isbell's Zigzag Theorem*, Semigroup Forum, **12** (1976), 83–88.

Received July 17, 1989.

ROYAL MELBOURNE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
GPOB 2476V
MELBOURNE, VIC. 3001, AUSTRALIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

HERMANN FLASCHKA
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF
(1904–1989)

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 144, No. 1

May, 1990

Wojciech Chojnacki , On some totally ergodic functions	1
Steven R. Costenoble, Stefan Waner and G. S. Wells , Approximating equivariant mapping spaces	15
Peter Michael Higgins , A short proof of Isbell's zigzag theorem	47
Harold H. Johnson , The absolute invariance of conservation laws	51
Edgar Kann , Infinitesimal rigidity of almost-convex oriented polyhedra of arbitrary Euler characteristic	71
Alan Van Lair , Uniqueness for a nonlinear abstract Cauchy problem	105
John B. Little and Kathryn A. Furio , On the distribution of Weierstrass points on irreducible rational nodal curves	131
J. S. Okon and Louis Jackson Ratliff, Jr. , Reductions of filtrations	137
Janusz Pawlikowski , Small subset of the plane which almost contains almost all Borel functions	155
Sergio A. Tozoni , Vector singular integral operators on a local field	161
John Bason Wagoner , Triangle identities and symmetries of a subshift of finite type	181