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By using a natural localization method, one describes the finite
codimensional invariant subspaces of the Bergman $n$-tuple of opera-
tors associated to some bounded pseudoconvex domains in $\mathbb{C}^n$, with
a sufficiently nice boundary.

0. Introduction. Some recent investigations have been concerned
with the structure and classification of the invariant subspaces of the
Bergman $n$-tuple of operators, cf. Agrawal-Salinas [2], Axler-Bourdon
[4], Bercovici [5], Douglas [7], Douglas-Paulsen [8]. Due to the rich-
ness of this lattice of invariant subspaces, the additional assumption
on finite codimension was naturally adopted by the above mentioned
authors as a first step towards a better understanding of its properties.

The present note arose from the observation that, when the $L^2$
bounded evaluation points of a pseudoconvex domain lie in the Fred-
holm resolvent set of the associated Bergman $n$-tuple, then the de-
scription of finite codimensional invariant subspaces is, at least con-
ceptually, a fairly simple algebraic matter. This simplification requires
only the basic properties of the sheaf model for systems of commuting
operators introduced in [11].

The main result below is also available by some other recent meth-
ods. First is the quite similar technique of localizing Hilbert modules
over function algebras, due to Douglas [7] and Douglas and Paulsen
[8], and secondly is the study of the so-called canonical subspaces of
some Hilbert spaces with reproducing kernels, developed by Agrawal
and Salinas [2]. Both points of view will be discussed in §2 of this
note.

In fact the Bergman space of a pseudoconvex domain is only an
example within a class of abstract Banach $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules, whose finite
codimensional submodules turn out to have a similar structure. The
precise formulation of this remark ends the note.

We would like to thank the referee, whose observations pointed out
some bibliographical omissions in a first version of the manuscript.

1. Pseudoconvex domains. Let $\Omega$ be a bounded pseudoconvex do-
main in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 1$, and let $L^2_\alpha(\Omega)$ denote the corresponding Bergman
space, i.e. the Hilbert space of all holomorphic and square summable functions defined on \( \Omega \). The \( n \)-tuple \( M_\Omega = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) of multiplication operators on \( L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega) \) by the corresponding coordinate functions is referred to as the Bergman \( n \)-tuple of \( \Omega \).

In this section we isolate a class of pseudoconvex domains which will be convenient for our techniques. A similar and deeper analysis is carried out in [4], in the case of one complex variable.

In the sequel \( \mathcal{O} \) denote the sheaf of complex analytic functions on \( \mathbb{C}^n \).

**Lemma 1.** Let \( \Omega \) be a bounded pseudoconvex domain in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) and let \( \lambda \in \partial \Omega \). Assume that \( \overline{\Omega} \) is a Stein compact and that \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \) is dense in \( L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega) \). Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) The subspace \( \sum_{j=1}^{n}(z_j - \lambda_j)L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega) \) is dense in \( L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega) \).

(ii) There is no positive constant \( C \), such that

\[
|f(\lambda)| \leq C\|f\|_{L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega)},
\]

for every function \( f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \).

It is quite obvious that conditions (i) and (ii) are also equivalent to the spectral property \( \lambda \not\in \sigma_p(M^*_\Omega) \), where \( \sigma_p \) denotes the joint point spectrum.

**Proof.** (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). Assume that there exists a constant \( C > 0 \), so that the estimate (1) holds. Then \( \lim_{m \to \infty} f_m(\lambda) =: f(\lambda) \) exists for every convergent sequence \( f_m \to f, f_m \not\in \mathcal{O}(\Omega), f \in L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega) \).

If assertion (i) would be true, then \( f(\lambda) = 0 \) for every element \( f \in L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega) \), which is evidently a contradiction.

(ii) \( \Rightarrow \) (i). Assume that there exists a function \( g \in L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega), g \not= 0 \), which is orthogonal to the subspace \( \sum_{j=1}^{n}(z_j - \lambda_j)L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega) \). Let \( g_m \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \) be a sequence which approximates \( g \) in the norm of \( L^2_{\bar{a}}(\Omega) \). In view of the hypothesis on \( \overline{\Omega} \) to possess a fundamental system of open pseudoconvex neighbourhoods, every function \( g_m \) can be decomposed as follows:

\[
g_m(z) = g_m(\lambda) + \sum_{j=1}^{n}(z_j - \lambda_j)g^j_m(z),
\]

where \( g^j_m \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}), 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq m \). This is possible by a standard application of Cartan's Theorem B. Accordingly

\[
\langle g_m, g \rangle = g_m(\lambda)\langle 1, g \rangle,
\]

whence we infer by passing to the limit \( m \to \infty \), that \( \langle 1, g \rangle \neq 0 \).
Let \( f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \). By arguing as above we obtain

\[
|f(\lambda)(1, g)| = |(f, g)| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|g\|
\]

which proves that assertion (ii) is not true.

The above lemma was intended to bring forward the following classes of examples.

**Example 1.** A boundary point of a strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary satisfies condition (ii) above.

Indeed, let \( \Omega \) be a strictly pseudoconvex domain with smooth boundary and let \( \lambda \in \partial \Omega \) be fixed. Assume by way of contradiction that there exists a constant \( C > 0 \), such that the estimate (1) holds for \( \lambda \). Then repeating an argument given in the previous proof,

\[
f(\lambda) = \lim_{m \to \infty} f_m(\lambda)
\]

exists whenever \( f_m \to f \) in \( L^2_a(\Omega) \) and \( f_m \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \). Moreover, in this case relation (1) holds for \( f \). By Theorem 3.4.9 of [10], the algebra \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \) is dense in \( L^2_a(\Omega) \). In particular any element of \( \mathcal{A}^\infty(\Omega) = \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{C}^\infty(\Omega) \) is approximable in the \( L^2(\Omega) \)-norm by functions belonging to \( \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega}) \).

Since \( \lambda \) is a peak point for the algebra \( \mathcal{A}^\infty(\Omega) \), see for instance [6], there exists \( h \in \mathcal{A}^\infty(\Omega) \) with the properties \( h(\lambda) = 1 \) and \( |h(z)| < 1 \) for \( z \in \overline{\Omega}\setminus\{\lambda\} \). Then

\[
1 \leq C\|h^m\|_{2, \Omega}
\]

for every natural \( m \), and \( \lim_{m\to\infty}\|h^m\|_{2, \Omega} = 0 \). This contradicts our assumption and thus condition (ii) is verified.

**Example 2.** Let \( \Omega = \Omega_1 \times \cdots \times \Omega_n \) be a polydomain whose factors \( \Omega_j \) satisfy the following requirement: no connected component of \( \partial \Omega_j \) is reduced to a point, \( 1 \leq j \leq n \). Then every point \( \lambda \in \partial \Omega \) verifies condition (ii).

In order to prove this fact we need the identification \( L^2_a(\Omega) = L^2_a(\Omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes L^2_a(\Omega_n) \), where "\( \otimes \)" denotes the hilbertian tensor product.

By virtue of Theorem 5 of [4], the subspace \((z_j - \lambda_j)L^2_a(\Omega_j)\) is dense in \( L^2_a(\Omega_j) \) for every \( \lambda_j \) in \( \partial \Omega_j \).
Fix a point \( \lambda \in \partial \Omega \). Since at least one of its entries \( \lambda_j \) belongs to \( \partial \Omega_j \), we get
\[
\left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n} (z_j - \lambda_j) L_a^2(\Omega) \right]^{-}
\supset \left[ L_a^2(\Omega_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes (z_j - \lambda_j) L_a^2(\Omega_j) \otimes \cdots \otimes L_a^2(\Omega_n) \right]^{-} = L_a^2(\Omega).
\]

By an inspection of the proof of the previous lemma it follows that the implication \( (i) \Rightarrow (ii) \) remains valid without any additional assumption on \( \Omega \). Therefore condition \( (ii) \) is verified for the point \( \lambda \in \partial \Omega \).

**Example 3.** Any bounded pseudoconvex Reinhardt domain of \( \mathbb{C}^n \) satisfies \( (i) \) and \( (ii) \).

Let \( \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) be a bounded pseudoconvex Reinhardt domain with the associated Bergman kernel \( K \). It is easy to remark that each of the conditions \( (i) \) or \( (ii) \) is in that case equivalent to \( K(\lambda, \lambda) = \infty \).

**Definition 1.** Let \( \mathcal{C} \) denote the class of those bounded pseudo-convex domains \( \Omega \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \), which fulfill the following condition: for every point \( \lambda \) in \( \partial \Omega \) there is no constant \( C > 0 \) with the property:
\[
|f(\lambda)| \leq C \|f\|_{2, \Omega}, \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(\Omega).
\]

The preceding examples provide elements of \( \mathcal{C} \). Also it is worth mentioning that the class \( \mathcal{C} \) is closed under cartesian products and analytic isomorphisms which extend to the boundary.

2. **The main result.** In complete analogy with the first part of [4] we can state the next.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \Omega \) be a domain belonging to the class \( \mathcal{C} \). Any \( M_\Omega \)-invariant subspace \( S \) of finite codimension in \( L_a^2(\Omega) \) has the form
\[
S = \sum_{j=1}^{k} P_j L_a^2(\Omega),
\]
where \( P_j \) are polynomials having a finite number of common zeros, all contained in \( \Omega \).

Actually we are in the position to discuss at least two different ways of proving Theorem 1. Some terminology and facts needed in the first proof below will be recalled in §3, in connection with a generalization of Theorem 1.
**Proof 1.** Consider an invariant subspace $S$ as in the statement and denote $Q = L^2_a(\Omega)/S$. Instead of working with $n$-tuples of commuting operators, we adopt the equivalent point of view of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules.

It was proved in [11], as a byproduct of Hörmander's $L^2$-estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-operator, that $L^2_a(\Omega)$ is a module with property $(\beta)$. Roughly speaking, that means that $L^2_a(\Omega)$ is suitable for localization in the category of Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules, see §3 below for details.

Since $\dim Q < \infty$, the module $Q$ also has property $(\beta)$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \to S \to L^2_a(\Omega) \to Q \to 0$$

one deduces that $S$ has the same property, too. Let us denote by $\mathcal{I}$, $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{E}$ the corresponding Fréchet quasi-coherent $\mathcal{O}$-modules, see §3. They are related by a similar exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{E} \to 0.$$

Recall that $\mathcal{F}$ was called in [11] the sheaf model of the system of operators $M_\Omega$.

Among other things, it was proved in §IV of [11] that there exists an exact sequence of Fréchet $\mathcal{O}$-modules, derived from the Dolbeault complex:

$$0 \to \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{M}^0 \to \mathcal{M}^1 \to \cdots \to \mathcal{M}^n \to 0,$$

with $\mathcal{M}^j|_\Omega \cong \mathcal{E}^{(0,j)}|_\Omega$, where $\mathcal{E}^{(0,j)}$ stands for the sheaf of smooth differential forms of bidegree $(0, j)$, $0 \leq j \leq n$. Consequently, $\mathcal{F}|_\Omega \cong \mathcal{O}|_\Omega$.

The last isomorphism can equally be obtained by a direct computation on the Koszul complex of $M_\Omega$.

Let $\lambda \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{E})$ and denote by $m_\lambda$ the sheaf of ideals of $\mathcal{O}$, consisting of those analytic functions which vanish at $\lambda$. Because $\mathcal{O}/m_\lambda$ is a quotient module of $\mathcal{O}$, at the level of global sections there exists a continuous $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-linear map:

$$\varepsilon_\lambda : L^2_a(\Omega) \to \mathcal{C},$$

with the property $\varepsilon_\lambda(f) = f(\lambda)$ for every function $f \in \mathcal{O}(\overline{\Omega})$. By taking into account the hypothesis $\Omega \in \mathcal{E}$ one gets $\lambda \in \Omega$. In conclusion we have proved the inclusion $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \Omega$.

As a finite length module, $\mathcal{E}$ is even a module over the algebra of polynomials. Hence by Hilbert’s Syzygies Theorem it admits a finite resolution of the form:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}^r \to \cdots \to \mathcal{O}^k \xrightarrow{(p_1, \ldots, p_k)} \mathcal{O} \to \mathcal{E} \to 0,$$
where $P_j$ are polynomials. Moreover, $P_1(\lambda) = \cdots = P_k(\lambda) = 0$ if and only if $\lambda \in \text{Supp}(\mathcal{O})$.

Since the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}$ in a neighbourhood of $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{O})$, one finds $\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{O}$ and $\text{Tor}_p^\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $p > 0$. Accordingly, the sequence (2) remains exact after tensor multiplication with $\mathcal{F}$:

\begin{equation}
0 \to \mathcal{F}^r \to \cdots \to \mathcal{F}^k \xrightarrow{(P_1, \ldots, P_k)} \mathcal{F} \to \mathcal{O} \to 0.
\end{equation}

But the sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ is acyclic on $\mathbb{C}^n$, so that by passing to global sections one finally obtains the exact sequence:

\begin{equation}
0 \to [L_2^2(\Omega)]^r \to \cdots \to [L_2^2(\Omega)]^k \xrightarrow{(P_1, \ldots, P_k)} L_2^2(\Omega) \to Q \to 0.
\end{equation}

This completes the first proof of Theorem 1.

\textbf{Proof 2.} Let us assume for simplicity that the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is dense in $L_2^2(\Omega)$. Let $S \subseteq L_2^2(\Omega)$ be a finite codimensional $M_\Omega$-invariant subspace. Then the natural restriction and projection map:

$$
\rho : \mathcal{O}(\Omega) \to L_2^2(\Omega)/S
$$

is onto because $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ is dense in $L_2^2(\Omega)$ and $\dim L_2^2(\Omega)/S < \infty$.

If one denotes $I = \text{Ker} \rho$, then $I$ is a finite codimension ideal of $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)$, with the property that its $L^2(\Omega)$-closure $I^-$ is contained in $S$. Let $L$ be a linear complement of $I$ in $O(\Omega)$. Since

$$
L_2^2(\Omega) = O(\Omega)^- = I^- + L,
$$

we infer that $\dim(L_2^2(\Omega)/I^-) = \dim(\mathcal{O}(\Omega)/I)$, whence $S = I^-$. This argument was adapted after Ahern and Clark [3].

In view of the assumption on $\Omega$ to belong to the class $\mathcal{C}$, the quotient module $\mathcal{O}(\Omega)/I$ is supported by a finite subset of $\Omega$. Hence, by repeating for instance the argument given in Proof 1,

$$
I = (P_1, \ldots, P_k)\mathcal{O}(\Omega),
$$

where $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ are polynomials. Therefore

$$
S = \left( \sum_{j=1}^k P_j L_2^2(\Omega) \right)^-.
$$

At this moment the fact that the submodule $\sum_{j=1}^k P_j L_2^2(\Omega)$ is actually closed can be proved at least in two different ways.
First, this assertion follows as in Proof 1 by means of a localization procedure, this time in the category of Hilbert $O(\Omega)$-modules, cf. Douglas and Paulsen [8].

Or secondly, one may apply Agrawal and Salinas' analysis of the analytic subspaces of the Bergman space [2]. Quite specifically, it turns out that $P_1L^2(\Omega) + \cdots + P_kL^2(\Omega)$ is a finite dimensional perturbation of a "canonical subspace", see [2] Theorems 2.8 and 3.11, and consequently it is closed.

**Remarks.** (a) Theorem 1 establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the $M^\Omega$-invariant subspaces $S$ of finite codimension in $L^2(\Omega)$ and the ideals $I \subset \mathbb{C}[z_1, \ldots, z_n]$, with the property that the natural restriction map:

$$C[z_1, \ldots, z_n]/I \to L^2(\Omega)/S$$

is an isomorphism.

Moreover, the last part of Proof 1 shows that

$$S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)} N = (I \cdot L^2(\Omega)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)} N = (I \cdot \mathcal{O}(\Omega)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(\Omega)} N = I \cdot N,$$

whenever $N$ is a finite codimensional $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-module supported by $\Omega$. As Douglas and Paulsen recently discovered, the existence of such isomorphisms insures two finite codimensional $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-submodules of $L^2(\Omega)$ to be quasi-similar if and only if they are equal, see [8, Chapter 6].

Thus the classification of the submodules of the Bergman space described in Theorem 1 turns out to be a purely algebraic problem.

(b) By comparing the exact sequences (2) and (3) one finds that the only relations between the generators $P_1, \ldots, P_k$ of the finite codimensional subspaces $S \subset L^2(\Omega)$ are the algebraic ones, and so on for the iterated relations.

(c) It was pointed out in [4], and the same conclusion remains valid for any $n > 1$, that there exist domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ not belonging to the class $\mathcal{E}$, for which the conclusion of Theorem 1 fails to be true.

3. Extension to an abstract setting. The essential property of the Bergman space needed in the Proof 1 of Theorem 1 was the existence of a $\overline{\partial}$-resolution with Hilbert spaces of differential forms. It is exactly this property which characterizes the Fréchet $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules which are well fitted for localization. To be more precise we first need some terminology.
Let $X$ and $Y$ be Fréchet modules over the algebra of entire functions $\mathscr{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. One denotes after J. Taylor [13]:

$$X \hat{\otimes}_{\mathscr{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)} Y = \text{Coker } \delta,$$

where $\delta : X \hat{\otimes}_{\mathscr{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)} \hat{\otimes} Y \to X \hat{\otimes} Y$, $\delta(x \otimes f \otimes y) = xf \otimes y - x \otimes fy$, where $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $f \in \mathscr{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ and "$\hat{\otimes}$" is a complete topological tensor product, as for instance the projective one. Under some natural nuclearity or splitting conditions (fulfilled in our case), the above relative tensor product admits derived functors, denoted Tor, see [13].

Let $X$ be a Banach $\mathscr{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-module. The following assertions are equivalent:

(a) There exists a Fréchet $\mathscr{O}$-module $\mathcal{F}$ defined on $\mathbb{C}^n$, such that $X = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}^n)$, $\mathcal{F}$ is acyclic on Stein open subsets of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\mathcal{F}$ admits locally resolutions to the left with topologically free $\mathscr{O}$-modules (i.e. of the form $\mathscr{O} \hat{\otimes} E$ with a Fréchet space $E$);

(b) For every polydisk $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, the locally convex space $X \hat{\otimes}_{\mathscr{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)} \mathscr{O}(\Delta)$ is separated and $\overline{\text{Tor}}_p(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n), \mathscr{O}(\Delta)) = 0$ for any $p \geq 1$;

(c) There exists a finite resolution to the right with Banach $\mathscr{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules:

$$0 \to X \to \mathcal{D}^0(\mathbb{C}^n) \to \cdots \to \mathcal{D}^N(\mathbb{C}^n) \to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{D}^j$ are Fréchet soft $\mathscr{O}$-modules, $0 \leq j \leq N$. For a proof see [11] and [12].

When referring to the associated $n$-tuple $T = (T_1, \ldots, T_n)$ of commuting operators $(T_j x = z_j x, \ x \in X, \ 1 \leq j \leq n)$, condition (b) is known as Bishop's property $(\beta)$, and has a long history.

The Fréchet $\mathscr{O}$-modules appearing in condition (a) were called quasi-coherent by the French school of modern geometry. They are a powerful tool in the study of singular analytic spaces; see [11] for further references.

The equivalence $(a) \iff (b)$ characterizes the $n$-tuples of commuting operators with property $(\beta)$ as those $n$-tuples which admit a Fréchet quasi-coherent sheaf model. On the other hand, the equivalence $(b) \iff (c)$ is the characterization of property $(\beta)$ by the existence of an abstract $\overline{\partial}$-resolution.

To give only a class of examples, close to the spirit of the present note, let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain of $\mathbb{C}^n$, with smooth strictly pseudoconvex boundary. Let also fix a number $p \in [1, \infty]$. The $L^p$-estimates for the $\overline{\partial}$-operator on $\Omega$, see for instance [9], yield
similarly to the Bergman space case an exact sequence of Banach $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules:

$$0 \to L^p_a(\Omega) \to D^0 \to \cdots \to D^n \to 0,$$

where $L^p_a(\Omega) = L^p(\Omega) \cap \mathcal{O}(\Omega)$ and $D^j$ are some Banach $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules of differential forms.

Thus, according to the equivalence (b) $\iff$ (c), the $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-module $L^p_a(\Omega)$ has property ($\beta$). Its sheaf model $\mathcal{F}$ has also a simple description, [12]:

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \{ f \in \mathcal{O}(U \cap \Omega); \| f \|_p, K < \infty \text{ for } K \subset U \}$$

where $U$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Other examples of $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules with property ($\beta$) are the finite dimensional modules or the global sections of Fréchet soft $\mathcal{O}$-modules, [11].

The same lines of the Proof 1 above can be used in order to obtain the following generalization of Theorem 1.

**Proposition 1.** Let $T$ be a commutative $n$-tuple of operators with property ($\beta$) acting on the Banach space $X$. Assume that for every $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, either

$$\sum_{j=1}^n (T_j - \lambda_j)X$$

is dense in $X$, or

$$\dim \left( \frac{X}{\sum_{j=1}^n (T_j - \lambda_j)X} \right) = 1.$$

Then every $T$-invariant subspace $S$ of finite codimension in $X$ has the form

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^k P_i(T)X,$$

where $P_i$ are polynomials having only a finite number of zeros, all lying in $\sigma(T) \setminus \sigma_{\text{res}}(T)$.

The spaces $L^p_a(\Omega)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, described above verify the hypothesis of Proposition 1; hence the same conclusion holds for all of them, similarly to the Bergman space case.
Of course Proposition 1 does not exhaust the possible examples of Banach $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$-modules possessing a similar lattice of finite codimensional submodules.
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