COHOMOLOGY OF ACTIONS OF DISCRETE GROUPS ON FACTORS OF TYPE II$_1$

YASUYUKI KAWAHIGASHI

COHOMOLOGY OF ACTIONS OF DISCRETE GROUPS ON FACTORS OF TYPE II₁

YASUYUKI KAWAHIGASHI

We study 1-cohomology of discrete group actions on factors of type II$_1$. Characterizations of Kazhdan's property T and amenability for discrete groups in terms of cocycles and coboundaries are given, and we show that each of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 3$, and $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 2$, has a continuous family of mutually non-cocycle conjugate free actions on the AFD factor of type II$_1$ as an application. We also introduce and compute entropy for discrete amenable group action on factors of type II$_1$.

0. Introduction. In this paper, we study 1-cohomology of discrete group actions on factors of type II$_1$. We give characterizations of Kazhdan's property T and amenability for discrete groups in terms of 1-cocycles and coboundaries for actions on factors of type II$_1$. As an application, we also show that each of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 3$, and $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 2$, has a continuous family of mutually non-cocycle conjugate ergodic free actions on the approximately finite dimensional (AFD) factor of type II$_1$. These are typical groups with Kazhdan's property T. We introduce and compute entropy of discrete amenable group actions on the AFD factor of type II$_1$.

Complete classification of actions of discrete amenable groups on the AFD factor $\mathscr{R}$ of type II$_1$ up to cocycle conjugacy was given in Ocneanu [O]. In particular, he showed that any two free actions of a discrete amenable group on $\mathscr{R}$ are cocycle conjugate. Then Jones [J2] showed that this statement is no longer valid for any discrete non-amenable group. He constructed two free actions and used the ergodicity at infinity to distinguish the two. This shows that non-amenable discrete groups are quite different from amenable ones in the theory of group actions on factors. In order to understand cocycle conjugacy of nonamenable group actions, we start to study 1-cohomology of the actions and get several von Neumann algebra analogues of Schmidt's work [S] on ergodic actions on probability spaces. A major difference between the cohomology theory on probability spaces and one on von Neumann algebras is that we do not have the group structure on the space of cocycles in the latter case, which causes technical difficulty.

First we work on groups with Kazhdan's property T, which are far from being amenable. (See §1 for the definition.) Since Connes [C3], several authors have shown that the discrete groups with Kazhdan's property T are the opposite extreme of amenable groups with respect to representations and actions on probability spaces and von Neumann algebras. Here a characterization of Kazhdan's property T in terms of cocycles and coboundaries is given in §1. Jones [J1] showed two mutually non-cocycle conjugate free actions of discrete groups with Kazhdan's property T on the AFD factors of type II_1, and M. Choda [Ch2] showed four of such actions. We exhibit a continuous family of mutually non-cocycle conjugate free actions on the AFD factor of type II_1 for certain groups with Kazhdan's property T like $SL(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 3$ and $Sp(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 2$, using her construction in [Ch2]. These cannot be distinguished by ergodicity at infinity as in Jones [J2]. Instead, we make use of the rigidity argument of cocycles to show that the "almost all" pair in the family is not mutually cocycle conjugate. This shows another aspect of rigidity in operator algebras. (See Connes [C3], Connes-Jones [CJ1, CJ2].)

In §2, we work on amenable groups. We introduce and compute Connes-Størmer entropy for discrete amenable group actions on the AFD factor of type II_1 to distinguish continuously many non-commutative Bernoulli shifts. Then we get a characterization of amenability in terms of cocycles and coboundaries, based on Ocneanu's work [O]. This shows another remarkable difference between the discrete groups with Kazhdan's property T and discrete amenable groups.

The author is thankful to Professor M. Choda for helpful conversations and to Professor M. Takesaki for a suggestion improving the exposition.

1. Kazhdan's property T and cohomology classes. Let G be a discrete (countable) group and α an action of G on a factor $\mathscr{M}$ of type II_1. Let

$$Z_\alpha^1 = \{u \colon G \to \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M}) | u_g \alpha_g(u_h) = u_{gh}, \ g, h \in G\},$$

$$B_\alpha^1 = \{u \colon G \to \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M}) | \text{ there exists } v \in \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M})$$

$$\text{such that } u_g = v\alpha_g(v^*)\}.$$

Topology of Z_α^1 is given by the strong convergence at each $g \in G$. This topology is given by the following metric:

$$d(u, v) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|u_{g_n} - v_{g_n}\|_2, \quad \text{where } G = \{g_n | n \geq 1\}.$$

Consider the induced metric on B_α^1.

We say two cocycles u_g, v_g are cohomologous and write $u_g \sim v_g$ if there exists a unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $u_g = u v_g \alpha_g(u^*)$. Let $H^1_\alpha = Z^1_\alpha / \sim$ be the set of cohomology classes. Araki-Choda [ACh] called an action α strong if any asymptotically α-fixed sequence in $\mathcal{M}$ is equivalent to a bounded sequence in $\mathcal{M}^\alpha$ and showed that a discrete group has Kazhdan's property T if and only if every action of it on a factor of type II_1 is strong. This is a non-commutative analogue of Connes-Weiss [CW] to the effect that a discrete group has Kazhdan's property T if and only if every ergodic measure-preserving action of it on a probability space is strongly ergodic.

We show that strong actions have a certain good property about cocycles. The following technique is based on that of Connes [C1, Theorem 3.1], and we note an analogy between central sequences and asymptotically α-fixed sequences.

PROPOSITION 1. *If α is strong in the above context, then the space of coboundaries B^1_α is closed.*

Proof. We define a bijective map Φ from the coset space $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$ to Z^1_α by $\Phi(v) = \{v \alpha_g(v^*)\}$. Because the metric defined by L^2-norm is translation invariant, $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M})/\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$ is a Polish space. We show that this Φ is a homeomorphism.

If $v_n \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha) \to v \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$ in the topology of the coset space, then there exists a sequence of unitaries (w_n) in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$ such that $\|v_n w_n - v\| \to 0$. This implies $\|v_n \alpha_g(v_n^*) - v \alpha_g(v^*)\|_2 \to 0$, and hence Φ is continuous.

On the other hand, suppose $v_n \alpha_g(v_n^*) \to v \alpha(v^*)$. Then the sequence $(v^* v_n)$ is asymptotically α-fixed; hence there exists a sequence (w_n) in $\mathcal{M}^\alpha$ such that $\|v^* v_n - w_n\|_2 \to 0$. We may assume each w_n is a unitary by changing w_n by a small operator if necessary, by Proposition 1.1.3 in Connes [C2]. Then the cosets $v_n \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$ converge to $v \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$, hence Φ^{-1} is also continuous. Thus there exists a positive number ε_n such that $d(u \alpha_g(u^*), 1) < \varepsilon_n$ implies existence of $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$ with $\|uw - 1\|_2 < 1/2^n$. Suppose we have a sequence $(v_n \alpha_g(v_n^*))$ converging to u_g in Z^1_α. Choosing a subsequence if necessary, we may assume $d(v_n \alpha_g(v_n^*), v_{n+1} \alpha_g(v_{n+1}^*)) < \varepsilon_n$. We choose a sequence of unitaries (u_n) in $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$ by induction so that $\|v_n u_n - v_{n+1} u_{n+1}\|_2 < 1/2^n$. Suppose $u_1, \dots, u_n$ are chosen. Then there exists a unitary $w \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}^\alpha)$ such that $\|v_{n+1}^* v_n w_{n+1} - 1\|_2 < 1/2^n$ by the definition of ε_n. Now set $u_{n+1} = u_n w_{n+1}$. The sequence $(v_n u_n)$ converges to some unitary $u \in \mathcal{M}$. We then have $u_g = v \alpha_g(v^*)$. $\qquad\square$

Here we recall the definition of Kazhdan's property T for later use. A discrete group G is said to have Kazhdan's property T if it has the following condition: There exists a finite subset $F \subset G$ and a positive number ε such that for any unitary representation U_g of G on H, if there exists a vector $\xi \in \mathscr{H}$ such that $\|\xi\| = 1$ and $\|U_g \xi - \xi\| < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in \mathscr{F}$, then there exists a non-zero vector $\eta \mathscr{H}$ such that $U_g \eta = \eta$ for all $g \in G$. (See Kazhdan [K] or Zimmer [Z2] for more about property T.)

We have the following characterization of Kazhdan's property T. Equivalence of (1), (2), and (3) are a non-commutative analogue of Theorem 3.2 in Schmidt [S]. Because H_α^1 is not a group here, we consider all the cohomology classes in (3). (See Example 8.)

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a discrete group. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *G has Kazhdan's property* T.

(2) *Any action of G on a factor of type* II_1 *is strong.*

(3) *For any action α of G on a factor of type* II_1, *each cohomology class is closed in Z_α^1.*

(4) *For any action α of G on a factor of type* II_1, *the cohomology space H_α^1 with the quotient topology is Hausdorff.*

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) was proved in Araki-Choda [ACh].

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (3): Fix a unitary cocycle u_g for α. Because $\mathrm{Ad}(u_g) \cdot \alpha_g$ is strong, we know that $\{v u_g \alpha_g(v^*) u_g^* | v \in \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M})\}$ is closed by Proposition 1. Then it follows that $\{v u_g \alpha_g(v^*) | v \in \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M})\}$ is closed.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (4): Because the metric in Z_α^1 is invariant under the action of $\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M})$, we have a metric on H_α^1 and get the conclusion. (This is not just a pseudo-metric by closedness of each class.)

(4) $\Rightarrow$ (2): If we define an action of $\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M})$ on Z_α^1 by $v \cdot u_g = v u_g \alpha_g(v^*)$, then $(\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M}), Z_\alpha^1)$ is a Polish transformation group in the sense of Effros [E]. The orbit space H_α^1 is Hausdorff, hence T_0; thus Theorem 2.1 in [E] implies that B_1^α is homeomorphic to $\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M})/\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M}^\alpha)$. Suppose a sequence (x_n) in $\mathscr{M}$ is asymptotically α-fixed. We show that the sequence is equivalent to another sequence in $\mathscr{M}^\alpha$. We may assume all x_n's are unitaries by a standard argument. Then the sequence $(x_n \alpha_g(x_n^*))$ in B_α^1 converges to 1, and thus the sequence $(x_n \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M}^\alpha))$ converges to $\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M}^\alpha)$ in $\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M})/\mathscr{U}(\mathscr{M}^\alpha)$. This shows (2) and completes the proof.   □

Next we deal with openness of B_α^1. The following technique is taken from Connes [C3]. This is also a non-commutative analogue of Theorem 3.4 (3) of Schmidt [S] and Theorem 2.11 of Zimmer [Z1].

PROPOSITION 3. *If α is an ergodic action of a discrete group G with Kazhdan's property* T *on a factor $\mathcal{M}$ of type* II_1, *then the set of coboundaries B_α^1 is open in Z_α^1.*

Proof. Take a coboundary $u\alpha_g(u^*) \in Z_\alpha^1$. Let F and ε be as in the definition of Kazhdan's property T. Choose ε_0 so that $d(u\alpha_g(u^*), v_g) < \varepsilon_0$ implies $\|u\alpha_g(u^*) - v_g\|_2 < \varepsilon$ ·for all $g \in F$, and let

$$\mathscr{V} = \{v_g \in Z_\alpha^1 | d(u\alpha_g(u^*), v_g) < \varepsilon_0\}.$$

It is enough that this neighborhood $\mathscr{V}$ of $u\alpha_g(u^*)$ is contained in the set of coboundaries. We assume $\mathcal{M}$ acts on the L^2-completion $\mathscr{H}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ by the left multiplication. Define a unitary representation U_g of G on $\mathscr{H}$ by $U_g(x\xi_0) = u\alpha_g(u^*)\alpha_g(x)v_g^*\xi_0$, where ξ_0 is the vector in $\mathscr{H}$ corresponding to $1 \in \mathcal{M}$. (The equality $U_{gh} = U_g U_h$ follows from the cocycle conditions of v_g.) We now have

$$\|U_g(\xi_0) - \xi_0\| = \|u\alpha_g(u^*) - v_g\|_2 < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } g \in F.$$

Thus there exists a non-zero vector $\eta \in \mathscr{H}$ such that $U_g(\eta) = \eta$ for all $g \in G$. The operator $\eta\eta^*$ is well-defined and belongs to $L^1(\mathcal{M})_+$. This operator is fixed by $\mathrm{ad}(u) \cdot \alpha \cdot \mathrm{Ad}(u^*)$, which is ergodic. Thus η is a unitary up to scalar, and we get a unitary v such that $v = u\alpha_g(u^*)\alpha_g(v)v_g^*$, which means that the cocycle v_g is a coboundary. $\qquad\square$

In Theorem 2 (3), we did not need an assumption on the action α, but we assumed ergodicity of α in Proposition 3. We show that we cannot drop this assumption in general by the following example. The difference arose from the lack of the group structure of Z_α^1.

EXAMPLE 4. Let G be a discrete group with infinite conjugacy classes and Kazhdan's property T. (Take $G = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbf{Z})$, for instance.) Then consider the left regular representation u_g of G, and let $\mathscr{R}(G)$ be the factor of type II_1 generated by u_g's. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathscr{R}(G)\overline{\otimes}\mathscr{R}(G)$, and α be the trivial action of G on $\mathcal{M}$. Define

$$v_g^{(n)} = u_g \otimes e_n + 1 \otimes (1 - e_n), \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbf{N}, \ g \in G,$$

where e_n is a projection in $\mathscr{R}(G)$ with the trace $1/n$. Then these $v_g^{(n)}$ are cocycles for the trivial action α, and $\|1 - v_g^{(n)}\| - 2 \leq 2/\sqrt{n} \to 0$

as $n \to \infty$. But the set of coboundaries of α is just $\{1\}$. This shows that B_α^1 is not open.

Thus, we concentrate on ergodic actions. We would like to show openness of each cohomology class for ergodic actions, but Proposition 3 does not imply it immediately because of lack of group structure in Z_α^1. Indeed, cohomology classes are not open in general as Example 8 shows. For this reason, we consider only cocycles connecting ergodic actions. For this purpose, we show the following continuity first.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let α be an action of a discrete group G with Kazhdan's property* T *on a factor $\mathcal{M}$ of type* II_1. *Then the correspondence $u_g \in Z_\alpha^1 \mapsto \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{Ad}(u_g) \cdot \alpha_g}$ is uniformly continuous in the following sense: For any $\varepsilon_0 > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if $d(u_g, v_g) < \delta$, then $\|\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{Ad}(u_g) \cdot \alpha_g} - \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{Ad}(v_g) \cdot \alpha_g}\|_2 < \varepsilon_0$. (See Definition on p. 21 of Christensen* [Chr] *for notation.)*

Proof. Let $F \subset G$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be as in the definition of Kazhdan's property T. Choose δ so that $d(u_g, v_g) < \delta$ implies $\|u_g - v_g\| < \varepsilon\varepsilon_0/2$ for all $g \in F$, and let x be an element in $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{Ad}(v_g) \cdot \alpha_g}$ with $\|x\|_\infty \le 1$. Set $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{Ad}(u_g) \cdot \alpha_g}$, $y = \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}(x)$, and $z = x - y$, where $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is a conditional expectation onto $\mathcal{N}$.

By the GNS representation with respect to the trace τ, we may assume $\mathcal{M}$ acts on the L^2-completion of $\mathcal{M}$ by the left multiplication. Let ξ_0 be the vector corresponding to $1 \le \mathcal{M}$. We get a unitary representation U_g of G on this Hilbert space defined by $U_g(x\xi_0) = (\mathrm{Ad}(u_g) \cdot \alpha_g(x))\xi_0$, $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then this U_g restricts onto the orthogonal complement $\mathcal{H}$ of the L^2-completion of $\mathcal{N}$. Because we do not have a non-trivial invariant vector in $\mathcal{H}$ for U_g, we have an inequality

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}(u_{g'}) \cdot \alpha_{g'}(x) - x\|_2 = \|\mathrm{Ad}(u_{g'}) \cdot \alpha_{g'}(z) - z\|_2 \ge \varepsilon\|z\|_2,$$

for some $g' \in F$. Because

$$\|\mathrm{Ad}(u_{g'}) \cdot \alpha_{g'}(x) - x\|_2 = \|\mathrm{Ad}(u_{g'} v_{g'}^*)(x) - x\|_2$$
$$\le 2\|u_{g'} - v_{g'}\|_2 \le \varepsilon\varepsilon_0,$$

we get $\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{Ad}(v_g) \cdot \alpha_g} \overset{\varepsilon_0}{\subset} \mathcal{N}$. By symmetry, we get the conclusion. $\square$

Now we work on ergodic actions of discrete groups. Let α be an ergodic action of a discrete group G on a factor $\mathcal{M}$ of type II_1. Define

$$Z_{\alpha, \mathrm{erg}}^1 = \{u: G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{M}) | u_g \in Z_\alpha^1, \ \mathrm{Ad}(u_g) \cdot \alpha_g \text{ is also ergodic}\} \supset B_\alpha^1,$$

and $H^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}} = Z^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}/\sim$. Consider the induced metric on $Z^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$. We assume now G has Kazhdan's property T and α is ergodic in the rest of this section. Then we get the following immediately from Proposition 5.

COROLLARY 6. *In the above context,* $Z^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$ *is closed in* Z^1_α.

Proof. The inequality $\|\mathcal{N} - \mathbf{C}\|_2 < \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$ implies $\mathcal{N} = \mathbf{C}$. □

We show that property T implies the discreteness of the space $H^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$. The following proof is similar to that of Theorem 3. It implies the number of "different" cocycles connecting ergodic actions is "small". This is a rigidity result for cocycles.

THEOREM 7. *Under the above context,* $H^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$ *is at most countable.*

Proof. Since $H^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$ is separable, it is enough to show that each cohomology class in $Z^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$ is open and closed. Then, since $Z^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$ is a disjoint of classes, it is sufficient to show that each class is open.

Take a cocycle $u_g \in Z^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$. Let F and ε be as in the definition of Kazhdan's property T. Choose ε_0 so that $d(u_g, v_g) < \varepsilon_0$ implies $\|u_g - v_g\|_2 < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in F$, and let

$$\mathcal{V} = \{v_g \in Z^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}} | d(u_g, v_g) < \varepsilon_0\}.$$

It is enough to show that this neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of u_g is contained in the class of u_g. We assume $\mathcal{M}$ acts on the L^2-completion $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ by the left multiplication. Define a unitary representation U_g of G on $\mathcal{H}$ by $U_g(x\xi_0) = u_g\alpha_g(x)v_g^*\xi_0$, where ξ_0 is the vector in $\mathcal{H}$ corresponding to $1 \in \mathcal{M}$. (The equality $U_{gh} = U_g U_h$ follows from the cocycle conditions of u_g and v_g.) We now have $\|U_g(\xi_0) - \xi_0\| = \|u_g - v_g\|_2 < \varepsilon$ for all $g \in F$. Thus there exists a non-zero vector $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $U_g(\eta) = \eta$ for all $g \in G$. The operator $\eta^*\eta$ is well-defined and belongs to $L^1(\mathcal{M})_+$. This operator is fixed by $\mathrm{Ad}(v_g)\cdot\alpha_g$, which is ergodic. Thus η is a unitary up to scalar, and we get a unitary v such that $v = u_g\alpha_g(v)v_g^*$, which means $u_g \sim v_g$. □

A direct analogue of Theorem 3.4 (3) of Schmidt [S] and Theorem 2.11 of Zimmer [Z1] would be that each cohomology class of an ergodic action of a discrete group with Kazhdan's property T would be open. But this statement is invalid in general as the following example, similar to Example 4, shows. This justifies that we considered only $Z^1_{\alpha,\mathrm{erg}}$ in the above theorem.

EXAMPLE 8. Let G, u_g, $\mathcal{M}$ be as in Example 4. Let α be the action of $G \times G$ on $\mathcal{M}$ defined by $\alpha_{(g,h)} = \mathrm{Ad}(u_g \otimes u_h)$ for g, $h \in G$. Note that $G \times G$ also has Kazhdan's property T (see Connes [C3]), and this action α is ergodic. Define $v_{(g,h)} = u_g^* \otimes u_h^*$ and

$$v_{(g,h)}^{(n)} = 1 \otimes (e_n u_h^*) + u_g^* \otimes (1 - e_n)u_h^*, \quad n \in \mathbf{N}, \ g, h \in G,$$

where e_n is a projection in $\mathscr{R}(G)$ with the trace $1/n$. An easy computation shows that these are α-cocycles. Then $\|v_{(g,h)} - v_{(g,h)}^{(n)}\|_2 \leq 2/\sqrt{n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. If we have $v_{(g,h)} \sim v_{(g,h)}^{(n)}$ for some n, then it implies $v_{(g,h)} = v_{(g,h)}^{(n)}$, which is a contradiction. This show that the cohomology class of $v_{(g,h)}$ is not open.

If we have "too many" ergodic actions compared to cocycles, then it means the number of cocycle conjugacy classes is large. Jones [J1] first showed that a discrete group with Kazhdan's property T has two mutually non-cocycle conjugate free actions on the AFD factor of type II_1. M. Choda [Ch1, Ch2] constructed a continuous family of mutually nonconjugate ergodic free actions of $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 3$, and $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 2$, on the AFD factor $\mathscr{R}$ of type II_1, and she asked in the first question of page 534 of [Ch2] whether a discrete group with Kazhdan's property T has two non-cocycle conjugate ergodic free actions on the AFD factor of type II_1, and obtained an affirmative answer in it by constructing two different closed product algebras. We get the following corollary about this question. It shows that these groups have the totally opposite property of amenable groups with respect to free actions on the AFD factor of type II_1. (Theorem 2.7 in Ocneanu [O] asserts that every discrete amenable group has the unique free action, up to cocycle conjugacy, on the AFD factor $\mathscr{R}$ of type II_1.)

COROLLARY 9. *Each of the groups* $\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 3$, *and* $\mathrm{Sp}(n, \mathbf{Z})$, $n \geq 2$, *has a continuous family of ergodic free actions on the AFD factor of type* II_1 *such that any two of them are not cocycle conjugate.*

Proof. Suppose the number of different cocycle conjugacy classes of actions in the family M. Choda constructed is countable. Then at least one class contains continuously many actions. Let α be an action in this class. Since the other actions in this class are ergodic and cocycle conjugate to α, there exist continuously many cocycles such that any two of them are not cohomologous, hence $H_{\alpha, \mathrm{erg}}^1$ for this α is uncountable, which is a contradiction.   □

REMARK 10. All the above actions are ergodic, hence ergodic at infinity in the sense of Jones [J2]. Thus any two of them cannot be distinguished by the method of Jones [J2]. All the crossed product algebras of the above actions have property T as shown in Choda [Ch2].

2. Entropy and cohomology for amenable group actions. In this section, we study what follows from Ocneanu's work [O] about cohomological properties of free actions of discrete amenable groups on the AFD factor $\mathscr{R}$ of type II_1. In Proposition 7.2 in Ocneanu [O], he obtained 1-cohomology vanishing in the ultraproduct algebra. His method appeals to Shapiro's lemma type argument based on his non-commutative Rohlin theorem. If we go back to the original algebra, we get the following by his method.

PROPOSITION 11. *Let* α *be a free action of a discrete amenable group* G *on the AFD factor* $\mathscr{R}$ *of type* II_1. *Then* B_α^1 *is dense in* Z_α^1.

Proof. Apply the proof of Proposition 7.2 in [O] to a given cocycle v_g. Though v_g is not in the ultraproduct, the proof works until line 11 of page 63 if we think w in the proof is an element of $\mathscr{M}^\omega$, because we have a non-commutative Rohlin Theorem for our α. Then v_g is equal to a coboundary $w\alpha_g(w^*)$ in the ultraproduct algebra with a small error. Then choosing a unitary u_n form the sequence representing w in the proof, we get a coboundary $u_n\alpha_g(u_n^*)$ such that $\|u_n\alpha_g(u_n^*) - v_g\|_1 < 1/n$ for all $g \in F_n$, where F_n is an increasing sequence of finite subsets of G with $\bigcup_n F_n = G$. This shows the desired density. $\square$

We consider the number of unitary cocycles next. As in Choda's result used in §1, we would like to obtain a large number of conjugacy classes of ergodic free actions. In order to distinguish general discrete amenable group actions, we extend Connes-Størmer entropy in [CS] to countable amenable group actions. It will give us continuous conjugacy classes of non-commutative Bernoulli shifts. (See Ornstein-Weiss [OW] for entropy of group actions on probability spaces.)

For reference, we list basic definitions and properties of Connes-Størmer entropy from [CS].

[DEFINITON 1 OF [CS]]. For finite dimensional von Neumann subalgebras $N_1, \ldots, N_k$ of $\mathscr{R}$, define

$$H(N_1, \ldots, N_k) = \sup_{x \in S_k} \left(\sum \eta(\tau(x_{i_1, \ldots, i_k})) - \sum \tau(\eta(E_{N_l}(x_{i_l}^l))) \right),$$

where $\eta(x) = -x\log x$, S_k is the set of all families $(x_{i_1,\ldots,i_k})$, $i_j \in \mathbf{N}$, of positive elements of $\mathscr{R}$, zero except for a finite number of indices, and with the sum equal to 1, and

$$x_{i_l}^l = \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_{l-1},i_{l+1},\ldots,i_k} x_{i_1,\ldots,i_k}.$$

[PROPERTIES OF H].

(A) $H(N_1, \ldots, N_k) \le H(P_1, \ldots, P_k)$ when $N_j \subset P_j$,

(B) $H(N_1, \ldots, N_p) \le H(N_1, \ldots, N_k) + H(N_{k+1}, \ldots, N_p)$,

(C) $P_1, \ldots, P_n \subset P \Rightarrow H(P_1, \ldots, P_n, P_{n+1}, \ldots, P_m)$
$$\le H(P, P_{n+1}, \ldots, P_m),$$

(D) $H(N) = \sum \eta(\tau(e_\alpha))$, when $\sum e_\alpha = 1$, e_α: minimial,

(E) $H(N_1, \ldots, N_k) = H((N_1 \cup \cdots \cup N_k)'')$,

when $(N_1 \cup \cdots \cup N_k)''$ is generated by pairwise commuting subalgebras $P_j \subset N_j$,

(F) $H(N_1, \ldots, N_k) \le H(P_1, \ldots, P_k) + \sum H(N_j | P_j)$,

$$\text{where } H(N|P) = \sup_{x \in S_1} \sum (\tau(\eta(E_P(x_i))) - \tau(\eta(E_N(x_i)))).$$

[THEOREM 1 OF [CS]]. *For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for any pair of von Neumann subalgebras $N, P \subset \mathscr{R}$, the conditions* $\dim N = n$ *and* $N \overset{\delta}{\subset} P$ *imply* $H(N|P) < \varepsilon$.

Let G be a countable amenable group. Choose an increasing sequence of finite subsets $\{A_n\}$ by amenability such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|gA_n \triangle A_n|}{|A_n|} = 0, \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

(It is well known that existence of such a sequence is equivalent to amenability. See Greenleaf [G] for instance.)

For an action α of G on a factor $\mathscr{M}$ of type II_1, we define

$$H(N, \alpha, A) = H(\alpha_{g_1}(N), \ldots, \alpha_{g_m}(N)),$$
$$\text{where } A = \{g_1, \ldots, g_m\} \subset G,$$

$$H(N, \alpha) = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|A_n|} H(N, \alpha, A_n),$$

$$H(\alpha) = \sup_{\substack{N \subset \mathscr{M}, \\ \text{finite dimensional}}} H(N, \alpha).$$

Note that $H(N, \alpha) \le H(N)$ by property (B) in Connes-Størmer [CS]. The sup in the third definition may be infinity.

The following is the non-commutative Kolmogorov-Sinai theorem and an analogue of Theorem 2 in [CS].

PROPOSITION 12. *Let* P_q, $q \ge 1$, *be an increasing sequence of finite dimensional subalgebras of the AFD factor* $\mathscr{R}$ *of type* II_1 *with* $\overline{\bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} P_q} = \mathscr{R}$. *Then*

$$H(\alpha) = \lim_{q \to \infty} H(P_q, \alpha).$$

Proof. The same proof as that of Theorem 2 in [CS] works. (Use property (F) and Theorem 1 in [CS] to get $H(N, \alpha) \le H(P_q, \alpha) + \varepsilon$ for given ε.) □

The following is a computation of entropy for Bernoulli shifts and corresponds to Theorem 3 in [CS].

PROPOSITION 13. *Represent the AFD factor* $\mathscr{R}$ *of type* II_1 *as the infinite tensor product of* k-*dimensional matrix algebra* $M_k(\mathbf{C})$ *with respect to the trace over a countable amenable group* G. *Define an action* α_g *of* G *on* $\mathscr{R}$ *by the Bernoulli shift. Then we get* $H(\alpha) = \log k$.

Proof. For $q \ge 1$, define

$$P_q = \bigotimes_{g \in A_q} M_k(\mathbf{C}) \otimes \bigotimes_{g \notin A_q} \mathbf{C}.$$

It is clear that P_q is an increasing sequence of finite dimensional algebras in $\mathscr{R}$ and $\bigcup_{q=1}^{\infty} P_q$ is weakly dense in $\mathscr{R}$. Thus we can apply Proposition 12, and get

$$H(\alpha) = \lim_{q \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{|A_n|} H(P_q, \alpha, A_n)$$

$$= \lim_{q \to \infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|A_q A_n|}{|A_n|} \log k$$

$$= \log k,$$

by the definition of A_n. □

The following shows that this entropy for group actions is more powerful than entropy of single automorphisms.

EXAMPLE 14. Let $G = \mathbf{Z}^2$ and apply the above construction for $k = 2, 3$ to get actions α and β of $\mathbf{Z}^2$ on $\mathscr{R}$. Then for any $g \in \mathbf{Z}^2$, $g \neq 0$, α_g and β_g have the entropy infinity as single automorphisms. Actually, they are both conjugate to the shift on $\otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathscr{R} \cong \mathscr{R}$. But as group actions, they have different entropy, and thus they are non-conjugate.

Let $G = \mathbf{Z}[1/2]/\mathbf{Z}$ and apply the above construction for $k = 2, 3$ to get actions α and β of $\mathbf{Z}[1/2]/\mathbf{Z}$ on $\mathscr{R}$. Then for any $g \in \mathbf{Z}[1/2]/\mathbf{Z}$, α_g and β_g have the entropy zero as single automorphism because they are both periodic, by Remark 6 of Connes-Størmer [CS]. But as group actions, they have different entropy, and thus they are non-conjugate.

We would like to get continuously many values of the entropy, so we introduce the following as in Theorem 4 in [CS].

Let $\mathscr{M}$ be the infinite tensor product of $M_k(\mathbf{C})$ with respect to the product state $\psi^\lambda = \otimes \varphi^\lambda$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, where φ^λ on $M_k(\mathbf{C})$ is defined by

$$\varphi^\lambda(x) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(x \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_k \end{pmatrix}\right), \quad \lambda_1 + \cdots + \lambda_k = 1, \ \lambda_j > 0.$$

Let β_g^λ be the ergodic action of a countable amenable group G on $\mathscr{M}$ given by the Bernoulli shift. The centralizer $\mathscr{M}_{\psi^\lambda}$ is isomorphic to $\mathscr{R}$ as in the proof of Theorem 4 of Connes-Størmer [CS]. Define the action α_g^λ to be the restriction of β_g^λ on $\mathscr{M}_{\psi^\lambda}$. This is also ergodic, and this is a free action. We use entropy as a conjugacy invariant to distinguish these actions.

PROPOSITION 15. *The entropy $H(\alpha^\lambda)$ of the above action α_g^λ is given by*

$$H(\alpha^\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^k -\lambda_j \log \lambda_j.$$

Proof. Define

$$F_p = \left(\bigotimes_{g \in A_p} (M_k(\mathbf{C}), \varphi^\lambda)\right)_{\otimes \varphi^\lambda} \quad ; \quad D_p = \bigotimes_{g \in A_p} \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then using Properties (C), (D), (E) and (C) of Connes-Størmer [CS], we get

$$H(F_p, \alpha, A_n) \leq H\left(\left(\bigotimes_{g \in A_p A_n} (M_k(\mathbf{C}), \varphi^\lambda)\right)_{\otimes \varphi^\lambda}\right)$$

$$= |A_p A_n| \sum_{j=1}^{k} -\lambda_j \log \lambda_j$$

$$= H(D_p, \alpha, A_n)$$

$$\leq H(F_p, \alpha, A_n),$$

as in the computation in p. 304 of [CS]. Thus we have

$$H(\alpha^\lambda) = \lim_p \lim_n \frac{1}{|A_n|} H(F_p, \alpha, A_n)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{k} -\lambda_j \log \lambda_j.$$

as in the proof of Proposition 13. $\square$

THEOREM 16. *For a free action α of a discrete amenable group G on the AFD factor $\mathcal{R}$ of type II_1, H_α^1 is uncountable. For an ergodic free action α of G on $\mathcal{R}$, $H_{\alpha, \mathrm{erg}}^1$ is uncountable.*

Proof. By Proposition 15, we know that G has a continuous family of mutually nonconjugate ergodic free actions on $\mathcal{R}$. By Ocneanu's result [O, Theorem 2.7], its members are all cocycle conjugate. Thus we get the conclusion. $\square$

Now we get the following. This is a von Neumann algebra analogue of Schmidt [S, Remark 3.5].

THEOREM 1.7. *Let G be a countable group. Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(1) *G is amenable.*

(2) *No free action α of G on the AFD factor $\mathcal{R}$ of type II_1 is strong.*

(3) *$B_\alpha^1 \subsetneq \overline{B_\alpha^1} = Z_\alpha^1$ for all free actions a of G on the AFD factor $\mathcal{R}$ of type II_1.*

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1). If G is not amenable, then G has a strongly ergodic free action α on $\mathcal{R}$ obtained by the Bernoulli shift as in Jones [J2].

(1) $\Rightarrow$ (3): If G is amenable, we get the conclusion by Proposition 11 and Theorem 16.

(3) $\Rightarrow$ (2): This follows from Proposition 1. $\square$

Added in Proof. After the submission of this paper, the author received the following related paper.

S. Popa, "Some rigidity results in type II, factors".

The author thanks Professor Popa for calling attention to this preprint.

REFERENCES

[ACh] H. Araki and M. Choda, *Property T and actions on the hyperfinite* II$_1$-*factor,* Math. Japon., **28** (1983), 205–209.

[Ch1] M. Choda, *A continuum of non-conjugate property T actions of* SL(n, **Z**) *on the hyperfinite* II$_1$-*factor,* Math. Japon., **30** (1985), 133–150.

[Ch2] _____, *Outer actions of groups with property T on the hyperfinite* II$_1$-*factor,* Math. Japon., **31** (1986), 533–551.

[Chr] E. Christensen, *Subalgebras of a finite algebra,* Math. Ann., **243** (1979), 17–29.

[C1] A. Connes, *almost periodic states and factors of type* III$_1$, J. Funct. Anal., **16** (1974), 415–445.

[C2] _____, *Outer conjugacy classes of automorphisms of factors,* Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., **8** (1975), 383–419.

[C3] _____, *A factor of type* II$_1$ *with countable fundamental group,* J. Operator Theory, **4** (1980), 151–153.

[CJ1] A. Connes and V. F. R. Jones, *A* II$_1$ *factor with two nonconjugate Cartan subalgebras,* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **6** (1982), 211–212.

[CJ2] _____, *Property T for von Neumann algebras,* Bull. London Math. Soc., **17** (1985), 57–62.

[CS] A. Connes and E. Størmer, *Entropy for automorphisms of* II$_1$ *von Neumann algebras,* Acta Math., **134** (1975), 289–306.

[CW] A. Connes and B. Weiss, *Property T and asymptotically invariant sequences,* Israel J. Math., **37** (1980), 209–210.

[E] E. G. Effros, *Transformation groups and* C^*-*algebras,* Ann. Math., **81** (1965), 38–55.

[G] F. P. Greenleaf, *Invariant Means on Topological Groups and Their Applications,* van Nostrand, New York, 1969.

[J1] V. F. R. Jones, *Actions of discrete groups on factors,* in *Operator algebras and applications, Part 2 (Kingston, Ont., 1980),* Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. Vol. 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1982, pp. 167–177.

[J2] _____, *A converse to Ocneanu's theorem,* J. Operator Theory, **10** (1983), 61–63.

[K] D. Kazhdan, *Connection of the dual space of a group with the structure of its closed subgroups,* Funct. Anal. Appl., **1** (1967), 63–65.

[O] A. Ocneanu, *Actions of discrete amenable groups on factors,* Lecture Notes in Math. No. 1138, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[OW] D. Ornstein and B. Weiss, *Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups,* J. Analyse Math., **48** (1987), 1–141.

[S]   K. Schmidt, *Amenability, Kazhdan's property T , strong ergodicity and invariant means for ergodic group-actions*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems, **1** (1981), 223–226.

[Z1]   R. J. Zimmer, *On the cohomology of ergodic actions of semisimple Lie groups and discrete subgroups*, Amer. J. Math., **103** (1981), 937–950.

[Z2]   ____, *Ergodic Theory and Semisimple Groups*, Birkhäuser, 1984.

UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
HONGO, TOKYO, 113 JAPAN

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555-05.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 149, No. 2   June, 1991