

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

INDICES OF UNBOUNDED DERIVATIONS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

EDWARD KISSIN

INDICES OF UNBOUNDED DERIVATIONS OF C^* -ALGEBRAS

EDWARD KISSIN

The paper studies some properties of J -symmetric representations of $*$ -algebras on indefinite metric spaces. Making use of this, it defines the index $\text{ind}(\delta, S)$ of a $*$ -derivation δ of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} relative to a symmetric implementation S of δ . The index consists of six integers which characterize the J -symmetric representation π_S of the domain $D(\delta)$ of δ on the deficiency space $N(S)$ of the operator S . The paper proves the stability of the index under bounded perturbations of the derivation and that, under certain conditions on δ , $\text{ind}(\delta, S)$ has the same value for all maximal symmetric implementations S of δ . It applies the developed methods to the problem of the classification of symmetric operators with deficiency indices $(1, 1)$.

1. Introduction and preliminaries. Let \mathcal{A} be a C^* -subalgebra of the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded operators on a Hilbert space H . A closed $*$ -derivation δ from \mathcal{A} into $B(H)$ is a linear mapping from a subalgebra $D(\delta)$ dense in \mathcal{A} into $B(H)$ such that

- (i) $\delta(AB) = \delta(A)B + A\delta(B)$,
- (ii) $A \in D(\delta)$ implies $A^* \in D(\delta)$ and $\delta(A^*) = \delta(A)^*$,
- (iii) $A_n \in D(\delta)$, $A_n \rightarrow A$ and $\delta(A_n) \rightarrow B$ implies $A \in D(\delta)$ and $\delta(A) = B$.

An operator S on H implements δ if $D(S)$ is dense in H and

$$AD(S) \subseteq D(\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(A)|_{D(S)} = i[S, A]|_{D(S)} = i(SA - AS)|_{D(S)}$$

for all $A \in D(\delta)$. If T extends S and also implements δ , then T is called a δ -extension of S . If S is symmetric and it does not have symmetric δ -extensions, it is called a *maximal symmetric implementation* of δ .

The case when a symmetric operator S implements the zero derivation on \mathcal{A} , i.e., $SA|_{D(S)} = AS|_{D(S)}$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$, was extensively investigated (see, for example, [6], [21], [22]). Different sufficient conditions were obtained for S to have a selfadjoint extension T which commutes with \mathcal{A} .

The problem of δ -extension of a symmetric operator S which implements a derivation δ on \mathcal{A} has been addressed in a number of

papers (see, for example, [7], [9]). In [9] it was proved that any $*$ -derivation δ from \mathcal{A} into $B(H)$ implemented by a symmetric operator has a maximal symmetric implementation S . The link between the deficiency indices $n_+(S)$ and $n_-(S)$ of S and finite-dimensional irreducible representations of \mathcal{A} was investigated. This led to introduction in [10] of the set $M(\delta, \mathcal{A})$ of all pairs $(n_+(S), n_-(S))$ where S are maximal symmetric implementations of δ .

The investigation of symmetric implementations of derivations δ is deeply related to the investigation of J -symmetric representations of their domains $D(\delta)$ on indefinite metric spaces (see [8], [9], [10]). The nature of this relation can be easily seen from the following remarks.

If S is a symmetric operator and S^* is its adjoint, then

$$D(S^*) = D(S) + N_-(S) + N_+(S),$$

where $N_d(S) = \{x \in D(S^*): S^*x = dx\}$, $d = \pm$, are deficiency spaces of S . The numbers $n_{\pm}(S) = \dim N_{\pm}(S)$ are called the deficiency indices of S . We define a scalar product on $D(S^*)$ by the formula:

$$\{x, y\} = (x, y) + (S^*x, S^*y).$$

Then $D(S^*)$ becomes a Hilbert space and

$$D(S^*) = D(S) \oplus N_-(S) \oplus N_+(S)$$

is the orthogonal sum of the subspaces $D(S)$, $N_-(S)$ and $N_+(S)$ with respect to $\{, \}$. Let $N(S) = N_-(S) \oplus N_+(S)$ and let Q be the projection on $N(S)$ and Q_+ be the projection on $N_+(S)$ in $D(S^*)$. Set $J = 2Q_+ - Q$. Then J is an involution on $N(S)$ and $N(S)$ becomes an indefinite metric space Π_k ($k = \min(n_+(S), n_-(S))$) with the indefinite scalar product

$$[x, y]^S = \{Jx, y\}, \quad x, y \in N(S).$$

Now if S implements a $*$ -derivation δ from \mathcal{A} into $B(H)$ it follows easily that $D(\delta)$ acts on $D(S^*)$ as an algebra of bounded operators. Since $D(S)$ is invariant for $D(\delta)$,

$$\pi_S(A) = QAQ, \quad A \in D(\delta),$$

is a representation of $D(\delta)$ on $N(S)$. It was proved in [9] that π_S is a J -symmetric representation of $D(\delta)$ on $N(S)$ and that there is a one-to-one correspondence between symmetric δ -extensions of S and null subspaces in $N(S)$ invariant for π_S . If S is a maximal implementation of δ , then π_S does not have null invariant subspace in $N(S)$.

Because of the close relation between derivations of C^* -algebras implemented by symmetric operators and J -symmetric representations of $*$ -algebras on indefinite metric spaces the study of such representations becomes very important. Section 2 is devoted to this study. For every J -symmetric representation π we introduce a sextuple $\text{ind}(\pi) = (k_+, k_-, d_+(\pi), d_-(\pi), i_+(\pi), i_-(\pi))$ which we call *the index of π* .

Powers [16] considered E_0 -semigroups α_t of $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(H)$ which have strongly continuous semigroups $U(t)$ of intertwining isometries (“spatial” semigroups). If d is the generator of $U(t)$, then $S = \text{id}$ is an unbounded maximal symmetric operator, i.e., $n_-(S) = 0$, and it is a maximal symmetric implementation of the generator δ of α_t . Therefore, $N(S) = N_+(S)$ is a Hilbert space, π_S is a $*$ -representation of $D(\delta)$ on $N(S)$ and $(0, n_+(S)) \in M(\delta, \mathcal{A})$ where \mathcal{A} is the closure of $D(\delta)$. Powers [16] defined the *index* of α_t as the maximal number of non-zero mutually orthogonal projections in the commutant of $\pi_S(D(\delta))$. The examples of CAR-flows [16] show that $n_+(S) = \infty$ for all of them and that the index has values $i = 1, 2, \dots$. In [17] Powers and Robinson gave another definition of the index which is independent of the existence of intertwining semigroups of isometries. Arveson [2] and [3] used another approach to this index theory for E_0 -semigroups based on the notion of continuous tensor product systems. He showed that for “spatial” semigroups the Powers-Robinson index can be associated with an integer $i = 1, 2, \dots$.

Jorgensen and Price [8] studied the variety \mathcal{V} of all operators $V: H \mapsto N(S)$ such that $VA = \pi_S(A)V$, $A \in D(\delta)$, and showed that \mathcal{V} has a unique scalar form which turns \mathcal{V} into an indefinite metric space. They introduced the V -index as the Krein dimension of \mathcal{V} .

In this paper we associate *the index* $\text{ind}(\delta, S)$ with every symmetric implementation S of a derivation δ . In order to do this we consider the J -symmetric representation π_S of $D(\delta)$ and we define $\text{ind}(\delta, S) = \text{ind}(\pi_S)$. If $n_-(S) = 0$, so that S is a maximal symmetric operator, then

$$\text{ind}(\delta, S) = (n_+(S), 0, n_+(S), 0, i_+(\pi_S), 0)$$

where $i_+(\pi_S)$ is the Powers index and $d_+(\pi_S) = n_+(S)$. If

$$\min(n_+(S), n_-(S)) < \infty$$

and if π_S extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} (for example,

if \mathcal{A} is commutative), we show that $d_+(\pi_S) = n_+(S)$ and $d_-(\pi_S) = n_-(S)$.

Theorem 3.6 proves that $\text{ind}(\delta, S)$ is stable under perturbations of δ of the form

$$\sigma(A) = \delta(A) + i[B, A],$$

where B is a bounded selfadjoint operator, i.e.,

$$\text{ind}(\delta, S) = \text{ind}(\sigma, S + B).$$

Every derivation implemented by a symmetric operator has an infinite number of maximal symmetric implementations. Therefore the question arises as to whether the index $\text{ind}(\delta, S)$ may be the same for all such implementations. In [10] it was shown that if δ has a *minimal* symmetric implementation T (if \mathcal{A} contains the algebra of all compact operators, any closed derivation of \mathcal{A} has such an implementation [10]) and if $\min(n_-(T), n_+(T)) < \infty$, then all maximal implementations of δ have the same deficiency indices. In this paper we show that in this case $\text{ind}(\delta, S) = \text{ind}(\delta, S_1)$ for all maximal symmetric implementations S and S_1 of δ .

Theorem 3.2 investigates the link between the deficiency indices of maximal symmetric implementations S of δ and dimensions of irreducible representations of \mathcal{A} . It improves the result of [9] and, in particular, it shows that if $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ and if $\max(n_+(S), n_-(S)) < \infty$, then there are disjoint sets of irreducible representations $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^p$ and $\{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^q$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$n_+(S) = \sum_{i=1}^p \dim \pi_i \quad \text{and} \quad n_-(S) = \sum_{j=1}^q \dim \rho_j.$$

If $\max(n_+(S), n_-(S)) = \infty$ and $k = \min(n_+(S), n_-(S)) < \infty$ and if π_S extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} ($1 \in \mathcal{A}$), then there are irreducible representations $\{\pi_i\}_1^p$ of \mathcal{A} such that $k = \sum_{i=1}^p \dim \pi_i$.

Every densely defined symmetric operator S has a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{B}_S associated with it: $\mathcal{B}_S = \{A \in B(H): A \text{ and } A^* \text{ preserve } D(S) \text{ and } (SA - AS)|_{D(S)} \text{ extends to a bounded operator}\}$. The closure \mathcal{A}_S of \mathcal{B}_S is the maximal C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ such that S generates a closed $*$ -derivation δ_S of \mathcal{A}_S into $B(H)$ and that $D(\delta_S) = \mathcal{B}_S$. In Section 4 we make use of the results of Section 3 and associate a number $\beta(S)$ with every symmetric operator S such that $n_+(S) = n_-(S) = 1$ and such that the representation π_S of the algebra \mathcal{B}_S on $N(S)$ does not have null invariant subspaces. We obtain that $0 \leq \beta(S) < 1$ and that $\beta(S) = \beta(T)$ if S and T are isomorphic.

It is well-known (see, for example, [1]) that up to isomorphism there is only one symmetric operator with the deficiency indices $(1, 0)$ and only one with the deficiency indices $(0, 1)$. The variety of symmetric operators with the deficiency indices $(1, 1)$ is much greater. All symmetric differential operators

$$S_a = i \frac{d}{dx}, \quad D(S_a) = \{y(x): y \text{ and } y' \text{ in } L_2(0, a), y(0) = y(a) = 0\},$$

$0 < a < \infty$, have $n_+(S_a) = n_-(S_a) = 1$. Schmudgen [19] showed that S_a and S_b are not isomorphic if $a \neq b$.

Theorem 4.2 investigates the structure of the representations π_{S_a} of the algebras \mathcal{B}_{S_a} on $N(S_a)$ and shows that $\beta(S_a) = e^{-a}$. This provides us with another proof of Schmudgen's result and also shows that $\beta(S)$ takes all values in the interval $[0, 1)$. The question arises as to whether $\beta(S)$ classifies up to isomorphism all the symmetric operators S such that $n_+(S) = n_-(S) = 1$ and such that the representations π_S do not have null invariant subspaces.

2. J -symmetric representations of $*$ -algebras. In this section we consider J -symmetric representations of $*$ -algebras in indefinite metric spaces. For the benefit of the reader and for the sake of being reasonably self-contained, we call attention to the references [12, 15] and provide some amount of detail about indefinite metric spaces and J -symmetric representations.

Let J be an involution on a Hilbert space H , i.e., $J^* = J$ and $J^2 = 1$. With the indefinite scalar product

$$[x, y] = (Jx, y), \quad x, y \in H,$$

H becomes an *indefinite metric space*. A subspace L in H is called

- (a) *nonnegative* if $[x, x] \geq 0$ for all $x \in L$,
- (b) *positive* if $[x, x] > 0$ for all $x \in L, x \neq 0$,
- (c) *uniformly positive* if there exists $r > 0$ such that $[x, x] \geq r(x, x)$ for all $x \in L$,
- (d) *null* if $[x, x] = 0$ for all $x \in L$.

The concepts of *nonpositive*, *negative*, *uniformly negative* subspaces are introduced analogously.

Set $Q = (J + 1)/2$. Then $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$, Q is the projection onto H_+ , $1 - Q$ is the projection onto H_- and $[x, x] = (x, x)$ if $x \in H_+$ and $[x, x] = -(x, x)$ if $x \in H_-$. Therefore H_+ is uniformly positive and H_- is uniformly negative. Let $k_d = \dim H_d$, $d = \pm$ and let $k = \min(k_-, k_+)$. Then H is called a Π_k -space.

Law of inertia [12]. If L is a maximal nonnegative (nonpositive) subspace of H , then

$$\dim L = k_+(k_-).$$

A representation π of a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} into $B(H)$ is called J -symmetric if for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and for all x, y in H

$$(1) \quad J\pi(A^*) = \pi(A)^*J, \quad \text{so that } [\pi(A)x, y] = [x, \pi(A^*)y].$$

If a subspace L of H is invariant for π , then by π_L we denote the restriction of π to L .

J -symmetric representations π and ρ of a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} on H and K respectively are called J -equivalent if there is a bounded operator U from H onto K such that $U\pi = \rho U$ and such that

$$[Ux, Uy] = [x, y] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in H.$$

For every subspace L in H the subspace

$$L^{[\perp]} = \{y \in H: [x, y] = 0 \text{ for all } x \in L\}$$

is called J -orthogonal complement of L .

It is well-known that there always exists the decomposition

$$H = L \oplus L^\perp, \quad L^\perp = \{x \in H: (y, x) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in L\}.$$

In an indefinite metric space the decomposition

$$(2) \quad H = L[+]L^{[\perp]}$$

(the symbol $[+]$ means that the sum is direct and the summands are J -orthogonal) does not always exist.

THEOREM 2.1 ([12]). *Let J be an involution on H . Then $H = H_+ \oplus H_-$ where $Q = (J + 1)/2$ is the projection onto H_+ . Let $k_d = \dim H_d$, $d = \pm$.*

(i) *Let L be a nonnegative (nonpositive) subspace of H . The decomposition (2) holds if and only if L is uniformly positive (negative).*

(ii) *If L is an indefinite subspace, then (2) holds if and only if L decomposes into a direct sum of two uniformly definite subspaces.*

(iii) (Iohvidov and Ginzburg, see [12], page 118). *Let $k_+ = \infty$. Then all the positive subspaces of H are uniformly positive if and only if $k_- < \infty$.*

For Π_k -spaces ($k < \infty$) Shulman [20] obtained the following strong result.

THEOREM 2.2. *If π is a J -symmetric representation of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} on a Π_k -space H ($k < \infty$), then there are maximal negative and maximal positive subspaces N and P respectively such that $H = N[+]P$ and such that N and P are invariant for π . The representation π is similar to a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} .*

Let π be a J -symmetric representation of a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} on H , let P be a positive invariant subspace of H and let N be a negative invariant subspace of H . Define scalar products on P and N by the formulas:

$$\langle x, y \rangle_P = [x, y], \quad x, y \in P, \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x, y \rangle_N = -[x, y], \quad x, y \in N.$$

Then P and N become pre-Hilbert spaces. Set $\rho = \pi_P$. Since

$$\langle \rho(A)x, y \rangle_P = [\pi(A)x, y] = [x, \pi(A^*)y] = \langle x, \rho(A^*)y \rangle_P,$$

ρ is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} on P . Similarly, π_N is a $*$ -representation of \mathcal{A} on N .

If P and N are uniformly positive and uniformly negative, then they are Hilbert spaces and there are positive r and q such that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} r\|x\|^2 &\leq \|x\|_P^2 \leq \|x\|^2, & x \in P, \text{ where } \|x\|_P^2 &= \langle x, x \rangle_P, \\ q\|x\|^2 &\leq \|x\|_N^2 \leq \|x\|^2, & x \in N, \text{ where } \|x\|_N^2 &= \langle x, x \rangle_N. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(A)\|_P^2 &= \sup(\langle \rho(A)x, \rho(A)x \rangle_P / \langle x, x \rangle_P) \\ &= \sup(\langle J\pi(A)x, \pi(A)x \rangle / \langle x, x \rangle_P) \\ &\leq \sup(\|\pi(A)x\|^2 / r\|x\|^2) \\ &= \|\pi(A)\|^2 / r. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.3. *Let L and M be uniformly positive (negative) subspaces of H invariant for π .*

(i) *If $M \cap L^{\perp} = \{0\}$, then there is an invariant subspace K in L such that the representations π_M and π_K are equivalent, i.e., there is an isometry U from M onto K with respect to the norms $\|\cdot\|_M$ and $\|\cdot\|_K$ such that $U\pi_M(A) = \pi_K(A)U$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. If, in addition, $L \cap M^{\perp} = \{0\}$, then the representations π_M and π_L are equivalent.*

(ii) *If L and M are maximal uniformly positive (negative) invariant subspaces, then the representations π_M and π_K are equivalent.*

Proof. Let L and M be uniformly positive. Then, by (3), for x in L and y in M ,

$$(4) \quad |[x, y]| = |(Jx, y)| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \leq \|x\|_L \|y\|_M / (r_L r_M)^{1/2}.$$

Since $M \cap L^{\perp} = \{0\}$, for every $y \neq 0$ in M there is x in L such that $[x, y] \neq 0$. Therefore y generates a non-zero bounded functional $f_y(x) = [x, y]$ on L . Since L is a Hilbert space, there exists a linear operator S from M into L such that $\text{Ker } S = \{0\}$ and such that for all x in L and y in M ,

$$[x, y] = \langle x, Sy \rangle_L.$$

Let K be the closure of the linear manifold $\{Sy: y \in M\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, \pi_L(A)Sy \rangle_L &= \langle \pi_L(A^*)x, Sy \rangle_L = [\pi_L(A^*)x, y] \\ &= [\pi(A^*)x, y] = [x, \pi(A)y] = [x, \pi_M(A)y] \\ &= \langle x, S\pi_M(A)y \rangle_L, \end{aligned}$$

so that $\pi_L(A)S|_M = S\pi_M(A)|_M$ for all A in \mathcal{A} . Therefore K is invariant for π and $\pi_K S|_M = S\pi_M|_M$.

Let now y_n converge to 0 in M with respect to $\|\cdot\|_M$ and let Sy_n converge to x in L with respect to $\|\cdot\|_L$. Then, by (4),

$$|\langle x, Sy_n \rangle_L| = |[x, y_n]| \leq \|x\|_L \|y_n\|_M / (r_L r_M)^{1/2},$$

so that $\langle x, Sy_n \rangle_L$ converge to 0. Therefore $\langle x, x \rangle_L = 0$, so that $x = 0$. Thus S is a closed operator. Since it is defined on the whole space M , it is bounded. From this and from Gelfand's and Naimark's theorem [13, §21] it follows that there is an isometry U from M onto K such that $\pi_K U = U\pi_M$.

Let, in addition, $L \cap M^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Then, for every $x \neq 0$ in L , there is y in M such that $[x, y] \neq 0$. Therefore $\text{Im } S$ is dense in L , so that $K = L$. Part (i) is proved.

Let L be maximal uniformly positive. By Theorem 2.1(i), $H = L[+]L^{\perp}$. If $R = M \cap L^{\perp} \neq \{0\}$, then R is a uniformly positive invariant subspace in L^{\perp} . Therefore L is not maximal. This contradiction shows that $M \cap L^{\perp} = \{0\}$. If M is also maximal uniformly positive, then, similarly, $L \cap M^{\perp} = \{0\}$. Therefore part (ii) follows from part (i).

DEFINITION. Let π be a J -symmetric representation of a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} on a Π_k -space H , where $k = \min(k_-, k_+)$. If P is a uniformly positive subspace in H invariant for π , then we define $i_+(P)$ as the maximal number of non-zero mutually orthogonal projections in the commutant of $\pi_P(\mathcal{A})$ in P and we set $d_+(P) = \dim P$. Set

$$d_+(\pi) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} d_+(P) \quad \text{and} \quad i_+(\pi) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} i_+(P)$$

where \mathcal{P} is the set of all uniformly positive invariant subspaces in H . Similarly, we define numbers $d_-(\pi)$ and $i_-(\pi)$ by considering the set \mathcal{N} of all uniformly negative invariant subspaces in H . We shall call the sextuple

$$\text{ind}(\pi) = (k_+, k_-, d_+(\pi), d_-(\pi), i_+(\pi), i_-(\pi))$$

the *index* of π .

By law of inertia, $d_+(\pi) \leq k_+$ and $d_-(\pi) \leq k_-$. It is clear that if representations π and ρ on spaces H and K respectively are J -equivalent, i.e., there exists a bounded operator T from H onto K such that $[Tx, Ty] = [x, y]$, $x, y \in H$, and such that $\rho T = T\pi$, then $\text{ind}(\pi) = \text{ind}(\rho)$.

THEOREM 2.4. (i) *Let H be a separable Π_k -space and let L be a uniformly positive invariant subspace. Then there exist uniformly positive invariant subspaces $\{L_j\}$ such that $L \subseteq L_j$, that $L_j \subseteq L_{j+1}$ and such that $d_+(\pi) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d_+(L_j)$ and $i_+(\pi) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} i_+(L_j)$. The same holds if L is a uniformly negative invariant subspace.*

(ii) *If there is a uniformly positive invariant subspace M such that $d_+(M) = d_+(\pi)$ and that $i_+(M) = i_+(\pi)$, then any uniformly positive invariant subspace L is contained in a uniformly positive invariant subspace P such that $d_+(P) = d_+(\pi)$ and that $i_+(P) = i_+(\pi)$. The same holds if M is uniformly negative.*

(iii) *Let H be a Π_k -space such that $k < \infty$ and let π not have null invariant subspaces. Then there exist maximal uniformly positive and maximal uniformly negative invariant subspaces P and N in H such that $d_-(\pi) = d_-(N)$, $i_-(\pi) = i_-(N)$, $d_+(\pi) = d_+(P)$ and $i_+(\pi) = i_+(P)$.*

Proof. Let L be uniformly positive. If $i_+(L) < i_+(\pi)$, then there exists a uniformly positive invariant subspace M in H such that $i_+(L) < i_+(M)$. Set $R = M \cap L^{[\perp]}$. If $R = \{0\}$, then it follows from Theorem 2.3(i) that π_M is equivalent to a subrepresentation of π_L . Therefore $i_+(M) \leq i_+(L)$. This contradiction shows that $R \neq \{0\}$. Set $K = L[+]R$. Then K is a uniformly positive invariant subspace, $L \subset K$ and $M \cap K^{[\perp]} = \{0\}$. By Theorem 2.3(i), $d_+(M) \leq d_+(K)$ and $i_+(M) \leq i_+(K)$.

If $i_+(\pi) = \infty$, then $d_+(\pi) = \infty$. Since H is separable, there are uniformly positive invariant subspaces $\{M_j\}$ such that

$$i_+(\pi) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} i_+(M_j).$$

Using the construction above, we obtain uniformly positive invariant subspaces $\{L_j\}$ such that $L_j \subseteq L_{j+1}$ and that $i_+(M_j) \leq i_+(L_j)$. Therefore

$$i_+(\pi) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} i_+(L_j) = \infty.$$

Then obviously

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d_+(L_j) = d_+(\pi) = \infty.$$

If $i_+(\pi) < \infty$, then, making use of the construction at the beginning of the theorem, we obtain a uniformly positive invariant subspace P such that $L \subset P$ and that $i_+(P) = i_+(\pi)$. If $d_+(P) < d_+(\pi)$, then there is a uniformly positive invariant subspace M such that $d_+(P) < d_+(M)$. Using the construction at the beginning of the theorem, we obtain a uniformly positive invariant subspace K such that $P \subset K$ and that $d_+(M) \leq d_+(K)$. Repeating this process, if necessary, we conclude the proof of part (i).

Part (ii) follows easily from the construction at the beginning of the theorem.

Assume that $k = k_-$. Let $\{L_j\}$ be the uniformly positive invariant subspaces as in part (i). Let P be the closure of $\bigcup_j L_j$. Then P is a nonnegative invariant subspace. Since π does not have null invariant subspaces, it follows from Lemma 2.3(iii) [11] that P is positive. By Theorem 2.1(iii), P is uniformly positive. Therefore

$$d_+(P) = d_+(\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad i_+(P) = i_+(\pi).$$

The theorem is proved.

REMARK 2.5. Even if $0 < k = \min(k_-, k_+) < \infty$, one may find that either one or both of the numbers $d_-(\pi)$ and $d_+(\pi)$ equals 0. If, however, \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, then, by Theorem 2.2, $H = N[+]P$ where N and P are respectively maximal uniformly negative and maximal uniformly positive invariant subspaces. Then, by Theorem 2.4(iii) and by Law of inertia, $d_-(\pi) = \dim N = k_-$ and $d_+(\pi) = \dim P = k_+$. If $H = N_1[+]P_1$ is another decomposition of H , then, by Theorem 2.3, the representations π_N and π_{N_1} are equivalent and the representations π_P and π_{P_1} are equivalent.

Let π be a J -symmetric representation of a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} on H and assume that $H = N[+]P$ where N and P are respectively uniformly negative and uniformly positive invariant subspaces of H . Let L be a maximal null invariant subspace in H . Then

$$L = \{x + Tx: x \in L_-\}$$

where L_- is a closed subspace of N invariant for π , T is an isometry from L_- into P ($\langle Tx, Ty \rangle_P = \langle x, y \rangle_N$) and

$$(5) \quad \pi(A)T|_{L_-} = T\pi(A)|_{L_-} \quad \text{for all } A \text{ in } \mathcal{A}.$$

Set

$$L_+ = \{Tx: x \in L_-\}, \quad N_L = N \cap L^{[\perp]} \quad \text{and} \quad P_L = P \cap L^{[\perp]}.$$

From (5) it follows that the representations π_{L_-} and π_{L_+} are equivalent. We also have that

$$N = N_L[+]L_-, \quad P = P_L[+]L_+ \quad \text{and} \quad L^{[\perp]} = N_L[+]L[+]P_L.$$

The subspaces N_L and P_L are invariant for π .

THEOREM 2.6. *Let π be a J -symmetric representation of a $*$ -algebra \mathcal{A} on H and let $H = N[+]P$ where N and P are respectively uniformly negative and positive invariant subspaces. Let L and K be maximal null invariant subspaces, so that $L = \{x + Tx: x \in L_-\}$ and $K = \{x + Rx: x \in K_-\}$. Then*

(i) *The representations π_{L_-} , π_{K_-} , π_{L_+} and π_{K_+} are equivalent.*

(ii) *If π_{L_-} is a finite orthogonal direct sum of irreducible representations of \mathcal{A} , then the representations π_{N_L} and π_{N_K} are equivalent and the representations π_{P_L} and π_{P_K} are equivalent.*

Proof. Set $M = L \cap K$. Then $M = \{x + Tx: x \in M_-\}$ where $M_- = \{x \in L_- \cap K_-: Tx = Rx\}$. Set

$$X = L_-\langle - \rangle M_- \quad \text{and} \quad Y = K_-\langle - \rangle M_-.$$

Then X and Y are closed subspaces in N . Since L and K are invariant for π , M is invariant for π , so that M_- is invariant for π . Since L_- and K_- are invariant for π , X and Y are invariant for π .

The subspace $K \cap L^{[\perp]}$ is a null invariant subspace and $M \subseteq K \cap L^{[\perp]}$. If $K \cap L^{[\perp]} \neq M$, then $L[+](K \cap L^{[\perp]})$ is a null invariant subspace larger than L . Since L is a maximal null invariant subspace, $K \cap L^{[\perp]} = M$. Similarly, $L \cap K^{[\perp]} = M$.

Define a form $Q(x, y)$ on $X \times Y$ by the formula:

$$Q(x, y) = [x + Tx, y + Ry].$$

If for some x in X , $Q(x, y) = 0$ for all y in Y , then $x + Tx \in M$, so that $x \in M_-$. This contradiction shows that $Q(x, y)$ is nondegenerate. Since T and R are isometries, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} |Q(x, y)| &\leq |[x, y]| + |[Tx, Ry]| \\ &\leq \|x\|_N \|y\|_N + \|Tx\|_P \|Ry\|_P = 2\|x\|_N \|y\|_N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore for every y in Y , $f(x) = Q(x, y)$ is a bounded functional on X . Hence there exists a bounded operator S from Y into X such that

$$Q(x, y) = \langle x, Sy \rangle_N, \quad x \in X, y \in Y.$$

Since $Q(x, y)$ is nondegenerate, $\text{Ker}(S) = \{0\}$ and $\text{Im}(S)$ is dense in X . Since T and R commute with π ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x, S\pi(A)y \rangle_N &= Q(x, \pi(A)y) = [x + Tx, \pi(A)y + R\pi(A)y] \\ &= [x + Tx, \pi(A)(y + Ry)] \\ &= [\pi(A^*)(x + Tx), y + Ry] \\ &= [\pi(A^*)x + T\pi(A^*)x, y + Ry] \\ &= Q(\pi(A^*)x, y) = \langle \pi(A^*)x, Sy \rangle_N. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(A^*)x, Sy \rangle_N &= -[\pi(A^*)x, Sy] \\ &= -[x, \pi(A)Sy] = \langle x, \pi(A)Sy \rangle_N. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $S\pi(A)|_Y = \pi(A)S|_Y$. From this and from Gelfand's and Naimark's theorem [13, §21] it follows that there is an isometry U from Y onto X such that $U\pi(A)|_Y = \pi(A)U|_Y$. Therefore the representations π_{L_-} and π_{K_-} are equivalent. Similarly, the representations π_{L_+} and π_{K_+} are also equivalent. Since the representations π_{L_-} and π_{L_+} are equivalent, part (i) is proved.

In order to prove part (ii) we shall prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.7. *Let π and ρ be equivalent *-representations of a *-algebra \mathcal{A} on Hilbert spaces H and K respectively. Let H_1 be an invariant subspace of H such that the representation $\pi_1 = \pi|_{H_1}$ is irreducible and let K_1 be an invariant subspace of K such that the representation $\rho_1 = \rho|_{K_1}$ is irreducible. If π_1 and ρ_1 are equivalent, then the representations $\pi_{H \ominus H_1}$ and $\rho_{K \ominus K_1}$ are equivalent.*

Proof. Let U be an isometry from H onto K such that $U\pi(A) = \rho(A)U$ for all A in \mathcal{A} . If $UH_1 = K_1$, the proof is obvious. Let $UH_1 \neq K_1$, let H_2 be the closed span of $H_1 + U^{-1}K_1$ and let K_2 be the closed span of $K_1 + UH_1$. Then H_2 is invariant for π , K_2 is invariant for ρ , $UH_2 = K_2$ and $U\pi|_{H_2} = \rho|_{K_2}U$. Therefore $\pi_{H \ominus H_2}$ is equivalent to $\rho_{K \ominus K_2}$. In order to prove the lemma it is sufficient to show that the representations $\pi_{H_2 \ominus H_1}$ and $\rho_{K_2 \ominus K_1}$ are equivalent.

Since H_1 and H_2 are invariant for π , $H_2 \ominus H_1$ is invariant for π . Let L and M be subspaces invariant for π . Set $\tilde{L} =$

$(L \vee M) \ominus M$ and $\widetilde{M} = L \ominus (L \cap M)$. It follows from Proposition 2.1.5 [18] that the representations $\pi_{\widetilde{L}}$ and $\pi_{\widetilde{M}}$ are equivalent. Substituting $U^{-1}K_1$ for L and H_1 for M we obtain that $\widetilde{L} = H_2 \ominus H_1$ and that $\widetilde{M} = U^{-1}K_1 \ominus (U^{-1}K_1 \cap H_1)$. Since π_1 and ρ_1 are irreducible and since $UH_1 \neq K_1$, $U^{-1}K_1 \cap H_1 = \{0\}$. Thus $M = U^{-1}K_1$ and the representations $\pi_{H_2 \ominus H_1}$ and $\pi_{U^{-1}K_1}$ are equivalent. Similarly, we obtain that the representations $\pi_{K_2 \ominus K_1}$ and π_{UH_1} are equivalent. Since π_1 and ρ_1 are equivalent, the representations π_{UH_1} and $\pi_{U^{-1}K_1}$ are equivalent. Therefore $\pi_{H_2 \ominus H_1}$ is equivalent to $\pi_{K_2 \ominus K_1}$. The lemma is proved.

We shall now continue the proof of Theorem 2.6. From Lemma 2.7 it follows that if π_1 and ρ_1 are finite orthogonal direct sums of irreducible representations, then the representations $\pi_{H \ominus H_1}$ and $\rho_{K \ominus K_1}$ are equivalent.

Since $N = N_L[+]L_- = N_K[+]K_-$, it follows from (i) that the representations π_{N_L} and π_{N_K} are equivalent. Similarly, the representations π_{P_L} and π_{P_K} are equivalent. The theorem is proved.

3. Indices of derivations of C^* -algebras. In this section we apply the results of Section 2 to bounded and unbounded $*$ -derivations of C^* -algebras implemented by symmetric operators.

Let H be a Hilbert space, let δ be a closed $*$ -derivation of a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $B(H)$ into $B(H)$ and let a symmetric operator S implement δ , i.e.,

$$AD(S) \subseteq D(S) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(A)|_{D(S)} = i[S, A]|_{D(S)} \quad \text{for all } A \in D(\delta).$$

Recall that $D(S^*)$ becomes a Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product

$$\{x, y\} = (x, y) + (S^*x, S^*y), \quad x, y \in D(S^*),$$

and that

$$D(S^*) = D(S) \oplus N_+(S) \oplus N_-(S)$$

is the direct orthogonal sum of the subspaces $D(S)$, $N_+(S)$ and $N_-(S)$ with respect to this scalar product. The subspace $N(S) = N_-(S) \oplus N_+(S)$ becomes an indefinite metric space with the indefinite scalar product

$$[x, y]^S = \{Jx, y\}, \quad x, y \in N(S),$$

where J is the involution on $N(S)$ defined in §1. Then $\dim N_d(S) = n_d(S)$, $d = \pm$, are the deficiency indices of S , and we have that

$[x, x]^S = 2(x, x) > 0$ if $x \in N_+(S)$, and $[x, x]^S = -2(x, x) < 0$ if $x \in N_-(S)$. Thus $N(S)$ decomposes into a simultaneously orthogonal and J -orthogonal sum $N(S) = N_+(S) \dot{+} N_-(S)$, where $N_+(S)$ and $N_-(S)$ are respectively uniformly positive and negative subspaces in $N(S)$.

It follows easily that for every A in $D(\delta)$

$$AD(S^*) \subseteq D(S^*) \quad \text{and} \quad \delta(A)|_{D(S^*)} = i[S^*, A]|_{D(S^*)}.$$

Set $|||x|||^2 = \{x, x\}$ for $x \in D(S^*)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad |||Ax|||^2 &= (Ax, Ax) + (S^*Ax, S^*Ax) \\ &= \|Ax\|^2 + (AS^*x, AS^*x) \\ &\quad + (\delta(A)x, \delta(A)x) \leq \|A\|^2 |||x|||^2 + \|\delta(A)\|^2 |||x|||^2 \\ &\leq (\|A\|^2 + \|\delta(A)\|^2) |||x|||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $D(\delta)$ acts as an algebra of bounded operators on $D(S^*)$. Let Q be the projection onto $N(S)$ in $D(S^*)$. Since $D(S)$ is invariant for $D(\delta)$, we have that

$$\pi_S(A) = QAQ, \quad A \in D(\delta),$$

is a representation of $D(\delta)$ on $N(S)$.

THEOREM 3.1 ([9]). (i) (cf. [8]) π_S is a J -symmetric representation of $D(\delta)$ onto $N(S)$.

(ii) There is a one-to-one correspondence between closed symmetric δ -extensions of S and closed null subspaces in $N(S)$ invariant for π_S .

(iii) There is a maximal symmetric implementation T of δ which δ -extends S . The representation π_T does not have null invariant subspaces in $N(T)$.

(iv) Let S be a maximal symmetric implementation of δ . If

$$\max(n_-(S), n_+(S)) < \infty$$

or if \mathcal{A} is commutative and $\min(n_-(S), n_+(S)) < \infty$ then π_S extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} onto $N(S)$.

Let P and N be respectively uniformly positive and uniformly negative subspaces in $N(S)$ invariant for π_S . Then they become Hilbert spaces with respect to the scalar products $\langle x, y \rangle_P = [x, y]^S$, $x, y \in P$, and $\langle x, y \rangle_N = -[x, y]^S$, $x, y \in N$. Let π_P and π_N be the restrictions of the representation π_S to P and N respectively. Then π_P and π_N are $*$ -representations of $D(\delta)$.

From Theorems 2.2 and 3.1 we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let S be a maximal symmetric implementation of δ and let $n = \min(n_-(S), n_+(S)) < \infty$.*

(i) *Let $D(\delta) = \mathcal{A}$ (δ is a bounded derivation) or let π_S extend to a bounded J -symmetric representation of \mathcal{A} . Then*

(1) *$N(S) = N[+]P$ where N and P are respectively uniformly negative and uniformly positive subspaces invariant for π_S .*

(2) *Let Z be the maximal subspace in $N(S)$ such that $\pi_S|_Z = 0$ (if, for example, $1 \in \mathcal{A}$, then $Z = \{0\}$.) Then either $Z \subseteq P$ or $Z \subseteq N$.*

(3) *Assume that $n = n_-(S)$. Then there are finite-dimensional irreducible representations $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^p$ of \mathcal{A} such that*

$$\pi_S|_N = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^p \oplus \pi_i, & \text{if } Z \subseteq P, \\ (\sum_{i=1}^p \oplus \pi_i) \oplus \pi_S|_Z, & \text{if } Z \subseteq N. \end{cases}$$

If also $n_+(S) < \infty$, then there are finite-dimensional irreducible representations $\{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of \mathcal{A} such that

$$\pi_S|_P = \begin{cases} (\sum_{j=1}^m \oplus \rho_j) \oplus \pi_S|_Z, & \text{if } Z \subseteq P, \\ \sum_{j=1}^m \oplus \rho_j, & \text{if } Z \subseteq N. \end{cases}$$

The sets $\{\pi_i\}$ and $\{\rho_j\}$ are disjoint.

(ii) *Let $D(\delta) \neq \mathcal{A}$ and let π_S be nondegenerate. If $N(S)$ is the closure of $N[+]P$ where N and P are respectively negative and positive closed subspaces invariant for π_S , then π_S extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} and $N(S) = N[+]P$.*

Proof. It follows from (6) that $|||A|||^2 \leq \|A\|^2 + \|\delta(A)\|^2$, where $|||A|||$ is the norm of an operator A in $D(S^*)$ with respect to the scalar product $\{ , \}$. If $D(\delta) = \mathcal{A}$, then, since δ is closed, δ is bounded. Therefore

$$|||A|||^2 \leq \|A\|^2(1 + \|\delta\|^2).$$

Since $\|\pi_S(A)\| \leq |||Q|||^2 \|A\| = |||A|||$, π_S is a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} . Since $\min(n_-(S), n_+(S)) < \infty$, it follows from Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 that $N(S) = N[+]P$, where N and P are respectively uniformly negative and uniformly positive invariant subspaces. Part (i)(1) is proved.

If $x + y \in Z$, $x \in N$, $y \in P$, then $\pi_S(A)x = 0$ and $\pi_S(A)y = 0$. Since Z is maximal, x and y belong to Z . Therefore $Z = Z_N[+]Z_P$ where $Z_N = Z \cap N$ and $Z_P = Z \cap P$. Since S is a maximal symmetric implementation of δ , by Theorem 3.1(iii), π_S does not have null invariant subspaces. Therefore either $Z \subseteq N$ or $Z \subseteq P$. Part (i)(2) is proved.

Let $n = n_-(S)$ and let $Z \subseteq N$. Then the representation π_S is nondegenerate on $N \ominus Z$ and *-symmetric with respect to the definite scalar product $\langle x, y \rangle_N = -[x, y]^S$. Since $N \ominus Z$ is finite-dimensional, there are finite-dimensional representations $\{\pi_i\}_{i=1}^p$ of \mathcal{A} such that $\pi_S|_{N \ominus Z} = \sum_{i=1}^p \oplus \pi_i$. If $n_+(S) < \infty$, then similarly there are finite-dimensional representations $\{\rho_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of \mathcal{A} such that $\pi_S|_P = \sum_{j=1}^m \oplus \rho_j$.

Let $\pi_i = \pi_S|_{L_i}$ be equivalent to $\rho_j = \pi_S|_{K_j}$ where $L_i \subseteq N$ and $K_j \subseteq P$. Let U be the isometry from L_i onto K_j such that $U\pi_i = \rho_j U$. Then the subspace $M = \{x + Ux : x \in L_i\}$ is a null subspace in $N(S)$ invariant for π_S , since

$$\begin{aligned} [x + Ux, x + Ux]^S &= [x, x]^S + [Ux, Ux]^S \\ &= -\langle x, x \rangle_N + \langle Ux, Ux \rangle_P = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_S(A)(x + Ux) &= \pi_i(A)x + \rho_j(A)Ux \\ &= \pi_i(A)x + U\pi_i(A)x \in M \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in L_i$ and all $A \in D(\delta)$. Since S is a maximal symmetric implementation of δ , by Theorem 3.1(iii), π_S does not have null invariant subspaces. Therefore the sets $\{\pi_i\}$ and $\{\rho_i\}$ are disjoint. Part (i) is proved.

Let now $D(\delta) \neq \mathcal{A}$. Since P is positive, by Theorem 2.1, P is uniformly positive and $N(S) = P[+]P^{[\perp]}$. By Law of inertia, $\dim(N) \leq n_-(S) < \infty$. Therefore, since $N \subseteq P^{[\perp]}$, either $N = P^{[\perp]}$ or there is x in $P^{[\perp]}$ which is J -orthogonal to N . If such an x exists, it is J -orthogonal to $N[+]P$ and therefore it is J -orthogonal to H . This contradiction shows that $N = P^{[\perp]}$, so that $H = N[+]P$.

From Lemma 4 [20] it follows that π_S is similar to a *-representation of $D(\delta)$. Therefore π_S extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} which completes the proof of the theorem.

If $N(S) = N[+]P$, then, by Law of inertia, $\dim N = n_-(S)$ and $\dim P = n_+(S)$. From this and from Theorem 3.2 we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let the conditions of Theorem 3.2(i) hold and let $q = \dim Z$. Then*

$$n_-(S) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^p \dim \pi_i, & \text{if } Z \subseteq P, \\ \sum_{i=1}^p \dim \pi_i + q, & \text{if } Z \subseteq N. \end{cases}$$

If, in addition, $n_+(S) < \infty$, then

$$n_+(S) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^m \dim \rho_j + q, & \text{if } Z \subseteq P, \\ \sum_{j=1}^m \dim \rho_j, & \text{if } Z \subseteq N. \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION. Let now S be a symmetric implementation of a $*$ -derivation δ of a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A} into $B(H)$. Then π_S is a J -symmetric representation of $D(\delta)$ on $N(S)$. We shall call the sextuple

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ind}(\delta, S) &= \text{ind}(\pi_S) \\ &= (n_+(S), n_-(S), d_+(\pi_S), d_-(\pi_S), i_+(\pi_S), i_-(\pi_S)) \end{aligned}$$

the index of δ relative to S .

From Remark 2.5 and from Theorem 3.2(i) we obtain the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.4. (i) If $\max(n_+(S), n_-(S)) < \infty$, then $d_+(\pi_S) = n_+(S)$ and $d_-(\pi_S) = n_-(S)$.

(ii) If $\min(n_+(S), n_-(S)) < \infty$ and if either $D(\delta) = \mathcal{A}$ or the representation π_S extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} , then $d_+(\pi_S) = n_+(S)$ and $d_-(\pi_S) = n_-(S)$.

REMARK 3.5. If $n_-(S) = 0$, so that S is a maximal symmetric operator, then $i_+(\pi_S)$ is the index introduced by Powers [16].

Let S be a symmetric implementation of a derivation δ of a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $B(H)$ into $B(H)$ and let B be a selfadjoint bounded operator. Then the operator $T = S + B$ is a symmetric implementation of the $*$ -derivation $\sigma(A) = \delta(A) + i[B, A]$ of \mathcal{A} into $B(H)$. Then $D(\sigma) = D(\delta)$.

THEOREM 3.6. (i) The representations π_S and π_T of $D(\delta)$ are J -equivalent, i.e., there exists a bounded operator U from $N(S)$ onto $N(T)$ such that $\pi_T U = U \pi_S$ and such that $[Ux, Uy]^T = [x, y]^S$ for all $x, y \in N(S)$.

(ii) $\text{ind}(\delta, S) = \text{ind}(\sigma, T)$.

Proof. It is well-known (see [1, §100]) that $n_+(S) = n_+(T)$ and that $n_-(S) = n_-(T)$. We shall consider a quadratic form $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle^S$ on $D(S^*)$, given by

$$\langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle^S = i((x, S^*y) - (S^*x, y)), \quad x, y \in D(S^*),$$

(see [4], [8]). Given any x and y in $D(S^*)$ and decomposing them

$$x = x_0 + x_+ + x_- \quad \text{and} \quad y = y_0 + y_+ + y_-,$$

where $x_0, y_0 \in D(S)$, $x_+, y_+ \in N_+(S)$ and $x_-, y_- \in N_-(S)$, we obtain that

$$(7) \quad \langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle^S = 2(x_+, y_+) - 2(x_-, y_-) = [x_+ + x_-, y_+ + y_-]^S.$$

We have that $D(S^*) = D(T^*)$ and that $T^* = S^* + B$. It is clear that

$$\langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle^S = \langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle^T, \quad \text{if } x, y \in D(S^*)$$

and that

$$\langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle^S = 0 \quad \text{if } x, y \in D(S).$$

Therefore the forms $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle^S$ and $\langle\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\rangle^T$ generate the same indefinite scalar product on the quotient space $D(S^*)/D(S) = D(T^*)/D(T)$.

Let Q_S and Q_T be the projections onto $N(S)$ and onto $N(T)$ respectively in $D(S^*)$. Then it follows from (7) that for all $x, y \in D(S^*)$,

$$(8) \quad [Q_S x, Q_S y]^S = \langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle^S = \langle\langle x, y \rangle\rangle^T = [Q_T x, Q_T y]^T.$$

For $x \in N(S)$, set $Ux = Q_T x$. Since $Q_T D(S^*) = N(T)$ and since $Q_T D(S) = \{0\}$, U is a bounded operator which maps $N(S)$ onto $N(T)$. By (8),

$$[x, y]^S = [Ux, Uy]^T.$$

Decomposing any x in $D(S^*)$, $x = y + z$, where $y \in D(S)$ and $z \in N(S)$, we obtain that

$$Q_T Q_S x = Q_T Q_S (y + z) = Q_T z = Q_T (y + z) = Q_T x.$$

Therefore, for any x in $N(S)$ and for any A in $D(\delta)$,

$$U \pi_S(A)x = Q_T Q_S A Q_S x = Q_T Q_S A x = Q_T A x.$$

Since $D(S)$ is invariant for A , $Q_T A = Q_T A Q_T$. Hence

$$U \pi_S(A)x = Q_T A x = Q_T A Q_T x = \pi_T(A)Ux.$$

Thus part (i) is proved. Part (ii) follows from (i).

THEOREM 3.7. *Let S and T be maximal symmetric implementations of δ and let $D = D(S) \cap D(T)$ be dense in H . Set $R = S|_D$. Then R is a symmetric implementation of δ . Let*

- (1) $\min(n_+(R), n_-(R)) < \infty$,
- (2) $(T - S)|_D$ extends to a bounded operator B ,

(3) either $D(\delta) = \mathcal{A}$ or π_R extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} .

Then the representations π_S and π_T are J -equivalent, so that $\text{ind}(\delta, S) = \text{ind}(\delta, T)$.

Proof. We have that $AD \subseteq D$ for all $A \in D(\delta)$. Therefore R is a symmetric implementation of δ and

$$\delta(A)|_D = i[S, A]|_D = i[T, A]|_D.$$

Hence B belongs to the commutant \mathcal{A}' of \mathcal{A} and

$$R \subseteq S \quad \text{and} \quad R \subseteq T - B.$$

Set $F = T - B$. Then F is a maximal symmetric implementation of δ , $D(T) = D(F)$ and $R = F|_D$. If $D(\delta) = \mathcal{A}$ or if π_R extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} , then, by Theorem 2.2, $N(R) = P[+]N$ where P and N are respectively uniformly positive and uniformly negative subspaces invariant for π_R . By Theorem 3.1(ii), there is a maximal null invariant subspace L in $N(R)$ which corresponds to S . Then $L = \{x + Ux: x \in L_-\}$ where L_- is a subspace in N invariant for π_R and U is an isometry from L_- into P , i.e., $\langle Ux, Ux \rangle_P = \langle x, x \rangle_N$. Since $\min(n_+(R), n_-(R)) < \infty$, L is finite-dimensional.

In the same way as in Theorem 2.6 set

$$N_L = N \cap L^{[\perp]} \quad \text{and} \quad P_L = P \cap L^{[\perp]}.$$

Then

$$N = N_L[+]L_-, \quad P = P_L[+]L_+ \quad \text{and} \quad L^{[\perp]} = N_L[+]L[+]P_L$$

where $L_+ = \{Ux: x \in L_-\}$. It is easy to see that

$$N(S) = N_L[+]P_L \quad \text{and that} \quad \pi_S = \pi_R|_{N(S)}$$

where N_L and P_L are respectively uniformly negative and positive subspaces invariant for π_S .

Similarly, there is a maximal null invariant subspace $K = \{x + Vx: x \in K_-\}$ in $N(R)$ which corresponds to F , where K_- is a finite-dimensional subspace in N invariant for π_R and where V is isometry from K_- into P . Then, as above, $N(F) = N_K[+]P_K$, where $N_K = N \cap K^{[\perp]}$ and $P_K = P \cap K^{[\perp]}$ are respectively uniformly negative and uniformly positive subspaces invariant for π_F .

It follows from Theorem 2.6 that the representations $(\pi_S)_{N_L} = (\pi_R)_{N_L}$ and $(\pi_F)_{N_K} = (\pi_R)_{N_K}$ are equivalent and that the representations $(\pi_S)_{P_L} = (\pi_R)_{P_L}$ and $(\pi_F)_{P_K} = (\pi_R)_{P_K}$ are equivalent. Therefore the representations π_S and π_F are J -equivalent, i.e., there exists a bounded operator U from $N(S)$ onto $N(F)$ such that $U\pi_S = \pi_F U$ and $[Ux, Uy]^F = [x, y]^S$ for all $x, y \in N(S)$. By Theorem 3.6, the representations π_T and π_F are J -equivalent, so that π_S and π_T are J -equivalent. The theorem is proved.

DEFINITION. We say that a symmetric implementation T of a $*$ -derivation δ from a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $B(H)$ into $B(H)$ is *minimal* if for every symmetric implementation S of δ there is a bounded selfadjoint operator B in the commutant of \mathcal{A} such that $T + B \subseteq S$.

In [10] it was proved that δ has a minimal implementation if \mathcal{A} contains the algebra $C(H)$ of all compact operators. From this and from Theorem 3.7 we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.8. *Let δ be a $*$ -derivation of a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $B(H)$ into $B(H)$. If δ has a minimal implementation T (for example if $C(H) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$), if $\min(n_+(T), n_-(T)) < \infty$ and if either $D(\delta) = \mathcal{A}$ or π_T extends to a bounded representation of \mathcal{A} , then the representations π_S and π_{S_1} are J -equivalent for all maximal symmetric implementations S and S_1 of δ , so that $\text{ind}(\delta, S) = \text{ind}(\delta, S_1)$.*

4. Isomorphism of symmetric operators. We shall apply the results about $*$ -derivations of C^* -algebras to the investigation of symmetric operators. Every densely defined symmetric operator S has a $*$ -algebra associated with it:

$$\mathcal{B}_S = \{A \in B(H) : AD(S) \subseteq D(S), A^*D(S) \subseteq D(S) \text{ and } (SA - AS)|_{D(S)} \text{ extends to a bounded operator}\}.$$

By \mathcal{A}_S we denote the norm closure of \mathcal{B}_S . Then \mathcal{A}_S is a C^* -algebra, $\delta_S(A)|_{D(S)} = i[S, A]|_{D(S)}$ is a closed $*$ -derivation from \mathcal{A}_S into $B(H)$ and $D(\delta_S) = \mathcal{B}_S$. If S implements a $*$ -derivation δ of a C^* -subalgebra \mathcal{A} of $B(H)$ into $B(H)$, then $D(\delta) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_S$ and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_S$. Thus \mathcal{A}_S is the largest C^* -subalgebra of $B(H)$ on which S generates a closed $*$ -derivation and π_S is a J -symmetric representation of \mathcal{B}_S on $N(S)$.

Problems. (i) Is S always a maximal symmetric implementation of δ_S ? In other words, does $\pi_S(\mathcal{B}_S)$ have null invariant subspaces in $N(S)$ or not? If $\pi_S(\mathcal{B}_S)$ has such subspaces, there exists a maximal

δ_S -extension T of S such that $\mathcal{B}_S \subseteq \mathcal{B}_T$ and that $\pi_T(\mathcal{B}_S)$ does not have null invariant subspaces in $N(T)$.

(ii) Let $\pi_S(\mathcal{B}_S)$ have no null invariant subspaces in $N(S)$. Assume also that π_S extends to a bounded J -symmetric representation $\tilde{\pi}_S$ of \mathcal{A}_S and that $N(S) = N[+]P$ where N and P are respectively uniformly negative and positive invariant subspaces for $\tilde{\pi}_S$. Are the restrictions of $\tilde{\pi}_S$ to N and P always irreducible?

Symmetric operators S and T on H and H_1 respectively are isomorphic if there exists an isometry V from H onto H_1 such that

$$(9) \quad VD(S) = D(T) \quad \text{and} \quad VS|_{D(S)} = TV|_{D(S)}.$$

Ginzburg [5] and Phillips [14] showed that in any Π_k -space H there is a one-to-one correspondence between maximal nonpositive subspaces N in H and operators K from H_- into H_+ such that $\|K\| \leq 1 : N = \{x + Kx : x \in H_-\}$. If, in addition, N is uniformly negative, then $\|K\| < 1$.

For every symmetric operator S we denote by $\mathcal{K}(S)$ the set of all operators K from the Hilbert space $N_-(S)$ into the Hilbert space $N_+(S)$ (with respect to the scalar product $\{ , \}$) such that $\|K\| < 1$ ($\|K\|$ is the norm of an operator K in $N(S)$ with respect to the scalar product $\{ , \}$) and such that the subspaces $\{x + Kx : x \in N_-(S)\}$ are invariant for the representation π_S of the algebra \mathcal{B}_S .

The following lemma gives necessary conditions for two symmetric operators to be isomorphic in terms of the representations π_S of the algebras \mathcal{B}_S and in terms of the sets $\mathcal{K}(S)$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let symmetric operators S on H and T on L be isomorphic and let V be the isometry from H onto L such that $VS = TV$. then $V\mathcal{B}_S V^* = \mathcal{B}_T$ and there exists an isometry U from $N(S)$ onto $N(T)$ ($\|Ux\| = \|x\|, x \in N(S)$) such that $UN_d(S) = N_d(T), d = \pm$, and such that*

$$\pi_T(VAV^*) = U\pi_S(A)U^*, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}_S,$$

and

$$\mathcal{K}(T) = U\mathcal{K}(S)U^* = \{UKU^* : K \in \mathcal{K}(S)\}.$$

Proof. We have that $V^*V = 1_H$ and $VV^* = 1_L$. From this and from (9) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} V^*D(T^*) &= D(S^*), & V^*D(T) &= D(S), & S^*V^*|_{D(T)} &= V^*T^*|_{D(T)}, \\ VD(S^*) &= D(T^*), & SV^*|_{D(T)} &= V^*T|_{D(T)}, & VS^*|_{D(S)} &= T^*V|_{D(S)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows immediately that

$$VN_d(S) = N_d(T) \quad \text{and} \quad V^*N_d(T) = N_d(S), \quad d = \pm,$$

and that

$$V\mathcal{B}_S V^* = \mathcal{B}_T \quad \text{and} \quad V\mathcal{A}_S V^* = \mathcal{A}_T.$$

We also have that for $x, y \in D(S^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \{Vx, Vy\} &= (Vx, Vy) + (T^*Vx, T^*Vy) \\ &= (x, y) + (VS^*x, VS^*y) \\ &= (x, y) + (S^*x, S^*y) = \{x, y\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore V generates an isometry $U = Q_T V Q_S$ from $N(S)$ onto $N(T)$, where Q_S is the projection onto $N(S)$ in $D(S^*)$ and where Q_T is the projection onto $N(T)$ in $D(T^*)$. Since $VQ_S = Q_T V$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_T(VAV^*) &= Q_T VAV^* Q_T \\ &= Q_T VQ_S A Q_S V^* Q_T = U\pi_S(A)U^* \quad \text{for all } A \in \mathcal{B}_S. \end{aligned}$$

Let $K \in \mathcal{K}(S)$. Then $\|K\| < 1$ and the subspace $N = \{x + Kx : x \in N_-(S)\}$ is invariant for the representation π_S of the algebra \mathcal{B}_S . Set $K^1 = UKU^*$. Then $\|K^1\| < 1$ and the subspace $M = UN = \{y + K^1y : y \in N_-(T)\}$ is invariant for the representation π_T of the algebra \mathcal{B}_T , since

$$\pi_T(VAV^*)M = U\pi_S(A)U^*UN = U\pi_S(A)N \subseteq UN = M$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{B}_S$. Therefore $K^1 \in \mathcal{K}(T)$.

If $K^1 \in \mathcal{K}(T)$, similarly we obtain that $U^*K^1U = K$ belongs to $\mathcal{K}(S)$ which concludes the proof of the lemma.

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that in order to prove that two symmetric operators S and T are not isomorphic it is sufficient to show that there does not exist an isometry U from $N(S)$ onto $N(T)$ such that $UN_d(S) = N_d(T)$, $d = \pm$, and such that $\mathcal{K}(T) = U\mathcal{K}(S)U^*$.

We shall now consider symmetric operators S such that $n_+(S) = n_-(S) = 1$. We shall also assume that the representations π_S of \mathcal{B}_S on $N(S)$ do not have null invariant subspaces. By Theorem 3.1(iv), π_S extend to bounded representations of C^* -algebras \mathcal{A}_S . It follows from Theorem 3.2 that $N(S) = N[+]P$ where N and P are respectively negative and positive subspaces invariant for π_S and that the representations $\pi_S|_N$ and $\pi_S|_P$ are not equivalent. Then N and P are the only subspaces in $N(S)$ invariant for π_S , $\dim N = \dim P = 1$

and $N = \{x + Kx: x \in N_-(S)\}$, where K are operators from $N_-(S)$ into $N_+(S)$ such that $|||K||| < 1$. Set

$$\beta(S) = |||K|||.$$

Then $0 \leq \beta(S) < 1$ and from Lemma 4.1 it follows that $\beta(S) = \beta(T)$ if S and T are isomorphic.

For every $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, we shall construct a symmetric operator S such that $n_-(S) = n_+(S) = 1$ and such that $\beta(S) = \lambda$. The question arises as to whether $\beta(S)$ classifies up to isomorphism all the symmetric operators S such that $n_+(S) = n_-(S) = 1$ and such that π_S do not have null invariant subspaces.

It is easy to construct a symmetric operator S such that $\beta(S) = 0$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} S_+ &= i \frac{d}{dx}, \\ D(S_+) &= \{y(x): y \text{ and } y' \text{ in } L_2(-\infty, 0), y(-\infty) = y(0) = 0\}, \\ S_- &= i \frac{d}{dx}, \\ D(S_-) &= \{y(x): y \text{ and } y' \text{ in } L_2(0, \infty), y(0) = y(\infty) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $S = S_+ \oplus S_-$ on $H = L_2(-\infty, 0) \oplus L_2(0, \infty)$. Then $n_+(S) = n_-(S) = 1$ and it can be shown that $N_+(S)$ and $N_-(S)$ are invariant for π_S . Therefore $K = 0$, so that $\beta(S) = 0$.

Let us consider the following symmetric differential operators

$$\begin{aligned} S_a &= i \frac{d}{dx}, \\ D(S_a) &= \{y(x): y \text{ and } y' \text{ in } L_2(0, a), y(0) = y(a) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

$0 < a < \infty$. It is well-known that $n_-(S_a) = n_+(S_a) = 1$ for all $0 < a < \infty$. Schmudgen [19] showed that S_a and S_b are not isomorphic if $a \neq b$. Using Lemma 4.1 we shall give another proof of this result and show that $0 < \beta(S_a) = e^{-a} < 1$, so that $\beta(S)$ takes all values in $[0, 1)$.

THEOREM 4.2. *For every $a \neq 0$, the representation π_{S_a} of \mathcal{B}_{S_a} does not have null invariant subspaces and $\beta(S_a) = e^{-a}$. The symmetric operators S_a and S_b are only isomorphic if $a = b$.*

Proof. We have that

$$(S_a)^* = i \frac{d}{dx} \quad \text{and} \quad D((S_a)^*) = \{y(x): y \text{ and } y' \text{ in } L_2(0, a)\}.$$

Set $h = h(x) = e^x$ and $g = g(x) = e^{a-x}$. Then

$$h(x), g(x) \in D((S_a)^*),$$

$$(S_a)^*h(x) = ih(x) \text{ and } (S_a)^*g(x) = -ig(x),$$

so that $N_-(S_a) = \{g(x)\}$ and $N_+(S_a) = \{h(x)\}$. We also have that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} |||h|||^2 &= ||h(x)||^2 + ||S_a^*h(x)||^2 \\ &= 2||h(x)||^2 = |||g|||^2 = e^{2a} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let A be the bounded operator of multiplication by x , i.e., $Ay(x) = xy(x)$. Then

$$AD(S_a) \subseteq D(S_a) \quad \text{and} \quad i[S_a, A]|_{D(S_a)} = -1|_{D(S_a)}.$$

Therefore $A \in \mathcal{B}_{S_a}$. Set

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} y(x) &= h(x) - e^{-a}g(x) = e^x - e^{-x}, \\ z(x) &= g(x) - e^{-a}h(x) = e^{a-x} - e^{x-a}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $y(x)$ and $z(x)$ form a basis in $N(S_a)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Ay(x) &= x(e^x - e^{-x}) = a(e^x - e^{-x}) + f(x) = ay(x) + f(x), \\ Az(x) &= x(e^{a-x} - e^{x-a}) = q(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the functions $f(x)$ and $q(x)$ belong to $D(S_a)$. Therefore

$$\pi_{S_a}(A)y(x) = y(x) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{S_a}(A)z(x) = 0.$$

Since g and h are J -orthogonal, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [y, y]^{S_a} &= [h, h]^{S_a} + e^{-2a}[g, g]^{S_a} = |||h|||^2 - e^{-2a}|||g|||^2 \\ &= (e^{2a} - 1)(1 - e^{-2a}) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$[z, z]^{S_a} = [g, g]^{S_a} + e^{-2a}[h, h]^{S_a} = (e^{2a} - 1)(e^{-2a} - 1) < 0.$$

Therefore the subspaces $P = \{y(x)\}$ and $N = \{z(x)\}$ are respectively positive and negative subspaces in $N(S_a)$ invariant for $\pi_{S_a}(A)$. Moreover, they are the only subspaces in $N(S_a)$ invariant for $\pi_{S_a}(A)$. Therefore $\pi_{S_a}(\mathcal{B}_{S_a})$ does not have null invariant subspaces and it follows from Theorem 3.2 that the subspaces N and P are invariant for the representation π_{S_a} of the algebra \mathcal{B}_{S_a} . Thus $\mathcal{K}(S_a)$ consists of

only one operator K and, by (11),

$$Kg(x) = -e^{-a}h(x).$$

It follows from (10) that $\|K\| = e^{-a}$. Thus $0 < \beta(S_a) < 1$.

If $a \neq b$, $\beta(S_a) \neq \beta(S_b)$, so that S_a and S_b are not isomorphic. The theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. I. Ahiezer and I. M. Glazman, *The theory of linear operators in Hilbert spaces*, GITTL, Moscow, 1950; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1961.
- [2] W. Arveson, *Continuous analogues of Fock space*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **80**, Number 409, 1989.
- [3] —, *An addition formula for the index of semigroups of endomorphisms of $B(H)$* , Pacific J. Math., **137** (1989), 19–36.
- [4] J. P. Ginzburg, *Projection in a Hilbert space with bilinear metric*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **139** (1961), 775–778=Soviet Math. Dokl., **2** (1961), 980–983.
- [5] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators*, vol. II, Interscience Inc., New York, 1988 (new edn).
- [6] P. E. T. Jorgensen, *Selfadjoint extension operators commuting with an algebra*, Math. Z., **169** (1979), 41–62.
- [7] P. E. T. Jorgensen and P. S. Muhly, *Self adjoint extensions satisfying the Weyl operator commutation relations*, J. D'Anal. Math., **37** (1980), 46–99.
- [8] P. E. T. Jorgensen and G. L. Price, *Index theory and second quantization of boundary value problems*, 1990, preprint.
- [9] E. V. Kissin, *Symmetric operator extensions of unbounded derivations of C^* -algebras*, J. Funct. Anal., **81** (1988), 38–53.
- [10] —, *Deficiency indices sets of unbounded derivations of C^* -algebras*, preprint, 1989.
- [11] —, *Dissipative implementations of $*$ -derivations of C^* -algebras and representations in indefinite metric spaces*, J. London Math. Soc., to appear.
- [12] M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the geometry of indefinite J -spaces and to the theory of operators in those spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **93** (1970), 103–176.
- [13] M. A. Naimark, *Normed algebras*, Nauka, Moscow, 1968.
- [14] R. S. Phillips, *Dissipative operators and hyperbolic systems of partial differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **90** (1959), 193–254.
- [15] —, *On dissipative operators*, In: *Lecture series in differential equations*, ed. A. K. Aziz, Van Nostrand Math. Studies 19, Vol. II, New York, Van Nostrand 1969.
- [16] R. T. Powers, *An index theory for semigroups of $*$ -endomorphisms of $B(H)$ and type II_1 factors*, Canad. J. Math., **40** (1988), 86–114.
- [17] R. T. Powers and D. W. Robinson, *An index for continuous semigroups of $B(H)$* , J. Funct. Anal., **84** (1989), 85–96.
- [18] S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1971.
- [19] K. Schmudgen, *On the Heisenberg commutation relation*, J. Funct. Anal., **50** (1983), 8–49.
- [20] V. S. Shul'man, *On representations of C^* -algebras on indefinite metric spaces*, Mat. Zametki, **22** (1977), 583–592 =Math. Notes **22** (1977).

- [21] C. F. Skau, *Positive selfadjoint extensions of operators affiliated with a von Neumann algebra*, *Math. Scand.*, **44** (1979), 171–195.
- [22] S. P. Slinker, *On commuting selfadjoint extensions of unbounded operators*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, **27** (1978), 629–636.

Received March 22, 1990 and in revised form October 15, 1990.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL STUDIES
THE POLYTECHNIC OF NORTH LONDON, HOLLOWAY
LONDON N7 8DB, GREAT BRITAIN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

F. MICHAEL CHRIST
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF
(1904–1989)

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 152, No. 1

January, 1992

B. V. Rajarama Bhat , On a characterization of velocity maps in the space of observables	1
John David Brillhart , Note on the discriminant of certain cyclotomic period polynomials	15
Steven R. Costenoble and Stefan Waner , The equivariant Thom isomorphism theorem	21
Karl Heinz Dovermann and Dong Youp Suh , Smith equivalence for finite abelian groups	41
Klaus-Jochen Engel , On singular perturbations of second order Cauchy problems	79
Angel Ferrandez and Pascual Lucas , On surfaces in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space	93
Brian Hartley , A general Brauer-Fowler theorem and centralizers in locally finite groups	101
Joel Hass , Intersections of least area surfaces	119
Edward Kissin , Indices of unbounded derivations of C^* -algebras	125
Erhard Luft and Denis Karmen Sjerve , On regular coverings of 3-manifolds by homology 3-spheres	151
Daniel Pecker , Sur la projection de variétés algébriques réelles	165
Claus Scheiderer , Some remarks on orderings under finite field extensions	175
Fernando Serrano , Elliptic surfaces with an ample divisor of genus two	187