

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF A CONFORMAL MAPPING

JOHN MARAFINO

BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF A CONFORMAL MAPPING

JOHN MARAFINO

Let D be a simply connected plane domain, not the whole plane. Let R^* denote those accessible boundary points of D such that D twists violently about them; that is, if $\alpha \in R^*$ and $w(\alpha)$ denotes its complex coordinate, then

$$\liminf_{\substack{w \rightarrow \alpha \\ w \in D}} \arg(w - w(\alpha)) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \\ \limsup_{\substack{w \rightarrow \alpha \\ w \in D}} \arg(w - w(\alpha)) = +\infty,$$

where $\arg(w - w(\alpha))$ is defined and continuous in D . We show that if a certain geometric condition holds at each point of a set $W^* \subset R^*$, then W^* is a D -conformal null set. Let L_ν denote the ray with terminal point $w(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in R^*$, having inclination ν , $0 \leq \nu < 2\pi$. Let m denote Lebesgue measure on L_ν and set

$$u(\nu) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m((L_\nu \cap D) \cap (w(\alpha), w(\alpha) + re^{i\nu}))}{r}.$$

Let $W^* = \{\alpha \in R^* : \text{there exists } L_{\nu_i}, i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ at } w(\alpha) \text{ such that } |\nu_i - \nu_j| = (2/3)\pi, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \text{ and } u(\nu_i) < 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3\}$.

THEOREM. *W^* is a D -conformal null set.*

Introduction. Let D be a simply connected plane domain, not the whole plane, and let $w = f(z)$ be a one to one conformal map from the open unit disk onto D . It is well-known that for almost every θ , $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, $f(z)$ has a finite radial limit $f(e^{i\theta})$ at $e^{i\theta}$. By [4, pp. 311–312] we also have for almost every θ that the image of the radius at $e^{i\theta}$ is a rectifiable curve. Thus, for almost every θ , $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, the image of the radius at $e^{i\theta}$ determines a (ideal) rectifiably accessible boundary point α_θ of D whose complex coordinate $w(\alpha_\theta) = f(e^{i\theta})$ is finite. The set of all such α_θ is denoted by A^* . In fact, using Theorem 1 in [2, p. 37], Theorem 9.3 in [4, p. 268], and Theorem 10.9 in [4, p. 316], it follows that A^* is the set of all rectifiably accessible boundary points of D . On $D^* = D \cup A^*$ we define the arc-length distance l_{D^*} between two points as the infimum of the euclidean lengths of arcs that lie in D and join these two points.

It can be shown that l_{D^*} is a metric for D^* . Any limits involving accessible boundary points are taken in l_{D^*} .

A set $N^* \subset A^*$ is said to be a D -conformal null set provided that the set $\{\theta: \alpha_\theta \in N^*\}$ has measure zero. We note that this definition is independent of f . We shall let T^* be those $\alpha \in A^*$ at which the inner tangent to the boundary of D exists. Thus, if $\alpha \in T^*$ then there exists a unique $\nu(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \nu(\alpha) < 2\pi$, such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ ($\varepsilon < \pi/2$) there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\Delta = \{w(\alpha) + \rho e^{i\nu}: 0 < \rho < \delta, |\nu - \nu(\alpha)| < \pi/2 - \varepsilon\} \subset D$$

and $w \rightarrow \alpha$ as $w \rightarrow w(\alpha)$, $w \in \Delta$. We denote by R^* the set of rectifiably accessible boundary points of D such that

$$\liminf_{\substack{w \rightarrow \alpha_\theta \\ w \in D}} \arg(w - w(\alpha_\theta)) = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{\substack{w \rightarrow \alpha_\theta \\ w \in D}} \arg(w - w(\alpha_\theta)) = +\infty,$$

where $\arg(w - w(\alpha_\theta))$ is defined and continuous in D .

In [3, p. 44] a diameter metric is used and it is shown that $A^* = T^* \cup R^* \cup N^*$, where N^* is a D -conformal null set. Also, an example is given [3, p. 65] of a domain D where $A^* = R^* \cup N^*$. We note that the same characterization of A^* holds using l_{D^*} and that in the cited example each point of A^* is rectifiably accessible.

In this paper we shall restrict our attention to R^* and show that if a certain geometric condition holds at each point of a set $W^* \subset R^*$, then W^* is a D -conformal null set. Let L_ν denote the ray with terminal point $w(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in R^*$, having inclination ν , $0 \leq \nu < 2\pi$. Let m denote Lebesgue measure on L_ν and set

$$u(\nu) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{m((L_\nu \cap D) \cap (w(\alpha), w(\alpha) + re^{i\nu}))}{r}.$$

Let $W^* = \{\alpha \in R^*: \text{there exists } L_{\nu_i}, i = 1, 2, 3, \text{ at } w(\alpha) \text{ such that } |\nu_i - \nu_j| = (2/3)\pi, 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \text{ and } u(\nu_i) < 1 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3\}$.

THEOREM. W^* is a D -conformal null set.

The proof of this theorem will be a consequence of the lemma stated below. Given $\alpha \in R^*$ and positive numbers $\nu, \delta', \delta'', \varepsilon$ with $\delta' < \delta''$ and $\varepsilon < \pi/2$, we define $A(\alpha, \nu, \delta', \delta'', \pi/2 - \varepsilon) = \{w(\alpha) + \rho e^{i\phi}: |\phi - \nu| < \pi/2 - \varepsilon, \delta' < \rho < \delta''\}$.

LEMMA. Except for a D -conformal null set of R^* , for each $\alpha \in R^*$ there exists a $\nu(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \nu(\alpha) \leq 2\pi$, and a sequence of pairwise disjoint

disks $\{O_n\}$ with radii r_n and center w_n such that for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon < \pi/2$, there exists sequences $\{\delta'_n\}$, $\{\delta''_n\}$ of positive real numbers such that

(1) $A(\alpha, \nu(\alpha), \delta'_n, \delta''_n, \pi/2 - \varepsilon) \subset O_n \subset D$ for all sufficiently large n .

(2) $l_{D^*}(w_n, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, $r_n, \delta'_n, \delta''_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(3)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta''_n - \delta'_n}{r_n} = 2 \cos(\pi/2 - \varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta''_n - \delta'_n}{\delta''_n} = 1.$$

Note that as we approach $\alpha \in T^*$ through the regions Δ , the measure of the angle at the vertex approaches π . Because of this property our theorem is trivially true on T^* . Our lemma on R^* asserts that the regions $A(\alpha, \nu(\alpha), \delta'_n, \delta''_n, \pi/2 - \varepsilon)$ are mimicking this property of the Δ 's and as a consequence our theorem should hold on R^* .

Proof of Lemma. Our lemma follows from a result due to Gerasch [1] which we shall indicate in our discussion below.

Let η_k and δ_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$, be sequences of positive numbers decreasing to zero. For any sequence $\{w_n\}$ of points in D we define the corresponding sequence of disks $\{O_n\}$ as follows: O_n is the largest disk centered at w_n having radius r_n that is contained in D . We will say that a sequence $\{w_n\} \subset D$ is a boundary sequence provided that the Euclidean distance from w_n to the boundary of D approaches zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We let $F_{k,p}^*$ be those points $\alpha \in R^*$ such that for all boundary sequences $\{w_n\}$ the corresponding sequence of disks $\{O_n\}$ satisfy $l_{D^*}(O_n, \alpha) > \eta_k r_n$, $r_n < \delta_p$. Set $F_k^* = \bigcup_p F_{k,p}^*$.

In [1, p. 204] Gerasch uses an extremal length argument to show that a set, whose definition is similar to $F_{k,p}^*$, is D -conformal null. The steps of his proof can be used here to show $F_{k,p}^*$ is D -conformal null. In the following three paragraphs we outline this procedure. For more details we refer the reader to Gerasch's paper. We also note that the extremal length argument used by Gerasch was also used by McMillan in [3, pp. 58–61] to establish his characterization of A^* .

Let $E = \{e^{i\theta} : \alpha_\theta \in F_{k,p}^*\}$. It is well known that almost every point of a set of positive measure is a point of outer density. If we show that each point $e^{i\theta} \in E$ is not a point of outer density then it follows that $m(E) = 0$. In order to do this we will first establish a relationship between the extremal length of a family of curves in $\{|z| < 1\}$ and

the density of E near $e^{i\theta} \in E$. We use the following result which is due to Gerasch [1, p. 202]; McMillan proves a similar result on the upper half plane [3, p. 56]:

Let $0 < \delta < \pi/2$ and let $A \subset (0, \delta)$ with outer measure $m^*(A)$. For each θ such that $0 < \theta < \delta$, let γ_θ denote the arc of the circle orthogonal to $|z| = 1$ at $e^{i\theta}$ and at $e^{-i\theta}$ which is contained in the unit disk. Set $\Gamma = \{\gamma_\theta : \theta \in A\}$. Then the extremal length $\lambda(\Gamma)$ of the family of curves satisfies

$$\lambda(\Gamma) \leq \pi / \log k,$$

where $k = \sin \delta / \sin[\delta - m^*(A)]$.

Fix $e^{i\theta_0} \in E$ and let $\{z_n\}$ be a radial sequence approaching $e^{i\theta_0}$. The sequence $\{w_n\}$, $w_n = f(z_n)$, satisfies $l_{D^*}(w_n, \alpha_{\theta_0}) \rightarrow 0$ because f has radial limit α_{θ_0} , and so $r_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\{w_n\}$ is a boundary sequence whose corresponding sequence of disks $\{O_n\}$ satisfy

$$(1) \quad \text{For all } \theta \in E, \quad l_{D^*}(O_n, \alpha_\theta) > \eta_k r_n \quad \text{for } r_n < \delta_p.$$

For each n the closure of O_n contains a point on the boundary of D . Let b_n be the radius connecting w_n to this point and define b_n^z by requiring $f(b_n^z) = b_n$. Since f is normal it has no Koebe arcs [4, pp. 262–267]. Thus b_n^z has an end point $e^{i\theta_n}$ on $\{|z| = 1\}$, $e^{i\theta_n} \notin E$, and it follows that the image of b_n^z and the image of the radius at $e^{i\theta_n}$ under f determine the same accessible boundary point whose complex coordinate we can now denote by $f(e^{i\theta_n})$. In addition, since $\text{diam } b_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one has by Koebe's lemma [2, p. 31] that $e^{i\theta_n} \rightarrow e^{i\theta_0}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By choosing a subsequence and relabeling it one can suppose without loss of generality that $\theta_0 < \theta_n < \theta_0 + \pi/2$ for all n . Setting $E_n = \{e^{i(\theta_n - \zeta)} \in E : 0 < \zeta < \theta_n - \theta_0\}$, we define Γ_n to be those circular arcs contained in $\{|z| < 1\}$ which are orthogonal to $|z| = 1$ at $e^{i(\theta_n + \zeta)}$ and $e^{i(\theta_n - \zeta)}$ for some $e^{i(\theta_n - \zeta)} \in E_n$. It follows from above that for all n ,

$$(2) \quad \lambda(\Gamma_n) \leq \pi / \log k_n,$$

where $k_n = \sin(\theta - \theta_0) / \sin[(\theta_n - \theta_0) - m^*(E_n)]$. Using (2) and the fact that $\sin x \leq x$ for $0 \leq x < \pi/2$, we have

$$\sin(\theta_n - \theta_0) \leq e^{\pi/\lambda(\Gamma_n)} [(\theta_n - \theta_0) - m^*(E_n)],$$

and hence

$$(3) \quad \frac{m^*(E_n)}{\theta_n - \theta_0} \leq 1 - e^{-\pi/\lambda(\Gamma_n)}[\sin(\theta_n - \theta_0)/(\theta_n - \theta_0)].$$

From (3) we will be able to conclude that $e^{i\theta_0}$ is not a point of outer density providing we establish the existence of a positive number c satisfying $\lambda(\Gamma_n) \geq c$ for all n such that $r_n < \delta_p$. We will use (1) and the conformal invariance of λ to do just this.

Let $\Gamma(b_n) = \{\gamma: \gamma \text{ is a curve in } D \text{ which joins a point of } b_n \text{ to a point } f(e^{i\theta}), e^{i\theta} \in E\}$ and $\Gamma'_n = \{\gamma': \gamma' \subset \{|z| < 1\} \text{ and } f(\gamma') \in \Gamma(b_n)\}$. Then $\lambda(\Gamma(b_n)) = \lambda(\Gamma'_n)$ and since every $\gamma \in \Gamma_n$ contains some curve $\gamma' \in \Gamma'_n$ we have by the comparison principle for extremal length that $\lambda(\Gamma'_n) \leq \lambda(\Gamma_n)$. Hence to show $e^{i\theta_0}$ is not a point of outer density it suffices to find a positive number c satisfying $\lambda(\Gamma(b_n)) \geq c$ for all n such that $r_n < \delta_p$.

For each n such that $r_n < \delta_p$, let $V_n = \{w \in D: \text{dist}(w, b_n) < \eta_k l(b_n)\}$, where $\text{dist}(w, b_n)$ denotes the Euclidean distance from the point w to b_n and $l(\)$ denotes the length of the curve. By (1) it follows that for any $\gamma \in \Gamma(b_n)$,

$$l(\gamma \cap V_n) > \eta_k r_n.$$

Defining $\rho_n(w) = 1$ if $w \in V_n$ and 0 elsewhere we have that ρ_n is a measurable function, $\int_\gamma \rho_n |dw| \geq \eta_k r_n$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(b_n)$ and $A(\rho_n)$ —the area integral for V_n with respect to ρ_n —satisfies $A(\rho_n) \leq 2\eta_k r_n^2 + \pi\eta_k^2 r_n^2$. Hence for each n such that $r_n < \delta_p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\Gamma(b_n)) &= \sup_\rho \frac{L(\Gamma(b_n, \rho))^2}{A(\rho)} \geq \frac{L(\Gamma(b_n, \rho_n))^2}{A(\rho_n)} \\ &= \frac{\left[\inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma(b_n)} \int_\gamma \rho_n |dw|\right]^2}{A(\rho_n)} \geq \frac{\eta_k}{2 + \pi\eta_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Since η_k is independent of n we choose this last value for c .

It follows that F_k^* and $\bigcup_k F_k^*$ are D -conformal null sets and we can conclude that with the exception of a D -conformal null set, for each $\alpha \in R^*$ and $k, k = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a boundary sequence $\{w_{k,n}\}$ in D whose corresponding sequence of disks $\{O_{k,n}\}$ satisfies $l_{D^*}(O_{k,n}, \alpha) \leq \eta_k r_{k,n}$ for all n . Since $r_{k,n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one has $l_{D^*}(w_{k,n}, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using this fact and a relabeling of the sequence we can assume without loss of generality that for each k , $l_{D^*}(w_{k,n}, \alpha) < 1/k$ for all n .

If we now consider the rectangular array of points $[w_{k,n}]$ and form the sequence $\{w_{n,n}\}$ we arrive at the following result: Except for a D -conformal null set of R^* , for each $\alpha \in R^*$ there exists a sequence $\{w_n\}$ ($w_{n,n} = w_n$) along with its corresponding sequence of disks $\{O_n\}$ which satisfy the following:

- (4) O_n is contained in D for each n ,
- (5) $l_{D^*}(w_n, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$,
- (6) $l_{D^*}(O_n, \alpha) \leq \eta_n r_n$ for all n .

Since $\alpha \in R^*$ we have that the Euclidean distance from O_n to $w(\alpha)$, $\text{dist}(O_n, w(\alpha))$, is positive for all n . Using (5) there exists a point of the sequence $\{w_n\}$, which we denote by w_{n_1} such that $l_{D^*}(w_{n_1}, \alpha) < \text{dist}(O_1, w(\alpha))/2$. It follows that $\text{dist}(w_{n_1}, w(\alpha)) < \text{dist}(O_1, w(\alpha))/2$ and $r_{n_1} < \text{dist}(O_1, w(\alpha))/2$. Hence the disks O_{n_1} and O_1 are disjoint. Using the fact that $\text{dist}(O_{n_1}, w(\alpha))$ is positive we repeat the above argument to get a point w_{n_2} , $n_1 < n_2$ from the sequence $\{w_n\}$ whose corresponding disk O_{n_2} is disjoint from O_{n_1} and O_1 . Inductively we are able to define a subsequence $\{w_{n_k}\}$ of $\{w_n\}$ whose corresponding sequence of disks $\{O_{n_k}\}$ satisfy (4), (5), (6) in addition to being pairwise disjoint. By relabeling this sequence one can assume without loss of generality that the O_n are pairwise disjoint. Since the sequence

$$\{\nu_n = \arg(w_n - w(\alpha)) \bmod(2\pi), n = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is bounded, it has a subsequence that converges to some ν_0 where either $0 < \nu_0 < 2\pi$ or $\nu_0 = \text{mod}(2\pi)$. Thus, we can further assume without loss of generality that the sequence $\{w_n\}$ is such that

$$(7) \quad \arg(w_n - w(\alpha)) \bmod 2\pi \rightarrow \nu_0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We shall set $\nu(\alpha) = \nu_0$.

For any positive numbers δ and ε , $\varepsilon < \pi/2$, we know from (5) and (7) that for n sufficiently large, O_n is contained in $\{|w - w(\alpha)| < \delta\}$ and O_n intersects the sector $\Delta = \{w(\alpha) + \rho e^{i\phi} : \rho < \delta \text{ and } |\phi - \nu_0| < \pi/2 - \varepsilon\}$. We show that one can choose n sufficiently large so that the boundary of O_n intersects the boundary of Δ at four distinct points. Let L_n denote the ray through w_n having terminal point $w(\alpha)$. Let T'_n, T''_n denote the two rays tangent to O_n having terminal point $w(\alpha)$ and let ε_n denote the measure of the angle formed by L_n and T'_n and L_n and T''_n . See Figure 1. If d_n denotes the Euclidean distance from O_n to $w(\alpha)$, we have that

$$\sin \varepsilon_n = \frac{r_n}{r_n + d_n} > \frac{r_n}{r_n + l_{D^*}(O_n, \alpha)} = \frac{1}{1 + l_{D^*}(O_n, \alpha)/r_n}.$$




FIGURE 1

Using (6) we see that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\sin \epsilon_n \rightarrow 1$ and so $\epsilon_n \rightarrow \pi/2$. If we choose n sufficiently large so that

$$\epsilon_n > \pi/2 - \epsilon/2 \quad \text{and} \quad |\arg L_n - \nu_0| < \epsilon/4$$

then our claim follows. Note that one pair of the four points lies on the segment $w(\alpha) + \rho e^{i(\nu_0 + (\pi/2 - \epsilon))}$: $0 \leq \rho \leq \delta$ and the other pair lies on the segment $w(\alpha) + \rho e^{i(\nu_0 - (\pi/2 - \epsilon))}$: $0 \leq \rho \leq \delta$. We choose the pair whose Euclidean distance from one another is the smaller and denote their distance from $w(\alpha)$ by δ'_n and δ''_n where $\delta'_n < \delta''_n$. Doing this for each n , n sufficiently large, defines two sequences $\{\delta'_n\}$, $\{\delta''_n\}$ such that

$$A(\alpha, \nu_0, \delta'_n, \delta''_n, \pi/2 - \epsilon) \subset O_n \subset D \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Letting $\tau_n = [\arg(w_n - w(\alpha)) \bmod 2\pi - \nu_0]$ and referring to Figure




FIGURE 2

2 we have that

$$[\delta'_n \sin(\tau_n + (\pi/2 - \epsilon))]^2 + [(r_n + d_n) - \delta'_n \cos(\tau_n + (\pi/2 - \epsilon))]^2 = r_n^2,$$

$$[\delta''_n \sin(\tau_n + (\pi/2 - \epsilon))]^2 + [(r_n + d_n) - \delta''_n \cos(\tau_n + (\pi/2 - \epsilon))]^2 = r_n^2.$$

Upon solving for δ'_n and δ''_n we have that

$$\frac{\delta''_n - \delta'_n}{r_n} = 2 \frac{r_n + d_n}{r_n} \sqrt{\cos(\tau_n + (\pi/2 - \epsilon))^2 + r_n^2 / (r_n + d_n)^2 - 1}$$

and

$$\frac{\delta''_n - \delta'_n}{\delta''_n} = \frac{2\sqrt{\cos(\tau_n + (\pi/2 - \varepsilon))^2 + r_n^2/(r_n + d_n)^2 - 1}}{\cos(\tau_n + (\pi/2 - \varepsilon)) + \sqrt{\cos(\tau_n + (\pi/2 - \varepsilon))^2 + r_n^2/(r_n + d_n)^2 - 1}}.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta''_n - \delta'_n}{r_n} = 2 \cos(\pi/2 - \varepsilon), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta''_n - \delta'_n}{\delta''_n} = 1,$$

and the lemma is proven.

Proof of Theorem. Suppose to the contrary that W^* is not D -conformal null. By the lemma, with the exception of a D -conformal null set of W^* we know that for each $\alpha \in W^*$ there exists $\nu(\alpha)$, $0 \leq \nu(\alpha) \leq 2\pi$, such that for $\varepsilon = \pi/12$ there exist sequences $\{\delta'_n\}$, $\{\delta''_n\}$ such that

$$A(\alpha, \nu(\alpha), \delta'_n, \delta''_n, 5\pi/12) \subset D \quad \text{for all } n$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\delta''_n - \delta'_n}{\delta''_n} = 1.$$

Also, from the definition of W^* , for each $\alpha \in W^*$ there exists a k , $k = 1, 2, 3$, such that L_{ν_k} passes through the regions $A(\alpha, \nu(\alpha), \delta'_n, \delta''_n, 5\pi/12)$ and $u(\nu_k) < 1$. However,

$$\frac{m((L_{\nu_k} \cap D) \cap (w(\alpha), w(\alpha) + \delta''_n e^{i\nu_k}))}{\delta''_n} > \frac{\delta''_n - \delta'_n}{\delta''_n}$$

and this implies that $u(\nu_k) = 1$. This is a contradiction. Thus W^* must be a D -conformal null set.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. E. Gerasch, *On the accessibility of the boundary of a simply connected domain*, Michigan Math. J., **33** (1986), 201–207.
- [2] G. M. Goluzin, *Geometric Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, vol. 26, Transl. Math. Monographs, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.
- [3] J. E. McMillan, *Boundary behavior of a conformal mapping*, Acta Math., **123** (1969), 43–67.
- [4] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Gottingen, 1975.

Received August 14, 1989 and in revised form February 5, 1991.

JAMES MADISON UNIVERSITY
HARRISONBURG, VA 22807

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555-05

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

F. MICHAEL CHRIST
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. MOORE
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF
(1904–1989)

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 153, No. 1

March, 1992

Patrick Robert Ahern and Carmen Cascante , Exceptional sets for Poisson integrals of potentials on the unit sphere in \mathbf{C}^n , $p \leq 1$	1
David Peter Blecher , The standard dual of an operator space	15
Patrick Gilmer , Real algebraic curves and link cobordism	31
Simon M. Goberstein , On orthodox semigroups determined by their bundles of correspondences	71
John Kalliongis and Darryl John McCullough , Homeotopy groups of irreducible 3-manifolds which may contain two-sided projective planes	85
Yuji Konishi, Masaru Nagisa and Yasuo Watatani , Some remarks on actions of compact matrix quantum groups on C^* -algebras	119
Guojun Liao and Luen-Fai Tam , On the heat equation for harmonic maps from noncompact manifolds	129
John Marafino , Boundary behavior of a conformal mapping	147
Ji Min , A remark on the symmetry of solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations	157
Paul Nevai and Walter Van Assche , Compact perturbations of orthogonal polynomials	163
Kyril Tintarev , Level set maxima and quasilinear elliptic problems	185