

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**ON THE RANGE OF AN UNBOUNDED PARTLY ATOMIC  
VECTOR-VALUED MEASURE**

ENRIQUE ALBERTO GONZALEZ-VELASCO AND LEE KENNETH JONES

## ON THE RANGE OF AN UNBOUNDED PARTLY ATOMIC VECTOR-VALUED MEASURE

ENRIQUE A. GONZÁLEZ-VELASCO AND LEE K. JONES

A well-known theorem of Liapunov states that the range of a bounded, non-atomic, finite-dimensional, vector-valued measure is closed and convex. In this paper we study the range of an unbounded finite-dimensional vector-valued measure that is at least partly atomic. In the one-dimensional case we show that if the range is dense in an interval  $[0, a]$  for some  $a > 0$  then it contains  $[0, a]$ . In the general case of arbitrary dimension  $d$  we shall use the following notation. If  $e_1, \dots, e_d$  are linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  let  $C^\circ$  denote the interior of the convex cone  $C = \{a_1e_1 + \dots + a_de_d : a_1, \dots, a_d \geq 0\}$ . Then, if  $x = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_de_d$  and  $y = b_1e_1 + \dots + b_de_d$  are in  $C$ ,  $x < y$  and  $x \leq y$  shall mean that  $a_k < b_k$  and that  $a_k \leq b_k$ , respectively, for  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . Finally, if  $a \in C^\circ$  define  $(0, a) = \{x \in C^\circ : 0 < x < a\}$  and  $(0, a] = \{x \in C^\circ : 0 < x \leq a\}$ . Now let  $\mu$  be a measure such that any bounded subset of its range is in  $C$ , and such that the set of all  $\mu(E)$  in  $C$  such that  $E$  contains no atom is bounded. We show that if  $R_\mu$  is dense in  $(0, a]$  for some  $a \in C^\circ$  then it contains  $(0, a]$ .

A well-known theorem of Liapunov [1] states that the range of a bounded, non-atomic, finite-dimensional vector measure is closed and convex. In the case of an unbounded measure the range remains convex but need not be closed. Additional properties of the range in this case have been studied by C. Olech [2]. When a measure is at least partly atomic, one cannot hope to extend these results even in the one-dimensional case. There is, however, an extension when the range of the measure is dense, and this result appears to be non-trivial for an unbounded measure. Our proof will include the easy case when the measure is bounded.

Furthermore, a typical argument in showing that a linear operator has an inverse is to show first that it has a dense range and then conclude, via a closed range theorem, that its range is closed. It is natural to ask to what extent this type of argument holds for not necessarily linear but simply additive mappings. A measure is one of the fundamental structures in mathematics. It is not linear but it is additive on disjoint subsets. The results in this paper give conditions under which we can conclude that if the range of a finite-dimensional vector

measure is dense then it is closed.

We consider first the one-dimensional case. Let  $(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a positive measure, that is, a space  $S$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of subsets of  $S$  and a countably additive function  $\mu: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ , and let  $R_\mu$  denote its range. Notice that we allow  $R_\mu$  to contain  $\infty$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a positive measure. If  $R_\mu$  is dense in  $[0, a]$  for some  $a > 0$  then it contains  $[0, a]$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove it for a  $\sigma$ -finite measure. Otherwise, consider a countable collection of elements of  $\mathcal{M}$  whose measures are dense in  $[0, a]$ . These elements generate a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, and if its range contains  $[0, a]$  so does  $R_\mu$ .

Now, let  $R_A$  and  $R_N$  denote the ranges of the atomic and non-atomic components of  $\mu$ , respectively, and for any  $x \in (0, a]$  define

$$\mathcal{A}_x = \{A \in \mathcal{M} : A \text{ is an atom and } \mu(A) \in (0, x)\},$$

and let  $x_0 \in (0, a]$ . We shall assume that  $x_0 \notin R_\mu$  and arrive at a contradiction. Consider first the case in which  $\mathcal{A}_{x_0}$  is not  $\mu$ -summable, which we define to mean that the measures of its elements do not have a finite sum. Then there is a positive integer  $p_1$  and disjoint atoms  $A_1^1, \dots, A_{p_1+1}^1 \in \mathcal{A}_{x_0}$  with  $\mu(A_{p_1+1}^1) \leq \dots \leq \mu(A_1^1)$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2}x_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} \mu(A_i^1) < x_0 < \sum_{i=1}^{p_1+1} \mu(A_i^1)$$

and

$$0 < x_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} \mu(A_i^1) \leq \frac{1}{2}x_0.$$

Notice that  $x_1 < \mu(A_i^1)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p_1$ . If  $\mathcal{A}_{x_1}$  is not  $\mu$ -summable, we can restart the process with  $x_1$  in place of  $x_0$ , and this can be done again if possible. At the  $n$ th stage,  $n \geq 1$ , we have a positive integer  $p_n$ , and disjoint atoms  $A_1^n, \dots, A_{p_n+1}^n \in \mathcal{A}_{x_{n-1}}$ , which are automatically disjoint from those chosen at any previous stage, such that  $\mu(A_{p_n+1}^n) \leq \dots \leq \mu(A_1^n)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}x_{n-1} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \mu(A_i^n) < x_{n-1} < \sum_{i=1}^{p_n+1} \mu(A_i^n), \\ 0 < x_n &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \mu(A_i^n) = x_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) \leq \frac{1}{2}x_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $x_n < \mu(A_i^j)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, p_n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . If this process can be repeated indefinitely then the  $x_n$  form an infinite sequence that, by construction, converges to zero, and then

$$x_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) = \mu \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{p_j} A_i^j \right) \in R_A \subset R_\mu,$$

contradicting the original assumption.

Now, if  $\mathcal{A}_{x_n}$  is  $\mu$ -summable for some  $n \geq 0$ , then the restriction of  $R_A$  to  $[0, x_n]$  is a closed set and, since the restriction of  $R_N$  to  $[0, x_n]$  is also closed, it follows that the restriction of  $R_\mu$  to  $[0, x_n]$ , which is the sum of the two previous restrictions restricted to  $[0, x_n]$ , is a closed set. Since it is also dense, there are sets  $A$  and  $E$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $A$  is either empty or a union of atoms disjoint from the  $A_i^j$ ,  $E$  contains no atom, and  $x_n = \mu(A) + \mu(E)$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= \mu(A) + \mu(E) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) \\ &= \mu \left[ A \cup E \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{i=1}^{p_j} A_i^j \right) \right] \in R_\mu, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting, again, the original assumption. □

It should be remarked that this theorem does not hold if the measure is not positive. For if  $\mu$  is purely atomic and the measures of its atoms are  $-1$  and all the rational numbers in  $[1, \infty)$  then its range is dense in  $[0, 1]$  but does not contain  $[0, 1]$ .

To deal with the general case of arbitrary dimension  $d$  we develop some additional notation and terminology. If  $e_1, \dots, e_d$  are linearly independent vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  consider the convex cone

$$C = \{x = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_de_d : a_1, \dots, a_d \geq 0\},$$

let  $C^\circ$  denote the interior of  $C$ , and if  $x = a_1e_1 + \dots + a_de_d$  and  $y = b_1e_1 + \dots + b_de_d$  are in  $C$ ,  $x < y$  and  $x \leq y$  shall mean that  $a_k < b_k$  and that  $a_k \leq b_k$ , respectively, for  $k = 1, \dots, d$ . If  $a, b \in C$  and  $a < b$  define

$$(a, b) = \{x \in C^\circ : a < x < b\}$$

and

$$(a, b] = \{x \in C^\circ : a < x \leq b\}.$$

Now, let  $(S, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a vector-valued measure in  $C$ , that is, a space  $S$ , a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{M}$  of subsets of  $S$  and a countably additive function  $\mu$  defined on  $\mathcal{M}$  and taking values in  $C$  or infinity. Infinity is defined as any linear combination of the  $e_k$  in which at least one coefficient is  $+\infty$ . Let  $R_\mu$  denote the range of  $\mu$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a vector-valued measure in  $C$  such that the set of all  $\mu(E) \in C$  such that  $E$  contains no atom is bounded. If  $R_\mu$  is dense in  $(0, a]$  for some  $a \in C^\circ$  then it contains  $(0, a]$ .*

*Proof.* As in the one-dimensional case, it is enough to prove it for a  $\sigma$ -finite measure, and again we denote by  $R_A$  and  $R_N$  the ranges of its atomic and non-atomic components. For simplicity we carry out the proof in the two-dimensional case, and then indicate how it is to be modified in the general case.

If  $x = a_1e_1 + a_2e_2$  is in  $C$ , let  $0x$  denote the segment  $\{tx : 0 < t \leq 1\}$  and, for  $k = 1, 2$ , let  $x^k$  and  $C_{kx}$  denote the vector  $a_k e_k$  and the closed triangle with vertices  $0, x$  and  $x^k$ , respectively. Finally, define

$$\mathcal{A}_{kx} = \{A \in \mathcal{M} : A \text{ is an atom and } \mu(A) \in C_{kx} \cap (0, x)\}$$

and define  $y \in C$  to be a  $\mu$ -cluster point for  $\mathcal{A}_{kx}$  if for every open disc  $D \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  centered at  $y$  there are infinitely many atoms in  $\mathcal{A}_{kx}$  whose measures are in  $D \cap C$ .

Now, let  $x_0 \in (0, a]$  be arbitrary and assume first that for any  $x \in 0x_0$  the sets  $\mathcal{A}_{1x}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{2x}$  are not  $\mu$ -summable. Then there is a positive integer  $p_1$  and disjoint atoms  $A_1^1, \dots, A_{p_1}^1$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2}x_0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} \mu(A_i^1) < x_0$$

and

$$0 < x_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{p_1} \mu(A_i^1) \leq \frac{1}{2}x_0.$$

If for any  $x \in 0x_1$  the sets  $\mathcal{A}_{1x}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{2x}$  are not  $\mu$ -summable, we can restart the process with  $x_1$  in place of  $x_0$ , and this can be done again if possible. At the  $n$ th stage,  $n \geq 1$ , we have a positive integer  $p_n$  and disjoint atoms  $A_1^n, \dots, A_{p_n}^n$ , which are disjoint from those chosen at any previous stage, such that

$$\frac{1}{2}x_{n-1} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \mu(A_i^n) < x_{n-1}$$

and

$$0 < x_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_{n-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{p_n} \mu(A_i^n) = x_0 - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) \leq \frac{1}{2}x_{n-1}.$$

If this process can be repeated indefinitely then the  $x_n$  form an infinite sequence that, by construction, converges to the origin, and then

$$x_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) = \mu \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{p_j} A_i^j \right) \in R_A \subset R_\mu.$$

If, on the other hand, there is an  $n \geq 0$ , a point  $x \in 0x_n$  and a value of  $k$ , which we assume to be  $k = 1$ , such that  $\mathcal{A}_{1x}$  is  $\mu$ -summable, let  $x_c$  denote either  $x_n$  if  $\mathcal{A}_{1x_n}$  is  $\mu$ -summable or, otherwise, the closest point to the origin in  $0x_n$  with the property that the closed segment from  $x_c$  to  $x_c^1$  contains a  $\mu$ -cluster point for  $\mathcal{A}_{1x_n}$ . In the second case there is a positive integer  $q_0$  and disjoint atoms  $B_1^0, \dots, B_{q_0}^0 \in \mathcal{A}_{1x_n}$ , which are disjoint from those chosen at any previous stage, such that if

$$y_0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x_n - \sum_{i=1}^{q_0} \mu(B_i^0)$$

then  $y_0^1 \in 0x_c^1$  and, in addition,  $y_0^1 < x_c^1$  if  $\mathcal{A}_{1x_c}$  is not  $\mu$ -summable. In the first case, when  $\mathcal{A}_{1x_n}$  is  $\mu$ -summable, define  $y_0 = x_c = x_n$  and take  $B_i^0$  to be the empty set for each  $i$  so that the equation above remains valid. Then choose  $x \in 0x_c$  such that  $\mathcal{A}_{1x}$  is  $\mu$ -summable and  $y_0^1 \in 0x^1$ , and notice that the set  $\Sigma_1$  of all sums of measures of elements of  $\mathcal{A}_{1x}$  is closed and disjoint from  $0x^1$ .

Now, if  $\mathcal{A}_{2y_0}$  is not  $\mu$ -summable, then there is a positive integer  $q_1$  and disjoint atoms  $B_1^1, \dots, B_{q_1}^1 \in \mathcal{A}_{2y_0}$ , which are disjoint from those chosen at any previous stage, such that

$$0 < y_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_0 - \sum_{i=1}^{q_1} \mu(B_i^1)$$

and  $y_1^2 \leq y_0^2/2$ . This process can now be repeated as many times as possible. At the  $m$ th stage,  $m \geq 1$ , we have a positive integer  $q_m$  and disjoint atoms  $B_1^m, \dots, B_{q_m}^m \in \mathcal{A}_{2y_{m-1}}$ , which are disjoint from those chosen at any previous stage, such that

$$0 < y_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y_{m-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{q_m} \mu(B_i^m)$$

and  $y_m^2 \leq y_{m-1}^2/2$ . If this process can be repeated indefinitely then the  $y_m$  form a sequence that, by construction, converges to some point  $s_1 \in \{0\} \cup 0x^1$ . Next we claim that the restriction of  $R_\mu$  to  $0x^1$  is dense in  $0x^1$ . In fact, every point  $s \in 0x^1$  is the limit of a sequence  $\{s_k\}$  in  $(0, a]$  where each  $s_k$  is the sum of four elements:  $s_{k1} \in \{0\} \cup \Sigma_1$ ,  $s_{k2} \in C_{2x}$ ,  $s_{k3} \in R_N$  and  $s_{k4}$ , which is either 0 or is in the restriction of  $R_A$  to  $0x^1$ . But  $s_{k1} \rightarrow 0$  and  $s_{k2} \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and, by considering a subsequence if necessary,  $s_{k3}$  converges to some  $s_N \in R_N$ , since this last set is closed by Liapunov's theorem. Notice that  $s_N \in \{0\} \cup 0x^1$ , and then  $s_N + s_{k4}$  is arbitrarily close to  $s$  for  $k$  large enough. This proves the claim and then, by Theorem 1, the restriction of  $R_\mu$  to  $0x^1$  contains  $0x^1$ . It follows that  $s_1 = \mu(E_1)$  where  $E_1 \in \mathcal{M}$  is disjoint from any of the atoms chosen above. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= x_n + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) \\ &= \mu(E_1) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{q_m} \mu(B_i^m) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) \\ &= \mu \left[ E_1 \cup \left( \bigcup_{m=0}^{\infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^{q_m} B_i^m \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{i=1}^{p_j} A_i^j \right) \right] \in R_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

If the process described above cannot be repeated indefinitely, then there is an  $m \geq 0$  such that,  $\mathcal{A}_{2y_m}$  is  $\mu$ -summable. Then the set  $\Sigma_2$  of all sums of elements of  $\mathcal{A}_{2y_m}$  is closed, and an argument like the one above applied to  $y_m^1$  and  $y_m^2$  shows that  $y_m = \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2)$ , where  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are disjoint from each other and from any previously chosen atoms. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= x_n + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) \\ &= \mu(E_1) + \mu(E_2) + \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{i=1}^{q_m} \mu(B_i^m) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{p_j} \mu(A_i^j) \\ &= \mu \left[ E_1 \cup E_2 \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=0}^m \bigcup_{i=1}^{q_m} B_i^m \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bigcup_{i=1}^{p_j} A_i^j \right) \right] \in R_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof in the two-dimensional case.

The same proof is valid in the general case with the following changes. Let  $H_{kx}$  denote the hyperplane of codimension one consisting of all points of the form  $x^k + \sum_{j \neq k} a_j e_j$ ,  $a_j \in \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $C_{kx}$  denote now the closed convex hull of  $\{0\} \cup (H_{kx} \cap (0, x])$ . Then the segment from  $x_c$  to  $x_c^1$  above is replaced with the set of all points in  $H_{1x_c}$  that are in the closure of  $C_{1x_c}$ . With these changes the previous proof is valid until the definition of  $y_0$ . The  $y_m$  are also defined as above, if  $\mathcal{A}_{ky_{m-1}}$  is not  $\mu$ -summable for each  $k \geq 2$ , but the  $B_i^m$  are chosen from  $\bigcup_{k=2}^d \mathcal{A}_{ky_{m-1}}$  and so that  $y_m^k \leq y_{m-1}^k/2$  for  $k \geq 2$ . If the  $y_m$  form an infinite sequence that converges to a point  $s_1 \in \{0\} \cup 0x^1$ , the previous proof is still valid. Otherwise, one or more of the  $\mathcal{A}_{ky_m}$  become  $\mu$ -summable along the way. It should be clear how the components of  $y_m$  that do not become zero are in  $R_\mu$  and how this can be done using sets disjoint from all others.  $\square$

It is not possible to assert that  $R_\mu$  contains  $[0, a]$ , for if  $\mu$  is purely atomic and the measures of its atoms are the points with positive rational coordinates in  $(0, 1)$  then  $R_\mu$  does not contain any point on the coordinate axes except the origin.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. A. Liapunov, *Sur les fonctions-vecteurs complètement additives*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., **8** (1940), 465–478.
- [2] C. Olech, *On the range of an unbounded vector-valued measure*, Math. Systems Theory, **2** (1968), 251–256.

Received December 3, 1990 and in revised form March 12, 1991.

UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS  
LOWELL, MA 01854



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112

F. MICHAEL CHRIST  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555

THOMAS ENRIGHT  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

NICHOLAŞ ERCOLANI  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

STEVEN KERCKHOFF  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF  
(1904–1989)

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555-05.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1992 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 154, No. 2

June, 1992

<b>Manuel (Rodriguez) de León, J. A. Oubiña, P. R. Rodrigues and Modesto R. Salgado</b> , Almost $s$ -tangent manifolds of higher order . . . . .	201
<b>Martin Engman</b> , New spectral characterization theorems for $S^2$ . . . . .	215
<b>Yuval Zvi Flicker</b> , The adjoint representation $L$ -function for $GL(n)$ . . . . .	231
<b>Enrique Alberto Gonzalez-Velasco and Lee Kenneth Jones</b> , On the range of an unbounded partly atomic vector-valued measure . . . . .	245
<b>Takayuki Hibi</b> , Face number inequalities for matroid complexes and Cohen-Macaulay types of Stanley-Reisner rings of distributive lattices . . . . .	253
<b>Hervé Jacquet and Stephen James Rallis</b> , Kloosterman integrals for skew symmetric matrices . . . . .	265
<b>Shulim Kaliman</b> , Two remarks on polynomials in two variables . . . . .	285
<b>Kirk Lancaster</b> , Qualitative behavior of solutions of elliptic free boundary problems . . . . .	297
<b>Feng Luo</b> , Actions of finite groups on knot complements . . . . .	317
<b>James Joseph Madden and Charles Madison Stanton</b> , One-dimensional Nash groups . . . . .	331
<b>Christopher K. McCord</b> , Estimating Nielsen numbers on infrasolvmanifolds . . . . .	345
<b>Gordan Savin</b> , On the tensor product of theta representations of $GL_3$ . . . . .	369
<b>Gerold Wagner</b> , On means of distances on the surface of a sphere. II. (Upper bounds) . . . . .	381