

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**$L^p$ -FOURIER TRANSFORMS ON NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS  
AND SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS ACTING ON SIEGEL DOMAINS**

JUNKO INOUE

Volume 155    No. 2

October 1992

## L<sup>p</sup>-FOURIER TRANSFORMS ON NILPOTENT LIE GROUPS AND SOLVABLE LIE GROUPS ACTING ON SIEGEL DOMAINS

JUNKO INOUE

**We study Fourier transforms of  $L^p$ -functions ( $1 < p \leq 2$ ) on nilpotent Lie groups and affine automorphism groups of Siegel domains. We get an estimate for the norm of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform for certain classes of nilpotent Lie groups. For affine automorphism groups, which are nonunimodular, we give an explicit definition of  $L^p$ -Fourier transform, and obtain an estimate for the norm.**

**Introduction.** First of all, let us recall some known results of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform on unimodular groups. For such groups, the classical Hausdorff-Young theorem was generalized by Kunze [13]. Following a description of Lipsman [14], we briefly mention the generalization. Let  $G$  be a separable locally compact unimodular group of type I, and  $\widehat{G}$  be the unitary dual endowed with the Mackey Borel structure. Denote by  $dg$  a Haar measure on  $G$ , and by  $\mu$  the Plancherel measure on  $\widehat{G}$  associated with  $dg$ . That is,  $\mu$  is uniquely determined by the abstract Plancherel formula; for  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ ,

$$(0.1) \quad \int_G |\varphi(g)|^2 dg = \int_{\widehat{G}} \text{tr}(\pi(\varphi)^* \pi(\varphi)) d\mu(\pi),$$

where  $\pi(\varphi) = \int_G \varphi(g) \pi(g) dg$ . We consider the Fourier transform  $\mathcal{P}$  to be a mapping of  $L^1(G)$  to a space of  $\mu$ -measurable field of bounded operators on  $\widehat{G}$ ;  $(\mathcal{P}\varphi)(\pi) = \pi(\varphi)$ , for  $\varphi \in L^1(G)$ ,  $\pi \in \widehat{G}$ . Let  $1 < p < 2$  and  $q = p/(p-1)$ , and for a  $\mu$ -measurable field of bounded operators  $F$  on  $\widehat{G}$ , let

$$\|F\|_q = \left( \int_{\widehat{G}} \|F(\pi)\|_{C_q}^q d\mu(\pi) \right)^{1/q},$$

where  $\|F(\pi)\|_{C_q} = (\text{tr}(F(\pi)^* F(\pi))^{q/2})^{1/q}$ . Denote by  $L^q(\widehat{G})$  the Banach space defined by the space of measurable fields  $F$  such that  $\|F\|_q < \infty$  in the usual way (with norm  $\|\cdot\|_q$ ). Then the Hausdorff-Young type inequality

$$(0.2) \quad \|\mathcal{P}\varphi\|_q \leq \|\varphi\|_p$$

holds for  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ . Thus the Hausdorff-Young theorem asserts that the map  $\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\varphi$  from  $L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$  to  $L^q(\widehat{G})$  extends to a continuous operator  $\mathcal{P}^p: L^p(G) \rightarrow L^q(\widehat{G})$  and its norm

$$(0.3) \quad \|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\| = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_p \leq 1} \|\mathcal{P}^p(\varphi)\|_q \leq 1.$$

Next, let us consider the norm  $\|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\|$ . For the case of  $G = \mathbf{R}^n$  (the classical Fourier transform), Babenko [1] and Beckner [2] obtained the norm

$$(0.4) \quad \|\mathcal{P}^p(\mathbf{R}^n)\| = A_p^n, \quad \text{where } A_p = \left(\frac{p^{1/p}}{q^{1/q}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

On the other hand, by a result of Fournier [8], the following statements (1) and (2) are equivalent for a locally compact unimodular group  $G$ :

- (1)  $\|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\| = 1$ .
- (2)  $G$  has a compact open subgroup.

For various examples which do not have compact open subgroups, Russo obtained estimates for the norm in [18], [19] and [20].

In §1, we deal with connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie groups  $G$  with Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We first treat irreducible representations of  $G$ , and give an estimate for  $\|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q}$  ( $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ ) for irreducible representations  $\pi$  satisfying the condition (C1) (Proposition 1.2). Then we give an estimate for  $\|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\|$  for groups  $G$  satisfying the condition (C2) (Theorem 1.3) as follows:

$$(0.5) \quad \|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\| \leq A_p^{(2 \dim G - m)/2},$$

where  $m$  is the dimension of generic coadjoint orbits of  $G$  in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  (the dual space of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). Here let us note that the Plancherel measure is supported on the set of representations corresponding to generic orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by the Kirillov mapping. Applying Theorem 1.3 to the Heisenberg groups and the nilpotent groups of real upper triangular matrices, for example, we get the same estimates as those obtained by Russo in [19].

Section 2 is devoted to a nonunimodular case. We will treat connected and simply connected Lie groups whose Lie algebras are normal  $j$ -algebras (see 2.1 for definition). In the sequel, let  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$  be such a group.

An extension of the Hausdorff-Young theorem to general (i.e., not necessarily unimodular) locally compact groups was given by Terp [21] in terms of the spatial theory of von Neumann algebras. But we

will give an explicit realization of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform based on the Plancherel theorem of Duflo and Moore [5]. For each irreducible representation  $\pi$  corresponding to one of the generic coadjoint orbits, which are open, we modify the map  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi(\varphi)$  using the operator called the formal degree of  $\pi$  [5], and define  $L^p$ -Fourier transform  $\mathcal{P}^p$ . Then the following estimate for the norm is obtained:

$$(0.6) \quad \|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\| \leq A_p^{\dim G/2}$$

(Theorem 2.2.1). This result (0.6) is compatible with (0.5) for  $m = \dim G$ .

Let us remark that Eymard and Terp [7] and Russo [20] developed their  $L^p$ -Fourier analysis for the  $ax + b$  group (the group of all affine transformations of the real line), and we are generalizing their results to our  $G$ .

The author would like to thank the referee for his very useful comments and for the suggestion of focusing on the  $L^p$  norm estimates.

NOTATIONS. Let  $G$  be a Lie group and  $dg$  a left Haar measure on  $G$ . We denote by  $\Delta = \Delta_G$  the modular function of  $G$ , i.e.,  $d(gx) = \Delta(x) dg$ . If  $\varphi$  is a function on  $G$  and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we put  $\varphi^{*(p)}(g) = \Delta(g)^{-1/p} \overline{\varphi(g^{-1})}$  for  $g \in G$ . (We often use  $\varphi^*$  for  $\varphi^{*(1)}$ .) We regard  $L^p(G)$  as equipped with the involution  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi^{*(p)}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space. Then we denote by  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  the space of bounded operators with the operator norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ , and by  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$  the space of compact operators. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $C_p(\mathcal{H})$  is the space of  $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  satisfying  $\|T\|_{C_p} = (\text{tr}((T^*T)^{p/2}))^{1/p} < \infty$ , where  $\text{tr}(\cdot)$  denotes the trace. It is a Banach space with the  $C_p$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C_p}$ .

**1. The norm of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform for nilpotent Lie groups.** Here we treat connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie groups. First of all, let us summarize the Plancherel theorem for such groups in terms of the orbit method. (For details, we refer to Chapter 4 of [4].)

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra,  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\Theta: \mathfrak{g}^*/G \rightarrow \widehat{G}$  be the Kirillov mapping which assigns the coadjoint orbit  $G \cdot f$  ( $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ ) to the class of  $\pi_f = \text{ind}_{B_f}^G \chi_f$ : the representation of  $G$  induced by a character  $\chi_f$  of  $B_f = \exp \mathfrak{b}_f$ , where  $\mathfrak{b}_f$  is a real polarization at  $f$  and  $\chi_f(\exp X) = e^{\sqrt{-1}f(X)}$  ( $X \in \mathfrak{b}_f$ ).

Let  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  be a strong Malcev basis for  $\mathfrak{g}$  (i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathbf{R}\text{-span}\{X_1, \dots, X_i\}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  for each  $i$ ), and let  $\{l_1, \dots, l_n\}$

be the dual basis for  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . For each  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , define  $S(l) = \{2 \leq j \leq n; \mathfrak{g}_{j-1} + \mathfrak{g}(l) \neq \mathfrak{g}_j + \mathfrak{g}(l)\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}(l) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; l([X, \mathfrak{g}]) = \{0\}\}$ , the radical of  $l([\cdot, \cdot])$ .

Then there are disjoint sets of indices  $S, T$  with  $S \cup T = \{1, \dots, n\}$ , and a  $G$ -invariant Zariski-open set  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $S(l) = S$  for all  $l \in \mathcal{U}$ . Define the Pfaffian  $\text{Pf}(l)$  for  $l \in \mathcal{U}$  by

$$|\text{Pf}(l)|^2 = \det(l([X_i, X_j]))_{i,j \in S}.$$

Let  $V_T = \mathbf{R}\text{-span}\{l_i; i \in T\}$  and  $dl$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $V_T$  such that the unit cube spanned by  $\{l_i; i \in T\}$  has volume 1. Then for a function  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$ , we have the Plancherel formula

$$(1.1) \quad \|\varphi\|_2^2 = \int_{\mathcal{U} \cap V_T} \|\pi_{2\pi l}(\varphi)\|_{C_2}^2 |\text{Pf}(l)| dl.$$

Thus we get the following description:

$$(1.2) \quad \|\mathcal{P}^p(\varphi)\|_q = \left( \int_{\mathcal{U} \cap V_T} \|\pi_{2\pi l}(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q |\text{Pf}(l)| dl \right)^{1/q}.$$

Before computing (1.2), we treat the  $C_q$ -norm of  $\pi(\varphi)$  for an irreducible representation  $\pi$ .

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $dX$  be a Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $l \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  such that  $l([\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]) = \{0\}$ . For  $\varphi \in L^1(G)$ , define a function  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi(l)(\cdot)$  on  $G$  associated to  $l \in \mathfrak{h}^*$  by

$$(1.3) \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi(l)(g) = \int_{\mathfrak{h}} e^{\sqrt{-1}l(X)} \varphi((\exp X)g) dX$$

for almost all  $g \in G$ .

Since  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi(l)(hg) = e^{-\sqrt{-1}l(Y)} \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi(l)(g)$  for  $h = \exp Y \in H = \exp \mathfrak{h}$ , we regard  $|\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi(l)(\cdot)|$  as a function on  $H \backslash G$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.2.** Let  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $\pi_f$  be the corresponding irreducible representation of  $G$ . Suppose the following condition:

(C1) there exists an ideal  $\mathfrak{h}$  satisfying  $\mathfrak{g}(f) \subset \mathfrak{h}$  and  $f([\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}]) = \{0\}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{h}^f = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; f([X, \mathfrak{h}]) = \{0\}\}$ , which is a subalgebra, and  $H^f = \exp \mathfrak{h}^f$ . Taking Lebesgue measures on  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^f$ , let  $|\text{Pf}(\mathfrak{h}^f/\mathfrak{h}, f)| = (\det(f[Y_i, Y_j]))^{1/2}$ , where  $\{Y_i\}$  is a unit basis for  $\mathfrak{h}^f/\mathfrak{h}$  of volume 1. Giving a Haar measure on  $G$ , we take the invariant measures on  $H, H^f, H \backslash G$  and  $H^f \backslash G$  normalized by the Lebesgue measures on  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^f$  through the exponential map and the

transitivity of invariant measures. Then the following inequality holds for  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$  and  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ :

$$(1.4) \quad \|\pi_f(\varphi)\|_q \leq C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, f, p) \left\| \left( \int_{H^f \setminus G} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot (f|_{\mathfrak{h}}))(\cdot)|^q d\dot{g} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(H \setminus G)},$$

where

$C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, f, p) = ((2\pi)^{1/q} A_p)^{(\dim \mathfrak{g} + \dim \mathfrak{g}(f) - 2 \dim \mathfrak{h})/2} |\text{Pf}(\mathfrak{h}^f/\mathfrak{h}, f)|^{-1/q}$ , and  $d\dot{g}$  is the invariant measure on  $H^f \setminus G$ . (We regard  $g \rightarrow |\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot (f|_{\mathfrak{h}}))(\cdot)|$  as a function on  $H^f \setminus G$ .)  
 If  $p = 2$ , equality holds in (1.4).

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on the dimension of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The proposition is trivial for  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 1$  (regarding  $c(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, f, p) = 1$  in this case). Assume that the proposition is valid for all dimensions of  $\mathfrak{g}$  less than or equal to  $n - 1$ , and that  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{z}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $Z = \exp \mathfrak{z}$ .

*Case 1.* Suppose that  $\mathfrak{z} \cap \ker(f) \neq \{0\}$ . Taking  $0 \neq Z \in (\mathfrak{z} \cap \ker f)$ , let  $\dot{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g}/\mathbf{R}Z$  with the quotient map  $\text{pr}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$ , and  $\dot{G} = \exp \dot{\mathfrak{g}}$  with  $P: G \rightarrow \dot{G}$ . We factor down  $f$  and  $\pi$  into  $\dot{f} \in \dot{\mathfrak{g}}^*$  and  $\dot{\pi} \in \widehat{\dot{G}}$  respectively. Then the radical  $\dot{\mathfrak{g}}(\dot{f}) = \text{pr}(\mathfrak{g}(f))$ ,  $(\text{pr}(\mathfrak{h}))^{\dot{f}} = \text{pr}(\mathfrak{h}^f)$ , and the coadjoint orbit  $\dot{G} \cdot \dot{f}$  corresponds to  $\dot{\pi}$ .

For  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$  (compactly supported continuous functions on  $G$ ), define the function  $\dot{\varphi} \in C_c(\dot{G})$  by

$$\dot{\varphi}(\dot{g}) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} \varphi((\exp tZ)g) dt, \quad g \in G.$$

Then, writing  $\dot{\mathfrak{h}} = \text{pr}(\mathfrak{h})$  and  $\dot{H} = \exp \dot{\mathfrak{h}}$ , and taking the invariant measures on  $\dot{G}$ ,  $\dot{H} \setminus \dot{G}$  and  $\dot{H}^f \setminus \dot{G}$  associated to those on  $G$ ,  $H \setminus G$  and  $H^f \setminus G$  through the projection  $P$  respectively, we have  $\pi(\varphi) = \dot{\pi}(\dot{\varphi})$  and by the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q} &= \|\dot{\pi}(\dot{\varphi})\|_{C_q} \\ &\leq C(\dot{\mathfrak{g}}, \dot{\mathfrak{h}}, \dot{f}, p) \left\| \left( \int_{\dot{H}^f \setminus \dot{G}} |\mathcal{F}_{\dot{\mathfrak{h}}}\dot{\varphi}(\dot{g}^{-1} \cdot (\dot{f}|_{\dot{\mathfrak{h}}}), \cdot)|^q d\dot{g} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\dot{H} \setminus \dot{G})} \\ &= C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, f, p) \left\| \left( \int_{H^f \setminus G} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi(g^{-1} \cdot (f|_{\mathfrak{h}}), \cdot)|^q d g \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(H \setminus G)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\dot{g}$  and  $dg$  are the invariant measures on  $\dot{H}^f \setminus \dot{G}$  and  $H^f \setminus G$ . (The last equality is verified by the property of the quotient spaces.)

*Case 2.* Suppose that  $\ker(f) \cap \mathfrak{z} = \{0\}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{z}$  is 1-dimensional, we can take  $X_0, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{z} = \mathbf{R}Z$ ,  $[\mathfrak{g}, Y] = \mathfrak{z}$ ,  $[X_0, Y] = Z$ , and  $f(Y) = 0$ . Regarding  $\mathfrak{g}$  as acting on  $\mathfrak{h}$ , we may assume

$$(1.5) \quad Y \in \mathfrak{h} \quad \text{if } \mathfrak{h} \neq \mathfrak{z}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \ker(\text{ad } Y)$  and  $G_1 = \exp \mathfrak{g}_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}X_0$ , the radical of  $f' = f|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ :  $\mathfrak{g}_1(f') = \mathfrak{g}(f) + \mathbf{R}Y$ , and  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$ . Let  $\pi_1$  denote the irreducible representation of  $G_1$  corresponding to  $G_1 \cdot f'$ .

Using the supplementary basis  $X_0$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , we realize  $\pi$  as induced from  $\pi_1$ , whose space is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}_1$ . That is, for  $\xi = \xi(t) \in L^2(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{H}_1)$ , the space of  $\mathcal{H}_1$ -valued  $L^2$ -functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  with a Lebesgue measure  $dt$ , define the action of  $G = G_1 \exp \mathbf{R}X_0$  by

$$\pi(g_1 \exp sX_0)\xi(t) = \pi_1(g_1^t)\xi(t + s),$$

where  $g_1 \in G_1$ ,  $g_1^t = (\exp tX_0)g_1(\exp -tX_0)$ .

Then we have  $\pi(\varphi)$  for  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$  as the integral operator

$$\pi(\varphi)\xi(t) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} k_\varphi(t, s)\xi(s) ds,$$

where  $k_\varphi(t, s) = \int_{G_1} \pi_1(g_1)\varphi(g_1^{-t} \exp(s - t)X_0) dg_1$ ,  $dg_1$  is the Haar measure on  $G_1$  such that  $dg = dg_1 dt$  for  $g = g_1 \exp tX_0$ . For each fixed  $t, s \in \mathbf{R}$ , putting  $\varphi^{t,s}(g_1) = \varphi(g_1^{-t} \exp(s - t)X_0) \in C_c(G_1)$ , we regard the integral kernel as

$$k_\varphi(t, s) = \pi_1(\varphi^{t,s}).$$

Here let us recall an inequality of Hausdorff-Young type for integral operators due to Fournier and Russo [9]. Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a complex Hilbert space,  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be the space of bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $M$  be a  $\sigma$ -finite measure space. Denote by  $L^2(M, \mathcal{H})$  the Hilbert space of square integrable  $\mathcal{H}$ -valued functions on  $M$ . We consider an integral operator  $K$  on  $L^2(M, \mathcal{H})$  with operator-valued kernel  $k$ , a  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ -valued function on  $M \times M$ , by letting

$$K\xi(x) = \int_M k(x, y)\xi(y) dy$$

for all  $\xi \in L^2(M, \mathcal{H})$ , and almost all  $x \in M$ .

If  $1 \leq p, r, s < \infty$ , define the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C_p, r, s}$  by

$$\|k\|_{C_p, r, s} = \left\{ \int_M \left( \int_M (\|k(x, y)\|_{C_p})^r dx \right)^{s/r} dy \right\}^{1/s}.$$

We get from [9] the following estimate for the norm of  $K$ . Let  $1 < p \leq 2, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Suppose  $\|k\|_{C_q, p, q} < \infty$  and  $\|k^*\|_{C_q, p, q} < \infty$ , where  $k^*(x, y) = k(y, x)$ . Then the integral operator  $K$  with kernel  $k$  belongs to  $C_q(L^2(M, \mathcal{H}))$ , and

$$(1.6) \quad \|K\|_{C_q} \leq \|k\|_{C_q, p, q}^{1/2} \|k^*\|_{C_q, p, q}^{1/2}.$$

If  $p = 2$ , equality holds in (1.6).

Now we return to the proof. Giving  $\mathbf{R}X_0$  the Lebesgue measure such that  $X_0$  has volume 1, let  $dX_1$  be a Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  adapted to the direct sum decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}X_0$ .

*Subcase 2.1.* Here we suppose that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{z} = \mathfrak{g}(f)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{z}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_1(f') = \mathbf{R}Z + \mathbf{R}Y$ , which coincides with the center of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . We apply the induction hypothesis to  $G_1$  with the Haar measure  $d\mathfrak{g}_1 = d(\exp X_1) = dX_1, \pi_1, \mathfrak{z}_1$  with the Lebesgue measure normalized as  $\mathfrak{z}_1 = \mathbf{R}Y \oplus \mathbf{R}Z$  and  $\varphi^{t, s}$ . Putting a basis  $\{Y_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n-3}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_1/\mathfrak{z}_1$  whose unit cube has volume 1, and writing  $f_1 = f|_{\mathfrak{z}_1}$ , we get

$$(1.7) \quad \|\pi_1(\varphi^{t, s})\|_{C_q(\mathcal{H}_1)} \leq c_1 \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \varphi^{t, s}(f_1)(\cdot)\|_{L^p(Z_1 \setminus G_1)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= c(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{z}_1, f', p) \\ &= (((2\pi)^{1/q} A_p)^{n-3} |\det(f_1([Y_i, Y_k]))_{1 \leq i, k \leq n-3}|^{-1/q})^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and get equality in (1.7) for  $p = 2$ . For  $g_1 \in G_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \varphi^{t, s}(f_1)(g_1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \varphi((\exp(zZ + yY)g_1)^{-t} \exp(s-t)X_0) e^{\sqrt{-1}f(zZ+yY)} dz dy \\ &= \mathcal{F}_{\mathfrak{z}_1} \varphi(\lambda Z^* + t\lambda Y^*)(g_1^{-t} \exp(s-t)X_0), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{Z^*, Y^*\} \subset \mathfrak{z}_1^*$  is the dual basis of  $\{Z, Y\}$ , and  $\lambda = f(Z)$ .

We first calculate the norm  $\|k_\varphi^*\|_{C_q, p, q}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \|k_\varphi^*(t, s)\|_{C_q(\mathfrak{X}_1)}^p dt \right)^{q/p} ds \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \left( \int \left( \int \|\pi_1(\varphi^{s, t})\|_{C_q(\mathfrak{X}_1)}^p dt \right)^{q/p} ds \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} (c_1 \|\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi^{s, t}(f_1)(\cdot)\|_{L^p(Z_1 \setminus G_1)})^p dt \right)^{q/p} ds \right)^{1/q} \quad (\text{by (1.7)}) \\
&= c_1 \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{Z_1 \setminus G_1} |\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi(\lambda Z^* + s\lambda Y^*)(g_1^{-s} \exp(t-s)X_0)|^p d\dot{g}_1 dt \right)^{q/p} ds \right)^{1/q} \\
&= c_1 \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{Z_1 \setminus G_1} |\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi(\lambda Z^* + s\lambda Y^*)(g_1 \exp tX_0)|^p d\dot{g}_1 dt \right)^{q/p} ds \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq c_1 \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{Z_1 \setminus G_1} \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi(\lambda Z^* + s\lambda Y^*)(g_1 \exp tX_0)|^q ds \right)^{p/q} d\dot{g}_1 dt \right)^{1/p} \\
&\hspace{15em} (\text{by the generalized Minkowski's inequality}) \\
&\leq (2\pi)^{1/q} A_p \lambda^{-1/q} c_1 \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{Z_1 \setminus G_1} \int_{\mathbf{R}} |\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi(\lambda Z^*)(\exp yY g_1 \exp tX_0)|^p dy d\dot{g}_1 dt \right)^{1/p} \\
&\hspace{15em} (\text{by (0.4) with our normalization of the Lebesgue measures}) \\
&= (2\pi)^{1/q} A_p \lambda^{-1/q} c_1 \|\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi(f|_{\mathfrak{z}})(\cdot)\|_{L^p(Z \setminus G)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(If  $p = 2$ , equality holds in the above estimate.) Noticing that the unit cube of the basis  $\{Y_0 = Y, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-3}, Y_{n-2} = X_0\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}$  has volume 1, and that  $[Y_i, Y_0] = 0$  for  $i \leq n-3$ ,  $[Y_{n-2}, Y_0] = Z$ , we get

$$\det(f([Y_i, Y_k]))_{0 \leq i, k \leq n-2} = \lambda^2 \det(f([Y_i, Y_k]))_{1 \leq i, k \leq n-3},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& (2\pi)^{1/q} A_p \lambda^{-1/q} c_1 \\
&= (((2\pi)^{1/q} A_p)^{n-1} |\det(f([Y_i, Y_k]))_{0 \leq i, k \leq n-2}|^{-1/q})^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\|k_\varphi^*\|_{C_q, p, q} \leq C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{z}, f, p) \|\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi(f_1)(\cdot)\|_{L^p(Z \setminus G)}.$$

On the other hand, remarking that  $k_\varphi^* = \overline{k_\varphi}$ , we also have  $\|k_\varphi\|_{C_q, p, q} \leq C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{z}, f, p) \|\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi^*(f_1)(\cdot)\|_{L^p(Z \setminus G)}$ . Since  $\|\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi(f_1)(\cdot)\|_{L^p(Z \setminus G)} = \|\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi^*(f_1)(\cdot)\|_{L^p(Z \setminus G)}$ , we conclude that

$$\|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q} \leq C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{z}, f, p) \|\mathcal{F}_3 \varphi(f)(\cdot)\|_{L^p(Z \setminus G)},$$

and that equality holds for  $p = 2$ .

*Subcase 2.2.* We next suppose that  $\mathfrak{h} \neq \mathfrak{z}$ . Recalling that  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$  (1.5), we note that  $\mathfrak{h}^f \subset \mathfrak{g}_1$ . As in Subcase 2.1, we will estimate the norm  $\|k_\varphi^*\|_{C_{q,p,q}}$ . Apply the induction hypothesis to  $G_1, \pi_1, \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\varphi^{s,t}$  using the Haar measure on  $G_1$  adapted to the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathbf{R}X_0$  and the invariant measures on  $H^f \backslash G_1$  (denoted by  $d\dot{g}_1$ ) and on  $H \backslash G_1$  suitably normalized:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\pi_1(\varphi^{s,t})\|_q \\ & \leq C(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}, f', p) \left\| \left( \int_{H^f \backslash G_1} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{h} \varphi^{s,t}(g_1^{-1} \cdot f_1)(\cdot)|^q d\dot{g}_1 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(H \backslash G_1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $f_1 = f|_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{H^f \backslash G_1} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{h} \varphi^{s,t}(g_1^{-1} \cdot f_1)(x)|^q d\dot{g}_1 \\ & = \int_{H^f \backslash G_1} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{h} \varphi((g_1 \exp sX_0)^{-1} \cdot f_1)(x^{-s} \exp(t-s)X_0)|^q d\dot{g}_1, \end{aligned}$$

for  $x \in G_1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left\| \left( \int_{H^f \backslash G_1} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{h} \varphi^{s,t}(g_1^{-1} \cdot f_1)(\cdot)|^q d\dot{g}_1 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(H \backslash G_1)}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ & = \left\| \left( \int_{H^f \backslash G_1} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{h} \varphi((g_1 \exp sX_0)^{-1} \cdot f_1)(\cdot)|^q d\dot{g}_1 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(H \backslash G)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & C(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}, f', p)^{-1} \|k_\varphi^*\|_{C_{q,p,q}} \\ & \leq \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \left\| \left( \int_{H^f \backslash G_1} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{h} \varphi((g_1 \exp sX_0)^{-1} \cdot f_1)(\cdot)|^q d\dot{g}_1 \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(H \backslash G)}^q ds \right)^{1/q} \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{(by the induction hypothesis)} \\ & \leq \left\| \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}} \int_{H^f \backslash G_1} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{h} \varphi((g_1 \exp sX_0)^{-1} \cdot f_1)(\cdot)|^q d\dot{g}_1 ds \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(H \backslash G)} \\ & \hspace{15em} \text{(by the generalized Minkowski's inequality)} \\ & = \left\| \left( \int_{H^f \backslash G} |\mathcal{F}_\mathfrak{h} \varphi(g^{-1} \cdot f_1)(\cdot)|^q d\dot{g} \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(H \backslash G)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 + \dim \mathfrak{g}_1(f') = \dim \mathfrak{g} + \dim \mathfrak{g}(f)$ , we get  $C(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, f, p) = C(\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{h}, f', p)$ . As the proof of Subcase 2.1, the inequality (1.4) is verified.  $\square$

Now we get an estimate for  $\|\mathcal{P}^p(\varphi)\|_q$  when  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits an ideal  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that the condition (C1) is satisfied for almost all  $f \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  with  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Remark that if a subspace  $\mathfrak{l}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfies that  $f([\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}]) = \{0\}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{U} \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a dense subset of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , then  $\mathfrak{l}$  is an abelian subalgebra.

**THEOREM 1.3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension  $n$ ,  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$  and  $m$  be the dimension of the generic orbits. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfies the following condition. (C2) There exists an open dense subset  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  such that the ideal generated by  $\bigcup_{f \in \mathcal{U}} \mathfrak{g}(f)$  is abelian. Then the inequality*

$$\|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\| \leq A_p^{(2n-m)/2}$$

holds for  $1 < p < 2$ .

**COROLLARY 1.4.** *Let  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$  be a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie group with the center  $Z = \exp \mathfrak{z}$ . Suppose that  $G$  has irreducible square integrable (mod the center) representations. Then*

$$\|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\| \leq A_p^{(\dim G + \dim Z)/2}.$$

*Proof.* An irreducible representation  $\pi$  is square integrable mod  $Z$  (i.e.,  $\pi$  occurs discretely in the induced representation  $\text{ind}_Z^G \pi_Z$  by the central character  $\pi_Z$  of  $\pi$ ) if and only if the dimension of the corresponding orbit  $\Omega$  is  $\dim \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}$ , that is,  $\mathfrak{g}(f) = \mathfrak{z}$  for  $f \in \Omega$  (e.g., [4](4.5)). And then square integrable representations correspond to the generic orbits. Thus the condition (C2) is satisfied in this case.

**REMARK 1.5.** There are nilpotent Lie groups which satisfy (C2) but do not have square integrable (mod the center) representations. For example, the nilpotent Lie group  $N_n$  of  $n$  real upper triangular matrices with ones on the main diagonal is such a group for  $n \geq 4$ . In this case,

$$\|\mathcal{P}^p(N_n)\| \leq A_p^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \cdot \lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor}.$$

In [19], Russo obtained similar estimates for  $\|\mathcal{P}^p(G)\|$  for the Heisenberg groups, the group  $N_n$  and some low dimensional nilpotent Lie groups. The results are based on estimates for  $\|\pi(\varphi)\|_{C_q}$  for each

irreducible representation  $\pi$  using the inequality (1.6) of integral operators under explicit realization of  $\pi$ . Our method, where we also use (1.6), is a generalization of the computation in [19].

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be an abelian ideal satisfying  $\mathfrak{h} \supset \mathfrak{g}(f)$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ , and  $H = \exp \mathfrak{h}$ . We may choose a Malcev basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$  for  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbf{R}\text{-span}\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$  for some  $k$ . We use the notations in the Plancherel theorem (1.1). Noting  $T \subset \{1, \dots, k\}$ , let  $S' = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus T$ ,  $V_{S'} = \mathbf{R}\text{-span}\{l_j; j \in S'\}$  and  $p_{S'}$  be the projection of  $\mathfrak{h}^* = V_T \oplus V_{S'}$  to  $V_{S'}$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\mathfrak{h}^\perp + G \cdot f = G \cdot f$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}^\perp = \{l \in \mathfrak{g}^*; l|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0\}$ , since  $\mathfrak{h} \supset \mathfrak{g}(f)$ . Thus, considering the coadjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , we get a parametrization of generic orbits in  $\mathcal{U}$  from Chapter 3 of [4]. (We may assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is included in the set of generic orbits treated in the reference.): The set  $\mathcal{U}' = \{l|_{\mathfrak{h}}; l \in \mathcal{U}\}$  is dense in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  and every  $G$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{U}'$  meets  $V_T$  in a unique point. Furthermore, there is a diffeomorphism  $\Psi: (\mathcal{U}' \cap V_T) \times V_{S'} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}'$  such that  $(p_{S'} \circ \Psi)(f, \lambda) = \lambda$ , and the Jacobian determinant of  $\Psi$  is identically 1. Let  $S_f^0 = \{1 \leq i \leq n; \mathfrak{g}_{i-1} + \mathfrak{h}^f \neq \mathfrak{g}_i + \mathfrak{h}^f\}$  and  $T_f^0 = \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus S_f^0$ . We take the invariant measures on  $G$ ,  $H$  and  $H^f \backslash G$  defined by the Lebesgue measures on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}^f \backslash \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ ,  $\{X_1, \dots, X_k\}$  and  $\{X_j; j \in S_f^0\}$  span unit cubes of volume 1 respectively. Identifying  $G$  with  $H \times (H \backslash G)$ , let us treat  $\varphi = \varphi_0 \otimes \varphi_1 \in C_c(H) \otimes C_c(H \backslash G)$ . Writing  $\hat{\varphi}_0(l) = \int_{\mathfrak{h}} e^{\sqrt{-1}l(X)} \varphi_0(\exp X) dX$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{V_{S'}} |\hat{\varphi}_0(\Psi(f, \lambda))|^q d\lambda \\ &= |\text{Pf}(\mathfrak{h}^f/\mathfrak{h}, f)|^{-1} \int_{H^f \backslash G} |\hat{\varphi}_0(g^{-1} \cdot (f|_{\mathfrak{h}}))|^q d\dot{g} |\text{Pf}(f)|, \end{aligned}$$

where  $d\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure such that  $\{l_i; i \in S'\}$  spans a unit cube of volume 1, and  $d\dot{g}$  is the  $G$ -invariant measure on  $H^f \backslash G$ . In fact, the Jacobian determinant of the map  $H^f \backslash G \rightarrow \{f\} \times V_{S'}: \dot{g} \rightarrow \Psi^{-1}(g^{-1} \cdot (f|_{\mathfrak{h}}))$  is

$$|\det(g^{-1} \cdot f([X_i, X_j]))_{i \in S_f^0, j \in S'}| = |\det(f([X_i, X_j]))_{i \in S_f^0, j \in S'}|,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Pf}(f)|^2 &= |\det(f([X_i, X_j]))_{i, j \in S}| \\ &= |\det(f([X_i, X_j]))_{i \in S_f^0, j \in S'}|^2 |\text{Pf}(\mathfrak{h}^f/\mathfrak{h}, f)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus from Proposition 1.2 and (0.4),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathcal{Z} \cap V_T} \|\pi_{2\pi f}(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q |\mathbf{Pf}(f)| df \\
 & \leq (2\pi)^{-\dim \mathfrak{h}} A_p^{q(2n-m-2\dim \mathfrak{h})/2} \\
 & \quad \cdot \int_{\mathcal{Z} \cap V_T} |\mathbf{Pf}(\mathfrak{h}^f/\mathfrak{h}, f)|^{-1} \\
 & \quad \cdot \int_{H' \setminus G} |\hat{\varphi}_0(g^{-1} \cdot (f|_{\mathfrak{h}}))|^q d\dot{g} \|\varphi_1\|_{L^p(H \setminus G)}^q |\mathbf{Pf}(f)| df \\
 & = A_p^{q(2n-m)/2} (2\pi A_p^q)^{-\dim \mathfrak{h}} \\
 & \quad \cdot \int_{\mathcal{Z} \cap V_T} \int_{V_{S'}} |\hat{\varphi}_0(\Psi(f, \lambda))|^q d\lambda df \|\varphi_1\|_{L^p(H \setminus G)}^q \\
 & = A_p^{q(2n-m)/2} (2\pi A_p^q)^{-\dim \mathfrak{h}} \int_{\mathfrak{h}^*} |\hat{\varphi}_0(l)|^q dl \|\varphi_1\|_{L^p(H \setminus G)}^q \\
 & \leq A_p^{q(2n-m)/2} \|\varphi\|_{L^p(G)}^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies the theorem. □

## 2. The $L^p$ -Fourier transform on affine automorphism groups of Siegel domains.

2.1. *Preliminaries.* Concerning affine homogeneous Siegel domains, let us recall the notion of normal  $j$ -algebras introduced by Pyatetskii-Shapiro:

DEFINITION 2.1.1. A triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, j, \omega)$  is a normal  $j$ -algebra if

- (1)  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a real completely solvable Lie algebra (i.e.,  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a decreasing series of ideals  $\mathfrak{g}_i$  such that  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_i/\mathfrak{g}_{i+1} = 1$ ),
- (2)  $j: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is a complex structure,
- (3)  $[jX, jY] = [X, Y] + j[jX, Y] + j[X, jY]$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,
- (4)  $\omega \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  has the properties
  - (a)  $\omega([Y, jY]) > 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{g} - \{0\}$ ,
  - (b)  $\omega([jX, jY]) = \omega([X, Y])$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

It is known that the connected and simply connected Lie group  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$  with a normal  $j$ -algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, j, \omega)$  can be realized as an affine automorphism group acting simply and transitively on a Siegel domain of type II, and vice versa. (For details, see e.g. [11], [16].) Thus, starting from a normal  $j$ -algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, j, \omega)$ , which we often denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  only, we study the corresponding group  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ .

Here we summarize fundamental facts of the structures of normal  $j$ -algebras and unitary representations of corresponding groups.

For a normal  $j$ -algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, j, \omega)$ , let  $\Lambda$  be the symmetric positive definite bilinear form  $\Lambda(X, Y) = \omega([X, jY])$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be the orthogonal complement of  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  with respect to  $\Lambda$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an abelian subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{a}$  on  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$  is real diagonalizable. There exists a unique element  $S \in \mathfrak{a}$  such that  $\text{ad}S|_{j\mathfrak{a}} = \mathbf{1}_{j\mathfrak{a}}$ . The eigenvalues of  $\text{ad}S$  are at most  $1, \frac{1}{2}$  and  $0$ . Denoting each eigenspace by  $\mathfrak{g}_k, k = 1, \frac{1}{2}, 0$ , we have

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad \text{and}$$

$$j\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g}_0, \quad j\mathfrak{g}_{1/2} = \mathfrak{g}_{1/2}, \quad [\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_k] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{i+k},$$

with the convention that if  $i + k \neq 1, \frac{1}{2}$  nor  $0, \mathfrak{g}_{i+k} = \{0\}$ , [11], [16].

We next consider unitary representations of  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $G$  is an exponential group (i.e., the exponential mapping is a diffeomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto  $G$ ), its unitary dual  $\widehat{G}$  is parametrized by the coadjoint orbits of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  through the Kirillov-Bernat mapping. In the case of a normal  $j$ -algebra,  $G$  has open orbits, whose union is dense in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ . They correspond to the classes of square integrable representations of  $G$ . (The criterion of square integrability used in the proof of Corollary 1.4 holds for exponential groups [6].)

Let us give a more detailed description of open orbits. Notice that the subgroup  $G_0 = \exp \mathfrak{g}_0$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$  by the coadjoint action since  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $l \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , and  $l_1 = l|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ . Then the orbit  $G \cdot l$  is open in  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  if and only if  $G_0 \cdot l_1$  is open in  $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$ . Thus, regarding  $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{g}_1^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1/2}^* \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0^*$  according to the direct sum decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{1/2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0$ , we have

$$G \cdot l = G_0 \cdot l_1 + \mathfrak{g}_{1/2}^* + \mathfrak{g}_0^*,$$

for an open orbit  $G \cdot l$  [15] (1.3), [17] (Proposition 3.3.1).

Throughout §2,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a normal  $j$ -algebra,  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$  and  $dg$  is a left Haar measure on  $G$ .

2.2. *A Hausdorff-Young theorem for  $G$ .* Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible square integrable representation of  $G$  in a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then from [5], there exists a unique operator  $K_\pi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$ , self-adjoint positive, semi-invariant with weight  $\Delta^{-1}$ , i.e.,

$$(2.1) \quad \pi(g)K_\pi\pi(g)^{-1} = \Delta(g)^{-1}K_\pi \quad \text{for all } g \in G,$$

and satisfying that

$$(2.2) \quad \int_G |\langle \xi, \pi(g)\eta \rangle|^2 dg = \|\xi\|^2 \|K_\pi^{-1/2}\eta\|^2$$

for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\eta \in \text{dom } K_\pi^{-1/2}$ , the domain of  $K_\pi^{-1/2}$ . The operator  $K_\pi$  is called the formal degree of  $\pi$ .

Using the formal degree, we state our Hausdorff-Young theorem as follows.

**THEOREM 2.2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a normal  $j$ -algebra,  $G = \exp \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $dg$  be a left Haar measure on  $G$ . Taking a set of representatives of classes of irreducible square integrable representations of  $G$ ,  $\{(\pi_i, \mathcal{H}_{\pi_i}); i \in I\}$ , let  $K_{\pi_i}$  be the formal degree of  $\pi_i$  in the sense of [5]. Let  $p, q$  be exponents such that  $1 < p \leq 2$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .*

(1) *Let  $\varphi \in L^1(G) \cap L^p(G)$ . Then the operator  $\pi_i(\varphi)K_{\pi_i}^{1/q}$  can be extended to a  $C_q$ -class operator, denoted by  $[\pi_i(\varphi)K_{\pi_i}^{1/q}]$ , and satisfies the following inequality;*

$$(2.3) \quad \left( \sum_{i \in I} \|[\pi_i(\varphi)K_{\pi_i}^{1/q}]\|_{C_q}^q \right)^{1/q} \leq A_p^{\dim G/2} \|\varphi\|_p.$$

*If  $p = 2$ , equality holds in (2.3).*

(2) *The mapping  $\varphi \rightarrow \pi_i^p(\varphi) = [\pi_i(\varphi)K_{\pi_i}^{1/q}]$  extends uniquely to a continuous mapping  $\pi_i^p: L^p(G) \rightarrow C_q(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_i})$ ,  $i \in I$ .*

*Let  $\mathcal{P}^p: L^p(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_q(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_i})$  be the mapping defined by  $\varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^p(\varphi) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \pi_i^p(\varphi)$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}^p$  is continuous and injective, and the image  $\mathcal{P}^p(L^p(G))$  is dense in  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} C_q(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_i})$ .*

*The involutions of  $L^p(G)$  and  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} C_q(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_i})$  are preserved, i.e.,*

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{P}^p(\varphi^{*(p)}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \pi_i^p(\varphi)^* = \mathcal{P}^p(\varphi)^*$$

*In the case of  $p = 2$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^2$  is a surjective isometry.*

**REMARK 2.2.2.** It is obtained from the Plancherel theorem of Duflo and Moore [5] that  $\mathcal{P}^2$  is a surjective isometry. But we will prove it simultaneously in the course of establishing the inequality (2.3).

On the  $ax + b$  group, Eymard and Terp [7] and Russo [20] obtained similar results. The former is based on the Plancherel theorem of Duflo and Moore, but the latter is based on that of Kleppner and Lipsman [12]. In order to obtain  $L^p$ -estimates, they used the integral

operator inequality (1.6), which we will also use, and got the same estimate with that of our  $n = 2$  case.

We give here a representative of each class of irreducible square integrable representations, and an explicit description of the formal degree, to be used later.

Recalling that the classes of irreducible square integrable representations correspond to open coadjoint orbits, let  $\Omega$  be an open coadjoint orbit. Put an element  $f \in \Omega$  and take a real polarization  $\mathfrak{b}_f$  at  $f$  satisfying the Pukanszky condition [3]. Defining a character  $\chi_f$  of  $B = \exp \mathfrak{b}_f$  by  $\chi_f(\exp X) = e^{\sqrt{-1}f(X)}$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{b}_f$ , construct the induced representation  $\pi = \text{ind}_B^G \chi_f$  of  $G$  from  $\chi_f$ . The representation  $\pi$  is irreducible and its class is the corresponding one under the Kirillov-Bernat mapping. Remark that we can always take such a polarization  $\mathfrak{b}_f$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \subset \mathfrak{b}_f \subset \mathfrak{g}_{1/2}$  (see [10], Remark 2.5). Thus putting  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{g}_{1/2}$ , which is a nilpotent ideal, and  $N = \exp \mathfrak{n}$ , we regard  $\pi$  as induced from the irreducible representation  $\sigma = \text{ind}_B^N \chi_f$  of  $N$ ,  $\pi = \text{ind}_N^G \sigma$ .

Regarding  $G$  as the semidirect product  $G = NG_0$ , we take a right Haar measure  $dg_0$  on  $G_0$  and  $dn$  on  $N$  such that  $\Delta^{-1}(g)dg = dndg_0$ , for  $g = ng_0$ ,  $n \in N$ ,  $g_0 \in G_0$ . Letting  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$  be a space of  $\sigma$ , we realize  $\pi$  in the space  $L^2(G_0, \mathcal{H}_\sigma, dg_0)$  of  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ -valued  $L^2$ -functions on  $G_0$ , acting on the right:

$$(\pi(ng_0)\xi)(x_0) = \sigma(x_0nx_0^{-1})\xi(x_0g_0)$$

for  $\xi \in L^2(G_0, \mathcal{H}_\sigma, dg_0)$ ,  $ng_0 \in G = NG_0$ ,  $x_0 \in G_0$ .

We next choose the Lebesgue measure  $dX$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $dg = \mu_G(X) dX$ , where  $\mu_G(X) = |\det((1 - e^{-\text{ad} X})/\text{ad} X)|$ ,  $g = \exp X$  [3]. Letting  $\{X_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that the unit cube has volume 1, define a function  $l \rightarrow D_l$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by

$$(2.5) \quad D_l = |\det(l([X_i, X_k]))_{1 \leq i, k \leq n}| \quad (l \in \mathfrak{g}^*).$$

Putting a unit basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{n_1}, V_1, \dots, V_{n_2}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_1}\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{n} = \text{span}\{V_i, Y_k; 1 \leq i \leq n_2, 1 \leq k \leq n_1\}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \text{span}\{Y_k; 1 \leq k \leq n_1\}$ , we get

$$(2.6) \quad D_l = |\det(l([V_i, V_k]))_{1 \leq i, k \leq n_2}| |\det(l([X_i, Y_k]))_{1 \leq i, k \leq n_1}|^2$$

since  $[\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{n}] = \{0\}$ .

DEFINITION 2.2.3. Let  $K_f$  be the operator in  $L^2(G_0, \mathcal{H}_\sigma, dg_0)$  defined by multiplication by the function  $c_f \Delta^{-1}$ , where  $c_f = ((2\pi)^{-\dim \mathfrak{g}} D_f)^{1/2}$ .

Then  $K_f$  is the formal degree of  $\pi$  (see [6]).

2.3. *Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Let  $\Omega$  be an open coadjoint orbit. Taking an element  $f \in \Omega$  and a real polarization  $\mathfrak{b}_f$  at  $f$ , we realize the corresponding irreducible representation  $\pi$  in  $\mathcal{H}$  and define the formal degree  $K_f$  as we mentioned in 2.2.

DEFINITION 2.3.1. Let  $\psi \in L^1(G)$  and  $dX$  be a Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ . We define the partial Euclidean Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_1 \psi$  on  $G_1 = \exp \mathfrak{g}_1$  with  $dX$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_1 \psi(l)(g) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} e^{\sqrt{-1}l(X)} \psi((\exp X)g) dX,$$

for  $l \in \mathfrak{g}_1^*$  and almost all  $g \in G$ .

Let  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$ , and  $\xi \in \mathcal{H} = L^2(G_0, \mathcal{H}_\sigma, dg_0)$  such that  $K_f^{1/q} \xi \in \mathcal{H}$ . From the semi-invariance (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad (\pi(\varphi)K_f^{1/q}\xi)(x_0) &= \int_G (\pi(g)K_f^{1/q}\xi)(x_0)\varphi(g) dg \\ &= \int_G \Delta^{-1/q}(g)(K_f^{1/q}\pi(g)\xi)(x_0)\varphi(g) dg \\ &= (K_f^{1/q}\pi(\Delta^{-1/q}\varphi)\xi)(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

Let us identify  $G$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times (G_1 \backslash G)$  by taking a global section  $\mathcal{s}$  of  $G_1 \backslash G$ , and choose a right Haar measure  $d\dot{g}$  on  $G_1 \backslash G$  such that  $\Delta^{-1}(g) dg = dX d\dot{g}$  for  $g = (\exp X)\mathcal{s}(\dot{g})$  with  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ ,  $\dot{g} \in (G_1 \backslash G)$ .

We next suppose that  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \otimes \hat{\varphi} \in C_c(\mathfrak{g}_1) \otimes C_c(G_1 \backslash G)$  and that the Euclidean Fourier transform of  $\varphi_1$ , denoted by  $\hat{\varphi}_1$ , is of support compact. Letting  $\lambda: G_0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_1^*$  be the mapping defined by  $\lambda(x_0) = x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1$ , where  $x_0 \in G_0$  and  $f_1 = f|_{\mathfrak{g}_1}$ , and noting that  $\pi(\exp X)\eta(x_0) = e^{\sqrt{-1}f(x_0 \cdot X)}\eta(x_0)$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\pi(\varphi)K^{1/q}\xi\|^2 &= \int_{G_0} \left\| \int_G \Delta^{-1/q}(x_0)(\pi(g)\xi)(x_0)\Delta^{-1/q}(g)\varphi(g) dg \right\|^2 dx_0 \\
 &= \int_{G_0} \left\| \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} \int_{G_1 \setminus G} \Delta^{-1/q}(x_0)e^{\sqrt{-1}f(x_0 \cdot X)}(\pi(\mathcal{J}(\dot{g}))\xi)(x_0)\varphi((\exp X)\mathcal{J}(\dot{g})) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot \Delta^{1/p}(\mathcal{J}(g)) dX d\dot{g} \right\|^2 dx_0 \\
 &= \int_{G_0} \left\| \int_{G_1 \setminus G} \Delta^{-1/q}(x_0)(\pi(\mathcal{J}(\dot{g}))\xi)(x_0)\Delta^{1/p}(\mathcal{J}(\dot{g})) \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \cdot \mathcal{F}_1\varphi(x_0 \cdot f_1)(\mathcal{J}(\dot{g})) d\dot{g} \right\|^2 dx_0 \\
 &\leq \sup_{x_0 \in G_0} |\Delta^{-1/q}(x_0)\hat{\varphi}_1(x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1)|^2 \int_{G_0} \int_{G_1 \setminus G} \|(\pi(\mathcal{J}(\dot{g}))\xi)(x_0)\|^2 \\
 &\quad \cdot |\hat{\varphi}(\dot{g})\Delta^{1/p}(\mathcal{J}(\dot{g}))|^2 d\dot{g} dx_0 \\
 &= \sup_{l \in G_0 \cdot f_1} |\Delta^{-1/q}(\lambda^{-1}(l))\hat{\varphi}_1(l)|^2 \|\hat{\varphi}\Delta^{1/p}\|_{L^2(G_1 \setminus G)}^2 \|\xi\|^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

(Note that  $\lambda$  is a diffeomorphism of  $G_0$  onto  $G_0 \cdot f_1$ .) Here we regard the function  $l \rightarrow D_l$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  as defined on  $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$  remarking that  $D_{l+m} = D_l$  for any  $m \in \mathfrak{g}_1^\perp$ . Then

$$D_l = D_{\lambda^{-1}(l) \cdot f_1} = \Delta^{-1}(\lambda^{-1}(l))D_f, \quad l \in G_0 \cdot f_1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{l \in G_0 \cdot f_1} |\Delta^{-1/q}(\lambda^{-1}(l))\hat{\varphi}_1(l)|^2 \\
 &\leq \sup_{l \in (G_0 \cdot f_1 \cap \text{supp } \hat{\varphi}_1)} (D_l D_f^{-1})^{2/q} \sup |\hat{\varphi}_1|^2 < \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the operator  $\pi(\varphi)K^{1/q}$  extends to a bounded operator, denoted by  $\pi^p(\varphi)$ .

REMARK 2.3.2. For such a  $\varphi$ , it holds that

$$(2.8) \quad \pi^p(\varphi)^* = K^{1/q}\pi(\varphi^*) = \pi^p(\varphi^{*(p)}),$$

$$(2.9) \quad \pi^p(\varphi) = K^{1/q}\pi(\Delta^{-1/q}\varphi).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}$  and suppose that  $\xi \in \text{dom } K^{1/q}$ . Then

$$\langle \pi(\varphi)K^{1/q}\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle K^{1/q}\xi, \pi(\varphi^*)\eta \rangle.$$

Noting that  $K^{1/q}$  is self-adjoint, we conclude that  $\pi(\varphi^*)\eta \in \text{dom } K^{1/q}$  and that  $\pi^p(\varphi)^* = K^{1/q}\pi(\varphi^*)$ . Using (2.7), the second equality of (2.8) and (2.9) are obtained.  $\square$

Now, we will estimate the  $C_q$ -norm of  $\pi^p(\varphi)$ . Identifying  $G$  with  $NG_0$  according to the realization of  $\pi$ , we get for  $\xi \in \text{dom } K^{1/q}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi(\varphi)K_f^{1/q}\xi(x_0) \\ &= c_f^{1/q} \int_N \int_{G_0} \sigma(x_0nx_0^{-1})\Delta^{-1/q}(x_0g_0)\xi(x_0g_0)\varphi(n g_0)\Delta(g_0) \, dn \, dg_0 \\ &= c_f^{1/q} \int_N \int_{G_0} \sigma(x_0nx_0^{-1})\xi(g_0)\varphi(nx_0^{-1}g_0) \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \Delta^{1/p}(g_0)\Delta_0(x_0)\Delta^{-1}(x_0) \, dn \, dg_0 \\ &= c_f^{1/q} \int_N \int_{G_0} \sigma(n)\xi(g_0)\varphi(x_0^{-1}ng_0)\Delta^{1/p}(g_0) \, dn \, dg_0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_0(g_0) = |\det(\text{ad}_{g_0} x_0)|^{-1}$ . Letting  $\varphi^{x_0}(ng_0) = \varphi(x_0^{-1}ng_0)$  and for each fixed  $x_0, g_0 \in G_0$ , regarding  $\varphi^{x_0}(ng_0)$  as a function on  $N$ , define a Fourier transform for  $\sigma$ ;

$$\sigma(\varphi^{x_0}(ng_0)) = \int_N \sigma(n)\varphi^{x_0}(ng_0) \, dn,$$

which is a bounded operator of  $\mathcal{H}_\sigma$ . Then

$$\pi^p(\varphi)\xi(x_0) = c_f^{1/q} \int_{G_0} \sigma(\varphi^{x_0}(ng_0))\xi(g_0)\Delta^{1/p}(g_0) \, dg_0,$$

and we regard  $\pi^p(\varphi)$  as the integral operator with integral kernel

$$k_\varphi(x_0, g_0) = c_f^{1/q} \sigma(\varphi^{x_0}(ng_0))\Delta^{1/p}(g_0).$$

Let us remark that the representation  $\sigma = \text{ind}_B^N \chi_f$  is square integrable. In fact, from  $D_f \neq 0$  and (2.6), the singular space of the bilinear form  $f([\cdot, \cdot])$  on  $\mathfrak{n}$  is  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , which is the center of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . Choose a Haar measure  $d\mathfrak{n}$  on  $G_1 \backslash N$  such that the transitivity holds with

measures  $dn$  and  $dX$ . Applying Proposition 1.2 to  $\sigma$ , for each fixed  $x_0, g_0 \in G_0$ , we get

$$\|\sigma(\varphi^{x_0}(ng_0))\|_{C_q(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)} \leq A_p^{\dim(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1)/2} c(\sigma)^{1/q} \|\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi^{x_0}(f_1)(ng_0)\|_{L^p(G_1 \backslash N)},$$

where  $c(\sigma) = (2\pi)^{(\dim \mathfrak{g} - \dim \mathfrak{z})/2} |\text{Pf}(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{z}, f)|^{-1}$ . Using the notations  $\Delta_1(x_0) = |\det \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{g}_1} x_0|^{-1}$ ,  $\Delta_N(x_0) = |\det \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}} x_0|^{-1}$  for  $x_0 \in G_0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_1 \varphi^{x_0}(f_1)(ng_0) &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} e^{\sqrt{-1}f(X)} \varphi^{x_0}((\exp X)ng_0) dX \\ &= \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} e^{\sqrt{-1}f(x_0 \cdot X)} \varphi((\exp X)x_0^{-1}ng_0) \Delta_1^{-1}(x_0) dX \\ &= \mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1)(x_0^{-1}ng_0) \Delta_1^{-1}(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi^{x_0}(f_1)(ng_0)\|_{L^p(G_1 \backslash N)} &= \left( \int_{G_1 \backslash N} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1)(x_0^{-1}ng_0)|^p d\dot{n} \right)^{1/p} \Delta_1^{-1}(x_0) \\ &= \left( \int_{G_1 \backslash N} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1)(nx_0^{-1}g_0)|^p d\dot{n} \right)^{1/p} (\Delta_1/\Delta_N)^{1/p}(x_0) \Delta_1^{-1}(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the inequality

$$(2.10) \quad \|k_\varphi(x_0, g_0)\|_{C_q(\mathcal{H}_\sigma)} \leq \alpha \left( \int_{G_1 \backslash N} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1)(nx_0^{-1}g_0)|^p d\dot{n} \right)^{1/p} \cdot \Delta_N^{-1/p}(x_0) \Delta_1^{-1/q}(x_0) \Delta^{1/p}(g_0),$$

where

$$\alpha = A_p^{(\dim \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1)/2} c(\sigma)^{1/q} c_f^{1/q},$$

for  $x_0, g_0 \in G_0$ .

As the proof of Proposition 1.2, we first estimate the norm  $\|k_\varphi^*\|_{C_q, p, q}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \|k_\varphi^*\|_{C_q, p, q} \\ &= \left( \int_{G_0} \left( \int_{G_0} \|k_\varphi^*(g_0, x_0)\|_{C_q}^p dg_0 \right)^{q/p} dx_0 \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \alpha \left( \int_{G_0} \left( \int_{G_0} \int_{G_1 \setminus N} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1)(nx_0^{-1}g_0)|^p d\dot{n}\Delta(g_0) dg_0 \right)^{q/p} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \Delta_N^{-q/p}(x_0) \Delta_1^{-1}(x_0) dx_0 \right)^{1/q} \quad (\text{by (2.10)}) \\ &= \alpha \left( \int_{G_0} \left( \int_{G_0} \int_{G_1 \setminus N} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1)(ng_0)|^p d\dot{n}\Delta(g_0) dg_0 \right)^{q/p} \Delta_1^{-1}(x_0) dx_0 \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq \alpha \left( \int_{G_0} \int_{G_1 \setminus N} \left( \int_{G_0} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1)(ng_0)|^q \Delta_1^{-1}(x_0) dx_0 \right)^{p/q} d\dot{n}\Delta(g_0) dg_0 \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

(by the generalized Minkowski's inequality for measures  $\Delta_1^{-1}(x_0) dx_0$  and  $d\dot{n}\Delta(g_0) dg_0$ ).

Choose a Lebesgue measure  $d_0X$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  such that

$$dg_0 = d(\exp X) = \mu_{G_0}(X) d_0X,$$

for  $g_0 = \exp X \in G_0$ , where  $\mu_{G_0}(X) = |\det((1 - e^{-\text{ad} X})/\text{ad} X)|$ . Let  $\{X_i\}$  (resp.  $\{Y_i\}$ ) be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}_0$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ ) whose unit cube has volume 1. Then under the mapping  $x_0 \rightarrow \lambda(x_0) = x_0^{-1} \cdot f_1$  from  $G_0$  (with the Haar measure  $dg_0$ ) to  $\mathfrak{g}_1^*$  (with the Lebesgue measure  $d\lambda$ ), which is the dual space of  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  with the Lebesgue measure  $dX$ , we have  $d\lambda(x_0) = \Delta_1^{-1}(x_0) |\det(f([X_i, Y_k]))_{i,k}| dx_0$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|k_\varphi^*\|_{C_q, p, q} \\ &\leq \alpha |\det(f([X_i, Y_k]))_{i,k}|^{-1/q} \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \int_{G_0} \int_{G_1 \setminus N} \left( \int_{\Omega'} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(\lambda)(ng_0)|^q d\lambda \right)^{p/q} d\dot{n}\Delta(g_0) dg_0 \right)^{1/p}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Omega' = G_0 \cdot f_1 \subset \mathfrak{g}_1^*$ . Again noticing that  $k_\varphi^* = \overline{k_{\varphi^{*(p)}}$  from (2.8),

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|k_\varphi\|_{C_q, p, q} \\ & \leq \alpha |\det(f([X_i, Y_k]))_{i, k}|^{-1/q} \\ & \quad \cdot \left( \int_{G_0} \int_{G_1 \setminus N} \left( \int_{\Omega'} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi^{*(p)}(\lambda)(ng_0)|^q d\lambda \right)^{p/q} d\dot{n}\Delta(g_0) dg_0 \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

By some simple calculation, it can be shown that the right-hand sides of the above two inequalities coincide.

Recall that

$$\alpha = A_p^{(\dim \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1)/2} c(\sigma)^{1/q} c_f^{1/q},$$

and that

$$c(\sigma) = ((2\pi)^{\dim \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1} |\det(f([V_i, V_k]))|^{-1})^{1/2},$$

where  $\{V_i\}$  is a basis of  $\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1$  whose unit cube has volume 1. Using (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_f & = ((2\pi)^{-\dim \mathfrak{g}} D_f)^{1/2} \\ & = (2\pi)^{-\dim \mathfrak{g}/2} |\det(f([X_i, Y_k]))| |\det(f([V_i, V_k]))|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\alpha |\det(f([X_i, Y_k]))|^{-1/q} = (2\pi)^{-\dim \mathfrak{g}_1/q} A_p^{(\dim \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1)/2}.$$

Thus identifying with  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \times (G_1 \setminus G)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad & \|\pi(\varphi)K_f^{1/q}\|_{C_q} \\ & \leq (2\pi)^{-\dim \mathfrak{g}_1/q} A_p^{(\dim \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1)/2} \\ & \quad \cdot \left( \int_{G_1 \setminus G} \left( \int_{\Omega'} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi(\lambda)(ng_0)|^q d\lambda \right)^{p/q} \Delta(g) d\dot{g} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking a system of representatives  $\{f_i; i \in I\}$  of open orbits  $\Omega_i$  ( $i \in I$ ), let  $(\pi_i, \mathcal{H}_i, K_f)$  be the associated representation and the operator of 2.2. Then, recalling that  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \otimes \dot{\varphi}$ ,  $\varphi \in C_c(\mathfrak{g}_1)$  and  $\dot{\varphi} \in C_c(G_1 \setminus G)$ , we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{i \in I} \|\pi_i^p(\varphi)\|_{C_q}^q \\
 & \leq (2\pi)^{-\dim \mathfrak{g}_1} A_p^{q(\dim \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1)/2} \\
 & \quad \cdot \sum_{i \in I} \left( \int_{G_1 \setminus G} \left( \int_{\Omega'} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi_1(\lambda) \dot{\phi}(\dot{g})|^q d\lambda \right)^{p/q} \Delta(\dot{g}) d\dot{g} \right)^{q/p} \\
 & \hspace{20em} \text{(by (2.11))} \\
 & = (2\pi)^{-\dim \mathfrak{g}_1} A_p^{q(\dim \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{g}_1)/2} \\
 & \quad \cdot \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1^*} |\mathcal{F}_1 \varphi_1(\lambda)|^q d\lambda \left( \int_{G_1 \setminus G} |\dot{\phi}(\dot{g})|^p \Delta(\dot{g}) d\dot{g} \right)^{q/p} \\
 & \leq A_p^{q(\dim \mathfrak{g})/2} \left( \int_{\mathfrak{g}_1} |\varphi_1(X)|^p dX \int_{G_1 \setminus G} |\varphi_0(\dot{g})|^p \Delta(\dot{g}) d\dot{g} \right)^{q/p} \\
 & \hspace{20em} \text{(by (0.4))} \\
 & = A_p^{q(\dim \mathfrak{g})/2} \|\varphi\|_p^q.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves (2.3), and the mapping  $\varphi \rightarrow [\pi(\varphi)K_f^{1/q}]$  extends uniquely to the continuous mapping  $\pi^p : L^p(G) \rightarrow C_q(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ . If  $p = 2$ , equality holds in (0.4), (2.10) and the Minkowski's inequality. Thus we get equality in (2.3) for  $p = 2$ .

Using Remark 2.3.2, we can obtain the following

**LEMMA 2.3.3.**  $\pi^p(\varphi)^* = \pi^p(\varphi^{*(p)})$  for  $\varphi \in L^p(G)$ .

We next prove that  $\pi^p(L^p)$  is dense in  $C_q(\mathcal{H}_\pi)$ . Noting that  $L^1(G)^* L^p(G) \subset L^p(G)$ , we get

$$\pi(\psi)\pi^p(\varphi) = \pi^p(\psi * \varphi) \quad \text{for } \psi \in L^1(G), \varphi \in L^p(G).$$

Let  $T \in C_p(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\text{tr}(\pi^p(\varphi)T) = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in L^p(G)$ . Then

$$\text{tr}(\pi(\psi)\pi^p(\varphi)T) = 0 \quad \text{for all } \psi \in L^1(G).$$

Since  $\pi(L^1(G)) \cap l\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$  is dense in  $l\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H})$ , whose dual is  $C_1(\mathcal{H})$ , it follows that  $\pi^p(\varphi)T = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in L^p(G)$ .

From Remark 2.3.2, it holds for  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$  that

$$\pi^p(\varphi) = K_f^{1/q} \pi(\Delta^{-1/q} \varphi).$$

Thus  $\pi(\varphi)T = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$ , that is, letting  $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $0 = \langle \pi(\varphi)T\xi, \eta \rangle = \int_G \langle \pi(g)T\xi, \eta \rangle \varphi(g) dg$ , for all  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$ . This implies that  $\langle \pi(g)T\xi, \eta \rangle = 0$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,  $T = 0$ . This proves that  $\pi^p(L^p)$  is dense.

REMARK 2.3.4. Concerning the case  $p = 2$ , we have proved that  $\mathcal{P}^2: L^2(G) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in I} C_2(\mathcal{H}_{\pi_i})$  is a norm-preserving mapping of image dense. This concludes that  $\mathcal{P}^2$  is a surjective isometry.

LEMMA 2.3.5. Let  $\ell \in C_c(G)$  and  $\varphi \in L^p(G)$ . Then  $\varphi * \ell \in L^2(G)$  and

$$(2.12) \quad \mathcal{P}^2(\varphi * \ell) = \mathcal{P}^p(\varphi)\mathcal{P}^{1/(1/q+1/2)}(\Delta^{-1/q}\ell).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$ . Then  $\varphi * \ell \in C_c(G)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i^2(\varphi * \ell) &= [\pi_i(\varphi * \ell)K_i^{1/2}] = [\pi_i(\varphi)\pi_i(\ell)K_i^{1/2}] \\ &= [\pi_i(\varphi)K_i^{1/q}K_i^{1/2-1/q}\pi_i(\Delta^{-1/2}\ell)] \\ &= \pi_i^p(\varphi)\pi_i^{1/(1/2+1/q)}(\Delta^{-1/q}\ell) \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in I$ . Thus

$$\mathcal{P}^2(\varphi * \ell) = \mathcal{P}^p(\varphi)\mathcal{P}^{1/(1/q+1/2)}(\Delta^{-1/q}\ell),$$

and using our Hausdorff-Young theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}^2(\varphi * \ell)\|_{C_2} &= \|\mathcal{P}^p(\varphi)\mathcal{P}^{1/(1/2+1/q)}(\Delta^{-1/q}\varphi)\|_{C_2} \\ &\leq \|\mathcal{P}^p(\varphi)\|_{C_q} \|\mathcal{P}^{1/(1/2+1/q)}(\Delta^{-1/q}\ell)\|_{C_{1/(1/2-1/q)}} \\ &\leq C(\ell, p)\|\varphi\|_p, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C(\ell, p)$  is a constant depending only on  $\ell$  and  $p$ .

Noting that the equality  $\|\mathcal{P}^2(\varphi * \ell)\|_{C_2} = \|\varphi * \ell\|_2$  holds, we get

$$\|\varphi * k\|_2 \leq C(\ell, p)\|\varphi\|_p,$$

which implies that the mapping  $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi * \ell \in L^2(G)$  can be extended to a continuous mapping of  $L^p(G)$  into  $L^2(G)$ . This verifies the assertion of the lemma for all  $\varphi \in L^p(G)$ .  $\square$

Now we prove that  $\mathcal{P}^p$  is injective. Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}^p(\varphi) = 0$  ( $\varphi \in L^p(G)$ ). Then using (2.12), it holds for every  $\ell \in C_c(G)$  that  $\mathcal{P}^2(\varphi * \ell) = 0$ , which implies that  $\varphi * \ell = 0$  since  $\mathcal{P}^2$  is injective. Thus  $\varphi = 0$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. I. Babenko, *An inequality in the theory of Fourier integrals*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 25 (1961), 531–542; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), 44 (1965), 115–128.

- [2] W. Beckner, *Inequalities in Fourier analysis*, Ann. of Math., **102** (1975), 159–182.
- [3] P. Bernat et al, *Représentations des Groupes de Lie Résolubles*, Dunod, Paris, 1972.
- [4] L. J. Corwin and F. P. Greenleaf, *Representations of Nilpotent Lie groups and Their Applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1990.
- [5] M. Duflo and C. C. Moore, *On the regular representation of a nonunimodular locally compact group*, J. Funct. Anal., **21** (1976), 209–243.
- [6] M. Duflo et M. Rais, *Sur l'analyse harmonique sur les groupes de Lie résolubles*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., **9** (1976), 107–144.
- [7] P. Eymard et M. Terp, *La transformation de Fourier et son inverse sur le groupe des  $ax + b$  d'un corps local*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 739, Springer, 1979, pp. 207–248.
- [8] J. J. F. Fournier, *Sharpness in Young's inequality for convolution*, Pacific J. Math., **72** (1977), 383–397.
- [9] J. J. F. Fournier and B. Russo, *Abstract interpolation and operator-valued kernels*, J. London Math. Soc., **16** (1977), 283–289.
- [10] J. Inoue, *Monomial representations of certain exponential Lie groups*, J. Funct. Anal., **83** (1989), 121–149.
- [11] S. Kaneyuki, *Homogeneous Bounded Domains and Siegel Domains*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 241, Springer, 1971.
- [12] A. Kleppner and R. L. Lipsman, *The Plancherel formula for group extensions*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., (4) **5** (1972), 459–516; II, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., (4) **6** (1973), 103–132.
- [13] R. A. Kunze,  *$L_p$ -Fourier transforms on locally compact unimodular groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **89** (1958), 519–540.
- [14] R. L. Lipsman, *Non-abelian Fourier analysis*, Bull. Sci. Math., **98** (1974), 209–233.
- [15] T. Nomura, *Harmonic analysis on a nilpotent Lie group and representations of a solvable Lie group on  $\bar{\partial}_b$  cohomology spaces*, Japan. J. Math., **13** (1987), 277–332.
- [16] I. I. Pyatetskii-Shapiro, *Automorphic Functions and the Geometry of Classical Domains*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1969.
- [17] H. Rossi et M. Vernege, *Équations de Cauchy-Riemann tangentielles associées à un domaine de Siegel*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., **9** (1976), 31–80.
- [18] B. Russo, *The norm of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform on unimodular groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **192** (1974), 293–305.
- [19] —, *The norm of the  $L^p$ -Fourier transform*, II, Canad. J. Math., **28** (1976), 1121–1131.
- [20] —, *On the Hausdorff-Young theorem for integral operators*, Pacific J. Math., **68** (1977), 241–253.
- [21] M. Terp,  *$L^p$ -Fourier transformation on non-unimodular locally compact groups*, preprint.

Received June 21, 1990 and in revised form August 14, 1991.

FACULTY OF SCIENCE  
 KYUSHU UNIVERSITY 33  
 FUKUOKA 812 JAPAN

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
vsv@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112  
clemens@math.utah.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
christ@math.ucla.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093  
tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
mgscharl@henri.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

R. ARENS

E. F. BECKENBACH  
(1906–1982)

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF  
(1904–1989)

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1992 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 155    No. 2    October 1992

---

Invariant subspaces and harmonic conjugation on compact abelian groups	201
NAKHLE HABIB ASMAR, EARL ROBERT BERKSON and THOMAS ALASTAIR GILLESPIE	
Cobcat and singular bordism	215
A. K. DAS and S. S. KHARE	
Poles of Eisenstein series on $SL_n$ induced from maximal parabolics	229
PAUL FEIT	
On the postulation of 0-dimensional subschemes on a smooth quadric	251
SALVATORE GIUFFRIDA, RENATO MAGGIONI and ALFIO RAGUSA	
The Euler class for “piecewise” groups	283
PETER ABRAHAM GREENBERG	
$L^p$ -Fourier transforms on nilpotent Lie groups and solvable Lie groups acting on Siegel domains	295
JUNKO INOUE	
Fuchsian moduli on a Riemann surface—its Poisson structure and Poincaré-Lefschetz duality	319
KATSUNORI IWASAKI	
Interpolation between $H^p$ spaces and noncommutative generalizations. I	341
GILLES PISIER	
The braid index of generalized cables	369
ROBERT FONES WILLIAMS and ROBERT FONES WILLIAMS	
$BMO$ and Hankel operators on Bergman spaces	377
KEHE ZHU	



0030-8730(1992)155:2;1-L