

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**HECKE EIGENFORMS AND REPRESENTATION NUMBERS OF  
ARBITRARY RANK LATTICES**

LYNNE WALLING

Volume 156 No. 2

December 1992

## HECKE EIGENFORMS AND REPRESENTATION NUMBERS OF ARBITRARY RANK LATTICES

LYNNE H. WALLING

**In this paper we develop some of the theory of half-integral weight Hilbert modular forms; we apply the theory of Hecke operators to find arithmetic relations on the representation numbers of totally positive quadratic forms over totally real number fields.**

**Introduction.** Given a totally positive quadratic form  $Q$  over a totally real number field  $\mathbf{K}$ , one can obtain a Hilbert modular form by restricting  $Q$  to a lattice  $L$  and forming the theta series attached to  $L$ ; the Fourier coefficients of the theta series are the representation numbers of  $Q$  on  $L$ . The space of Hilbert modular forms generated by all theta series attached to lattices of the same weight, level and character is invariant under a subalgebra of the Hecke algebra; hence one can (in theory) diagonalize this space of modular forms with respect to an appropriate Hecke subalgebra and infer relations on the representation numbers of the lattices. In a previous paper the author found such relations by constructing eigenforms from theta series attached to lattices of even rank which are “nice” at dyadic primes; the purpose of this paper is to extend the previous results to all lattices.

We begin by proving a Lemma (Lemma 1.1) which allows us to remove the restriction regarding dyadic primes. Then using our previous work we find that associated to any even rank lattice  $L$  is a family of lattices  $\text{fam } L$  which is partitioned into nuclear families (which are genera when the ground field is  $\mathbf{Q}$ ), and the averaged representation numbers of these nuclear families satisfy arithmetic relations (Theorem 1.2).

In §2 we define “Fourier coefficients” attached to integral ideals for a half-integral weight Hilbert modular form. Then in analogy to the case  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}$ , we describe the effect of the Hecke operators on these Fourier coefficients (Theorem 2.5).

In §3 we use theta series attached to odd rank lattices to construct eigenforms for the Hecke operators; the results of §2 then give us arithmetic relations on the representation numbers of the odd rank

lattices. When the ground field is  $\mathbf{Q}$ , we may assume  $Q(L) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$  and then these relations may be stated as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 2p^2a) &= (1 - p^{(m-3)/2} \chi_L(p) (-1|p)^{(m-1)/2} (2a|p) + p^{m-2}) \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 2a) - p^{m-2} \mathbf{r}\left(\text{gen } L, \frac{2a}{p^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 2a)$  is the average number of times the lattices in the genus of  $L$  represent  $2a$ ,  $m$  is the rank of  $L$ ,  $p$  is a prime not dividing the level of  $L$ , and  $\chi_L$  is the character attached to  $L$  (Corollary 3.7).

**1. Relations on representation numbers of lattices of even rank.** Let  $V$  be a vector space of even dimension  $m$  over  $\mathbf{K}$  where  $\mathbf{K}$  is a totally real number field of degree  $n$  over  $\mathbf{Q}$ ; let  $Q$  be a totally positive quadratic form on  $V$ ,  $L$  a lattice on  $V$  (so  $\mathbf{K}L = V$ ),  $\mathcal{N}$  the level of  $L$  and  $\mathbf{n}L$  the norm of  $L$  as defined in [6]. Then the theta series

$$\theta(L, \tau) = \sum_{x \in L} e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(Q(x)\tau)}$$

is a Hilbert modular form of weight  $m/2$ , level  $\mathcal{N}$  and quadratic character  $\chi_L$ , and for  $\mathcal{P}$  a prime ideal such that  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ , either the Hecke operator  $T(\mathcal{P})$  or the operator  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  maps  $\theta(L, \tau)$  to a linear combination of theta series of the same weight, level and character (see [6]; cf. [1]).

We derive relations on the representation numbers of the lattices in the “extended family” of  $L$ ; essentially, the extended family of  $L$  consists of all lattices which arise when we act on the theta series attached to lattices in the genus of  $L$  with those Hecke operators known to preserve the space spanned by theta series. We begin now by giving refined definitions of a family and of an extended family; these definitions agree with those given in [8] when the lattice in question is unimodular when localized at dyadic primes.

**DEFINITION.** A lattice  $L'$  is in the family of  $L$ , denoted  $\text{fam } L$ , if  $L'$  is a lattice on  $V^\alpha$  where  $\alpha$  is a totally positive element of  $\mathbf{K}^\times$  which is relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$ , such that for all primes  $\mathcal{P} | \mathcal{N}$  we have  $L'_\mathcal{P} \simeq L_\mathcal{P}^\alpha$ , and for all primes  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$  we have  $L'_\mathcal{P} \simeq L_\mathcal{P}^{u_\mathcal{P}}$  for some  $u_\mathcal{P} \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P}^\times$ . Here  $L_\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{P} L$ , and  $V^\alpha$  (resp.  $L_\mathcal{P}^\alpha$ ) denotes the vector space  $V$  (resp. the lattice  $L_\mathcal{P}$ ) equipped with the “scaled” quadratic form  $\alpha Q$ . We say  $L' \in \text{fam } L$  is in the nuclear family of  $L$ ,  $\text{fam}^+ L$ , if there exists some totally positive unit  $u$  such that  $L'_\mathcal{P} \simeq L_\mathcal{P}^u$  for all primes  $\mathcal{P}$ , and we say  $L'$  is in the extended family

of  $L$ ,  $\text{xfam } L$ , if  $L'$  is connected to  $L$  with a prime-sublattice chain as defined in §3 of [8].

For  $\xi \gg 0$ , we define the representation number  $\mathbf{r}(L, \xi)$  and  $\mathbf{r}(\text{xfam } L, \xi)$  by

$$\mathbf{r}(L, \xi) = \#\{x \in L : Q(x) = \xi\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{r}(\text{fam}^+ L, \xi) = \sum_{L'} \frac{1}{o(L')} \mathbf{r}(L', \xi)$$

where  $o(L')$  is the order of the orthogonal group of  $L'$  (see [4]) and the sum runs over a complete set of representatives of the isometry classes within  $\text{fam}^+ L$ . Note that if  $u \in \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{O}^\times$  then  $L^{u^2}$  is in the genus of  $L$ ; since  $\mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2$  is finite (where  $\mathcal{U}^+$  denotes the group of totally positive units and  $\mathcal{U}^2$  the subgroup of squares—see §61 of [3]) and each genus has a finite number of isometry classes, it follows that  $\text{fam}^+ L$  has a finite number of isometry classes.

We now show

LEMMA 1.1. *The number of nuclear families in  $\text{fam } L$  is  $2^r$  where  $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* As argued in the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [8],  $L_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq L_{\mathcal{P}}^{u_{\mathcal{P}}}$  for any  $u_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^\times$  when  $\mathcal{P}$  is a prime not dividing  $2\mathcal{N}$ . Thus there can only be a finite number of primes  $\mathcal{Q}$  such that  $L_{\mathcal{Q}} \not\simeq L_{\mathcal{Q}}^{u_{\mathcal{Q}}}$  for all  $u_{\mathcal{Q}} \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ ; let  $\mathcal{Q}_1, \dots, \mathcal{Q}_t$  denote these “bad” primes for  $L$ .

For each  $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ), set

$$\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}} : L_{\mathcal{Q}}^u \simeq L_{\mathcal{Q}}\}.$$

Clearly  $\text{Stab}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L)$  is a multiplicative subgroup of  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}}$ , and  $\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 = \{u^2 : u \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}}\} \subseteq \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L)$ . Now, since  $[\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}} : \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}}^2]$  is a power of 2 (see 63:9 of [4]) it follows that  $[\mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}} : \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{Q}}(L)]$  is also a power of 2. Thus  $\prod_{i=1}^t \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}_i} / \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{Q}_i}(L)$  is a group of order  $2^s$  for some  $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ . We associate each nuclear family  $\text{fam}^+ L'$  within  $\text{fam } L$  to an element of  $\prod_{i=1}^t \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}_i} / \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{Q}_i}(L)$  as follows. For  $L' \in \text{fam } L$  we know  $L'$  is a lattice on  $V^\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}^\times$  with  $\alpha \in \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}_i}$  and  $L'_{\mathcal{Q}_i} \simeq L_{\mathcal{Q}_i}^\alpha$  ( $1 \leq i \leq t$ ); associate  $\text{fam}^+ L'$  with  $(\dots, \alpha \cdot \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{Q}_i}(L), \dots)$ . It is easily seen that this map is well-defined and injective. The techniques used to prove Lemma 3.1 of [8] show that the nuclear families within  $\text{fam } L$  are associated with a multiplicatively closed subset of the product  $\prod_{i=1}^t \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{Q}_i} / \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{Q}_i}(L)$ ; since this product is a finite group, it follows

that the nuclear families within  $\text{fam } L$  are associated with a subgroup of  $\prod_{i=1}^t \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}_i} / \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{O}_i}(L)$ . The order of  $\prod_{i=1}^t \mathcal{U}_{\mathcal{O}_i} / \text{Stab}_{\mathcal{O}_i}(L)$  is  $2^s$ , so there must be  $2^r$  nuclear families in  $\text{fam } L$  where  $r \in \mathbf{Z}$ .  $\square$

For a prime  $\mathcal{P} \nmid 2\mathcal{N}$ , define

$$\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L/\mathcal{P}L \text{ is hyperbolic,} \\ -1 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

define

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\mathcal{P}) &= N(\mathcal{P})^{k/2}(N(\mathcal{P})^{k-1} + 1) & \text{if } \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P}) = 1, & \text{ and} \\ \lambda(\mathcal{P}^2) &= N(\mathcal{P})^k(N(\mathcal{P})^{k-1} - 1)^2 & \text{if } \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P}) = -1. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  such that  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A})$  is even whenever  $\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P}) = -1$ , set  $\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}} \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})^{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A})}$ , and set

$$\lambda(\mathcal{P}^a)\lambda(\mathcal{P}^b) = \sum_{c=0}^{\min\{a,b\}} N(\mathcal{P})^{c(2k-1)} \lambda(\mathcal{P}^{a+b-2c})$$

and  $\lambda(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}} \lambda(\mathcal{P}^{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A})})$ . Now the arguments of [8] can be used to extend Theorem 3.9 of [8] to include any even rank lattice  $L$ , giving us

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $L$  be any lattice on  $V$  where  $\dim V = 2k$  ( $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ ). Take  $\xi \in \mathbf{n}L$ ,  $\xi \gg 0$ , and write  $\xi(\mathbf{n}L)^{-1} = \mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}'$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{M}'$  are integral ideals such that  $(\mathcal{M}, 2\mathcal{N}) = 1$  and  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{M}$  is even whenever  $\mathcal{P}$  is a prime such that  $\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P}) = -1$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}(\text{fam}^+ L, 2\xi) &= \lambda(\mathcal{M})N_{K/Q}(\mathcal{M})^{-k/2} \mathbf{r}(\text{fam}^+ L', 2\xi) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{M} + \mathcal{M}' \\ \mathcal{A} \neq \mathcal{O}}} \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{A})N_{K/Q}(\mathcal{A})^{k-1} \mathbf{r}(\text{fam}^+ \mathcal{A}L, 2\xi) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbf{n}L' = \mathcal{M} \cdot \mathbf{n}L$  and  $L'$  is connected to  $L$  by a prime-sublattice chain.

**2. Hecke operators on forms of half-integral weight.** In this section we develop some of the theory of half-integral weight Hilbert modular forms. To read about the general theory of Hilbert modular forms, see [2].

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be an integral ideal such that  $4\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ , and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a fractional ideal; then as in [8] we define

$$\begin{aligned} &\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2) \\ &= \left\{ A \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{O} & \mathcal{F}^{-2}\mathcal{O}^{-1} \\ \mathcal{N}\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{O} & \mathcal{O} \end{pmatrix} : \det A \in \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{O}^\times, \det A \gg 0 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We also define

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2) \\ &= \left\{ \tilde{A} = \left[ A, \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] : A \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \det A \in \mathcal{U}^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}} e(2\alpha^2\tau)$  with  $e(\beta\tau) = e^{\pi i \text{Tr}(\beta\tau)}$ , and  $\mathcal{U}^2 = \{u^2 : u \in \mathcal{U} = \mathcal{O}^\times\}$ . As shown in §3 of [6], when  $A \in \Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2)$  and  $\det A = 1$ ,  $\theta(\mathcal{F}, A\tau)/\theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau)$  is a well-defined automorphy factor for  $A$ , and it is easily seen that for  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $\theta(\mathcal{F}, u^2\tau) = \theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau)$ . Thus we can define a group action of  $\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2)$  on  $f: \mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by

$$f|_{m/2}\tilde{A}(\tau) = f|\tilde{A}(\tau) = \left( \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right)^{-m} f(A\tau).$$

(Here  $\mathcal{H}$  denotes the complex upper half-plane.) For  $\chi_{\mathcal{N}}$  a numerical character modulo the ideal  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $m$  an odd integer, we let  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$  denote the space of Hilbert modular forms  $f$  which satisfy

$$f|_{m/2}\tilde{A}(\tau) = \chi_{\mathcal{N}}(a)f(\tau)$$

for all  $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2)$ . Notice that by definition,

$$f \left| \begin{pmatrix} u^0 & 0 \\ 0 & u^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \right. (\tau) = f(u^2\tau) = f \left| \begin{pmatrix} u^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right. (\tau)$$

for any  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , so  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) = \{0\}$  unless  $\chi_{\mathcal{N}}(u) = 1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ . For  $\mathcal{P}$  a prime,  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ , we define the Hecke operator

$$T(\mathcal{P}^2) : \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$$

as follows. Let  $\{\tilde{A}_j\}$  be a complete set of coset representatives for

$$(\tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2) \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2)) \backslash \tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2)$$

where

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2) : a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{N}} \right\}.$$

Then for  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$ , define

$$f|T(\mathcal{P}^2) = N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2-2} \sum_j f|\tilde{A}_j.$$

Clearly  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  is well-defined and

$$f|T(\mathcal{P}^2) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}}).$$

Similar to the case of integral weight, we also define operators

$$S(\mathcal{P}) : \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2 \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$$

by

$$f|S(\mathcal{P}) = f \left| \left[ C, N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, C\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right.$$

where

$$C \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P} & \mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{-2} \partial^{-1} \\ \mathcal{N} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}^2 \partial & \mathcal{O} \end{pmatrix},$$

$\det C = 1$ , and  $a_C \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$ . The proof of Proposition 6.1 of [6] shows that  $N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \theta(\mathcal{F}, C\tau) / \theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)$  is a well-defined automorphy factor for  $C$ , and it is easy to check that  $S(\mathcal{P})$  is well-defined and that  $f|S(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2 \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$ . (Note that the restrictions on  $d$  in Proposition 6.1 of [6] are unnecessary, but one must then use the extended transformation formula from §4 of [7].) In fact,  $S(\mathcal{P})$  is an isomorphism, so by setting  $S(\mathcal{P}^{-1}) = S(\mathcal{P})^{-1}$  and  $S(\mathcal{F}_1)S(\mathcal{F}_2) = S(\mathcal{F}_1\mathcal{F}_2)$ , we can inductively define  $S(\mathcal{F})$  for any fractional ideal  $J$  relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *Suppose*

$$A \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P} & \mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{-2} \partial^{-1} \\ \mathcal{N} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}^2 \partial & \mathcal{P}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

such that  $\det A = 1$  and  $a_A \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$ . Then for

$$f \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}}),$$

$$f \left| \left[ A, N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right. = f|S(\mathcal{P}).$$

*Proof.* Let  $C$  be a matrix as in the definition of  $S(\mathcal{P})$ ; so

$$\begin{aligned} & f \left| \left[ A, N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right| S(\mathcal{P})^{-1} \\ &= f \left| \left[ A, N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right| \left| \left[ C^{-1}, N(\mathcal{P})^{1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, C^{-1}\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right| \\ &= f \left| \left[ AC^{-1}, \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, AC^{-1}\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right| \\ &= f \end{aligned}$$

since  $[AC^{-1}, \theta(\mathcal{F}, AC^{-1}\tau) / \theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau)] \in \tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2)$ . □

We now use this lemma to give us a useful description of  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  when  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ .

LEMMA 2.2. For  $\mathcal{P}$  a prime,  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ , and

$$f \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{P})^{2-m/2} f|T(\mathcal{P}^2) &= \sum_b f| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\beta} f|S(\mathcal{P})| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\mathcal{P})^{1/2} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}} e(-2\beta\alpha^2) \right)^{-1} \right] \\ &+ f|S(\mathcal{P}^2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $b$  runs over  $\mathcal{P}^{-2}\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1}/\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1}$  and  $\beta$  runs over  $(\mathcal{P}^{-3}\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1}/\mathcal{P}^{-2}\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1})^\times$ .

*Proof.* Since for  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}^\times$  the mapping  $f \mapsto f|[(\alpha^{-2} \ 0; \ 0 \ 1), N(\alpha^2)^{1/4}]$  is an isomorphism from the space  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \alpha^2\mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$ , we may assume  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ . Choose  $a \in \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}^2$  such that  $a\mathcal{O}$  is relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$  and  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$ . Let  $\{b_k\}$  be a set of coset representatives for

$$(\mathcal{P}^{-2}\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1}/\mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1})^\times$$

such that  $b_k\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2\partial$  is relatively prime to  $a\mathcal{O}$ ; then for each  $k$ , use strong approximation to choose  $c_k \in \mathcal{N}\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2\partial$  and  $d_k \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $ad_k - b_kc_k = 1$ . Take  $A' = \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2)$  such that  $a' \in \mathcal{P}^2$ ,  $\mathcal{P} \nmid d'$ , and  $a'd' - b'c' = 1$ , and take  $\{b'_j\}$  to be a set of representatives for  $\mathcal{P}^{-2}\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1}/\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1}$ . Then one easily sees that

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b_k \\ c_k & d_k \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

is a complete set of coset representatives for

$$(\tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2) \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2)) \setminus \tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2).$$

Take  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$ . Then

$$f|\tilde{A}' = f| \left[ A', \frac{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, A'\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right]$$

and the transformation formula (2) in §2 of [6] shows that

$$\frac{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, A'\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} = \left( c' + d' \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} (d')^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}/d'\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}} e\left( \frac{b'}{d'} 2\alpha^2 \right).$$

(Recall that, as remarked earlier, we need not restrict  $d$  as in [6], but we need to then use the extended transformation formula as it appears in [7].) On the other hand,

$$f|S(\mathcal{P}^2) = f \left| \left[ A', N(\mathcal{P})^{-1} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A'\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right.$$

and following the derivation in the proof of Proposition 6.1 of [6] we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A'\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}, \tau)} &= \left( c' + d' \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} (d')^{-1/2} \\ &\cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}/d'\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}} e\left(\frac{b'}{d'}2\alpha^2\right) \sum_{\alpha \in d'\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{P}^2d'\mathcal{F}} e\left(\frac{b'}{d'}2\alpha^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.2 of [6],

$$\sum_{\alpha \in d'\mathcal{F}/d'\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}} e\left(\frac{b'}{d'}2\alpha^2\right) = N(\mathcal{P});$$

also, since  $\mathcal{P} \nmid d'$ ,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}/d'\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}} e\left(\frac{b'}{d'}2\alpha^2\right) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}/d'\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}} e\left(\frac{b'}{d'}2\alpha^2\right).$$

Thus  $f|\tilde{A}' = f|S(\mathcal{P}^2)$ .

Now choose  $\nu \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{-1}\partial^{-1}$  such that  $(\nu\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}\partial, d_k\mathcal{P}) = 1$  for all  $k$ . Fix some  $k$ ; for simplicity write  $A_k = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ . Set  $\beta = \beta'\nu^2$  where  $\beta' \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}\partial$  is chosen such that  $a\beta + b \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}\mathcal{F}^{-2}\partial^{-1}$ ; we will show that

$$f|\tilde{A} \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \right. = N(\mathcal{P})^{1/2} \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}} e(2\beta\alpha^2) \right)^{-1} f|S(\mathcal{P}),$$

and then the lemma will follow. Now,

$$f|S(\mathcal{P}) = f \left| \left[ A_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right.;$$

again following the proof of Proposition 6.1 of [6] we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}) \tau}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \\
 &= \left( c + (c\beta + d) \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} (c\beta + d)^{-1/2} N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F} / (c\beta + d)\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}} e \left( \frac{a\beta + b}{c\beta + d} 2\alpha^2 \right) \\
 &\qquad \text{and since } a(c\beta + d) - c(a\beta + b) = 1 \\
 &\qquad \text{and } e(a(a\beta + b)2\alpha^2) = 1, \\
 &= \left( c + (c\beta + d) \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} (c\beta + d)^{-1/2} N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F} / (c\beta + d)\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}} e \left( -\frac{c(a\beta + b)^2}{c\beta + d} 2\alpha^2 \right) \\
 &= \left( c + (c\beta + d) \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} (c\beta + d)^{-1/2} N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} / (c\beta + d)\mathcal{P}} e \left( -\frac{c\nu^2}{c\beta + d} 2\alpha^2 \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

(note that  $\nu\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}\partial$  is relatively prime to  $(c\beta + d)\mathcal{P}$ ). Now,  $d$  is relatively prime to 4 since  $4|c$ ; thus by reciprocity of Gauss sums (Theorem 161 of [3]) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (c\beta + d)^{-1/2} N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} / (c\beta + d)\mathcal{P}} e \left( -\frac{c\nu^2}{c\beta + d} 2\alpha^2 \right) \\
 &= i^{-n/2} N(c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial)^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O} / c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial} e \left( \frac{c\beta + d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2 \right) \\
 &\qquad \text{and using the techniques of §3 of [6],} \\
 &= i^{-n/2} N(c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial)^{-1/2} \\
 &\quad \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P} / c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial} e \left( \frac{c\beta + d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2 \right) \sum_{\alpha \in c\nu^2\partial / c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial} e \left( \frac{c\beta + d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2 \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$\frac{c\beta + 1}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2 \equiv \frac{d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2 \pmod{2\partial^{-1}}$$

(since  $\beta = \nu^2 \beta'$  with  $\beta' \in \mathcal{P}^{-1}\partial$ ) so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}/c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial} e\left(\frac{c\beta + 1}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2\right) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}/c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial} e\left(\frac{d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2\right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}/c\nu^2\partial} e\left(\frac{d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

(note that  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} c\nu^2\partial = 0$ ). Also,

$$\frac{c\beta + d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2 \equiv 2\beta \left(\frac{\alpha}{\nu}\right)^2 \pmod{2\partial^{-1}}$$

for  $\alpha \in c\nu^2\partial$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in c\nu^2\partial/c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial} e\left(\frac{c\beta + d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2\right) &= \sum_{\alpha \in c\nu^2\partial/c\nu^2\mathcal{P}\partial} e\left(2\beta \left(\frac{\alpha}{\nu}\right)^2\right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}} e(2\beta\alpha^2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, formula (1) of [6] and the techniques used above show that

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, A_k \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tau)} \\ &= \left(c + (c\beta + d)\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} d^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}/d\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}} e\left(-\frac{cb^2}{d} 2\alpha^2\right) \\ &= \left(c + (c\beta + d)\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} d^{-1/2} \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}/d\mathcal{O}} e\left(-\frac{c\nu^2}{d} 2\alpha^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

and by reciprocity of Gauss sums,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(c + (c\beta + d)\frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} i^{-n/2} N(c\nu^2\partial)^{-1/2} \\ &\times \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}/c\nu^2\partial} e\left(\frac{d}{c\nu^2} 2\alpha^2\right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Our goal in this section is to determine the effect of the Hecke operators on the Fourier coefficients of a half-integral weight form. When  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}$ , we know that for

$$f(\tau) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a(n)e(2n\tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(N), \chi),$$

we have  $f(\tau)|T(p^2) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b(n)e(2n\tau)$  where

$$b(n) = a(p^2n) + \chi(p)p^{(m-3)/2}(-1|p)^{(m-1)/2}(n|p)a(n) + \chi(p^2)p^{m-2}a(n/p^2).$$

By defining ‘‘Fourier coefficients’’ attached to integral ideals, we expect to get a similar description of the effect of the Hecke operators on any half-integral weight Hilbert modular form. This, in fact, is one of the things Shimura does for integral weight forms in [5]; so mimicking Shimura, we decompose a space of half-integral weight Hilbert modular forms as described below.

Whenever  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{J}$  are fractional ideals in the same (nonstrict) ideal class, the mapping

$$f \rightarrow f \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\alpha^2)^{1/4} \right] \right.$$

is an isomorphism from the space  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\Gamma_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{I}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$  onto  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{J}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$  where  $\alpha$  is any element of  $\mathbf{K}^\times$  such that  $\alpha\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{J}$  (notice that this isomorphism is independent of the choice of  $\alpha$ ). Hence we can consider  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  and  $S(\mathcal{P})$  as operators on the space

$$\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) = \prod_{\lambda=1}^{h'} \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{I}_\lambda^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$$

where  $\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{h'}$  represent all the distinct (nonstrict) ideal classes. Note that by the Global Square Theorem (65:15 of [4]),  $\mathcal{I}_1^2, \dots, \mathcal{I}_{h'}^2$  represent distinct strict ideal classes. Just as in the case where  $m$  is even (see Lemma 1.1 and Proposition 1.2 of [7]), we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) = \bigoplus_{\chi} \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$$

where the sum is over all Hecke characters  $\chi$  extending  $\chi_{\mathcal{N}}$  with  $\chi_\infty = 1$ ,

$$\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi) = \{F \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) : F|S(\mathcal{I}) = \chi^*(\mathcal{I})F \text{ for all fractional ideals } \mathcal{I}, (\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{N}) = 1\},$$

and  $\chi^*$  is the ideal character induced by  $\chi$ . (For  $\mathcal{I}$  a fractional ideal relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\chi^*(\mathcal{I}) = \chi(\tilde{a})$  where  $\tilde{a}$  is an idele of  $\mathbf{K}$  such that  $\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{P}} = 1$  for all primes  $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{N}_\infty$ , and  $\tilde{a}_{\mathcal{O}} = \mathcal{I}$ . Also note that there are Hecke characters  $\chi$  extending  $\chi_{\mathcal{N}}$  with  $\chi_\infty = 1$  since  $\chi_{\mathcal{N}}(u) = 1$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ .)

When defining “Fourier coefficients” attached to integral ideals for an integral weight form  $F$ , Shimura uses the fact that for  $u \in \mathcal{U}^+$

$$F \left| \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right. = F.$$

In the case of half-integral weight forms, we have no analogous equation. However, we can decompose  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$  as follows.

Let  $\mathbf{K}^+ = \{a \in \mathbf{K} : a \gg 0\}$  and  $\dot{\mathbf{K}}^2 = \{a^2 : a \in \mathbf{K}, a \neq 0\}$ ; set  $G = \mathbf{K}^+/\dot{\mathbf{K}}^2$  and  $H = \mathcal{U}^+\dot{\mathbf{K}}^2/\mathcal{U}^2 \ (\approx \mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2)$ . For each character  $\varphi \in \widehat{G} =$  the character group of  $G$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi) \\ &= \left\{ F \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) : F \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] = \varphi(u)F \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}^+ \right. \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

LEMMA 2.3. *With the above definitions,*

$$\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) = \bigoplus_{\varphi} \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi)$$

where the sum runs over a complete set of representatives  $\varphi$  for  $\widehat{G}/H^\perp$  with  $H^\perp = \{\varphi \in \widehat{G} : \varphi|_H = 1\}$ . Each space  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi)$  is invariant under all the Hecke operators  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a prime ideal not dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ .

REMARK. The restriction map defines an isomorphism from  $\widehat{G}/H^\perp$  onto  $\widehat{H} \approx \widehat{\mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2}$ , but there is no canonical way to extend an element of  $\widehat{\mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2}$  to an element of  $\widehat{G}/H^\perp$ .

*Proof.* Given  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$ , set

$$F_\varphi = \frac{1}{[\mathcal{U}^+ : \mathcal{U}^2]} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2} \overline{\varphi}(u)F \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \right.$$

One easily verifies that  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi)$ . Also,

$$\sum_{\varphi \in \widehat{G}/H^\perp} F_\varphi = \frac{1}{[\mathcal{U}^+ : \mathcal{U}^2]} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2} \left( \sum_{\varphi} \overline{\varphi}(u) \right) F \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \right. = F^{-3}$$

since duality shows that  $\sum_{\varphi} \overline{\varphi}(u)$  is only nonzero when  $u = 1$ . Furthermore, for  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \widehat{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi_1)$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi_2)$

either are equal or have trivial intersection, depending on whether  $\varphi_1\bar{\varphi}_2 \in H^\perp$ . Thus  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}) = \bigoplus_{\varphi} \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi)$  as claimed.

Now, given  $u \in \mathcal{U}^+$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  a prime ideal not dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ , and  $\{\tilde{A}_j\}$  a set of coset representatives for

$$(\tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2) \cap \tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2 \mathcal{F}^2)) \backslash \tilde{\Gamma}_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2 \mathcal{F}^2),$$

we see that  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A_j \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$  is a set of coset representatives for

$$(\Gamma_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2) \cap \Gamma_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2 \mathcal{F}^2)) \backslash \Gamma_1(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2 \mathcal{F}^2).$$

Standard techniques for evaluating Gauss sums show that

$$\frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A_j u \tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{F}, u \tau)} = (u|d_j) \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, A_j^u \tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{F}, \tau)}$$

where

$$A_j = \begin{pmatrix} a_j & b_j \\ c_j & d_j \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A_j^u = \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} A_j \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $d_j \equiv a_j d_j \equiv v^2 \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$  for some  $v \in \mathcal{U}$ , the Law of Quadratic Reciprocity (Theorem 165 of [3]) shows that  $(u|d_j) = 1$ ; hence

$$\left[ \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \tilde{A}_j \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] = \tilde{A}_j^u$$

and thus  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  acts invariantly on the space  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi)$ .  $\square$

Unfortunately, we also have

LEMMA 2.4. *Given  $\varphi \in \hat{G}$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  a prime ideal not dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ , we have*

$$S(\mathcal{P}): \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi \psi_{\mathcal{P}})$$

where  $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$  is an element of  $\hat{G}$  such that  $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(u) = (u|\mathcal{P})$  for all  $u \in \mathcal{U}^+$ . Consequently, given any Hecke character  $\chi$  extending  $\chi_{\mathcal{N}}$  (with  $\chi_\infty = 1$ ),

$$\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi) \cap \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi) = \{0\}$$

unless  $\mathcal{U}^+ = \mathcal{U}^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & d \end{pmatrix}$  be a matrix as in the definition of  $S(\mathcal{P})$ ; so  $\det C = 1$ , and

$$F|S(\mathcal{P}) = f \left| \left[ C, N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, C\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \right.$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}^2), \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$ . Then for  $u \in \mathcal{U}^+$ , the techniques used to prove Proposition 6.1 of [6] show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \left[ C, N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, C\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \\ &= \left[ C^u, (u|d)(u|\mathcal{P})N(\mathcal{P})^{-1/2} \frac{\theta(\mathcal{F}, C^u\tau)}{\theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}, \tau)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $C^u = \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} C \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$  (recall the definition of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{P})$ ) we see again by the Law of Quadratic Reciprocity that  $(u|d) = 1$ . Hence for  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & F|S(\mathcal{P}) \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \right. \\ &= (u|\mathcal{P})F \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \right| S(\mathcal{P}) = \varphi(u)(u|\mathcal{P})F|S(\mathcal{P}), \end{aligned}$$

showing that  $F|S(\mathcal{P}) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}}, \varphi\psi_{\mathcal{P}})$ .

Now, to finish proving the lemma, we simply observe that there are an infinite number of primes  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $(u|\mathcal{P}) = -1$  if  $u \in \mathcal{U}^+ - \mathcal{U}^2$  (see 65:19 of [4]). □

The preceding two lemmas compel us to define ‘‘Fourier coefficients’’ attached to integral ideals as follows.

Given

$$F = (\dots, f_{\lambda}, \dots) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi_{\mathcal{N}})$$

where  $f_{\lambda}(\tau) = \sum_{\zeta} a_{\lambda}(\zeta)e(2\zeta\tau)$ ,  $\varphi \in \widehat{G}$  and  $\mathcal{M} \neq 0$  an integral ideal, we define the  $\mathcal{M}, \varphi$ -Fourier coefficient of  $F$  by:

(i)

$$\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) = \frac{1}{[\mathcal{U}^+ : \mathcal{U}^2]} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}^+ / \mathcal{U}^2} \overline{\varphi}(\xi u) a_{\lambda}(\xi u) N(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda})^{-m/2}$$

if  $\mathcal{M} = \xi \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{-2}$  for some  $\lambda$  and some  $\xi \gg 0$ ;

(ii)  $\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) = 0$  if  $\mathcal{M}$  cannot be written as  $\xi \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{-2}$  with  $\xi \gg 0$ ;

(iii)  $\mathbf{a}(0, \varphi) = a_{\lambda}(0)N(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda})^{-m/2}$  if  $a_{\lambda}(0)N(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda})^{-m/2} = a_{\mu}(0)N(\mathcal{I}_{\mu})^{-m/2}$  for all  $\lambda, \mu$ .

Thus for  $\mathcal{M} = \xi \mathcal{I}_{\lambda}^{-2}$ ,  $\xi \gg 0$ ,  $\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi)$  is  $N(\mathcal{I}_{\lambda})^{-m/2}$  times the  $\xi$ -Fourier coefficient of the  $\lambda$ -component of  $F_{\varphi}$ . Since  $F = \sum_{\varphi} F_{\varphi}$ , the collection of all the  $\mathcal{M}, \varphi$ -Fourier coefficients ( $\varphi \in \widehat{G}/H^{\perp}$ ) characterize any form  $F$  whose 0,  $\varphi$ -Fourier coefficients can be defined.

We now describe the effect of the Hecke operators on these Fourier coefficients.

**THEOREM 2.5.** *Let  $F = (\dots, f_\lambda, \dots) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$  where  $\chi$  is a Hecke character extending  $\chi_{\mathcal{N}}$  with  $\chi_\infty = 1$ . Take  $\mathcal{P}$  to be a prime ideal not dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ , and take  $\psi_{\mathcal{P}} \in (\mathbf{K}^+/\widehat{\mathbf{K}^2})$  such that  $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(\xi) = (\xi|\mathcal{P})$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbf{K}^+$  with  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} \xi = 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{M}, *)$  and  $\mathbf{b}(\mathcal{M}, *)$  denote the  $\mathcal{M}, *$ -Fourier coefficients of  $F$  and of  $F|T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  (respectively). Then for any  $\varphi \in (\mathbf{K}^+/\widehat{\mathbf{K}^2})$ , we have*

$$\mathbf{b}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{a}(\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{M}, \varphi) + \chi^*(\mathcal{P})N(\mathcal{P})^{(m-3)/2}(-1|\mathcal{P})^{(m-1)/2}\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi\psi_{\mathcal{P}}) \\ \quad + \chi^*(\mathcal{P}^2)N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2}\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{P}^{-2}, \varphi) \text{ if } \mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{M}, \\ \mathbf{a}(\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{M}, \varphi) + \chi^*(\mathcal{P}^2)N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2}\mathbf{a}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{P}^{-2}, \varphi) \text{ if } \mathcal{P} | \mathcal{M}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Take  $\rho, \gamma \in \mathbf{K}^\times$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^2\mathcal{P}^2 = \rho^2\mathcal{F}_\mu^2$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^2\mathcal{P}^4 = \gamma^2\mathcal{F}_\eta^2$ . Then by Lemma 2.2 the  $\mu$ -component of  $F|T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2-2} \left( f_\lambda \mid \sum_b \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \right. \\ & + \chi^*(\mathcal{P})f_\mu \mid \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \rho^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\rho^2)^{-1/4} \right] \mid \sum_\beta \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{N(\mathcal{P})}{\sum_\alpha e(-2\beta\alpha^2)} \right] \\ & \left. + \chi^*(\mathcal{P}^2)f_\eta \mid \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\gamma^2)^{-1/4} \right] \right) \mid \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \rho^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\rho^2)^{1/4} \right] \end{aligned}$$

where  $b$  runs over

$$\mathcal{P}^{-2}\mathcal{F}_\lambda^{-2}\partial^{-1}/\mathcal{F}_\lambda^{-2}\partial^{-1},$$

$\beta$  runs over

$$(\mathcal{P}^{-3}\mathcal{F}_\lambda^{-2}\partial^{-1}/\mathcal{P}^{-2}\mathcal{F}_\lambda^{-2}\partial^{-1})^\times,$$

and  $\alpha$  runs over

$$\mathcal{F}_\lambda\mathcal{P}/\mathcal{F}_\lambda\mathcal{P}^2.$$

(Recall that  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$  so

$$f_\lambda|S(\mathcal{F}) \mid \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\omega^2)^{-1/4} \right] = \chi^*(\mathcal{F})f_\sigma$$

where  $\omega_{\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{F}_\lambda^2} = \mathcal{F}_\sigma^2$ .) It is easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\lambda & \left| \sum_b \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right] \middle| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \rho^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\rho^2)^{-1/4} \right] (\tau) \\ & = N(\mathcal{F}_\lambda \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}_\mu^{-1})^{-m/2} N(\mathcal{P}^2) \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{P}^2 \mathcal{F}_\lambda^2} a_\lambda(\xi) e(2\xi \rho^{-2} \tau) \\ & = N(\mathcal{F}_\lambda \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}_\mu^{-1})^{-m/2} N(\mathcal{P}^2) \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{F}_\mu^2} a_\lambda(\rho^2 \xi) e(2\xi \tau), \end{aligned}$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\eta & \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\gamma^2)^{-1/4} \right] \middle| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \rho^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\rho^2)^{1/4} \right] (\tau) \\ & = N(\mathcal{P} \mathcal{F}_\mu \mathcal{F}_\eta^{-1})^{m/2} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{P}^2 \mathcal{F}_\eta^2} a_\eta(\xi \rho^2 \gamma^{-2}) e(2\xi \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Now we work a little:

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu & \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \rho^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\rho^2)^{-1/4} \right] \middle| \sum_\beta \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \frac{N(\mathcal{P})^{1/2}}{\sum_\alpha e(-2\beta\alpha^2)} \right] \middle| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \rho^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\rho^2)^{1/4} \right] \\ & = N(\mathcal{P})^{-m/2} \sum_\beta \left( \sum_\alpha e(-2\beta\alpha^2) \right)^m \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{F}_\mu^2} a_\mu(\xi) e(2\xi \beta \rho^2) e(2\xi \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Taking  $\beta_0 \in \mathcal{P}^{-3} \mathcal{F}_\lambda^{-2} \partial^{-1} - \mathcal{P}^{-2} \mathcal{F}_\lambda^{-2} \partial^{-1}$ , standard techniques for evaluating Gauss sums show us that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_\beta \left( \sum_\alpha e(-2\beta\alpha^2) \right)^m e(2\xi \beta \rho^2) \\ & = \sum_{\beta' \in \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}} (-\beta' | \mathcal{P})^m \left( \sum_\alpha e(2\beta_0 \alpha^2) \right)^m e(2\xi \beta_0 \beta' \rho^2) \end{aligned}$$

and  $(\sum_\alpha e(2\beta_0 \alpha^2))^2 = N(\mathcal{P})(-1 | \mathcal{P})$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_\beta \left( \sum_\alpha e(-2\beta\alpha^2) \right)^m e(2\xi \beta \rho^2) \\ & = N(\mathcal{P})^{(m-1)/2} (-1 | \mathcal{P})^{(m+1)/2} \\ & \quad \cdot \left( \sum_{\beta' \in \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}} (\beta' | \mathcal{P}) e(2\beta' \beta_0 \xi \rho^2) \right) \left( \sum_\alpha e(2\beta_0 \alpha^2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

which is equal to 0 when  $\xi \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}_\mu^2$ . When  $\xi \notin \mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}_\mu^2$  and  $\nu \in \mathcal{J}_\mu^{-1} - \mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}_\mu^{-1}$ ,  $\beta'\xi\nu^2$  runs over  $\mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}$  as  $\beta'$  does; in this case

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\beta' \in \mathcal{O}/\mathcal{P}} (\beta'|\mathcal{P})e(2\beta'\beta_0\xi\rho^2) &= \sum_{\beta'} (\beta'\xi\nu^2|\mathcal{P})e(2\beta'\beta_0\xi^2\nu^2\rho^2) \\ &= (\xi\nu^2|\mathcal{P}) \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}_\lambda/\mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{J}_\lambda} e(2\beta_0\alpha^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} f_\mu \Big| \sum_\beta \left[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \rho^2\beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\mathcal{P})^{1/2} \left( \sum_\alpha e(-2\beta\alpha^2) \right)^{-1} \right] (\tau) \\ = N(\mathcal{P})^{1/2} (-1|\mathcal{P})^{(m-1)/2} \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{J}_\mu^2} (\xi\nu^2|\mathcal{P}) a_\mu(\xi) e(2\xi\tau). \end{aligned}$$

This means that for  $\mathcal{M} = \xi\mathcal{J}_\mu^{-2}$ ,  $\xi \gg 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{b}(\mathcal{M}, \varphi) &= \frac{N(\mathcal{J}_\mu)^{-m/2}}{[\mathcal{U}^+ : \mathcal{U}^2]} N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2-2} \\ &\cdot \left( N(\mathcal{P})^{2-m/2} N(\mathcal{J}_\mu)^{m/2} N(\mathcal{J}_\lambda)^{-m/2} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2} \overline{\varphi}(\xi u) a_\lambda(u\xi\rho^2) \right. \\ &\quad + \chi^*(\mathcal{P}) N(\mathcal{P})^{1/2} (-1|\mathcal{P})^{(m-1)/2} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2} \overline{\varphi}(\xi u) (u\xi\nu^2|\mathcal{P}) a_\mu(u\xi) \\ &\quad + \chi^*(\mathcal{P}^2) N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2} N(\mathcal{J}_\mu)^{m/2} N(\mathcal{J}_\eta)^{-m/2} \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2} \overline{\varphi}(\xi u) a_\eta(u\xi\rho^2\gamma^{-2}) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $(u\xi\nu^2|\mathcal{P}) = 0$  when  $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{M}$ , the theorem now follows from the definition of the  $M, \varphi$ -Fourier coefficients of  $F$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *If  $F \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$  is an eigenform for all  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  ( $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ ) whose 0, \*-Fourier coefficients can be defined and are nonzero, then*

$$F|T(\mathcal{P}^2) = (1 + \chi^*(\mathcal{P}^2)N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2})F.$$

**3. Relations on representation numbers of odd rank lattices.** Let  $L$  be a lattice of rank  $m$  over  $\mathcal{O}$  when  $m$  is odd; since lattices

of rank 1 are already well understood, we restrict our attention here to the case where  $m \geq 3$ . Then, as shown in Theorem 3.7 of [6],  $\theta(L, \tau) = \sum_{x \in L} e(Q(x)\tau)$  is a Hilbert modular form of weight  $m/2$ , level  $\mathcal{N}$  and character  $\chi_L$  for the group  $\{\tilde{A} \in \tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{I}^2) : \det A = 1\}$  where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the smallest fractional ideal such that  $\mathfrak{n}L \subseteq \mathcal{I}^2$  (so for every prime  $\mathcal{P}$ ,  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} \mathfrak{n}L \cdot \mathcal{I}^{-2}$  is minimal),  $\mathcal{N} = (\mathfrak{n}L^\#)^{-1} \mathcal{I}^{-2}$ , and  $\chi_L$  is a quadratic character modulo  $\mathcal{N}$ . (Here  $L^\#$  denotes the dual lattice of  $L$ , and  $\mathfrak{n}L$  is the fractional ideal generated by  $\{\frac{1}{2}Q(x) : x \in L\}$ ; note that Proposition 3.4 of [6] shows that  $4\mathcal{O}|\mathcal{N}$ .) Since  $\theta(L, u^2\tau) = \theta(L, \tau)$  for any  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have  $\theta(L, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{I}^2), \chi_L)$ .

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a prime ideal not dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then setting  $L_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}L$ , we have*

$$L_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \pi^2 \langle 1, \dots, 1, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} \rangle$$

for some  $\pi \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{P}}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^\times$ .

*Proof.* Since  $4\mathcal{O}|\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}$  must be nondyadic. Then from the remarks immediately preceding 92:1 of [4], we see that  $L_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \rangle$  where  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Since  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$  and  $(\mathfrak{n}L^\#)^{-1}(\mathfrak{n}L)^{-1}|\mathcal{N}$ , we know that  $\mathcal{P} \nmid (\mathfrak{n}L^\#)^{-1}(\mathfrak{n}L)^{-1}$  and hence  $L_{\mathcal{P}}$  is modular; thus by 92:1 of [4],  $L_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \rho \langle 1, \dots, 1, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} \rangle$  for some  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^\times$  and  $\rho \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{P}}$  such that  $\rho\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathfrak{n}L_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Furthermore, since  $\mathcal{N} = (\mathfrak{n}L^\#)^{-1} \mathcal{I}^{-2}$  and  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ , the fractional ideal  $\mathfrak{n}L^\#$  and hence  $\mathfrak{n}L$  must have even order at  $\mathcal{P}$ , so we may choose  $\rho = \pi^2$  with  $\pi \in \mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{P}}$ . □

Notice that in the preceding lemma the square class of  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}}$  is independent of the choice of  $\pi$ ; thus we can make the following

**DEFINITION.** With  $\mathcal{P}$  a prime,  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ , let  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^\times$  be as in Lemma 3.1; set  $\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P}) = (2\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}}|\mathcal{P})$  where  $(**)$  is the quadratic residue symbol. For an integral ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$ , set

$$\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{A}) = \prod_{\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{A}} \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})^{\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{A})}.$$

A straightforward computation analogous to that used to prove Lemma 3.8 of [8] proves

**LEMMA 3.2.** *For  $a \in \mathbf{K}^\times$  with  $a$  relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$ ,  $\chi_L(a) = \varepsilon_L(a\mathcal{O})$ .*

Next we have

**PROPOSITION 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a prime,  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(L, \tau)|S(\mathcal{P}) &= N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2} \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P}) \theta(\mathcal{P}L, \tau) \quad \text{and so} \\ \theta(L, \tau)|S(\mathcal{P}^2) &= N(\mathcal{P})^m \theta(\mathcal{P}^2L, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Following the proof of Proposition 6.1 of [6] and using the extended transformation formula from §4 of [7], we find that for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{P} & \mathcal{P}^{-1} \mathcal{J}^{-2} \vartheta^{-1} \\ \mathcal{N} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{J}^2 \vartheta & \mathcal{O} \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $\det A = 1$  and  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(L, A\tau) &= c \left( c + d \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{m/2} \tau^{m/2} d^{-m/2} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}L/d\mathcal{P}L} e\left(\frac{b}{d}Q(x)\right) \sum_{x \in dL/d\mathcal{P}L} e\left(\frac{b}{d}Q(x)\right) \cdot \theta(\mathcal{P}L, \tau), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(\mathcal{J}, A\tau) &= \left( c + d \frac{1}{\tau} \right)^{1/2} \tau^{1/2} d^{-1/2} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}/d\mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}} e\left(\frac{b}{d}2\alpha^2\right) \sum_{\alpha \in d\mathcal{J}/d\mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}} e\left(\frac{b}{d}2\alpha^2\right) \cdot \theta(\mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta(L, \tau)|S(\mathcal{P}) \\ &= N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}L/d\mathcal{P}L} e\left(\frac{b}{d}Q(x)\right) \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}/d\mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}} e\left(\frac{b}{d}2\alpha^2\right) \right)^{-m} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{x \in dL/d\mathcal{P}L} e\left(\frac{b}{d}Q(x)\right) \\ &\quad \cdot \left( \sum_{\alpha \in d\mathcal{J}/d\mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}} e\left(\frac{b}{d}2\alpha^2\right) \right)^{-m} \theta(\mathcal{P}L, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

We know from Lemma 3.1 that  $L_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \pi^2 \langle 1, \dots, 1, \varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} \rangle$  where  $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}} \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\times}$ ; thus Propositions 3.1–3.3 and the arguments used to prove Theorem 3.7 of [6] show that

$$\sum_{x \in dL/d\mathcal{P}L} e\left(\frac{b}{d}Q(x)\right) \left( \sum_{\alpha \in d\mathcal{J}/d\mathcal{P}\mathcal{J}} e\left(\frac{b}{d}2\alpha^2\right) \right)^{-m} = (2\varepsilon_{\mathcal{P}}|\mathcal{P}) = \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})$$

and that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{P}L/d\mathcal{P}L} e\left(\frac{b}{d}Q(x)\right) \left( \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}/d\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}} e\left(\frac{b}{d}2\alpha^2\right) \right)^{-m} = \chi_L(d) = 1$$

(since  $d \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathcal{N}}$  and  $\chi_L$  is a character modulo  $\mathcal{N}$ ). □

With this we prove

**PROPOSITION 3.4.** *Let the notation be as above. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(L, \tau)|T(\mathcal{P}^2) &= \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2}\kappa^{-1} \sum_K \theta(K, \tau) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2}(1 - N(\mathcal{P})^{(m-3)/2})\theta(\mathcal{P}L, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 3, \\ N(\mathcal{P})^{(m-5)/2} \dots N(\mathcal{P})^0 (N(\mathcal{P})^{(m-3)/2} + 1) \dots (N(\mathcal{P}) + 1) & \text{if } m > 3. \end{cases}$$

Here the sum runs over all  $\mathcal{P}^2$ -sublattices  $K$  of  $L$  (i.e. over all sublattices  $K$  of  $L$  such that  $\mathbf{n}K = \mathcal{P}^2 \cdot \mathbf{n}L$  and the invariant factors

$$\{L : K\} = (\mathcal{O}, \dots, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}^2, \dots, \mathcal{P}^2)$$

with  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{P}^2$  each appearing  $\frac{m-1}{2}$  times). Furthermore, each  $\mathcal{P}^2$ -sublattice  $K$  of  $L$  lies in the genus of  $\mathcal{P}L$ , and hence  $\theta(\mathcal{P}L, \tau)$ ,  $\theta(K, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2), \chi_L)$ .

*Proof.* An easy check shows that the Hecke operator  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  defined in [6] is, in the notation of this paper,  $T(\mathcal{P}^2)S(\mathcal{P}^{-2})$ . Thus Theorem 7.4 of [6] together with the preceding proposition shows that  $\theta(L, \tau)|T(\mathcal{P}^2)$  is as claimed. (N.B.: Part 2 of Theorem 7.4 has the wrong constants; for  $m = 2k + 1$  with  $m$  odd the theorem should read

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(L, \tau)|T(\mathcal{P}^2) &= N(\mathcal{P})^{-m/2}\kappa^{-1} \sum_K \theta(\mathcal{P}^{-2}K, \tau) \\ &\quad + N(\mathcal{P})^{-m/2}(1 - N(\mathcal{P})^{(m-3)/2})\theta(\mathcal{P}^{-1}L, \tau) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs over all  $\mathcal{P}^2$ -sublattices  $K$  of  $L$  and  $\kappa$  is as above.)

Now let  $K$  be a  $\mathcal{P}^2$ -sublattice of  $L$ . Since  $\mathbf{n}K = \mathbf{n}\mathcal{P}L$ ,  $\text{disc } K = \text{disc } \mathcal{P}L$  and  $\mathcal{P}L_{\mathcal{P}}$  is modular, it follows that  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathcal{P}}$  is modular as

well, and that  $K_{\mathcal{P}} \simeq \mathcal{P}L_{\mathcal{P}}$ . Clearly we have  $K_{\mathcal{Q}} = L_{\mathcal{Q}} = \mathcal{P}L_{\mathcal{Q}}$  where  $\mathcal{Q}$  is any prime other than  $\mathcal{P}$ ; thus  $K \in \text{gen } \mathcal{P}L$ , the genus of  $\mathcal{P}L$ . Finally, Theorem 7.4 of [6] shows that  $\theta(\mathcal{P}^{-2}K, \tau)$  and  $\theta(\mathcal{P}^{-1}L, \tau)$  lie in  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0, (\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^{-2}\mathcal{F}^2), \chi_L)$ , so

$$\theta(K, \tau) = N(\mathcal{P})^{-m}\theta(\mathcal{P}^{-2}K, \tau)|S(\mathcal{P}^2)$$

and

$$\theta(\mathcal{P}L, \tau) = N(\mathcal{P})^{-m}\theta(\mathcal{P}^{-1}L, \tau)|S(\mathcal{P}^2)$$

lie in  $\mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{P}^2\mathcal{F}^2), \chi_L)$  as claimed. □

Completely analogous to Lemma 3.2 of [8], we have

**LEMMA 3.5.** *Let  $o(L')$  denote the order of  $O(L')$ , the orthogonal group of the lattice  $L'$ , and define*

$$\theta(\text{gen } L, \tau) = \sum_{L'} \frac{1}{o(L')} \theta(L', \tau)$$

where the sum runs over a complete set of representatives  $L'$  for the distinct isometry classes in  $\text{gen } L$ , the genus of  $L$ . Then for a prime  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ ,

$$\theta(\text{gen } L, \tau)|T(\mathcal{P}^2) = N(\mathcal{P})^{m/2}\varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})(1 + N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2})\theta(\text{gen } \mathcal{P}L, \tau).$$

As in §2, choose fractional ideals  $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{h'}$  representing the distinct (nonstrict) ideal classes (and so  $\mathcal{F}_1^2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{h'}^2$  are in distinct strict ideal classes); for convenience, we assume that  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{O}$  and that each  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$  is relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$ . Define the extended genus of  $L$ ,  $\text{xgen } L$ , to be the union of all genera  $\text{gen } \mathcal{F}L$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is a fractional ideal; set

$$\Theta(\text{xgen } L, \tau) = (\dots, N(\mathcal{F}_\lambda\mathcal{F})^{m/2}\theta(\text{gen } \mathcal{F}_\lambda L, \tau), \dots).$$

Then we have

**THEOREM 3.6.** *Let  $\chi$  be the Hecke character extending  $\chi_L$  such that  $\chi_\infty = 1$  and  $\chi^*(\mathcal{A}) = \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{A})$  for any fractional ideal  $\mathcal{A}$  which is relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then*

$$\Theta(\text{xgen } L, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi) \subseteq \prod_{\lambda} \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\tilde{\Gamma}_0(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{F}_\lambda^2\mathcal{F}^2), \chi_L)$$

and for every prime  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ ,

$$\Theta(\text{xgen } L, \tau)|T(\mathcal{P}^2) = \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})(1 + N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2})\Theta(\text{xgen } L, \tau).$$

*Proof.* Take  $\mathcal{F}$  to be a fractional ideal relatively prime to  $\mathcal{N}$ . Then for each  $\lambda$  we have  $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \alpha\mathcal{F}_\mu$  for some  $\mu$  and some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}^\times$ . By Proposition 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)^{m/2}\theta(\text{gen } \mathcal{F}_\lambda L, \tau) | S(\mathcal{F}) & \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\alpha^2)^{1/4} \right] \right. \\ & = \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{F})N(\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_\lambda)^{m/2}\theta(\text{gen}(\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}_\lambda L), \tau) \\ & = \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{F})N(\mathcal{F}_\mu)^{m/2}\theta(\text{gen } \mathcal{F}_\mu L, \tau); \end{aligned}$$

since we have chosen  $\chi$  such that

$$\chi^*(\mathcal{F}) = \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{F}),$$

we have  $\Theta(\text{xgen } L, \tau) \in \mathcal{M}_{m/2}(\mathcal{N}, \chi)$ .

Now take  $\mathcal{P}$  to be a prime,  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{N}$ , and take  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}^\times$  such that  $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}_\lambda = \alpha\mathcal{F}_\mu$ . Then by Lemma 3.5,

$$\begin{aligned} N(\mathcal{F}_\lambda)^{m/2}\theta(\text{gen } \mathcal{F}_\lambda L, \tau) | T(\mathcal{P}^2) & \left| \left[ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, N(\alpha^2)^{1/4} \right] \right. \\ & = \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})(1 + N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2})N(\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{F}_\lambda\mathcal{P})^{m/2}\theta(\text{gen}(\alpha^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{F}_\lambda L), \tau) \\ & = \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})(1 + N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2})N(\mathcal{F}_\mu)^{m/2}\theta(\text{gen } \mathcal{F}_\mu L, \tau). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

This theorem allows us to infer relations on averaged representation numbers which we define as follows.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}(L', \xi) & = \#\{x \in L' : Q(x) = \xi\}, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, \xi) & = \sum_{L'} \frac{1}{o(L')} \mathbf{r}(L', \xi) \end{aligned}$$

where the sum runs over a complete set of representatives  $L'$  for the isometry classes within  $\text{gen } L$ . For  $\varphi \in (\mathbf{K}^+/\widehat{\mathbf{K}}^2)$ , set

$$\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, \xi, \varphi) = \frac{1}{[\mathcal{U}^+ : \mathcal{U}^2]} \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}^+/\mathcal{U}^2} \overline{\varphi}(u\xi) \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, u\xi).$$

Then with the notation of §2, the  $\mathcal{M}, \varphi$ -Fourier coefficient of  $\Theta(\text{xgen } L, \tau)$  is  $\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \mathcal{F}_\lambda L, 2\xi, \varphi)$  where  $\mathcal{M} = \xi\mathcal{F}_\lambda^{-2}$ ,  $\xi \gg 0$ . Note that for any fractional ideal  $\mathcal{F}$ , we can find some  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$  and some  $\lambda$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \alpha\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ ; then for  $\xi \in \mathbf{n}L$ ,  $\xi \gg 0$ , and  $\mathcal{M} = \xi\mathcal{F}_\lambda^{-2}\mathcal{F}^{-2}$ , the  $\mathcal{F}, \varphi$ -Fourier coefficient of  $\Theta(\text{xgen } L, \tau)$  is

$$\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \mathcal{F}_\lambda L, 2\alpha^{-2}\xi, \varphi) = \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \alpha\mathcal{F}_\lambda L, 2\xi, \varphi) = \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \mathcal{F} L, 2\xi, \varphi).$$

Also,  $\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 0) = \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \mathcal{F} L, 0)$ , so the  $0, \varphi$ -Fourier coefficients of  $\Theta(\text{xgen } L, \tau)$  are defined to be  $\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 0)$ . Now Theorems 2.5 and 3.6 together with Corollary 3.7 give us

COROLLARY 3.7. Let  $\xi \in \mathfrak{nL}$ ,  $\xi \gg 0$ . Set  $\mathcal{M} = \xi \mathcal{I}^{-2}$  (where  $\mathcal{I}$  is the smallest fractional ideal such that  $\mathfrak{nL} \subseteq \mathcal{I}^2$ ). Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a prime ideal not dividing  $\mathcal{N}$ , and let  $\varphi$  be any element of  $(\mathbf{K}^+/\widehat{\mathbf{K}^2})$ . If  $\mathcal{P} \nmid \mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2})\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 2\xi, \varphi) \\ &= \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \mathcal{P}^{-1}L, 2\xi, \varphi) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_L(\mathcal{P})N(\mathcal{P})^{(m-3)/2}(-1|\mathcal{P})^{(m-1)/2}\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 2\xi, \varphi\psi_{\mathcal{P}}) \\ &\quad + N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2}\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \mathcal{P}L, 2\xi, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}$  is an element of  $(\mathbf{K}^+/\widehat{\mathbf{K}^2})$  such that  $\psi_{\mathcal{P}}(\zeta) = (\zeta|\mathcal{P})$  for any  $\zeta \in \mathbf{K}^+$  with  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} \zeta = 0$ . If  $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{M}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} &(1 + N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2})\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 2\xi, \varphi) \\ &= \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \mathcal{P}^{-1}L, 2\xi, \varphi) + N(\mathcal{P})^{m-2}\mathbf{r}(\text{gen } \mathcal{P}L, 2\xi, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

In the case that  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 2p^2a) &= (1 - p^{(m-3)/2}\chi_L(p)(-1|p)^{(m-1)/2}(2a|p) + p^{m-2}) \\ &\quad \cdot \mathbf{r}(\text{gen } L, 2a) - p^{m-2}\mathbf{r}\left(\text{gen } L, \frac{2a}{p^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for any  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ ; note that  $\chi_L(p) = (2 \text{ disc } L|p)$ .

REMARK. If  $\mathcal{P} \nmid (\mathfrak{nL}^\#)^{-1}(\mathfrak{nL})^{-1}$  but  $\mathcal{P}|\mathcal{N}$ , then the preceding corollary can be used to give us relations on the averaged representation numbers of  $\text{xfam } L^\alpha$  where  $\alpha \gg 0$  with  $\text{ord}_{\mathcal{P}} \alpha$  odd. Since  $\mathbf{r}(\text{fam}^+ \mathcal{I}_\mu L^\alpha, \alpha\xi) = \mathbf{r}(\text{fam}^+ \mathcal{I}_\mu L, \xi)$ , the above corollary can be extended to include all primes  $\mathcal{P} \nmid (\mathfrak{nL}^\#)^{-1}(\mathfrak{nL})^{-1}$ .

REFERENCES

[1] M. Eichler, *On theta functions of real algebraic number fields*, Acta Arith., **33** (1977).  
 [2] P. B. Garrett, *Holomorphic Hilbert Modular Forms*, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, California, 1990.  
 [3] E. Hecke, *Lectures on the Theory of Algebraic Numbers*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1981.  
 [4] O. T. O'Meara, *Introduction to Quadratic Forms*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.  
 [5] G. Shimura, *The special values of the zeta functions associated with Hilbert modular forms*, Duke Math. J., **45** (1978), 637-649.  
 [6] L. H. Walling, *Hecke operators on theta series attached to lattices of arbitrary rank*, Acta Arith., **54** (1990), 213-240.

- [7] —, *On lifting Hecke eigenforms*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **328** (1991), 881–896.
- [8] —, *Hecke eigenforms and representation numbers of quadratic forms*, Pacific J. Math., **151** (1991), 179–200.

Received January 11, 1991.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO  
BOULDER, CO 80309-0426

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982)      F. WOLF (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
vsv@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112  
clemens@math.utah.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
christ@math.ucla.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093  
tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

C. C. MOORE  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
mgscharl@henri.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Elaine Barth, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 50 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$190.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$95.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Copyright © 1992 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 156 No. 2 December 1992

---

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Surfaces in the 3-dimensional Lorentz-Minkowski space satisfying $\Delta x = Ax + B$ | 201 |
| LUIS ALÍAS, ANGEL FERRANDEZ and PASCUAL LUCAS  |     |
| Lie algebras of type $D_4$ over number fields  | 209 |
| BRUCE ALLISON  |     |
| Subsemigroups of completely simple semigroups  | 251 |
| ANNE ANTONIPPILLAI and FRANCIS PASTIJN   |     |
| Studying links via closed braids. VI. A nonfiniteness theorem                        | 265 |
| JOAN BIRMAN and WILLIAM W. MENASCO   |     |
| Minimal orbits at infinity in homogeneous spaces of nonpositive curvature            | 287 |
| MARÍA J. DRUETTA   |     |
| Generalized horseshoe maps and inverse limits  | 297 |
| SARAH ELIZABETH HOLTE  |     |
| Determinantal criteria for transversality of morphisms                               | 307 |
| DAN LAKSOV and ROBERT SPEISER  |     |
| Four dodecahedral spaces   | 329 |
| PETER LORIMER  |     |
| Semifree actions on spheres  | 337 |
| MONICA NICOLAU   |     |
| Conformal deformations preserving the Gauss map                                      | 359 |
| ENALDO VERGASTA  |     |
| Hecke eigenforms and representation numbers of arbitrary rank lattices               | 371 |
| LYNNE WALLING  |     |



0030-8730(1992)156:2;1-K