

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**ON ORTHOMORPHISMS BETWEEN VON NEUMANN  
PREDUALS AND A PROBLEM OF ARAKI**

L. J. BUNCE AND JOHN DAVID MAITLAND WRIGHT

Volume 158 No. 2

April 1993

## ON ORTHOMORPHISMS BETWEEN VON NEUMANN PREDUALS AND A PROBLEM OF ARAKI

L. J. BUNCE AND J. D. MAITLAND WRIGHT

**A problem of Araki concerning the characterization of orthogonality preserving positive maps between preduals of von Neumann algebras is solved in a general setting.**

**Introduction.** In an interesting recent article, Araki [1] initiated the study of orthogonal decomposition preserving positive linear maps (o.d. homomorphisms) between preduals of von Neumann algebras. (See below for definitions.)

Let  $M$  and  $N$  be von Neumann algebras and let  $\phi: M_* \rightarrow N_*$  be a linear mapping. When either  $M$  or  $N$  is of Type I, with no direct summand of Type  $I_2$ , Araki proved that  $\phi$  is a bijective o.d. homomorphism if, and only if,  $\phi^* = z\pi$  where  $z$  is a positive invertible element of the centre of  $M$  and  $\pi: N \rightarrow M$  is a Jordan isomorphism.

Araki posed the problem of establishing an analogous characterization when  $M$  and  $N$  were of Type II or Type III.

Araki used delicate Radon-Nikodym methods which seem very difficult to generalize to algebras which are not of Type I. However, by adopting a different approach, we are able to show, for arbitrary von Neumann algebras  $M$  and  $N$ , that if  $\phi: M_* \rightarrow N_*$  is an o.d. homomorphism then  $\phi^*\pi = z \text{id}_M$  where  $z$  is a positive central element of  $M$  and  $\pi$  is a Jordan  $*$  homomorphism, and we obtain a characterization in these terms. If  $\phi$  is an o.d. isomorphism, we find that  $z$  is invertible and that  $\pi$  is a Jordan  $*$  isomorphism. This proves that Araki's characterization of o.d. isomorphisms is valid for arbitrary von Neumann algebras  $M$  and  $N$ .

**1. Preliminaries.** Two positive linear functionals  $\rho, \tau$  in the predual  $M_*$  of a  $W^*$ -algebra  $M$  are said to be orthogonal, written  $\rho \perp \tau$ , if the corresponding support projections  $s(\rho), s(\tau)$  are orthogonal elements in the algebra  $M$ . Every hermitian functional  $\rho$  in  $M_*$  admits a unique orthogonal decomposition  $\rho = \rho_+ - \rho_-$ , where  $\rho_+, \rho_- \in M_*^+$  and  $\rho_+ \perp \rho_-$ . On the other hand every hermitian element  $x$  in  $M$  has a unique orthogonal decomposition  $x = x_+ - x_-$ , where  $x_+, x_- \geq 0$  and  $x_+ \cdot x_- = 0$ .

In the language of orthogonally decomposable (o.d.) Banach spaces [1, 2, 6], given  $W^*$ -algebras  $M$  and  $N$ , a continuous linear map  $M_* \rightarrow N_*$ , or  $M \rightarrow N$ , is said to be an o.d. homomorphism if it preserves both order and the orthogonal decomposition, and to be an o.d. isomorphism if it is also bijective (and hence an order isomorphism, as is easy to see).

The dual  $\phi^*: N \rightarrow M$  of an o.d. homomorphism  $\phi: M_* \rightarrow N_*$  is not, in general, an o.d. homomorphism (see the example at the end of this section). But there is, nevertheless, a "duality" between the o.d. homomorphisms of preduals of  $W^*$ -algebras and the weak  $*$  continuous o.d. homomorphisms of  $W^*$ -algebras. The latter, in fact, are positive central multiples of Jordan  $*$  homomorphisms. Before considering maps between preduals, we need the following characterization of o.d. homomorphism between von Neumann algebras.

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Let  $\psi: N \rightarrow M$  be a linear map between  $W^*$ -algebras. Then  $\psi$  is a weak  $*$  continuous o.d. homomorphism if and only if  $\psi = \pi(z \cdot)$  where  $\pi: N \rightarrow M$  is a weak  $*$  continuous Jordan  $*$  homomorphism and  $z \in Z(N)^+$ .*

*Moreover,  $\psi$  is an o.d. isomorphism if and only if  $\pi$  can be chosen to be a surjective Jordan  $*$  isomorphism and  $z$  to be a positive central invertible element of  $N$ .*

Let  $\psi: N \rightarrow M$  be a weak  $*$  continuous o.d. homomorphism between  $W^*$ -algebras. We may suppose without loss that  $\|\psi\| = 1$ . The o.d. property implies that  $\psi \geq 0$  and that  $\psi(a)\psi(b) = 0$  if  $a, b \geq 0$  and  $ab = 0$ . In particular, given any projection  $p$  of  $N$ ,  $\psi(p)\psi(1-p) = 0$  so that

$$(*) \quad \psi(p)\psi(1) = \psi(p)^2 = \psi(1) \cdot \psi(p).$$

Since, as a Banach space,  $N$  is generated by its projections, it follows that  $\psi(1) \in Z(W)$ , the centre of the  $W^*$ -subalgebra  $W$  of  $M$  generated by  $\psi(N)$ , and that the range projection  $r(\psi(1)) = e$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $W$ .

We note that if  $\psi(1) = e$ , then  $\psi$  preserves projections as well as orthogonality and hence is a Jordan  $*$  homomorphism by elementary spectral theory. Consequently, in the case that  $\psi(1)$  is merely invertible in  $W$ , we see that  $\psi = \psi(1)\pi$ , where  $\pi$  is a weak  $*$  continuous Jordan  $*$  homomorphism. (We note here that if  $\psi$  is an o.d. isomorphism then  $\psi(1)$  must be invertible in  $M (= W)$ . This is because,

then, the condition (\*) implies that the two-sided ideal  $\psi(1)M$  is norm dense in  $M$ , and hence equals  $M$  as  $M$  is unital.)

In general, upon identifying  $Z(W)$  with  $C(X)$  and  $\psi(1)$  with  $f \in C(X)$  accordingly, where  $X$  is some compact hyperstonean space, we see that  $0 \leq f \leq 1$  and that  $\{x: f(x) > 0\}$  is dense in  $X$  (we had  $r(\psi(1)) = e$ ). For each  $n$  let  $K_n$  be the closure in  $X$  of  $\{x: f(x) > 1/n\}$ . Then each  $K_n$  is a clopen subset of  $X$ . The characteristic functions  $X_{K_n}$ , when translated back into  $Z(W)$ , give rise to an increasing sequence  $(e_n)$  of projections in  $Z(W)$  converging strongly to  $e$  with the property that each  $e_n\psi(1)$  is invertible in  $e_nW$ .

By the remark above applied to the o.d. homomorphism  $e_n\psi: N \rightarrow e_nW$ , this means that, for each  $n$ , there is a weak \* continuous Jordan \* homomorphism  $\pi_n: N \rightarrow e_nW$  such that  $e_n\psi = e_n\psi(1)\pi_n$ . So, as  $\sum(e_n - e_{n-1}) = e$  where  $e_0 = 0$ , we have  $\psi = \psi(1)\pi$  where  $\pi$  is the weak \* continuous Jordan \* homomorphism from  $N$  onto  $W$  given by  $\pi(x) = \sum(e_n - e_{n-1})\pi_n(x)$ ,  $x \in N$ . Since  $\pi(N) = W$  we have  $\pi(Z(N)) = Z(W)$ , as in [5, Remark, p. 135]. Therefore choosing  $z \in Z(N)$  with  $\pi(z) = \psi(1)$  we have  $\psi = \pi(z \cdot)$ . The converse being obvious, this completes the proof.

The following example shows that a naive approach to duality of o.d. homomorphisms does not work.

We observe that given a  $W^*$ -algebra  $N$  without minimal central projections and any fixed  $\rho \in N_*$  then, for all  $n \geq 1$ , the map  $\phi: M_n(\mathbb{C})_* \rightarrow (M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes N)_*$  defined by  $\phi(\tau) = \tau \otimes \rho$  is an o.d. homomorphism but its dual  $\phi^*$  is not. In fact, there are no non-trivial weak \* continuous o.d. homomorphisms at all from  $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \otimes N$  into  $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ .

**2. o.d. homomorphisms of preduals.** Given  $\rho \in M_*$ , where  $M$  is a  $W^*$ -algebra, and a central projection  $e$  of  $M$  let  $\rho_e \in M_*$  be defined by  $\rho_e(x) = \rho(ex)$ , for all  $x$  in  $M$ . If  $\rho \in M_*^+$ , then  $\rho_e \in M_*^+$ ,  $s(\rho_e) = e \cdot s(\rho)$  and  $\{\rho_e: \rho \in M_*\}$  is identified with  $(eM)_*$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $W^*$ -algebras and let  $\phi: M_* \rightarrow N_*$  be an o.d. homomorphism. Then  $\ker \phi$  is a norm closed invariant subspace of  $M_*$ . Hence  $\ker \phi = ((1 - e)M)_*$ , for some central projection  $e$  of  $M$ , and  $\phi$  is injective on the complement  $(eM)_*$ .*

*Proof.* We make use of fundamental results of Effros, as related in [4, III.4]. Recall, in particular, that each  $\rho \in M_*$  has a polar

decomposition,  $\rho(\cdot) = |\rho|(\cdot u)$  where  $u$  is a partial isometry in  $M$ ,  $|\rho| \in M_*^+$  and  $u^*u = s(|\rho|)$ .

Put  $K = (\ker \phi)_+$ . Then  $K$  is a norm closed convex cone in  $M_*^+$ . The norm closed left invariant subspace of  $M_*$  generated by  $K$  is given by  $V = \{\rho \in M_* : |\rho| \in K\}$ , and  $V_+ = K$ . We will show first that  $V \subset \ker \phi$ .

Let, then,  $\rho \in M_*$  such that  $|\rho| \in K$ . We may suppose that  $\|\rho\| = 1$ . Given  $x$  in  $N$ , where  $x \geq 0$ , we then have  $|\rho|(\phi^*(x)) = \phi(|\rho|)(x) = 0$ . Thus  $\phi^*(x)$  is a positive element in the left kernel of  $|\rho|$ , as therefore is  $\phi^*(x)^2$ . Hence  $|\rho|(\phi^*(x)^2) = 0$ . But, by [4, III.4.6],  $|\phi(\rho)(x)|^2 = |\rho(\phi^*(x))|^2 \leq |\rho|((\phi^*(x))^2)$ . So  $\phi(\rho)(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in N_+$  implying that  $\rho \in \ker \phi$ , as required.

On the other hand, since  $\phi \geq 0$ , given  $\rho = \sigma + i\tau \in \ker \phi$  where  $\sigma = \sigma^*$  and  $\tau = \tau^*$ , we have that  $\sigma, \tau \in \ker \phi$ . The o.d. condition now implies that  $\sigma_{\pm}, \tau_{\pm} \in \ker \phi$ . Hence  $\rho \in \text{lin}(K) \subset V$ . Therefore  $V = \ker \phi$ .

But, as is easy to see,  $\rho \in \ker \phi$  if and only if  $\rho^* \in \ker \phi$ . So  $\ker \phi$  is also a right invariant subspace of  $M_*$ , completing the proof.

*Notation.* In the remainder  $M$  and  $N$  are (arbitrary)  $W^*$ -algebras and  $\phi: M_* \rightarrow N_*$  is an o.d. homomorphism. We will also write

$$\phi(\rho) = \rho', \quad \text{for all } \rho \text{ in } M_*.$$

We define  $V_\phi$  to be the weak  $*$  closed linear span of  $\{s(\rho') : \rho \in M_*^+\}$  and  $n_\phi$  to be the  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $N$  generated by  $V_\phi$ . The identity element of  $N_\phi$  is  $1_\phi = \sup\{s(\rho') : \rho \in M_*^+\}$ . The central projection  $e$  for which  $\ker \phi = ((1 - e)M)_*$  (Proposition 2.1) will be denoted by  $e_\phi$ .

Recall that the projections  $s(\rho)$  where  $\rho \in M_*^+$  are precisely the  $\sigma$ -finite projections of  $M$  and that, by a standard argument using Zorn's Lemma, every projection in  $M$  is the sum of an orthogonal family of  $\sigma$ -finite projections.

- LEMMA 2.2. (i) If  $\rho, \tau \in M_*^+$  with  $\rho \perp \tau$ , then  $\rho' \perp \tau'$ .  
 (ii)  $\phi^*(1) = \phi^*(1_\phi)$  and  $r(\phi^*(1)) = e_\phi$ .

*Proof.* (i) This is a direct consequence of the o.d. property (as  $\rho - \tau$  is an orthogonal decomposition in this case).

(ii)  $\phi^*(1 - 1_\phi) = 0$  because  $\rho(\phi^*(1 - 1_\phi)) = \rho'(1 - 1_\phi) = 0$ , for all  $\rho \in M_*^+$ .

By Proposition 2.1,  $\phi^*(N) \subset e_\phi M$  and for any  $\rho$  in  $M_*^+$  with  $\rho' \neq 0$  we have  $\rho(r(\phi^*(1))) \geq \rho(\phi^*(1)) = \rho'(1) \neq 0$ , as required.

LEMMA 2.3. (i)  $\phi^*(s(\rho')) = \phi^*(1)s(\rho)$ , for all  $\rho \in M_*^+$ .  
 (ii)  $\phi^*(1) \in Z(M)$ .

*Proof.* (i) By the remark above, given  $\rho \in M_*^+$  we can write  $1 - s(\rho) = \sum s(\tau_i)$ , where  $(\tau_i)$  is an orthogonal family in  $M_*^+$ . We have  $\rho \perp \tau_i$  for each  $i$ , so that  $\rho' \perp \tau'_i$  and hence  $\tau_i(\phi^*(s(\rho'))) = \tau'_i(s(\rho')) = 0$ . As  $\phi^*(s(\rho')) \geq 0$ , this means that  $\phi^*(s(\rho')) \cdot s(\tau_i) = 0$ , for all  $i$ . Hence  $\phi^*(s(\rho')) \cdot (1 - s(\rho)) = 0$ . Also,  $\rho(\phi^*(1 - s(\rho'))) = \rho'(1 - s(\rho')) = 0$ , so that  $\phi^*(1 - s(\rho')) \cdot s(\rho) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\phi^*(s(\rho')) = \phi^*(1)s(\rho)$ .

(ii) By (i),  $\phi^*(1)$  commutes with all support projections in  $M$  and hence with all projections in  $M$ . It follows that  $\phi^*(1) \in Z(M)$ .

The following is an immediate consequence of [3, Lemma 4.1].

LEMMA 2.4. Let  $\psi: N \rightarrow M$  be a positive linear map such that  $\|\psi\| \leq 1$  and let  $e$  and  $f$  be projections in  $N$  and  $M$ , respectively, such that  $\psi(e) = f$ . Then  $\psi(ex + xe) = f\psi(x) + \psi(x)f$ , for all  $x$  in  $N$ .

LEMMA 2.5.  $\phi^*$  is injective on  $V_\phi$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.1 it can be supposed without loss that  $\phi$  is injective, so that  $e_\phi = 1$ . We have  $\phi^*(1) \in Z(M)$  and  $r(\phi^*(1)) = 1$ , by (ii) of Lemmas 2.2, 2.3. Choose (see the proof of Proposition 1.1) an increasing sequence of projections  $(e_n)$  in  $Z(M)$ , converging strongly to 1 and such that  $e_n\phi^*(1)$  has an inverse,  $t_n$ , in  $e_nZ(M)$  for all  $n$ .

Now let  $x \in V_\phi$  such that  $\phi^*(x) = 0$  and  $x = x^*$ . Fixing  $n$ , put  $\psi = t_n e_n \phi^*$ . Then  $\psi: N \rightarrow e_n M$  is positive and  $\psi(1) = e_n$ . Hence  $\|\psi\| = 1$ . Let  $\rho \in M_*^+$ . Then  $\psi(s(\rho')) = e_n s(\rho)$ , by Lemma 2.3(i). So using Lemma 2.4 in the second equation below,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n e_n \phi^*(xs(\rho') + s(\rho')x) &= \psi(s(\rho')x + xs(\rho')) \\ &= e_n s(\rho) \cdot \psi(x) + \psi(x) e_n s(\rho) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

as  $\psi(x) = 0$ . Hence  $e_n \phi^*(s(\rho')x + xs(\rho')) = 0$ , for all  $n$ , which implies that  $s(\rho')x + xs(\rho') \in \ker \phi^*$ , for all  $\rho$  in  $M_*^+$ . Therefore,  $xy + yx \in \ker \phi^*$ , for all  $y$  in  $V_\phi$ . In particular,  $x^2 \in \ker \phi^*$ .

We now have  $x^2 \in N_\phi$ ,  $x^2 \geq 0$  and  $\rho'(x^2) = \rho(\phi^*(x^2)) = 0$ , so that  $s(\rho')x^2 = 0$ , for all  $\rho \in M_*^+$ . By the definition of  $N_\phi$  this

implies that  $x^2 = 0$ . So  $x = 0$  and it follows from this that  $\phi^*$  is injective on  $V_\phi$ .

We are now in a position to provide a detailed description of the properties of  $\phi^*$ .

**THEOREM 2.6.** *There is a weak  $*$  continuous and surjective Jordan  $*$  homomorphism  $\pi: M \rightarrow N_\phi$  such that  $\phi^*(\pi(x)) = \phi^*(1)x$ , for all  $x$  in  $M$ . Moreover,  $\pi$  maps  $e_\phi M$  isomorphically onto  $N_\phi$  and  $\phi^*: N_\phi \rightarrow e_\phi M$  is an injective o.d. homomorphism with dense image. Also,  $V_\phi = N_\phi$ .*

*Proof.* We claim that for any  $x$  in  $M$ , there is a unique element  $x'$  in  $V_\phi$  such that  $\phi^*(x') = \phi^*(1)x$ . Uniqueness follows directly from the injectivity of  $\phi^*$  on  $V_\phi$  (Lemma 2.5). Existence is explained as follows.

First, let  $e$  be any projection in  $M$ . Then  $e = \sum s(\rho_i)$ , for some orthogonal family  $(\rho_i)$  in  $M_*^+$ . By Lemma 2.2(i),  $(\rho'_i)$  is an orthogonal family in  $N_*^+$ . Therefore  $e' = \sum s(\rho'_i)$  is a projection of  $N$  lying in  $V_\phi$  and, by weak  $*$  continuity together with Lemma 2.3(i), we have

$$\phi^*(e') = \sum \phi^*(s(\rho'_i)) = \sum \phi^*(1)s(\rho_i) = \phi^*(1)e.$$

Now let  $x \in M$ . In order to establish the claim it is sufficient to suppose  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ . We can then write  $x = \sum (e_n/2^n)$ , for certain spectral projections  $e_n$  of  $x$ .

By the above, there exist projections  $e'_n$  in  $V_\phi$  such that  $\phi^*(e'_n) = \phi^*(1)e_n$ , for each  $n$ . Thus  $x' = \sum (e'_n/2^n) \in V_\phi$  and

$$\phi^*(1)x = \sum \phi^*(1)\frac{e_n}{2^n} = \sum \frac{\phi^*(e'_n)}{2^n} = \phi^*(x'),$$

thereby proving the claim.

So, in the notation of the previous paragraph, we see that we have a well-defined function  $\pi: M \rightarrow V_\phi$ , given by  $\pi(x) = x'$ , satisfying

(a)  $\phi^*(\pi(x)) = \phi^*(1)x$ , for all  $x$  in  $M$ .

(b)  $\pi(s(\rho)) = s(\rho')$ , for all  $\rho$  in  $M_*^+$ .

(c) If  $(e_i)$  is an orthogonal family of projections in  $M$ , then  $(\pi(e_i))$  is an orthogonal family of projections in  $V_\phi$  and  $\pi(\sum e_i) = \sum \pi(e_i)$ .

Furthermore,

(d)  $\pi$  is linear.

In order to see (d), let  $x, y \in M$ . By (a),

$$\phi^*(\pi(x+y)) = \phi^*(1)(x+y) = \phi^*(\pi(x) + \pi(y)).$$

So,  $\pi(x+y) = \pi(x) + \pi(y)$ , by Lemma 2.5.

By (c) and (d)  $\pi: M \rightarrow N$  is a linear map that preserves projections and is completely additive on projections. Hence  $\pi$  is a weak \* continuous Jordan homomorphism. Hence  $\pi(M)$  is a  $W^*$ -subalgebra of  $M$ . But now  $\pi(M) = V_\phi$ , by (b). Hence  $V_\phi = N_\phi$ . By Lemma 2.2,  $\phi^*(1) \in e_\phi M$  and  $r(\phi^*(1)) = e_\phi$ . Thus  $\phi^*(\pi(1)) = \phi^*(1)e_\phi = \phi^*(\pi(e_\phi))$ , by (a), so that  $\pi(1) = \pi(e_\phi)$ , by Lemma 2.5, and so  $\pi(e_\phi M) = N_\phi$ . If  $x \in e_\phi M$  are  $\pi(x) = 0$ , then  $\phi^*(1) \cdot x = 0$  so that  $x = e_\phi x = r(\phi^*(1))x = 0$ . This proves that  $\pi$  maps  $e_\phi M$  isomorphically onto  $N_\phi$ . Finally, if  $\psi$  is the inverse of  $\pi: e_\phi M \rightarrow N_\phi$ , then  $\phi^* = \phi^*(1)\psi$  on  $N_\phi$ , completing the proof.

For a  $W^*$ -algebra  $M$ ,  $z \in Z(M)^+$  and  $\rho \in M_*$ , the functional  $\rho_z \in M_*$  is defined by  $\rho_z(x) = \rho(zx)$ , for all  $x$  in  $M$ . We extract the following characterisations.

**COROLLARY 2.8.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $W^*$ -algebras. Then a continuous linear map  $\phi: M_* \rightarrow N_*$  is an o.d. homomorphism if and only if there is a positive central element  $z$  of  $M$  and a weak \* continuous Jordan \* homomorphism  $\pi: M \rightarrow N$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*\pi(x) &= zx, & \text{for all } x \text{ in } M & \text{ and} \\ \|\phi(\rho)\| &= \|\rho_z\|, & \text{for all } \rho \in M_*^+. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* It remains only to prove the ‘if’ part. Suppose then that the stated conditions hold as written. Let  $\rho \in M_*^+$ . Then

$$\|\phi(\rho)\| = \rho(z) = \rho(\phi^*(\pi(1))) = \phi(\rho)(\pi(1)).$$

So  $\phi(\rho) \in N_*^+$  and further

$$\phi(\rho)(\pi(s(\rho))) = \rho(zs(\rho)) = \rho(z) = \phi(\rho)(1),$$

so that  $s(\phi(\rho)) \leq \pi(s(\rho))$ , from which the orthogonality condition follows.

**COROLLARY 2.9.** *Let  $M$  and  $N$  be  $W^*$ -algebras and let  $\phi: M_* \rightarrow N_*$  be a linear map. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\phi: M_* \rightarrow N_*$  is an o.d. isomorphism;
- (ii)  $\phi^*: N \rightarrow M$  is an o.d. isomorphism;
- (iii)  $\phi^* = z\pi$  for some positive invertible central element  $z$  in  $M$  and a surjective Jordan \* isomorphism  $\pi: N \rightarrow M$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) If (i) holds then  $\phi^*: N \rightarrow M$  is a linear bijection by duality which, by Theorem 2.6, restricts to an o.d. homomorphism on  $V_\phi$ . But  $V_\phi = N$ , by assumption. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from Proposition 1.1, and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is immediate.

Corollary 2.9. solves the open problem posed in [1].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] H. Araki, *An application of Dye's Theorem on projection lattices to orthogonally decomposable isomorphisms*, Pacific J. Math., **137** (1989), 1–13.
- [2] T. B. Dang and S. Yamamuro, *On homomorphisms of an orthogonally decomposable Hilbert space*, J. Funct. Anal., **68** (1986), 366–373.
- [3] E. Størmer, *Decomposition of positive projections on  $C^*$ -algebras*, Math. Ann., **247** (1980), 21–41.
- [4] M. Takesaki, *Theory of Operator Algebras I*, Springer Verlag, 1979.
- [5] J. Vesterstrøm, *On the homomorphic image of the centre of a  $C^*$ -algebra*, Math. Scand., **29** (1971), 134–136.
- [6] S. Yamamuro, *On orthogonally decomposable ordered Banach spaces*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **30** (1984), 357–380.

Received August 28, 1991 and in revised form January 28, 1992.

ANALYSIS AND COMBINATORICS RESEARCH CENTRE  
THE UNIVERSITY  
WHITEKNIGHTS  
READING RG6 2AX ENGLAND

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982)      F. WOLF (1904–1989)

## EDITORS

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
vsv@math.ucla.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
christ@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS  
University of Utah  
Salt Lake City, UT 84112  
clemens@math.utah.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093  
tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
mgscharl@henri.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK  
University of California, San Diego  
La Jolla, CA 92093

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Julie Speckart, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 75 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$200.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$100.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ ,  
the American Mathematical Society's  $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$  macro system.  
Copyright © 1993 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 158    No. 2    April 1993

---

- On the extension of Lipschitz functions from boundaries of subvarieties to strongly pseudoconvex domains 201  
K. ADACHI and HIROSHI KAJIMOTO
- On a nonlinear equation related to the geometry of the diffeomorphism group 223  
DAVID DAI-WAI BAO, JACQUES LAFONTAINE and TUDOR S. RATIU
- Fixed points of boundary-preserving maps of surfaces 243  
ROBERT F. BROWN and BRIAN SANDERSON
- On orthomorphisms between von Neumann preduals and a problem of Araki 265  
L. J. BUNCE and JOHN DAVID MAITLAND WRIGHT
- Primitive subalgebras of complex Lie algebras. I. Primitive subalgebras of the classical complex Lie algebras 273  
I. V. CHEKALOV
- $L^n$  solutions of the stationary and nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations in  $R^n$  293  
ZHI MIN CHEN
- Some applications of Bell's theorem to weakly pseudoconvex domains 305  
XIAO JUN HUANG
- On isotropic submanifolds and evolution of quasicauistics 317  
STANISŁAW JANECZKO
- Currents, metrics and Moishezon manifolds 335  
SHANYU JI
- Stationary surfaces in Minkowski spaces. I. A representation formula 353  
JIANGFAN LI
- The dual pair  $(U(1), U(1))$  over a  $p$ -adic field 365  
COURTNEY HUGHES MOEN
- Any knot complement covers at most one knot complement 387  
SHICHENG WANG and YING QING WU



0030-8730(1993)158:2;1-H