

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**BROWNIAN MOTION AND THE HEAT SEMIGROUP ON THE
PATH SPACE OF A COMPACT LIE GROUP**

JAY BARRY EPPERSON AND TERRY M. LOHRENZ

BROWNIAN MOTION AND THE HEAT SEMIGROUP ON THE PATH SPACE OF A COMPACT LIE GROUP

JAY B. EPPERSON AND TERRY LOHRENZ

Let G be a compact connected Lie group with identity element e , and let $P_e G$ denote the space of continuous maps $y: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ such that $y(0) = e$. When equipped with the natural group structure and sup metric, $P_e G$ becomes an interesting example of an infinite dimensional nonlinear topological group. The purpose of this paper is to consider certain aspects of analysis on $P_e G$. Stimulated by a theorem of M. Malliavin and P. Malliavin, we prove the existence of a natural Brownian motion on $P_e G$ which depends only on a choice of bi-invariant metric for G . Our main results, however, concern the heat semigroup associated to the Brownian motion on $P_e G$. We identify the action of the generator of this semigroup when applied to certain highly regular functions, with a result similar to that obtained earlier by L. Gross in the (linear) abstract Wiener space context.

1. Introduction. Let G be a compact connected Lie group whose identity element we denote e . The purpose of this paper is to construct a natural Brownian motion and associated heat semigroup on the infinite dimensional nonlinear space of continuous maps $y: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ such that $y(0) = e$. We refer to this space as $P_e G$. The Brownian motion on $P_e G$ depends only on a choice of bi-invariant metric for G .

Note that $P_e G$ inherits a group structure from G : for $y_1, y_2 \in P_e G$ define $y_1 y_2$ by $(y_1 y_2)(t) = y_1(t) y_2(t)$. The constant path at the identity is the identity element in $P_e G$. Given a Riemannian metric g on G , let $d_g(\cdot, \cdot)$ denote the associated distance function on $G \times G$, which induces a metric on $P_e G$ given by $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} d_g(y_1(t), y_2(t))$. In any such metric $P_e G$ becomes a Polish topological group. This structure leads to a convolution law for probability measures on the Borel field of $P_e G$. In the special case where the metric g is bi-invariant on G , it happens that the associated bi-invariant Wiener measures on $P_e G$ form a convolution semigroup. This fact, discovered by M. Malliavin and P. Malliavin [16], is the origin of Brownian motion on $P_e G$. Lemma 2.2 of this paper supplies the additional required estimate for continuity of sample paths. We also provide an elementary analytic proof of the Malliavins' theorem in Lemma 2.1.

Many of the previous constructions of diffusion processes on infinite dimensional nonlinear spaces have relied on the use of abstract Wiener spaces. The notion of an abstract Wiener space was formulated by L. Gross [7, 8], and used in his study of Brownian motion and potential theory in Hilbert space [9]. H. H. Kuo [13, 14] subsequently developed a theory of Brownian motion on infinite dimensional manifolds modeled on an abstract Wiener space. Other authors have considered diffusion processes on submanifolds of an abstract Wiener space. For example, following Kusuoka's construction of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process on Wiener space [15], Getzler [6] considered the use of Malliavin calculus to define Dirichlet forms (and the associated Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process) on the based loop space of a Riemannian manifold. The Dirichlet form approach was also applied by Airault and Van Biesen [1] to finite codimension submanifolds of Wiener space. Another approach was taken by Epperson and Lohrenz [5] in constructing diffusion processes (with explosions) on the based finite-energy loop space of a compact submanifold of \mathbf{R}^n with trivial normal bundle. This was accomplished by considering the loop space to be a submanifold of the based loop space of \mathbf{R}^n , for which a theory of stochastic differential equations in abstract Wiener space applies. Finally, we mention P. Malliavin's construction [17] of Brownian motions on the loop space of a compact Lie group, via stochastic differential equations.

We begin this paper with a quick review of bi-invariant Brownian motion on a compact Lie group. The earliest reference on this subject is probably Itô [11]. Our account is elementary and analytic, in the sense that it avoids stochastic differential equations. This exacts a price; namely, that we use an explicit Gaussian upper bound for heat kernels on G when it comes to establishing continuity of sample paths. Next we prove the Malliavin's product law for independent bi-invariant Brownian motions on G . Together with an estimate on moments of these Brownian motions, the product law results in a heat semigroup for $P_e G$, which is the content of Theorem 2.1. Section 3 begins our study of the generator of this heat semigroup. Proposition 3.1 considers the action of this generator on exceptionally regular functions. One of our main goals for the future is to demonstrate the extension of previous results concerning regularity of potentials (e.g. on classical Wiener space) to the present nonlinear setting.

2. Brownian motions on G and $P_e G$. Let G be a compact connected Lie group with bi-invariant metric g . The associated bi-invariant

distance function on $G \times G$ will be denoted $d(x, y)$, and the measure associated with the natural volume form on G will be denoted dx . In local coordinates $dx = g^{1/2} dx_1 \cdots dx_n$, where $g = \det g_{ij}$ and $n = \dim G$. Note that the bi-invariance of g implies that dx is actually a Haar measure on G . The volume of a measurable set $B \subset G$ will be denoted $|B|$.

Consider the bi-invariant Laplacian Δ associated with g . It is given in local coordinates by $g^{-1/2} \partial_i g^{1/2} g^{ij} \partial_j$, with $C^\infty(G)$ as a dense domain of definition in $L^2(G)$. According to Davies [4], the nonnegative operator $-\Delta$ is essentially self-adjoint on $C^\infty(G)$. We let $-\Delta$ also denote its closure. The semigroup $e^{t\Delta}$ on $L^2(G)$ is known to have a strictly positive C^∞ kernel $K_t(x, y)$ on $(0, \infty) \times G \times G$. This kernel is bi-invariant, since it is the unique fundamental solution of the heat operator $\partial_t - \Delta$. We will review the associated diffusion process, following the conventions of references [10, 20] regarding continuous stochastic processes.

DEFINITION. A *Brownian motion* on G of variance parameter $s > 0$ starting at the identity element e is a continuous G -valued stochastic process $y(t, \omega)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $\omega \in \Omega$, defined over a probability space (P, \mathcal{B}, Ω) such that

1. $y(0, \omega) = e$ almost surely,
2. for every $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$, the G -valued random variable $y(t_1, \omega)^{-1}y(t_2, \omega)$ is distributed according to the law $K_{s(t_2-t_1)}(e, y) dy$,
3. for every $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4$, the random variables $y(t_1, \omega)^{-1}y(t_2, \omega)$ and $y(t_3, \omega)^{-1}y(t_4, \omega)$ are independent.

NOTATION. (1) We will frequently suppress the dependence of a stochastic process on its argument ω . (2) If S is a metric space with metric δ , then for $x \in S$, $r > 0$, we let $B_S(x, r) = \{y \in S : \delta(x, y) < r\}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Brownian motion on G exists.*

Proof. This is a standard result in probability theory. One constructs, via Kolmogorov's extension theorem, a family of G -valued random variables $\{x(t), t \in [0, 1]\}$ such that $x(0) = e$, and such that for every $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_k$ and sequence of Borel sets

$B_i \in \mathcal{B}(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad & \Pr\{x(t_1) \in B_1, x(t_2) \in B_2, \dots, x(t_k) \in B_k\} \\
 &= \int_{B_1} K_{st_1}(e, x_1) dx_1 \int_{B_2} K_{s(t_2-t_1)}(x_1, x_2) dx_2 \\
 &\quad \cdots \int_{B_k} K_{s(t_k-t_{k-1})}(x_{k-1}, x_k) dx_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the bi-invariance of the kernels $K_t(x, y)$, it is straightforward to verify that any stochastic process distributed according to (1) satisfies conditions 1–3 in the definition of Brownian motion. The converse is also true.

The only difficulty is to show that the process x has a continuous version. To do this, we use an explicit upper bound on the kernel $K_t(x, y)$. According to Davies (reference [3], Theorem 16), for every $\delta > 0$ there exists a constant c_δ such that

$$K_t(x, y) \leq c_\delta |B_G(x, t^{1/2})|^{-1/2} |B_G(y, t^{1/2})|^{-1/2} e^{-d(x,y)^2/(4+\delta)t}$$

for all $x, y \in G$ and $0 < t < 1$. Let r_0 denote a positive number less than the injectivity radius of G with respect to the bi-invariant metric g . By calculating in normal coordinates (and using the compactness of G) it can be shown that if $r_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small, then there exist constants $0 < c_1, c_2 < \infty$ such that $|B_G(x, r)| \geq c_1 r^n$, and $\rho_x(r) := \partial_r |B_G(x, r)| \leq c_2 r^{n-1}$ for all $r < r_0$. Combining the kernel and volume estimates, we have for $t^{1/2} < r_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_G d(x, y)^4 K_t(x, y) dy &\leq c_\delta c_1 t^{-n/2} \int_G d(x, y)^4 e^{-d(x,y)^2/(4+\delta)t} dy \\
 &= c_\delta c_1 t^{-n/2} \left(\int_0^{r_0} r^4 e^{-r^2/(4+\delta)t} \rho_x(r) dr \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \int_{G \setminus B_G(x, r_0)} d(x, y)^4 e^{-d(x,y)^2/(4+\delta)t} dy \right) \\
 &\leq c_\delta c_1 t^{-n/2} \left(c_2 \int_0^\infty r^{n+3} e^{-r^2/(4+\delta)t} dr + (\text{diam } G)^4 |G| e^{-r_0^2/(4+\delta)t} \right) \\
 &= k_1 t^2 \int_0^\infty r^{n+3} e^{-r^2} dr + k_2 t^{-n/2} e^{-r_0^2/(4+\delta)t}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The integral in this last expression converges, and the second term has rapid decay in t as $t \searrow 0$. Since $\int_G d(x, y)^4 K_t(x, y) dy \leq (\text{diam } G)^4$

for all $t > 0$, it follows that there exists a constant $c < \infty$ such that

$$(2) \quad \int_G d(x, y)^4 K_t(x, y) dy \leq ct^2$$

for all $0 < t \leq 1$. It is well known that this sort of estimate leads to a continuous version y of the process x . □

Let $P_e G$ be the space of continuous maps $y: [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ such that $y(0) = e$. We define a metric ρ on $P_e G$ by

$$\rho(y_1, y_2) = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} d(y_1(t), y_2(t)).$$

This makes $P_e G$ a complete separable metric space. It is known that in this setting, the σ -algebra $\sigma(\mathcal{E})$ generated by the family of Borel cylinder sets \mathcal{E} coincides with the topological σ -algebra $\mathcal{B}(P_e G)$. Moreover, every probability measure on $(P_e G, \mathcal{B}(P_e G))$ is uniquely determined by its values on \mathcal{E} . In this way, the distribution law of a Brownian motion on G of variance parameter s determines a unique measure $P_s(dy)$ on $(P_e G, \mathcal{B}(P_e G))$, which we refer to as the *Wiener measure* of variance parameter s .

Let \mathcal{A} denote the Banach space of real valued, bounded, uniformly continuous functions on the Polish space $(P_e G, \rho)$, together with the sup norm $\|\cdot\|$. For $y_1, y_2 \in P_e G$, let $y_1 y_2 \in P_e G$ denote the pointwise product path given by $y_1 y_2(t) = y_1(t)y_2(t)$. With this product, we define for every $s > 0$ the convolution operator

$$p_s f(x) = \int_{P_e G} f(yx) P_s(dy), \quad f \in \mathcal{A}.$$

The following theorem is the impetus for this paper

THEOREM 2.1. *The operators $p_s, s > 0$, form a strongly continuous contraction semigroup on \mathcal{A} .*

Before proving this theorem, we need two lemmas. In reference [16], Malliavin and Malliavin deduced the first lemma by manipulation of stochastic differentials. We provide an independent proof, since our definition of Brownian motion avoids stochastic differential equations.

LEMMA 2.1. *If y_1, y_2 are two independent Brownian motions on G of variance parameters s_1, s_2 , respectively, then the product process $y = y_1 y_2$ is a Brownian motion of variance parameter $s_1 + s_2$.*

Proof. The product process y is continuous, and verifies condition 1, that $y(0) = e$ almost surely. As for condition 2, we calculate that for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Pr\{y(t_1)^{-1}y(t_2) \in B\} \\
&= \int_G K_{s_1 t_1}(e, a_1) da_1 \int_G K_{s_1(t_2-t_1)}(a_1, a_2) da_2 \int_G K_{s_2 t_1}(e, b_1) db_1 \\
&\quad \cdot \int_G \chi(b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}a_2 b_2 \in B) K_{s_2(t_2-t_1)}(b_1, b_2) db_2 \\
&= \int_G K_{s_1 t_1}(e, a_1) da_1 \int_G K_{s_1(t_2-t_1)}(e, a_2) da_2 \int_G K_{s_2 t_1}(e, b_1) db_1 \\
&\quad \cdot \int_G \chi(b_1^{-1}a_2 b_1 b_1^{-1}b_2 \in B) K_{s_2(t_2-t_1)}(b_1, b_2) db_2 \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{by the change of variables } a_1^{-1}a_2 \rightarrow a_2, \\
&= \int_G K_{s_2 t_1}(e, b_1) db_1 \int_G K_{s_1(t_2-t_1)}(e, a_2) da_2 \\
&\quad \cdot \int_G \chi(a_2 b_2 \in B) K_{s_2(t_2-t_1)}(e, b_2) db_2 \\
&\hspace{15em} \text{by the changes of variables } b_1^{-1}a_2 b_1 \rightarrow a_2 \text{ and } b_1^{-1}b_2 \rightarrow b_2, \\
&= \int_G K_{s_1(t_2-t_1)}(e, a_2) da_2 \int_G \chi(a_2 b_2 \in B) K_{s_2(t_2-t_1)}(a_2, a_2 b_2) db_2 \\
&= \int_G \chi(b_2 \in B) K_{(s_1+s_2)(t_2-t_1)}(e, b_2) db_2
\end{aligned}$$

by the change of variables $a_2 b_2 \rightarrow b_2$. This proves condition 2. To prove condition 3, let $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4$ and $A, B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad & \Pr\{y(t_1)^{-1}y(t_2) \in A, y(t_3)^{-1}y(t_4) \in B\} \\
&= \int_G K_{s_1 t_1}(e, a_1) da_1 \int_G K_{s_1(t_2-t_1)}(a_1, a_2) da_2 \int_G K_{s_2 t_1}(e, b_1) db_1 \\
&\quad \cdot \int_G \chi(b_1^{-1}a_1^{-1}a_2 b_2 \in A) F(a_2, b_2) K_{s_2(t_2-t_1)}(b_1, b_2) db_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
F(a_2, b_2) &= \int_G K_{s_1(t_3-t_2)}(a_2, a_3) da_3 \int_G K_{s_1(t_4-t_3)}(a_3, a_4) da_4 \\
&\quad \cdot \int_G K_{s_2(t_3-t_2)}(b_2, b_3) db_3 \\
&\quad \cdot \int_G \chi(b_3^{-1}a_3^{-1}a_4 b_4 \in B) K_{s_2(t_4-t_3)}(b_3, b_4) db_4.
\end{aligned}$$

Making the changes of variables $a_2^{-1}a_3 \rightarrow a_3$ and $b_2^{-1}b_3 \rightarrow b_3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(a_2, b_2) &= \int_G K_{s_1(t_3-t_2)}(e, a_3) da_3 \int_G K_{s_1(t_4-t_3)}(a_2a_3, a_4) da_4 \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_G K_{s_2(t_3-t_2)}(e, b_3) db_3 \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_G \chi(b_3^{-1}b_2^{-1}a_3^{-1}a_2^{-1}a_4b_2b_2^{-1}b_4 \in B) K_{s_2(t_4-t_3)}(b_2b_3, b_4) db_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Making the changes of variables $a_2^{-1}a_4 \rightarrow a_4$ and $b_2^{-1}b_4 \rightarrow b_4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(a_2, b_2) &= \int_G K_{s_1(t_3-t_2)}(e, a_3) da_3 \int_G K_{s_1(t_4-t_3)}(a_3, a_4) da_4 \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_G K_{s_2(t_3-t_2)}(e, b_3) db_3 \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_G \chi(b_3^{-1}b_2^{-1}a_3^{-1}a_4b_2b_4 \in B) K_{s_2(t_4-t_3)}(b_3, b_4) db_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, making the change of variables $a_3b_2^{-1}a_3^{-1}a_4b_2 \rightarrow a_4$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 F(a_2, b_2) &= \int_G K_{s_1(t_3-t_2)}(e, a_3) da_3 \int_G K_{s_1(t_4-t_3)}(a_3, a_4) da_4 \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_G K_{s_2(t_3-t_2)}(e, b_3) db_3 \\
 &\quad \cdot \int_G \chi(b_3^{-1}a_3^{-1}a_4b_4 \in B) K_{s_2(t_4-t_3)}(b_3, b_4) db_4 \\
 &= \Pr\{y(t_3 - t_2)^{-1}y(t_4 - t_2) \in B\} \\
 &= \Pr\{y(t_3)^{-1}y(t_4) \in B\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (3) proves condition 3. □

For the next lemma we appeal to some basic martingale theory. The reader is advised to consult reference [20] for missing details. Fix the variance parameter s , and let

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \begin{cases} \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \sigma\{y(u), 0 \leq u \leq t + \varepsilon\} & \text{for } 0 \leq t < 1, \\ \sigma\{y(u), 0 \leq u \leq 1\} & \text{for } t = 1, \end{cases}$$

denote the natural right continuous filtration of the Brownian motion. It is known that for every $f \in C^2(G)$, the stochastic process

$$(4) \quad f(y(t)) - \int_0^t s \Delta f(y(u)) du$$

is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$. We recall the ingredients of the proof. First, Brownian motion has the Markov property

$$\Pr(y(t_2) \in B \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_1}) = \int_B K_{s(t_2-t_1)}(y(t_1), z) dz, \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for all $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(G)$. Next, for each $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ and $f \in C^{1,2}([0, t_2] \times G)$, there holds the backward equation

$$\begin{aligned} f(t_1, x) &= \int_G f(t_2, y) K_{s(t_2-t_1)}(x, y) dy - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \\ &\quad \cdot \int_G ((\partial_t + s\Delta)f(t, y)) K_{s(t-t_1)}(x, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate, for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ and $f \in C^2(G)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &E(f(y(t_2)) - f(y(t_1)) - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} s\Delta f(y(t)) dt \mid \mathcal{F}_{t_1}) \\ &= \int_G f(z) K_{s(t_2-t_1)}(y(t_1), z) dz - f(y(t_1)) - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \\ &\quad \cdot \int_G s\Delta f(z) K_{s(t-t_1)}(y(t_1), z) dz \end{aligned}$$

which vanishes according to the backward equation.

NOTATION. If x is a real valued stochastic process defined on the time interval $[0, 1]$, then define the random variable $x_* = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} |x(t)|$. If y is a Brownian motion on G and τ is a stopping time, then let $y_\tau(t) := y(t \wedge \tau)$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let y be a Brownian motion on G of variance parameter $0 < s < 1$ starting at the identity element e . Then for every $q > 1$ there exists a constant c_q independent of s such that $E(d(e, y)_*^q) \leq c_q s^{q/2}$.*

Proof. In reference [12] it is shown that if $r > 0$ is sufficiently small, then there exists a bounded coordinate mapping $\phi: B_G(e, r) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ whose components ϕ^i satisfy $\Delta\phi^i = 0$ in $B_G(e, r)$. For each $i = 1, \dots, n$ let $\tilde{\phi}^i: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ denote a smooth extension of the harmonic function ϕ^i to all of G . Also let y be a Brownian motion on G of variance parameter s . Then according to the discussion of (4), the stochastic process

$$\tilde{\phi}^i(y(t)) - \int_0^t s\Delta\tilde{\phi}^i(y(u)) du$$

is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$. Let

$$\tau = \begin{cases} \inf\{u : d(e, y(u)) > r\} & \text{if } y \text{ exits } \overline{B_G(e, r)}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then by Doob's stopping time theorem,

$$\phi^i(y(t \wedge \tau)) = \tilde{\phi}^i(y(t \wedge \tau)) - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau} s \Delta \tilde{\phi}^i(y(u)) du$$

is also a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}$.

We may assume that $\phi(e) = 0$. Also, by decreasing r if necessary, we may assume that there exist constants c_1, c_2 such that for all $x \in B_G(e, r)$,

$$c_1 \|\phi(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2 \leq d(e, x)^2 \leq c_2 \|\phi(x)\|_{\mathbb{R}^n}^2.$$

Now, using Doob's inequality for martingales,

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad & \mathbb{E}(d(e, y_\tau)_*^q) \\ & \leq c_2^{q/2} n^{q/2} \mathbb{E}(\|\phi^1(y_\tau)\|_*^q + \dots + \|\phi^n(y_\tau)\|_*^q) \\ & \leq c_2^{q/2} n^{q/2} \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^q \mathbb{E}(\|\phi^1(y(\tau))\|^q + \dots + \|\phi^n(y(\tau))\|^q) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)^{q/2} n^{(q+2)/2} \left(\frac{q}{q-1}\right)^q \mathbb{E}(d(e, y(\tau))^q). \end{aligned}$$

We use this to bound moments of the unstopped process,

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad & \mathbb{E}(d(e, y)_*^q) = \mathbb{E}(\chi(\tau = 1)d(e, y)_*^q + \chi(\tau < 1)d(e, y)_*^q) \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}(d(e, y_\tau)_*^q) + \Pr(\tau < 1)(\text{diam } G)^q \\ & \leq c(\mathbb{E}(d(e, y(\tau))^q) + \Pr(\tau < 1)), \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{the last inequality following from (5),} \\ & = c(\mathbb{E}(d(e, y(1))^q) + \chi(\tau < 1)d(e, y(\tau))^q) + \Pr(\tau < 1) \\ & \leq c(\mathbb{E}(d(e, y(1))^q) + (1 + r^q) \Pr(\tau < 1)). \end{aligned}$$

The second term in the last line of (6) is bounded using

$$\begin{aligned} \Pr(\tau < 1) & \leq \Pr(d(e, y(1)) > r/2) + \Pr(\tau < 1, d(e, y(1)) \leq r/2) \\ & \leq \Pr(d(e, y(1)) > r/2) + \Pr(\tau < 1, d(y(\tau), y(1)) \geq r/2). \end{aligned}$$

Using Gaussian upper bounds on the kernels $K_t(x, y)$ and the strong Markov property for Brownian motions on G , the last two terms are seen to have rapid decay in the variance parameter s , for fixed r , as $s \searrow 0$. The principal term $\mathbb{E}(d(e, y(1))^q)$ in (6) is bounded by

$cs^{q/2}$, again using Gaussian upper bounds on the kernels $K_t(x, y)$, as in the derivation of (2). \square

NOTATION. We let e also denote the constant path in P_eG mapping $[0, 1]$ to the identity element e . Thus, if $y \in P_eG$, we write $\rho(e, y)$ instead of $\sup_{t \in [0, 1]} d(e, y(t))$. The conclusion of Lemma 2.2 may be written $\int_{P_eG} \rho(e, y)^q P_s(dy) \leq c_q s^{q/2}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Since $P_s(dy)$ is a probability measure, $\|p_s f\| \leq \|f\|$ for every $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Now for $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\delta > 0$ be such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\rho(x, y) < \delta$. Then we have, for $\rho(x, y) < \delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} |p_s f(x) - p_s f(y)| &\leq \int_{P_eG} |f(zx) - f(zy)| P_s(dz) \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in P_eG} |f(zx) - f(zy)| < \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

since $\rho(zx, zy) = \rho(x, y)$. Thus p_s is a contraction mapping \mathcal{A} to itself. The semigroup law $p_s p_t = p_{s+t}$ is an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1. As for strong continuity, again fix $f \in \mathcal{A}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $\delta > 0$ be such that $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$ whenever $\rho(x, y) < \delta$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |p_s f(x) - f(x)| &= \left| \int_{P_eG} (f(yx) - f(x)) P_s(dy) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{P_eG} |f(yx) - f(x)| \chi(\rho(e, y) < \delta) P_s(dy) \\ &\quad + \int_{P_eG} 2\|f\| \chi(\rho(e, y) \geq \delta) P_s(dy) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2\|f\| \delta^{-2} \int_{P_eG} \rho(e, y)^2 P_s(dy) \\ &\leq \varepsilon + c\|f\| \delta^{-2} s, \end{aligned}$$

in which the last two lines use Chebyshev's inequality and Lemma 2.2. This shows that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \|p_s f - f\| \leq \varepsilon$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$. \square

There is a stochastic process associated with the semigroup p_s , $s \in [0, \infty)$.

DEFINITION. A *Brownian motion* on P_eG starting at $x \in P_eG$ is a ρ -continuous stochastic process $Y_x(t, \omega)$, $t \in [0, \infty)$, $\omega \in \Omega$, defined over a probability space (P, \mathcal{B}, Ω) such that

1. $Y_x(0, \omega) = x$ almost surely,
2. for every $0 \leq t_1 < t_2$, the P_eG -valued random variable $Y_x(t_1, \omega)^{-1}Y_x(t_2, \omega)$ is distributed according to the law $P_{t_2-t_1}(dy)$,
3. for every $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4$, the random variables $Y_x(t_1, \omega)^{-1}Y_x(t_2, \omega)$ and $Y_x(t_3, \omega)^{-1}Y_x(t_4, \omega)$ are independent.

The existence of this process is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 2.1, and 2.2. Continuity of sample paths is obtained from Lemma 2.2, e.g., by using $q = 4$. The finite dimensional distributions are easy to describe. If $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k$ and $B_i \in \mathcal{B}(P_eG)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, then the Brownian motion satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \Pr\{Y_x(t_1) \in B_1, \dots, Y_x(t_k) \in B_k\} \\ &= \int_{x^{-1}B_1} P_{t_1}(dy_1) \int_{y_1^{-1}B_2} P_{t_2-t_1}(dy_2) \cdots \int_{y_{k-1}^{-1}B_k} P_{t_k-t_{k-1}}(dy_k). \end{aligned}$$

3. The generator of Brownian motion on P_eG . The purpose of this section is to begin describing the generator of the semigroup p_s as an operator on the real Banach space \mathcal{A} . Let $\mathcal{G} = T_eG$ be the Lie algebra of G and $\exp: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ the exponential map. We regard \mathcal{G} as a real inner product space using $g_e(\cdot, \cdot)$, with $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{G}}$ the resulting norm. Let r_0 denote the injectivity radius of G , and recall that \exp is a diffeomorphism from $B_{\mathcal{G}}(0, r_0)$ onto $B_G(e, r_0)$ such that $\|x\|_{\mathcal{G}} = d(e, \exp x)$ for every $x \in B_{\mathcal{G}}(0, r_0)$. Note that if we fix $r_1 < r_0$ sufficiently small, then for every $r \leq r_1$ there exists a constant $c_r < \infty$ such that $\|\exp^{-1}y_1 - \exp^{-1}y_2\|_{\mathcal{G}} \leq c_r d(y_1, y_2)$ for all $y_1, y_2 \in B_G(e, r)$. The space of continuous maps $z: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ with $z(0) = 0$ will be denoted $P_0\mathcal{G}$; it is a real separable Banach space under the natural vector space operations and sup norm $\|z\| = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \|z(t)\|_{\mathcal{G}}$. The exponential map induces a homeomorphism Exp from $B_{P_0\mathcal{G}}(0, r_0)$ onto $B_{P_eG}(e, r_0)$ given by $(\text{Exp } z)(t) = \exp(z(t))$. Clearly $\|z\| = \rho(e, \text{Exp } z)$ for every $z \in B_{P_0\mathcal{G}}(0, r_0)$.

For each $s > 0$, $r \leq r_1$ we will define a measure $Q_{r,s}$ on $(P_0\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B}(P_0\mathcal{G}))$ derived from the Wiener measure P_s on $(P_eG, \mathcal{B}(P_eG))$. These measures will be used in Proposition 3.1, which describes the action of the generator of p_s on certain very regular functions. In reference [9], Gross proved an abstract Wiener space version of this proposition. In his linear context, where the Exp mapping did not appear, no analogue of the measure $Q_{r,s}$ was needed. Lemma 3.2 involving these measures essentially takes care of the nonlinear difficulties that Gross did not face in his early paper.

Let $T_{r,s}$ denote the homeomorphism from $B_{P_0\mathcal{G}}(0, s^{-1/2}r)$ onto

$B_{P_e G}(e, r)$ given by $T_{r,s}(z) = \text{Exp } s^{1/2} z$. Then for $B \in \mathcal{B}(P_0 \mathcal{E})$ let

$$Q_{r,s}(B) = P_s \circ T_{r,s}(B \cap B_{P_0 \mathcal{E}}(0, s^{-1/2} r)).$$

Note that according to Lemma 2.2, along with Chebyshev’s inequality,

$$1 - \frac{c_q s^{q/2}}{r^q} \leq P_s(B_{P_e G}(0, r)) = Q_{r,s}(P_0 \mathcal{E}) \leq 1,$$

so that $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} Q_{r,s}(P_0 \mathcal{E}) = 1$.

LEMMA 3.1. *For every $q > 1$ there exists a constant $c_q < \infty$ such that*

$$\int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} \|z\|^q Q_{r,s}(dz) \leq c_q$$

for every $r \leq r_1, 0 < s \leq 1$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} \|z\|^q Q_{r,s}(dz) &= s^{-q/2} \int_{B_{P_0 \mathcal{E}}(0, s^{-1/2} r)} \rho(e, T_{r,s} z)^q Q_{r,s}(dz) \\ &= s^{-q/2} \int_{B_{P_e G}(0, r)} \rho(e, y)^q P_s(dy) \\ &\leq s^{-q/2} c_q s^{q/2} \end{aligned}$$

in which the inequality uses Lemma 2.2. □

Now let W denote the ordinary Wiener measure on $(P_0 \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{B}(P_0 \mathcal{E}))$, which is uniquely determined by our choice $g_e(\cdot, \cdot)$ of inner product for \mathcal{E} and the condition

$$\int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} \|z(t)\|^2 W(dz) = 2t, \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

LEMMA 3.2. *For every $r \leq r_1$, the measures $Q_{r,s}$ converge weakly to W as $s \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. We begin by showing that for every $0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_k \leq 1$ and collection of bounded Borel sets $B_i \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E}), i = 1, \dots, k$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} (7) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} \chi(z(t_1) \in B_1, \dots, z(t_k) \in B_k) Q_{r,s}(dz) \\ = \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} \chi(z(t_1) \in B_1, \dots, z(t_k) \in B_k) W(dz). \end{aligned}$$

First note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad & \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} \chi(z(t_1) \in B_1, \dots, z(t_k) \in B_k) Q_{r,s}(dz) \\
 &= \int_{P_e G} \chi(y(t_1) \in \exp(s^{1/2} B_1), \dots, y(t_k) \in \exp(s^{1/2} B_k)) \\
 & \quad \cdot \chi(\rho(e, y) \leq r) P_s(dy) \\
 &= \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_1)} K_{st_1}(e, y_1) dy_1 \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_2)} K_{s(t_2-t_1)}(y_1, y_2) dy_2 \\
 & \quad \cdots \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_k)} K_{s(t_k-t_{k-1})}(y_{k-1}, y_k) dy_k + O(s^m)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $m > 1/2$, as $s \rightarrow 0$. Let $G_t(x, y) = (4\pi t)^{-n/2} e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t}$. According to well-known asymptotics for heat kernels (see references [18, 19]), for every $N \geq 1$ there exists a constant $C_N < \infty$ such that if r_2 is sufficiently small, then

$$|K_t(x, y) - G_t(x, y)| \leq c_N((d(x, y) + t)G_t(x, y) + t^{N-n/2+1})$$

for every $0 < t \leq 1$ and $x, y \in B_G(e, r_2)$. We use this in bounding the difference between the leading expression in (8) and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad & \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_1)} G_{st_1}(e, y_1) dy_1 \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_2)} G_{s(t_2-t_1)}(y_1, y_2) dy_2 \\
 & \quad \cdots \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_k)} G_{s(t_k-t_{k-1})}(y_{k-1}, y_k) dy_k
 \end{aligned}$$

by the sum of k quantities of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad & \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_1)} G_{st_1}(e, y_1) dy_1 \\
 & \quad \cdots \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_{i-1})} G_{s(t_{i-1}-t_{i-2})}(y_{i-2}, y_{i-1}) dy_{i-1} \\
 & \quad \cdot \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_i)} |G_{s(t_i-t_{i-1})}(y_{i-1}, y_i) - K_{s(t_i-t_{i-1})}(y_{i-1}, y_i)| dy_i \\
 & \quad \cdot \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_{i+1})} K_{s(t_{i+1}-t_i)}(y_i, y_{i+1}) dy_{i+1} \\
 & \quad \cdots \int_{\exp(s^{1/2} B_k)} K_{s(t_k-t_{k-1})}(y_{k-1}, y_k) dy_k.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we let $N \geq n/2$, then the middle integral in this last expression is $O(s^{1/2})$ as $s \rightarrow 0$. The important point is that $d(y_{i-1}, y_i)$ is $O(s^{1/2})$ when $y_{i-1} \in \exp s^{1/2} B_{i-1}$ and $y_i \in \exp s^{1/2} B_i$. The other

integrals in (10) are bounded uniformly in s , with the result that (8) and (9) differ by $O(s^{1/2})$ as $s \rightarrow 0$.

We focus now on expression (9), which must be transformed from an iterated integral on G to an iterated integral on \mathcal{G} . According to the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula (see reference [21]), if s is sufficiently small, then there exists a constant $k < \infty$ such that for $y_i \in \exp s^{1/2} B_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$,

$$\| \exp^{-1} y_{i-1}^{-1} y_i - (\exp^{-1} y_{i-1} - \exp^{-1} y_i) \|_{\mathcal{G}} \leq ks.$$

Consequently, for s sufficiently small and y_i as above,

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} d(y_{i-1}, y_i)^2 &= \| \exp^{-1} y_{i-1}^{-1} y_i \|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 \\ &= \| \exp^{-1} y_i - \exp^{-1} y_{i-1} \|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 + O(s^{3/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Using (11), the Gaussian kernels appearing in (9) may be rewritten as

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{s(t_i - t_{i-1})}(y_{i-1}, y_i) &= (4\pi s(t_i - t_{i-1}))^{-n/2} \\ &\cdot \exp\left(-\frac{\| \exp^{-1} y_i - \exp^{-1} y_{i-1} \|_{\mathcal{G}}^2}{4s(t_i - t_{i-1})}\right) (1 + O(s^{1/2})). \end{aligned}$$

Let $d\tilde{y}_i$ denote the pullback by \exp^{-1} of the Haar measure dy_i on $B_G(e, r)$ to $B_{\mathcal{G}}(0, r)$. By calculating in normal coordinates it is easy to see that the Radon-Nikodym derivative of $d\tilde{y}_i$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure dz_i on $B_{\mathcal{G}}(0, r)$ satisfies

$$(13) \quad \frac{d\tilde{y}_i}{dz_i}(z_i) = 1 + O(\|z_i\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2).$$

Combining (12, 13) we see that as $s \rightarrow 0$, the iterated G integral (9) differs only by $O(s^{1/2})$ from the iterated \mathcal{G} integral

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{s^{1/2} B_1} (4\pi s t_1)^{-n/2} e^{-\|z_1\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 / 4s t_1} dz_1 \\ &\cdot \int_{s^{1/2} B_2} (4\pi s(t_2 - t_1))^{-n/2} e^{-\|z_2 - z_1\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 / 4s(t_2 - t_1)} dz_2 \\ &\dots \int_{s^{1/2} B_k} (4\pi s(t_k - t_{k-1}))^{-n/2} e^{-\|z_k - z_{k-1}\|_{\mathcal{G}}^2 / 4s(t_k - t_{k-1})} dz_k. \end{aligned}$$

The claim (7) now follows from the changes of variables $s^{-1/2} z_i \rightarrow z_i$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and let B_1, \dots, B_k be Borel sets (possibly unbounded) in \mathcal{G} . As a consequence of Lemma 3.1 we may pick R large enough that $\int \chi(\|z\|_{\mathcal{G}} > R) Q_{r,s}(dz) < \varepsilon/3$ uniformly in $s \in (0, 1]$. Of course we

may also pick R large enough that $\int \chi(\|z\|_{\mathcal{E}} > R)W(dz) < \varepsilon/3$. With this value of R fixed, we have for s sufficiently small,

$$\left| \int_{P_0\mathcal{E}} \chi(z(t_1) \in B_1 \cap B_{\mathcal{E}}(0, R), \dots, z(t_k) \in B_k \cap B_{\mathcal{E}}(0, R))Q_{r,s}(dz) - \int_{P_0\mathcal{E}} \chi(z(t_1) \in B_1 \cap B_{\mathcal{E}}(0, R), \dots, z(t_k) \in B_k \cap B_{\mathcal{E}}(0, R))W(dz) \right| < \varepsilon/3.$$

Hence, by an $\varepsilon/3$ argument we obtain the limit (7) for arbitrary Borel sets B_1, \dots, B_k .

The proof is finished with a compactifying estimate. Let $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq 1$ and consider, for $q > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{P_0\mathcal{E}} \|z(t_2) - z(t_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^q Q_{r,s}(dz) \\ &= s^{-q/2} \int_{P_e G} \chi(\rho(e, y) \leq r) \|\exp^{-1} y(t_2) - \exp^{-1} y(t_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^q P_s(dy) \\ &\leq s^{-q/2} \int_{P_e G} \chi(d(e, y(t_1)), d(e, y(t_2)) \leq r) \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \cdot \|\exp^{-1} y(t_2) - \exp^{-1} y(t_1)\|_{\mathcal{E}}^q P_s(dy) \\ &= s^{-q/2} \int_{P_e G} \chi(d(e, y_1) \leq r) K_{st_1}(e, y_1) dy_1 \\ &\qquad \cdot \int_{P_e G} \chi(d(e, y_2) \leq r) \|\exp^{-1} y_2 - \exp^{-1} y_1\|_{\mathcal{E}}^q K_{s(t_2-t_1)}(y_1, y_2) dy_2 \\ &\leq c_r^q s^{-q/2} \int_{P_e G} K_{st_1}(e, y_1) dy_1 \int_{P_e G} d(y_1, y_2)^q K_{s(t_2-t_1)}(y_1, y_2) dy_2 \\ &\leq \text{const}(t_2 - t_1)^{q/2}. \end{aligned}$$

The reader may consult reference [10] to be convinced that this estimate finishes the proof. □

REMARK. Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 imply that if f is a real valued continuous function on $P_0\mathcal{E}$ with a polynomial bound $|f(z)| \leq c\|z\|^k$, then

$$(14) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{P_0\mathcal{E}} f(z)Q_{r,s}(dz) = \int_{P_0\mathcal{E}} f(z)W(dz).$$

To see this, fix $\varepsilon > 0$, and for every $R > 0$ let m_R be a nonnegative continuous function on $P_0\mathcal{E}$ such that $|m_R(z)| \leq 1$ and

$$m_R(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } \|z\| \leq R, \\ 0 & \text{for } \|z\| \geq R + 1. \end{cases}$$

By taking R large enough we have

$$\int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} |f(z)|(1 - m_R(z))Q_{r,s}(dz) < \varepsilon/3$$

uniformly in $s \in (0, 1]$, and also

$$\int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} |f(z)|(1 - m_R(z))W(dz) < \varepsilon/3.$$

With this value of R fixed we have

$$\left| \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} f(z)m_R(z)Q_{r,s}(dz) - \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} f(z)m_R(z)W(dz) \right| < \varepsilon/3$$

for s sufficiently small. Since ε was arbitrary, the limit (14) results.

LEMMA 3.3. *For $B \subseteq P_e G$ let $B^{-1} = \{y^{-1} : y \in B\}$. Each of the Wiener measures on $(P_e G, \mathcal{B}(P_e G))$ has the property $P_s(B) = P_s(B^{-1})$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}(P_e G)$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the equality for cylinder sets. Two ingredients are required for this simple calculation which we leave to the reader. First, Haar measure is invariant under group inversion in G . Second, the heat kernels have the property $K_t(x, y) = K_t(x^{-1}, y^{-1})$. This follows from bi-invariance of the kernels, and the fact that the kernels are symmetric in their G -arguments. (Symmetry in the G -arguments can be seen in the eigenfunction expansion for the kernel.) □

REMARK. Different proofs of this lemma have appeared in [2], §4, and [16], Lemma 2.2.5.

Lemmas 3.1–3 put us in a position to discuss the generator of p_s . Let $\mathcal{D}(L)$ consist of those functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ for which the limit

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{p_s f - f}{s}$$

exists in \mathcal{A} . On these functions define Lf to be the above limit. Theorem 2.1 implies that $\mathcal{D}(L)$ is dense in \mathcal{A} and $L: \mathcal{D}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is a closed operator. Before stating the next proposition, we need some notation and a concept of differentiability for functions on $P_e G$.

NOTATION. If A and B are Banach spaces, let $\mathcal{B}(A, B)$ denote the space of bounded linear maps from A to B . Recall that we

regard $P_0\mathcal{E}$ as a Banach space; let $P_0\mathcal{E}^*$ denote its topological dual space, and let (z, y) denote the canonical pairing between $z \in P_0\mathcal{E}^*$, $y \in P_0\mathcal{E}$.

Now let f be a function defined in a neighborhood U of a path $x \in P_eG$, taking values in a Banach space B . We say that f is *differentiable* at x if there exists an element $w \in \mathcal{B}(P_0\mathcal{E}, B)$ such that

$$\|f(yx) - f(x) - w(\text{Exp}^{-1}y)\|_B = o(\|\text{Exp}^{-1}y\|)$$

for all y with $yx \in U$ and $\rho(e, y)$ sufficiently small. Clearly this element w is unique when it exists, so we write $f'(x) = w$.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that f' and f'' exist everywhere, f'' is bounded, and the map $f'' : P_eG \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(P_0\mathcal{E}, P_0\mathcal{E}^*)$ is uniformly P_eG -continuous in the weak operator topology. Then*

$$(15) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{(p_s f)(x) - f(x)}{s} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{P_0\mathcal{E}} (f''(x)z, z)W(dz).$$

Moreover, if for some fixed r , the functions

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{P_0\mathcal{E}} (f''(x)z, z)Q_{r,s}(dz)$$

converge uniformly in $x \in P_eG$ as $s \rightarrow 0$ to the function on the right side of (15), then $f \in \mathcal{D}(L)$ and the limit (15) holds uniformly in x .

REMARKS. We follow the proof of Proposition 8 in Gross, reference [9]. As in his proof, we could identify the right side of (15) as a trace with respect to a suitable abstract Wiener space structure on $P_0\mathcal{E}$. However, this would only introduce additional notation that is unimportant in the present context.

Proof. Fix a positive number $r \leq r_1$ (recall that the measures $Q_{r,s}$ are defined for $0 < r \leq r_1$). We have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{(p_s f)(x) - f(x)}{s} &= \frac{1}{s} \int_{P_eG} (f(yx) - f(x))P_s(dy) \\ &= \frac{1}{s} \int_{P_eG} \chi(\rho(e, y) \leq r)(f(yx) - f(x))P_s(dy) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{s} \int_{P_eG} \chi(\rho(e, y) > r)(f(yx) - f(x))P_s(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Since f is bounded, the last term decays rapidly to zero as $s \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in x . (Use Lemma 2.2.) For every $y \in P_eG$ define $y_t =$

$\text{Exp}(t \text{Exp}^{-1} y)$. Then the function $f(y_t x)$ is a twice differentiable function of t on the interval $[0, 1]$, with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df(y_t x)}{dt} &= (f'(y_t x), \text{Exp}^{-1} y) \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{d^2 f(y_t x)}{dt^2} &= (f''(y_t x) \text{Exp}^{-1} y, \text{Exp}^{-1} y). \end{aligned}$$

Since the second derivative is bounded, the first derivative is absolutely continuous, so two integrations by parts yield

$$\begin{aligned} f(yx) &= f(x) + (f'(x), \text{Exp}^{-1} y) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-t)(f''(y_t x) \text{Exp}^{-1} y, \text{Exp}^{-1} y) dt. \end{aligned}$$

We insert this in line (16). According to Lemma 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{P_e G} \chi(\rho(e, y) \leq r)(f'(x), \text{Exp}^{-1} y) P_s(dy) \\ &= \int_{P_e G} \chi(\rho(e, y^{-1}) \leq r)(f'(x), \text{Exp}^{-1} y^{-1}) P_s(dy) \\ &= - \int_{P_e G} \chi(\rho(e, y) \leq r)(f'(x), \text{Exp}^{-1} y) P_s(dy). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, line (16) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad &\int_0^1 (1-t) \int_{P_e G} \chi(\rho(e, y) \leq r)(f''(y_t x) \text{Exp}^{-1} y, \text{Exp}^{-1} y) s^{-1} P_s(dy) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{P_e G} \chi(\rho(e, y) \leq r)(f''(x) \text{Exp}^{-1} y, \text{Exp}^{-1} y) s^{-1} P_s(dy) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-t) \int_{P_e G} \chi(\rho(e, y) \leq r) \\ &\quad \quad \cdot ((f''(y_t x) - f''(x)) \text{Exp}^{-1} y, \text{Exp}^{-1} y) s^{-1} P_s(dy) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} (f''(x) z, z) Q_{r,s}(dz) \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 (1-t) \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} ((f''(\text{Exp}(s^{1/2} t z) x) - f''(x)) z, z) Q_{r,s}(dz) dt. \end{aligned}$$

For each fixed z , the integrand on the last line is continuous in the parameters s , t and x . Let $\{x_i\}$ denote a countable dense set in

$P_0\mathcal{G}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \sup_{u \in [0, s]} \sup_{x \in P_0\mathcal{G}} |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}tz)x) - f''(x))z, z)| \\ &= \sup_{\substack{u \in [0, s] \\ u \text{ rational}}} \sup_i |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x_i) - f''(x_i))z, z)| \end{aligned}$$

is a measurable function of z . This allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} (18) \quad & \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \sup_x \left| \int_0^1 (1-t) \int_{P_0\mathcal{G}} ((f''(\text{Exp}(s^{1/2}tz)x) - f''(x))z, z) Q_{r,s}(dz) dt \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{P_0\mathcal{G}} \sup_{u \in [0, s]} \sup_x |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(x))z, z)| Q_{r,s}(dz) \\ & \leq \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{P_0\mathcal{G}} \sup_{u \in [0, \tau]} \sup_x |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(x))z, z)| Q_{r,s}(dz). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last line requires the natural extension of the map Exp from our original domain of definition $B_{P_0\mathcal{G}}(0, r_0)$ to all of $P_0\mathcal{G}$. (This causes no problem; it is the map Exp^{-1} that generally cannot be defined everywhere on P_eG .) We claim now that for each $\tau > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (19) \quad & \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{P_0\mathcal{G}} \sup_{u \in [0, \tau]} \sup_x |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(x))z, z)| Q_{r,s}(dz) \\ &= \int_{P_0\mathcal{G}} \sup_{u \in [0, \tau]} \sup_x |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(x))z, z)| W(dz). \end{aligned}$$

The integrand in this expression will be shown to be a $P_0\mathcal{G}$ -continuous function of z . First we show that for each u and x ,

$$F(u, x, z) := ((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(x))z, z)$$

is continuous in z . Fix z_0 and use the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & |F(u, x, z) - F(u, x, z_0)| \\ & \leq |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(x))z, (z - z_0))| \\ & \quad + |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(x))(z - z_0), z_0)| \\ & \quad + |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z_0)x))z_0, z_0)|. \end{aligned}$$

Since f'' is bounded, the first two terms in this inequality tend to zero as $\|z - z_0\| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in u, x . For the last term note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x, \text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z_0)x) \\ &= \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} d(\text{exp}(u^{1/2}z(t)), \text{exp}(u^{1/2}z_0(t))), \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $\|z - z_0\| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $u \in [0, \tau]$ and x . Therefore, by the uniform weak continuity of f'' , the last term in

the inequality tends to zero as $\|z - z_0\| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $u \in [0, \tau]$ and x . In summary, we have shown that for each z_0 , $F(u, x, z)$ tends to $F(u, x, z_0)$ as $\|z - z_0\| \rightarrow 0$, uniformly in $u \in [0, \tau]$ and x . This implies in particular that

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \sup_{u \in [0, \tau]} \sup_x |F(u, x, z)| = \sup_{u \in [0, \tau]} \sup_x |F(u, x, z_0)|.$$

Since f'' is bounded, the integrand in (19) is bounded by $c\|z\|^2$ for some $c < \infty$. Therefore, according to the remark after Lemma 3.2, the limit (19) holds. Substituting this in the last line of (18), we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0} \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} \sup_{u \in [0, \tau]} \sup_x |((f''(\text{Exp}(u^{1/2}z)x) - f''(x))z, z)|W(dz)$$

which vanishes by the dominated convergence theorem. This means that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{(p_s f)(x) - f(x)}{s} &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} (f''(x)z, z)Q_{r,s}(dz) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{P_0 \mathcal{E}} (f''(x)z, z)W(dz). \end{aligned}$$

(See line (17).) In view of the uniform estimates on the last lines of (16, 17), the last statement of the proposition is now clear. \square

It is a consequence of Proposition 3.1 that every smooth cylinder function belongs to $\mathcal{D}(L)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Airault and J. Van Biesen, *Géométrie riemannienne en codimension finie sur l'espace de Wiener*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris série 1, **311** (1990), 125–130.
- [2] S. Albeverio and R. Høegh-Krohn, *The energy representation of Sobolev-Lie groups*, *Compositio Math.*, **36** (1978), 37–52.
- [3] E. B. Davies, *Gaussian upper bounds for the heat kernels of some second-order operators on Riemannian manifolds*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **80** (1988), 16–32.
- [4] ———, *Heat Kernels and Spectral Theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [5] J. Epperson and T. Lohrenz, *Diffusions on finite-energy loop spaces*, preprint.
- [6] E. Getzler, *Dirichlet forms on loop space*, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 2^e série **113** (1989), 151–174.
- [7] L. Gross, *Measurable functions on Hilbert space*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **105** (1962), 372–390.
- [8] ———, *Abstract Wiener spaces*, *Proc. 5th Berkeley Symp. Stat. and Prob.*, **2** (1965), 31–42.
- [9] ———, *Potential theory on Hilbert space*, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **1** (1967), 123–181.
- [10] N. Ikeda and S. Watanabe, *Stochastic Differential Equations and Diffusion Processes*, North-Holland Publishing Company, 1981.

- [11] K. Itô, *Brownian motions in a Lie group*, Proc. Japan Acad., **26** No. 8 (1950), 4–10.
- [12] J. Jost, *Harmonic Mappings Between Riemannian Manifolds*, Proc. of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, Vol. 4, 1983.
- [13] H. H. Kuo, *Integration theory on infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **159** (1971), 57–78.
- [14] H. H. Kuo, *Diffusion and Brownian motion on infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **169** (1972), 439–457.
- [15] S. Kusuoka, *Dirichlet forms and diffusion processes on Banach spaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A Math., **29** (1982), 79–95.
- [16] M. Malliavin and P. Malliavin, *Integration on loop groups, I. Quasi invariant measures*, J. Funct. Anal., **93** (1990), 207–237.
- [17] P. Malliavin, *Hypoellipticity in infinite dimensions*, in *Diffusion Processes and Related Problems in Analysis*, Vol. 1, M. Pinsky, ed., Birkhäuser, 1991.
- [18] S. Minakshisundaram and Å. Pleijel, *Some properties of the eigenfunctions of the Laplace operator on Riemannian manifolds*, Canad. J. Math., **1** (1949), 242–256.
- [19] V. K. Patodi, *Curvature and the eigenforms of the Laplace operator*, J. Differential Geom., **5** (1971), 233–249.
- [20] D. Stroock and S. R. S. Varadhan, *Multidimensional Diffusion Processes*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.
- [21] V. S. Varadarajan, *Lie Groups, Lie Algebras, and Their Representations*, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

Received February 3, 1992 and in revised form June 22, 1992.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO
ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87131

AND

RICE UNIVERSITY
HOUSTON, TX 77251

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982) F. WOLF (1904–1989)

EDITORS

SUN-YUNG A. CHANG
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
chang@math.ucla.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
christ@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
clemens@math.utah.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093
tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106
mgscharl@henri.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

V. S. VARADARAJAN
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
vsv@math.ucla.edu

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Julie Honig, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 75 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$200.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$100.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Carmel Valley, California 93924, and additional mailing offices. Postmaster: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 969, Carmel Valley, CA 93924.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$,
the American Mathematical Society's $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ macro system.

Copyright © 1993 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 161 No. 2 December 1993

On the method of constructing irreducible finite index subfactors of Popa	201
FLORIN PETRE BOCA	
Brownian motion and the heat semigroup on the path space of a compact Lie group	233
JAY BARRY EPPERSON and TERRY M. LOHRENZ	
Horizontal path spaces and Carnot-Carathéodory metrics	255
ZHONG GE	
Biholomorphic convex mappings of ball in \mathbb{C}^n	287
SHENG GONG, SHI KUN WANG and QI HUANG YU	
The Temperley-Lieb algebra at roots of unity	307
FREDERICK MICHAEL GOODMAN and HANS WENZL	
Jordan analogs of the Burnside and Jacobson density theorems	335
LUZIUS GRÜNENFELDER, M. OLMLADIČ and HEYDAR RADJAVI	
Elliptic representations for $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(n)$	347
REBECCA A. HERB	
Reflexivity of subnormal operators	359
JOHN MCCARTHY	
Knotting trivial knots and resulting knot types	371
KIMIHICO MOTEGI	
Commutativity of selfadjoint operators	385
MITSURU UCHIYAMA	
Correction to: "One-dimensional Nash groups"	393
JAMES JOSEPH MADDEN	



0030-8730(1993)161:2;1-L