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Let $G$ be a connected, reductive $p$-adic group and let $G^e$ denote the set of regular elliptic elements of $G$. Let $\pi$ be an irreducible, tempered representation of $G$ with character $\Theta_{\pi}$, and write $\Theta_{\pi}^e$ for the restriction of $\Theta_{\pi}$ to $G^e$. We say $\pi$ is elliptic if $\Theta_{\pi}^e$ is non-zero.

In this paper we will characterize the elliptic representations for the $p$-adic groups Sp(2n) and SO(n). We will show for Sp(2n) and SO(2n + 1) that every irreducible, tempered representation is either elliptic or can be irreducibly induced from an elliptic representation. We will then show that this fails for the groups SO(2n). In this case there are irreducible tempered representations which cannot be irreducibly induced and are not elliptic.

Introduction. For real reductive Lie groups, the elliptic representations are the discrete series and limits of discrete series representations. Knapp and Zuckerman [K-Z] classified the irreducible tempered representations by proving that every irreducible, tempered representation is either elliptic, or can be irreducibly induced from an elliptic representation of a proper parabolic subgroup in an essentially unique way. Thus the $p$-adic groups Sp(2n) and SO(2n + 1) behave in the same way as real groups. In the $p$-adic case, Kazhdan [K] proved that an irreducible tempered representation is elliptic just in the case that it is not a linear combination (in the Grothendieck group) of properly induced representations. Clozel [C] conjectured that an irreducible tempered representation is elliptic, if and only if, it cannot be realized as a full induced representation from a proper parabolic subgroup. The case of SO(2n) provides a counterexample to Clozel's conjecture.

Every irreducible tempered representation is a subrepresentation of a representation unitarily induced from a discrete series representation of a parabolic subgroup. Thus in order to classify elliptic representations it is necessary to know which irreducible constituents of reducible induced representations are elliptic. In [A], Arthur gives such a characterization in terms of the R-group corresponding to the induced representation. In this paper we will use Arthur's results to characterize the elliptic representations of the symplectic and special
orthogonal groups where Goldberg [G] has computed the $R$-groups
for all tempered representations unitarily induced from discrete series
of proper parabolic subgroups.

In §1 we will review the theory of the $R$-group and the results of
Arthur which will be needed in studying elliptic representations. In
§2 we will use the results of Goldberg to characterize the elliptic, irre-
ducible, tempered representations for the symplectic and odd special
orthogonal groups. In this case we will see that an irreducible, tem-
pered representation is either elliptic or is irreducibly induced from an
elliptic representation of a proper parabolic subgroup. In §3 we will
use results of Goldberg to treat the even special orthogonal groups,
which are technically more difficult than the groups considered in §2.
In this case there are examples of irreducible, tempered representa-
tions which are not elliptic, but cannot be irreducibly induced from
any representation of a proper parabolic subgroup.

I would like to thank Paul Sally and David Goldberg for their help-
ful comments.

1. Preliminaries. Let $F$ be a locally compact, non-discrete, nonar-
chimedean local field of characteristic zero. Let $G$ be the $F$-rational
points of a connected, reductive algebraic group over $F$. Let $G'$ de-
ote the set of regular elements of $G$. Thus $x \in G'$ if $D_G(x) \neq 0$
where $D_G(x)$ is defined as in [HC, §15]. We say $x \in G$ is elliptic
if it is contained in a Cartan subgroup which is compact modulo the
center of $G$. Write $G^e$ for the set of regular elliptic elements of $G$.
Let $\mathcal{E}(G)$ denote the set of (equivalence classes of) irreducible, tem-
pered representations of $G$ and let $\mathcal{E}_2(G)$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{E}(G)$
consisting of square-integrable representations. Given any $\pi \in \mathcal{E}_2(G)$
we write $\Theta_\pi$ for the character of $\pi$ and $\Theta^e_\pi$ for the restriction of $\Theta_\pi$
to $G^e$.

We say that $M \leq G$ is a Levi subgroup of $G$ if there is a parabolic
subgroup $P = MN$ of $G$ so that $M$ is a Levi component of $P$.
Given $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$, we write $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$ for the corresponding induced
representation of $G$. (We will always use unitary induction.) Since
the class of $\text{Ind}_P^G(\sigma)$ is independent of $P$, we will also write $i_{G,M}(\sigma)$
for the corresponding equivalence class.

Let $P$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$ with Levi component $M$ and
split component $A$ and let $a$ denote the real Lie algebra of $A$. Let
$W(G/A) = N_G(A)/M$. Then $W(G/A)$ acts on $\mathcal{E}_2(M)$. For each
$w \in W(G/A)$, let $\mathcal{H}_w$ denote the representation space for $\text{Ind}_P^G(w\sigma)$.
Associated to each $w \in W(G/A)$, there is a meromorphic family of
intertwining operators, \( \mathcal{A}(w, \nu, \sigma) \), \( \nu \in \mathfrak{a}_c^* \), defined by the standard integral formula. By normalizing with (scalar) meromorphic normalizing factors, we obtain intertwining operators \( \mathcal{A}(w, \nu, \sigma) \) which are holomorphic on the unitary axis. Write \( \mathcal{A}(w, \sigma) = \mathcal{A}(w, 0, \sigma) \). Now \( \mathcal{A}(w, \sigma) : \mathbb{H}_1 \to \mathbb{H}_w \) and satisfies the cocycle condition

\[
\mathcal{A}(w_1w_2, \sigma) = \mathcal{A}(w_1, w_2\sigma)\mathcal{A}(w_2, \sigma)
\]

for all \( w_1, w_2 \in W(G/A) \). Define \( W(\sigma) = \{ w \in W(G/A) : w\sigma \simeq \sigma \} \).

Let \( V \) be the representation space of \( \sigma \). Then for each \( w \in W(\sigma) \) there is an intertwining operator \( T(w) : V \to V \) so that \( T(w)(w\sigma(m)) = \sigma(m)T(w) \) for all \( m \in M \). Now \( \mathcal{A}'(w, \sigma) = T(w)\mathcal{A}(w, \sigma) \) gives a self-intertwining operator of \( \text{Ind}_p^G(\sigma) \) for all \( w \in W(\sigma) \) and these span the commuting algebra \( C(\sigma) \) of \( \text{Ind}_p^G(\sigma) \).

Given any reduced root \( \beta \in \Phi(P, A) \), let \( M_\beta \) be the Levi subgroup of \( G \) with \( M \subseteq M_\beta \) defined as in \([HC, \S 13]\), and let \( \mu_\beta(\sigma) \) be the Plancherel measure associated to the representation \( i_{M_\beta, M}(\sigma) \). Let \( \Delta' = \{ \beta \in \Phi(P, A) : \mu_\beta(\sigma) = 0 \} \) and let \( W(\Delta') \) be the subgroup of \( W(G/A) \) generated by reflections in the roots of \( \Delta' \). Then \( W(\Delta') = \{ w \in W(\sigma) : \mathcal{A}'(w, \sigma) \text{ is scalar} \} \). We can write \( W(\sigma) = R \ltimes_s W(\Delta') \), the semidirect product of \( R \) and \( W(\Delta') \), where \( R = \{ w \in W(\sigma) : w\beta > 0, \forall \beta \in \Delta' \} \). Then \( \{ \mathcal{A}'(w, \sigma) : w \in R \} \) is a linear basis for the commuting algebra \([S]\). Further, given \( w_1, w_2 \in R \),

\[
\mathcal{A}'(w_1w_2, \sigma) = \eta(w_1, w_2)\mathcal{A}'(w_1, \sigma)\mathcal{A}'(w_2, \sigma) \quad \text{where} \quad \eta(w_1, w_2) \in C^\times \quad \text{satisfies} \quad T(w_1w_2) = \eta(w_1, w_2)T(w_1)T(w_2).
\]

Thus \( C(\sigma) \) is isomorphic as an algebra to the complex group algebra \( C[R] \) if and only if the intertwining operators \( T(w) \), \( w \in R \), can be chosen so that \( T(w_1w_2) = T(w_1)T(w_2) \) for all \( w_1, w_2 \in R \).

Assume for simplicity in the remainder of this section that \( R \) is abelian and \( C(\sigma) \simeq C[R] \) as algebras. (This will be the case in our examples.) For each \( w \in R \), define

\[
a_w = \{ H \in \mathfrak{a} : wH = H \}.
\]

Let \( Z \) be the split component of \( G \) and let \( \mathfrak{z} \) denote the real Lie algebra of \( Z \). Then \( \mathfrak{z} \subseteq a_w \) for all \( w \in R \). Now a special case of Arthur's result is the following.

**Theorem 1.1** (Arthur \([A, 2.1]\)). Suppose that \( R \) is abelian and that \( C(\sigma) \simeq C[R] \). Then \( i_{G, M}(\sigma) \) has an elliptic constituent \( \iff \) all constituents of \( i_{G, M}(\sigma) \) are elliptic \( \iff \) there is \( w \in R \) such that \( a_w = \mathfrak{z} \).
The irreducible constituents of \( i_{G,M}(\sigma) \) can be described as follows. Let \( \mathcal{H} \) be the representation space of \( i_{G,M}(\sigma) \). Now given any unitary character \( \kappa \in \hat{R} \), let

\[
\mathcal{H}_\kappa = \{ v \in \mathcal{H} : \mathbb{A}'(r, \sigma)v = \kappa(r)v \text{ for all } r \in R \}.
\]

Then \( \mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \hat{R}} \mathcal{H}_\kappa \) is exactly the decomposition of \( \mathcal{H} \) into irreducibles. Let \( \pi_\kappa \) denote the irreducible representation of \( G \) on \( \mathcal{H}_\kappa \).

Suppose that \( M' \) is a Levi subgroup of \( G \) with \( M \subset M' \) which satisfies the compatibility condition of [A, §2] with respect to the choice of positive roots \( \Delta' \) used to define \( R \). Let \( R' = R \cap W(M'/A) \). Then \( R' \) can be identified with the reducibility group for \( i_{M',M}(\sigma) \). Now as above we can use the characters of \( R' \) to decompose \( i_{M',M}(\sigma) \) into irreducible constituents \( \tau_{\kappa'}, \kappa' \in \hat{R}' \). For each \( \kappa' \in \hat{R}' \), define the subset \( \hat{R}(\kappa') \) of \( \hat{R} \) by

\[
\hat{R}(\kappa') = \{ \kappa \in \hat{R} : \kappa(r) = \kappa'(r), \ r \in R' \}.
\]

Then another consequence of [A, 2.1] is the following.

**Lemma 1.2 (Arthur).** For each \( \kappa' \in \hat{R}' \), we have

\[
i_{G,M'}(\tau_{\kappa'}) = \bigoplus_{\kappa \in \hat{R}(\kappa')} \tau_\kappa.
\]

In particular we see that the irreducible constituents \( \pi_\kappa \) of \( i_{G,M}(\sigma) \) can be irreducibly induced from \( M' \) if and only if \( R = R' \).

Define

\[
a_R = \bigcap_{w \in R} a_w.
\]

**Lemma 1.3.** Suppose that \( R \) is abelian and \( C(\sigma) \simeq \mathbb{C}[R] \). Let \( \pi \) be an irreducible constituent of \( i_{G,M}(\sigma) \). Then there are a proper Levi subgroup \( M' \) and \( \tau \in \mathcal{E}(M') \) such that \( \pi = i_{G,M'}(\tau) \) if and only if \( a_R \neq 3 \). Further, \( M' \) and \( \tau \) can be chosen so that \( \tau \) is elliptic if and only if there is \( w_0 \in R \) such that \( a_R = a_{w_0} \).

**Proof.** As in [A, §2], for each \( w \in R \), there is a Levi subgroup \( L_w \) of \( G \) containing \( M \) which satisfies the compatibility condition and such that \( a_w = a_{L_w} \), the split component of \( L_w \). Thus there is a Levi subgroup \( M' \) containing \( M \) which satisfies the compatibility condition so that \( a_{M'} = a_R \). Since every element of \( R \) centralizes \( a_{M'} \) we have \( R \subseteq W(M'/A) \). Thus as above, each irreducible constituent of
$i_{G,M}(\sigma)$ is of the form $i_{G,M'}(\tau)$ where $\tau$ is an irreducible constituent of $i_{M',M}(\sigma)$. Now if $a_R \neq 3$, then $M'$ is proper.

Conversely, if such an $M'$ and $\tau$ exist, then they can be chosen so that $M \subseteq M'$ and $\tau$ is an irreducible constituent of $i_{M',M}(\sigma)$. Thus as above we must have $R' = R$. Thus $R \subseteq W(M'/A)$ so that $a_{M'} \subseteq a_R$. Thus if $M'$ is proper we have $a_R \neq 3$. Further, $i_{M',M}(\sigma)$ has elliptic constituents if and only if there is $w_0 \in R$ so that $a_{w_0} = a_{M'}$. But since $a_{M'} \subseteq a_R \subseteq a_w$ for all $w \in R$, this is true if and only if $a_{M'} = a_R = a_{w_0}$.

2. Elliptic representations of $\text{Sp}(2n)$ and $\text{SO}(2n+1)$. Goldberg's results in this case can be summarized as follows. Let $G = \text{Sp}(2n, F)$ or $\text{SO}(2n + 1, F)$. Since all our groups will be $F$-rational points of algebraic groups, we will drop the $F$'s. Similarly we write $\text{GL}(n)$ for $\text{GL}(n, F)$. Then if $P = MN$ is a proper parabolic subgroup of $G$, there are $r \geq 1$, positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r$, and an $m \geq 0$, with $\sum_{i=1}^r m_i + m = n$, such that

$$M \simeq \text{GL}(m_1) \times \cdots \times \text{GL}(m_r) \times G(m),$$

where $G(0) = \{1\}$, while for $m > 0$ we have

$$G(m) = \begin{cases} \text{Sp}(2m), & \text{if } G = \text{Sp}(2n); \\ \text{SO}(2m + 1), & \text{if } G = \text{SO}(2n + 1). \end{cases}$$

Let $A$ be the split component of $M$. Then $A \simeq (F^\times)^r$ where the $i$th copy of $F^\times$ corresponds to the scalar matrices in the subgroup $\text{GL}(m_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Now if we use this identification to write each $a \in A$ as $a = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r)$, $\lambda_i \in F^\times$, then $W(G/A)$ can be identified with a subgroup of the group of all permutations and sign changes of the $\lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Specifically, the permutation $(ij)$ which interchanges $\lambda_i$ and $\lambda_j$ is in $W(G/A)$ just in case $m_i = m_j$ so that the corresponding scalar matrices are the same size. Let $c_i$ be the sign change $\lambda_i \to \lambda_i^{-1}$. Then $c_i \in W(G/A)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Let $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r \otimes \rho \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$. Here for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{E}_2(\text{GL}(m_i))$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{E}_2(G(m))$. Now

$$(ij)\sigma \simeq \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i \simeq \sigma_j,$$

$$c_i c_j (ij)\sigma \simeq \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i \simeq \sigma_j,$$

and

$$c_i \sigma \simeq \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i \simeq \sigma_i.$$
where $\tilde{\sigma}$ is the contragredient of $\sigma$. Set

$$(2.1) \quad I(\sigma) = \{1 \leq i \leq r: \sigma_i \simeq \tilde{\sigma}_i \text{ and } i_{G(m+m_i), GL(m_i) \times G(m)}(\sigma_i \otimes \rho) \text{ is reducible}\}.$$ 

Of course $\sigma_i \simeq \tilde{\sigma}_i$ is in fact a necessary condition for $i_{G(m+m_i), GL(m_i) \times G(m)}(\sigma_i \otimes \rho)$ to be reducible, since it is the condition that $\sigma_i \otimes \rho$ is ramified in $G(m + m_i)$.

**Theorem 2.2 (Goldberg [G]).** Suppose $M$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$ are as above. Let $d$ be the number of inequivalent $\sigma_i$ such that $i \in I(\sigma)$. Then $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^d$ and is generated by $d$ of the sign changes $c_i$, $i \in I(\sigma)$.

**Proposition 2.3.** Suppose that $M$ is any Levi subgroup of $G$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$. Then

$$C(\sigma) \simeq C[R].$$

**Proof.** Renumber indices so that $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ are the generators of $R$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, a representative $\bar{c}_i \in N_G(A)$ for $c_i$ can be chosen so that

$$\bar{c}_i(m_1, \ldots, m_r, m')\bar{c}_i^{-1} = (m_1, \ldots, (m'_i)^{-1}, \ldots, m_r, m')$$

where $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_r, m') \in GL(m_1) \times \cdots \times GL(m_r) \times G(m)$. For $1 \leq i \leq d$, let $V_i$ be the representation space of $\sigma_i$, and define a representation $\sigma_i^*$ on $V_i$ by $\sigma_i^*(g) = \sigma_i((g)^{-1})$, $g \in GL(m_i)$. Now since $c_i \in W(\sigma)$, we have $\sigma_i^* \simeq \sigma_i$. (In stating Theorem 2.2 we used the fact that $\sigma_i^* \simeq \tilde{\sigma}_i$.) Let $T_i: V_i \rightarrow V_i$ be an intertwining operator between $\sigma_i$ and $\sigma_i^*$. Since $(\sigma_i^*)^* = \sigma_i$, $T_i^2 = r_i$ is a non-zero complex scalar. Thus we can normalize $T_i$ so that $T_i^2 = 1$. Now $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r \otimes \rho$ acts on $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r \otimes V'$. Extend $T_i$ to an endomorphism $T_i^V$ of $V$ by making it act trivially on every factor except $V_i$, where it acts by $T_i$. Then $T_i^V$ intertwines $c_i \sigma$ and $\sigma$ and $(T_i^V)^2 = 1$. Further, for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq d$, $T_i^V T_j^V = T_j^V T_i^V$ since they act on different factors of $V$. Thus if we define $T(c_i) = T_i^V$, then $c_i \mapsto T(c_i)$ extends uniquely to a group homomorphism. 

**Lemma 2.4.** For any $M$, $\sigma$ as above, there exists $w_0 \in R$ so that $a_R = a_{w_0}$. Further, there is $w \in R$ such that $a_w = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow a_R = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^d$. 

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, \( R = \mathbb{Z}_2^d \subseteq S(G/A) \) where \( S(G/A) \) denotes the subgroup of \( W(G/A) \) generated by the block sign changes \( c_i, 1 \leq i \leq r \). Renumber indices so that it is generated by the sign changes \( c_1, \ldots, c_d \). If we let \( w_0 = c_1 \cdots c_d \), then \( a_{w_0} \subseteq a_w \) for all \( w \in R \) so that \( a_R = a_{w_0} \). Now for \( w \in S(G/A) \), \( a_w = \{0\} \) if and only if \( w = c_1 c_2 \cdots c_r \), and \( c_1 c_2 \cdots c_r \in R \) if and only if \( c_i \in R \) for all \( 1 \leq i \leq r \). Thus \( a_R = a_{w_0} = \{0\} \) if and only if \( R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^r \). \( \square \)

Lemma 2.4 can be combined with Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.3 to obtain the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \( M \) be a Levi subgroup of \( G \) and let \( \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M) \). Then \( i_{G,M}(\sigma) \) has an elliptic constituent \( \iff \) all constituents of \( i_{G,M}(\sigma) \) are elliptic \( \iff \) \( R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^r \).

**Theorem 2.6.** Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{E}_i(G) \). Then either \( \pi \) is elliptic or \( \pi = i_{G,M}(\tau) \) for some proper Levi subgroup \( M \) of \( G \) and some elliptic \( \tau \in \mathcal{E}_i(M) \).

Suppose now that \( R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^r \). For \( \kappa \in \hat{R} \), define \( \epsilon(\kappa) = \kappa(\prod_{i=1}^r c_i) = \pm 1 \). Let \( 1 \in \hat{R} \) denote the trivial character.

**Proposition 2.7.** For all \( \kappa \in \hat{R} \) we have \( \Theta_\kappa^\epsilon = \epsilon(\kappa)\Theta_1^\epsilon \).

**Proof.** For \( 1 \leq i \leq r \), let \( M_i \) be the maximal parabolic subgroup containing \( M \) with \( M_i \simeq \text{GL}(m_i) \times G(n - m_i) \). Let \( R_i \) be the reducibility group for \( i_{M_i,M}(\sigma) \). We can identify \( R_i \) with the subgroup of \( R \) generated by \( \{c_j, 1 \leq j \leq r, j \neq i\} \). (Since \( \Delta' = \emptyset \) there is no compatibility condition.) Then for each \( \kappa_i \in \hat{R}_i \), \( \hat{R}(\kappa_i) = \{\kappa_i(+), \kappa_i(-)\} \) where \( \kappa_i(\pm)(c_j) = \kappa_i(c_j), j \neq i \), and \( \kappa_i(\pm)(c_i) = \pm 1 \). Now using Lemma 1.2, for \( \kappa_i \in \hat{R}_i \) we have \( i_{G,M}(\tau_{\kappa_i}) = \pi_{\kappa_i(+)} \oplus \pi_{\kappa_i(-)} \). Thus \( \Theta_{\kappa_i(+)}^\epsilon = -\Theta_{\kappa_i(-)}^\epsilon \).

Now the proof is by induction on \( s(\kappa) \), the number of indices \( 1 \leq i \leq r \) so that \( \kappa(c_i) = -1 \). It is trivial if \( s(\kappa) = 0 \) since \( \epsilon(1) = 1 \). Assume that the lemma is proven for \( \kappa \in \hat{R} \) so that \( s(\kappa) = s \geq 0 \). Fix \( \kappa \in \hat{R} \) with \( s(\kappa) = s + 1 \). Then there is \( 1 \leq i \leq r \) so that \( \kappa(c_i) = -1 \). Let \( \kappa_i \) denote the restriction of \( \kappa \) to \( R_i \). Then \( \kappa = \kappa_i(-) \) and \( s(\kappa_i(+)) = s \). Thus by the induction hypothesis we have \( \Theta_{\kappa_i(+)}^\epsilon = \epsilon(\kappa_i(+))\Theta_i^\epsilon \). But as above

\[
\Theta_\kappa^\epsilon = -\Theta_{\kappa_i(+)}^\epsilon = -\epsilon(\kappa_i(+))\Theta_i^\epsilon = \epsilon(\kappa)\Theta_1^\epsilon.
\] \( \square \)
3. Elliptic representations of $SO(2n)$. Let $G = SO(2n) = SO(2n, F)$. Then if $P = MN$ is a proper parabolic subgroup of $G$, as in §2 there are $r \geq 1$, positive integers $m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_r$ and an $m \geq 0$, $m \neq 1$, with $\sum_{i=1}^{r} m_i + m = n$, such that

$$M \simeq GL(m_1) \times \cdots \times GL(m_r) \times G(m),$$

where $G(0) = \{1\}$, while for $m \geq 2$ we have $G(m) = SO(2m)$.

Let $A$ be the split component of $M$. Then $A \simeq (F^\times)^r$ and, as in §2, $W(G/A)$ can be identified with a subgroup of the group of all permutations and sign changes of the $\lambda_i$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. As before, the permutation $(ij)$ is in $W(G/A)$ just in case $m_i = m_j$. Let $G' = O(2m)$. For $1 \leq i \leq r$, there is $c_i \in N_{G'}(A)$ such that for $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_r, m') \in GL(m_1) \times \cdots \times GL(m_r) \times G(m)$, $\bar{c}_i m c_i^{-1} = (m_1, \ldots, (m'_i)^{-1}, \ldots, m_r, m')$. Thus conjugation by $\bar{c}_i$ gives the sign change $c_i$ taking $\lambda_i$ to $\lambda_i^{-1}$. Further, if $m \geq 2$ there is $\bar{c}' \in N_{G'}(A)$ so that $\bar{c}' m \bar{c}'^{-1} = (m_1, \ldots, m_r, c'm')$, where $c'$ is an outer automorphism of $SO(2m)$ with $(c')^2 = 1$. Note that conjugation by $\bar{c}'$ acts trivially on $A$. Now if $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $m_i$ is even, then $\bar{c}_i$ can be chosen to be in $N_{G}(A)$, so that conjugation by $\bar{c}_i$ gives the sign change of $c_i \in W(G/A)$. Further, if $m_i$ is odd and $m \geq 2$, then $\bar{c}_i$ can be chosen so that $\bar{c}_i c^i \in N_{G}(A)$ and conjugation by $\bar{c}_i c^i$ gives the sign change $c_i \in W(G/A)$. If $m_i$ is odd and $m = 0$, then the individual sign change $c_i$ is not in $W(G/A)$, but for two such indices, $\bar{c}_i \bar{c}_j \in N_{G}(A)$ and gives the product $c_i c_j \in W(G/A)$. This makes the groups $SO(2n)$ more complicated than the groups $Sp(2n)$ and $SO(2n+1)$.

Let $\sigma = \sigma_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \sigma_r \otimes \rho \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$. Here for $1 \leq i \leq r$, $\sigma_i \in \mathcal{E}_2(GL(m_i))$ and $\rho \in \mathcal{E}_2(G(m))$. Now as in §2 we have

$$(ij) \sigma \simeq \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i \simeq \sigma_j,$$

$$c_i c_j (ij) \sigma \simeq \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i \simeq \sigma_j.$$

Further, if $m_i$ is even, then

$$c_i \sigma \simeq \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i \simeq \sigma_i.$$

If $m_i$ is odd and $m \geq 2$, then

$$c_i \sigma \simeq \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i \simeq \sigma_i \quad \text{and} \quad c' \rho \simeq \rho.$$

Finally, if $m_i, m_j$ are odd, then

$$c_i c_j \sigma \simeq \sigma \Leftrightarrow \sigma_i \simeq \sigma_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_j \simeq \sigma_j.$$
Write $I_e = \{1 \leq i \leq r: m_i \text{ is even}\}$ and $I_o = \{1 \leq i \leq r: m_i \text{ is odd}\}$.

Define

$$I_1 = \begin{cases} I_e \cup I_o, & \text{if } m \geq 2 \text{ and } c' \rho \simeq \rho; \\ I_e, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define $I_2 = I_1^c$. Now set

$$I(\sigma) = I_1(\sigma) \cup I_2(\sigma)$$

where

(3.1a) $I_1(\sigma) = \{i \in I_1: \sigma_i \simeq \breve{\sigma}_i \text{ and } i_{G(m+m_i), GL(m_i) \times G(m)}(\sigma_i \otimes \rho) \text{ is reducible}\}$

and

(3.1b) $I_2(\sigma) = \{i \in I_2: \sigma_i \simeq \breve{\sigma}_i\}$.

**Theorem 3.2** (Goldberg [G]). Suppose $M$ and $\sigma \in \bar{E}_2(M)$ are as above. For $j = 1, 2$, let $d_j$ be the number of inequivalent $\sigma_i$ such that $i \in I_j(\sigma)$, and let $d = d_1 + d_2$. If $d_2 = 0$, then $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^d$, while if $d_2 > 0$, then $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{d-1}$. In either case, $R \subseteq S(G/A)$, the subgroup of $W(G/A)$ generated by sign changes.

**Proposition 3.3.** Suppose that $M$ is a Levi subgroup of $G$ and that $\sigma \in \bar{E}_2(M)$. Then $C(\sigma) \simeq C[R]$.

**Proof.** Suppose first that $m = 0$, or that $m \geq 2$ but $c' \rho \neq \rho$. In this case $I_1 = I_e$ and $I_2 = I_o$. If $d_2 \leq 1$, then $R$ is generated by $d_1$ sign changes in indices $i \in I_1(\sigma)$, and the proof is the same as that of Proposition 2.3. Assume that $d_2 \geq 2$. Renumber the indices so that $1, \ldots, p = d_2 \in I_2(\sigma)$, $p + 1, \ldots, d = d_1 + d_2 \in I_1(\sigma)$, and $c_1, c_p, c_2, c_p, \ldots, c_2, c_p, c_2, c_p, \ldots, c_p, c_2, c_p, \ldots, c_p$ are a complete set of generators for $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{d-1}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq d$, we must have $\sigma_i \simeq \sigma_i^*$. As in Proposition 2.3, we can choose $T_i: V_i \rightarrow V_i$ intertwining $\sigma_i^*$ and $\sigma_i$, so that $T_i^2 = 1$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, and extend them to endomorphisms $T_i^V$ of $V = V_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes V_r \otimes V'$. Again, $(T_i^V)^2 = 1$ and $T_i^V T_j^V = T_j^V T_i^V$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq d$. Now we can define $T(c_i c_p) = T_i^V T_p^V$, $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$, and $T(c_i) = T_i^V$, $p + 1 \leq i \leq d$, and this extends to a group homomorphism.

In the case that $m \geq 2$ and $c' \rho \simeq \rho$, we have $I_1 = I_e \cup I_o$. Renumber indices so that $1, \ldots, p \in I_1(\sigma) \cap I_o$, $p + 1, \ldots, d = d_1 \in I_1(\sigma) \cap I_e$, and $c_1, \ldots, c_d$ are the generators of $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^d$. Choose intertwining operators $T_i$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, as above, and also choose an
intertwining operator $T': V' \rightarrow V'$ which intertwines $c\rho$ and $\rho$ and satisfies $(T')^2 = 1$. Extend $T'$ to an operator $(T')^V$ on $V$ which acts non-trivially only on $V'$. Then define $T(c_i) = T_i^V(T')^V$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, and $T(c_i) = T_i^V$, $p + 1 \leq i \leq d$.

**Lemma 3.4.** There is $w_0 \in R$ such that $a_R = a_{w_0}$ if and only if $d_2$ is even or $d_2 = 1$. Further,

there is $w \in R$ such that $a_w = \{0\} \leftrightarrow d = r$ and $d_2$ is even

and

$a_R = \{0\} \leftrightarrow d = r$ and $d_2 \neq 1$.

**Proof.** We can write $a = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_r): x_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ so that $c_i$ corresponds to the sign change $x_i \leftrightarrow -x_i$. Renumber indices so that $1, \ldots, p = d_2 \in I_2(\sigma), p + 1, \ldots, d \in I_1(\sigma)$, and $R$ is generated by the elements $c_i c_j$, $1 \leq i \neq j \leq p$, and $c_i, p + 1 \leq i \leq d$. Now if $d_2$ is even, we have $w_0 = c_1 \cdots c_d \in R$ and $a_R = a_{w_0} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_r): x_1 = \cdots = x_d = 0\}$. If $d_2 = 1$ we have $w_0 = c_2 \cdots c_d \in R$ with $a_R = a_{w_0} = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_r): x_2 = \cdots = x_d = 0\}$. Finally, if $d_2 \geq 3$ is odd, then $a_R = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_r): x_1 = \cdots = x_d = 0\}$, but $a_w \neq a_R$ for any $w \in R$.

Combining Lemma 3.4 with Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 1.3 we obtain the following.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let $M$ be a Levi subgroup of $G$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_2(M)$. Then $i_{G,M}(\sigma)$ has an elliptic constituent $\leftrightarrow$ all constituents of $i_{G,M}(\sigma)$ are elliptic $\leftrightarrow d = r$ and $d_2$ is even.

**Proposition 3.6.** Suppose that $d < r$ or that $d = r$ and $d_2 = 1$. Then each irreducible constituent of $i_{G,M}(\sigma)$ is of the form $i_{G,M'}(\tau)$ where $M'$ is a proper Levi subgroup of $G$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{E}(M')$. If $d_2$ is even or $d_2 = 1$ we can choose $M'$ so that $\tau$ is elliptic.

**Proposition 3.7.** Suppose that $d = r$ and $d_2 \geq 3$ is odd. Then each irreducible constituent of $i_{G,M}(\sigma)$ is a linear combination of representations induced from proper parabolic subgroups, but cannot be irreducibly induced. In fact, each irreducible constituent of $i_{G,M}(\sigma)$ is of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{d_2} c_i i_{G,M_i}(\tau_i)$ where the $M_i$ are proper Levi subgroups of $G$, the $\tau_i \in \mathcal{E}(M_i)$ are elliptic, and the $c_i$ are non-zero complex numbers.
Remarks. Such representations exist. For example, suppose $G = \text{SO}(6)$, $M \simeq \text{GL}(1)^3$, and $\sigma \simeq \chi_1 \otimes \chi_2 \otimes \chi_3$ where the $\chi_i$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$, are distinct characters of $F^\times$ with $\chi_i^2 = 1$. Note also that $\text{SO}(6)$ is locally isomorphic to $\text{SL}(4)$. In fact a non-elliptic representation which cannot be irreducibly induced can also be constructed in the principal series of $\text{SL}(4)$. All of the above results on $R$-groups are equally valid for the real Lie groups $\text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{R})$. On the other hand, representations of the type described in Proposition 3.7 cannot exist for the real case. This is because the only odd integer $m$ such that $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ has discrete series is $m = 1$. Now there are only two distinct characters $\chi$ of $\mathbb{R}^\times$ with $\chi^2 = 1$.

**Proof of Proposition 3.7.** In this case, by Lemma 3.4, $a_R = \{0\}$. Thus by Lemma 1.3, the constituents cannot be irreducibly induced. The fact that each irreducible constituent of $i_{G,M}(\sigma)$ is a linear combination of representations induced from proper parabolic subgroups follows from a theorem of Kazhdan [K] since we know from Theorem 3.5 that the irreducible constituents are not elliptic. However since this is the first example in which non-elliptic representations are not irreducibly induced, it is interesting to show that directly.

In this case we again have $I_1(\sigma) = I_e$, $I_2(\sigma) = I_o$, and $R \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{-1}$. Write $p = d_2$, and suppose that $m_1, \ldots, m_p$ are odd and $m_{p+1}, \ldots, m_r$ are even. Then $R$ can be generated by $s_1 = c_1c_2$, $s_2 = c_2c_3$, $\ldots$, $s_{p-1} = c_{p-1}c_p$, $s_{p+1} = c_{p+1}$, $\ldots$, $s_r = c_r$.

For $1 \leq i \leq p$, let $M_i$ be the Levi subgroup of $G$ so that $M \subset M_i$ and $M_i \simeq \text{GL}(m_i) \times G(n - m_i)$. Fix $i$ and define $d'$, $d'_1$, $d'_2$ as in Theorem 3.2 with respect to $i_{M_i,M}(\sigma)$. Then $d'_1 = d_1$ and $d'_2 = d_2 - 1$. Thus $d' = r - 1$ and $d'_2 > 0$ is even, so that $R' \simeq \mathbb{Z}_2^{-2}$ and every irreducible constituent of $i_{M_i,M}(\sigma)$ is elliptic. Let $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_{p-1}, s_{p+1}, \ldots, s_r\}$ be the set of generators of $R$. Then $R_i$ has generators

$$S_i = \begin{cases} S \setminus \{s_1\}, & \text{if } i = 1; \\ (S \setminus \{s_{i-1}, s_i\}) \cup \{s_{i-1}s_i\}, & \text{if } 2 \leq i \leq p-1; \\ S \setminus \{s_{p-1}\}, & \text{if } i = p. \end{cases}$$

As in the proof of Proposition 2.7, for $\kappa$, $\kappa' \in \bar{R}$ and $\kappa_i \in \bar{R}_i$, $\pi_\kappa \oplus \pi_\kappa' = i_{G,M}(\tau_{\kappa_i})$ if $\kappa|_{R_i} = \kappa'|_{R_i} = \kappa_i$ and $\kappa \neq \kappa'$.

Now fix $\kappa_0 \in \bar{R}$ and define $\kappa_i$, $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ by $\kappa_i(s_j) = \kappa_0(s_j)$, $j \neq i$, and $\kappa_i(s_i) = -\kappa_0(s_i)$. Define $\kappa_p = \kappa_0$. Then for $1 \leq i \leq p$, $\kappa_{i-1} \neq \kappa_i$, but $\kappa_{i-1}$ and $\kappa_i$ have the same restriction to $R_i$. Now since $p$
is odd we can write
\[ \pi_{\kappa_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{(-1)^{i+1}}{2} (\pi_{\kappa_{i-1}} + \pi_{\kappa_{i}}), \]
and this expresses \( \pi_{\kappa_0} \) as a linear combination of properly induced representations of the desired form. \( \square \)

Suppose that we are in the situation that \( d = r \) and \( d_2 \) is even so that \( \prod_{i=1}^{r} c_i \in R \). For \( \kappa \in \hat{R} \), define \( \varepsilon(\kappa) = \kappa(\prod_{i=1}^{r} c_i) \). Then the following can be proven in the same way as Proposition 2.7.

**Proposition 3.8.** Suppose that \( d = r \) and \( d_2 \) is even. Then \( \Theta_k = \varepsilon(\kappa) \Theta_1 \) for all \( \kappa \in \hat{R} \).
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