

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS AND THE CHANG-MARSHALL
INEQUALITY**

VALENTIN V. ANDREEV AND ALEC LANE MATHESON

Volume 162 No. 2

February 1994

EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS AND THE CHANG-MARSHALL INEQUALITY

VALENTIN V. ANDREEV AND ALEC MATHESON

Answering a question of J. Moser, S.-Y. A. Chang and D. E. Marshall proved the existence of a constant C such that $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{|f(e^{i\theta})|^2} d\theta \leq C$ for all functions f analytic in the unit disk with $f(0) = 0$ and Dirichlet integral not exceeding one. We show that there are extremal functions for the functionals $\Lambda_\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\alpha|f(e^{i\theta})|^2} d\theta$ when $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We establish a variational condition satisfied by extremal functions. We show that the identity function $f(z) = z$ is a local maximum in a certain sense for the functionals Λ_α and conjecture that it is a global maximum.

1. Introduction. The Dirichlet space \mathfrak{D} consists of those functions f analytic on the unit disk Δ which have finite Dirichlet integral

$$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta} |f'(z)|^2 dx dy.$$

We will always assume that $f(0) = 0$. It is well-known and easy to establish that \mathfrak{D} is a Hilbert space under the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\Delta} f'(z) \overline{g'(z)} dx dy,$$

and that, if $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$, then

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_n \overline{b_n}.$$

In particular,

$$\|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2.$$

For $\alpha \geq 0$ and $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, we define

$$\Lambda_\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\alpha|f(e^{i\theta})|^2} d\theta.$$

This is known to be finite for all $\alpha \geq 0$ and all $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, and it can be shown that the quantity

$$I_\alpha = \sup\{ \Lambda_\alpha(f) \mid \|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}} \leq 1 \}$$

is finite for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ and infinite for $\alpha > 1$. A proof of this for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ will be indicated below. For $\alpha > 1$, this follows from an estimate of A. Beurling [1]. Answering a question of J. Moser [9], the finiteness of this quantity for the critical value $\alpha = 1$ was first established by S.-Y. A. Chang and D. E. Marshall [5]. A different proof of this fact was subsequently given by Marshall [8], and this has been generalized by M. Essén [7].

The proof of Chang and Marshall involves a delicate argument based on an estimate of Beurling [1]. Since we need Beurling's estimate in section 2, we describe it here. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfy $\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} \leq 1$, and for $t \geq 0$, let

$$E_t = \{ \theta \mid |f(e^{i\theta})| > t \}.$$

If $|E_t|$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure of E_t , then Beurling's estimate is

$$|E_t| \leq e^{-t^2+1}.$$

It follows immediately that

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\alpha(f) &= 1 + 2\alpha \int_0^\infty t e^{\alpha t^2} |E_t| dt \\ &\leq 1 + 2\alpha e \int_0^\infty t e^{-(1-\alpha)t^2} dt = 1 + e \frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

if $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. We note that this proves that I_α is finite if $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, but, in light of the result of Chang and Marshall, the bound is far from precise.

We now show that $\Lambda_\alpha(f)$ is finite for any $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and any $\alpha > 0$. By scaling, it is clear that $\Lambda_\alpha(f) < \infty$ if $\alpha \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 < 1$. If $f \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a polynomial p such that $\|f - p\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 < \epsilon$. But $|f|^2 \leq 2|f - p|^2 + 2|p|^2 \leq 2|f - p|^2 + 2\|p\|_\infty^2$, where $\|p\|_\infty = \sup\{|p(z)| \mid z \in \Delta\}$. Then

$$\Lambda_\alpha(f) \leq e^{2\alpha\|p\|_\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{2\alpha|f-p|^2} d\theta,$$

and, by taking ϵ small enough, it follows that $\Lambda_\alpha(f)$ is finite. Chang and Marshall attribute this observation to J. Garnett. We also note that, since $x^n e^{x^2} \leq C_n e^{2x^2}$ for any positive integer and some constant C_n , the quantity

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(|f(e^{i\theta})|) e^{\alpha|f(e^{i\theta})|^2} d\theta$$

is finite for any $\alpha > 0$, any $f \in \mathcal{D}$, and any polynomial p .

It is natural to ask if there exist extremal functions for the functionals Λ_α in case $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. In the subcritical case $0 < \alpha < 1$, this will be established by an easy continuity argument in §2. The critical case $\alpha = 1$ appears to be much more difficult, and the remainder of this paper is devoted to studying this problem. In §3 we establish a variational criterion which must be satisfied by extremal functions which are sufficiently smooth. It will be seen that the identity function $f(z) = z$ satisfies this criterion. In §4 we show that in a certain sense the identity function is a local maximum for the functionals Λ_α . In §5 we discuss various other aspects of this problem.

Finally, we make two observations concerning the nature of extremal functions. The first of these is attributed by Chang and Marshall to J. Clunie.

Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n z^n$ have finite Dirichlet integral, and let $f^*(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n| z^n$. Then clearly $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}} = \|f^*\|_{\mathfrak{D}}$, while

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^{2n} d\theta &= \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left| \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_n=k} a_{j_1} \dots a_{j_n} \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^\infty \left(\sum_{j_1+\dots+j_n=k} |a_{j_1}| \dots |a_{j_n}| \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f^*(e^{i\theta})|^{2n} d\theta \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. It follows from the power series representation $\Lambda_\alpha(f) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha^n \frac{\|f\|_{2n}^{2n}}{n!}$ that $\Lambda_\alpha(f) \leq \Lambda_\alpha(f^*)$. Because of this, it is enough to consider functions with nonnegative coefficients.

The second observation uses a theorem of L. Carleson [2] to show that extremal functions must be of a certain form. Although we do not use this observation in the sequel, we think it is of sufficient interest to include here. It is clear that if f is extremal for the functional Λ_α , then $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}} = 1$. Since f belongs to the Hardy space H^2 , f admits a Riesz factorization

$$f(z) = z^m B(z)S(z)F(z),$$

where m is a positive integer, $B(z)$ is a Blaschke product, $S(z)$ is a singular inner function, and $F(z)$ is an outer function [6, Thm. 2.8]. Let $g(z) = zF(z)$. Then Carleson's theorem guarantees that $\|g\|_{\mathfrak{D}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}}$, with equality if and only if $f = g$. On the other hand, $|f(e^{i\theta})| = |g(e^{i\theta})|$ almost everywhere, so $\Lambda_\alpha(f) = \Lambda_\alpha(g)$. Thus an

extremal function must have the form $zF(z)$, where $F(z)$ is an outer function.

2. Weak continuity of functionals. Let B denote the closed unit ball of \mathcal{D} . Since B is weakly compact, in order to prove the existence of an extremal function for Λ_α , it is sufficient to show that Λ_α is weakly continuous on B . Since

$$\Lambda_\alpha(f) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha^n \frac{\|f\|_{2n}^{2n}}{n!}$$

for each $f \in B$. We can do this for $0 < \alpha < 1$ by showing that the L^p norms $f \rightarrow \|f\|_p$ are weakly continuous on B , and by establishing an estimate $\|f\|_{2n}^{2n} = O(n!)$ in order to get uniform convergence of the series above. This is done with the following three lemmas. In what follows, we use standard notation and ideas from the theory of Hardy spaces as found, for example, in [6]. In particular, we note that the norm of a function $f(z)$ in the Hardy space H^p coincides with the norm of its boundary function $f(e^{i\theta})$ in L^p .

LEMMA 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{D}$, then $f \in H^p$, and*

$$\|f\|_p^p \leq e^{\frac{p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{D}$ satisfy $\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} = 1$. Let $E_t = \{\theta \mid |f(e^{i\theta})| > t\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_p^p &= p \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} |E_t| dt \leq ep \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} e^{-t^2} dt \\ &= e^{\frac{p}{2}} \int_0^\infty u^{\frac{p}{2}-1} e^{-u} du = e^{\frac{p}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{p}{2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Beurling’s estimate $|E_t| \leq e^{-t^2+1}$. The lemma follows.

LEMMA 2. *If $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a weakly null sequence in \mathcal{D} , then $\|f_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. Since weakly null sequences are bounded, we may assume that $f_n \in B$ for each n . Writing $f_n(z) = \sum_{k=1}^\infty a_{n,k} z^k$, we have

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_{n,k}|^2,$$

and

$$\|f\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_{n,k}|^2.$$

For any positive integer K , the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=K}^{\infty} |a_{n,k}|^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{k=K}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} |a_{n,k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k=K}^{\infty} k |a_{n,k}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \left(\sum_{k=K}^{\infty} |a_{n,k}|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=K}^{\infty} |a_{n,k}|^2 \leq \frac{1}{K}$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. On the other hand, because $(f_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is weakly null, for each fixed k , $a_{n,k} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, given $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose K so that $\frac{1}{K} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$, and then choose N so that if $n \geq N$ and $k < K$, then $|a_{n,k}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2K}$. Hence, if $n \geq N$, $\|f\|_2^2 < \epsilon$ and the lemma is proved.

LEMMA 3. *For each p , $0 < p < \infty$, the function $f \rightarrow \|f\|_p$ is weakly continuous on B .*

Proof. It is enough to show that if $f_n \rightarrow f$ weakly in B , then $\|f_n - f\|_p \rightarrow 0$. Let $g_n = \frac{1}{2}(f_n - f)$. Then $g_n \in B$, and $(g_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is weakly null, so $\|g_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ by Lemma 2. If $0 < p < 2$, then $\|g_n\|_p \leq \|g_n\|_2$ by Hölder’s inequality, and the lemma is immediate. For $p > 2$, we use Hölder’s inequality in the form

$$\|f\|_p \leq \|f\|_2^{\frac{1}{p}} \|f\|_{2p-2}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$$

together with Lemma 1 to complete the proof.

We have now established the following theorem

THEOREM 1. *For each α , $0 < \alpha < 1$, the nonlinear functional*

$$\Lambda_{\alpha}(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\alpha|f(e^{i\theta})|^2} d\theta$$

is weakly continuous on B . Consequently Λ_{α} attains its maximum on B .

3. A variational condition. If the function $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}} = 1$, is extremal for some Λ_{α} , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, and if f has a certain degree of

smoothness up to the boundary of Δ , then it is possible to establish a condition satisfied by f by means of a variational argument. In order for the integrals below to be defined we will assume that f' belong to the Hardy space H^1 . In this case f will be continuous on the closed disk, and it will be sufficient to assume that the test functions ϕ also have derivatives in H^1 .

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose that $f \in \mathfrak{D}$, $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}} = 1$, $f' \in H^1$, and f is extremal for the functional Λ_α , for some α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Let ϕ be a function with $\phi' \in H^1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 e^{\alpha|f(e^{i\theta})|^2} 2\Re\phi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{S_\alpha(f)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ 2\Re\phi(e^{i\theta}) f'(e^{i\theta}) \overline{f(e^{i\theta})} + \phi'(e^{i\theta}) |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 \right\} e^{i\theta} d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_\alpha(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 e^{\alpha|f(e^{i\theta})|^2} d\theta.$$

Proof. Write $\phi = u + iv$, and let $f_t = f e^{t\phi}$ for real t . Let

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left\{ \alpha \frac{|f_t(e^{i\theta})|^2}{\|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2} \right\} d\theta.$$

Then, differentiating under the integral sign, we obtain

$$h'(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp \left\{ \alpha \frac{|f_t(e^{i\theta})|^2}{\|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2} \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\alpha |f_t(e^{i\theta})|^2}{\|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2} d\theta.$$

Because f is extremal, $h'(0) = 0$, and it will be necessary to evaluate the integrand when $t = 0$. Since $f_0 = f$, and $\|f\|_{\mathfrak{D}} = 1$, the first factor becomes $\exp\{\alpha|f(e^{i\theta})|^2\}$ when $t = 0$. Since $|f_t|^2 = |f|^2 e^{2tu}$, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{|f_t|^2}{\|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2} = \frac{2u|f|^2 e^{2ut}}{\|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2} - \frac{|f_t|^2}{\|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^4} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2,$$

and the first term becomes $2u|f|^2$ when $t = 0$. By Green's Theorem,

$$\|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f'_t(e^{i\theta}) \overline{f_t(e^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\theta,$$

and so

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ \frac{\partial f'_t}{\partial t} \overline{f_t} + f'_t \frac{\partial \overline{f_t}}{\partial t} \right\} e^{i\theta} d\theta.$$

But

$$f'_t = f' e^{t\phi} + t\phi' f e^{t\phi},$$

so

$$\frac{\partial f'_t}{\partial t} = \phi f' e^{t\phi} + \phi' f e^{t\phi} + t\phi\phi' e^{t\phi}.$$

Hence,

$$\left. \frac{\partial f'_t}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = \phi f' + \phi' f,$$

and so

$$\left. \frac{\partial f'_t}{\partial t} \bar{f}_t \right|_{t=0} = \phi \bar{f} f' + \phi' f \bar{f}.$$

Also,

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} = \phi f e^{t\phi},$$

so that

$$f'_t \left. \frac{\partial \bar{f}_t}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = f' \phi \bar{f}.$$

Consequently, with $z = e^{i\theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2 \right|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (\phi \bar{f} f' + \phi' f \bar{f} + \overline{\phi f f'}) z d\theta \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (2u \bar{f} f' + \phi' f \bar{f}) z d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\left. \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{|f_t|^2}{\|f_t\|_{\mathfrak{D}}^2} \right|_{t=0} = 2u|f|^2 - \frac{|f|^2}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (2u \bar{f} f' + \phi' f \bar{f}) z d\theta,$$

and the condition $h'(0) = 0$ becomes, on applying Fubini's Theorem,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 2u|f|^2 e^{\alpha|f|^2} d\theta = \frac{S_\alpha(f)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} (2u \bar{f} f' + \phi' f \bar{f}) z d\theta.$$

That completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. *Under the same assumptions on f and ϕ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 e^{\alpha|f|^2} (\phi + \bar{\phi}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{S_\alpha(f)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ (\phi + \bar{\phi}) f' \bar{f} z + \phi (\overline{z f'} f - z f' \bar{f}) \right\} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This follows on integrating

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi'(e^{i\theta}) f(e^{i\theta}) \overline{f(e^{i\theta})} e^{i\theta} d\theta$$

by parts, noting that $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} f(e^{i\theta}) = ie^{i\theta} f'(e^{i\theta})$, and that $\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \overline{f(e^{i\theta})} = -ie^{-i\theta} \overline{f'(e^{i\theta})}$.

COROLLARY 2. *Under the same assumptions on f and ϕ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^2 e^{\alpha|f(e^{i\theta})|^2} \phi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{S_\alpha(f)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-i\theta} \overline{f'(e^{i\theta})} f(e^{i\theta}) \phi(e^{i\theta}) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From Corollary 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 e^{\alpha|f|^2} (\phi + \bar{\phi}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{S_\alpha(f)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ (\phi + \bar{\phi}) f' \bar{f} z + \phi(\overline{z f' f} - z f' \bar{f}) \right\} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing ϕ by $i\phi$ and then dividing by i gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f|^2 e^{\alpha|f|^2} (\phi - \bar{\phi}) d\theta \\ &= \frac{S_\alpha(f)}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \left\{ (\phi - \bar{\phi}) f' \bar{f} z + \phi(\overline{z f' f} - z f' \bar{f}) \right\} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these two equations completes the proof.

In the next corollary \mathcal{H}_0^p denotes the set of boundary functions $f(e^{i\theta})$ of functions $f \in H_0^p$, where H_0^p denotes the set of functions in H^p which vanish at the origin [cf. 6, §3.2].

COROLLARY 3. *If f is as above, then*

$$|f|^2 e^{\alpha|f|^2} - S_\alpha(f) \overline{f z f'} \in \mathcal{H}_0^1.$$

It is easy to see that the identity function $f(z) = z$ satisfies the condition of Corollary 3. However, the argument above is not delicate enough to yield a uniqueness result. Indeed, if we consider the functionals

$$J_n(f) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(e^{i\theta})|^{2n} d\theta,$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then essentially the same argument shows that an extremal function f with $f' \in H^1$ must satisfy

$$|f|^{2n} - J_n(f) \overline{fz} f' \in \mathcal{H}_0^1,$$

and again this condition is fulfilled by the identity function. Let

$$\mu_n = \sup\{ J_n(f) \mid \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} \leq 1 \}.$$

Because the J_n are weakly continuous on the unit ball B of \mathcal{D} , extremal functions exist for each n . For $n = 1$ and $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, we have

$$J_1(f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |a_n|^2 = \|f\|_{\mathcal{D}}^2,$$

with equality if and only if $f(z) = a_1 z$. Hence $\mu_1 = 1$ and the identity function is extremal. For $n = 2$ a more delicate argument again shows that $\mu_2 = 1$ and the identity function is extremal. However, since there are unbounded functions in \mathcal{D} , $\mu_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But $J_n(f) = 1$ for $f(z) = z$, so the identity function cannot be extremal for large n .

4. A local maximum. In this section we show that, in a certain sense, the identity function is a local maximum for each of the functionals Λ_α , $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. For simplicity of exposition we restrict ourselves to functions with nonnegative coefficients. The idea is to analyze Λ_α along curves

$$f_t(z) = z \cos t + h(z) \sin t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2},$$

where $h \in \mathcal{D}$, $\|h\|_{\mathcal{D}} = 1$, and $h(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ has nonnegative coefficients. Since z is orthogonal to $h(z)$, $\|f_t\|_{\mathcal{D}} = 1$, and it is clear that any function in \mathcal{D} with norm one and nonnegative coefficients has such a representation.

For reasons which will become clear shortly, it will be convenient to treat the function $h(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^2$ as a special case. In this case we have

$$f_t(z) = z \cos t + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^2 \sin t, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

We let $\phi_\alpha(t) = e^{-\alpha} \Lambda_\alpha(f_t)$.

PROPOSITION 2. *The function $\phi_\alpha(t)$ is strictly decreasing for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.*

Proof. Clearly,

$$|f_t(e^{i\theta})|^2 = 1 - \frac{\sin^2 t}{2} + \sqrt{2} \sin t \cos t \cos \theta.$$

Hence

$$\phi_\alpha(t) = e^{-\alpha \frac{\sin^2 t}{2}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\sqrt{2}\alpha \sin t \cos t \cos \theta} d\theta.$$

But, for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2n+1} \theta d\theta = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^{2n} \theta d\theta = \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{\sqrt{2}\alpha \sin t \cos t \cos \theta} d\theta \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{n!} (\sqrt{2} \sin t \cos t)^n \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^n \theta d\theta \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{(2n)!} 2^n (\sin^2 t \cos^2 t)^n \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\phi_\alpha(t) = e^{-\alpha \frac{\sin^2 t}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^n.$$

Differentiating $\phi_\alpha(t)$ with respect to t gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi'_\alpha(t) &= -\alpha \sin t \cos t e^{-\alpha \frac{\sin^2 t}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^n \\
 &\quad + e^{-\alpha \frac{\sin^2 t}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{n\alpha^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^n \sin t \cos t (\cos^2 t - \sin^2 t) \\
 &= \sin t \cos t e^{-\alpha \frac{\sin^2 t}{2}} \left[-\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha^{2n+1}}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^n \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (\cos^2 t - \sin^2 t) \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{n\alpha^{2n}}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^{n-1} \right] \\
 &= \sin t \cos t e^{-\alpha \frac{\sin^2 t}{2}} \left[-\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha^{2n+1}}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^n \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + (\cos^2 t - \sin^2 t) \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\alpha^{2n+2}}{(n!)^2(n+1)} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^n \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

But $\cos^2 t - \sin^2 t = \cos 2t$, so

$$\phi'_\alpha(t) = -\sin t \cos t e^{-\alpha \frac{\sin^2 t}{2}} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left(1 - \frac{\alpha \cos 2t}{n+1} \right) \frac{\alpha^{2n+1}}{(n!)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2 t \cos^2 t}{2} \right)^n.$$

But $1 - \frac{\alpha \cos 2t}{n+1} > 0$ unless $n = 0$, $\alpha = 1$, and $t = 0$. That completes the proof.

Now if $h(z) = \sum_{n=2}^\infty b_n z^n$, we have

$$\|h\|_2^2 = \sum_{n=2}^\infty b_n^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=2}^\infty n b_n^2 = \frac{1}{2},$$

with equality if and only if $h(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} z^2$. Having disposed of this case in Proposition 2, we may assume that $\|h\|_2^2 < \frac{1}{2}$. Once again we let $\phi_\alpha(t) = e^{-\alpha} \Lambda_\alpha(f_t)$.

PROPOSITION 3. *For each h there is a T such that $\phi_\alpha(t)$ is decreasing for $0 < t < T$.*

Proof. If we write $k(z) = zh(\bar{z}) + \bar{z}h(z)$, we obtain

$$|f_t(z)|^2 = 1 + (|h(z)|^2 - 1) \sin^2 t + k(z) \frac{\sin 2t}{2}.$$

On the other hand, applying Taylor's Theorem to the function

$$q_\alpha(t) = e^{\alpha[(\lambda-1)\sin^2 t + \mu \frac{\sin 2t}{2}]}$$

gives

$$q_\alpha(t) = 1 + \alpha\mu t + \alpha \left(\frac{\alpha\mu^2}{2} + \lambda - 1 \right) t^2 + g_\alpha(\lambda, \mu, \tau)q_\alpha(\tau)t^3,$$

where $g_\alpha(\lambda, \mu, t)$ is a polynomial in α , λ , and μ , with coefficients depending only on $\sin 2t$ and $\cos 2t$, and τ is a number between 0 and t . Applying this to $e^{\alpha|f_t|^2}$ gives

$$e^{\alpha|f_t(z)|^2} = e^\alpha \left(1 + \alpha k(z)t + \alpha \left(\frac{k^2(z)}{2} + |h(z)|^2 - 1 \right) t^2 + g_\alpha(|h(z)|^2, k(z), \tau)e^{\alpha|f_t(z)|^2} t^3 \right).$$

Since $|k(z)| \leq 2|h(z)|$, and $|f_t|^2 \leq 1 + |h|^2$, the coefficient of t^3 is dominated by $p(|h|^2)e^{\alpha|h|^2}$, where p is some polynomial. As remarked in the introduction, there is a constant C_h such that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} p(|h(e^{i\theta})|^2) e^{\alpha|h(e^{i\theta})|^2} d\theta \right| \leq C_h.$$

Next, for $z = e^{i\theta}$, it is easy to see that

$$k(z) = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n+1} \cos n\theta,$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 0,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} k^2(e^{i\theta}) d\theta = 2 \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_n^2 = 2\|h\|_2^2.$$

Thus, integrating $e^{\alpha|f_t(e^{i\theta})|^2}$ gives

$$\phi_\alpha(t) = 1 + \alpha \left\{ \|h\|_2^2(\alpha + 1) - 1 \right\} t^2 + c(t)t^3,$$

where $|c(t)| \leq C_h$. Since $\|h\|_2^2 < \frac{1}{2}$ by assumption, it follows that $\phi_\alpha(t)$ is decreasing for t close to 0.

5. Concluding remarks. Beurling [1] proved that his estimate is sharp in the sense that for the functions

$$B_a(z) = \left(\log \frac{1}{1-az} \right) / \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{1-a^2}}, \quad 0 < a < 1,$$

there is a constant c independent of a such that

$$|E_t| \geq ce^{-t^2} \quad \text{if } t = \sqrt{\log \frac{1}{1-a^2}},$$

and Chang and Marshall [5] proceed by comparing $f \in \mathcal{D}$, $\|f\|_{\mathcal{D}} = 1$, with an appropriate B_a . We note that

$$B_a(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^n z^n}{n\sqrt{N_a}},$$

where

$$N_a = \log \frac{1}{1-a^2}.$$

Since, as $a \rightarrow 0$, $\frac{1}{a}\sqrt{N_a} \rightarrow 1$, it follows that $B_a(z) \rightarrow z$ weakly as $a \rightarrow 0$. On the other hand, it can be shown that $B_a(z) \rightarrow 0$ weakly as $a \rightarrow 1$. It is of interest to investigate the behavior of $\Lambda_1(B_a)$. Numerical experiments suggest that $\Lambda_1(B_a)$ is decreasing and concave for $0 < a < 1$, and that $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_1(B_a) = e$ and that $\lim_{a \rightarrow 1} \Lambda_1(B_a) = 0$. It is also possible to prove that $J_1(B_a)$ is decreasing and concave for $0 < a < 1$. However, numerical experiments suggest that this is not the case for $J_n(B_a)$ for larger n , and recently the second author has succeeded in proving that $\limsup_{a \rightarrow 1} \Lambda_1(B_a) > 1$.

Finally, let

$$S_{n,\alpha}(f) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\alpha^k J_k(f)}{k!}$$

denote the partial sums in the series expansion of $\Lambda_\alpha(f)$. We remark that, for fixed α , if the identity function $f(z) = z$ is extremal for $S_{n,\alpha}$ for large n , then it would also be extremal for Λ_α . Similarly, if $f(z) = z$ is extremal for Λ_α for α close to 1, it would also be extremal for Λ_1 . We conjecture that the identity function is extremal in all of these cases.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beurling, *Etudes sur une problème de majoration*, Thèse, Almqvist and Wiksell, Uppsala, 1933.
- [2] L. Carleson, *A representation formula for the Dirichlet integral*, Math. Zeitschrift, **73** (1960), 190–196.
- [3] L. Carleson and S.-Y. A. Chang, *On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser*, Bull. Sci. Math., 2e série, **110** (1985), 113–127.
- [4] S.-Y. A. Chang, *Extremal functions in a sharp form of Sobolev inequality*, Proceedings of the Int. Congress of Math., Berkeley, 1986, pp. 715–723.
- [5] S.-Y. A. Chang and D. E. Marshall, *A sharp inequality concerning the Dirichlet integral*, Amer. J. Math., **107** (1985), 1015–1033.
- [6] Peter L. Duren, *Theory of H^p Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [7] Matts Essén, *Sharp estimates of uniform harmonic majorants in the plane*, Ark. Mat., **25** (1987), 15–28.
- [8] D. E. Marshall, *A new proof of a sharp inequality concerning the Dirichlet integral*, Arkiv för Mat., **27** (1989), 131–137.
- [9] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **20** (1971), 1077–1092.

Received February 20, 1992 and in revised form October 7, 1992.

LAMAR UNIVERSITY
BEAUMONT, TEXAS 77710
E-mail address: matheson@cs4.lamar.edu

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906–1982) F. WOLF (1904–1989)

EDITORS

SUN-YUNG A. CHANG
(Managing Editor)
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
chang@math.ucla.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
christ@math.ucla.edu

HERBERT CLEMENS
University of Utah
Salt Lake City, UT 84112
clemens@math.utah.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093
tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI
University of Arizona
Tucson, AZ 85721
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106
mgscharl@math.ucsb.edu

HAROLD STARK
University of California, San Diego
La Jolla, CA 92093

V. S. VARADARAJAN
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555
vsv@math.ucla.edu

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Julie Honig, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 75 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$215.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$107.50 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 981 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at University of California,
Berkeley, CA 94720, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using $\text{AMS-}\text{\TeX}$,
the American Mathematical Society's \TeX macro system.
Copyright © 1994 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 162 No. 2 February 1994

On the existence of convex classical solutions to multilayer fluid problems in arbitrary space dimensions	201
ANDREW FRENCH ACKER	
Extremal functions and the Chang-Marshall inequality	233
VALENTIN V. ANDREEV and ALEC LANE MATHESON	
Productive polynomials	247
RICHARD ARENS	
On factor representations of discrete rational nilpotent groups and the Plancherel formula	261
LAWRENCE JAY CORWIN and CAROLYN PFEFFER JOHNSTON	
Commutants of Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space	277
ZELJKO CUCKOVIC	
When L^1 of a vector measure is an AL-space	287
GUILLERMO P. CURBERA	
A convexity theorem for semisimple symmetric spaces	305
KARL-HERMANN NEEB	
Ideals of finite codimension in free algebras and the fc-localization	351
AMNON ROSENMAN and SHMUEL ROSSET	
Dec groups for arbitrarily high exponents	373
BHARATH AL SETHURAMAN	
Errata to: "The set of primes dividing the Lucas numbers has density $2/3$ "	393
JEFFREY C. LAGARIAS	



0030-8730(1994)162:2;1-J