Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COMMUTANTS OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE BERGMAN SPACE

Zeljko Cuckovic

COMMUTANTS OF TOEPLITZ OPERATORS ON THE BERGMAN SPACE

ŽELJKO ČUČKOVIĆ

This paper describes the commutants of certain analytic Toeplitz operators. To underline the difference between the Bergman and Hardy spaces, we first prove that on the Bergman space L_a^2 the only isometric Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols are scalar multiples of the identity. If T denotes the norm closed subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}(L_a^2)$ generated by Toeplitz operators, we show that for each positive integer n, $\{T_{z^n}\}' \cap \mathbf{T}$ is the set of all analytic Toeplitz operators. This result is also valid for the Hardy space. Here $\{T_{z^n}\}'$ denotes the commutant of T_{z^n}. Finally we prove the analogous result for T_{u^n}, where u is an analytic, one-to-one map of the unit disk onto itself.

Introduction. Let D denote the open unit disk in the complex plane and let dA denote the usual Lebesgue area measure on D. The complex space $L^2(D, dA)$ is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_D f\bar{g}\, dA.$$

The Bergman space L_a^2 is the set of those functions in $L^2(D, dA)$ that are analytic on D. The Bergman space is a closed subspace of $L^2(D, dA)$, and so there is an orthogonal projection P from $L^2(D, dA)$ onto L_a^2. For $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$, the Toeplitz operator with symbol φ, denoted T_φ, is the operator from L_a^2 to L_a^2 defined by $T_\varphi f = P(\varphi f)$. For more information about the Bergman space and its operators see [4].

The algebra of bounded analytic functions on D will be denoted by H^∞. If $\varphi \in H^\infty$, then T_φ is called an analytic Toeplitz operator.

For a Hilbert space H, $\mathbf{L}(H)$ denotes the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H. If $S \subset \mathbf{L}(H)$, then $S' = \{B \in \mathbf{L}(H): AB = BA$ for all $A \in S\}$ is the commutant of S. In this paper we are interested in finding commutants of certain analytic Toeplitz operators acting on the Bergman space.

Much work has been done in studying commutants of analytic Toeplitz operators on the Hardy space. Some of those results can be extended to the Bergman space case. The complex space $L^2(\partial D)$

is a Hilbert space with the inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\partial D} f \bar{g} \frac{dt}{2\pi}.$$

For each integer n, let e_n denote the function $e_n(z) = z^n$ for $|z| = 1$. Then $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\partial D)$ and the Hardy space $H^2(\partial D)$ is, by definition, the subspace $\text{span}\{e_n : n \geq 0\}^-$. For $\varphi \in L^\infty(\partial D)$, the Toeplitz operator with symbol φ, denoted again by T_φ, on $H^2(\partial D)$ is defined in the analogous way. For the basic properties of the Hardy space Toeplitz operators see Douglas [9]. Shields and Wallen [15] studied commutants of certain multiplication operators in a Hilbert space of analytic functions and introduced interesting function theoretic methods. Deddens and Wong [8] studied the problem using operator theory and raised six questions. Abrahamse [1] answered some of Deddens-Wong questions negatively. Baker, Deddens and Ullman [6] found $\{T_f\}'$ if f is an entire function. In a series of papers [16]–[19], Thomson used function theoretic methods to find commutants or intersection of commutants of certain analytic Toeplitz operators. Finally, C. Cowen continued their work in [7] and found the commutant of Toeplitz operators whose symbol is a finite Blaschke product or a covering map.

It is well known that the Hardy space Toeplitz operator T_φ is an isometry if and only if φ is inner. If φ is a nonconstant inner function, then T_φ is a pure isometry and is unitarily equivalent to a unilateral shift, whose commutant can be characterized matricially. On the Bergman space, a Toeplitz operator whose symbol is a nonconstant inner function is not an isometry. We will prove even more (Theorem 1.1): The only Toeplitz operator with harmonic symbol that is an isometry is a scalar multiple of the identity.

Our first result about commutants concerns Toeplitz operator with symbol z^n. Let $\mathbf{T}$ be the norm closed subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}(L^2_a)$ generated by all Toeplitz operators. We show (Theorem 1.4) that for each positive integer n, $\{T_{z^n}\}' \cap \mathbf{T}$ is the set of all analytic Toeplitz operators. This result is also valid for the Hardy space. Then, we prove the analogous result for T_{u^n}, where u is an analytic, one-to-one map of D onto itself.

1. Commutants. At first we will underline the difference between the Bergman and Hardy space Toeplitz operators. We will prove that on the Bergman space there are no nontrivial isometries with harmonic symbols. We need some facts about the maximal ideal space of H^∞. Good references are Hoffman [12] and Garnett [10].

The set of all multiplicative linear functionals on H^∞ is called the maximal ideal space of H^∞ and we denote it by M. The Gelfand transform $\hat{}: H^\infty \to C(M)$ is defined by $\hat{f}(\varphi) = \varphi(f)$, for $\varphi \in M$. The Gelfand transform is an isometry from $H^\infty \to C(M)$, so that we can identify H^∞ with the uniformly closed subalgebra of $C(M)$. Hoffman ([13, Lemma 4.4]) has proved that $C(M)$ is identical to the sup norm closure of the algebra generated by the bounded harmonic functions. If m_1 and m_2 are in M, the pseudohyperbolic distance between m_1 and m_2 is defined as

$$\rho(m_1, m_2) = \sup\{|\hat{f}(m_2)| : f \in H^\infty, \ \|f\| \leq 1, \ \hat{f}(m_1) = 0\}.$$

The relation $m_1 \sim m_2$ if and only if $\rho(m_1, m_2) < 1$ is an equivalence relation on M. The corresponding equivalence classes are called the Gleason parts of M. The set of one-point parts in M will be denoted by M_1. Let

$$J = \{\varphi \in C(M) : \varphi = 0 \text{ on } M_1\}.$$

Let $\mathbf{T}(C(M))$ be the closed subalgebra of $\mathbf{L}(L_a^2)$ generated by $\{T_\varphi : \varphi \in C(M)\}$ and let $\mathbf{C}$ be the commutator ideal of $\mathbf{T}(C(M))$. Mc-Donald and Sundberg [14] proved that the sequence

$$0 \to J \to C(M) \to \mathbf{T}(C(M))/\mathbf{C} \to 0$$

is exact. This implies that $C(M)/J$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{T}(C(M))/\mathbf{C}$ with isomorphism

$$\Psi(\varphi + J) = T_\varphi + \mathbf{C}$$

for $\varphi \in C(M)$.

Another Banach algebra we need is $L^\infty(\partial D)$. The maximal ideal space of $L^\infty(\partial D)$, denoted by $M(L^\infty)$, plays an important role here. Since we may regard H^∞ as a closed subalgebra of $L^\infty(\partial D)$, we may think of $M(L^\infty)$ as a subset of M. It turns out that $M(L^\infty)$ is a subset of M_1.

Now, we can prove our theorem.

THEOREM 1.1. *Suppose that $h \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ is harmonic and that T_h is an isometry. Then h is a constant function of modulus 1.*

Proof. Suppose that T_h is an isometry, i.e., $T_h^* T_h = I$. Since h is harmonic, Hoffman's result shows that h and $\bar{h} \in C(M)$. Applying the isomorphism Ψ^{-1}, we obtain $(\bar{h} + J) \cdot (h + J) = 1 + J$, and by the definition of J we have

$$(1.1) \qquad\qquad |\varphi(h)| = 1$$

for every $\varphi \in M(L^\infty)$. It is well known that the Gelfand transform of $L^\infty(\partial D)$ maps $L^\infty(\partial D)$ isometrically and isomorphically onto $C(M(L^\infty))$ (see Hoffman, [**12**, p. 170]), so that we have

$$\sup\{|h(z)| : z \in D\} = \|h\|_{L^\infty(\partial D)} = \|\hat{h}\|_{C(M(L^\infty))}$$

$$= \sup\{|\varphi(h)| : \varphi \in M(L^\infty)\}.$$

Hence (1.1) implies $\sup\{|h(z)| : z \in D\} = 1$. If $|h(z)| = 1$ for some $z \in D$, then h is constant by the Maximum Principle. If $|h(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in D$, then

$$\pi = \|1\|^2 = \|T_h 1\|^2 = \|Ph\|^2 \le \|h\|^2 = \int_D |h(z)|^2 \, dA < \int_D dA = \pi,$$

a contradiction. Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the $L^2(D, dA)$-norm. Therefore h is a constant function, and since T_h is an isometry, $|h| = 1$. □

We can slightly generalize this result and get the following:

COROLLARY 1.2. *Suppose that T_h is an isometry, where $h = f \cdot g^n$, where f is inner, $g \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ is harmonic, and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then h is a constant function of modulus 1.*

J. Thukral asked for which harmonic h is T_h a partial isometry. If T_h is a partial isometry, then by Halmos [**11**, Problem 98], $T_h = T_h T_h^* T_h$. Similarly as before, this means

$$\varphi(h)[1 - |\varphi(h)|^2] = 0$$

for every $\varphi \in M(L^\infty)$. If $\varphi(h) = 0$ for every $\varphi \in M(L^\infty)$, then $h = 0$. If $\varphi(h) \ne 0$ for some $\varphi \in M(L^\infty)$, then $\sup\{|h(z)| : z \in D\} = 1$ and h must be a constant function. Thus we have proved the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose that $h \in L^\infty(D, dA)$ is harmonic and that T_h is a partial isometry. Then h is either a constant function of modulus 1 or h is identically 0.*

In [**5**] S. Axler and the author characterized commuting Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols. Now, we are going to consider the

related problem—the commutants of some analytic Toeplitz opera-
tors. At first we will be interested in finding the commutant of T_{z^n},
for arbitrary positive integer n. Before we state and prove our result,
recall the following definitions.

For $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$, the Hankel operator with symbol φ, denoted
H_φ, is the operator from L_a^2 to $(L_a^2)^\perp$ defined by $H_\varphi f = (I - P)(\varphi f)$.

For an analytic function f on D we set

$$\|f\|_B = \sup\{(1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| : z \in D\}.$$

The Bloch space B is the set of all analytic functions f on D for
which $\|f\|_B < \infty$. The quantity $|f(0)| + \|f\|_B$ defines a norm on B,
and B equipped with this norm is a Banach space. Contained in the
Bloch space is the little Bloch space B_0, which is by definition the set
of all analytic functions f on D for which

$$(1 - |z|^2)f'(z) \to 0 \quad \text{as } |z| \to 1.$$

For the basic properties of the Bloch space see [2].

Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$ be fixed.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let $S \in \mathbf{T}$ commute with T_{z^n}. Then $S = T_\psi$ for
some $\psi \in H^\infty$.*

Proof. The equation $ST_{z^n} = T_{z^n}S$ gives us the following:
Let $g_i = Sz^i$, for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Then for any such i

$$Sz^{n+i} = ST_{z^n}z^i = T_{z^n}Sz^i = z^n g_i$$

$$\cdots$$

$$Sz^{kn+i} = z^{kn}g_i, \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, 2 \ldots.$$

Let

$$X_0 = \text{span}\{e_{kn} : k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}^-,$$
$$X_1 = \text{span}\{e_{kn+1} : k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}^-,$$

$$\cdots$$

$$X_{n-1} = \text{span}\{e_{kn+(n-1)} : k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots\}^-.$$

Then $L_a^2 = X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{n-1}$, i.e., each $f \in L_a^2$ can be written as

$$f = f_0 + f_1 + \cdots + f_{n-1},$$

$f_i \in X_i$, $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$. Each $f_0 \in X_0$ has its Fourier series
expansion

$$f_0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(f_0, e_{kn})e_{kn}$$

i.e., $f_0 = \lim s_m$, where $s_m = \sum_{k=0}^{m}(f_0, e_{kn})e_{kn}$. Since the point evaluations are bounded on L_a^2, we have $f_0(z) = \lim s_m(z)$, for each $z \in D$, so that

$$(1.2) \qquad (f_0 \cdot g_0)(z) = \lim(s_m \cdot g_0)(z)$$

for each $z \in D$. Since $Sz^{kn} = z^{kn}g_0$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, it follows that $Ss_m = s_m \cdot g_0$, for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$. By continuity of S, we have

$$(Sf_0) = \lim Ss_m = \lim s_m \cdot g_0,$$

so that

$$(1.3) \qquad (Sf_0)(z) = \lim(s_m \cdot g_0)(z)$$

for each $z \in D$. Comparing (1.2) and (1.3) we conclude that

$$Sf_0 = g_0 \cdot f_0,$$

for each $f_0 \in X_0$. Repeating the above reasoning, we get that $Sf_1 = g_1 f_1 / z$, for $f_1 \in X_1$ and so on. Thus the operator S can be described as

$$(1.4) \qquad Sf = g_0 f_0 + \frac{g_1}{z}f_1 + \frac{g_2}{z^2}f_2 + \cdots + \frac{g_{n-1}}{z^{n-1}}f_{n-1}.$$

Let's observe another property of S, being an element of $\mathbf{T}$.

Claim. $ST_z - T_zS \in \mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{K}$ denotes the ideal of all compact operators.

At first, assume $S = T_\varphi$, $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$. Then

$$T_\varphi T_z - T_z T_\varphi = T_{z\varphi} - T_z T_\varphi = H_{\bar{z}}^* H_\varphi.$$

Because $z \in B_0$, the operator $H_{\bar{z}}^*$ is compact (see [3]), so that $T_\varphi T_z - T_z T_\varphi$ is compact. If $\Pi: \mathbf{L}(L_a^2) \to \mathbf{L}(L_a^2)/\mathbf{K}$ denotes the natural projection, then

$$(1.5) \qquad \Pi(T_\varphi)\Pi(T_z) = \Pi(T_z)\Pi(T_\varphi)$$

for every $\varphi \in L^\infty(D, dA)$. If $S = T_{\varphi_1} \cdots T_{\varphi_l}$ then, because of (1.5), $\Pi(ST_z - T_zS) = 0$ so that $ST_z - T_zS \in \mathbf{K}$. An arbitrary operator S in $\mathbf{T}$ is the limit of sums of operators of the form $T_{\varphi_1} \cdots T_{\varphi_l}$. In that case $S = \lim S_l$, each S_l is of the form $\sum T_{\varphi_1} \cdots T_{\varphi_l}$, and so $ST_z - T_zS = \lim(S_l T_z - T_z S_l) \in \mathbf{K}$. Hence the claim is proved.

Now, let's express $ST_z - T_zS$ in terms of (1.4). It is easy to see that

$$ST_zf = g_1 f_0 + \frac{g_2}{z}f_1 + \frac{g_3}{z^2}f_2 + \cdots + z g_0 f_{n-1}.$$

From this and (1.4) we obtain

$$(ST_z - T_zS)f = f_0(g_1 - zg_0) + f_1\left(\frac{g_2}{z} - g_1\right)$$
$$+ f_2\left(\frac{g_3}{z^2} - \frac{g_2}{z}\right) + \cdots + f_{n-1}\left(zg_0 - \frac{g_{n-1}}{z^{n-2}}\right).$$

By the claim, $(ST_z - T_zS)|_{X_0} = M_{g_1-zg_0}: X_0 \to L_a^2$ is compact ($M_{g_1-zg_0}$ is a multiplication operator). Let $\varphi = g_1 - zg_0$. Now, it immediately follows that $M_\varphi|_{X_j}$ is compact, for all $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, since $M_\varphi|_{X_j} = M_{z^j}(M_\varphi|_{X_0})(M_{z^{-j}}|_{X_j})$. Hence M_φ is compact on $X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_{n-1} = L_a^2$. Thus $\varphi = 0$ and therefore $g_0 = g_1/z$.

Similarly, $(ST_z - T_zS)|_{X_1} = M_{g_2/z-g_1}: X_1 \to L_a^2$ is a compact operator. Thus $M_{g_2/z-g_1}M_z|_{X_0} = M_{g_2-zg_1}: X_0 \to L_a^2$ is compact. As before, this means that $g_2 - zg_1 = 0$ so that $g_1 = g_2/z$ and therefore $g_0 = g_2/z^2$. If we continue this way, (1.4) shows that

$$Sf = g_0 \cdot f = T_{g_0}f$$

for every $f \in L_a^2$. The function g_0 must be an H^∞ function as a multiplier of L_a^2 (see [15]). If we let $\psi = g_0$, the theorem is proved. □

REMARK. From the proof of the theorem it is clear that we can replace the assumption $S \in \mathbf{T}$ by the weaker assumption $ST_z - T_zS \in \mathbf{K}$. Also, slightly modified arguments give a proof for the Hardy space case.

We can extend this result. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(D)$ denote the set of analytic, one-to-one maps of D onto D. Let $u \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and define an operator $V: L_a^2 \to L_a^2$ by $Vf = f \circ u^{-1}$. Clearly, V is a bounded linear operator, with the inverse operator $V^{-1}f = f \circ u$. Observe that

$$T_zV = VT_u,$$

and therefore

(1.6) $$T_{z^n}V = VT_{u^n}$$

for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Suppose now that $S \in \mathbf{T}$ and $ST_{u^n} = T_{u^n}S$ for some n. Formula (1.6) implies

$$SV^{-1}T_{z^n}V = V^{-1}T_{z^n}VS$$

and we conclude that

$$VSV^{-1} \in \{T_{z^n}\}'.$$

The operator $B = VSV^{-1}$ has the property that $BT_z - T_z B$ is in $\mathbf{K}$ (because $u \in B_0$), so by the remark following Theorem 1.4, it follows that $B = T_\varphi$, for some $\varphi \in H^\infty$. Thus $S = V^{-1} T_\varphi V$. If we let $\psi = \varphi \circ u$, we have proved the following corollary:

COROLLARY 1.5. *Let $u \in \mathrm{Aut}(D)$ and let $S \in \mathbf{T}$ commute with T_{u^n}, for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then $S = T_\psi$ for some $\psi \in H^\infty$.*

The results in this paper raise the following questions.

The McDonald-Sundberg functional calculus is the crucial tool in proving Theorem 1.1. Is this theorem true without the assumption on the symbol to be harmonic? If h is in $C(M)$, then the McDonald-Sundberg calculus is still valid, but it is not true in general that $\sup\{|h(z)| : z \in D\} = \sup\{|\varphi(h)| : \varphi \in M(L^\infty)\}$. However, we guess that there are no nontrivial isometries among Toeplitz operators on the Bergman space.

Suppose $S \in \mathbf{L}(L_a^2)$ is such that $ST_z - T_z S \in \mathbf{K}$. If $ST_{z^n} - T_{z^n}S = 0$ for some n, then Theorem 1.4 shows that S must be an analytic Toeplitz operator. What is the set of all functions f such that $ST_f - T_f S = 0$ implies that S is an analytic Toeplitz operator?

REFERENCES

[1]   M. B. Abrahamse, *Analytic Toeplitz operators with automorphic symbol*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 297–302.

[2]   J. M. Anderson, *Bloch functions: The Basic theory*, Operators and Function Theory, Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, 1985, pp. 1–17.

[3]   S. Axler, *The Bergman space, the Bloch space, and commutators of multiplication operators*, Duke Math. J., **53** (1986), 315–332.

[4]   ____, *Bergman spaces and their operators*, Surveys of Some Recent Results in Operator Theory, Vol. 1, Longman, 1988, pp. 1–50.

[5]   S. Axler and Ž. Čučković, *Commuting Toeplitz operators with harmonic symbols*, Integral Equations Operator Theory, **14** (1991), 1–12.

[6]   I. N. Baker, J. A. Deddens and J. L. Ullman, *A theorem on entire functions with applications to Toeplitz operators*, Duke Math. J., **41** (1974), 739–745.

[7]   C. C. Cowen, *The commutant of an analytic Toeplitz operator*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **239** (1978), 1–31.

[8]   J. A. Deddens and T. K. Wong, *The commutant of analytic Toeplitz operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **184** (1973), 261–273.

[9]   R. G. Douglas, *Banach Algebra Techniques in Operator Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.

[10]   J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.

[11]   P. R. Halmos, *Hilbert Space Problem Book*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

[12]   K. Hoffman, *Banach Spaces of Analytic Functions*, Dover, New York, 1962.

[13]   ____, *Bounded analytic functions and Gleason parts*, Ann. of Math., **86** (1967), 74–111.

[14] G. McDonald and C. Sundberg, *Toeplitz operators on the disc*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **28** (1979), 595–611.

[15] A. L. Shields and L. J. Wallen, *The commutants of certain Hilbert space operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **20** (1970/71), 777–788.

[16] J. E. Thomson, *Intersections of commutants of analytic Toeplitz operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52** (1975), 305–310.

[17] ____, *The commutants of certain analytic Toeplitz operators*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **54** (1976), 165–169.

[18] ____, *The commutant of a class of analytic Toeplitz operators*, Amer. J. Math., **99** (1977), 522–529.

[19] ____, *The commutant of a class of analytic Toeplitz operators* II, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **25** (1976), 793–800.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN CENTERS
WAUKESHA, WI 53188

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Julie Honig, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 75 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS