

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

CONJUGATES OF STRONGLY EQUIVARIANT MAPS

SALMAN ABDULALI

Volume 165 No. 2

October 1994

CONJUGATES OF STRONGLY EQUIVARIANT MAPS

SALMAN ABDULALI

Let $\tau: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be a strongly equivariant holomorphic embedding of one bounded symmetric domain into another. We show that if σ is an automorphism of \mathbf{C} , then $\tau^\sigma: X_1^\sigma \rightarrow X_2^\sigma$ is also strongly equivariant.

1. Introduction. A semisimple algebraic group, G , over \mathbf{Q} , is said to be of hermitian type if $G(\mathbf{R})^0/K$ is a bounded symmetric domain for a maximal compact subgroup K of $G(\mathbf{R})^0$. Let X_1 and X_2 be bounded symmetric domains associated with algebraic groups G_1 and G_2 respectively. A holomorphic embedding $\tau: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is called weakly equivariant if there exists a homomorphism of algebraic groups $\rho: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ defined over \mathbf{Q} , such that

$$(1.1) \quad \rho(g) \cdot \tau(x) = \tau(g \cdot x) \text{ for all } g \in G_1(\mathbf{R})^0 \text{ and all } x \in X_1 .$$

τ is called strongly equivariant if, in addition, the image of X_1 is totally geodesic in X_2 . It is not known, at least to me, whether every weakly equivariant holomorphic map of bounded symmetric domains is strongly equivariant.

Strongly equivariant maps form the central theme of Satake's book [21]. They have (at least) two important applications to number theory. If G_2 is a symplectic group, then X_2 parametrizes a universal family of abelian varieties. Pulling back the universal family to X_1 , and taking the quotient by an arithmetic group, gives a family of abelian varieties called a Kuga fiber variety. These are defined in [10], where Kuga calls τ a (generalized) Eichler map. For the second application, to compactification of arithmetic varieties, see [20] and also [4], where strongly equivariant maps are called symmetric maps.

Since the quotient of a symmetric domain by an arithmetic group is an algebraic variety, it is possible to define the conjugate $\tau^\sigma: X_1^\sigma \rightarrow X_2^\sigma$ for an automorphism σ of \mathbf{C} . Conjugates of equivariant maps of symmetric domains were studied by Min Ho Lee in his thesis [13] which has been published in a series of papers [14, 15, 16]. He proved that any conjugate of a weakly equivariant map is weakly equivariant [13, 14]. He also proved that any conjugate of an H_2 -equivariant map (i.e., a strongly equivariant map such that ρ takes any symmetry of X_1 to a symmetry of X_2) is again H_2 -equivariant, assuming certain additional hypotheses [13, 16]. This led him to conjecture [13, p. 26] that conjugates of strongly equivariant maps are strongly equivariant. We prove this conjecture in this paper.

We shall now describe the ideas involved in the proof. A pair (G, X) as above defines a connected Shimura variety. The proof of Langlands' conjectures on conjugation of Shimura varieties by Borovoi [7] and Milne [17] shows how an automorphism of \mathbf{C} acts on the special points of X (see 3.2 for the definition of a special point). This implies our theorem for a strongly equivariant map which takes special points to special points. Now, as Kuga observed [11, 12], Satake's classification of strongly equivariant maps shows that the set of all holomorphic embeddings $\tau: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ which are strongly equivariant with a given homomorphism $\rho: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is itself a bounded symmetric domain, which we call X_ρ . We construct a map $X_1 \times X_\rho \rightarrow X_2$ which preserves special points, and use it to show that τ^σ is strongly equivariant.

I would like to thank the University of Toronto and Kumar Murty for their generous support during the period when this paper was written.

2. Equivariant maps.

2.1 Symmetric domains. By a *hermitian pair*, we shall mean a pair (G, X) , where G is a semisimple algebraic group over \mathbf{Q} of hermitian type such that $G(\mathbf{R})$ has no compact factors defined over \mathbf{Q} , and X is the symmetric domain associated to G . Borovoi [7] calls (G, X) a *Mumford manifold*. A hermitian pair (G, X) determines a connected Shimura variety $\text{Sh}(G, X)$; this is a proalgebraic variety over \mathbf{C} such that

$$\text{Sh}(G, X)(\mathbf{C}) = \text{projlim } \Gamma \backslash X$$

where the projective limit is taken over all congruence subgroups Γ of G [7, 2.1].

Let $x \in X$. The stabilizer, K_x , of x in $G(\mathbf{R})^0$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G(\mathbf{R})^0$. Let \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{k}_x be the Lie algebras of $G(\mathbf{R})$ and K_x , respectively. We then have a Cartan decomposition

$$(2.1.1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k}_x \oplus \mathfrak{p}_x$$

where \mathfrak{p}_x is the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{k}_x with respect to the Killing form. Let $v: G(\mathbf{R})^0 \rightarrow X$ be the map $g \mapsto g \cdot x$. Then dv induces an isomorphism of \mathfrak{p}_x with $T_x(X)$, the tangent space of X at x . There exists a unique $H_x \in Z(\mathfrak{k}_x)$, called the *H-element* at x , such that $\text{ad } H_x|_{\mathfrak{p}_x}$ is the complex structure on $\mathfrak{p}_x = T_x(X)$ [21, p. 54].

It will also be convenient to say that a reductive group G is of hermitian type if the center of $G(\mathbf{R})$ is compact and the derived group, G^{der} , is of hermitian type. We still have a Cartan decomposition (2.1.1); however the *H-element* is only determined modulo the center of \mathfrak{g} .

2.2 Strongly equivariant maps. Let (G_1, X_1) and (G_2, X_2) be hermitian pairs. A *weakly equivariant* map from (G_1, X_1) to (G_2, X_2) consists of a pair (ρ, τ) , where $\rho: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a homomorphism of algebraic groups defined over \mathbf{Q} , and $\tau: X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a holomorphic embedding satisfying (1.1). (ρ, τ) is called *strongly equivariant* if it satisfies the additional condition

$$(H_1) \quad [H_{\tau(x)} - d\rho(H_x), d\rho(g)] = 0 \text{ for all } g \in \mathfrak{g}_1,$$

where H_x and $H_{\tau(x)}$ are the *H-elements* at $x \in X_1$ and $\tau(x) \in X_2$, respectively. This condition is independent of the choice of the point $x \in X_1$ [21, Lemma II.2.2, p. 48]. Also (H_1) is equivalent to requiring that the image of X_1 be totally geodesic in X_2 [21, p. 49].

2.3 The space of equivariant maps. Let $(\rho, \tau): (G_1, X_1) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$ be strongly equivariant. The homomorphism ρ is uniquely determined by τ [4, p. 173]; we wish to describe the set of all holomorphic embeddings $X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ which are strongly equivariant with ρ . Choose a base point $x \in X_1$. Since $\tau(g \cdot x) = \rho(g) \cdot \tau(x)$, τ is uniquely determined by its value at x . Let

$$(2.3.1) \quad X_\rho := \{z \in X_2 | \tau_z \text{ is well-defined and strongly } \rho\text{-equivariant}\}$$

where

$$\tau_z(g \cdot x) := \rho(g) \cdot z .$$

PROPOSITION 2.3.2. [21, Proposition IV.4.1, p. 180]. *Let $(\rho, \tau): (G_1, X_1) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$ be strongly equivariant. Choose a base point $x \in X_1$; let H_x and $H_{\tau(x)}$ be the H -elements at x and $\tau(x)$ respectively. Let G_ρ be the Zariski-connected component of the centralizer of $\rho(G_1)$ in G_2 . Let X_ρ be the set given by (2.3.1). Then G_ρ is a reductive group of hermitian type with symmetric domain X_ρ . The H -element of G_ρ at $\tau(x)$ is*

$$(2.3.3) \quad H_\rho := H_{\tau(x)} - d\rho(H_x) .$$

The inclusions $G_\rho^{\text{der}} \rightarrow G_2$ and $X_\rho \rightarrow X_2$ form a strongly equivariant pair.

The group $G_\rho^{\text{der}}(\mathbf{R})$ may have compact factors defined over \mathbf{Q} ; hence $(G_\rho^{\text{der}}, X_\rho)$ is not necessarily a hermitian pair as defined in (2.1). Let G_ρ^1 be the product of those simple factors of G_ρ^{der} which are not compact over \mathbf{R} . Then (G_ρ^1, X_ρ) is a hermitian pair. It defines a connected Shimura variety which parametrizes strongly equivariant maps from (G_1, X_1) to (G_2, X_2) . ρ is called *rigid* if there is a unique τ such that (ρ, τ) is strongly equivariant, i.e., if X_ρ reduces to a point, or, if $G_\rho(\mathbf{R})$ is compact.

Since $\rho(G_1)$ is semisimple and G_ρ centralizes $\rho(G_1)$, the product $G := \rho(G_1) \cdot G_\rho$ is an almost direct product. G is a reductive group of hermitian type. Its symmetric domain is $X_0 := X_1 \times X_\rho$. The H -element at the point $(x, \tau(x))$ is $H_{\tau(x)}$. We have a strongly equivariant pair

$$(2.3.4) \quad (\rho', \tau'): (G_0, X_0) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$$

where $G_0 := \rho(G_1) \cdot G_\rho^1$, ρ' is the inclusion, and $\tau'(x, y) = \tau_y(x)$.

LEMMA 2.3.5. $(\rho', \tau'): (G_0, X_0) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$ is rigid.

Proof. The connected component of the centralizer of $\rho'(G_0)$ in G_2 is contained in G_ρ , and centralizes G_ρ^1 ; therefore it is compact over \mathbf{R} . \square

2.4 An example. To illuminate the above discussion, we give an example to show how embeddings of a Shimura curve into a Siegel modular variety are parametrized by another Shimura curve. This is a special case of [1, Example 4.2, p. 342]. Let k be a totally real quadratic number field, with $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ the set of embeddings of k into \mathbf{R} . Let B be a quaternion algebra over k such that $B \otimes_{\alpha} \mathbf{R} \cong M_2(\mathbf{R})$ and $B \otimes_{\beta} \mathbf{R} \cong \mathbf{H}$, where \mathbf{H} denotes the Hamilton quaternion algebra. Let G' be the group of norm one units of B , and G the restriction of G' from k to \mathbf{Q} . Then $G(\mathbf{R}) \cong SL_2(\mathbf{R}) \times SU_2(\mathbf{R})$ is of hermitian type and acts on the upper half plane \mathfrak{h} through the first factor. The quotient of \mathfrak{h} by a torsion-free arithmetic subgroup of G is a smooth and complete algebraic curve, C , called a Shimura curve. G' acts on B by left multiplication. Take the direct sum of two copies of this representation, and restrict scalars from k to \mathbf{Q} ; this gives a representation $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(F)$ which is defined over \mathbf{Q} . Addington's classification [3] shows that the image is actually contained in a symplectic group $Sp(F, A)$, and there exists a strongly equivariant holomorphic map $\tau: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}(F, A)$, where $\mathfrak{G}(F, A)$ denotes the Siegel space associated with $Sp(F, A)$. After a choice of a suitable lattice in F , each such holomorphic embedding gives a family of 8-dimensional abelian varieties over C .

Let σ be the nontrivial automorphism of k , $B^{\sigma} := B \otimes_{\sigma} k$, and let G^{σ} be the restriction from k to \mathbf{Q} of the group of norm one units of B^{σ} . We have $B \otimes_k B^{\sigma} \cong M_2(B_0)$ for a totally definite quaternion algebra B_0 over k . This gives a representation, ρ' , of $G \times G^{\sigma}$ on $F := B_0^2$. Identifying $G \times G^{\sigma}(\mathbf{C})$ with $SL_2(\mathbf{C})^4$, ρ' is equivalent over \mathbf{C} to the sum of two copies of the representation

$$(\alpha, \beta, \alpha', \beta') \mapsto (\alpha \otimes \beta') \oplus (\alpha' \otimes \beta) .$$

It follows from [1, Theorem 4.1, p. 341] that ρ' is rigid, and there exists a unique $\tau': \mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}(F, A)$ which is strongly ρ' -equivariant. The restriction of ρ' to the first factor is equivalent to ρ . For each $z \in \mathfrak{h}$ we get a map $\tau_z: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}(F, A)$ which is strongly equivariant with ρ . Thus the hermitian pair $(G_{\rho}, \mathfrak{h}_{\rho})$ in this example is isomorphic to $(G^{\sigma}, \mathfrak{h})$. For more examples, see [11, §5] and [1, §4].

3. Morphisms of Hodge type.

3.1 Hodge group. Let (G, X) be a hermitian pair. Let H_x be the H -element at a point $x \in X$. H_x defines a 1-parameter subgroup of

$G(\mathbf{R})$ by $h_x(t) := \exp(tH_x)$. The *Hodge group* at x , denoted $\text{Hg}(x)$, is the smallest \mathbf{Q} -subgroup of G which contains the image of h_x . It is a connected, reductive group [7, Remark 1.14, p. 12]. The derived group of $\text{Hg}(x)$ is of hermitian type, because $\text{Ad}_{h_x}(\pi/2)$ defines a complex structure.

3.2 Special points. We say that x is *special*, or a *CM-point*, if its Hodge group is abelian. The motivation for this definition is a theorem of Mumford that an abelian variety is of *CM-type* if and only if its Hodge group is abelian [19, p. 347].

A strongly equivariant pair (ρ, τ) is said to be of *Hodge type* if τ takes special points to special points. Borovoi refers to such maps as morphisms of Mumford manifolds — note that property (iii) of [7, 1.11, p. 11] implies strong equivariance.

The following is a generalization of Mumford's theorem that any Kuga fiber variety which contains an abelian variety of *CM-type* is of Hodge type [19, p. 348].

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let $(\rho, \tau): (G_1, X_1) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$ be strongly equivariant. If $\tau(x)$ is a *CM-point* in X_2 , then x is a *CM-point* in X_1 and furthermore, (ρ, τ) is of Hodge type.*

Proof. Let the notation be as in Proposition 2.3.2. Let M be the smallest \mathbf{Q} -subgroup of G_ρ which contains $\exp(t \cdot H_\rho)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Since

$$\exp(t \cdot d\rho(H_x)) = \exp(tH_{\tau(x)}) \exp(-tH_\rho) \in \text{Hg}(\tau(x))M,$$

we have $\rho(\text{Hg}(x))$ contained in $\text{Hg}(\tau(x))M$. Similarly, M is contained in $\text{Hg}(x)\text{Hg}(\tau(x))$.

Let K be the maximal compact subgroup of $G_2(\mathbf{R})$ at $\tau(x)$. Then $\text{Hg}(\tau(x)) \subset K$. Since M centralizes $\text{Hg}(x)$, it follows that $\text{Hg}(x) \subset K$. Therefore $\text{Hg}(x)(\mathbf{R})$ is compact. Since $\text{Hg}(x)^{\text{der}}(\mathbf{R})$ has no compact factors defined over \mathbf{Q} [7, Remark 1.14, p. 12], this implies that $\text{Hg}(x)$ is abelian, i.e., x is a *CM-point*. Since τ takes the *CM-point* x to a *CM-point*, τ takes every *CM-point* to a *CM-point* [7, 1.11, p. 11]. Therefore (ρ, τ) is of Hodge type. \square

The proof of the following theorem is inspired by the proof of Mumford's theorem that any Hodge family contains a fiber of *CM-type* [19, p. 348]. In the abelian case (i.e., when G_2 is a symplectic

group), a sketch of the proof was given in [2, Proposition 1.5.1, p. 228].

THEOREM 3.4. *Any rigid strongly equivariant map is of Hodge type.*

Proof. Let $(\rho, \tau): (G_1, X_1) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$ be rigid. Then G_ρ , the Zariski-connected component of the centralizer of $\rho(G_1)$ in G_2 , is compact. Let $G := \rho(G_1) \cdot G_\rho$. Choose a base point $x \in X$ and let

$$T := \{ \exp(tH_{\tau(x)}) \mid t \in \mathbf{R} \} .$$

T is a 1-dimensional torus in G defined over \mathbf{R} . The centralizer, K , of T in G is an \mathbf{R} -subgroup of G , and hence contains a maximal torus T_1 defined over \mathbf{R} [6, Proposition 7.10, p. 480]. T_1 contains T because T is contained in the center of K . If T'_1 is any torus in G containing T_1 , then T'_1 will centralize T , so $T'_1 \subset K$ and $T_1 = T'_1$. Thus T_1 is a maximal torus in G . By [5, Proposition 2.5, p. 465] there exists $g \in G(\mathbf{R})^0$ such that $T_2 = gT_1g^{-1}$ is defined over \mathbf{Q} . Then $T_2 \supset \text{Hg}(g \cdot \tau(x))$, so $\text{Hg}(g \cdot \tau(x))$ is abelian, and $g \cdot \tau(x)$ is a CM -point. We have shown that $\tau(X)$ has a CM -point; by Proposition 3.3, (ρ, τ) is of Hodge type. \square

REMARKS 3.5. Suppose that $G_1(\mathbf{R})$ has no compact factors, and G_2 is a symplectic group. Then [21, Proposition IV.4.3, p. 183] shows that (ρ, τ) is rigid. Theorem 3.4 then implies that (ρ, τ) is of Hodge type. This is Proposition 3 of [18].

Suppose (ρ, τ) is not rigid. Then the set of strongly ρ -equivariant maps is parametrized by the bounded symmetric domain X_ρ . Lemma 2.3.5 shows that there exists $z \in X_\rho$ such that (ρ, τ_z) is of Hodge type. However, since there are only countably many special points, (ρ, τ_z) is not of Hodge type for a “general” $z \in X_\rho$.

4. Conjugates of strongly equivariant maps. Let (G, X) be a hermitian pair, and Γ an arithmetic subgroup of G . The locally symmetric space $V := \Gamma \backslash X$ is a quasiprojective variety [5], called an *arithmetic variety*. Let σ be an automorphism of \mathbf{C} . Kazhdan [8, 9] proved that any conjugate of an arithmetic variety is again an arithmetic variety. Therefore, there exists a hermitian pair (G^σ, X^σ) and

an arithmetic subgroup Γ^σ of G^σ such that $V^\sigma \cong \Gamma^\sigma \backslash X^\sigma$. (Kazhdan's proof shows that X and X^σ are biholomorphically equivalent; however, we will not identify them, to avoid confusion.) Note that the group G^σ is not uniquely determined; however, it is unique up to finite coverings [2, Proposition 1.3.1, p. 227].

Let $(\rho, \tau): (G_1, X_1) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$ be a strongly equivariant map. Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be arithmetic subgroups of G_1 and G_2 respectively, such that $\rho(\Gamma_1) \subset \Gamma_2$. Let $V_i := \Gamma_i \backslash X_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). A theorem of Satake [20, p. 231] implies that the map $f: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ induced by τ is a morphism of algebraic varieties. Let σ be an automorphism of \mathbf{C} . Then $f^\sigma: V_1^\sigma \rightarrow V_2^\sigma$ is again a map of algebraic varieties. Lifting $f^\sigma: V_1^\sigma \rightarrow V_2^\sigma$ to the universal covering spaces gives a holomorphic map $X_1^\sigma \rightarrow X_2^\sigma$ which we define to be the conjugate of τ by σ , and denote by τ^σ . Clearly, τ^σ does not depend on the choice of Γ_1 and Γ_2 . It is known [14] that τ^σ is weakly equivariant.

THEOREM 4.1. *If $(\rho, \tau): (G_1, X_1) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$ is strongly equivariant, and σ is an automorphism of \mathbf{C} , then there exists a homomorphism $\rho^\sigma: G_1^\sigma \rightarrow G_2^\sigma$ such that $(\rho^\sigma, \tau^\sigma): (G_1^\sigma, X_1^\sigma) \rightarrow (G_2^\sigma, X_2^\sigma)$ is strongly equivariant.*

Proof. We can factor (ρ, τ) as a composition of two strongly equivariant maps

$$(G_1, X_1) \rightarrow (G_0, X_0) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2) .$$

The hermitian pair (G_0, X_0) and the map

$$(\rho', \tau'): (G_0, X_0) \rightarrow (G_2, X_2)$$

are as in (2.3.4). Recall that $G_0 = \rho(G_1) \cdot G_\rho^1$ and $X_0 = X_1 \times X_\rho$. The map

$$(\rho_0, \tau_0): (G_1, X_1) \rightarrow (G_0, X_0)$$

is given by $g \mapsto \rho(g)$ and $z \mapsto (z, \tau(x))$, where x is our fixed base point in X_1 .

(ρ', τ') is rigid (Lemma 2.3.5), and therefore of Hodge type (Theorem 3.4). Langlands' conjectures on conjugation of Shimura varieties, which are proved in [7] and [17], show that any automorphism of \mathbf{C} takes a CM -point to a CM -point. Hence $(\rho'^\sigma, \tau'^\sigma)$ is also of

Hodge type; in particular, it is strongly equivariant (see [7], 1.11(iii), p. 11]).

We have $X_0^\sigma = X_1^\sigma \times X_\rho^\sigma$ and hence $G_0^\sigma = G_1^\sigma \times G_\rho^\sigma$. Since the projection of τ_0 to the second factor is the constant $\tau(x)$, there exists $\tau(x)^\sigma \in X_\rho^\sigma$ such that the projection of τ_0^σ to the second factor is the constant $\tau(x)^\sigma$. We then have a strongly equivariant pair

$$(\rho_0^\sigma, \tau_0^\sigma): (G_1^\sigma, X_1^\sigma) \rightarrow (G_0^\sigma, X_0^\sigma)$$

given by $g \mapsto (g, 1)$ and $z \mapsto (z, \tau(x)^\sigma)$. Observe that $\tau^\sigma = \tau_0^\sigma \circ \tau'^\sigma$. Let $\rho^\sigma := \rho_0^\sigma \circ \rho'^\sigma$. Then $(\rho^\sigma, \tau^\sigma)$ is strongly equivariant. \square

Bibliography

- [1] S. Abdulali, *Zeta functions of Kuga fiber varieties*, Duke Math. J., **57** (1988), 333-345.
- [2] ———, *Conjugation of Kuga fiber varieties*, Math. Ann., **294** (1992), 225-234.
- [3] S. Addington, *Equivariant holomorphic maps of symmetric domains*, Duke Math. J., **55** (1987), 65-88.
- [4] A. Ash, D. Mumford, M. Rapaport, and Y. Tai, *Smooth Compactification of Locally Symmetric Varieties*, Lie Groups: History, Frontiers and Applications IV, Math. Sci. Press, Brookline, 1975.
- [5] W.L. Baily, Jr. and A. Borel, *Compactification of arithmetic quotients of bounded symmetric domains*, Ann. of Math. (2) **84** (1966), 442-528.
- [6] A. Borel and T.A. Springer, *Rationality properties of linear algebraic groups II*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **20** (1968), 443-497.
- [7] M.V. Borovoi, *Langlands' conjecture concerning conjugation of connected Shimura varieties*, Selecta Math. Soviet., **3** (1984), 3-39.
- [8] D. Kazhdan, *On arithmetic varieties*, in *Lie Groups and their Representations*, Summer School of the Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971 (I.M. Gelfand, ed.), Halsted, New York, 1975, pp. 151-217.
- [9] ———, *On arithmetic varieties II*, Israel J. Math. **44** (1983), 139-159.
- [10] M. Kuga, *Fiber Varieties over a Symmetric Space whose Fibers are Abelian Varieties I, II*, Lect. Notes, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, 1964.
- [11] ———, *Chemistry and GTFABV's*, in *Automorphic Forms of Several Variables, Taniguchi Symposium, Katata, 1983* (I. Satake and Y. Morita, eds.), Progr. Math. **46**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1984, pp. 269-281.
- [12] M. Kuga and S. Ihara, *Family of families of abelian varieties*, in *Algebraic Number Theory (Papers contributed for the International Symposium, Kyoto, 1976)*, (S. Iyanaga, ed.), Japan Society for the Promotion of Science, Tokyo, 1977, pp. 129-142.

- [13] M.H. Lee, *Conjugation of Group Theoretical Abelian Schemes over an Arithmetic Variety*, Thesis, State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York, 1983.
- [14] ———, *Conjugates of equivariant holomorphic maps of symmetric domains*, Pacific J. Math. **149** (1991), 127-144.
- [15] ———, *Rational involutions of classical algebraic groups*, Houston J. Math. **17** (1991), 83-87.
- [16] ———, *Conjugates of (H_2) -equivariant maps of symmetric domains*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **30** (1993), 63-77.
- [17] J.S. Milne, *The action of an automorphism of C on a Shimura variety and its special points*, in *Arithmetic and Geometry, Papers dedicated to I.R. Shafarevich on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday, Volume I, Arithmetic* (M. Artin and J. Tate, eds.), Progr. Math. **35**, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1983, pp. 239-265.
- [18] D. Mumford, *Families of abelian varieties*, in *Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups* (A. Borel and G.D. Mostow, eds.), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., **9**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1966, pp. 347-351.
- [19] ———, *A note of Shimura's paper "Discontinuous groups and abelian varieties,"* Math. Ann., **181** (1969), 345-351.
- [20] I. Satake, *A note on holomorphic imbeddings and compactification of symmetric domains*, Amer. J. Math., **90** (1968), 231-247.
- [21] ———, *Algebraic Structures of Symmetric Domains*, Publ. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (Kanô Mem. Lect., **4**), Iwanami Shoten, Japan, and Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1980.

Received May 4, 1992 and accepted for publication February 7, 1994.

CLARK UNIVERSITY
WORCESTER, MA 01610

CONTENTS

S. Abdulali , Conjugates of strongly equivariant maps	207
C. Adams , Dehn filling hyperbolic 3-manifolds	217
S. Berman and B. Cox , Enveloping algebras and representations of toroidal Lie algebras	239
B. Cox , Verma modules induced from nonstandard Borel subalgebras .	269
C. H. FitzGerald and C. R. Thomas , Some bounds on convex mappings in several complex variables	295
P. H. Loi , On the derived towers of certain inclusions of type III_λ factors of index 4	321
F. Morgan , Soap bubbles in \mathbb{R}^2 and in surfaces	347
M. Shiffman , Partially measurable sets in measur spaces	363

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 165 No. 2 October 1994

Conjugates of strongly equivariant maps	207
SALMAN ABDULALI	
Dehn filling hyperbolic 3-manifolds	217
COLIN C. ADAMS	
Enveloping algebras and representations of toroidal Lie algebras	239
STEPHEN BERMAN and BEN COX	
Verma modules induced from nonstandard Borel subalgebras	269
BEN COX	
Some bounds on convex mappings in several complex variables	295
CARL HANSON FITZGERALD and CAROLYN R. THOMAS	
On the derived towers of certain inclusions of type III_λ factors of index 4	321
PHAN HUNG LOI	
Soap bubbles in \mathbb{R}^2 and in surfaces	347
FRANK MORGAN	
Partially measurable sets in measure spaces	363
MAX SHIFFMAN	



0030-8730(1994)165:2;1-G