# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON THE COMPACTNESS OF A CLASS OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

ZHIYONG GAO AND GUOJUN LIAO

Volume 166 No. 1 November 1994

# ON THE COMPACTNESS OF A CLASS OF RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

### ZHIYONG GAO AND GUOJUN LIAO

A class of Riemannian manifolds is studied in this paper. The main conditions are 1) the injectivity is bounded away from 0; 2) a norm of the Riemannian curvature is bounded; 3) volume is bounded above; 4) the Ricci curvature is bounded above by a constant divided by square of the distance from a point. Note the last condition is scaling invariant. It is shown that there exists a sequence of such manifolds whose metric converges to a continuous metric on a manifold.

**Introduction.** Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(H, K, V, n, i_0)$  be the set of *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifolds (M, g), s.t.,

- (0.1) M is diffeomorphic to  $(B_2, g_0)$ , the standard Euclidean ball of radius 2, center = 0;
- (0.2) (M,g) has  $C^{\infty}$  curvature tensor in M;
- (0.3) for any  $x \in M$ , the Ricci curvature at  $x |Ric(g)(x)| \leq Hr^{-2}$ , where r = dist(x, 0);
- (0.4) the injectivity of  $(M, g) \ge i_0 > 0$ ;
- $(0.5) \quad \int_{M} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg < K;$
- (0.6) volume of  $(M, g) \leq V$ .

In the case when the condition (0.3) is replaced by  $|Ric(g)| \leq H$ , and (0.6) is replaced by a diameter bound, a compactness property is proved by the first author in a more general setting. The purpose of this paper is to extend some of his results to the present situation where the bound om Ricci curvature of (M,g) blows up like  $r^{-2}$  at a point. As an application, we will discuss the compactness of orbifolds with a finite number of singularities.

The main result is:

THEOREM 0.7. Let  $(M_k, g_k) \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  Then there exists a subsequence (again denoted by  $(M_k, g_k)$ ), a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold M' diffeomorphic to  $B_2(0)$ , and a  $C^0$  metric g' on M' s.t.  $g_k \to g'$  in  $C^0$ -norm on M' and the convergence is in  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -norm away from 0.

In Section 1 we study the geodesic balls centered at 0. A compactness estimate of the metric g will be derived. In Section 2, a small geodesic sphere is shown to have a small diameter. In Section 3, some  $L^{n/2}$ -curvature pinching results are derived, which will be used in Section 4 to show the existence of harmonic coordinates. We will prove in Section 4 the above main result and a slightly different version.

In the definition of  $\mathcal{L}$ , if (0.3) is replaced by a 1-sided condition

$$(0.3)' Ric(g) \ge -Hr^{-2}g,$$

then the above compactness result should be modified as follows. Denote the set of such Riemannian manifolds by  $\mathcal{L}'$ .

THEOREM 0.8. Let  $(M_k, g_k) \in \mathcal{L}'$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$  Then there exists a subsequence of  $(M_k, g_k)$ , which converges in  $C^{\circ}$ -norm to a  $C^{\infty}$  manifold M' with a  $C^{\circ}$  metric g'.

1. In this section, we assume that for some H > 0,  $i_0 > 0$ , (M,g) is a Riemannian manifold diffeomorphic to  $B_2$  satisfying

$$(1.1) Ric(g) \ge -Hr^{-2}g;$$

$$(1.2) inj(g) \ge i_0 > 0.$$

Let  $B_{\rho}(0) = \{x \in M | d(0,x) \leq \}$  be the geodesic ball of M centered at 0. Consider a geodesic polar coordinate system  $\{r, x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}\}$  on  $B_{\rho}(0)$ , we have

(1.3) 
$$ds(g)^{2} = dr^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_{ij}(r, x) dx^{i} dx^{j};$$

(1.4) 
$$R_{irrj} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} g_{ij}(r, x) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j} g^{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{jl}.$$

For the Ricci curvature in the radial direction, we have

(1.5) 
$$R_{rr} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \ln \sqrt{g(r)} - \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g(r) \right|_{g(r)}^2,$$

where g(r) = g(r, x),

(1.6) 
$$\sqrt{g} \ dV_0 = \sqrt{\det(g_i(ij))} \ dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge \ dx^{n-1},$$

 $(dV_0 = \text{the volume element of the standard Euclidean sphere})$  and

$$\left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial r} \right|_{q}^{2} = \sum_{j} g^{ij} g^{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{kl}.$$

We start out with the following estimate:

PROPOSITION 1.7. For  $\rho \leq \frac{i_0}{2}$ , there exists  $C_1 = C_1(H, n) > 0$  s.t.  $\int_0^{\rho} r^2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^2 dr \leq C_1 \rho$ .

*Proof.* The function is essentially the same as that given in [12], p.5-6. For any piecewise  $C^{\infty}$  function  $\phi$  of r with  $\phi(\rho) = 0$ , we have

$$(1.8) \qquad \left(\frac{1}{4} - \epsilon\right) \int_0^{\phi} r^2 \phi^2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^2 dr$$

$$\leq \frac{n-1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^{\rho} (r^2 {\phi'}^2 + \phi^2) dr - \int_0^{\rho} r^2 \phi^2 R_n dr.$$

Take  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{8}$ ,  $\phi = \rho - r$ , and use  $-R_{rr} \leq Hr^{-2}$ , we get

$$\int_{0}^{\phi} r^{2} (\phi - r)^{2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^{2} dr$$

$$\leq 32(n - 1) \int_{0}^{\phi} (r^{2} + (\phi - r)^{2}) dr + H \int_{0}^{\phi} (r^{2} (\phi - r)^{2}) r^{-2} dr$$

$$\leq C(H, n) \rho^{3}.$$

Thus,

$$\int_0^{\frac{\phi}{2}} r^2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^2 dr \le \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\rho}{2}\right)^2} \int_0^{\phi} r^2 (\phi - r)^2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^2 dr \le \frac{1}{2} C_1(H, n) \rho.$$

PROPOSITION 1.9. There exists  $C_2 = C_2(H, i_0, n) > 0$  s.t. for any  $r \in (0, \frac{i_0}{2})$ , we have

$$r \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln \sqrt{g} \right| \le C_2.$$

*Proof.* From (1.5) and integration by parts,

$$\int_0^{\phi} r^2 R_n \, dr = -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln g + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\phi} 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln g - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\phi} r^2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^2 \, dr.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{2}r^2\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\ln\sqrt{g} \le H\int_0^\phi r^{-2}r^2\,dr + \frac{1}{4}C_1r + \left(\int_0^\phi r^2\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\ln g\right|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}r^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{3}Hr + \frac{1}{4}C_1r + (n-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^{\phi} r^2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^2 dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq C_2(H, i_0, n)r.$$

Next we study the induced metric  $g(r) = \sum g_{ij}(r,x) dx^i dx^j$  on the geodesic sphere

$$S_r(0) = \{x \in M : d(x,0) = r\}, \quad r \le \frac{i_0}{2}.$$

PROPOSITION 1.10. There exists  $C_3 = C_3(H, n) > 0$  s.t. for  $0 < r_1 < r_2 \le \frac{i_0}{2}$ , we have

$$e^{C_3r_2r_1^{-1}}g(r_1) \le g(r_2) \le e^{C_3r_2r_1^{-1}}g(r_1).$$

*Proof.* From Proposition 1.7, we have, for any vector  $\nu = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^n) \in TS_1$ ,

$$\left| \ln \frac{h(r_2)}{h(r_1)} \right| \leq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln h(r) \right| dr \leq \left( \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right| r dr \right) r_1^{-1}$$
$$\leq \sqrt{r_2} (C_1 r_2)^{\frac{1}{2}} r_1^{-1} = \sqrt{C_1} \frac{r_2}{r_1},$$

where  $h(r) = g_{ij}(r) d\nu^i d\nu^j$ . Hence  $e^{C_3 r_2 r_1^{-1}} \le \frac{h(r_2)}{h(r_1)} \le e^{C_3 r_2 r_1^{-1}}$ , where  $c_3 = \sqrt{c_1}$ .

Before we go any further, let us make some remarks regarding conditions (0.3) and (0.5). Let  $\tau > 0$  be small. Define a new metric  $g^{\tau}$  on M by  $g^{\tau}(x) = \tau^{-2}g(\tau x)$ .

REMARK.

(1.11) If 
$$g$$
 satisfyes  $(0.3)'$ , so does  $g^{\tau}$ .

(1.12) 
$$\int_{B_1} |R(g^{\tau})|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg^{\tau} = \int_{B_2} |R(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg.$$

Therefore, by a scaling of this type if necessary, we can assume that g satisfies (0.3) and (0.5) with  $K \ll 1$ .

Once we have Proposition 1.10 we can control the  $L^{n/2}$  norm of the Riemannian curvature tensor Rm(r) of g(r), the induced metric on S(0,r).

THEOREM 1.13. If  $(M,g) \in \mathcal{L}'$  then for any  $\rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4}$ , there exist  $r_{\rho} \in (\frac{\rho}{2}, \rho), \quad C_4 = C_4(H, K, i_0, n) > 0$ , s.t.

(1.15) 
$$\int_{S(0,r_{\rho})} |Rm(r_{\rho})|_{g(r_{\rho})}^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r_{\rho}) \leq C_4 r_{\rho}^{-1}.$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.17 in [12],  $\exists C_5 = C_5(H, i_0, n) \ s.t.$  for  $\rho < \frac{i_0}{4}$ ,

$$\int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n dr \le C_5 \left( \frac{1}{\rho^n} + \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dr \right).$$

From Proposition 1.10, there exists  $C = C(H, i_0, n)$  s.t.

$$C^{-1}\sqrt{g}(\rho) \le \sqrt{g}(r) \le C_3\sqrt{g}(\rho)$$

for  $r \in (\frac{\rho}{2}, \rho)$ , i.e.,  $\sqrt{g}(r)$  is equivalent to  $\sqrt{g}(\rho)$ . Thus for some constant  $C_6 = C_6(H, i_0, n) > 0$ , we have

$$\int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^{n} \sqrt{g}(r) dr \le C_{6} \left( \rho^{-n} \sqrt{g}(\rho) + \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{g}(r) dr \right).$$

Integrating over  $S_{\rho}(0)$ , we get

$$\int_{B_{\rho}\setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^{n} dg \leq C_{6} \rho^{-n} \int_{S_{\rho}} dg(\rho) + C_{6} \int_{B_{\rho}} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg.$$

Taking  $\rho = \frac{i_0}{4}$ , we get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{\mathbf{i}_{0}}{4}}\setminus B_{\frac{\mathbf{i}_{0}}{8}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^{n} dg \leq C_{6} \left( \frac{i_{0}}{4} \right)^{-n} vol\left( S_{\frac{\mathbf{i}_{0}}{4}} \right) + C_{6} \int_{B_{\frac{\mathbf{i}_{0}}{4}}} \left| Rm(g) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg.$$

By Bishop's volume estimate [1],  $\exists C_7 = C_7(H, i_0, n)$  s.t.  $vol\left(S_{\frac{i_0}{4}}\right) \leq C_7$ . Thus we get a constant  $C_8 = C_8(H, i_0, n) > 0$  s.t.

$$(1.16) \qquad \int_{B_{\frac{\mathbf{i}_0}{4}} \setminus B_{\frac{\mathbf{i}_0}{8}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n dg \le C_8 + C_8 \int_{B_{\frac{\mathbf{i}_0}{4}}} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg.$$

Define  $g^{\tau} = r^{-2}g$  with  $r = \frac{4\rho}{i_0}$ . Noticing that  $Ric(g^{\tau}) \geq -Hr^{-2}$ ,  $inj(g^{\tau}) \geq i_0$ , we can apply (1.16) to  $g^{\tau}$ . By the scaling invariance of (1.16), we get

$$\int_{B_{\rho}\backslash B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^{n} dg = \int_{B_{\frac{10}{4}}\backslash B_{\frac{10}{8}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g^{\tau} \right|^{n} dg^{\tau}$$

$$\leq C_{8} + C_{8} \int_{B_{\frac{10}{4}}} |Rm(g^{\tau})|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg^{\tau}$$

$$= C_{8} + C_{8} \int_{B_{\rho}} |Rm(g^{\tau})|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg$$

$$\leq C_{8} + C_{8} K = C_{9}.$$

Hence

(1.17) 
$$\int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} \left( \int_{S_r} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n dg(r) \right) dr \le C_9.$$

(1.17) and the Gauss formula on S,

$$Rm(g)_{ijkl} = Rm(g(r))_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{jl} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{il} \right)$$

imply that there exists a constant  $C = C(H, K, i_0, n) > 0$  s.t.

$$\begin{split} \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} \left( \int_{S_r} |Rm(g(r))|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r) \right) dr \\ &\leq C + C \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} \left( \int_{S_r} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r) \right) dr \\ &\leq C + CK. \end{split}$$

This implies the existence of  $r_{\rho} \in \left[\frac{\rho}{2}, \rho\right]$  and  $C_4 = C_4(H, K, i_0, n) > 0$  s.t.

$$\int_{S_{r_{\rho}}} |Rm(r_{\rho})|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r_{\rho}) \le C_4 r_{\rho}^{-1}.$$

We now state and prove the compactness estimate of the induced metric on small geodesic spheres.

Let  $(M,g) \in \mathcal{L}'$ ,  $\rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4}$ , let  $r_{\rho} \in \left[\frac{\rho}{2}, \rho\right]$  as in Theorem 1.13. We have the following

THEOREM 1.18. There exists  $C_{10} = c_{10}(H, K, i_0, n) > 0$  and a  $C^{\infty}$  Riemannian metric  $h(r_{\rho})$  on the geodesic sphere  $S_{r_{\rho}}$  s.t.

(1.19) 
$$C_{10}^{-1}g(r_{\rho}) \leq r_{\rho}^{2}h(r_{\rho}) \leq C_{10}g(r_{\rho});$$

$$(1.20) |Rm(h(r_{\rho}))| \le C_{10}.$$

*Proof.* Proposition 1.10 and Theorem 1.13 are sufficient for carrying through the argument in [12].

2. In this section, we show that the diameter of a small geodesic sphere is small. More precisely,

Theorem 2.1. There exists  $C_{11} = C_{11}(H, K, i_0, V, n)$  s.t. for any  $(M, g) \in \mathcal{L}'$ , any  $r \in \left(0, \frac{i_0}{2}\right)$ ,  $diam(g(r)) \leq C_{11}r$ .

*Proof.* First observe that there exists a constant  $C = C(H, K, i_0, V, n) > 0$  s.t.

(2.2) 
$$diam\left(S_{\frac{i_0}{4}}\right) \leq C.$$

To prove (2.2), we normalize by scaling so that  $i_0 = 4$ . Let  $\gamma$  be a minimal geodesic on the geodesic sphere  $S_1(0)$ . We show that there exists  $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(H, i_0, V)$  s.t.

length 
$$\gamma \leq \tilde{C}$$
.

Let  $\alpha$  be any curve in the annulus  $B_{\frac{3}{2}}(0)\backslash B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$  s.t. for  $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots \leq 1$ ,  $\alpha|[t_i,t_{i+1}]$  is a minimal geodesic in the annulus. The geodesic balls centered at  $\gamma(t_i)$  with radius  $\delta$  can be made mutually disjoint by choosing  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small. Let N be the number of these balls. By Gromov's relative volume estimate [6], the volume of each small bal is bounded from below by a constant  $C' = C'(H, i_0, V, n)$ . But the total volume of the mannifold M is bounded from above by V (cf. (0.6)). Hence  $N \leq V/C'$ . Since the induced metric  $g(r_1)$  and  $g(r_2)$  are equivalent (by Proposition 1.10), we can project  $\alpha|[t_i, t_{i+1}]$  into  $S_1(0)$ , to get (2.2).

Next, apply (2.2) to the metric  $g^{\tau}$  defined by  $g^{\tau}(x) = \tau^{-2}g(\tau x)$ . By scaling properties, we get

$$diam(g(r)) \le C \frac{4r}{i_0}.$$

**3.** Let (M,g) be in  $\mathcal{L}'$ . As before we use the geodesic polar coordinates at 0, i.e.,

$$g = dr^{2} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} g_{ij}(x,r) dx^{i} dx^{j} = dr^{2} + g(r),$$

where g(r) = g(x, r) is the induced metric on the geodesic sphere  $S_r(0)$ .

We will begin with the following estimate:

Proposition 3.1. For  $\rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4}$ ,  $\eta \in (0, \rho)$ , we have

$$\int_{T\left(\frac{\eta}{4},\frac{\eta}{2}\right)} \left( \max_{\eta \le \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(x,r) + \frac{1}{r} g(x,r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r) \right) dg(x) 
\le C(H,n,\eta,\rho) \int_{B(\rho+\eta)} \left| R_m(g) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg,$$

where B(x,r) is the second fundamental form of S(x,r),

$$T\left(\frac{\eta}{4},\frac{\eta}{2}\right) = \left\{x \in M | dist(x,0) \in \left(\frac{\eta}{4},\frac{\eta}{2}\right)\right\}.$$

Proof. Let  $x \in T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$ ,  $y \in M$  s.t.  $d(x,y) = \rho \leq \frac{i_0}{2}$ . Let  $\gamma$  be the minimal geodesic from x to y with  $\gamma(0) = x$ ,  $\gamma(\rho) = y$ ,  $d(x,y) = \rho$ . Observe that, as a consequence of Proposition 1.10, there exists a constant  $C_{12} = C_{12}(H,i_0,n) > 0$  s.t. for any Jacobi field X on  $\gamma$  with  $X(\gamma(0)) = 0$ ,  $\langle X(\gamma(l)), \gamma'(l) \rangle = 0$ , we have

$$|X(\gamma(t))| \le C_{12}|X(\gamma(l))|$$

 $\forall t \in [0, l]$ , where l = the length of  $\gamma$ .

Let E be the parallel vector field along  $\gamma$  with

$$E(\gamma(l)) = X(\gamma(l)),$$

then the vector field A, defined by  $A = X - \frac{t}{l}E$ , is again a Jacobi field. Assume  $|X(\gamma(l))| = 1$ . We have

$$\int_0^l |A'|^2 = \int_0^l \langle A^*, A \rangle dt \le \int_0^l |Rm| |X| |A| dt$$

$$\le C_{12}(C_{12} + 1) \int_{\gamma} |Rm| = C_{13} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|,$$

where  $C_{13} = C_{13}(H, i_0, n)$ .

Next, by a cut-off function argument, one can show that (c.f. [12], p.31)

(3.2) 
$$|A'|^2(\gamma(l)) \le C_{14} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|^2.$$

We claim that there exists  $C_{15} = C_{15}(H, K, i_0, n)$  s.t.

$$\left|B(x,r) + \frac{1}{l}g(\gamma(l))\right|^2 (\gamma(l)) \le C_{15} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|^2.$$

To see this, let X, Y be vector fields on S(x, l) s.t.

$$|X(\gamma(l))| = |Y(\gamma(l))| = 1,$$

and let  $E, \overline{E}$  be parallel vector fields on  $\gamma$  with

$$E(\gamma(l)) = X(\gamma(l)),$$

$$\overline{E}(\gamma(l)) = Y(\gamma(l)).$$

Extended X, Y to the geodesic ball B(x, l) s.t. they are Jacobi fields on each radial geodesic. Then, clearly  $B(X, Y) = -\langle \nabla_{\gamma'}, X, Y \rangle = -\langle X', Y \rangle$ . We have, from (3.2), that

$$|B(X,Y) + \frac{1}{l} < X, Y > |^{2}(\gamma(l))$$

$$= | < X', Y > -\frac{1}{l} < E, Y > |^{2}(\gamma(l))$$

$$= | < X' - \frac{1}{l}E, Y > |^{2}(\gamma(l))$$

$$\leq C_{14}|Y(\gamma(l))|^{2} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|^{2} = C_{14} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|^{2}.$$

To finish the proof, we define f(x,y), for x,y with  $d(x,y)=\rho+\frac{\eta}{2}\leq \frac{i_0}{2}$ , by

$$f(x,y) = \max_{\eta < r < \rho} \left| B(x,r) + \frac{1}{r} g(x,r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} (\gamma(r)),$$

where  $\gamma$  is the minimal geodesic from x to y, r = distance from x.

Let

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{x \in T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)} S\left(x, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \subset M,$$

and

$$\Sigma = \bigcup_{x \in T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)} \left( x, S\left(x, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \right) \subset M \times M.$$

Then

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Sigma} \int f(x,y) &= \int_{x \in T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)} \left( \int_{S\left(x, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)} f(x,y) \ dg_x(y) \right) dg(x) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\Omega_y} f(x,y) \ dg_y(x) \right) dg(y), \end{split}$$

where  $g_x$  is the induced metric of  $S\left(x, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$ , and  $\Omega_y = T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \cap S\left(y, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \subset S\left(y, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$ . We have

$$\int_{\Sigma} \int f(x,y) \le \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\Omega_y} f(x,y) \ dg_y(x) \right) \ dg(y).$$

Define  $\overline{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t)$  for  $t \in [0, \rho]$ . From (3.3) we get

$$\int_{\Omega_{y}} f(x,y) dg_{y}(x) 
\leq C(H,\eta,\rho) \int_{\Omega_{y}} \left( \int_{\overline{\gamma}} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \right) dg_{y} 
\leq C(H,\eta,\rho) \int_{\delta}^{\rho+\delta} \left( \int_{\Omega_{y}} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \left( \gamma \left( \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} - t \right) \right) dg_{y} \right) dt.$$

By Proposition 1.10,

$$dg_y\left(\gamma\left(\rho+\frac{\eta}{2}-t\right)\right) \ge C\left(H,n,\frac{\rho}{\eta}\right)dg_y(x).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\Omega_y} f(x,y) \ dg_y(x) \le C\left(H, n, \eta, \frac{\rho}{\eta}\right) \int_{B(\rho+\eta)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg.$$

Finally we have

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Omega_y} f(x,y) &\leq C\left(H,n,\eta,\frac{\rho}{\eta}\right) vol\left(T\left(\frac{\eta}{4},\rho+\eta\right)\right) \int_{B(\rho+\eta)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \\ &\leq C\left(H,n,\eta,\frac{1}{\eta},\rho,V,i_0\right) \int_{B(\rho+\eta)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg. \end{split}$$

Let  $\dot{R}m(r)$  be the scalar curvature free curvature tensor of g(r). We have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.4. For any  $x \in T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$ , where  $\eta \in (0, \rho)$  with  $\rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4}$ , we have

$$\int_{\eta}^{\rho} \left( \int_{S(x,r)} |\dot{R}m(r)|^{\frac{n}{4}} dg_{x}(r) \right) dr 
\leq C(H, n, \eta, \rho, i_{0}) \left( \left( \int_{B_{x}(\rho)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 
+ \left( \max_{\eta \leq \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| A(r) + \frac{1}{r} g_{x}(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_{x}(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 
+ \max_{\eta \leq \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| A(r) + \frac{1}{r} g_{x}(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_{x}(r) \right).$$

*Proof.*  $\dot{R}m(r)$  can be expressed as

$$(\dot{R}m(r))_{ijkl} = (Rm(r))_{ijkl} - \frac{R(r)}{(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{ik}(r)g_{jl}(r) - g_{il}(r)g_{jk}(r)),$$

where R(r) is the scalar curvature of g(r). We have

$$\int_{S(x,r)} \left| B_{ik}(r) B_{jl}(r) - \frac{1}{r^2} g_{ik}(r) g_{jl}(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{4}} dg(r)$$

$$= \int_{S(x,r)} B_{ik}(r) \left( B_{jl}(r) + \frac{1}{r} g_{jl}(r) \right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{r} g_{jl}(r) \left( B_{ik}(r) + \frac{1}{r} g_{ik}(r) \right)^{\frac{n}{4}} dg(r)$$

$$\leq C \int_{S(x,r)} |B|^{\frac{n}{4}} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{4}} dg(r)$$

$$+ C \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{4}} dg(r)$$

$$\leq C \left( \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$+ C \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r).$$

This implies that

$$\int_{S(x,r)} \left| (B_{ik} B_{jl} - B_{il} B_{jk}) - \frac{1}{r^2} (g_{ik} g_{jl} - g_{il} g_{jk}) \right|^{\frac{n}{4}} dg(r) 
\leq C(H, K, i_0, n) \left( \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 
+ C(H, K, i_0, n) \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r).$$

By Gauss formula,

$$(Rm(g))_{ijkl} = (Rm(g(r)))_{ijkl} + B_{ik}(r)B_{jl}(r) - B_{il}(r)B_{jk}(r).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\eta}^{\rho} \left( \int_{S(x,r)} \left| R_{ijkl}(g(r)) - \frac{1}{r^{2}} (g_{ik}(r)g_{jl}(r) - g_{il}(r)g_{jk}(r)) \right|^{fracn4} dg(r) \right) dr 
- g_{il}(r)g_{jk}(r)) |^{fracn4} dg(r) dr 
\leq C(H, n, \eta, \rho) \left( \int_{B(x,\rho)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 
+ C(H, n, \eta, \rho) \left( \max_{\eta \leq r \leq \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} 
+ C(H, n, \eta, \rho) \left( \max_{\eta \leq r \leq \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r) \right).$$

Observe that

$$\int_{T_x(\eta,\rho)} \left| R(r) - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{r^2} \right|^{\frac{n}{4}} dg$$

$$\leq C(H, K, i_0, n, \eta, \rho) \left( \int_{B(x,\rho)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Hence (3.4) follows immediately.

PROPOSITION 3.5. For  $0 < \eta < \rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4}$ , let  $(M_k, g_k) \in \mathcal{L}', \quad x_k \in M_k \quad with \quad dist(x_k, 0) \in \left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)$ . Assume

$$\eta_k = \max_{\eta \le r \le \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(x_k, r) + \frac{1}{r} g_k(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_k(r) \to 0$$

and

$$\mu_k = \int_{B(x_k,\rho)} |Rm(g_k)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_k \to 0 \quad as \quad k \to \infty.$$

Then there exists a diffeomorphism  $\phi_k : S(1) \to S(x_k, \rho)$  for each  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , s.t.

$$\int_{S(1)} |\phi_k^* g_k(r) - r^2 d\theta^2|^{\frac{n}{2}} d\theta \to 0$$

uniformly for  $\eta \leq r \leq \rho$ , where S(1) is the Euclidean unit sphere, and

$$|\phi_k^* g_k(\rho) - \rho^2 d\theta^2|_{C^0} \to 0 \quad as \quad k \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* Proposition 1.10 and Theorem 1.13 enable us to carry out the arguments in [12] (cf. 5.18, 5.21, and 5.25).  $\Box$ 

4. In this section we prove the existence of a controllable harmonic coordinate system under the smallness condition of the  $L^{n/2}$ -norm of curvature tensor.

PROPOSITION 4.1. For any  $\eta \in (0,1)$ , there exists  $\epsilon = \epsilon(H,n,i_0,\eta) > 0$  s.t. if  $(M,g) \in \mathcal{L}$  satisfies  $\int_M |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \leq 1$ 

 $\epsilon$ , then there exists a diffeomorphism

$$F = (h^1, h^2, \cdots, h^n): T\left(1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2}\right) \to T\left(1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$$

having the following properties:

- (a)  $\Delta = 0$ ;
- (b)  $F^{-1}\left(T\left(1+\frac{\eta}{4},\frac{\eta}{4}+\eta\right)\right)\supset T(1-\eta,2\eta)$  and the image of  $F\supset T\left(1+\frac{\eta}{4},\frac{5\eta}{4}\right)$ ;
- (c)  $|h^{ij} \delta^{ij}|_{C^0} < \frac{\eta^2}{100n}$  on  $T\left(1 + \frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{5\eta}{4}\right);$  where  $h^{ij} = \langle \nabla h^i, \nabla h^j \rangle;$
- (d)  $|dh^{ij}|_{C^0} \leq C(H, n, \eta)$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  on  $T\left(1 + \frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{5\eta}{4}\right);$
- (e)  $||F|^2 r^2| \le \frac{\eta}{100n}$  , where  $|F|^2 = \sum_i (h^i)^2$ , r = dist(x, 0);
- (f)  $\|d^2h^{ij}\|_{L^q} \leq C(H,n,\eta)$  on  $T\left(1+\frac{\eta}{4},\frac{5\eta}{4}\right)$  for some q > n.

*Proof.* Suppose for  $k=1,2,\cdots, (M_g,g_k)\in \mathcal{L}$  with  $\int_{M_k}|Rm(g_k)|^{\frac{n}{2}}\leq \frac{1}{k}.$ 

Proposition 3.1 implies that  $\exists y_k \in T\left(\frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{\eta}{4}\right)$  s.t.

$$\eta_{k} = \max_{\eta \leq r \leq 1} \int_{S_{k}(y_{k}, r)} \left| B_{k}(y_{k}, r) + \frac{1}{r} g_{k}(y_{k}, r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_{k}(y_{k}, r) \\
\leq C \left( H, n, i_{0}, \eta, \frac{1}{\eta} \right) \int_{B_{2}} |Rm(g_{k})|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_{k} \\
\leq C k^{-1}.$$

Proposition 3.5 implies that there exists  $\phi_k: S_1 \to S_k(y_k) \approx S_1$  s.t.

$$\int_{T(1,\eta)} |\phi_k^* g_k - g_0|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_0 < Ck^{-1},$$

where  $\phi_k$  has been extended trivially to  $T(1,\eta)$ ,  $g_0$  is the flat metric on  $B_1$ . In the Euclidean coordinates  $x = (x^1, \dots, x^n)$ ,  $g_0 = \delta_{ij}$ . Next we solve the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta F = 0 & \text{in } T(1, \eta) \\ F = x & \text{on } \partial T(1, \eta). \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 1.10, we can show (as in [14])

$$\int_{T(1,\eta)} |\nabla F - \nabla x|_g^2 dg \le \frac{1}{k} C\left(H, n, \frac{1}{\eta}, \eta, i_0\right).$$

By a standard argument involving DeGiorgi-Nash-Moser iteration, it follows that F is the desired diffeomorphism.

THEOREM 4.2. For each  $M_k, g_k \in \mathcal{L}$ , there exists, for  $l = 1, 2, \cdots$ , open sets  $F_k(l) \subset M_k$  s.t.  $F_k(l+1) \supset F_k(l)$  and  $F_k(l) \cup B(l^{-1}) = M_k$ . There also exists a diffeomorphism  $\phi_k(l)$  for each pair of k and  $l: \phi_k(l): T(1, l^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \to F_k(l)$  such that  $\phi_k(l)^*g_k$  converges in  $C^{1,\alpha}$  norm to some  $C^{1,\alpha}$  metric  $g'_l$  on  $T(1, l^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* By rescaling, we can assume that  $g_k$  satisfies

$$\int_{M_k} |Rm(g_k)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_k \le \epsilon$$

where  $\epsilon > 0$  is given by Proposition 4.1. Therefore we have harmonic coordinates

$$h^k: T_k\left(1+\frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2}\right) \subset M_k \to D(\eta) = T\left(1+\frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2}\right) \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

satisfying (a)-(f) of 4.1. Taking  $\eta = l^{-1}$ , by the Hölder estimate (d), we have, for each  $l = 1, 2, \dots$ , a subsequence of  $(M_k, g_k)$ , denoted by  $g_k(l)$ , s.t.  $g_k(l)$  converges in the  $C^2$ -norm on  $T_k\left(1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2}\right) \subset M$  to a  $C^{1,\alpha}$  metric  $g'_l$  on D(l). We can then take

$$F_k(l) = T_k\left(1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2}\right), \quad \eta = \frac{1}{l}.$$

By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can make  $F_k(l+1) \supset F_k(l)$ .

Theorem 4.3. Let g' be a metric on  $M' \cong B_1 | \{0\}$  defined by  $g'(x) = g'_l(x)$  if  $x \in F_k(l)$ . Then g' can be extended as a  $C^0$  metric on  $B_1$ .

*Proof.* Theorem 2.1 says that the diameter of a small geodesic sphere around 0 is small. Hence 0 is the only possible singularity. To

show that 0 is a removable singular point, let, for fixed  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$C(\rho, N) = \left\{ x \in M' | \frac{\rho}{N} < d(x, 0) < 2\rho \right\}.$$

By Theorem 4.2, a subsequence  $(M_k, g_k)$  converges to M' away from 0. Thus for each  $\rho, \exists k = k(\rho), \exists$  a submanifold  $C_k(\rho, N) \subset (M_k, g_k), \exists y_\rho \in C_k(\rho, N)$  s.t.  $y_\rho \to x_\rho \in C(\rho, N)$  (with  $dist(x_\rho, 0) = \rho$ ), and such that

$$\left| \int_{C_k(\rho,N)} |Rm(g_k)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg_k - \int_{C(\rho,N)} |RM(g')|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg' \right| \leq \rho^2,$$

and

$$\left\| \left( \frac{1}{\rho} C(\rho, N), x_{\rho} \right) - \left( \frac{1}{\rho} C_k(\rho, N), y_k \right) \right\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} < \rho.$$

By (0.5),

$$\int_{C(\rho,N)} |RM(g')|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg' \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{C_k(\rho,N)} |RM(g_k)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_k \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \to 0.$$

Therefore, from the zero pinching theorem of [12], it follows that  $\left(\frac{1}{\rho}C_k(\rho,N),y_\rho\right)$  converges to a flat manifold  $D_N$  in  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -norm as  $\rho \to 0$ . Thus  $\left(\frac{1}{\rho}C(\rho,N),x_\rho\right)$  converges to  $(D_N,e_N)$  in  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -norm. The direct union of  $(D_N,e_N)$  has to be (U(0),e) where 0 is the isolated singular point, e is a unit vector in  $|BbbR^n$ , and U(0) is a simply connected flat manifold since  $\frac{1}{\rho}C(\rho,N)$  is the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  limit of simply connected manifolds  $\frac{1}{\rho}C_k(\rho,N)$ . Hence  $U(0) \cong B(2) - \{0\}$ . Letting  $N \to \infty$  have that  $\left(\frac{1}{\rho}C(\rho,0),x_\rho\right)$  converges to  $\{B(2)-\{0\},e\}$  in  $C^{1,\alpha}$ -norm. It follows that g' can extend to a  $C^0$  metric on M', diffeomorphic to  $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

REMARK. In the case  $(M_k, g_k) \in \mathcal{L}'$ , we use Proposition 3.5 directly in place of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. This, combined with Theorem 4.3, proves Theorem (0.8).

REMARK. Let O be the set of compact orbifolds with finitely many singular points, satisfying (0.3)-(0.6). Let  $\Gamma$  be the group

acting on these orbifolds. We can lift a neighbourhood of each singular point via  $\Gamma$  to  $B^n$ . It then follows from Theorem (0.7) that O has the same compactness property.

### REFERENCES

- [1] R.Bishop, R.Crittenden, Geometry of Manifolds, Acad. Press, New York, (1964).
- [2] M.Berger, Les varietes riemanniennes (1/4)-pincees, Ann. Scuola. Norm. sup. Pisa Sci. Fis. Mat., (3) 14 (1960), 160-170.
- [3] C.B.Croke, Some isoperimetric inequalities and eigenvalue estimates, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Super., Paris, 13 (1980), 419-435.
- [4] J.Cheeger, D.G.Ebin, Comparison Theorems in Riemannian Geometry, North-Holland Mathematical Library, 9, North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1975).
- [5] J.Cheeger, D.Gromoll, On the structure of complete manifolds of non-negative curvature, Ann. of Math., 96, No.3 (1972), 413-443.
- [6] J.Cheeger, M.Gromov, M.Taylor, Finite propagation speed, kernel estimates for functions of the Laplace operator, and the geometry of complete Riemannian manifolds, J.Differential Geom., 17 (1982), 15-54.
- [7] S.Y.Cheng, P.Li, S.T.Yau, On the upper estimate of the heat kernel of a complete Riemannian manifold, Amer. J. Math., 103 (1981), 1021-1063.
- [8] H.Federer, Geometric Measure Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1969).
- [9] M.Freedman, The topology of four-dimensional manifolds, J. Differential Geom., 17 (1982), 357-454.
- [10] D.Gromoll, Differenzierbare Strukturen and Metriken positiver Krummung auf Spharen, Math. Ann., 164 (1966), 353-371.
- [11] D.Gromoll, W.Klingenberg, W.Meyer, Riemannsahe Geometrie im Grossen, Second edition. Lect. Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag (1975).
- [12] L.Z.Gao,  $L^{n/2}$  curvature pinching, J.Differential Geom., 32, No.3 (1990), 713-774.
- [13] L.Z.Gao, Einstein metrics, J.Differential Geom., 32, No.1 (1990), 155-184.
- [14] L.Z.Gao, Convergence of Riemannian manifolds, Ricci pinching and L<sup>n/2</sup> curvature pinching, J.Differential Geom., 32, No.2 (1990), 349-382.
- [15] M.Gromov, J.Lafontaine, P.Pansu, Struture metrique pour les varietes Riemanniennes, Cedic/Fernand, Nathan (1981).
- [16] M.Gromov, Manifolds of negative curvature, J.Differential Geom., 13 (1978), 223-230.
- [17] M.Gromov, Groups of Polynomial Growth and Expanding Maps, Inst. Hautes Études Sci., (1986).

- [18] K.Grove, P.Petersen, Bounding homotopy types by geometry, Ann. of Math., 128 (1988), 195-206.
- [19] M.Gromov, W.Thurston, Pinching constants for hyperbolic manifolds, Invent. Math., 89 (1987), 1-12.
- [20] R.Greene, H.Wu, Lipschitz convergence of Riemannian manifolds, Pacific J.Math., 131 (1988), 119-141.
- [21] G.Huisken, Ricci deformation of the metric on a Riemannian manifold, J.Differential Geom., 21 (1985),47-62.
- [22] R.Hamilton, Three manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, J.Differential Geom., 17 (1982), 255-306.
- [23] M.A.Kervaire, J.W.Milnor, Groups of homotopy sphere, I, Ann. of Math., (2) 77 (1963), 504-537.
- [24] S.Kobayashi, K.Nomizu, Foundations of Differential Geometry, John Wiley, New York (1963).
- [25] K.Klingenberg, Uber Riemannsche Mannigfaltigkeiten mit positiver Krummung, Comment. Math. Helv., 35 (1961), 47-54.
- [26] P.Li, On Sobolev constant and the p-spectrum of a compact Riemannian manifold, Ann. Sci. École. Norm. Super., (4) 13 (1980),451-469.
- [27] Min-Oo, Almost Einstein manifolds of negative Ricci curvature, J. Differential Geom., 32, No.2 (1990), 457-472.
- [28] S.Peters, Convergence of Riemannian manifolds, Compositio Math., 62 (1987), 3-16.
- [29] E.A.Ruh, Curvature and differentiable structures on spheres, Comment. Math. Helv., 46 (1971), 127-136.
- [30] E.A.Ruh, Riemannian manifolds with bounded curvature ratios, J. Differential Geom., 17 (1982), 643-653.
- [31] H.E.Rauch, A contribution to differential geometry in the large, Ann. of Math., (2) 54 (1951), 38-55.
- [32] L.Sibner, The isolated point singularity problem for the coupled Yang-Mills equations in higher dimensions, Math. Ann., 271 (1985), 125-131.
- [33] S.Smale, Generalized Poincare conjecture in dimensions greater than four, Ann. of Math., 74 (1961), 391-406.
- [34] J.Wolf, Spaces of Constant Curvature, McGraw-Hill, New York (1967).
- [35] Deane Yang,  $L^p$  pinching and compactness theorems for compact Riemannian manifolds, preprint.

Received December 5, 1990, revised April 8, 1991 and eccepted for publication November 18, 1991.

RICE UNIVERSITY HOUSTON, TX 77251 E-mail address: liao@utamat.uta.edu

AND

University of Texas Arlington, TX 76019-0408

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 166 No. 1 November 1994

| Eigenvalue bounds and girths of graphs of finite, upper half-planes NANCY JEANNE CELNIKER  | 1   |
|--|-----|
| On the compactness of a class of Riemannian manifolds ZHIYONG GAO and GUOJUN LIAO  | 23  |
| The distribution mod <i>n</i> of fractions with bounded partial quotients  DOUGLAS AUSTIN HENSLEY  | 43  |
| Paired calibrations applied to soap films, immiscible fluids, and surfaces or networks minimizing other norms  GARY REID LAWLOR and FRANK MORGAN | 55  |
| Conformal repellors with dimension one are Jordan curves R. DANIEL MAULDIN and MARIUSZ URBANSKI  | 85  |
| Order of the identity of the stable summands of $\Omega^{2k} S^{2n+1}$<br>PAUL SILBERBUSH  | 99  |
| On a construction of pseudo-Anosov diffeomorphisms by sequences of train tracks  ITARU TAKARAJIMA  | 123 |
| On systems of generators of arithmetic subgroups of higher rank groups T. N. VENKATARAMANA   | 193 |