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A class of Riemannian manifolds is studied in this paper. The main conditions are 1) the injectivity is bounded away from 0; 2) a norm of the Riemannian curvature is bounded; 3) volume is bounded above; 4) the Ricci curvature is bounded above by a constant divided by square of the distance from a point. Note the last condition is scaling invariant. It is shown that there exists a sequence of such manifolds whose metric converges to a continuous metric on a manifold.

Introduction. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(H, K, V, n, i_0)$ be the set of $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifolds $(M, g)$, s.t.,

(0.1) $M$ is diffeomorphic to $(B_2, g_0)$, the standard Euclidean ball of radius 2, center $= 0$;
(0.2) $(M, g)$ has $C^\infty$ curvature tensor in $M$;
(0.3) for any $x \in M$, the Ricci curvature at $x$ $|\text{Ric}(g)(x)| \leq H r^{-2}$, where $r = \text{dist}(x, 0)$;
(0.4) the injectivity of $(M, g) \geq i_0 > 0$;
(0.5) $\int_M |Rm(g)|^\frac{n}{2} dg < K$;
(0.6) volume of $(M, g) \leq V$.

In the case when the condition (0.3) is replaced by $|\text{Ric}(g)| \leq H$, and (0.6) is replaced by a diameter bound, a compactness property is proved by the first author in a more general setting. The purpose of this paper is to extend some of his results to the present situation where the bound on Ricci curvature of $(M, g)$ blows up like $r^{-2}$ at a point. As an application, we will discuss the compactness of orbifolds with a finite number of singularities.
The main result is:

**Theorem 0.7.** Let \((M_k, g_k) \in \mathcal{L}, \ k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\) Then there exists a subsequence (again denoted by \((M_k, g_k))\), a \(C^{\infty}\) manifold \(M'\) diffeomorphic to \(B_2(0)\), and a \(C^0\) metric \(g'\) on \(M'\) s.t. \(g_k \to g'\) in \(C^0\)-norm on \(M'\) and the convergence is in \(C^{1,\alpha}\)-norm away from 0.

In Section 1 we study the geodesic balls centered at 0. A compactness estimate of the metric \(g\) will be derived. In Section 2, a small geodesic sphere is shown to have a small diameter. In Section 3, some \(L^{n/2}\)-curvature pinching results are derived, which will be used in Section 4 to show the existence of harmonic coordinates. We will prove in Section 4 the above main result and a slightly different version.

In the definition of \(\mathcal{L}\), if (0.3) is replaced by a 1-sided condition

\[(0.3)' \quad \text{Ric}(g) \geq -Hr^{-2}g,\]

then the above compactness result should be modified as follows. Denote the set of such Riemannian manifolds by \(\mathcal{L}'\).

**Theorem 0.8.** Let \((M_k, g_k) \in \mathcal{L}', \ k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots\) Then there exists a subsequence of \((M_k, g_k)\), which converges in \(C^0\)-norm to a \(C^{\infty}\) manifold \(M'\) with a \(C^0\) metric \(g'\).

1. In this section, we assume that for some \(H > 0, \ i_0 > 0, \ (M, g)\) is a Riemannian manifold diffeomorphic to \(B_2\) satisfying

\[(1.1) \quad \text{Ric}(g) \geq -Hr^{-2}g;\]

\[(1.2) \quad \text{inj}(g) \geq i_0 > 0.\]

Let \(B_\rho(0) = \{x \in M| d(0, x) \leq \}\) be the geodesic ball of \(M\) centered at 0. Consider a geodesic polar coordinate system \(\{r, x^1, \ldots, x^{n-1}\}\) on \(B_\rho(0)\), we have

\[(1.3) \quad ds(g)^2 = dr^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} g_{ij}(r, x)dx^i dx^j;\]
(1.4) \[ R_{irrj} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} g_{ij}(r, x) + \frac{1}{4} \sum g^{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{jl}. \]

For the Ricci curvature in the radial direction, we have

(1.5) \[ R_{rr} = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} \ln \sqrt{g(r)} - \frac{1}{4} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g(r) \right|^2, \]

where \( g(r) = g(r, x), \)

(1.6) \[ \sqrt{g} \, dV_0 = \sqrt{\text{det}(g_{ij})} \, dx^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{n-1}, \]

\((dV_0 = \text{the volume element of the standard Euclidean sphere})\)

and

\[ \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial r} \right|^2 = \sum g^{ij} g^{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{kl}. \]

We start out with the following estimate:

**Proposition 1.7.** For \( \rho \leq \frac{\ln 2}{2}, \) there exists \( C_1 = C_1(H, n) > 0 \)

s.t. \( \int_0^\rho r^2 \left| \frac{\partial g}{\partial r} \right|^2 \, dr \leq C_1 \rho. \)

*Proof.* The function is essentially the same as that given in [12], p.5-6. For any piecewise \( C^\infty \) function \( \phi \) of \( r \) with \( \phi(\rho) = 0, \) we have

(1.8) \[ \left( \frac{1}{4} - \epsilon \right) \int_0^\phi r^2 \phi^2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^2 \, dr \]

\[ \leq \frac{n - 1}{2\epsilon} \int_0^\phi \left( r^2 \phi'^2 + \phi^2 \right) dr - \int_0^\rho r^2 \phi^2 R_n \, dr. \]

Take \( \epsilon = \frac{1}{8}, \phi = \rho - r, \) and use \( -R_{rr} \leq Hr^{-2}, \) we get

\[ \int_0^\phi r^2 (\phi - r)^2 \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^2 \, dr \]

\[ \leq 32(n - 1) \int_0^\phi (r^2 + (\phi - r)^2) \, dr + H \int_0^\phi (r^2 (\phi - r)^2) r^{-2} \, dr \]

\[ \leq C(H, n) \rho^3. \]
Thus,
\[ \int_0^\frac{\phi}{2} r^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right)^2 \, dr \leq \frac{1}{(\varepsilon^2)} \int_0^\phi r^2 (\phi - r)^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right)^2 \, dr \leq \frac{1}{2} C_1(H, n) \rho. \]

\[ \square \]

**Proposition 1.9.** There exists $C_2 = C_2(H, i_0, n) > 0$ s.t. for any $r \in (0, \frac{i_0}{2})$, we have
\[ r \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln \sqrt{g} \right) \leq C_2. \]

**Proof.** From (1.5) and integration by parts,
\[ \int_0^\phi r^2 R_n \, dr = -\frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln g + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\phi 2r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln g - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\phi r^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right)^2 \, dr. \]

Thus
\[ \frac{1}{2} r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln \sqrt{g} \leq H \int_0^\phi r^{-2} r^2 \, dr + \frac{1}{4} C_1 r + \left( \int_0^\phi r^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right)^2 \, dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{3} H r + \frac{1}{4} C_1 r + (n - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_0^\phi r^2 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right)^2 \, dr \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{\frac{1}{2}} \]
\[ \leq C_2(H, i_0, n) r. \]

\[ \square \]

Next we study the induced metric $g(r) = \sum g_{ij}(r, x) \, dx^i \, dx^j$ on the geodesic sphere
\[ S_r(0) = \{ x \in M : d(x, 0) = r \}, \quad r \leq \frac{i_0}{2}. \]

**Proposition 1.10.** There exists $C_3 = C_3(H, n) > 0$ s.t. for $0 < r_1 < r_2 \leq \frac{i_0}{2}$, we have
\[ e^{C_3 r_2 r_1^{-1}} g(r_1) \leq g(r_2) \leq e^{C_3 r_2 r_1^{-1}} g(r_1). \]
Proof. From Proposition 1.7, we have, for any vector \( \nu = (\nu^1, \ldots, \nu^n) \in TS_1, \)
\[
\left| \ln \frac{h(r_2)}{h(r_1)} \right| \leq \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \ln h(r) \right| \, dr \leq \left( \int_{r_1}^{r_2} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right| \, r \, dr \right)^{-1} 
\leq \sqrt{r_2} \left( C_1 r_2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} r_1^{-1} = \sqrt{C_1 r_2 r_1},
\]
where \( h(r) = g_{ij}(r) \, d\nu^i \, d\nu^j. \) Hence \( e^{C_3 r_2 r_1} \leq \frac{h(r_2)}{h(r_1)} \leq e^{C_3 r_2 r_1}, \) where \( c_3 = \sqrt{C_1}. \)

Before we go any further, let us make some remarks regarding conditions (0.3) and (0.5). Let \( \tau > 0 \) be small. Define a new metric \( g^\tau \) on \( M \) by \( g^\tau(x) = \tau^{-2} g(\tau x). \)

Remark.

\begin{align*}
(1.11) \quad & \text{If } g \text{ satisfies } (0.3)', \text{ so does } g^\tau. \\
(1.12) \quad & \int_{B_1} |R(g^\tau)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg^\tau = \int_{B_r} |R(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg.
\end{align*}

Therefore, by a scaling of this type if necessary, we can assume that \( g \) satisfies (0.3) and (0.5) with \( K \ll 1. \)

Once we have Proposition 1.10 we can control the \( L^{n/2} \) norm of the Riemannian curvature tensor \( \text{Rm}(r) \) of \( g(r), \) the induced metric on \( S(0, r). \)

**Theorem 1.13.** If \( (M, g) \in \mathcal{L}' \) then for any \( \rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4}, \) there exist \( r_\rho \in (\frac{\rho}{2}, \rho), \quad C_4 = C_4(H, K, i_0, n) > 0, \) s.t.
\[
\int_{S(0, r_\rho)} |\text{Rm}(r_\rho)|^{\frac{n}{2}}_{g(r_\rho)} \, dg(r_\rho) \leq C_4 r_\rho^{-1}.
\]

Proof. By Lemma 1.17 in [12], \( \exists C_5 = C_5(H, i_0, n) \) s.t. for \( \rho < \frac{i_0}{4}, \)
\[
\int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right| \, dr \leq C_5 \left( \frac{1}{\rho^n} + \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} |\text{Rm}(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dr \right).
\]
From Proposition 1.10, there exists $C = C(H, i_0, n)$ s.t.

$$C^{-1} \sqrt{g(r)} \leq \sqrt{g(\rho)} \leq C_3 \sqrt{g(\rho)}$$

for $r \in \left( \frac{\rho}{2}, \rho \right)$, i.e., $\sqrt{g(\rho)}$ is equivalent to $\sqrt{g(\rho)}$. Thus for some constant $C_6 = C_6(H, i_0, n) > 0$, we have

$$\int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n \sqrt{g(r)} \, dr \leq C_6 \left( \rho^{-n} \sqrt{g(\rho)} + \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \sqrt{g(r)} \, dr \right).$$

Integrating over $S_{\rho}(0)$, we get

$$\int_{B_{\rho} \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n \, dg \leq C_6 \rho^{-n} \int_{S_{\rho}} \, dg(\rho) + C_6 \int_{B_{\rho}} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg.$$ 

Taking $\rho = \frac{i_0}{4}$, we get

$$\int_{B_{\frac{i_0}{4}} \setminus B_{\frac{i_0}{8}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n \, dg \leq C_6 \left( \frac{i_0}{4} \right)^{-n} \text{vol} \left( S_{\frac{i_0}{4}} \right) + C_6 \int_{B_{\frac{i_0}{4}}} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg.$$ 

By Bishop's volume estimate [1], $\exists C_7 = C_7(H, i_0, n)$ s.t. $\text{vol} \left( S_{\frac{i_0}{4}} \right) \leq C_7$. Thus we get a constant $C_8 = C_8(H, i_0, n) > 0$ s.t.

$$(1.16) \quad \int_{B_{\frac{i_0}{4}} \setminus B_{\frac{i_0}{8}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n \, dg \leq C_8 + C_8 \int_{B_{\frac{i_0}{4}}} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg.$$ 

Define $g^\tau = r^{-2}g$ with $r = \frac{4\rho}{i_0}$. Noticing that $\text{Ric}(g^\tau) \geq -Hr^{-2}$, $\text{inj}(g^\tau) \geq i_0$, we can apply (1.16) to $g^\tau$. By the scaling invariance of (1.16), we get

$$\int_{B_{\rho} \setminus B_{\frac{\rho}{2}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n \, dg = \int_{B_{\frac{i_0}{4}} \setminus B_{\frac{i_0}{8}}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g^\tau \right|^n \, dg^\tau \leq C_8 + C_8 \int_{B_{\frac{i_0}{4}}} |Rm(g^\tau)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg^\tau = C_8 + C_8 \int_{B_{\rho}} |Rm(g^\tau)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg \leq C_8 + C_8 K = C_9.$$
Hence

\[(1.17) \quad \int_{\frac{\rho}{2}}^{\rho} \left( \int_{S_r} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g \right|^n \, dg(r) \right) \, dr \leq C_9. \]

(1.17) and the Gauss formula on $S$,

\[ Rm(g)_{ijkl} = Rm(g(r))_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{ik} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{jl} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{jk} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{il} \right) \]

imply that there exists a constant $C = C(H, K, i_0, n) > 0$ s.t.

\[(1.19) \quad C_10^{\frac{n}{2}} dg(r) \leq \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{kl} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} g_{il} \]

This implies the existence of $r_\rho \in \left[ \frac{\rho}{2}, \rho \right]$ and $C_4 = C_4(H, K, i_0, n) > 0$ s.t.

\[ \int_{S_{r_\rho}} \left| Rm(r_\rho) \right|^n \, dg(r_\rho) \leq C_4 r_\rho^{-1}. \]

\[ \square \]

We now state and prove the compactness estimate of the induced metric on small geodesic spheres.

Let $(M, g) \in \mathcal{L}'$, $\rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4}$, let $r_\rho \in \left[ \frac{\rho}{2}, \rho \right]$ as in Theorem 1.13. We have the following

**Theorem 1.18.** There exists $C_{10} = c_{10}(H, K, i_0, n) > 0$ and a $C^\infty$ Riemannian metric $h(r_\rho)$ on the geodesic sphere $S_{r_\rho}$ s.t.

\[(1.19) \quad C_{10}^{-1} g(r_\rho) \leq r_\rho^2 h(r_\rho) \leq C_{10} g(r_\rho); \]

\[(1.20) \quad |Rm(h(r_\rho))| \leq C_{10}. \]

**Proof.** Proposition 1.10 and Theorem 1.13 are sufficient for carrying through the argument in [12]. \[ \square \]
2. In this section, we show that the diameter of a small geodesic sphere is small. More precisely,

**Theorem 2.1.** There exists $C_{11} = C_{11}(H, K, i_0, V, n)$ s.t. for any $(M, g) \in \mathcal{L}'$, any $r \in \left(0, \frac{i_0}{2}\right)$, $\text{diam}(g(r)) \leq C_{11}r$.

**Proof.** First observe that there exists a constant $C = C(H, K, i_0, V, n) > 0$ s.t.

\[(2.2) \quad \text{diam} \left( S_{\frac{i_0}{4}} \right) \leq C.\]

To prove (2.2), we normalize by scaling so that $i_0 = 4$. Let $\gamma$ be a minimal geodesic on the geodesic sphere $S_1(0)$. We show that there exists $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(H, i_0, V)$ s.t.

\[\text{length } \gamma \leq \tilde{C}.\]

Let $\alpha$ be any curve in the annulus $B_2(0) \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}(0)$ s.t. for $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < \cdots \leq 1$, $\alpha|[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ is a minimal geodesic in the annulus. The geodesic balls centered at $\gamma(t_i)$ with radius $\delta$ can be made mutually disjoint by choosing $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small. Let $N$ be the number of these balls. By Gromov's relative volume estimate [6], the volume of each small ball is bounded from below by a constant $C' = C'(H, i_0, V, n)$. But the total volume of the manifold $M$ is bounded from above by $V$ (cf. (0.6)). Hence $N \leq V/C'$. Since the induced metric $g(r_1)$ and $g(r_2)$ are equivalent (by Proposition 1.10), we can project $\alpha|[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ into $S_1(0)$, to get (2.2).

Next, apply (2.2) to the metric $g^\tau$ defined by $g^\tau(x) = \tau^{-2}g(\tau x)$. By scaling properties, we get

\[\text{diam} \left( g(r) \right) \leq C \frac{4r}{i_0}.\]
3. Let \((M, g)\) be in \(\mathcal{L}'\). As before we use the geodesic polar coordinates at 0, i.e.,

\[
g = dr^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-1} g_{ij}(x, r) \, dx^i \, dx^j = dr^2 + g(r),
\]

where \(g(r) = g(x, r)\) is the induced metric on the geodesic sphere \(S_r(0)\).

We will begin with the following estimate:

**Proposition 3.1.** For \(\rho \leq \frac{i_n}{4}, \ \eta \in (0, \rho),\) we have

\[
\int_{T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right)} \left( \max_{\eta \leq \rho} \int_{S(x, r)} \left| B(x, r) + \frac{1}{r^2} g(x, r) \right|^2 \, dg(r) \right) \, dg(x)
\]

\[
\leq C(H, n, \eta, \rho) \int_{B(\rho + \eta)} |Rm(g)|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg,
\]

where \(B(x, r)\) is the second fundamental form of \(S(x, r)\),

\[
T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right) = \left\{ x \in M | \text{dist}(x, 0) \in \left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right) \right\}.
\]

**Proof.** Let \(x \in T\left(\frac{\eta}{4}, \frac{\eta}{2}\right), \ y \in M \text{ s.t. } d(x, y) = \rho \leq \frac{i_n}{2}.\) Let \(\gamma\) be the minimal geodesic from \(x\) to \(y\) with \(\gamma(0) = x, \ \gamma(\rho) = y, \ d(x, y) = \rho.\) Observe that, as a consequence of Proposition 1.10, there exists a constant \(C_{12} = C_{12}(H, i_0, n) > 0 \text{ s.t. for any Jacobi field } X \text{ on } \gamma \text{ with } X(\gamma(0)) = 0, \ < X(\gamma(l)), \gamma'(l) >= 0, \) we have

\[
|X(\gamma(t))| \leq C_{12}|X(\gamma(l))|
\]

\(\forall \ t \in [0, l],\) where \(l = \text{the length of } \gamma.\)

Let \(E\) be the parallel vector field along \(\gamma\) with

\[
E(\gamma(l)) = X(\gamma(l)),
\]

then the vector field \(A,\) defined by \(A = X - \frac{i}{\gamma} E,\) is again a Jacobi field. Assume \(|X(\gamma(l))| = 1.\) We have

\[
\int_0^l |A'|^2 = \int_0^l < A'', A > \, dt \leq \int_0^l |Rm\|X\|A| \, dt
\]

\[
\leq C_{12}(C_{12} + 1) \int_{\gamma} |Rm| = C_{13} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|,
\]
where $C_{13} = C_{13}(H, i_0, n)$.

Next, by a cut-off function argument, one can show that (c.f. [12], p.31)

\begin{equation}
|A''(\gamma(l))| \leq C_{14} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|^2.
\end{equation}

We claim that there exists $C_{15} = C_{15}(H, K, i_0, n)$ s.t.

\[ |B(x, r) + \frac{1}{l}g(\gamma(l))|^2 (\gamma(l)) \leq C_{15} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|^2. \]

To see this, let $X, Y$ be vector fields on $S(x, l)$ s.t.

\[ |X(\gamma(l))| = |Y(\gamma(l))| = 1, \]

and let $E, \overline{E}$ be parallel vector fields on $\gamma$ with

\[ E(\gamma(l)) = X(\gamma(l)), \]
\[ \overline{E}(\gamma(l)) = Y(\gamma(l)). \]

Extended $X, Y$ to the geodesic ball $B(x, l)$ s.t. they are Jacobi fields on each radial geodesic. Then, clearly $B(X, Y) = -\nabla_{\gamma'} X, Y = -< X', Y >$. We have, from (3.2), that

\begin{align*}
|B(X, Y) + \frac{1}{l} < X, Y >|^2 (\gamma(l)) \\
= | < X', Y > - \frac{1}{l} < E, Y >|^2 (\gamma(l)) \\
= | < X' - \frac{1}{l} E, Y >|^2 (\gamma(l)) \\
\leq C_{14}|Y(\gamma(l))|^2 \int_{\gamma} |Rm|^2 = C_{14} \int_{\gamma} |Rm|^2.
\end{align*}

To finish the proof, we define $f(x, y)$, for $x, y$ with $d(x, y) = \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} \leq \frac{i_0}{2}$, by

\[ f(x, y) = \max_{\eta \leq r \leq \rho} |B(x, r) + \frac{1}{r}g(x, r)|^{\frac{n}{2}} (\gamma(r)), \]

where $\gamma$ is the minimal geodesic from $x$ to $y$, $r = \text{distance from } x$. 
Let
\[ \Omega = \bigcup_{x \in T(\frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{2})} S \left( x, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \subset M, \]
and
\[ \Sigma = \bigcup_{x \in T(\frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{2})} \left( x, S \left( x, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \right) \subset M \times M. \]

Then
\[
\int_{\Sigma} \int f(x, y) = \int_{x \in T(\frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{2})} \left( \int_{S(x, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2})} f(x, y) \, dg_x(y) \right) \, dg(x)
\]
\[
= \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\Omega_y} f(x, y) \, dg_y(x) \right) \, dg(y),
\]
where \( g_x \) is the induced metric of \( S \left( x, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \), and \( \Omega_y = T \left( \frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{2} \right) \cap S \left( y, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \subset S \left( y, \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} \right) \). We have
\[
\int_{\Sigma} \int f(x, y) \leq \int_{\Omega} \left( \int_{\Omega_y} f(x, y) \, dg_y(x) \right) \, dg(y).
\]

Define \( \overline{\gamma}(t) = \gamma(t) \) for \( t \in [0, \rho] \). From (3.3) we get
\[
\int_{\Omega_y} f(x, y) \, dg_y(x)
\]
\[
\leq C(H, \eta, \rho) \int_{\Omega_y} \left( \int_{\overline{\gamma}} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \right) \, dg_y
\]
\[
\leq C(H, \eta, \rho) \int_{\delta}^{\rho + \delta} \left( \int_{\Omega_y} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \left( \gamma \left( \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} - t \right) \right) \, dg_y \right) \, dt.
\]

By Proposition 1.10,
\[
dg_y \left( \gamma \left( \rho + \frac{\eta}{2} - t \right) \right) \geq C \left( H, n, \frac{\rho}{\eta} \right) \, dg_y(x).
\]

Therefore
\[
\int_{\Omega_y} f(x, y) \, dg_y(x) \leq C \left( H, n, \eta, \frac{\rho}{\eta} \right) \int_{B(\rho + \eta)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg.
\]
Finally we have
\[ \int_{\Omega_y} f(x, y) \leq C \left( H, n, \eta, \frac{\rho}{\eta} \right) \text{vol} \left( T \left( \frac{n}{4}, \rho + \eta \right) \right) \int_{B(\rho+\eta)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \]
\[ \leq C \left( H, n, \eta, \frac{1}{\eta}, \rho, V, i_0 \right) \int_{B(\rho+\eta)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg. \]

Let \( \hat{R}m(r) \) be the scalar curvature free curvature tensor of \( g(r) \). We have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.4.** For any \( x \in T \left( \frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{2} \right) \), where \( \eta \in (0, \rho) \) with \( \rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4} \), we have

\[
\int_{\eta}^{\rho} \left( \int_{S(x,r)} |\hat{R}m(r)|^{\frac{n}{4}} dg_x(r) \right) dr
\leq C(H, n, \eta, \rho, i_0) \left( \left( \int_{Bx(\rho)} |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\right)
\]
\[+ \left( \max_{\eta \leq \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| A(r) + \frac{1}{r}g_x(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_x(r) \right)\]
\[+ \max_{\eta \leq \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| A(r) + \frac{1}{r}g_x(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{2}} dg_x(r) \right].
\]

**Proof.** \( \hat{R}m(r) \) can be expressed as

\[
(\hat{R}m(r))_{ijkl}
= (Rm(r))_{ijkl} - \frac{R(r)}{(n-1)(n-2)} (g_{ik}(r)g_{jl}(r) - g_{il}(r)g_{jk}(r)),
\]
where \( R(r) \) is the scalar curvature of \( g(r) \). We have

\[
\int_{S(x,r)} \left| B_{ik}(r)B_{jl}(r) - \frac{1}{r^2}g_{ik}(r)g_{jl}(r) \right|^{\frac{n}{4}} dg(r)
\]
= \int_{S(x,r)} B_{ik}(r) \left( B_{jl}(r) + \frac{1}{r} g_{jl}(r) \right) \\
- \frac{1}{r} g_{jl}(r) \left( B_{ik}(r) + \frac{1}{r} g_{ik}(r) \right) \frac{n}{4} \, dg(r)

\leq C \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^\frac{n}{4} \, dg(r)

+ C \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^\frac{n}{4} \, dg(r)

\leq C \left( \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}

+ C \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg(r).

This implies that

\int_{S(x,r)} \left| (B_{ik} B_{jl} - B_{il} B_{jk}) - \frac{1}{r^2} (g_{ik} g_{jl} - g_{il} g_{jk}) \right|^\frac{n}{4} \, dg(r)

\leq C(H, K, i_0, n) \left( \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg(r) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}

+ C(H, K, i_0, n) \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg(r).

By Gauss formula,

\( (Rm(g))_{ijkl} = (Rm(g(r)))_{ijkl} + B_{ik}(r) B_{jl}(r) - B_{il}(r) B_{jk}(r) \).

Therefore

\int_\eta^\rho \left( \int_{S(x,r)} \left| R_{ijkl}(g(r)) - \frac{1}{r^2} (g_{ik}(r) g_{jl}(r) - g_{il}(r) g_{jk}(r)) \right| \right. \\
\left. - g_{ii}(r) g_{jj}(r) \right|^\frac{n}{4} \, dg(r) \right) \, dr

\leq C(H, n, \eta, \rho) \left( \int_{B(x,\rho)} \left| Rm(g) \right|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}

+ C(H, n, \eta, \rho) \left( \max_{\eta \leq r \leq \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}

+ C(H, n, \eta, \rho) \left( \max_{\eta \leq r \leq \rho} \int_{S(x,r)} \left| B(r) + \frac{1}{r} g(r) \right|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
Observe that
\[ \int_{T_x(\eta, \rho)} \left| R(r) - \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{r^2} \right|^\frac{n}{4} \, dg \]
\[ \leq C(H, K, i_0, n, \eta, \rho) \left( \int_{B(x, \rho)} |Rm(g)|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

Hence (3.4) follows immediately. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.5.** For \( 0 < \eta < \rho \leq \frac{i_0}{4} \), let \((M_k, g_k) \in \mathcal{L}', \ x_k \in M_k \) with \( \text{dist}(x_k, 0) \in \left( \frac{n}{4}, \frac{n}{2} \right) \). Assume
\[ \eta_k = \max_{\eta \leq r \leq \rho} \int_{S(x, r)} \left| B(x_k, r) + \frac{1}{r} g_k(r) \right|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg_k(r) \to 0 \]
and
\[ \mu_k = \int_{B(x_k, \rho)} |Rm(g_k)|^\frac{n}{2} \, dg_k \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \]
Then there exists a diffeomorphism \( \phi_k : S(1) \to S(x_k, \rho) \) for each \( k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \), s.t.
\[ \int_{S(1)} |\phi_k^* g_k(r) - r^2 \, d\theta^2|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, d\theta \to 0 \]
uniformly for \( \eta \leq r \leq \rho \), where \( S(1) \) is the Euclidean unit sphere, and
\[ |\phi_k^* g_k(\rho) - \rho^2 \, d\theta^2|_{C^0} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \]

**Proof.** Proposition 1.10 and Theorem 1.13 enable us to carry out the arguments in [12] (cf. 5.18, 5.21, and 5.25). \( \square \)

4. In this section we prove the existence of a controllable harmonic coordinate system under the smallness condition of the \( L^{n/2} \)-norm of curvature tensor.

**Proposition 4.1.** For any \( \eta \in (0,1) \), there exists \( \epsilon = \epsilon(H, n, i_0, \eta) > 0 \) s.t. if \((M, g) \in \mathcal{L} \) satisfies \( \int_M |Rm(g)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg \leq \)
then there exists a diffeomorphism
\[ F = (h^1, h^2, \cdots, h^n): \quad T \left( 1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2} \right) \to T \left( 1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \]
having the following properties:
\( (a) \) \[ \Delta = 0; \]
\( (b) \) \[ F^{-1} \left( T \left( 1 + \frac{n}{4}, \frac{5n}{4} + \eta \right) \right) \supset T(1 - \eta, 2\eta) \text{ and the image of } F \supset T \left( 1 + \frac{n}{4}, \frac{5n}{4} \right); \]
\( (c) \) \[ |h^{ij} - \delta^{ij}|_{C^0} < \frac{n^2}{100n} \quad \text{on} \quad T \left( 1 + \frac{n}{4}, \frac{5n}{4} \right); \]
\( (d) \) \[ |dh^{ij}|_{C^0} \leq C(H, n, \eta) \quad \text{for some} \quad \alpha \in (0, 1) \quad \text{on} \quad T \left( 1 + \frac{n}{4}, \frac{5n}{4} \right); \]
\( (e) \) \[ ||F||^2 - r^2| \leq \frac{n}{100n}, \quad \text{where} \quad |F|^2 = \sum_i (h^i)^2, \quad r = \text{dist}(x, 0); \]
\( (f) \) \[ \|d^2 h^{ij}\|_{L^q} \leq C(H, n, \eta) \quad \text{on} \quad T \left( 1 + \frac{n}{4}, \frac{5n}{4} \right) \quad \text{for some} \quad q > n. \]

**Proof.** Suppose for \( k = 1, 2, \cdots, (M, g_k) \in \mathcal{L} \) with
\[ \int_{M_k} |Rm(g_k)|^\frac{n}{2} \leq \frac{1}{k}. \]
Proposition 3.1 implies that \( \exists y_k \in T \left( \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{4} \right) \) s.t.
\[ \eta_k = \max_{\eta \leq r \leq 1} \int_{S_k(y_k, r)} \left| B_k(y_k, r) + \frac{1}{r} g_k(y_k, r) \right|^\frac{n}{2} dg_k(y_k, r) \]
\[ \leq C \left( H, n, i_0, \eta, \frac{1}{\eta} \right) \int_{B_2} |Rm(g_k)|^\frac{n}{2} dg_k \]
\[ \leq C k^{-1}. \]
Proposition 3.5 implies that there exists \( \phi_k : S_1 \to S_k(y_k) \approx S_1 \) s.t.
\[ \int_{T(1, \eta)} |\phi_k^* g_k - g_0|^\frac{n}{2} dg_0 < C k^{-1}, \]
where \( \phi_k \) has been extended trivially to \( T(1, \eta) \), \( g_0 \) is the flat metric on \( B_1 \). In the Euclidean coordinates \( x = (x^1, \cdots, x^n), \ g_0 = \delta_{ij} \).
Next we solve the Dirichlet problem
\[
\begin{aligned}
\Delta F &= 0 \quad \text{in} \quad T(1, \eta) \\
F &= x \quad \text{on} \quad \partial T(1, \eta).
\end{aligned}
\]
By Proposition 1.10, we can show (as in [14])
\[ \int_{T(1,\eta)} |\nabla F - \nabla x|^2 \, dg \leq \frac{1}{k} C \left( H, n, \frac{1}{\eta}, \eta, \iota_0 \right). \]

By a standard argument involving DeGiorgi-Nash-Moser iteration, it follows that \( F \) is the desired diffeomorphism.

**Theorem 4.2.** For each \( M_k, g_k \in \mathcal{L} \), there exists, for \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \), open sets \( F_k(l) \subset M_k \) s.t. \( F_k(l+1) \supset F_k(l) \) and \( F_k(l) \cup B(l^{-1}) = M_k \). There also exists a diffeomorphism \( \phi_k(l) \) for each pair of \( k \) and \( l \): \( \phi_k(l) : T(1,l^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow F_k(l) \) such that \( \phi_k(l)^* g_k \) converges in \( C^{1,\alpha} \) norm to some \( C^{1,\alpha} \) metric \( g'_l \) on \( T(1,l^{-1}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \).

**Proof.** By rescaling, we can assume that \( g_k \) satisfies
\[ \int_{M_k} |Rm(g_k)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg_k \leq \epsilon \]
where \( \epsilon > 0 \) is given by Proposition 4.1. Therefore we have harmonic coordinates
\[ h^k : T_k \left( 1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2} \right) \subset M_k \rightarrow D(\eta) = T \left( 1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2} \right) \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \]
satisfying (a)-(f) of 4.1. Taking \( \eta = l^{-1} \), by the Hölder estimate (d), we have, for each \( l = 1, 2, \ldots \), a subsequence of \( (M_k, g_k) \), denoted by \( g_k(l) \), s.t. \( g_k(l) \) converges in the \( C^2 \)-norm on \( T_k \left( 1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2} \right) \subset M \) to a \( C^{1,\alpha} \) metric \( g'_l \) on \( D(l) \). We can then take
\[ F_k(l) = T_k \left( 1 + \frac{\eta}{2}, \frac{3\eta}{2} \right), \quad \eta = \frac{1}{l}. \]

By passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can make \( F_k(l+1) \supset F_k(l) \).

**Theorem 4.3.** Let \( g' \) be a metric on \( M' \cong B_1\{|0\} \) defined by \( g'(x) = g'_l(x) \) if \( x \in F_k(l) \). Then \( g' \) can be extended as a \( C^0 \) metric on \( B_1 \).

**Proof.** Theorem 2.1 says that the diameter of a small geodesic sphere around 0 is small. Hence 0 is the only possible singularity. To
show that $0$ is a removable singular point, let, for fixed $N = 1, 2, \ldots$,

$$C(\rho, N) = \left\{ x \in M' \mid \frac{\rho}{N} < d(x, 0) < 2\rho \right\}.$$

By Theorem 4.2, a subsequence $(M_k, g_k)$ converges to $M'$ away from $0$. Thus for each $\rho$, $\exists k = k(\rho), \exists$ a submanifold $C_k(\rho, N) \subset (M_k, g_k), \exists y_\rho \in C_k(\rho, N)$ s.t. $y_\rho \rightarrow x_\rho \in C(\rho, N)$ (with $\text{dist}(x_\rho, 0) = \rho$), and such that

$$\left| \int_{C_k(\rho, N)} |Rm(g_k)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg_k - \int_{C(\rho, N)} |RM(g')|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg' \right| \leq \rho^2,$$

and

$$\left\| \left( \frac{1}{\rho} C(\rho, N), x_\rho \right) - \left( \frac{1}{\rho} C_k(\rho, N), y_k \right) \right\|_{C^{1, \alpha}} < \rho.$$

By (0.5),

$$\int_{C(\rho, N)} |RM(g')|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg' \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \rightarrow 0.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{C_k(\rho, N)} |Rm(g_k)|^{\frac{n}{2}} \, dg_k \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \rho \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, from the zero pinching theorem of [12], it follows that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\rho} C_k(\rho, N), y_\rho \right)$$

converges to a flat manifold $D_N$ in $C^{1, \alpha}$-norm as $\rho \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$\left( \frac{1}{\rho} C(\rho, N), x_\rho \right)$$

converges to $(D_N, e_N)$ in $C^{1, \alpha}$-norm.

The direct union of $(D_N, e_N)$ has to be $(U(0), e)$ where $0$ is the isolated singular point, $e$ is a unit vector in $|BbbR^n|$, and $U(0)$ is a simply connected flat manifold since

$$\frac{1}{\rho} C(\rho, N)$$

is the $C^{1, \alpha}$ limit of simply connected manifolds $\frac{1}{\rho} C_k(\rho, N)$. Hence $U(0) \cong B(2) - \{0\}$.

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ have that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\rho} C(\rho, 0), x_\rho \right)$$

converges to $\{B(2) - \{0\}, e\}$ in $C^{1, \alpha}$-norm. It follows that $g'$ can extend to a $C^0$ metric on $M'$, diffeomorphic to $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

\textbf{Remark.} In the case $(M_k, g_k) \in \mathcal{L}'$, we use Proposition 3.5 directly in place of Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2. This, combined with Theorem 4.3, proves Theorem (0.8).

\textbf{Remark.} Let $O$ be the set of compact orbifolds with finitely many singular points, satisfying (0.3)-(0.6). Let $\Gamma$ be the group
acting on these orbifolds. We can lift a neighbourhood of each singular point via $\Gamma$ to $B^n$. It then follows from Theorem (0.7) that $O$ has the same compactness property.
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