

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**LOCAL REPRODUCING KERNELS ON WEDGE-LIKE  
DOMAINS WITH TYPE 2 EDGES**

AL BOGGESS AND ALEXANDER NAGEL

Volume 167 No. 1

January 1995

## LOCAL REPRODUCING KERNELS ON WEDGE-LIKE DOMAINS WITH TYPE 2 EDGES

AL BOGGESS AND ALEXANDER NAGEL

**We represent holomorphic functions on a wedge-like domain by positive integral kernels which are defined on the edge of the wedge. Type 2 edges are considered. As an application, we show that an  $H^p$  function on a wedge has pointwise almost everywhere limits on the edge within admissible approach regions in the wedge.**

A striking fact about function theory in several variables is that, under suitable convexity hypotheses on a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with  $n > 1$ , if  $z_0 \in \Omega$  is “close” to the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ , then there are representing measures for  $z_0$  whose support on  $\partial\Omega$  is compactly supported and “close” to  $z_0^*$ , the projection of  $z_0$  onto the boundary. This is false for domains in  $\mathbb{C}$ , for general non-convex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and for harmonic functions on domains in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

We illustrate this phenomenon with a simple example. Let  $\Omega = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2; \operatorname{Re}(z) > |w|^2\}$ . The boundary of  $\Omega$  will be denoted by  $\Sigma = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2; \operatorname{Re}(z) = |w|^2\}$  and can be identified with the Heisenberg group. We wish to represent the value of a holomorphic function  $F$  on  $\Omega$  at the point  $(0, r) \in \Omega$  for  $r > 0$ , by integrating  $F$  against a suitable measure on  $\Sigma$ . To do this, we let  $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{C})$  be a radial function with support in the unit disc and whose integral over  $\mathbb{C}$  is one. Let

$$\phi_r(w) = \frac{4}{r^2} \phi\left(\frac{2(w-r)}{r}\right).$$

The function  $\phi_r$  has support in the disc centered at  $r$  with radius  $r/2$  and the integral of  $\phi_r$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  is one. The mean value property for holomorphic functions shows that

$$F(0, r) = \iint_{w \in \mathbb{C}} F(0, w) \phi_r(w) \, dx \, dy$$

where we have written  $w = x + iy$ . The support of  $\phi_r$  is contained in the square  $\{r/2 \leq x \leq 3r/2, |y| \leq r/2\}$ . Therefore,  $\sqrt{x}$  is well defined on the support of  $\phi_r$ . Using the mean value property of  $F$  in the first variable, we obtain

$$F(0, r) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \iint_{w \in \mathbb{C}} \int_0^{2\pi} F(\sqrt{x}e^{i\theta}, x + iy) \phi_r(x + iy) d\theta dx dy.$$

Now, we change variables by letting  $x = t^2$  and then  $z = te^{i\theta}$ . We obtain

$$F(0, r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}} F(z, |z|^2 + iy) \phi_r(|z|^2 + iy) d\lambda(z) dy.$$

where  $d\lambda(z)$  denotes Lebesgue measure on the complex plane. The map  $(z, y) \mapsto (z, |z|^2 + iy)$  for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  is a parameterization for  $\Sigma$  and  $d\lambda(z)dy$  is comparable to surface measure on  $\Sigma$  which we denoted by  $d\sigma$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$(1) \quad F(0, r) = \int_{\Sigma} F(\zeta) K_r(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

where  $K_r(\zeta)$  is a smooth function of  $\zeta$ . Notice that the support of  $K_r$  is contained in a ball centered at the origin of radius  $C\sqrt{r}$  (where  $C$  is a uniform constant). Thus,  $K_r$  is our desired local representing measure for holomorphic functions on the Heisenberg group (near the origin).

The existence of local representing measures for a domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  seems to be closely tied to the existence and structure of analytic discs in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  whose boundary lies in  $\partial\Omega$  close to a given point. This in turn is closely connected to the nonisotropic nature of the boundary tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations, to the associated nonisotropic metrics on the boundary, and to questions about the local polynomial hull of small regions on the boundary.

For strictly pseudoconvex domains, it is easy to study local analytic discs, since after a local biholomorphic change of variables, one can make the boundary of the domain strictly convex (in the linear sense), and one can obtain analytic discs by slicing by appropriate planes, as was done in the example above. The case of weakly pseudoconvex domains of finite type presents certain additional difficulties, since the boundary cannot always be convexified,

but here too one can obtain local representing measures by imbedding suitable analytic discs (see [BDN]).

In this paper, we generalize these ideas to the case of “wedge domains” with an “edge” which is a submanifold  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  of real codimension greater than 1. Our object is to find local representing measures on the edge for points in the wedge near the edge. We study the so called “type 2” case, which is the analogue of the strictly pseudoconvex case for domains with boundary of real codimension 1. We have been greatly influenced in our work by E.M. Stein’s seminal observation that a strictly pseudoconvex boundary can be modeled at each point by a nilpotent Lie group, the Heisenberg group, and that the boundary behavior of holomorphic functions on a strictly pseudoconvex domain is intimately connected with the approximating group structure on the boundary. We shall first study a certain “model case” where the edge is a nilpotent Lie group of step 2, and then show that the general case can be obtained by a three stage process which is again inspired by Stein’s work: (i) we pass from the original object of study to a “free” object by adding appropriate variables; (ii) we solve the problem on the free object by approximating it suitably by the model case; (iii) we return to the original object by integrating out the extra variables.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 1, we recall some definitions and results about CR submanifolds and of domains with edges. These preliminaries are necessary for a precise statement of our main result on the existence of a local integral representation formula for holomorphic functions on a domain with an edge which is a generic CR submanifold of type 2. In Section 2, we study a model example of a generic CR submanifold of type 2. This model carries the structure of a nilpotent Lie group of step 2, and we use this group structure to obtain a local integral representation formula for holomorphic functions defined in the corresponding model wedge. In Section 3, we introduce the notion of a *free* generic CR manifold of type 2. This is one on which there are no linear relations between certain tangential vector fields, or equivalently, one where the real codimension is as large as possible. In Section 3 we also show how a free manifold can be approximated by the model example, and we show how to use Bishop’s equation and analytic discs to ‘transfer’ the integral representation formula from the model to

the free manifold. In Section 4, we show how a general generic CR submanifold of type 2 can be “freed” by the addition of variables. It is then possible to obtain the integral representation formula for the general case from the free case by integrating out these added variables. All these ideas are motivated by the work of Folland and Stein [FS], Rothschild and Stein [RS], *etc.*

In Section 5, we show how these local integral representation formulas can be used to begin the study of  $H^p$  functions on domains with edge which is a generic CR submanifold of type 2. In particular, we prove that  $H^p$  functions have appropriate admissible limits almost everywhere along the edge, for  $0 < p \leq \infty$ , and we obtain a necessary condition for a CR distribution on the edge to be the boundary values of an  $H^p$  function. In recent work, Rosay [R] has shown that  $H^p$  functions on a wedge with an arbitrary generic CR edge has admissible limits almost everywhere, at least for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . It is not clear whether his arguments also work for  $p < 1$ .

An announcement of the results of this paper appeared in [BN]. The authors would like to thank Jean-Pierre Rosay for many useful conversations about this subject.

**1. Preliminaries and statement of the main theorem.** In this Section, we recall certain basic definitions and results relating to submanifolds of open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . These concepts are necessary for the precise statement of our main result on the existence of a local integral representation formula for holomorphic functions. This theorem is stated at the end of the Section.

Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open set and let  $\rho_l : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq d$  be functions of class  $C^\infty$ . Set  $M = \{z \in U \mid \rho_l(z) = 0, \quad 1 \leq l \leq d\}$ , and assume  $d\rho_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\rho_d \neq 0$  on  $M$ . Then  $M$  is a  $C^\infty$  submanifold of  $U$  of real codimension  $d$ . For  $p \in M$ , let  $T_p M$  denote the real tangent space to  $M$  at  $p$ . The maximal complex subspace of  $T_p M$  is denoted by  $T_p^{\mathbb{C}} = T_p M \cap J(T_p M)$ , where  $J$  is the complex structure map given by multiplication by  $\iota = \sqrt{-1}$ .  $M$  is called a CR submanifold, with CR dimension  $m$  if for all  $p \in M$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} T_p^{\mathbb{C}} M = 2m$ .  $M$  is called generic if for all  $p \in M$ ,  $T_p M + J(T_p M) = \mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $M$  is a generic CR submanifold of real codimension  $d$  and CR dimension  $m$ , it follows that  $n = m + d$ .

We shall frequently use the following result on the local representation of generic CR manifolds. We use the notation

$$(z_1, \dots, z_m, w_1, \dots, w_d) = (z, w)$$

for coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^{m+d}$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Let  $M \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^{m+d}$  be a generic CR submanifold with CR dimension  $m$  and real codimension  $d$ . For every point  $p \in M$ , there exist open neighborhoods  $U_p$  of  $p$ ,  $V_p$  of  $0 \in \mathbb{C}^{m+d}$ , a biholomorphic map  $\psi_p : U_p \rightarrow V_p$ , and a  $C^\infty$  function  $h_p : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that if we write*

$$M_p = \psi_p(M \cap U_p) \subset V_p$$

then

$$M_p = \left\{ (z, w) \in V_p \mid \operatorname{Re}(w) = h_p(z, \operatorname{Im}(w)) \right\}.$$

The function  $h_p$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} h_p(0, 0) &= 0 \\ \nabla h_p(0, 0) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 h_p(0, 0)}{\partial z_j \partial z_k} &= 0 \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This is standard. The existence of a function  $h_p$  satisfying the first two conditions follows from the implicit function theorem by viewing  $M$  locally near  $p$  as a graph over the tangent space  $T_p M$ . The further condition on the vanishing of the pure second derivatives of  $h_p$  at the origin is achieved by a standard quadratic biholomorphic mapping (see Section 7.2 in [B] for details).  $\square$

A complex vector field  $L$  on an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is said to be of type  $(1, 0)$  if it can be written as  $L = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$ . Suppose that  $M$  is a generic CR submanifold of CR dimension  $m$  and real codimension  $d$  in an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Then for each point  $p \in M$ , there is a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $m$  linearly independent  $C^\infty$  vector fields of type  $(1, 0)$  on  $U_p$ ,  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$ , such that  $L_j(\rho_l)(z) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $1 \leq l \leq d$ . If we write  $L_j = \frac{1}{2}(X_j - iX_{m+j})$

where the  $\{X_k\}$  are real vector fields, then the real vector fields  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{2m}\}$  at  $q$  span  $T_q^{\mathbb{C}}M$  for all  $q \in M \cap U_p$ .

**DEFINITION 1.2.**  $M$  is of type 2 at  $p$  if the vector fields  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{2m}\}$  at  $p$  together with all the second order commutators  $\{\dots, [X_j, X_k], \dots\}$   $1 \leq j, k \leq 2m$  at  $p$  span the entire real tangent space  $T_pM$ . Equivalently, the vector fields  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m, \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_m\}$  together with all mixed second order commutators  $\{\dots, [L_j, \bar{L}_k], \dots\}$   $1 \leq j, k \leq m$  at  $p$  span the complexified tangent space  $T_pM \otimes \mathbb{C}$ .

This condition is easily seen to be independent of the choice of vector fields  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$ . This condition is also open; i.e. if  $M$  is of type 2 at  $p$  then  $M$  is of type 2 at all points in some neighborhood of  $p$ . We say that  $M$  is of type 2 if  $M$  is of type 2 at every point  $p \in M$ .

This analytic definition of type is equivalent to a geometric condition on the Levi form on  $M$ , whose definition we now recall. For  $p \in M$ , let  $Y_pM$  denote the orthogonal complement to  $T_p^{\mathbb{C}}M$  in  $T_pM$ , and let  $N_pM = J(Y_pM)$ . This space is not necessarily orthogonal to  $T_p(M)$ , but it is transverse, i.e.  $T_p(M) \cap N_p(M) = \{0\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} T_pM &= T_p^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus Y_pM \\ \mathbb{C}^n &= T_pM + N_pM. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\pi_p : T_pM \rightarrow Y_pM$  be the orthogonal projection map. Let  $H_p^{1,0}(M)$  denote the subspace of the complexified tangent space to  $M$  at  $p$  spanned by tangent vectors of type  $(1,0)$ , (i.e. by  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$  at  $p$ ). If  $Z$  belongs to  $H^{1,0}(M)$ , then the vector field  $\frac{1}{2i}[Z, \bar{Z}]$  is a real tangent vector field.

**DEFINITION 1.3.** The *Levi form* is the well defined quadratic mapping  $\mathcal{L}_p : H_p^{1,0}(M) \rightarrow N_pM$  given by

$$\mathcal{L}_p(Z) = -J \left( \pi_p \left( \frac{1}{2i}[Z, \bar{Z}]_p \right) \right).$$

The closure of the convex hull of the image of  $\mathcal{L}_p$  is a closed cone in  $N_pM$  and is denoted by  $\Gamma_p$ .

We shall need a way to compute the Levi form of  $M$  at  $p$ . For any fixed  $p \in M$ , we first biholomorphically map  $M$  near  $p$  to  $M_p = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^d; \operatorname{Re}(w) = h_p(z, \operatorname{Im}(w))\}$  where  $h_p =$

$(h_p^1, \dots, h_p^d) : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is smooth as in Proposition 1.1. Under this biholomorphism,  $p$  gets mapped to the origin;  $H_p^{1,0}(M)$  gets mapped to the copy of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  given by  $\{(z, 0); z \in \mathbb{C}^m\}$  and  $N_p(M)$  gets mapped to the copy of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  given by  $\{(0, x); x \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$ . Define the bilinear form  $B_p : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$

$$B_p(\xi, \eta) = \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 h_p^1}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(0, 0) \xi_j \bar{\eta}_k, \dots, \sum_{j,k=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 h_p^d}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(0, 0) \xi_j \bar{\eta}_k \right).$$

It is a standard result that the Levi form of  $M$  at  $p$  ( $= \mathcal{L}_0(M_p)$ ) is given by the map

$$(z_1, \dots, z_m) \rightarrow B_p(z, \bar{z})$$

(see Corollary 1 in Section 10.2 in [B]).

The following proposition exhibits the connection between the commutator properties expressed in the type 2 condition, and geometric properties of the cone  $\Gamma_p$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.4.** *Let  $M \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a generic CR submanifold. The following are equivalent.*

- (1)  $M$  is of type 2 at  $p \in M$ .
- (2)  $\Gamma_p$  has nonempty interior in  $N_p M$ .
- (3)  $B_p : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  is surjective.

*Proof.* By expanding  $[Z + W, \overline{Z + W}]$  and  $[Z + \imath W, \overline{Z + \imath W}]$  for  $Z, W \in H^{1,0}(M)$ , one easily sees that  $M$  is of type 2 at  $p$  if and only if the set of vector fields  $L$  in  $H^{1,0}(M)$  and  $\bar{L} \in H^{0,1}(M)$  together with all second order commutators of the form  $[L, \bar{L}]$  for  $L \in H^{1,0}(M)$  span the complexified tangent bundle  $T(M) \otimes \mathbb{C}$  near  $p$ . Now, (1) and (2) are easily seen to be equivalent. Using the above formula for the Levi form, clearly (2) and (3) are equivalent.  $\square$

For any subset  $K \subset N_p M$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , let

$$K_\epsilon = \{z \in N_p M \mid z \in K, \text{ and } |z| < \epsilon\}.$$

If  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  are two cones in  $N_p M$ , we say that  $\gamma_1$  is smaller than  $\gamma_2$  and write  $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2$  if  $\overline{\gamma_1} \cap S$  is a compact subset of the interior of

$\gamma_2$  where  $S$  is the unit sphere in  $N_p M$ . We now describe the type of wedge domains which we will study.

**DEFINITION 1.5.** Let  $M \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a generic, CR submanifold of type 2. An open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is a domain with edge  $M$  if:

- (1)  $M \subset \overline{\Omega}$ ;
- (2) For each  $p \in M$  and for each cone  $\gamma < \Gamma_p$  there exists an open set  $\omega \subset M$  containing  $p$  and an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$\omega + \gamma_\epsilon \subset \Omega.$$

Property (2) roughly states that near a point  $p \in M$ ,  $\Omega$  locally contains translates of  $M$  in directions strictly interior to the cone  $\Gamma_p$ .

The set  $\omega + \gamma_\epsilon$  is parameterized in a natural way by  $\omega \times \gamma_\epsilon$ . After shrinking  $\epsilon$  if necessary, we can consider the projection  $\Pi$  of  $\omega + \gamma_\epsilon$  onto  $M$  so that for  $z \in \omega + \gamma_\epsilon$ ,

$$z - \Pi(z) \in N_{\Pi(z)} M.$$

We write

$$r(z) = |z - \Pi(z)|$$

where the absolute value denotes the length of a vector in  $N_{\Pi(z)} M$ .

We then have

**PROPOSITION 1.6.** *Let  $M \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a generic CR submanifold of type 2, and let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a domain with edge  $M$ . Let  $p \in M$  and let  $\gamma < \Gamma_p$ . Then there exist a neighborhood  $\omega \subset M$  of  $p$ , a constant  $\epsilon > 0$ , and constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  so that for every  $q \in \omega$  and every  $w \in \gamma_q$ , the following holds: if  $z = q + w$ , then*

$$C_1 |w| \leq r(z) \leq C_2 |w|.$$

We shall need to use the nonisotropic pseudometric and corresponding nonisotropic balls on  $M$  induced by the ambient complex structure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We only summarize the construction, which can be carried out for any CR submanifold  $M$  of finite type (see [NSW] for more details). Suppose  $\{L_1 = X_1 + iX_{m+1}, \dots, L_m = X_m + iX_{2m}\}$  is a basis for  $H^{1,0}(M)$  on an open set  $\omega \subset M$ .

DEFINITION 1.7. For  $p \in \omega$  and  $\delta > 0$ , let

$$B(p, \delta) = \left\{ \exp \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{2m} \alpha_j X_j + \sum_{j,k=1}^{2m} \beta_{j,k} [X_j, X_k] \right] (p); \right. \\ \left. \alpha_j, \beta_{j,k} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ with } |\alpha_j| < \delta, |\beta_{j,k}| < \delta^2 \right\}$$

where  $\exp$  denotes the exponential map.

There also exists a pseudo-metric  $D : M \times M \mapsto [0, \infty)$  so that

$$B(p, \delta) = \{q \in M; D(p, q) < \delta\}.$$

These balls have Euclidean dimension  $\delta$  in the  $m$  complex tangent space directions of  $M$  at  $q$  and so  $D$  behaves roughly Euclidean in these directions. In the  $d$  totally real tangent directions, these balls have Euclidean dimension roughly  $\delta^2$  and so  $D$  behaves roughly like the square root of the Euclidean distance in the totally real directions.

The next lemma summarizes the important properties of these balls.

LEMMA 1.8. [NSW] *Given a compact set  $K \subset M$ , there are constants  $0 < C_K^1 < C_K^2 < \infty$  such that*

- (1)  $C_K^1 \delta^{2d+2m} \leq |B(q, \delta)| \leq C_K^2 \delta^{2d+2m}$  for  $q \in K$ ;
- (2) *If  $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2$  and  $q_1, q_2 \in K$  with  $B(q_1, \delta_1) \cap B(q_2, \delta_2) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $B(q_1, \delta_1) \subset B(q_2, C_K^2 \delta_2)$ .*

Here,  $|B(q, \delta)|$  denotes the Lebesgue surface measure of the set  $B(q, \delta)$ .

We can now state our main result on the existence of a local integral representation formula for holomorphic functions and the corresponding estimates for plurisubharmonic functions on a domain with an edge given by a generic CR submanifold of type 2.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be an open set and let  $M \subset U$  be a generic CR submanifold of type 2. Let  $\Omega$  be a domain with edge  $M$ . Let  $p \in M$ , let  $\gamma < \Gamma_p$ , and let  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$  be a basis for the space  $H^{1,0}(M)$  near  $p$ . There exist the following: a neighborhood  $\omega \subset M$  of  $p$ ; a constant  $\epsilon > 0$ ; a constant  $C < \infty$ ; and a  $C^\infty$  function*

$$K : \{\omega + \gamma_\epsilon\} \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

with the following properties:

- (1) For  $z \in \omega + \gamma_\epsilon$  fixed, the function  $K_z(\zeta) = K(z, \zeta)$  has compact support in  $B(\Pi(z), C\sqrt{r(z)})$ .
- (2) For every noncommuting polynomial  $P(L, \bar{L})$  of degree  $k \geq 0$  in the vector fields  $L_1, \dots, L_m, \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_m$ , and every compact set  $K'$  in  $M$ , there is a constant  $C$  so that

$$\left| P(L, \bar{L})(K_z)(\zeta) \right| \leq C r(z)^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left| B\left(\Pi(z), \sqrt{r(z)}\right) \right|^{-1}$$

for  $(z, \zeta) \in \{\omega + \gamma_\epsilon\} \times K'$ .

- (3) If  $F$  is a function which is continuous on the closure of the set  $\Omega$  and holomorphic on  $\Omega$ , then for  $z \in \omega + \gamma$ ,

$$F(z) = \int_M K(z, \zeta) F(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

where  $d\sigma$  is the surface measure on  $M$ .

- (4) If  $u$  is a function which is continuous on the closure of the set  $\Omega$  and plurisubharmonic on  $\Omega$ , then for  $z \in \omega + \gamma$ ,

$$|u(z)| \leq \int_M K(z, \zeta) |u(\zeta)| d\sigma(\zeta)$$

where  $d\sigma$  is the surface measure on  $M$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 is accomplished in Sections 2,3, and 4.

**2. The model case.** The object of this Section is to study a very special case of a domain with an edge which is a generic CR submanifold of type 2. This example will serve as a model for the general case. As we shall see, this model domain and edge play the same role as the Siegel upper half space and the boundary Heisenberg group do for the study of strictly pseudoconvex domains.

We let  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{m^2}$  denote the complex vector space of  $m \times m$  complex matrices, and let  $H_m$  denote the real vector subspace of  $m \times m$  Hermitian matrices. For any  $r \times m$  complex matrix  $W$ , let  $W^*$  denote the conjugate transpose  $s \times r$  matrix. In particular, for  $W \in M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ , set

$$\Re(W) = \frac{1}{2}(W + W^*);$$

$$\Im(W) = \frac{1}{2i}(W - W^*).$$

Then for  $W \in M_m$ ,  $\Re(W)$  and  $\Im(W)$  are Hermitian,  $W = \Re(W) + i\Im(W)$ , and  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}} = H_m \oplus iH_m$ . We can also decompose  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  as  $M_m^{\mathbb{R}} \oplus iM_m^{\mathbb{R}}$  by  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \ni Z \rightarrow \Re\{Z\} + i\Im\{Z\}$  where  $M_m^{\mathbb{R}}$  is the space of real  $m \times m$  matrices. Here,  $\Re\{Z\}$  and  $\Im\{Z\}$  denote the usual real and imaginary parts of a matrix  $Z$  with complex entries. It will be useful to know the relationship between these two decompositions. To this end, we define the map  $A : M_m^{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow H_m$  as follows. Let  $X$  be an element of  $M_m^{\mathbb{R}}$ . Decompose  $X$  as  $D + U + L$  where  $D$  is the diagonal part of  $X$ ;  $U$  is the upper triangular part of  $X$  (with diagonal entries set to 0); and  $L$  is the lower triangular part of  $X$  (with diagonal entries set to 0). Define  $A(X)$  to be the matrix  $D + (U + iL^t) + (U^t - iL)$ . We can extend  $A$  to act on all of  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  by complex linearity (the range of  $A$  then becomes  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ ). It is an easy exercise to show the following:

$$\Re(A(Z)) = A(\Re(Z))$$

$$\Im(A(Z)) = A(\Im(Z)).$$

Since  $M_m^{\mathbb{R}}$  is a totally real subspace of  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ , one immediate consequence of this is that the splitting of  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  into  $H_m \oplus iH_m$  exhibits  $H_m$  as a maximal totally real subspace of  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ .

We shall view elements of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  as  $m \times 1$  complex matrices, and hence if

$$z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_m \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{then} \quad z^* = [\bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_m].$$

Define a quadratic form  $Q_m : \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow H_m$  given by

$$Q_m(z) = z z^* = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \bar{z}_1 & z_1 \bar{z}_2 & \dots & z_1 \bar{z}_m \\ z_2 \bar{z}_1 & z_2 \bar{z}_2 & \dots & z_2 \bar{z}_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ z_m \bar{z}_1 & z_m \bar{z}_2 & \dots & z_m \bar{z}_m \end{bmatrix}$$

DEFINITION 2.1. Define  $\rho : \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow H_m$  by setting

$$\rho(z, Z) = \Re(Z) - Q_m(z).$$

Then set

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_m &= \{(z; Z) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \Re(Z) = z z^*\} \\ &= \{(z; Z) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \rho(z; Z) = 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_m &= \{(z; Z) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \Re(Z) > z z^*\} \\ &= \{(z; Z) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \rho(z; Z) > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and below, we adopt the following notation: for matrices  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , we say that  $M_1 > M_2$  if  $M_1 - M_2$  is positive definite.

It is easy to check that  $\Sigma_m$  is a generic CR submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \cong \mathbb{C}^{m+m^2}$  of type 2, and that  $\Omega_m$  is a domain with edge  $\Sigma_m$ . We often identify  $\Sigma_m$  with  $\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m$  via the correspondence

$$\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m \ni (z, Y) \leftrightarrow (z; z z^* + \iota Y) \in \Sigma_m.$$

If  $(z, Z) \in \Omega_m$ , then  $Z = z z^* + X + \iota Y$  with  $X, Y \in H_m$ , and  $X > 0$ . There is a natural projection  $\pi : \Omega_m \rightarrow \Sigma_m$  given by

$$\pi((z; z z^* + X + \iota Y)) = (z; z z^* + \iota Y).$$

Each element  $(w; W) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  defines a holomorphic mapping of  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  to itself as follows:

DEFINITION 2.2. For  $(w; W) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ , let  $T_{(w; W)} : \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  be the holomorphic map given by

$$T_{(w; W)}((z; Z)) = (z + w; Z + W + 2z w^*).$$

We have the following proposition whose easy proof we leave to the reader.

PROPOSITION 2.3.  $T_{(w_1; W_1)} \circ T_{(w_2; W_2)} = T_{(w_3; W_3)}$  where

$$(w_3; W_3) = (w_2 + w_1; W_2 + W_1 + 2w_2 w_1^*) = T_{(w_1; W_1)}((w_2; W_2)).$$

Moreover

$$\rho\left(T_{(w;W)}(z;Z)\right) = \rho(z;Z) + \rho(w;W).$$

It now follows that if  $(w;W) \in \Sigma_m$ , then  $T_{(w;W)}$  carries  $\Sigma_m$  to itself and  $\Omega_m$  to itself. These calculations show that  $\Sigma_m$  is a group under the multiplication

$$(w;W) \cdot (z;Z) = (z+w;Z+W+2zw^*).$$

We can also define an action of  $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$  on  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  as follows.

DEFINITION 2.4. For  $g \in \text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$ , let  $S_g : \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  be the holomorphic map given by

$$S_g((z;Z)) \equiv (gz;gZg^*).$$

PROPOSITION 2.5. *This action of  $\text{GL}(m, \mathbb{C})$  preserves  $\Sigma_m$  and  $\Omega_m$ , and is a group of automorphisms of  $\Sigma_m$ .*

*Proof.* We have

$$\Re(g \cdot W \cdot g^*) = g \cdot \Re(W) \cdot g^*.$$

If  $\Re(W) \geq zz^*$ , then  $\Re(g \cdot W \cdot g^*) \geq (g \cdot z)(g \cdot z)^*$ , with equality in one equation if and only if there is equality in the other. Also

$$\begin{aligned} S_g((w;W) \cdot (z;Z)) &= S_g((z+w;Z+W+2zw^*)) \\ &= (gz+gw;gZg^*+gWg^*+2(gz)(gw)^*) \\ &= S_g((w;W)) \cdot S_g((z;Z)). \end{aligned}$$

□

We are interested in the existence of analytic discs in  $\Omega_m$  with boundary in  $\Sigma_m$ . Every analytic disc in  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  is a continuous map  $A = (Z;W) : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  which is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{D}$ . We write

$$Z(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1(\zeta) \\ \vdots \\ Z_m(\zeta) \end{bmatrix},$$

where each  $Z_j(\cdot)$  is a (scalar) holomorphic function.  $W(\cdot)$  is an  $m \times m$  matrix-valued holomorphic function. Such an analytic disc maps the boundary of the unit disc  $\mathbb{D}$  into  $\Sigma_m$  if and only if

$$\Re(W(e^{i\theta})) = Z(e^{i\theta}) \cdot Z(e^{i\theta})^*$$

for  $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$ .

We shall introduce the notation  $A_0(\zeta)$  for the special analytic disc

$$A_0(\zeta) = (Z_0(\zeta); W_0(\zeta))$$

where

$$Z_0(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta \\ \zeta^2 \\ \vdots \\ \zeta^m \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$W_0(\zeta) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 2\zeta & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 2\zeta^2 & 2\zeta & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 2\zeta^{m-1} & 2\zeta^{m-2} & 2\zeta^{m-3} & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$\Re(W_0(\zeta)) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \bar{\zeta} & \bar{\zeta}^2 & \dots & \bar{\zeta}^{m-1} \\ \zeta & 1 & \bar{\zeta} & \dots & \bar{\zeta}^{m-2} \\ \zeta^2 & \zeta & 1 & \dots & \bar{\zeta}^{m-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \zeta^{m-1} & \zeta^{m-2} & \zeta^{m-3} & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also,

$$Q_m(Z_0(\zeta)) = \begin{bmatrix} \zeta\bar{\zeta} & \zeta\bar{\zeta}^2 & \zeta\bar{\zeta}^3 & \dots & \zeta\bar{\zeta}^m \\ \zeta^2\bar{\zeta} & \zeta^2\bar{\zeta}^2 & \zeta^2\bar{\zeta}^3 & \dots & \zeta^2\bar{\zeta}^m \\ \zeta^3\bar{\zeta} & \zeta^3\bar{\zeta}^2 & \zeta^3\bar{\zeta}^3 & \dots & \zeta^3\bar{\zeta}^m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \zeta^m\bar{\zeta} & \zeta^m\bar{\zeta}^2 & \zeta^m\bar{\zeta}^3 & \dots & \zeta^m\bar{\zeta}^m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus when  $|\zeta| = 1$ ,

$$\Re(W_0(\zeta)) = Q_m(Z_0(\zeta)) = Z_0(\zeta) \cdot Z_0(\zeta)^*$$

and hence  $A_0$  maps  $\partial\mathbb{D}$  to  $\Sigma_m$ . If  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ , then  $(\Re(W_0(\zeta))\xi, \xi)$  is a harmonic function of  $\zeta$ , while  $(Q_m(Z_0(\zeta))\xi, \xi) = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m \zeta^j \xi_j \right|^2$  is a subharmonic function of  $\zeta$ . It is easy to check that  $((\Re(W_0(0)) - Q_m(Z_0(0)))\xi, \xi) = |\xi|^2$  and hence it follows from the minimum principle for superharmonic functions that  $\Re(W_0(\zeta)) - Q_m(Z_0(\zeta)) > 0$  for  $|\zeta| < 1$ . Hence the analytic disc  $A_0$  maps the open unit disc to  $\Omega_m$ .

We now construct a local integral representation formula for  $\Omega_m$ . Let  $F$  be holomorphic on  $\Omega_m$ , and continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_m}$ . Let  $I$  denote the  $m \times m$  identity matrix. Note that  $(0; I) \in \Omega_m$ . Our object is to construct a representing measure on  $\Sigma_m$  for the point  $(0; I) \in \Omega_m$  which has compact support and  $C^\infty$  density; i.e. to find a  $C^\infty$  function  $K_0$  with compact support, such that

$$F((0; I)) = \int_{\Sigma_m} F(\zeta) K_0(\zeta) d\lambda(\zeta)$$

where  $d\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure on  $\Sigma_m = \mathbb{C}^m \times H_m$ . Later, we shall obtain a representation formula for other points in  $\Omega_m$  by making use of the group structure on  $\Omega_m$ .

Since  $F$  is holomorphic on the subset of the complex subspace

$$\{(0; W) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \Re(W) > 0\},$$

we can use the mean value property to conclude that

$$F(0; I) = \int_{M_m^{\mathbb{C}}} F(0; I + W) \varphi(W) dW,$$

where  $\varphi$  is any radial function with compact support in a small neighborhood of  $0 \in M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  and with total integral equal to one. Write  $W = H + \imath Y$  where both  $H, Y \in H_m$ . Thus for a suitable function  $\varphi$  with compact support we have

$$F(0; I) = \int_{H_m} \int_{H_m} F(0; (I + H) + \imath Y) \varphi(H, Y) dH dY.$$

Note that the integrand has support in a small neighborhood of the origins of each copy of  $H_m$ . Next, we make the change of variables

$$(I + H) = (I + X)^2,$$

that is,

$$H = 2X + X^2$$

where  $X \in H_m$ . The mapping  $X \rightarrow 2X + X^2$  is a diffeomorphism from some small neighborhood of the origin of  $H_m$  to a neighborhood of the origin of  $H_m$ . Hence there is a smooth function  $\psi$  with support near the origin of  $H_m \times H_m$  so that

$$F(0; I) = \int_{H_m} \int_{H_m} F(0; (I + X)^2 + \imath Y) \psi(X, Y) dX dY.$$

Let  $U(m)$  denote the group of  $m \times m$  unitary matrices, and let  $dg$  denote Haar measure on  $U(m)$ . Since  $Z_0(0) = 0$  and  $W_0(0) = I$ , for every  $g \in U(m)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F(0; (I + X)^2 + \imath Y) \\ = F((I + X)gZ_0(0); (I + X)gW_0(0)g^*(I + X) + \imath Y) \end{aligned}$$

and so  $F(0; I)$  is given by the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \iiint_{H_m \times H_m \times U(m)} F((I + X)gZ_0(0); \\ (I + X)gW_0(0)g^*(I + X) + \imath Y) \psi(X, Y) dg dX dY. \end{aligned}$$

We perform the translation  $\hat{X} = I + X$  and let  $\hat{\psi}(\hat{X}, Y) = \psi(\hat{X} - I, Y)$ . Then, we drop the  $\hat{\cdot}$ . Since the map

$$\mathbb{D} \ni \zeta \rightarrow (XgZ_0(\zeta); XgW_0(\zeta)g^*X + \imath Y)$$

is an analytic disc, the mean value property of holomorphic functions implies that  $F(0; I)$  is given by the integral

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{H_m} \int_{H_m} \int_{U(m)} \int_0^{2\pi} F(XgZ_0(e^{i\theta}); \\ XgW_0(e^{i\theta})g^*X + \imath Y) \psi(X, Y) d\theta dg dX dY. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Re(W_0(e^{i\theta})) = Z_0(e^{i\theta}) \cdot Z_0(e^{i\theta})^*$ , the point

$$\Psi(X, Y, g, \theta) = (X g Z_0(e^{i\theta}); X g W_0(e^{i\theta}) g^* X + iY)$$

belongs to  $\Sigma_m$  for any  $X, Y \in H_m$ ,  $g \in U(m)$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ . We now study this mapping  $\Psi : H_m \times H_m \times U(m) \times [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \Sigma_m$ . We have

**LEMMA 2.6.** *For each fixed  $g_0 \in U(m)$  and  $\theta_0 \in [0, 2\pi]$ , the mapping  $\Psi$  has maximal rank at the point  $(I, 0, g_0, \theta_0)$ .*

*Proof.* After we identify  $\Sigma_m$  with  $\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m$ , the mapping  $\Psi$  becomes

$$\Psi(X, Y, g, \theta) = (X g Z_0(e^{i\theta}), Y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times H_m.$$

Thus it suffices to show that the mapping

$$(X, g, \theta) \rightarrow X g \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta} \\ e^{2i\theta} \\ \vdots \\ e^{mi\theta} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^m$$

has rank  $m$  at the point  $(I, g_0, \theta_0)$ . This is clear if we restrict  $X$  to diagonal matrices and  $g$  to diagonal multiples of  $g_0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Using this lemma, we can integrate out the extra variables in the above integral formula for  $F(0, I)$  and obtain the following.

**LEMMA 2.7.** *There is a non-negative function  $K_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma_m)$  such that:*

- (1) *For every function  $F$  which is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_m}$  and holomorphic on  $\Omega$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} F(0; I) &= \int_{\Sigma_m} F(\xi) K_0(\xi) d\lambda(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} \int_{H_m} F(z, Y) K_0(z, Y) dY dz; \end{aligned}$$

- (2) For every function  $u$  which is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_m}$  and plurisubharmonic on  $\Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(0; I)| &\leq \int_{\Sigma_m} |u(\xi)| K_0(\xi) d\lambda(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}^m} \int_{H_m} |u(z, Y)| K_0(z, Y) dY dz. \end{aligned}$$

In the above formulas, we have identified a point  $(z, Z) \in \Sigma_m$  with  $(z, Y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times H_m$  where  $Y = \mathfrak{S}(Z)$ . In the derivation of (1), we dealt with representing the value of a holomorphic function, but if the function is plurisubharmonic, all equalities are replaced by inequalities and (2) is obtained.

We can obtain representation formulas for other points in  $\Omega_m$  by making use of the group structure and dilations on  $\Sigma_m$  in the formulas in Lemma 2.7.

Let  $(z_0; Z_0) = (z_0; z_0 z_0^* + X_0 + iY_0)$  be a point in  $\Omega_m$ . Since  $X_0 > 0$ ,  $X_0$  has a unique positive square root  $X_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \in H_m$ . Then

$$(z_0; Z_0) = (z_0; z_0 z_0^* + X_0 + iY_0) = T_{(z_0; iY_0 + z_0 z_0^*)} S_{X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( (0; I) \right).$$

If  $F$  is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_m}$  and holomorphic on  $\Omega_m$ , put

$$F_{(z_0; Z_0)}(z; Z) = F(T_{(z_0; iY_0 + z_0 z_0^*)} S_{X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( (z; Z) \right)),$$

so that  $F_{(z_0; Z_0)}$  is again continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_m}$  and holomorphic on  $\Omega_m$ . By the last lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F((z_0; Z_0)) &= F_{(z_0; Z_0)}((0; I)) \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m} F_{(z_0; Z_0)}(z, Y) K_0(z, Y) dY dz \\ &= \iint_{\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m} F(T_{(z_0; iY_0 + z_0 z_0^*)} S_{X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left( (z; Y) \right)) K_0(z, Y) dY dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \iint_{\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m} F(T_{(z_0; \imath Y_0 + z_0 z_0^*)}((z; Y))) \\
&\quad K_0(S_{X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{-1}((z, Y))) \det(X_0)^{-m-1} dY dz \\
&= \iint_{\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m} F((z; Y)) \\
&\quad K_0(S_{X_0^{\frac{1}{2}}}^{-1} T_{(z_0; \imath Y_0 + z_0 z_0^*)}^{-1}((z, Y))) \det(X_0)^{-m-1} dY dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved

**THEOREM 2.8.** *There is a non-negative,  $C^\infty$  function  $K : \Omega_m \times \Sigma_m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that if*

$$(z_0; Z_0) = (z_0; z_0 z_0^* + X_0 + \imath Y_0) \in \Omega_m$$

then:

- (1) *For every function  $F$  which is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_m}$  and holomorphic on  $\Omega_m$ ,*

$$F(z_0; Z_0) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m} F(z, Y) K((z_0; Z_0), (z, Y)) dY dz.$$

- (2) *For every function  $u$  which is continuous on  $\overline{\Omega_m}$  and plurisubharmonic on  $\Omega_m$ ,*

$$|u(z_0; Z_0)| \leq \iint_{\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m} |u(z, Y)| K((z_0; Z_0), (z, Y)) dY dz.$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&K((z_0; z_0 z_0^* + X_0 + \imath Y_0), (z, Y)) = \\
&\det(X_0)^{-m-1} K_0\left(X_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(z - z_0), X_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(Y - Y_0 - 2\Im(z z_0^*)) X_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

In later Sections, we shall be particularly concerned with the situation when the point  $(z_0, Z_0)$  lies in the normal space to the manifold  $\Sigma_m$  at the point  $(0, 0)$ ; i.e., we consider the case when  $(z_0, Z_0) = (0, X_0)$  with  $X_0 > 0$ . In this case, the reproducing kernel takes the form

$$K((0, X_0), (z, Y)) = \det(X_0)^{-m-1} K_0\left(X_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}z, X_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}Y X_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right).$$

In other words, the reproducing kernel for points in the normal to the origin is obtained from the reproducing kernel for the fixed point  $(0, I)$  by dilation by the matrix  $X_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ .

Theorem 2.8 can easily be used to establish Theorem 1 for the model case. Statements (1) and (2) of theorem 2.8 establish statements (3) and (4) of Theorem 1. To show the support property (1) of Theorem 1, we first note that  $\Gamma_0$  is the cone  $H_m^+$  which by definition is the set of positive definite, Hermitian symmetric  $m \times m$  matrices. Suppose  $\gamma < H_m^+$  is given. The distance from the point  $p = (z, z \cdot z^* + X)$  to  $\Sigma_m$  (denoted  $r(p)$  in Theorem 1) is proportional to  $\|X\|$ , which in turn is proportional to the  $m^{\text{th}}$  root of  $\det X$ . The proportionality constant can be chosen to depend only on  $\gamma$ . Since  $K_0$  has support in a fixed compact set, the desired support property for  $K$  follows from the above formula for  $K$ . The desired estimate on  $K$  also follows from this formula and from the fact that  $|B(\Pi(p), \sqrt{r(p)})| \cong r(p)^{m+m^2} \cong (\det X)^{m+1}$ .

Though it is nice to have an explicit formula for  $K$ , such as the one given above, an explicit formula is not necessary to establish the desired support property and estimate stated in (1) and (2) of Theorem 1. These properties can be established by first finding a representing kernel  $K$  for points of the form  $z = (0, X)$  for  $X$  of unit norm and then rescaling. For example, suppose we have a smooth function  $(z, \zeta) \rightarrow K(z, \zeta)$  with compact  $\zeta$ -support in  $\Sigma_m$ , such that

$$F(0, X) = \int_{\zeta \in \Sigma_m} F(\zeta) K((0, X), \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \quad \text{for } \|X\| = 1$$

for holomorphic  $F$ . Now suppose  $\|X\| = \epsilon$ . Define the scale map  $S_\epsilon(z, Z) = (\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}z, \epsilon^{-1}Z)$ . The sets  $\Omega_m$  and  $\Sigma_m$  are invariant under this scale map.  $S_\epsilon$  takes the point  $(0, X)$  to  $(0, \epsilon^{-1}X)$  and  $\epsilon^{-1}X$  has unit norm. Using the above representation, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F(0, X) &= F(S_\epsilon^{-1}(0, \epsilon^{-1}X)) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_m} F(S_\epsilon^{-1}(\zeta)) K((0, \epsilon^{-1}X), \zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma_m} F(\zeta) K((0, \epsilon^{-1}X), S_\epsilon(\zeta)) \epsilon^{-(m+m^2)} d\sigma(\zeta) \end{aligned}$$

The kernel  $K_\epsilon(z, \zeta) = K(z, S_\epsilon(\zeta))$  has  $\zeta$ -support in the ball  $B(0, \sqrt{\epsilon})$  and  $\epsilon$  is proportional to the distance from  $z$  to  $\Sigma$ . Since  $\epsilon^{m+m^2}$  is comparable to the measure of  $B(0, \sqrt{\epsilon})$ , the estimate in (2) also follows from the fact that  $K(z, \zeta)$  has compact  $\zeta$ -support.

This idea of first representing holomorphic functions at points of the form  $(0, X)$  with  $\|X\| = 1$  and then rescaling will be used for more general edges where explicit formulas are too complicated to analyze directly.

**3. Free generic CR submanifolds of type 2.** For a general type 2 submanifold of CR dimension  $m$ , if  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$  is a basis for the space of tangential vector fields of type  $(1, 0)$  near a given point  $p_0$ , then the collection of  $2m + m^2$  vector fields

$$\{L_1, \dots, L_m, \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_m, \dots, [L_j, \bar{L}_k], \dots\}$$

span the complexified tangent space at each point near  $p_0$ . In general, there may be linear relations between these vector fields, and these relations may change from point to point. (The subset of vector fields  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m, \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_m\}$  is of course always linearly independent.) These changing relationships may lead to abrupt changes in the local nature of CR functions on  $M$ , and present difficulties when studying local analytic discs with boundaries in  $M$  near  $p_0$ . In this Section, we study a particularly simple class of generic CR submanifolds of type 2 where these difficulties are not present.

**DEFINITION 3.1.** Let  $M \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a generic CR submanifold of type 2. Let  $p_0$  be a point in  $M$ . Then  $M$  is *free* at  $p_0$  if for some (and hence for any) choice of basis  $\{L_1, \dots, L_m\}$  for the tangential vector fields of type  $(1, 0)$  near  $p_0$ , the  $2m + m^2$  vector fields

$$\{L_1, \dots, L_m, \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_m, \dots, [L_j, \bar{L}_k], \dots\}$$

are linearly independent at  $p_0$  (and hence in a neighborhood of  $p_0$ ). This is equivalent to the condition that the  $m^2$  vector fields  $\{\dots, [L_j, \bar{L}_k], \dots\}$  are linearly independent near  $p_0$ .

On a general generic submanifold of type 2, of CR dimension  $m$  and real codimension  $d$ , the “missing”  $d$  directions in  $T_p M$  which are not in  $T_p^{\mathbb{C}} M$  are spanned by the  $m^2$  vector fields  $\{\dots, [L_j, \bar{L}_k], \dots\}$ .

It follows that in general, the codimension  $d$  is at most  $m^2$ . However if  $M$  is free, we must have  $d = m^2$ . Thus we have

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** *A generic CR submanifold  $M \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  of type 2 and CR dimension  $m$  is free if and only if the real codimension is  $m^2$ .*

The first main objective of this Section is to show that a generic CR submanifold of type 2 which is free can be well approximated in an appropriate sense by the model CR submanifold  $\Sigma_m$ . We need to introduce notations for certain spaces of mappings.

Let  $C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0$  denote the space of  $C^2$  mappings

$$h : \mathbb{C}^m \times H_m \rightarrow H_m$$

with compact support which satisfy

- a)  $h(0, 0) = 0$ ;
- b)  $\nabla h(0, 0) = 0$ ;
- c)  $\nabla_z^2 h(0, 0) = 0$ .

Here  $\nabla$  denotes the gradient with respect to all variables, and  $\nabla_z$  denotes the gradient only with respect to the variables  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ . For  $h \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0$  we set

$$\|h\|_2 = \|h\|_{\text{sup}} + \|\nabla h\|_{\text{sup}} + \|\nabla^2 h\|_{\text{sup}}.$$

The condition  $h \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0$  means that near the origin, we have  $h(z, Y) = O(|z|^3 + |z||Y| + |Y|^2)$ . If we give the  $z$ -coordinate weight 1 and the  $Y$ -coordinate weight 2, then  $h$  vanishes to third order at the origin.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be open, and let  $M \subset U$  be a free generic CR submanifold of  $U$  of type 2. Let  $p_0$  be a point in  $M$ . Then there exist an open neighborhood  $V$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ , a neighborhood  $\omega$  of  $p_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , a  $C^\infty$  mapping  $h : \omega \cap M \rightarrow C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0$ , and a  $C^\infty$  mapping  $\Psi$  from  $\omega \cap M$  to the space of biholomorphic mappings of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the following properties (we write  $h_p$  and  $\Psi_p$  instead of  $h(p)$  and  $\Psi(p)$ ):*

- (1) *For each  $p \in \omega \cap M$  there is a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  so that  $\Psi_p$  is a biholomorphic mapping of  $U_p$  to  $V$ .*

(2)  $\Psi_p(p) = (0, 0)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} d\Psi_p(T_p M) &= \{(z, W) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \Re(W) = 0\}; \\ d\Psi_p(T_p^{\mathbb{C}} M) &= \{(z, W) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid W = 0\} \\ d\Psi_p(N_p M \cap U_p) &= \{(z, W) \in V \mid z = 0, \Im(W) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) If we set  $M_p = \Psi_p(M \cap U_p)$  then  $M_p$  is a free generic CR submanifold of  $V$ , and

$$M_p = \{(z, W) \in V \subset \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid \Re(W) = z z^* + h_p(z, \Im(W))\}.$$

(4) For  $p \in \omega \cap M$ , the function  $h_p$  is infinitely differentiable, and has compact support on  $\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m$ .

The point of this lemma, expressed explicitly in item (3), is that near each point  $p \in M$ , we can make a biholomorphic change of variables so that  $M$  has the same equation as the model case except for a third order error term.

*Proof.* The argument is fairly standard. Recall that according to the proof of Proposition 1.1, for any  $p \in M$ , there is a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$ , a translation and unitary change of variables  $\Psi'_p$ , and a neighborhood  $V'$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^{m+m^2}$  so that

$$\Psi'_p(M \cap U_p) = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+m^2} \mid \Re(w) = g_p(z, \Im(w))\}$$

where

$$g_p = (g_{p,1}, \dots, g_{p,m^2}) : \mathbb{C}^{m+m^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$$

is a function of class  $\mathbb{C}^\infty$  with  $g_p(0, 0) = 0$ ,  $\nabla g_p(0, 0) = 0$ , and  $\frac{\partial^2 g_p}{\partial z_j \partial z_k}(0, 0) = 0$  for  $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ . Near a fixed point  $p_0$ , the change of variables  $\Psi'_p$  can be made to depend smoothly on  $p$ . Moreover, the hypotheses on  $M$  imply that the Hermitian bilinear form

$$B_p(\xi, \eta) = \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 g_{p,1}}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(0, 0) \xi_j \bar{\eta}_k, \dots, \sum_{j,k=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 g_{p,m^2}}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(0, 0) \xi_j \bar{\eta}_k \right)$$

is surjective.

To complete the proof of Lemma 3.3, we need a result on the universality of the Hermitian form  $B_m : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ , where

$$B_m(\xi, \eta) = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_1 \bar{\eta}_1 & \xi_1 \bar{\eta}_2 & \cdots & \xi_1 \bar{\eta}_m \\ \xi_2 \bar{\eta}_1 & \xi_2 \bar{\eta}_2 & \cdots & \xi_2 \bar{\eta}_m \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \xi_m \bar{\eta}_1 & \xi_m \bar{\eta}_2 & \cdots & \xi_m \bar{\eta}_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

This result will also be needed in §4. □

LEMMA 3.4. *Let  $B : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  be any Hermitian bilinear form. There exist a unique real linear map  $T_B : H_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and associated complex linear map  $\tilde{T}_B : M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  such that for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathbb{C}^m$ ,*

$$B(\xi, \eta) = \tilde{T}_B(B_m(\xi, \eta)).$$

Here,  $\tilde{T}_B(X + iY) = T_B(X) + iT_B(Y)$ , where  $X, Y \in H_m$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{e}_j = (0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$  be the standard basis element of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  which is the  $m$ -tuple consisting of zeros except for a 1 in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  spot. A basis over  $\mathbb{C}$  for  $M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  is given by the collection of matrices  $\hat{e}_{j,k} = \{B_m(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)\}$ ,  $1 \leq j, k \leq m$ . We then obtain a basis over  $\mathbb{R}$  for  $H_m$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_{j,j} &= \hat{e}_{j,j}, & 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ \hat{f}_{j,k} &= \Re(\hat{e}_{j,k}) & 1 \leq j < k \leq m, \\ \hat{f}_{j,k} &= \Im(\hat{e}_{k,j}) & 1 \leq k < j \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

We define the real linear map  $T_B$  by prescribing its action on this basis. We set:

$$\begin{aligned} T_B(\hat{f}_{j,j}) &= B(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_j), & 1 \leq j \leq m, \\ T_B(\hat{f}_{j,k}) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \overline{B(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)} + B(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k) \right), & 1 \leq j < k \leq m, \\ T_B(\hat{f}_{j,k}) &= \frac{1}{2i} \left( \overline{B(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k)} - B(\hat{e}_j, \hat{e}_k) \right), & 1 \leq k < j \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the operator defined in this way satisfies the required properties, and is unique. □

**COROLLARY.** *If  $B : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is a Hermitian bilinear form which is surjective, then the real linear mapping  $T_B : H_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  for the associated bilinear mapping is surjective.*

We can now complete the proof of Lemma 3.3. Since the bilinear form  $B_p$  is surjective, the corresponding linear mapping  $\tilde{T}_{B_p} : M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m^2}$  is surjective, and hence bijective. If we let  $\Psi_p$  denote the composition of the biholomorphic mapping  $\Psi'_p$  with the map

$$(z, w) \rightarrow (z, \tilde{T}_{B_p}^{-1}(w))$$

we obtain the the biholomorphic mapping whose existence is asserted by the theorem. The rest of the argument is standard.  $\square$

For the model domain, our reproducing formula was constructed from an explicit family of analytic discs whose boundary lies in  $\Sigma_m$  and whose centers sweep out an appropriate cone in the normal space. Since  $M_p$  is well approximated by  $\Sigma_m$ , we expect that there will be a similar family of discs in this case, at least if the size of the discs is kept small. In order to see that this is so, we need to briefly recall the analysis of Bishop's equation. (The solution to Bishop's equation is discussed thoroughly in [B].)

Let  $C^{1,\alpha}(S^1; H_m)$  denote the space of continuously differentiable functions from the unit circle  $S^1$  to  $H_m$  whose first derivatives satisfy a Hölder condition of order  $\alpha$ . Let  $A_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}; \mathbb{C}^m)$  denote the space of continuously differentiable functions from the unit circle to  $\mathbb{C}^m$  whose first derivatives satisfy a Hölder condition of order  $\alpha$ , and which are boundary values of holomorphic functions on the unit disc which vanish at the origin.

For  $h \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0$ , put

$$M_h = \left\{ (z, X + \imath Y) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \mid X = zz^* + h(z, Y) \right\}.$$

Here and below, we let  $X \in H_m$  denote the coordinate  $\Re(W)$  and  $Y \in H_m$  denote  $\Im(W)$  for  $W \in M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ . Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} H : C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0 \times A_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}; \mathbb{C}^m) \times C^{1,\alpha}(S^1; H_m) \\ \times H_m \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(S^1; H_m) \end{aligned}$$

by setting

$$H_h(Z, v, Y)(\zeta) = h(Z(\zeta), v(\zeta) + Y)$$

for  $|\zeta| = 1$ . Then  $H$  is a smooth map, and the hypotheses on  $h$  imply

$$\begin{aligned} H_h(0, 0, 0) &= 0 \\ D_Z H_h(0, 0, 0) &= 0 \\ D_v H_h(0, 0, 0) &= 0 \\ D_Y H_h(0, 0, 0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $D_*$  stands for the Frechet derivative with respect to the given variable  $*$ .

For a continuous function  $u : S^1 \rightarrow M_m^{\mathbb{R}}$ , the Hilbert transform of  $u$  is the function  $Tu : S^1 \rightarrow M_m^{\mathbb{R}}$  with the property that  $u + iTu : S^1 \rightarrow M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  is the boundary values of an analytic function  $G : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  with  $\text{Im } G(0) = 0$ . We wish to modify this definition of the Hilbert transform as follows. Let  $u$  be a continuous function from  $S^1$  to  $H_m$ ; let  $G : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  be the unique analytic function with  $\Re(G)|_{S^1} = u$  and  $\Im(G)(0) = 0$ ; then define  $\tilde{T}u$  to be the restriction of  $\Im(G)$  to  $S^1$ . Using the map  $A$  defined at the beginning of Section 2 and the discussion there, it is clear that  $\tilde{T} = A \circ T \circ A^{-1}$ . From here on, we drop the  $\tilde{\phantom{x}}$  and denote this modified Hilbert transform by  $T$ .  $T$  is a continuous linear mapping from the space  $C^{1,\alpha}(S^1; H_m)$  to itself provided  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . From now on, we fix such an  $\alpha$ , say  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . We denote the norm on this space by  $\|\cdot\|$ . Next define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0 \times A_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}; \mathbb{C}^m) \times C^{1,\alpha}(S^1; H_m) \\ \times H_m \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(S^1; H_m) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\Phi_h(Z, v, Y) = v - T(Z \cdot Z^* + H_h(Z, v, Y)).$$

The equation  $\Phi_h(Z, v, y) = 0$  is called *Bishop's equation*, and we view it as an equation for an unknown function  $v$ , given the parameter function  $h$ , the analytic mapping  $Z$ , and the point  $Y$ . By the definition of  $T$ , a solution  $v = v_h(Z, Y)$  to Bishop's equation gives rise to an analytic disc  $G_h = G_h(Z, Y)$  with values in  $\mathbb{C}^d$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re(G_h(Z, Y))|_{S^1} &= Z \cdot Z^* + H_h(Z, v, Y) \\ \Im(G_h(Z, Y))|_{S^1} &= v_h(Z, Y) + Y \\ \Im(G_h(Z, Y))(\zeta = 0) &= Y. \end{aligned}$$

From the first of these equations, we see that the analytic disc

$$A_h(Z, Y)(\zeta) = (Z(\zeta), G_h(Z, Y)(\zeta))$$

maps the boundary  $S^1$  of the unit disc to  $M_h$ .

Note that if  $h \equiv 0$ , then the analytic disc  $A_0(Z, Y)$  has boundary in the model domain  $\Sigma_m$  and is given for  $|\zeta| = 1$  by

$$A_0(Z, Y)(\zeta) = (Z(\zeta), Z(\zeta) Z(\zeta)^* + iT(ZZ^*)(\zeta) + iY).$$

The next theorem summarizes the information we shall need about the existence of solutions to Bishop's equation. For  $\delta, t > 0$  set

$$\begin{aligned} W_\delta &= \{h \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0 \mid \|h\|_2 < \delta\}; \\ V_t &= \{(Z, Y) \in A_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}; \mathbb{C}^m) \times H_m \mid \|Z\|^2 + |Y| < t^2\}. \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 3.5.** *Fix  $t > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists  $\delta > 0$  so that for all  $h \in W_\delta$ , there exists*

$$v_h : V_t \rightarrow C^{1,\alpha}(S^1; H_m)$$

which satisfies Bishop's equation:

$$v_h(Z, Y) = T(Z \cdot Z^* + H_h(Z, v_h(Z, Y), Y)).$$

The mapping  $v_h$  depends smoothly on  $h$ , and gives rise to a family of analytic discs  $A_h(Z, Y) = (Z, G_h(Z, Y))$  with boundary in  $M_h$  for  $(Z, Y) \in V_t$ . We have

$$\Im(G_h(Z, Y))(\zeta = 0) = Y.$$

If we write

$$A_h(Z, Y)(\zeta) = A_0(Z, Y)(\zeta) + (0, E_h(Z, Y)(\zeta))$$

then the following estimates hold

- (i)  $|E_h(Z, Y)(\zeta)| \leq \epsilon [ \|Z\|^2 + \|Z\| |Y| + |Y|^2 ]$ ;
- (ii)  $|D_Z(E_h(Z, Y)(\zeta))| \leq \epsilon [ \|Z\| + |Y| ]$ ;
- (iii)  $|D_Y E_h(Z, Y)(\zeta)| \leq \epsilon [ \|Z\| + |Y| ]$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $\Phi_h(0, 0, Y) = 0$  since the Hilbert transform of a constant is zero. Also

$$\begin{aligned} D_Z \Phi_h(0, 0, 0) &= 0 \\ D_v \Phi_h(0, 0, 0) &= I \\ D_Y \Phi_h(0, 0, 0) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

From the implicit function theorem, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that Bishop's equation has a solution  $v = v_h(Z, Y)$  for  $Z \in A_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D})$  and  $Y \in H_m$  with  $(Z, Y) \in V_\delta$ . We wish to rescale to allow  $(Z, Y)$  to be any element in  $V_t$  where  $t$  is given in the hypothesis of the theorem. To this end, we let  $\hat{z} = \delta z/t$  and  $\hat{X} + i\hat{Y} = \delta^2(X + iY)/t^2$ . This rescaling map takes the set  $V_t$  to  $V_\delta$ . This rescaling map also takes  $M = \{X = h(z, Y)\}$  to  $\hat{M} = \{\hat{X} = \hat{h}(\hat{z}, \hat{Y})\}$ , where  $\hat{h}(z, Y) = (\delta t^{-1})^2 h(t\delta^{-1}z, (t\delta^{-1})^2 Y)$ . Since  $h$  is a function of order 3, if  $\|h\|_2 < \delta$ , then  $\|\hat{h}\|_2 < t$ . This rescaling establishes the existence part of the theorem.

To prove the estimates, we first note the estimate

$$\|v_h(Z, Y)\| \leq C [\|Z\|^2 + |Y|^2]$$

which follows because the Frechet derivatives of  $v_h$  vanish at the origin. (To see this, differentiate Bishop's equation.) The first estimate on the  $\Re$ -part of the error term  $E_h$  follows from the above estimate, the estimates we have on  $h$ , and from the formula

$$E_h(Z, Y)(\zeta) = \int P(\zeta, e^{i\theta}) h(Z(e^{i\theta}), v_h(Z, Y)(e^{i\theta}) + Y) d\theta.$$

where  $P(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Poisson kernel. The corresponding estimate for the  $\Im$ -part follows from the estimate on the  $\Re$ -part and from the fact that the Hilbert transform is a continuous map from  $C^{1,\alpha}(S^1; H_m)$  to itself. The other two estimates follow similarly, by differentiating Bishop's equation.  $\square$

Let  $H_m^+$  denote the open cone in  $H_m$  of strictly positive Hermitian matrices. Define a mapping

$$Z : H_m^+ \times U(m) \rightarrow A_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}; \mathbb{C}^m)$$

by

$$Z(X, g) = X^{\frac{1}{2}} g Z_0.$$

Note that

$$\|Z(X, g)\| \leq C |X|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for some universal constant  $C$ . It follows from the theorem that given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $t > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $h \in W_\delta$ , then for all  $X \in H_m^+$  with  $|X| \leq t^2$ , all  $Y \in H_m$  with  $|Y| \leq t^2$  and all  $g \in U(m)$  there exists the analytic disc  $A_h(Z(X, g), Y)$  satisfying the theorem. Consider the mapping

$$\Theta : W_\delta \times H_m^+ \times H_m \times U(m) \rightarrow M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$$

given by

$$\Theta_h(X, Y, g) = G_h(Z(X, g), Y)(0)$$

Our goal is to invert  $\Theta_h$ , i.e. we wish to find a map  $\psi_h : H_m^+ \times H_m \times U(m) \rightarrow H_m^+$  so that  $\Theta_h(\psi_h(X, Y, g), Y, g) = X + iY$ . Calculations for the model case show that

$$G_0(Z(X, g), Y)(0) = X + iY$$

and so

$$\Theta_h(X, Y, g) = X + iY + E_h(Z(X, g), Y)(0).$$

Since  $E_h$  is a higher order error term, it is reasonable to expect that we can invert  $\Theta_h$  for  $X$  and  $Y$  small. This is made precise in the next lemma.

**LEMMA 3.6.** *Let  $K_1 \subset H_m^+$  and  $K_2 \subset H_m$  be compact subsets. There exists  $\delta > 0$  so that for all  $h \in W_\delta$ , there exists a smooth mapping*

$$\psi_h : K_1 \times K_2 \times U(m) \rightarrow H_m^+$$

*such that for any  $(X, Y) \in K_1 \times K_2$  and any  $g \in U(m)$ ,*

$$\Theta_h(\psi_h(X, Y, g), Y, g) = X + iY$$

*Sketch of Proof.* Fix compact sets  $K_1 \subset H_m^+$  and  $K_2 \subset H_m$  (so in particular,  $K_1$  avoids the origin). Our estimates in Theorem 3.5 imply that  $\delta$  can be chosen small enough so that if  $h \in W_\delta$  then the  $X$ -derivative of the  $\Re$ -part of  $\Theta_h(X, Y, g)$  has maximal rank for  $X \in K_1$  and  $Y \in K_2$ . Since the  $\Im$ -part of  $E_h(Z(X, g), Y)(\zeta = 0)$

is zero, it is clear that the  $\Im$ -part of  $\Theta_h(X, Y, g)$  is  $Y$ . The proof of the lemma now follows easily.

We can now repeat the arguments of §2 pertaining to the model case to find a local integral representation formula for holomorphic functions at the point  $(0, I)$ . First, suppose  $F$  is a holomorphic function on all of  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  (instead of on just  $\Omega_m$  as stated in the hypothesis of Theorem 1). Then as in §2 we have

$$F(0, I) = \iint_{H_m \times H_m} F(0, I + X + \imath Y) \varphi(X, Y) dX dY$$

where  $\varphi$  is a radial function with compact support in a small neighborhood of the origin  $0 \in M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$ . In particular, we can find compact sets  $K_1 \subset H_m^+$  and  $K_2 \subset H_m$  so that the integration takes place only over  $(X, Y) \in K_1 \times K_2$ . Choose  $\delta > 0$  according to Lemma 3.6. Then, for any  $h \in W_\delta$  and any  $g \in U(m)$ ,

$$F(0, I + X + \imath Y) = F(A_h(Z(\psi_h(I + X, Y, g), g), Y)(0))$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} F(0, I) &= \iiint F(A_h(Z(\psi_h(I + X, Y, g), g), Y)(0)) \\ &\quad \varphi(X, Y) dX dY dg \\ &= \iiint \int_0^{2\pi} F(A_h(Z(\psi_h(I + X, Y, g), g), Y)(e^{i\theta})) \\ &\quad \varphi(X, Y) d\theta dX dY dg. \end{aligned}$$

Using a comparison with the model case, it is clear that this last integral is a compactly supported integral over  $M_h$  with respect to surface measure on  $M_h$ . We thus obtain the following analogue of Lemma 2.7:

LEMMA 3.7. *There exists  $\delta > 0$  so that for all  $h \in W_\delta$ , there exists a non-negative function  $K_h \in C_0^\infty(M_h)$  such that:*

(1) *If  $F$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  then*

$$F(0, I) = \int_{M_h} F(\zeta) K_h(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta);$$

(2) *If  $u$  is continuous and plurisubharmonic on  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  then*

$$|u(0, I)| \leq \int_{M_h} |u(\zeta)| K_h(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

(Here  $d\sigma$  denotes the surface measure on  $M_h$ .) We also have the following uniformity on the functions  $K_h$ :

- (1) There is a constant  $C$  so that for all  $h \in W_\delta$ ,

$$\text{suppt } K_h \subset \{z \in M_h \mid |z| \leq C\};$$

- (2) For each multiindex  $\beta$  there is a constant  $C_\beta$  so that for all  $h \in W_\delta$ , if  $D^\beta$  is a derivative of order  $\beta$ , then

$$\sup_{z \in M_h} |D^\beta K_h(z)| \leq C_\beta.$$

So far, we have seen that if  $\|h\|_2$  is small enough, we can represent the point  $(0, I)$  by integration against a compactly supported smooth function on  $M_h$ . We can now use homogeneity arguments to deal with arbitrary points  $(0, X)$  for  $X$  belonging to a smaller cone  $\gamma < H_m^+$ .

**LEMMA 3.8.** *Let  $\gamma < H_m^+$  be a relatively compact subcone. There exists  $\delta > 0$  so that if  $\|h\|_2 < \delta$  and  $X \in \gamma \cap \{|X| \leq 1\}$  then there is a function  $K_{h,X} \in C_0^\infty(M_h)$  with the following properties:*

- (1) If  $F$  is holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  then

$$F(0, X) = \int_{M_h} F(\zeta) K_{h,X}(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta);$$

- (2) If  $u$  is continuous and plurisubharmonic on  $\mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  then

$$|u(0, X)| \leq \int_{M_h} |u(\zeta)| K_{h,X}(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta).$$

Moreover

- (1) There is a constant  $C_1$  so that the function  $K_{h,X}$  has compact support in the nonisotropic ball on  $M_h$  centered at the origin having radius  $C_2 |X|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ .
- (2) Let  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  be the standard basis for the vector fields of type  $(1, 0)$  on  $M_h$  near the origin. For every noncommuting polynomial  $P(L, \bar{L})$  of degree  $k \geq 0$  in the vector fields  $L_1, \dots, L_m, \bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_m$ , there is a constant  $C_P$  so that

$$|P(L, \bar{L})(K_{h,X})(\zeta)| \leq C_P \|X\|^{-\frac{k}{2}} \left| B\left(\Pi(z), \sqrt{\|X\|}\right) \right|^{-1}.$$

The constants  $C_1$  and  $C_P$  are independent of  $h$  and  $X$ .

*Proof.* For any  $X \in H_m^+$ , consider the linear map  $S_X : \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m \times M_m^{\mathbb{C}}$  given by

$$S_X(z, Z) = \left( X^{-\frac{1}{2}}z, X^{-\frac{1}{2}}Z X^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Then  $S_X(0, X) = (0, I)$ , and  $S_X(M_h) = M_{h_X}$ , where

$$h_X(z, Y) = X^{-\frac{1}{2}}h \left( X^{\frac{1}{2}}z, X^{\frac{1}{2}}Y X^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) X^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Since  $h \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0$  has order 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_X\|_2 &\leq C \|h\|_2 \|X\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C \|h\|_2 \quad \text{for } \|X\| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By restricting  $\|h\|_2$ , we can make  $\|h_X\|_2$  as small as desired. To prove Lemma 3.8 for a given holomorphic function  $F$ , it suffices to apply Lemma 3.7 to the function  $F \circ S_X^{-1}$ . We have

$$F(0, X) = F \circ S_X^{-1}(0, I) = \int_{\zeta \in M_h} F \circ S_X^{-1}(\zeta) K_h(\zeta) d\sigma_h(\zeta)$$

where  $d\sigma_h(\zeta)$  denotes surface measure on  $M_h$ . Therefore

$$F(0, X) = \int_{\zeta \in M} F(\zeta) K_{h,X}(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta)$$

where  $K_{h,X}(\zeta) = K_h(S_X(\zeta))(S_X^* d\sigma_h(\zeta))$ . The estimates on the derivatives of  $K_{h,X}$  follow from the chain rule and the volume estimate on the nonisotropic ball given in Lemma 1.8.  $\square$

This lemma can be used to complete the proof of Theorem 1 for the case of *free* generic CR submanifolds of type 2 as follows. Let  $p_0$  be a fixed point in  $M$ . For  $p$  near  $p_0$ , we can biholomorphically map  $M$  to  $M_p$  so that its defining equations are in the normal form given in Lemma 3.3. This biholomorphism takes  $p$  to 0 (the origin). Therefore, it suffices to represent holomorphic functions at points of the form  $(0, X)$  for  $X$  with sufficiently small norm and which belong to some subcone  $\gamma$  of  $H_m^+$ .

Now we wish to apply the last lemma. However, there are 2 differences between the statement of Theorem 1 for free generic CR submanifolds of type 2 and the statement of Lemma 3.8. First, Theorem 1 has no restriction on the norm of  $h$ . Second, Theorem 1 assumes that the functions to be represented are holomorphic (or plurisubharmonic) only on the open set  $\Omega$  rather than all of  $\mathbb{C}^{m^2+m}$  as stated in Lemma 3.8. The first difference can be handled by the scaling argument given in the proof of Lemma 3.8 with  $X = \epsilon I$ . Note that in the proof of that lemma, the norm of the function  $h_\epsilon(z, Y) = \epsilon^{-1}h(\sqrt{\epsilon}z, \epsilon Y)$  is bounded by a constant factor of  $\|h\|_2 \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . For a given  $h \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0$ , we can restrict  $\|h_\epsilon\|_2$  by suitably restricting  $\epsilon$ . Then, we can apply Lemma 3.8. This rescaling means that the representation given in (1) and (2) of Lemma 3.8 now only applies to  $X \in \gamma$  with sufficiently small norm. For the second difference, we need to show that the analytic discs used in the construction of the integral formulas not only have their boundaries in  $M$ , but also lie entirely in  $\Omega$ , for then the proof of Lemma 3.8 applies to functions which are holomorphic only in  $\Omega$ . This additional fact follows from the following result.

**LEMMA 3.9.** *Fix constants  $C_1 > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon_0 < \epsilon_1 < 1$ . There is a constant  $C_2$  such that for any pair of closed convex cones  $\gamma < \gamma' < H_m^+$ , there is a  $t > 0$  so that for any  $h \in C^2(\mathbb{C}^m \times H_m; H_m)_0$  with  $\|h\|_2 < t$  the following holds. Let  $0 < \delta \leq 1$ . Let  $Z \in A_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}; \mathbb{C}^m)$  with  $\|Z\| < C_1\delta$  and let  $Y \in H_m$  with  $|Y| < C_1\delta$  and suppose*

$$\Re(G_h(z, Y)(\zeta = 0)) \in \gamma \cap \{\epsilon_0\delta^2 < |X| < \epsilon_1\delta^2\}.$$

*Then  $A_h(Z, Y)(\zeta) \in M_h + \{\gamma' \cap \{|X| \leq C_2\delta^2\}\}$  for all  $|\zeta| \leq 1$ .*

The lemma roughly states that if the center of an analytic disc belongs to a set of the form  $M_h + \{\gamma \cap S_\delta\}$  where  $S_\delta$  is some annulus where the inner and outer radii are proportional to  $\delta^2$ , then the image of the entire disc must belong to  $M_h + \{\gamma' \cap B_\delta\}$  where  $B_\delta$  is a ball of radius proportional to  $\delta^2$ . Since  $\Omega$  is a domain with edge  $M_h$ , the above lemma shows that the image of the discs constructed in the proof of Lemma 3.7 are contained in  $\Omega$  as desired.

*Proof of Lemma 3.9.* An easy scaling argument shows that it suffices to prove the lemma in the case  $\delta = 1$ . We can write the

analytic disc as

$$\begin{aligned}
A_h(Z, Y)(\zeta) &= (Z(\zeta), G_h(\zeta)) \\
&= (Z(\zeta), Z(\zeta) \cdot Z(\zeta)^* + h(Z(\zeta), \mathfrak{S}(G_h(\zeta))) + i\mathfrak{S}(G_h(\zeta))) \\
&\quad + (0, \Re(G_h(\zeta)) - Z(\zeta) \cdot Z(\zeta)^* - h(Z(\zeta), \mathfrak{S}(G_h(\zeta)))).
\end{aligned}$$

The first term belongs to  $M_h$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that the term

$$f_h(\zeta) = \Re(G_h(\zeta)) - Z(\zeta) \cdot Z(\zeta)^* - h(Z(\zeta), \mathfrak{S}(G_h(\zeta)))$$

belongs to a compact subset of  $\gamma'$  given that  $\Re(G_h(Z, Y)(\zeta = 0))$  belongs to  $\gamma \cap \{\epsilon_0 < |X| < \epsilon_1\}$ .

Let  $S$  be the set of all real-affine linear maps of unit norm which define the convex set  $\gamma'$  (i.e. a point  $X$  belongs to  $\gamma'$  if and only if  $\ell(X) \geq 0$  for all  $\ell \in S$ ). Our hypothesis that  $\Re(G_h(Z, Y)(\zeta = 0))$  belongs to  $\gamma \cap \{\epsilon_0 < |X| < \epsilon_1\}$  implies that there is an  $\hat{\epsilon} > 0$  (depending only on  $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma'$  and  $\epsilon_0$ ) with  $\ell(\Re(G_h(Z, Y)(\zeta = 0))) > \hat{\epsilon}$  for all  $\ell \in S$ . Using Theorem 3.5, it follows that  $G_h \rightarrow G_0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$ . Hence there exists a  $t > 0$  such that if  $\|h\|_2 < t$ , then

$$\ell(f_0(0)) = \ell(\Re(G_0(Z, Y))(\zeta = 0)) > \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{2}.$$

In addition,  $-\ell \circ f_0$  is subharmonic on  $\mathbb{D}$  and vanishes on  $\{|\zeta| = 1\}$ . The Hopf lemma and the maximum principle together with the previous inequality imply that there is a number  $\eta > 0$  (depending only on  $\hat{\epsilon}$ ) such that

$$(3.10) \quad \ell(f_0(\zeta)) \geq \eta(1 - |\zeta|) \quad \text{for } |\zeta| \leq 1.$$

We wish to show that an analogous inequality holds with  $f_0$  replaced by  $f_h$ , for this will show that  $f_h(\zeta)$  belongs to  $\gamma'$  as desired. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
f_h(\zeta) - f_0(\zeta) &= \Re(G_h(\zeta)) - \Re(G_0(\zeta)) - h(Z(\zeta), \mathfrak{S}(G_h(\zeta))) \\
&= \Re(E_h(Z, Y)(\zeta)) - h(Z(\zeta), \mathfrak{S}(G_h(\zeta))),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $E_h$  is the error term introduced in Theorem 3.5. Any first order  $\zeta$  (or  $\bar{\zeta}$ ) derivative of  $f_h - f_0$  can be written as a sum of

terms involving first order  $Z$  and  $Y$  derivatives of  $E_h$  and terms involving first order derivatives of  $h$ . These derivatives in turn can be controlled by  $\|h\|_2$  in view of Theorem 3.5. Together with the fact that  $f_0(\zeta) = f_h(\zeta) = 0$  for  $|\zeta| = 1$ , we see that if  $\|h\|_2 < t$ , then

$$|f_h(\zeta) - f_0(\zeta)| \leq C t (1 - |\zeta|)$$

where  $C$  is a uniform constant which is independent of  $Z \in A_0^{1,\alpha}(\mathbb{D}, \mathbb{C}^m)$ , with  $\|Z\| < C_1$  and  $Y \in H_m$  with  $|Y| < C_1$ . If we choose  $t$  small enough, then this inequality together with (3.10) implies that

$$\ell(f_h(\zeta)) \geq \frac{\eta}{2}(1 - |\zeta|) > 0 \quad \text{for } |\zeta| \leq 1$$

as desired.  $\square$

**4. General generic CR submanifolds of type 2.** In this Section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1 by reducing the case of a general generic CR submanifold to the free case discussed in the last Section. This reduction is accomplished by a process of adding variables, which we now describe.

Let  $M \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a generic CR submanifold of type 2, with CR dimension  $m$  and real codimension  $d$ , so that  $n = m + d$ . Let  $p_0$  be a point in  $M$ . If we denote the coordinates in  $\mathbb{C}^{m+d}$  by  $(z_1, \dots, z_m, w_1, \dots, w_d) = (z, w)$  then as in Proposition 1.1, we can assume that  $p_0$  is the origin and that there is a neighborhood  $U$  of the origin such that

$$M \cap U = \{(z, w) \in U \mid \operatorname{Re}(w) = g(z, \operatorname{Im}(w))\}$$

where  $g = (g_1, \dots, g_d) : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  and for  $1 \leq l \leq d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_l(0, 0) &= 0 \\ \nabla g_l(0, 0) &= 0 \\ \frac{\partial^2 g_l}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(0, 0) &= 0 \quad 1 \leq j, k \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Proposition 1.4 that the bilinear form  $B : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  given by

$$B(\xi, \eta) = \left( \sum_{j,k=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 g_1}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(0, 0) \xi_j \bar{\eta}_k, \dots, \sum_{j,k=1}^m \frac{\partial^2 g_d}{\partial z_j \partial \bar{z}_k}(0, 0) \xi_j \bar{\eta}_k \right)$$

is surjective. Using Lemma 3.4, it follows that there is a real linear map  $T_B : H_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$B(\xi, \eta) = \tilde{T}_B(B_m(\xi, \eta)).$$

Since  $B$  is surjective, it follows that the mapping  $T_B$  is also surjective. Hence the dimension of the kernel of  $T_B$  is  $m^2 - d$ . Let  $A^{d+1}, \dots, A^{m^2}$  be a basis for the kernel of  $T_B$ , where  $A^l$  is the Hermitian matrix  $\{a_{j,k}^l\}$ . For  $d+1 \leq l \leq m^2$ , define the quadratic function  $g_l$  on  $\mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$  which is independent of the last  $m^2$  variables by setting

$$g_l(z_1, \dots, z_m, w_1, \dots, w_{m^2}) = \sum_{j,k=1}^m a_{j,k}^l z_j \bar{z}_k.$$

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{m+m^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m+d}$  be the projection onto the first  $m+d$  variables. Let  $\tilde{U} = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^{m+m^2} \mid \pi(z, w) \in U\}$ . Set

$$\tilde{M} = \{(z, w) \in \tilde{U} \mid \operatorname{Re}(w_l) = g_l(z, \operatorname{Im}(w)), \quad 1 \leq l \leq m^2\}.$$

Clearly  $\tilde{M}$  is a submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^{m+m^2}$  of real codimension  $m^2$ . The function  $\pi$  is a smooth CR map from  $\tilde{M}$  to  $M$ . The submanifold  $\tilde{M}$  is foliated by the collection of manifolds  $\{\tilde{M}^{y'}; y' \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2-d}\}$  where  $\tilde{M}^{y'}$  is the slice of  $\tilde{M}$  with the coordinates

$$\operatorname{Im}(w') = (\operatorname{Im}(w)_{d+1}, \dots, \operatorname{Im}(y)_{m^2})$$

set to  $y' = (y_{d+1}, \dots, y_{m^2})$ . Note that  $\tilde{M}^0$  is the graph over  $M$  of the function  $g' = (g_{d+1}, \dots, g_{m^2})$  and that each  $\tilde{M}^{y'}$  is a translate (by  $y'$ ) of  $\tilde{M}^0$  (because the last  $m^2 - d$  defining equations for  $\tilde{M}$  are independent of  $\operatorname{Im}(w')$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{L}_p$  be the Levi form of  $M$  at  $p \in M$  and let  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{p}}$  be the Levi form of  $\tilde{M}$  at  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$ .

**LEMMA 4.1.**  *$\tilde{M}$  is a generic CR submanifold of  $\tilde{U}$  of type 2 of CR dimension  $m$  and real codimension  $m^2$ , and hence is free. Let  $p \in M \cap U$ , and let  $\tilde{p} \in \tilde{M}$  with  $\pi(\tilde{p}) = p$ . Then  $d\pi$  is an isomorphism from  $T_{\tilde{p}}^{\mathbb{C}}(\tilde{M})$  to  $T_p^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ , and also induces an isomorphism of*

the space of tangential vectors of type  $(1, 0)$  at  $\tilde{p}$  to those at  $p$ . In particular, the Levi forms for  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  at  $p$  and  $\tilde{p}$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_p$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{p}}$ , are related by

$$\mathcal{L}_p(d\pi(Z)) = \pi \left( \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\tilde{p}}(Z) \right)$$

for every  $Z$  which is a tangent vector of type  $(1, 0)$  at  $\tilde{p}$ .

*Proof.* Everything in the statement of the lemma is standard (see Chapter 10 in [B]) except the claim that  $\tilde{M}$  is of type 2. To see this, first note that the bilinear form  $\tilde{B}$  associated to the submanifold  $\tilde{M}$  at the origin of  $\mathbb{C}^{m+m^2}$  is given by

$$\tilde{B}(\xi, \eta) = \left( B(\xi, \eta), \sum_{j,k=1}^m a_{j,k}^{d+1} \xi_j \bar{\eta}_k, \dots, \sum_{j,k=1}^m a_{j,k}^{m^2} \xi_j \bar{\eta}_k \right).$$

We must show that  $\tilde{B} : \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$  is surjective. There exists a real linear mapping  $T_{\tilde{B}} : H_m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m^2}$  such that

$$\tilde{B}(\xi, \eta) = \tilde{T}_{\tilde{B}}(B_m(\xi, \eta))$$

and so it suffices to show that  $T_{\tilde{B}}$  is surjective. The lemma now follows from the fact that  $H_m$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Range } T_B \oplus \text{Ker } T_B$  and because the range of  $T_B$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^d$  (since  $M$  is of type 2).  $\square$

It follows from this lemma that if  $\Omega$  is a domain in  $\mathbb{C}^{m+d}$  with edge  $M$ , then the open set

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \left\{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \mathbb{C}^{m^2} \mid \pi(z, w) \in \Omega \right\}$$

is a domain with edge  $\tilde{M}$ . Moreover, holomorphic functions  $F$  and plurisubharmonic functions  $u$  on  $\Omega$  lift to holomorphic functions  $\tilde{F} = F \circ \pi$  and plurisubharmonic functions  $\tilde{u} = u \circ \pi$  on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .

To prove Theorem 1, we must show that if  $p_0 \in M$  and  $\gamma < \Gamma_{p_0}$  are given, then we can find a positive kernel which represents holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  at points of the form  $p + x$  where  $p$  is a point in some neighborhood  $\omega$  containing  $p_0$  and  $x$  belongs to some  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood of the origin of  $\gamma$  (denoted  $\gamma_\epsilon$  in the statement of the theorem). The basic idea is as follows: (1) lift the problem to  $\tilde{M}$ ; (2) apply the kernel from Lemma 3.8 to  $\tilde{M}$ ; and then (3)

integrate out any extra variables introduced by the lift from  $M$  to  $\tilde{M}$ . A slight complication arises in that there is no canonical way to lift points  $x \in \gamma$  to the convex hull of the image of the Levi form of  $\tilde{M}$ . Therefore, a localization argument with a partition of unity is used.

Now we present the details. For each point  $p \in M$  near  $p_0$ , let  $\tilde{p}$  be the *unique* point in  $\tilde{M}^0$  which lies over  $p$  (recall that  $\tilde{M}^0$  is the slice of  $\tilde{M}$  with  $\text{Im}(w') = 0$  and  $\tilde{M}^0$  is a graph over  $M$ ). For  $\tilde{p}$  near  $\tilde{p}_0$ , we can biholomorphically map  $\tilde{M}$  to  $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}$  so that its defining equations are in the normal form given in Lemma 3.3. Recall that this biholomorphism takes  $\tilde{p}$  to 0. This biholomorphism also induces a biholomorphic map defined near  $M$  (by restricting the biholomorphism to the first  $m + d$  complex coordinates). We let  $M_p$  be the image of  $M$  and  $\gamma_p \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be the image of  $\gamma$  under this biholomorphism. The convex hull of the image of the Levi form of  $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}$  at the origin is the cone  $H_m^+$  by Lemma 3.3. Likewise, we let  $\Omega_p$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{p}}$  be the images under this biholomorphism of  $\Omega$  and  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , respectively. In the new coordinates, there is a projection  $\pi_p : \tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}} \rightarrow M_p$  which takes  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{p}}$  to  $\Omega_p$ . To prove Theorem 1, it suffices to find a kernel for  $M_p$  which represents holomorphic functions on  $\Omega_p$  at points of the form  $(0, X) \in \mathbb{C}^m \times \gamma_p$ . By rescaling as in the discussion after the proof of Lemma 3.8, it suffices to assume  $|X| = 1$  and to assume that the norm of the third order terms in the defining functions of  $\tilde{M}$  are suitably small. Since the closure of  $\gamma_p \cap \{|X| = 1\}$  is compact, it suffices (by a partition of unity argument) to show the following: each point  $X_0 \in \gamma_p$  with  $|X_0| = 1$  has a neighborhood  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and a kernel which represents holomorphic functions on  $\Omega_p$  at points of the form  $(0, X)$  for  $X \in U$ . In view of Lemma 4.1, for each such  $X_0$ , there is a point  $\tilde{X}_0 = (X_0, u_0) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{m^2-d}$  which lies in  $H_m^+$ , the interior of the convex hull of the image of the Levi form of  $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}$  at the origin. Furthermore,  $\pi_p(\tilde{X}_0) = X_0$ . There is a neighborhood  $U$  of  $X_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  such that every point of the form  $(X, u_0)$  for  $X \in \bar{U}$  belongs to  $H_m^+$ . We now apply Lemma 3.8 to  $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}$  with  $\gamma$  equal to the cone over  $U \times \{u_0\}$  in  $H_m^+$ . We obtain a kernel  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\zeta})$  which represents holomorphic functions on  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{p}}$  at points of the form  $(0, X, u_0)$  for  $X \in U$ .

Now suppose  $F$  is holomorphic on  $\Omega_p$  and continuous up to  $M_p$ . The function  $F$  lifts to a function  $\tilde{F}$  which is holomorphic on  $\tilde{\Omega}_{\tilde{p}}$

and continuous up to  $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}$ . Let  $z = (0, X)$  and  $\tilde{z} = (0, X, u_0)$ . Using the kernel  $\tilde{K}_{\tilde{p}}$

$$\begin{aligned} F(z) &= \tilde{F}(\tilde{z}) \\ &= \int_{\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}} \tilde{F}(\tilde{\zeta}) \tilde{K}_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\zeta}) d\tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{\zeta}). \end{aligned}$$

As mentioned earlier,  $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}$  can be thought of as a foliation by copies of the graph of  $M_p$  (i.e.  $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}^0$ ) parameterized by  $\mathbb{R}^{m^2-d}$  with coordinates  $y' = (y_{d+1}, \dots, y_{m^2})$ . In particular, each point  $\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}$  corresponds to a unique point  $\zeta \in M_p$  and a unique point  $y' \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2-d}$ . Surface measure on  $\tilde{M}_{\tilde{p}}$ ,  $d\tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{p}}$ , is therefore comparable to  $dy_{d+1} \dots dy_{m^2} d\sigma_p$  where  $d\sigma_p$  denotes surface measure on  $M_p$ . Thus we may write

$$\tilde{K}_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{z}, \tilde{\zeta}) d\tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{p}}(\tilde{\zeta}) = \tilde{K}_p^1(\tilde{z}, \zeta, y') dy_{d+1} \dots dy_{m^2} d\sigma_p(\zeta)$$

where  $\tilde{K}_p^1$  is a kernel which is smooth and has compact  $\zeta$ -support. Therefore

$$F(z) = \int_{M_p} F(\zeta) \left[ \int_{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2-d}} \tilde{K}_p^1(\tilde{z}, \zeta, y') dy' \right] d\sigma_p(\zeta).$$

Since the point  $\tilde{z} = (0, X, u_0)$  is uniquely determined by the point  $z = (0, X)$  (because  $u_0$  is fixed), the term in brackets in the above expression only depends on  $z$  and  $\zeta \in M_p$ . So we define

$$K_p(z, \zeta) = \int_{y' \in \mathbb{R}^{m^2-d}} \tilde{K}_p^1(\tilde{z}, \zeta, y') dy'$$

and we obtain

$$F(z) = \int_{\zeta \in M_p} F(\zeta) K_p(z, \zeta) d\sigma_p(\zeta)$$

for  $z = (0, X, u_0)$  with  $X \in U$ , as required. The desired kernel for the original  $M$  is then obtained by composing  $K_p$  with the biholomorphism which mapped  $M$  (and  $\tilde{M}$ ) to  $M_p$  (and  $\tilde{M}_p$ ) for  $p$  near  $p_0$ . Since we have assumed  $\|X\| = 1$ , this kernel only represents holomorphic functions at points  $p + x$  for  $x \in \gamma$  with norm (approximately) one. More general  $x \in \gamma$  can be handled by a scaling argument given at the end of Section 1. The desired support property and estimate of the kernel follow from this scaling argument. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**5. Boundary behavior of  $H^p$  functions.** In this Section, we use Theorem 1 to study the boundary behavior along a generic type 2 edge of  $H^p$  functions defined on a wedge. We begin with the definition of this class of functions.

DEFINITION 5.1. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a domain with edge  $M$ . For  $0 < p < \infty$ , let  $H_{loc}^p(\Omega, M)$  denote the space of holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$  such that for every  $q \in M$  and every  $\gamma < \Gamma_q$  there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and a neighborhood  $\omega \subset M$  of  $q$  so that

$$\sup_{z \in \gamma_\epsilon} \int_{\zeta \in \omega} |F(\zeta + z)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < +\infty.$$

If  $p = \infty$  we require that

$$\sup_{z \in \gamma_\epsilon} |F(z)| < +\infty.$$

Next we define certain approach regions in domains with edges. We will need to consider a conical, or nontangential approach, as well as an admissible approach to a boundary point.

DEFINITION 5.2. Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be a domain with edge  $M$ . Assume that  $M$  is a generic CR submanifold of type 2. Let  $q_0 \in M$ , let  $\gamma < \Gamma_{q_0}$ , and let  $\alpha > 0$ . By the definition of a domain with an edge, there is a neighborhood  $\omega$  of  $q_0$  in  $M$  and an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\omega + \gamma_\epsilon \subset \Omega$ . Let  $q \in \omega$ . Define

$$(1) \quad C(\gamma, \alpha, q) = \left\{ z \in \omega + \gamma_\epsilon \mid |\Pi(z) - q| \leq \alpha \operatorname{dist}(z, M) \right\};$$

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{A}(\gamma, \alpha, q) = \left\{ z \in \omega + \gamma_\epsilon \mid D(\Pi(z), q)^2 \leq \alpha \operatorname{dist}(z, M) \right\}.$$

Recall that  $\Pi$  is the projection from  $\Omega$  to  $M$  which is defined at least near  $M$ , and  $D(p, q)$  is the nonisotropic distance between  $p$  and  $q$ . Also  $\operatorname{dist}$  refers to the Euclidean distance. The regions  $C(\gamma, \alpha, p)$  are the usual conical approach regions. Since  $D$  is roughly Euclidean in the complex tangential directions, the approach regions  $\mathcal{A}$  allow quadratic approach to  $M$  along these directions. Since  $D^2$  is roughly Euclidean in the totally real directions, these regions allow only nontangential approach in these directions. Thus the

regions  $\mathcal{A}(\gamma, \alpha, p)$  are the analogues of admissible approach regions for strictly pseudoconvex domains.

It is easy to see that if  $\Omega$  is a domain with edge  $M$ , and if  $F \in H_{loc}^p(\Omega, M)$ , then  $F$  has polynomial growth locally near  $M$ . To be precise, given  $p > 0$ , and given a point  $q \in M$  and a compact cone  $\gamma < \Gamma_q$ , there is a real number  $s$ , a neighborhood  $\omega \subset M$  of  $q$  and an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that for every  $F \in H_{loc}^p(\Omega, M)$ , there exists a constant  $C$  so that

$$|F(z)| \leq C \text{dist}(z, M)^{-s}$$

for  $z \in \omega + \gamma_\epsilon$ . This polynomial growth in turn implies that every  $F \in H_{loc}^p(\Omega, M)$  has a distributional limit  $F^*$  along  $M$  in the sense that if  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(M)$  then

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_M F(\zeta + \epsilon z) \varphi(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) = F^*(\varphi) \quad \text{for } z \in \gamma$$

(see [BCT]). Our main results deal with the existence of pointwise and dominated limits, rather than distributional limits, and with a partial characterization of the boundary value distributions.

**THEOREM 5.3.** *Suppose  $M$  is a type 2 - CR submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and suppose  $\Omega$  is a domain with edge  $M$ . Let  $f \in H_{loc}^p(\Omega, M)$ ,  $0 < p \leq \infty$ . For almost all  $q \in M$ , the following holds: Given  $\gamma < \Gamma_q$  and  $\alpha > 0$ , then*

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow q \\ z \in \mathcal{A}(\gamma, \alpha, q)}} f(z, w) \text{ exists.}$$

*This limit defines an element of  $L_{loc}^p(M)$  and the convergence is dominated. Conversely, if  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and  $f \in L_{loc}^p(M)$  is a CR distribution, then  $f$  has a unique holomorphic extension  $F$  belonging to  $H_{loc}^p(\Omega, M)$  for some open set  $\Omega$  with edge  $M$ .*

*Proof.* First we recall the definition of the maximal function  $\mathcal{M}$  associated to the family of nonisotropic balls defined in Section 1. For a locally integrable function  $f$  on  $M$ , define

$$\mathcal{M}(f)(q) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \frac{1}{|B(q, \delta)|} \int_{B(q, \delta)} |f(\zeta)| d\sigma(\zeta) \quad \text{for } q \in M.$$

□

LEMMA 5.4. [NSW] For  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{M} : L^p(M) \mapsto L^p(M)$  is continuous.

This lemma holds for any submanifold of finite type. Its proof is similar to the proof of the analogous fact for the Euclidean maximal function [SW]. The key ingredient of the proof is property (2) of Lemma 1.8 which is used to obtain the required converging lemma for our family of balls. We shall need Lemma 5.4 only for submanifolds of type 2 and only for the case  $p = 2$ .

The next lemma contains the key estimate for the proof of the theorem. It follows easily from the estimate on plurisubharmonic functions given in Theorem 1.

LEMMA 5.5 (MAXIMAL FUNCTION ESTIMATE). Suppose  $M$  is a generic type 2 submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and suppose  $\Omega$  is a domain with edge  $M$ . Given  $q_0 \in M$  and any sufficiently small open set  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  which contains  $q_0$ , there is an open set  $W'$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with  $q_0 \in W' \subset W$  such that for each  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\gamma < \Gamma_{q_0}$ , there are constants  $0 < C_1, C_2 < \infty$  which depend only on  $\alpha, \gamma, W, W'$  such that for  $q \in W' \cap M$  and  $\zeta \in A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'$  the estimate

$$u(\zeta) \leq \frac{C_1}{|B(q, C_2\delta)|} \int_{B(q, C_2\delta)} u \, d\sigma$$

holds for each nonnegative plurisubharmonic function  $u$  on  $W \cap \Omega$  which is continuous up to  $M \cap W$ , and where

$$\delta = (\text{dist}\{\zeta, M\})^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

In particular, this estimate implies

$$\sup_{A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'} u \leq C_1 \mathcal{M}(u)(q)$$

for a nonnegative plurisubharmonic function  $u$  defined on  $W \cap \Omega$  which is continuous up to  $M$ .

We proceed with the proof of Theorem 2. Fix any  $q_0 \in M$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\gamma < \Gamma_{q_0}$ . We assume  $0 < p < \infty$  (the case  $p = \infty$  is similar and in fact easier). Since  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^p(\Omega, M)$ , for any  $\nu$  in the interior of  $\Gamma_{q_0}$

with  $|\nu| = 1$ , there exist  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  and an open set  $\omega \subset M$  containing  $q_0$  with

$$\sup_{0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0} \int_{\zeta \in \omega} |f_\epsilon(\zeta)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \infty$$

where  $f_\epsilon(\zeta) = f(\zeta + \epsilon\nu)$ . The collection  $\{|f_\epsilon|^{\frac{p}{2}}; \epsilon > 0\}$  forms a bounded set in  $L^2(\omega)$ , and so there exists an element  $g \in L^2(\omega)$  such that for some subsequence  $\epsilon_k \mapsto 0$

$$|f_{\epsilon_k}|^{\frac{p}{2}} \mapsto g \text{ weakly in } L^2(\omega).$$

We extend  $g$  to all of  $M$  by letting  $g(\zeta) = 0$  for  $\zeta \in M - \omega$ .

Choose an open set  $W \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  which contains  $q_0$  so that  $W \cap M \subset \omega$ . Then choose an open set  $W' \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $q_0$  so that  $W'$  satisfies the conclusion of the maximal function estimate. We shall assume that  $W'$  is small enough so that the following property holds: there is an  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$  and all  $\zeta \in W' \cap \Omega$  and all  $q \in M \cap W'$  we have  $B(q, C_2\delta_\epsilon) \subset W \cap M \subset \omega$  where  $\delta_\epsilon = (\text{dist}\{\zeta + \epsilon\nu, M\})^{\frac{1}{2}}$ , and where  $C_2$  is the constant appearing in the maximal function estimate.

**LEMMA 5.6.** *Given  $\gamma < \Gamma_{q_0}$  with  $\nu \in \gamma$  as above. Suppose  $\alpha > 0$  is given. There is a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $q \in M \cap W'$*

$$\sup_{A(q, \alpha, \gamma) \cap W'} |f_\epsilon|^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq CM(g)(q) \quad \text{for } 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0.$$

*Proof.* The maximal function estimate applied to  $|f_{\epsilon_k}|^{\frac{p}{2}}$  and  $\zeta \in A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'$  yields

$$|f_{\epsilon_k}(\zeta + \epsilon\nu)|^{\frac{p}{2}} \leq \frac{C_1}{|B(q, C_2\delta_\epsilon)|} \int_{B(q, C_2\delta_\epsilon)} |f_{\epsilon_k}|^{\frac{p}{2}} d\sigma$$

where  $\delta_\epsilon = [\text{dist}(\zeta + \epsilon\nu, M)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . For  $\zeta \in A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'$ ,  $q \in M \cap W'$  and  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$ , we have  $B(q, C_2\delta_\epsilon) \subset M \cap W \subset \omega$  by the choice of  $\epsilon_0$ ,  $W$  and  $W'$ . Since  $|f_{\epsilon_k}|^{\frac{p}{2}} \mapsto g$  weakly in  $L^2(\omega)$  and  $f_{\epsilon_k}(\zeta + \epsilon\nu) \mapsto f(\zeta + \epsilon\nu) = f_\epsilon(\zeta)$  as  $\epsilon_k \mapsto 0$ , the lemma easily follows.  $\square$

LEMMA 5.7. *For almost all  $q \in W' \cap M$ ,  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\epsilon(q)$  exists.*

*Proof.* Since  $g$  is an element of  $L^2(\omega)$ , Lemmas 5.6 and 5.4 imply that  $f$  is almost everywhere nontangentially bounded in  $\Omega$  near  $M$ . But then it follows that  $f$  has almost everywhere limits within the conical approach regions  $C(\gamma, \alpha, q)$  from  $\Omega$  on  $M$ . In particular,  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f_\epsilon(q)$  exists for almost all  $q \in W' \cap M$ . The classical version of this result dealing with harmonic functions on a half plane or a tube over a cone is discussed in [SW]. The ideas and techniques of Rosay [R] can then be used to handle nontangentially bounded holomorphic functions on  $\Omega$ .

We denote by  $f_0$  the pointwise almost everywhere limit given in Lemma 5.7. □

LEMMA 5.8.  $\lim_{\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0} \|f_{\epsilon_k} - f_0\|_{L^p(W' \cap M)} = 0$ .

*Proof.* This lemma follows from Lemmas 5.6, 5.7, 5.4 and the dominated convergence theorem. □

Now we complete the proof of the first part of the Theorem 2. We first extend  $f$  (and therefore  $f_\epsilon$ ) by zero outside of  $W$ . Since  $f_\epsilon$  is continuous up to  $M \cap W$ , we can apply the maximal function estimate to  $|f_{\epsilon_j} - f_{\epsilon_k}|^{\frac{p}{2}}$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M \cap W'} \left( \sup_{A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'} |f_{\epsilon_j} - f_{\epsilon_k}|^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^2 d\sigma(q) \\ & \leq C_1^2 \int_{M \cap W'} \left( \mathcal{M}(|f_{\epsilon_j} - f_{\epsilon_k}|^{\frac{p}{2}}) \right)^2 (q) d\sigma(q) \\ & \leq \tilde{C} \int_{M \cap W'} |f_{\epsilon_j} - f_{\epsilon_k}|^p d\sigma(q) \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.4 with } p = 2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{C}$  is a uniform constant. By letting  $k \mapsto \infty$ , we obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \int_{M \cap W'} \left( \sup_{A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'} |f_{\epsilon_j} - f|^p \right) d\sigma(q) \leq \tilde{C} \int_{M \cap W'} |f_{\epsilon_j} - f_0|^p d\sigma.$$

This latter integral converges to zero as  $\epsilon_j \mapsto 0$  by Lemma 5.8.

For a real valued function  $u : \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  and for  $\delta > 0$ , let

$$\Omega(u, \delta) = \left\{ q \in M \cap W'; \limsup_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow q \\ \zeta \in A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'}} u(\zeta) - \liminf_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow q \\ \zeta \in A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'}} u(\zeta) > \delta \right\}.$$

We wish to show that for each  $\delta > 0$ ,  $|\Omega(\operatorname{Re} f, \delta)| = 0 = |\Omega(\operatorname{Im} f, \delta)|$ . Since  $f_{\epsilon_j}$  is continuous up to  $M$ ,  $\Omega(\operatorname{Re} f, \delta) = \Omega(\operatorname{Re}(f - f_{\epsilon_j}), \delta)$ . Moreover

$$\Omega(\operatorname{Re}(f - f_{\epsilon_j}), \delta) \subset \left\{ q \in M \cap W'; \sup_{A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'} |f - f_{\epsilon_j}| > \frac{\delta}{2} \right\}$$

and the measure of this latter set converges to zero as  $\epsilon_j \mapsto 0$  in view of (5.9). Therefore,  $|\Omega(\operatorname{Re} f, \delta)| = 0$  and similar arguments show  $|\Omega(\operatorname{Im} f, \delta)| = 0$ . It follows that for each  $q_0 \in M$  and each  $\gamma < \Gamma_0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , there is a neighborhood  $W'$  of  $q_0$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that for almost all  $q \in M \cap W'$ ,

$$\lim_{\substack{\zeta \rightarrow q \\ \zeta \in A(\gamma, \alpha, q) \cap W'}} f(\zeta) \text{ exists.}$$

The proof of the first part of the Theorem 2 is now complete.

To prove the converse, we use an approximation theorem from Baouendi and Treves (see [BT]). Their techniques can be easily modified to show that if  $f_0$  is a CR distribution in  $L^p(M \cap W)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , then there is an open set  $W$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  containing  $q_0$  and a sequence  $F_j$  of entire functions such that  $F_j \mapsto f_0$  in  $L^p(M \cap W)$ . Fix any  $\gamma < \Gamma_{q_0}$ . An application of the maximal function estimate to  $|F_j - F_k|^p$  implies that the sequence  $F_j$  converges uniformly on the compact subsets of  $\{M + \gamma\} \cap W'$  to a holomorphic limit denoted by  $F$  which is the unique holomorphic extension of  $f$ . Here,  $W'$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  which contains  $q_0$  and which depends on  $q_0$  and  $\gamma$ . Let  $\Omega$  be the union of all sets of the form  $\{M + \gamma\} \cap W'$  where the union is over all  $\gamma < \Gamma_{q_0}$  and all  $q_0 \in M$ . By piecing together all the local extensions we obtain a holomorphic function  $F$  on  $\Omega$  which extends  $f$ . Fix any  $q_0 \in M$  and fix any  $\gamma < \Gamma_{q_0}$ . Another application of our maximal function estimate to  $|F_j|^p$  yields:  $|F_j(\zeta + \epsilon\nu)|^p \leq$

$C[\mathcal{M}(\chi|F_j|^{\frac{p}{2}})]^2$  for  $\zeta \in M \cap W'$ ,  $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0$  and  $\nu \in \gamma$ , where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function on  $M \cap W$ . An easy limit argument (as  $j \mapsto \infty$ ) yields

$$\sup_{\substack{\nu \in \gamma, |\nu|=1 \\ 0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_0}} \int_{\zeta \in M \cap W'} |F(\zeta + \epsilon\nu)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) \leq \int_{M \cap W} |f_0(q)|^p d\sigma(\zeta) < \infty,$$

and so  $F$  is an element of  $H_{loc}^p(\Omega, M)$  as desired.

#### REFERENCES

- [BCT] M. S. Baouendi, C. H. Chang, and F. Trèves, *Microlocal hypoanalyticity and extension of CR functions*, J. Differential Geom., **18** (1983), 331-391.
- [BT] M. S. Baouendi and F. Trèves, *A property of the functions and distributions annihilated by a locally integrable system of complex vector fields* Ann. Math., **113** (1981), 387-421.
- [B] A. Boggress, *CR Manifolds and the Tangential Cauchy-Riemann Complex*, CRC Press, Boca Raton FL, 1991.
- [BDN] A. Boggress, R. Dwiłewicz, and A. Nagel, *The hull of holomorphy of a nonisotropic ball in a real hypersurface of finite type*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **323** (1991), 209-232.
- [BN] A. Boggress and A. Nagel *Representing measures for holomorphic functions on type 2 wedges*, to appear in Proceedings of the Fourier Analysis Conference in honor of E.M. Stein, Princeton University Press.
- [FS] G. Folland and E.M. Stein, *Estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}_b$  complex and analysis on the Heisenberg group*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **27** (1974), 429-522.
- [NSW] A. Nagel, E. M. Stein and S. Wainger, *Balls and metrics defined by vector fields, I: basic properties*, Acta. Math., **155** (1985), 105-147.
- [R] J.-P. Rosay, *On the radial maximal function and the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function in wedges*, to appear in Proceedings of the Madison Symposium in Complex Analysis, Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc.
- [RS] L. Rothschild and E.M. Stein, *Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups*, Acta Math., **137** (1976), 247-320.
- [SW] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Fourier Analysis on Euclidean Spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1971.

Received August 12, 1992. Supported in part by an NSF grant at the University of Wisconsin-Madison.

TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY

COLLEGE STATION, TX 77845

*E-mail address:* [boggess@math.tamu.edu](mailto:boggess@math.tamu.edu)

AND

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

MADISON, WI 53706

*E-mail address:* [nagel@math.wisc.edu](mailto:nagel@math.wisc.edu)



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by

E. F. BECKENBACH (1906-1982)      F. WOLF (1904-1989)

## EDITORS

SUN-YUNG A. CHANG  
(Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
pacific@math.ucla.edu

F. MICHAEL CHRIST  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
christ@math.ucla.edu

THOMAS ENRIGHT  
University of California  
San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093  
tenright@ucsd.edu

NICHOLAS ERCOLANI  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

R. FINN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

VAUGHAN F. R. JONES  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

STEVEN KERCKHOFF  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

MARTIN SCHARLEMANN  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
mgscharl@math.ucsb.edu

GANG TIAN  
Courant Institute  
New York University  
New York, NY 10012-1110  
tiang@taotao.cims.nyu.edu

V. S. VARADARAJAN  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024-1555  
vsv@math.ucla.edu

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Please do not use built up fractions in the text of the manuscript. However, you may use them in the displayed equations. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. In particular it should contain no bibliographic references. Please propose a heading for the odd numbered pages of less than 35 characters. Manuscripts, in triplicate, may be sent to any one of the editors. Please classify according to the 1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification* scheme which can be found in the December index volumes of *Mathematical Reviews*. Supply name and address of the author to whom proofs should be sent. All other communications should be addressed to the managing editor, or Julie Honig, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024-1555.

There are page-charges associated with articles appearing in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics. These charges are expected to be paid by the author's University, Government Agency or Company. If the author or authors do not have access to such Institutional support these charges are waived. Single authors will receive 75 free reprints; joint authors will receive a total of 100 free reprints. Additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$215.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$108.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for numbers issued in the last three calendar years, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Old back numbers obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 981 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at University of California,  
Berkeley, CA 94720, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$ ,  
the American Mathematical Society's  $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$  macro system.

Copyright ©1994 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 167    No. 1    January 1995

---

Local reproducing kernels on wedge-like domains with type 2 edges	1
AL BOGGESS and ALEXANDER NAGEL	
Discriminants of involutions on Henselian division algebras	49
MAURICE CHACRON, H. DHERTE, JEAN-PIERRE TIGNOL, ADRIAN R. WADSWORTH and V. I. YANCHEVSKIĪ	
Essential tori obtained by surgery on a knot	81
MARIO EUDAVE-MUÑOZ	
Non-compact totally peripheral 3-manifolds	119
LUKE HARRIS and PETER SCOTT	
Some representations of TAF algebras	129
JOHN LINDSAY ORR and JUSTIN PETERS	
A non-Haken hyperbolic 3-manifold covered by a surface bundle	163
ALAN W. REID	
The nonhomogeneous minimal surface equation involving a measure	183
WILLIAM KARL ZIEMER	



0030-8730(1995)167:1;1-E