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We use the work of Pfaltzgraf on subordination chains in $\mathbb{C}^n$ to recover a growth theorem for starlike mappings of the unit ball established recently by Barnard, FitzGerald and Gong. We also introduce a class of strongly starlike maps for which we construct, aided by the aforementioned technique, an explicit quasiconformal extension to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Several examples are discussed at the end.

1. Introduction. Let $f$ be a univalent map of the unit disc, with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) = 1$. The celebrated Koebe theorem asserts that the image of $f$ contains a disc about the origin of radius $1/4$, $1/4$ being sharp. This theorem has no analogue in several complex variables, whether one deals with normalized univalent maps of the unit ball $B^n$ or the polydisc. By normalized we mean fixing the origin and having the identity as differential at that point. In particular, the classical growth theorem in dimension 1

$$\frac{|z|}{(1 + |z|)^2} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1 - |z|)^2}$$

is no longer valid in higher dimensions. Remarkably, (1.1) persists for arbitrary $n$ when considering the subclass of starlike maps of $B^n$, as Barnard, FitzGerald and Gong have recently shown [BFG 1]. The result is sharp. Recall that a map is called starlike if the image is starlike with respect to the origin. Suffridge has given the following alternative local characterization: let $w(z) = (Df)^{-1}(f)$, where the differential and the function are evaluated at $z$. Then $f$ is starlike if and only if

$$\text{Re}\langle \bar{z}, w(z) \rangle \geq 0.$$  

Here $\langle a, b \rangle = \sum a_i b_i$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ [S 1]. When $n = 1$ then (1.2) recovers the condition $\text{Re}\{z f'(z)/f(z)\} \geq 0$. The proof in [BFG 1] uses (1.2)
to estimate $\frac{d}{dt}|f|^2$ along the (arclength parametrized) preimages in the ball of straight line segments in the image $f(B^n)$.

On the other hand, the bounds in (1.1) hold for a more general class of functions, namely those associated to subordination chains. Let $f(z, t)$ be a family of functions on $B^n \times [0, \infty)$, holomorphic in $z$ for each $t$, normalized so that $f(0, t) = 0$ and $Df(0, t) = e^t I$. We say that $f(z, t)$ forms a subordination chain provided that for each $s, t$, $0 \leq s \leq t$, there exists a (Schwarz) function $v(z, s, t)$, holomorphic in $z$, such that $|v(z, s, t)| \leq 1$, $v(0, s, t) = 0$ and

$$f(z, s) = f(v(z, s, t), t).$$

Note that $|v(z, s, t)| \leq |z|$ as a consequence of Schwarz lemma. Subordination chains arise as solutions of the so called Löwner differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(z, t) = Df(z, t)(h(z, t)),$$

where $h(z, t)$ is holomorphic in $z$, with $h(0, t) = 0$, $Dh(0, t) = I$ and $\text{Re}(\bar{z}, h(z, t)) \geq 0$.

In connection to univalence and quasiconformal extension, subordination chains were originally studied in the plane by Pommerenke [P] and Becker [B], and later by Pfaltzgraff in higher dimensions [Pf 1], [Pf 2].

The estimates in (1.1) for starlike maps follow from the general theory by inserting $f$ as the initial element $f(z, 0)$ of the subordination chain

$$f(z, t) = e^t f(z),$$

which satisfies (1.3) with $h(z, t) = w(z)$. From this point of view it is also natural to consider what we would like to call strongly starlike maps. Let $z \in \partial B^n$ and let $\zeta \in B^1$. Then

$$0 \leq \text{Re}(\zeta \bar{z}, w(\zeta z)) = \text{Re} \sum \zeta \bar{z}_i w_i(\zeta z) = |\zeta|^2 \text{Re} \sum \bar{z}_i \frac{w_i(\zeta z)}{\zeta}.$$

The function

$$g(\zeta) = \sum \bar{z}_i \frac{w_i(\zeta z)}{\zeta}$$

(1.4)
is holomorphic because \( w(0) = 0 \) and has non-negative real part. Furthermore, since \( Dw(0) = I \) it follows that \( g(0) = 1 \). We say that \( f \) is strongly starlike if the values of \( g \) lie on a fixed compact subset of the right half-plane, independent of \( z \). This is equivalent to saying that

\[
g(\zeta) = \frac{1 + \sigma(\zeta)}{1 - \sigma(\zeta)},
\]

where \( \sigma(0) = 0 \) and \( |\sigma(\zeta)| \leq c < 1 \), \( c \) an absolute constant.

For strongly starlike maps the following estimates hold:

\[
\frac{|z|}{(1 + c|z|)^2} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1 - c|z|)^2}.
\]

In addition, \( f \) will admit a quasiconformal extension to \( \mathbb{C}^n \) if it is already quasiconformal in \( B^n \). This extra hypothesis guarantees a Lipschitz continuous extension to the closed ball. The extension for \( |z| > 1 \) is given by \( |z|f(z/|z|) \), which is Lipschitz continuous as well.

In the plane, quasiconformality in the disc is, of course, not an issue and a quasiconformal extension exists simply as a consequence of the strong starlikeness.

In the last section we will discuss in some detail several examples of starlike and strongly starlike mappings. We shall also present a way of efficiently computing the normalized map \( f \) for a given \( w \).

2. Subordination chains and growth. Let \( f \) be starlike, so that (1.5) holds with \( |\sigma(\zeta)| \leq c \leq 1 \), and let \( w = (Df)^{-1}(f) \). The next lemma is due to Pfaltzgraff (Lemma 2.1, [Pf 1]). The proof, which we shall omit, is based on an application of Schwarz lemma to the function \( g(\zeta) \).

**Lemma 1.** The function \( w \) satisfies

\[
|z|^2 \frac{1 - c|z|}{1 + c|z|} \leq \text{Re}\langle \bar{z}, w(z) \rangle \leq |z|^2 \frac{1 + c|z|}{1 - c|z|}.
\]

Let the chain \( f(z, t) \) be defined by

\[
f(z, t) = e^t f(z).
\]
Then
\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(z, t) = e^t f(z) = e^t (Df)(w) = Df(z, t)(h(z, t))
\]
with \(h(z, t) = w(z)\). It follows now from Theorem 2.2 in [Pf 1] that \(f(z, t)\) is a subordination chain. His result applies to a more general class of functions \(h(z, t)\), whereas in our case one can show more or less directly that \(f(z, t)\) forms a subordination chain. Let \(v(z, s, t)\) be defined by

\[
(2.2) \quad v(z, s, t) = f^{-1}(e^{s-t} f(z)).
\]

This is well-defined for \(0 \leq s \leq t\) because \(f\) is starlike. By construction

\[
(2.3) \quad f(z, s) = e^s f(z) = e^t f(v(z, s, t)) = f(v(z, s, t), t).
\]

We need to show that \(v\) is a Schwarz function. Since \(f(0) = 0\) it follows that \(v(0, s, t) = 0\). From (2.2) we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(z, s, t) = (Df)^{-1}(-e^{s-t} f(z)) = -(Df)^{-1}(e^{s-t} f(z)),
\]

where \(Df\) is evaluated at \(f^{-1}(e^{s-t} f(z))\). Using starlikeness we have

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(z, s, t) = -w(f^{-1}(e^{s-t} f(z))) = -w(v(z, s, t)).
\]

We compute

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} |v(z, s, t)|^2 = 2|v(z, s, t)| \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |v(z, s, t)|
\]

\[
= 2 \text{Re} \left< \frac{v(z, s, t)}{v(z, s, t)}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v(z, s, t) \right>
\]

hence

\[
(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} |v(z, s, t)| = -|v(z, s, t)|^{-1} \text{Re} \left< \frac{v(z, s, t)}{v(z, s, t)}, w(v(z, s, t)) \right> \leq 0.
\]

Since \(v(z, s, s) = z\) we conclude that \(|v(z, s, t)| \leq |z|\).
**Theorem 1.** The function $f$ satisfies

\begin{equation}
\frac{|z|}{(1 + c|z|)^2} \leq |f(z)| \leq \frac{|z|}{(1 - c|z|)^2}.
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Using (2.4) together with the bounds in (2.1), a simple integration yields

\begin{equation}
\frac{e^t}{(1 - c|v(z, s, t)|)^2} \leq \frac{|z|}{(1 - c|z|)^2}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\frac{e^s}{(1 + c|z|)^2} \leq \frac{|v(z, s, t)|}{(1 + c|v(z, s, t)|)^2}
\end{equation}

(see Lemma 2.2 in [Pf 1]). Observe that, as a consequence of the normalizations on $f$, (2.2) implies that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} v(z, s, t) = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} e^t v(z, s, t) = e^s f(z).$$

The bounds in (2.5) follow now by taking the limit in (2.6) and (2.7). \hfill \Box

**3. Extensions to $B^n$ and $\mathbb{C}^n$.**

**Theorem 2.** Let $f$ be a strongly starlike map of $B^n$ and suppose that $f$ admits a continuous extension to the closure $\overline{B^n}$. Then the extension is univalent in $\overline{B^n}$.

**Proof.** We follow Pfaltzgraff. Let $f(z, t)$ be the associated subordination chain with Schwarz functions $v(z, s, t)$, $0 \leq s \leq t$. The estimate (2.6) implies that $\overline{v(B^n, s, t)} \subset B^n$ when $s < t$. If $f$ admits a continuous extension to the closed ball, then for $s < t$, $f(\overline{B^n}, s) \subset f(\overline{B^n}, t)$ as a consequence of the relation (2.3). This enables us to define a continuous extension of $v(z, s, t)$ to $\overline{B^n}$ via the equation

$$v(z, s, t) = f^{-1}(f(z, s), t).$$
For $|z| < 1$,

$$|z - v(z, s, t)| = \left| \int_s^t h(v(z, s, \tau), \tau) d\tau \right|$$

(3.1)

$$\leq \int_s^t |v| \frac{1 + c|v|}{1 - c|v|} d\tau \leq \frac{1 + c}{1 - c} (t - s).$$

It is easy to see that this estimate holds as well for $|z| \leq 1$. We can now show that $f$ is univalent in the closed ball. Suppose that $f(z_1) = f(z_2)$ for $z_1, z_2 \in \overline{B^n}$. Then for $t > 0$

$$f(z_1) = f(v(z_1, 0, t), t) = f(v(z_2, 0, t), t) = f(z_2),$$

and since $f$ is univalent in $B^n$ we conclude that

$$v(z_1, 0, t) = v(z_2, 0, t).$$

By letting $t \to 0$, (3.1) implies that $z_1 = z_2$. $\square$

Unlike in the case $n = 1$, when a holomorphic map in the unit disc is trivially quasiconformal, this additional assumption becomes essential when studying quasiconformal extensions in higher dimensions. Recall that a map $f : \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is said to be quasiconformal if it is differentiable a.e., ACL (absolutely continuous on lines) for almost all lines, and

$$||Df||^m \leq K \det Df \quad \text{a.e. } \Omega.$$

Here $||Df||$ stands for the usual norm

$$||Df|| = \sup\{|Df(X)| : |X| = 1\}$$

and the constant $K$ is called the quasiconformal distortion of the map $f$.

**Theorem 3.** Let $f$ be a quasiconformal, strongly starlike map of $B^n$ with $|w|$ uniformly bounded. Then $f$ admits a Lipschitz continuous extension to $\overline{B^n}$ and a quasiconformal extension to $\mathbb{C}^n$.

**Proof.** We first show that $||Df||$ is uniformly bounded in $B^n$. This will imply that $f$ admits a Lipschitz continuous extension to the closed ball.
The first inequality in (2.1) together with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality imply that

\[ |z| \frac{1 - c|z|}{1 + c|z|} \leq |w|. \]

Since \( Df(w) = f \), it follows from (2.5) that

\[ |Df\left( \frac{w}{|w|} \right)| \leq \frac{1 + c|z|}{(1 - c|z|)^3} \leq \frac{1 + c}{(1 - c)^3}. \]

Note that the Cauchy-Riemann equations guarantee that, with the canonical identifications, \( Df(w) = f \) remains true in the real sense. Since \( f \) is quasiconformal in \( B^n \), we conclude that for some constant \( K \) and all unit vectors \( X \),

\[ |Df(X)| \leq K \frac{1 + c}{(1 - c)^3}. \]

This proves that \( ||Df|| \) is uniformly bounded in the ball. (Because \( |w| \) is uniformly bounded, a similar argument implies that the infimum of \( |Df(X)| \) over all unit vectors is bounded below by some positive constant, and therefore \( f \) is actually quasi-isometric in \( B^n \).)

Let us still denote by \( f \) the extension to \( \overline{B^n} \) and define \( F : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n} \) by

\[
F(z) = \begin{cases} 
    f(z), & |z| \leq 1 \\
    |z|f\left( \frac{z}{|z|} \right), & |z| > 1
\end{cases}
\]

(3.2)

This definition is natural from the point of view of subordination chains. We claim that \( F \) is the desired quasiconformal extension of \( f \). It is clear that \( F \) is continuous in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \). Note that

\[
F(z) = \begin{cases} 
    f(z, 0), & |z| \leq 1 \\
    f\left( \frac{z}{|z|}, \log |z| \right), & |z| > 1
\end{cases}
\]

(3.3)

Since \( f(\overline{B^n}, s) \subset f(B^n, t) \) for \( 0 \leq s < t \) and since \( f \) is univalent in the closed ball, we conclude that, in any case, \( F \) is univalent in \( \mathbb{R}^{2n} \). In order to show that \( F \) is quasiconformal, we follow a standard
argument of dilation (see, e.g., [B], [Pf 2]). For $r < 1$ we consider the functions $F_r$ defined by
\[
F_r(z) = \begin{cases} 
f(rz), & |z| \leq 1 \\
|z|f(r\frac{z}{|z|}), & |z| > 1 \end{cases},
\]
where $0 < r < 1$.

Since $f$ is Lipschitz continuous in the closed ball, it is easy to see that, as $r$ tends to 1, $F_r \rightarrow F$ uniformly in $B^n$. It follows that the convergence is uniform on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$. We are left to show that $F_r$ is quasiconformal in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ and that the quasiconformal distortion is uniformly bounded when $r$ is close to 1. It is clear that $F_r$ is differentiable away from the set $\{|z| = 1\}$, therefore it is differentiable a.e. For $|z| < 1$,
\[
(3.4) \quad DF_r(z) = rDf(rz)
\]
hence $||DF_r||$ is uniformly bounded in $B^n$. When $|z| > 1$ we have
\[
(3.5) \quad DF_r(z) = f \left( r \frac{z}{|z|} \right)^T \text{grad } |z| + r|z| Df \left( r \frac{z}{|z|} \right) \left( |z|^{-1}I - |z|^{-3}z^Tz \right).
\]
We explain the notation. Using the standard identification of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, a complex vector $a$ is written in real components $(a_i)$. Then $a^Tb$ stands for the $(2n) \times (2n)$ matrix with entries $a_i b_j$. Since $|f|$, $||Df||$ and $\text{grad } |z|$ are uniformly bounded, equation (3.5) shows that so is $||DF_r||$ for $|z| > 1$. From the continuity of $F_r$ everywhere it follows that this map is Lipschitz continuous in $R^{2n}$, and hence ACL a.e.

Because $f$ is quasiconformal in $B^n$, (3.4) implies that the same holds for $F_r$. Furthermore, the quasiconformal distortions in $B^n$ are the same. For $|z| > 1$ we use (3.5). Let $\zeta = r|z|^{-1}z$. Then
\[
(3.6) \quad DF_r(z) = Df(\zeta) \left\{ \frac{1}{r}w(\zeta)^T \zeta + r \left( I - \frac{1}{r^2} \zeta T \zeta \right) \right\} \\
= Df(\zeta) \left\{ rI + \frac{1}{r}(w(\zeta) - \zeta)^T \zeta \right\} \\
= rDf(\zeta) \left\{ I + \frac{1}{r^2}(w(\zeta) - \zeta)^T \zeta \right\}.
\]
Let $M = M(\zeta)$ be the matrix $r^{-2}(w(\zeta) - \zeta)^T \zeta$. It is easy to see that 
\[
\|M\| = r^{-2}|w(\zeta) - \zeta|\|\zeta\|
\]
which is uniformly bounded by the assumption on $w$. Also, since $M$ has rank 1,
\[
\det(I + M) = 1 + \text{tr} M = 1 + r^{-2} \Re(\bar{\zeta}, w(\zeta) - \zeta)
\]
\[
= r^{-2} \Re(\bar{\zeta}, w(\zeta))
\]
\[
\geq r^{-2}|\zeta|^2 \frac{1 - c|\zeta|}{1 + c|\zeta|} \geq \frac{1 - c}{1 + c}.
\]

Equation (3.6) gives
\[
\|DF_r(z)\| \leq r \|Df(\zeta)\| \|I + M\|
\]
\[
(3.7) \leq r \|Df(\zeta)\|(1 + \|M\|) \leq K_1 \|Df(\zeta)\|
\]
for some absolute constant $K_1$. It also follows from (3.6) that
\[
(3.8) \quad |\det DF_r(z)| = r^{2n} |\det Df(\zeta)| |\det(I + M)|
\]
\[
\geq r^{2n} \frac{1 - c}{1 + c} |\det Df(\zeta)|.
\]

Finally, since $f$ is quasiconformal in $B^n$, (3.7) and (3.8) imply
\[
\|DF_r(z)\|^{2n} \leq K_1^{2n} \|Df(\zeta)\|^{2n} \leq KK_1^{2n} |\det Df(\zeta)|
\]
\[
\leq r^{-2n} \frac{1 + c}{1 - c} KK_1^{2n} |\det DF_r(z)|.
\]

This shows that $F_r$ is quasiconformal for positive $r$ and that, for $r$ close to 1, the quasiconformal distortion is uniformly bounded. The proof is now finished.

In dimension 1, it is not necessary to assume quasiconformality in the ball or uniform boundedness of $|w|$. The first condition is automatically satisfied with $K = 1$ and the second one can be established from the strong starlikeness using Schwarz lemma.

4. Some examples. This section will be devoted to presenting examples of starlike and strongly starlike mappings. We will also address the question of existence and uniqueness of the map $f$ with $(Df)^{-1}(f) = w$, where $w$ is a given normalized function satisfying $\Re(\bar{z}, w) \geq 0$. 
EXAMPLE 1. Let \( f(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_2 - z_1 z_2) \). Then

\[
Df = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -z_2 & 1 - z_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
(Df)^{-1}(f) = w = \left( z_1, \frac{z_2}{1 - z_1} \right).
\]

Recall the function \( g \) in (1.4) given by \( g(\zeta) = \sum z_i w_i(\zeta) \), where \( z \in \partial B^n \) and \( \zeta \in B^1 \). Here

\[
g(\zeta) = \bar{z}_1 \frac{\zeta z_1}{\zeta} + \bar{z}_2 \frac{\zeta z_2}{(1 - \zeta z_1)} = \frac{1 - |z_1|^2 z_1 \zeta}{1 - z_1 \zeta}
\]

and it can be written in the form \( \frac{1 + \sigma}{1 - \sigma} \) where

\[
\sigma(\zeta) = \frac{g(\zeta) - 1}{g(\zeta) + 1} = \frac{(1 - |z_1|^2) z_1 \zeta}{2 - (1 + |z_1|^2) z_1 \zeta}.
\]

Hence

\[
|\sigma(\zeta)| \leq \frac{|z_1|(1 - |z_1|^2)}{2 - |z_1|(1 + |z_1|^2)} = \frac{|z_1|(1 + |z_1|)}{2 + |z_1|(1 + |z_1|)} \leq \frac{1}{2}.
\]

It follows that \( f \) is strongly starlike. The map \( f \) is Lipschitz continuous but not quasiconformal in \( B^2 \): the eigenvalues of \( Df \) are 1 and \( 1 - z_1 \) and their ratio is not uniformly bounded. Observe also that \( ||w||_{\infty} = \infty \).

EXAMPLE 2. Let \( f(z_1, z_2) = (z_1, z_2(1 - z_1^3)^{-1/3}) \). Then

\[
Df = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{z_1^2 z_2}{(1 - z_1^3)^{4/3}} & \frac{1}{(1 - z_1^3)^{1/3}} \end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
(Df)^{-1}(f) = w = \left( z_1, \frac{z_2(1 - 2z_1^3)}{1 - z_1^3} \right).
\]

In this case \( g \) is given by

\[
g(\zeta) = |z_1|^2 + \frac{|z_2|^2 (1 - 2z_1^3 \zeta^3)}{1 - z_1^3 \zeta^3}
\]
and the corresponding function $\sigma$ is easily computed to be

$$\sigma(\zeta) = -\frac{(1 - |z_1|^2)z_1^3\zeta^3}{2 - (1 + |z_1|^2)z_1^3\zeta^3}.$$ 

Hence

$$|\sigma(\zeta)| \leq \frac{|z_1|^3(1 - |z_1|^2)}{2 - |z_1|^3(1 + |z_1|^2)} = \frac{|z_1|^3(1 + |z_1|)}{2 + |z_1|(1 + |z_1|)(2 + |z_1|^2)} \leq \frac{1}{4}.$$ 

This shows that $f$ is strongly starlike. This time, $f$ is not Lipschitz continuous and, as before, the map fails to be quasiconformal. Again, $||w||_{\infty} = \infty$.

**EXAMPLE 3.** A vast class of strongly starlike mappings with uniformly bounded $w$ can be obtained by prescribing $w$ in the form

$$w(z) = (1 + E_z)^{-1}(I - E_z)(z),$$

where $E_z$ is an $n \times n$ matrix depending holomorphically in $z$ with $E_0 = 0$ and $||E_z|| \leq c < 1$. (For convenience we shall simply write $E$ for $E_z$.) Indeed,

$$w + z = (I + E)^{-1}(I - E)(z) + (1 + E)(z) = 2(I + E)^{-1}(z)$$

and similarly

$$w - z = -2 E(I + E)^{-1}(z) = -E(w + z),$$

hence

$$|w - z|^2 \leq ||E||^2|w + z|^2.$$ 

The general form of Schwarz lemma implies that $||E|| \leq c|z|$ and so

$$|w - z|^2 \leq c^2|z|^2|w + z|^2.$$ 

From this we conclude that

$$|z|^2 \frac{1 - c|z|}{1 + c|z|} \leq \text{Re}\langle \bar{z}, w \rangle \leq |z|^2 \frac{1 + c|z|}{1 - c|z|}$$

where the right hand inequality is a consequence of the definition of $w$ and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This shows that a mapping $f$ with $(Df)^{-1}(f) = w$ is strongly starlike. But can $w$ with $\text{Re}\langle \bar{z}, w \rangle \geq \cdots$
0 be prescribed arbitrarily? In dimension 1 the solution $f$ can be found explicitly by integration. In higher dimension we consider the flows

\begin{equation}
\frac{dz}{dt} = w(z)
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\frac{dF}{dt} = F.
\end{equation}

The condition of starlikeness, $(Df)(w) = f$, translates to the fact that $f$ takes integral curves of (4.2) to those of (4.3). We will call such a map a conjugation of flows. Both vector fields in question, $w$ and the identity, have an isolated critical point at 0 and moreover, the latter is simply the linear part of $w$ at the origin. Conjugating a given flow to its linear part at a critical point is a classical problem in the theory of stability of ordinary differential equations, and the existence of a (local) conjugating map depends on the nature of the eigenvalues of the aforementioned linear part. Depending also on the degree of smoothness of the vector field, it is a problem that can be posed in the $C^k$, $C^\infty$ or holomorphic category ([H]).

In his thesis, Poincaré answered the question for holomorphic $w$ and his result applies in our particular and simplest case when all eigenvalues are equal to 1 ([A, p.181]). The solution $f$ is a biholomorphism in a neighborhood of the origin and can be extended to the ball since $w$ is holomorphic there and has no other critical points.

Poincaré’s proof consists of first showing that there exists a formal power series solution and then proving convergence of the resulting series. When $w$ is given explicitly, there is an often more effective way of computing the map $f$. We will obtain $f$ as a limit of conjugating maps, and since for the moment we are interested in constructing examples only, certain facts will be claimed here without proofs. Because the vector field $w$ is transverse to centered spheres, for $\epsilon \leq |z| < 1$ we can define uniquely a continuous map $f_\epsilon$ by the conditions:

(1) $f_\epsilon(z) = z$ for $|z| = \epsilon$,

(2) $f_\epsilon$ conjugates the flows for $|z| > \epsilon$. 


These maps are quite easy to deal with as the flows now have no critical points. A simple integration together with Lemma 1 yields the estimates

\[
\frac{(1 + \epsilon c)^2|z|}{(1 + c|z|)^2} \leq |f_\epsilon(z)| \leq \frac{(1 - \epsilon c)^2|z|}{(1 - c|z|)^2}.
\]

Using the fact that \(\Re(\bar{z}, w) \geq 0\) and that \(Dw(0) = I\) it can be shown that as \(\epsilon \to 0\), \(\{f_\epsilon\}\) converges uniformly on compact subsets of the punctured ball. In addition, the limiting map \(f\) satisfies

\[
|f(z) - z| = o(|z|).
\]

Therefore, \(f\) can be extended as a differentiable map at 0, with \(Df(0) = I\). By construction, \(f\) is a conjugation and we conclude that it must be the desired biholomorphisms. (If \(F_1, F_2\) are two conjugations with \(DF_1(0) = DF_2(0)\) then \(G = F_1 \circ F_2^{-1}\) conjugates the flow along rays from the origin to itself. Since \(DG(0) = I\) it is easy to show that \(G\) must be the identity, thus \(F_1 = F_2\).) With this, (4.4) gives back the growth for starlike and strongly starlike mappings.

**Example 4.** Let \(w(z_1, z_2) = (z_1(1 + z_2), z_2(1 + z_1))\). Then \(w\) is normalized and

\[
\Re(\bar{z}, w) = |z_1|^2 \Re(1 + z_2) + |z_2|^2 \Re(1 + z_1) \geq 0.
\]

The equations for the \(w\)-flow are

\[
\frac{dz_1}{dt} = z_1(1 + z_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{dz_2}{dt} = z_2(1 + z_1)
\]

and can be solved by first eliminating the parameter. If the initial conditions are \(z_1(0) = \epsilon a\) and \(z_2(0) = \epsilon b\), \(|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1\), then one finds

\[
z_1(t) = \frac{\epsilon a(a - b)e^t}{a - b \epsilon^{(b-a)} e^{(a-b)} e^t}
\]

and

\[
z_2(t) = \frac{\epsilon b(b - a)e^t}{b - a \epsilon^{(a-b)} e^{(b-a)} e^t}.
\]
The map $f_\epsilon$ is defined so that

\begin{equation}
(4.7) \quad f_\epsilon(z_1(t), z_2(t)) = (\epsilon e^t, \epsilon be^t).
\end{equation}

From this we have to once again eliminate the parameter $t$ and then let $\epsilon \to 0$. With $f_\epsilon = (f_1, f_2)$ (we have omitted the $\epsilon$-dependence), equations (4.5), (4.6) and (4.7) give

\begin{equation}
(4.8) \quad z_1 = a \frac{f_1 - f_2}{a - be^{c(b-a)}e^{f_1-f_2}} = \frac{f_1(f_1 - f_2)}{f_1 - f_2e^{f_1-f_2}e^{c(b-a)}}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
(4.9) \quad z_2 = \frac{f_2(f_2 - f_1)}{f_2 - f_1e^{f_2-f_1}e^{a-b}}.
\end{equation}

We let $\epsilon \to 0$ to obtain

\begin{equation}
(4.10) \quad \frac{z_2}{z_1} = \frac{f_2}{f_1}e^{f_1-f_2}
\end{equation}

which inserted in (4.8) gives

\begin{equation}
(4.11) \quad f_1 - f_2 = z_1 - z_2.
\end{equation}

Equation (4.10) and (4.11) finally yield the mapping $f = (f_1, f_2)$:

\begin{align*}
 f_1 &= \frac{z_1(z_1 - z_2)e^{z_1}}{z_1e^{z_1} - z_2e^{z_2}}, \\
 f_2 &= \frac{z_2(z_2 - z_1)e^{z_2}}{z_2e^{z_2} - z_1e^{z_1}}.
\end{align*}

It can be checked directly that indeed $Df(w) = f$. The reader interested in verifying this may want to use (4.11) and (4.10) (after
taking logarithms) to obtain useful relations between the partial derivatives of $f_1$ and $f_2$.

Finally we would like to mention a related problem we might address in a future paper. The first two examples in this section exhibited strongly starlike mappings that were not quasiconformal. As pointed out at the time, in both cases $||w||_\infty = \infty$. So far, we have been unable to produce an example of a strongly starlike map with finite $||w||_\infty$ which is not quasiconformal. A proof that all strongly starlike mappings with $||w||_\infty < \infty$ are quasiconformal has also eluded us, even in the case when $w$ is given as in (4.1). There are some partial results, nevertheless. The map $f$ will be quasiconformal provided the mappings $f_\varepsilon$ are uniformly quasiconformal, at least for small $\varepsilon$. This is the case when, for instance, $w$ is given as in (4.1) and the entries of $E$ have uniformly bounded derivatives.
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