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#### Abstract

We show that Bieberbach's rigidity theorem for flat manifolds still holds true for any continuous maps on infra-nilmanifolds. Namely, every endomorphism of an almost crystallographic group is semi-conjugate to an affine endomorphism. Applying this result to Fixed-point theory, we obtain a criterion for the Lefschetz number and Nielsen number for a map on infra-nilmanifolds to be equal.


0. Infra-nilmanifolds. Let $G$ be a connected Lie group. Consider the semi-group $\operatorname{Endo}(G)$, the set of all endomorphisms of $G$, under the composition as operation. We form the semi-direct product $G \rtimes \operatorname{Endo}(G)$ and call it aff $(G)$. With the binary operation

$$
(a, A)(b, B)=(a \cdot A b, A B)
$$

the set $\operatorname{aff}(G)$ forms a semi-group with identity $(e, 1)$, where $e \in G$ and $1 \in \operatorname{Endo}(G)$ are the identity elements. The semi-group aff $(G)$ "acts" on $G$ by

$$
(a, A) \cdot x=a \cdot A x
$$

Note that $(a, A)$ is not a homeomorphism unless $A \in \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Clearly, $\operatorname{aff}(G)$ is a subsemi-group of the semi-group of all continuous maps of $G$ into itself, for $((a, A)(b, B)) x=(a, A)((b, B) x)$ for all $x \in G$. We call elements of aff $(G)$ affine endomorphisms.

Suppose $G$ is a connected, simply connected, nilpotent Lie group; $\operatorname{Aff}(G)=G \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ is called the group of affine automorphisms of $G$. Let $\pi \subset \operatorname{Aff}(G)$ be a discrete subgroup such that $\Gamma=\pi \cap G$ has finite index in $\pi$. Then $\pi \backslash G$ is compact if and only if $\Gamma$ is a lattice of $G$. In this case, $\pi$ is called an almost crystallographic group. If moreover, $\pi$ is torsion-free, $\pi$ is an almost Bieberbach group. Such a group is the fundamental group of an infra-nilmanifold. According to Gromov and Farrell-Hsiang, the class of infra-nilmanifolds coincides with the class of almost flat manifolds.

1. Generalization of Bieberbach's Theorem. In 1911, Bieberbach proved that any automorphism of a crystallographic group is conjugation by an element of $\operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathbb{R}^{n} \rtimes \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Recently this was generalized to almost crystallographic groups, see [1], [3] and [4].
We shall generalize this result to all homomorphisms (not necessarily isomorphisms). Topologically, this implies that every continuous map on an infra-nilmanifold is homotopic to a map induced by an affine endomorphism on the Lie group level. It can be stated as: every endomorphism of an almost crystallographic group is semiconjugate to an affine endomorphism.

Theorem 1.1. Let $\pi, \pi^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Aff}(G)$ be two almost crystallographic groups. Then for any homomorphism $\theta: \pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime}$, there exists $g=$ $(d, D) \in \operatorname{aff}(G)$ such that $\theta(\alpha) \cdot g=g \cdot \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$.

Corollary 1.2. Let $M=\pi \backslash G$ be an infra-nilmanifold, and $h: M \rightarrow M$ be any map. Then $h$ is homotopic to a map induced from an affine endomorphism $G \rightarrow G$.

Corollary 1.3 [3, 4]. Homotopy equivalent infra-nilmanifolds are affinely diffeomorphic.

Now we consider the uniqueness problem: How many $g$ 's are there? Let $\Phi=\pi /(G \cap \pi) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ and $\Phi^{\prime}=\pi^{\prime} /\left(G \cap \pi^{\prime}\right) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ be the holonomy groups of $\pi$ and $\pi^{\prime}$. Let $\Psi^{\prime}$ be the image of $\theta(\pi)$ in $\Phi^{\prime}$. So $\Phi^{\prime} \subset \operatorname{Aut}(G)$. Let $G^{\Psi^{\prime}}$ denote the fixed point set of the action. For $c \in G, \mu(c)$ denotes conjugation by $c$. Therefore, $\mu(c)(x)=c x c^{-1}$ for all $x \in G$. The group of all inner automorphisms is denoted by $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$.

Proposition 1.4 (Uniqueness). With the same notation as above, suppose $\theta(\alpha) \cdot g=g \cdot \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$. Then $\theta(\alpha) \cdot \gamma=\gamma \cdot \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$ if and only if $\gamma=\xi \cdot g$, where $\xi=\left(c, \mu\left(c^{-1}\right)\right)$, for $c \in G^{\Psi^{\prime}}$. Therefore, $D$ is unique up to $\operatorname{Inn}(G)$. If $\theta$ is an isomorphism, then $c \in G^{\Phi^{\prime}}$. In particular, if $\pi$ is a Bieberbach group with $H^{1}(\pi ; \mathbb{R})=0$ and $\theta$ is an isomorphism, then such $a g$ is unique.

Example 1.5. The subgroup $\Gamma=\pi \cap G$ of of an almost crystallographic group $\pi$ is characteristic, but not fully invariant. The
homomorphism $\theta$ in the Theorem 1.1 may not map the maximal normal nilpotent subgroup $\Gamma$ of $\pi$ into that of $\pi^{\prime}$. This causes a lot of trouble. Let $\pi$ be an orientable 4 -dimensional Bieberbach group with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. More precisely, $\pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{4} \rtimes O(4)=$ $E(4) \subset \operatorname{Aff}\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}\right)$ is generated by $\left(e_{1}, I\right),\left(e_{2}, I\right),\left(e_{3}, I\right),\left(e_{4}, I\right)$ and ( $a, A$ ), where $a=(1 / 2,0,0,0)^{t}$, and $A$ is diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $1,-1,-1$ and 1 . Note that $(a, A)^{2}=\left(e_{1}, I\right)$. The subgroup generated by $\left(e_{1}, I\right),\left(e_{2}, I\right),\left(e_{3}, I\right)$, and $(a, A)$ forms a 3 dimensional Bieberbach group $\mathcal{G}_{2}$, and $\pi=\mathcal{G}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Consider the endomorphism $\theta: \pi \rightarrow \pi$ which is the composite $\pi \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \pi$, where the first map is the projection onto $\mathbb{Z}=\left\langle\left(e_{4}, I\right)\right\rangle$ and the second map sends $\left(e_{4}, I\right)$ to ( $a, A$ ). Thus the homomorphism $\theta$ does not map the maximal normal abelian subgroup $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ (generated by the 4 translations) into itself. Such a $\mathbb{Z}^{4}$ is characteristic but not fully invariant in $\pi$. Let

$$
d=\left[\begin{array}{l}
x \\
0 \\
0 \\
y
\end{array}\right], \quad D=\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 / 2 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

and let $g=(d, D)$. Then it is easy to see $\theta(\alpha) \cdot g=g \cdot \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \pi$.

According to the Proposition 1.4, the element $g=(d, D)$ is the most general form. The matrix $D$ is uniquely determined and the translation part $d$ can vary only in two dimensions.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\Gamma=\pi \cap G, \Gamma^{\prime}=\pi^{\prime} \cap G$. As the example shows, the characteristic subgroup $\Gamma$ may not go into $\Gamma^{\prime}$ by the homomorphism $\theta$. Let $\Lambda=\Gamma \cap \theta^{-1}\left(\Gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Then $\Lambda$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi$ and has a finite index. Let $Q=\pi / \Lambda$.

Consider the homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma^{\prime} \hookrightarrow G$, where the first map is the restriction of $\theta$. Since $\Lambda$ is a lattice of $G$, by Malćev's work, any such a homomorphism extends uniquely to a continuous homomorphism $C: G \rightarrow G$, cf. [5, 2.11]. Thus, $\left.\theta\right|_{\Lambda}=\left.C\right|_{\Lambda}$, where $C \in \operatorname{Endo}(G)$; and hence, $\theta(z, 1)=(C z, 1)$ for all $z \in \Lambda$ (more precisely, $(z, 1) \in \Lambda$ ).

Let us denote the composite homomorphism $\pi \rightarrow \pi^{\prime} \rightarrow G \rtimes$ $\operatorname{Aut}(G) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ by $\bar{\theta}$; and define a map $f: \pi \rightarrow G$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(w, K)=(C w \cdot f(w, K), \bar{\theta}(w, K)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $(z, 1) \in \Lambda$ and $(w, K) \in \pi$, apply $\theta$ to both sides of $(w, K)(z, 1)(w, K)^{-1}=\left(w \cdot K z \cdot w^{-1}, 1\right)$ to get $C w \cdot f(w, K)$. $\bar{\theta}(w, K)(C z) \cdot f(w, K)^{-1} \cdot(C w)^{-1}=\theta\left(w \cdot K z \cdot w^{-1}\right)$. However, $w \cdot K z \cdot w^{-1} \in \Lambda$ since $\Lambda$ is normal in $\pi$, and the latter term equals to $C\left(w \cdot K z \cdot w^{-1}\right)=C w \cdot C K z \cdot(C w)^{-1}$ since $C: G \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism. From this we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\theta}(w, K)(C z)=f(w, K)^{-1} \cdot C K z \cdot f(w, K) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is true for all $z \in \Lambda$. Note that $\bar{\theta}(w, K)$ and $K$ are automorphisms of the Lie group $G$; and $C: G \rightarrow G$ is an endomorphism. By the uniqueness of extension of a homomorphism $\Lambda \rightarrow G$ to an endomorphism $G \rightarrow G$, as mentioned above, the equality (2) holds true for all $z \in G$. It is also easy to see that $f(z w, K)=f(w, K)$ for all $z \in \Lambda$ so that $f: \pi \rightarrow G$ does not depend on $\Lambda$. Thus, $f$ factors through $Q=\pi / \Lambda$. Moreover, $\bar{\theta}: \pi \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ also factors through $Q$ since $\Lambda$ maps trivially into $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$. We still use the notation ( $w, K$ ) to denote elements of $Q$ and $\bar{\theta}$ to denote the induced map $Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(G)$.

Claim. With the $Q$-structure on $G$ via $\bar{\theta}: Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(G), f \in$ $Z^{1}(Q ; G)$; that is $f: Q \rightarrow G$ is a crossed homomorphism.

Proof. We shall show

$$
f\left((w, K) \cdot\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)\right)=f(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K) f\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)
$$

for all $(w, K),\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right) \in \pi$. (Note that we are using the elements of $\pi$ to denote the elements of $Q$.) Apply $\theta$ to both sides of $(w, K)\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)=\left(w \cdot K w^{\prime}, K K^{\prime}\right)$ to get $C w \cdot f(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)\left[C w^{\prime}\right.$. $\left.f\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)\right]=C\left(w \cdot K w^{\prime}\right) \cdot f\left((w, K)\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)\right)$. From this it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left((w, K)\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(C K w^{\prime}\right)^{-1} & \cdot f(w, K) \\
& \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)\left(C w^{\prime}\right) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K) f\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2) we have $\bar{\theta}(w, K) C w^{\prime}=f(w, K)^{-1} \cdot C K w^{\prime} \cdot f(w, K)$ so that $f\left((w, K) \cdot\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)\right)=f(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K) f\left(w^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)$.

In [4], it was proved that $H^{1}(Q ; G)=0$ whenever $Q$ is a finite group and $G$ is a connected and simply connected nilpotent Lie
group. The proof uses induction on the nilpotency of $G$ together with the fact that $H^{1}(Q ; G)=0$ for a finite group $Q$ and a real vector group $G$. This means that any crossed homomorphism is "principal". In other words, there exists $d \in G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(w, K)=d \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)\left(d^{-1}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D=\mu\left(d^{-1}\right) \circ C$ and $g=(d, D) \in \operatorname{aff}(G)$, and we check that $\theta$ is "conjugation" by $g$. Using (1), (2) and (3), one can show $\bar{\theta}(w, K) \circ \mu\left(d^{-1}\right) \circ C=\mu\left(d^{-1}\right) \circ C \circ K$. Thus, for any $(w, K) \in \pi$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta(w, K) \cdot(d, D) \\
& \quad=(C w \cdot f(w, K), \bar{\theta}(w, K)) \cdot\left(d, \mu\left(d^{-1}\right) \circ C\right) \\
& \quad=\left(C w \cdot f(w, K) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(d), \bar{\theta}(w, K) \circ \mu\left(d^{-1}\right) \circ C\right) \\
& \quad=\left(C w \cdot d \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)\left(d^{-1}\right) \cdot \bar{\theta}(w, K)(d), \bar{\theta}(w, K) \circ \mu\left(d^{-1}\right) \circ C\right) \\
& \quad=\left(C w \cdot d, \mu\left(d^{-1}\right) \circ C \circ K\right) \\
& \quad=(d, D) \cdot(w, K) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This finishes the proof of theorem.
Proof of Corollary 1.2. We start with the homomorphism $h_{\#}$ : $\pi_{1}(M) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(M)$, induced from $h$, as our $\theta$ in the Theorem 1.1 and obtain $\tilde{g}=(d, D)$ satisfying

$$
h_{\#}(\alpha) \circ \tilde{g}=\tilde{g} \circ \alpha .
$$

Let $g: M \rightarrow M$ be the induced map. Then $h_{\#}=g_{\#}$. Since any two continuous maps on a closed aspherical manifold inducing the same homomorphism on the fundamental group (up to conjugation by an element of the fundamental group) are homotopic to each other, $h$ is homotopic to $g$. This completes the proof of the corollary.

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Let $g=(d, D), \gamma=(c, C)$. Since $\theta(\alpha) \cdot g=g \cdot \alpha$ holds when $\alpha=(z, 1) \in \Lambda$, we have $D z=$ $d^{-1} z^{\prime} d$, where $\theta(z, 1)=\left(z^{\prime}, 1\right)$. Similarly, $C z=c^{-1} z^{\prime} c$. Thus $C z=\mu\left(c^{-1} d\right) D z$ for all $z \in \Lambda$. Since $\Lambda$ is a lattice, this equality holds on $G$. Consequently, $C=\mu\left(c^{-1} d\right) D$. Now $\gamma=(c, C)=$ $\left(c, \mu\left(c^{-1} d\right) D\right)=\left(d^{-1} c, \mu\left(c^{-1} d\right)\right)(d, D)=\left(h, \mu\left(h^{-1}\right)\right)(d, D)$, if we
let $h=d^{-1} c$. Set $\xi=\left(h, \mu\left(h^{-1}\right)\right)$. Then $\gamma=\xi \cdot g$. Now we shall observe that $h \in G^{\Psi^{\prime}}$. Let $\theta(\alpha)=(b, B)$. Then $\theta(\alpha) \xi g=\theta(\alpha) \gamma=$ $\gamma \alpha=\xi g \alpha=\xi \theta(\alpha) g$ yields $B h=h$ for all $(b, B)=\theta(\alpha)$. Clearly then $B \in \Psi^{\prime}$ by definition. For a Bieberbach group $\pi$, note that $\operatorname{rank} H^{1}(\pi ; \mathbb{Z})=\operatorname{dim} G^{\Phi}$.
2. Application to Fixed-point theory. Let $M$ be a closed manifold and let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a continuous map. The Lefschetz number $L(f)$ of $f$ is defined by

$$
L(f):=\sum_{k} \operatorname{trace}\left\{\left(f_{*}\right)_{k}: H_{k}(M ; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{k}(M ; \mathbb{Q})\right\} .
$$

To define the Nielsen number $N(f)$ of $f$, we define an equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Fix}(f)$ as follows: For $x_{0}, x_{1} \in \operatorname{Fix}(f), x_{0} \sim x_{1}$ if and only if there exists a path $c$ from $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$ such that $c$ is homotopic to $f \circ c$ relative to the end points. An equivalence class of this relation is called a fixed point class ( $=\mathrm{FPC}$ ) of $f$. To each FPC $F$, one can assign an integer $\operatorname{ind}(f, F)$. A FPC $F$ is called essential if $\operatorname{ind}(f, F) \neq 0$. Now,
$N(f):=$ the number of essential fixed point classes.
These two numbers give information on the existence of fixed point sets. If $L(f) \neq 0$, every self-map $g$ of $M$ homotopic to $f$ has a non-empty fixed point set. The Nielsen number is a lower bound for the number of components of the fixed point set of all maps homotopic to $f$. Even though $N(f)$ gives more information than $L(f)$ does, it is harder to calculate. If $M$ is an infra-nilmanifold, and $f$ is homotopically periodic, then it is known that $L(f)=N(f)$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $B \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ with a finite order. Then $\operatorname{det}(I-$ $B) \geq 0$.

Proof. Since $B$ has finite order, it can be conjugated into the orthogonal group $O(n)$. Since all eigenvalues are roots of unity, there exists $P \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ such that $P B P^{-1}$ is a block diagonal matrix, with each block being a $1 \times 1$, or, a $2 \times 2$-matrix. All $1 \times 1$ blocks must be $D=[ \pm 1]$, and hence $\operatorname{det}(I-D)=0$ or 2 . For a
$2 \times 2$ block, it is of the form $\left[\begin{array}{c}\cos t \sin t \\ -\sin t \cos t\end{array}\right]$. Consequently, each $2 \times 2$ block $D$ has the property that $\operatorname{det}(I-D)=(1-\cos t)^{2}+\sin ^{2} t=$ $2(1-\cos t) \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a continuous map on an infra-nilmanifold $M=\pi \backslash G$. Let $g=(d, D) \in \operatorname{aff}(G)$ be a homotopy lift of $f$ by Corollary 1.2. Then $L(f)=N(f)($ resp., $L(f)=$ $-N(f))$ if and only if $\operatorname{det}\left(I-D_{*} A_{*}\right) \geq 0\left(r e s p ., \operatorname{det}\left(I-D_{*} A_{*}\right) \leq 0\right)$ for all $A \in \Phi$, the holonomy group of $M$.

Proof. Since $L(f)$ and $N(f)$ are homotopy invariants, we may assume that $f=g$. Let $\Gamma=\pi \cap G$, and let $\Lambda=\Gamma \cap f_{\#}^{-1} f_{\#}\left(\Gamma \cap f_{\#}^{-1}(\Gamma)\right)$. Then $\Gamma$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi$, of finite index. Moreover, $f_{\#}: \pi \rightarrow \pi$ maps $\Lambda$ into itself. Therefore, $f$ induces a map on the finite-sheeted regular covering space $\Lambda \backslash G$ of $\pi \backslash G$.

Let $\tilde{f}$ be a lift of $f$ to $\Gamma \backslash G$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(f)=\frac{1}{[\pi: \Lambda]} \Sigma \operatorname{ind}\left(f, p_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}(\alpha \tilde{f})\right) \\
& N(f)=\frac{1}{[\pi: \Lambda]} \Sigma\left|\operatorname{ind}\left(f, p_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}(\alpha \tilde{f})\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum ranges over all $\alpha \in \pi / \Lambda$. See, [2, III 2.12]. However, each $\alpha \tilde{f}$ is a map on the nilmanifold $\Lambda \backslash G$, and hence $\operatorname{ind}\left(f, p_{\Lambda} \operatorname{Fix}(\alpha \widetilde{f})\right)$ is determined by $\operatorname{det}\left(I-(\alpha f)_{*}\right)$. It is not hard to see that, for any $\alpha \in \operatorname{Inn}(G), \alpha_{*}$ has eigenvalue only 1 . Therefore, it is enough to look at elements with non-trivial holonomy. Now the hypothesis guarantees that $\operatorname{det}\left(I-(\alpha f)_{*}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I-D_{*} A_{*}\right) \geq 0$ or $\leq 0$ always. Consequently, $L(f)=N(f)$ or $L(f)=-N(f)$.
Conversely, suppose $L(f)=N(f)$ (resp. $L(f)=-N(f)$ ). Let $\alpha=(a, A) \in \pi$. If $\operatorname{Fix}(g \circ \alpha)=\varnothing$, then clearly $\operatorname{det}\left(I-D_{*} A_{*}\right)=0$. Otherwise, $\operatorname{Fix}(g \circ \alpha)$ is isolated, and the indices at these fixed points are $\operatorname{det}\left(I-D_{*} A_{*}\right)$. By the formula above relating $L(f), N(f)$ with the ones on covering spaces, all $\operatorname{det}\left(I-D_{*} A_{*}\right)$ must have the same sign. This proves the theorem.

Corollary 2.3 [3]. Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a homotopically periodic map on an infra-nilmanifold. Then $N(f)=L(f)$.

Proof. Here is an argument which is completely different from the one in [3]. Let $\Gamma=\pi \cap G$, and $\Phi=\pi / \Gamma$, the holonomy group.

Let $g=(d, D) \in G \rtimes \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ be a homotopy lift of $f$ to $G$. Let $E$ be the lifting group of the action of $\langle g\rangle$ to $G$. That is, $E$ is generated by $\pi$ and $g$. Then $E / \Gamma$ is a finite group generated by $\Phi$ and $D$. For every $A \in \Phi, D A$ lies in $E / \Gamma$, and has a finite order. By Lemma 2.1, $\operatorname{det}(I-D A) \geq 0$ for all $A \in \Phi$. By Theorem 2.2, $L(f)=N(f)$.

Let $S$ be a connected, simply connected solvable Lie group and $H$ be a closed subgroup of $S$. The coset space $H \backslash S$ is called a solvmanifold.

Corollary 2.4 [7]. Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a homotopically periodic map on an infra-solvmanifold. Then $N(f)=L(f)$.

Proof. In [5], the statement for solvmanifolds was proved. We needed a subgroup invariant under $f_{\#}$. To achieve this, a new model space $M^{\prime}$ which is homotopy equivalent to $M$, together with a map $f^{\prime}: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M^{\prime}$ corresponding to $f$ was constructed. The new space $M^{\prime}$ is a fiber bundle over a torus with fiber a nilmanifold; and $f^{\prime}$ is fiber-preserving. Moreover, we found a fully invariant subgroup $\Lambda$ of $\pi$ of finite index (so, is invariant under $f_{\#}^{\prime}$ ). Now we can apply the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Example 2.5. Let $\pi$ be an orientable 3-dimensional Bieberbach group with holonomy group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. More precisely, $\pi \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \rtimes O(3)=$ $E(3)$ is generated by $\left(e_{1}, I\right),\left(e_{2}, I\right),\left(e_{3}, I\right)$ and $(a, A)$, where $a=$ $(1 / 2,0,0)^{t}, A$ is a diagonal matrix with diagonal entries $1,-1$ and -1 . Note that $(a, A)^{2}=\left(e_{1}, I\right)$. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{3} / \pi$ be the flat manifold. Consider the endomorphism $\theta: \pi \rightarrow \pi$ which is defined by the conjugation by $g=(d, D)$, where

$$
d=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad D=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 2 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be the map induced from $g$. There are only two conjugacy classes of $g$; namely, $g$ and $\alpha g$. $\operatorname{Fix}(g)=(0,0,0)^{t}$ and $\operatorname{Fix}(\alpha g)=(1 / 4,0,0)^{t}$. Since $\operatorname{det}(I-D)=\operatorname{det}(I-A D)=+2$, $L(f)=N(f)=2$.

The Lefschetz number can be calculated from homology groups also.
(1) $H_{0}(M ; \mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R} ; f_{*}$ is the identity map.
(2) $\quad H_{1}(M ; \mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}$, which is generated by the element $\left(e_{1}, I\right)$. $f_{*}$ is multiplication by 3 (the ( 1,1 )-entry of $D$ ).
(3) $H_{2}(M ; \mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R} ; f_{*}$ is multiplication by $\operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ 2 & 0\end{array}\right]=-2$.
(4) $H_{3}(M ; \mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R} ; f_{*}$ is multiplication by $\operatorname{det}(D)=-6$.

Therefore, $L(f)=\Sigma(-1)^{i}$ trace $f_{*}=1-3+(-2)-(-6)=2$. Note that $f$ has infinite period, and this example is not covered by Corollary 2.3.

Example 2.6. Let $\pi$ be same as in Example 2.5. This time $g=(d, D)$, is given by

$$
d=\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad D=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be the map induced from $g$. There are six conjugacy classes of $g$; namely, $g$ and $\alpha g, \alpha t_{1} g, \alpha t_{1}^{2} g, \alpha t_{1}^{3} g$, and $\alpha t_{1}^{4} g$. Each class has exactly one fixed point. Clearly, $\operatorname{det}(I-D)=+2$ and $\operatorname{det}(I-A D)=-10$. Therefore, the first fixed point has index +1 and the rest have index -1 . Consequently, $L(f)=-4$, while $N(f)=6$.
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