

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**UNIFORM ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY HOLOMORPHIC AND  
PLURIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON STRICTLY  
PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS**

ALEXANDER IZZO

## UNIFORM ALGEBRAS GENERATED BY HOLOMORPHIC AND PLURIHARMONIC FUNCTIONS ON STRICTLY PSEUDOCONVEX DOMAINS

ALEXANDER J. IZZO

**It is shown that if  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are pluriharmonic functions on a strictly pseudoconvex domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  that are  $C^1$  on  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and the  $n \times n$  matrix  $(\partial f_j / \partial \bar{z}_k)$  is invertible at every point of  $\Omega$ , then the norm-closed algebra generated by  $A(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is equal to  $C(\bar{\Omega})$ .**

### Introduction.

For  $K$  a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , let  $A(K)$  denote the subalgebra of  $C(K)$  consisting of those continuous functions on  $K$  that are holomorphic on the interior of  $K$ . Let  $D$  denote the open unit disc in the plane. If  $f$  is in  $C(\bar{D})$  and  $f$  is harmonic but nonholomorphic on  $D$ , then the norm-closed subalgebra of  $C(\bar{D})$  generated by the disc algebra  $A(\bar{D})$  and  $f$  is equal to  $C(\bar{D})$ . (See [I] for a brief discussion of the history of this result, and [Č] and [A-S] for two different proofs.) In [I] a partial generalization of this result to the ball algebra is obtained: If  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are pluriharmonic on  $B_n$  (the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) and  $C^1$  on  $\bar{B}_n$  (i.e., extend to be continuously differentiable on a neighborhood of  $\bar{B}_n$ ), and the  $n \times n$  matrix  $(\partial f_j / \partial \bar{z}_k)$  is invertible at every point of  $B_n$ , then the norm-closed subalgebra of  $C(\bar{B}_n)$  generated by the ball algebra  $A(\bar{B}_n)$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  is equal to  $C(\bar{B}_n)$ . Extensions of this result to more general strictly pseudoconvex domains are presented there as well. It is shown that if the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are assumed to be complex conjugates of holomorphic functions, then the ball can be replaced by an arbitrary strictly pseudoconvex domain. Thus for general functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  the ball can be replaced by any simply connected strictly pseudoconvex domain. In addition, an argument due to Barnea Weinstock is presented showing that if the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  are assumed to be  $C^2$ , then the ball can be replaced by any strictly pseudoconvex domain with polynomially convex closure. The main purpose of the present paper is to show that the ball can be replaced by an arbitrary strictly pseudoconvex domain without any extra hypotheses on the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$ .

Given complex-valued continuous functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  on a compact space  $X$ , we will write  $[f_1, \dots, f_k]$  to denote the norm-closed subalgebra of  $C(X)$

that they generate. If, in addition,  $A$  is a uniform algebra on  $X$ , then  $A[f_1, \dots, f_k]$  will denote the norm-closed subalgebra of  $C(X)$  generated by  $A$  and the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ . As usual,  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  will denote the complex coordinate functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If  $f$  is a complex-valued function, and  $E$  is a subset of its domain, then by definition  $\|f\|_E = \sup_{x \in E} |f(x)|$ .

I would like to thank Barnet Weinstock for showing me the argument of his mentioned above which first got me thinking along the lines of the present paper. I would also like to thank David Barrett for making me aware of [F], and John Wermer for directing my attention to [Ga1].

## 1. The Main Theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Suppose also that  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  are pluriharmonic on  $\Omega$  and  $C^1$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , and that the matrix  $(\partial f_j / \partial \bar{z}_k)$  is invertible at every point of  $\Omega$ . Then  $A(\overline{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_n] = C(\overline{\Omega})$ .*

It is clear that a necessary condition for the conclusion of this theorem to hold is that the maximal ideal space of  $A(\overline{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  be  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Our overall approach to proving the theorem will be to show that under the hypotheses of the theorem, this necessary condition is also sufficient, and then to show that the condition does in fact hold. The special case treated by Weinstock (mentioned in the introduction) was obtained as a consequence of a result of his concerning approximation on the graph of a smooth map [W2]. The general case will be obtained below as a consequence of that result as well. For convenience we state the needed result of Weinstock here.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Suppose  $X$  is a compact set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  are complex-valued  $C^1$  functions on  $X$ . Let  $E = \{z \in X : \text{rank}(\partial f_j / \partial \bar{z}_k) < n\}$  and let  $Y = \{(z, f_1(z), \dots, f_m(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+m} : z \in X\}$ . If  $Y$  is polynomially convex in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+m}$ , then  $[z_1, \dots, z_n, f_1, \dots, f_m]$  consists of those continuous functions on  $X$  that agree with some element of  $[z_1, \dots, z_n, f_1, \dots, f_m]$  on  $E$ .*

In order to obtain Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.2 we will also need the following two results.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Then the uniform algebra  $A(\overline{\Omega})$  is finitely generated. In fact, it is generated by a finite collection of  $C^1$  functions.*

**Theorem 1.4.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  in  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  are pluriharmonic on  $\Omega$ . Then the maximal ideal space of  $A(\overline{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]$  is  $\overline{\Omega}$ .*

Before turning to the proofs of these results we use them to obtain Theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Theorem 1.3, there are  $C^1$  functions  $g_1, \dots, g_l$  on  $\overline{\Omega}$  such that  $z_1, \dots, z_n, g_1, \dots, g_l$  generate  $A(\overline{\Omega})$ . For  $j = 1, \dots, l + n$ , and  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , define  $h_{jk}$  by

$$h_{jk} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial g_j}{\partial \bar{z}_k} & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l \\ \frac{\partial f_{j-l}}{\partial \bar{z}_k} & \text{for } j = l + 1, \dots, l + n. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$E = \{ z \in \overline{\Omega} : \text{rank}(h_{jk}) < n \},$$

and let

$$Y = \{ (z, g_1(z), \dots, g_l(z), f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+l} : z \in \overline{\Omega} \}.$$

The map  $\overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$  given by  $z \mapsto (z, g_1(z), \dots, g_l(z), f_1(z), \dots, f_n(z))$  is a homeomorphism of  $\overline{\Omega}$  onto  $Y$ , so it induces an identification of  $C(\overline{\Omega})$  with  $C(Y)$  in an obvious way. Under this identification  $A(\overline{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  is identified with  $P(Y)$ , the uniform closure of the polynomials (in the complex coordinate functions) on  $Y$ . Since, by Theorem 1.4,  $\overline{\Omega}$  is the maximal ideal space of  $A(\overline{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_n]$ , we conclude that  $Y$  is the maximal ideal space of  $P(Y)$ , and hence  $Y$  is polynomially convex. Since the algebra  $A(\overline{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  coincides with  $[z_1, \dots, z_n, g_1, \dots, g_l, f_1, \dots, f_n]$ , Theorem 1.2 now shows that this algebra consists of those continuous functions on  $\overline{\Omega}$  that agree with some element of  $A(\overline{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_n]$  on  $E$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $E$  is an interpolation set for  $A(\overline{\Omega})$ .

Note that the first  $l$  rows of the matrix  $(h_{jk})$  consist entirely of zeros (since the functions  $g_1, \dots, g_l$  are holomorphic), so the rank of the matrix  $(h_{jk})$  is obviously the same as the rank of the matrix  $(\partial f_j / \partial \bar{z}_k)$ . Consequently,  $E$  coincides with the zero set of the function  $\det(\partial f_j / \partial \bar{z}_k)$ . Moreover, it follows from the hypotheses on the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  that the complex conjugate of the function  $\det(\partial f_j / \partial \bar{z}_k)$  is in  $A(\overline{\Omega})$ . Thus  $E$  is a zero set for  $A(\overline{\Omega})$  contained in  $\partial\Omega$ , and hence (by Theorem 1.1 in [W1])  $E$  is an interpolation set for  $A(\overline{\Omega})$ .  $\square$

It remains to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. We present the proof of Theorem 1.3 here. Theorem 1.4 is proven in the next section.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* By Theorem 10 of Chapter 1 in [F], there exist a neighborhood  $\Omega'$  of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , a holomorphic map  $\psi : \Omega' \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  for some positive integer  $m$ , and a convex bounded domain  $C$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  such that

- (i)  $\psi$  is biholomorphic onto a closed submanifold of  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and
- (ii)  $\psi(\Omega) \subset C$  and  $\psi(\Omega' \setminus \bar{\Omega}) \subset \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \bar{C}$ .

We will show that the component functions of  $\psi$  generate  $A(\bar{\Omega})$ .

Since (by Theorem 5.10 in [R-S]) every function in  $A(\bar{\Omega})$  can be approximated uniformly by functions holomorphic on a neighborhood of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , it suffices to show that if  $f$  is holomorphic on a neighborhood  $U$  of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , then  $f$  can be approximated uniformly on  $\bar{\Omega}$  by polynomials in the component functions of  $\psi$ . Choose a convex neighborhood  $V$  of  $\bar{C}$  such that  $V \cap \psi(\Omega') \subset \psi(U \cap \Omega')$ . Then  $f \circ \psi^{-1}$  is defined and holomorphic on  $V \cap \psi(\Omega')$ . Therefore,  $f \circ \psi^{-1}$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $V$  (by Theorem I5 in [Gu] vol. 3). Since  $\bar{C}$  is convex, the Oka-Weil approximation theorem shows that this extension can be approximated uniformly on  $\bar{C}$  by polynomials. In particular,  $f \circ \psi^{-1}$  can be approximated uniformly on  $\psi(\bar{\Omega})$  by polynomials. Thus  $f$  can be approximated uniformly on  $\bar{\Omega}$  by polynomials in the component functions of  $\psi$ .  $\square$

## 2. The Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Assuming familiarity with the material in [Ga1] concerning subharmonicity with respect to a uniform algebra, a very short proof of Theorem 1.4 can be given. This will be discussed near the end of the paper. However, for the benefit of readers not familiar with [Ga1], a more direct proof will be given first. We begin with some preliminaries, the first of which is a slight generalization of Rossi's local maximum modulus principle and follows easily from that result.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a uniform algebra with maximal ideal space  $\mathfrak{M}_A$  and Shilov boundary  $\partial_A$ . Let  $x$  be a point in  $\mathfrak{M}_A$ , and let  $U$  be a neighborhood of  $x$ . Suppose  $f$  is a continuous, complex-valued function on  $\bar{U}$  such that for every Jensen measure  $\mu$  on  $\bar{U}$  for  $x$ , we have  $f(x) = \int f d\mu$ . Then  $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{(\partial_A \cap U) \cup \partial U}$ .*

*Proof.* By Rossi's local maximum modulus principle we have for every  $g$  in  $A$  that

$$\|\hat{g}\|_{\bar{U}} = \|\hat{g}\|_{(\partial_A \cap U) \cup \partial U}$$

where  $\hat{g}$  denotes the Gelfand transform of  $g$ . Hence  $(\partial_A \cap U) \cup \partial U$  is a boundary for the uniform algebra  $\hat{A}|_{\bar{U}}$ . Consequently, there is a Jensen

measure  $\mu$  supported on  $(\partial_A \cap U) \cup \partial U$  for  $x$ . Now by hypothesis

$$f(x) = \int_{(\partial_A \cap U) \cup \partial U} f \, d\mu.$$

Thus  $|f(x)| \leq \|f\|_{(\partial_A \cap U) \cup \partial U}$ . □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose  $A$  and  $B$  are uniform algebras on a compact space  $X$  and  $A \subset B$ . If  $x$  is a peak point for  $A$ , and  $\phi$  is a multiplicative linear functional on  $B$  that coincides with point evaluation at  $x$  when restricted to  $A$ , then  $\phi$  is point evaluation at  $x$  on all of  $B$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mu$  be a representing measure for  $\phi$  (as a functional on  $B$ ). Then obviously  $\mu$  is a representing measure for the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $A$ , i.e., for point evaluation at  $x$  on  $A$ . Therefore, since  $x$  is a peak point for  $A$ ,  $\mu$  is the point mass at  $x$  (Theorem II.11.3 in [Ga2]). Recalling that  $\mu$  is a representing measure for  $\phi$ , we conclude that  $\phi$  is point evaluation at  $x$  on all of  $B$ . □

**Theorem 2.3.** *Suppose  $\Omega$  is a strictly pseudoconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $x$  is a point in  $\Omega$ . Suppose also that  $K$  is a compact subset of  $\Omega$ , and that  $\mu$  is a Jensen measure supported on  $K$  for the functional evaluation at  $x$  on the algebra  $A(\overline{\Omega})$ . If  $f$  is a pluriharmonic function on  $\Omega$ , then  $f(x) = \int f \, d\mu$ .*

*Proof.* First note that if  $g$  is a function of the form  $\sup_{1 \leq j \leq k} \{c_j \log |f_j|\}$  with the  $f_j$  in  $A(\overline{\Omega})$  and  $c_j \geq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} g(x) &= \sup_j c_j \log |f_j(x)| \\ &\leq \sup_j c_j \int \log |f_j| \, d\mu \\ &\leq \int \sup_j c_j \log |f_j| \, d\mu \\ &= \int g \, d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By the remarks following the proof of Theorem Q9 in [Gu] vol. 1, every continuous plurisubharmonic function on  $\Omega$  can be approximated uniformly on  $K$  by functions of the form  $\sup_j \{c_j \log |f_j|\}$  with the  $f_j$  holomorphic on  $\Omega$  and  $c_j \geq 0$ . Since  $\Omega$  is strictly pseudoconvex, there is a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic defining function for  $\Omega$ , i.e., a smooth strictly plurisubharmonic function  $\rho$  on a neighborhood of  $\overline{\Omega}$  such that  $\Omega = \{z : \rho(z) < 0\}$  and  $\text{grad } \rho \neq 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ . Now for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the set  $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{z : \rho(z) < \varepsilon\}$  is (strictly) pseudoconvex and the plurisubharmonically convex hull  $\hat{K}_{\Omega_\varepsilon}^p$  of

$K$  in  $\Omega_\varepsilon$  is contained in  $\Omega$ . Hence by Theorem 4.3.2 in [H], every function holomorphic on  $\Omega$  can be approximated uniformly on  $\hat{K}_{\Omega_\varepsilon}^p$  (and hence certainly on  $K$ ) by functions holomorphic on  $\Omega_\varepsilon$ . Thus the functions  $f_j$  can be approximated uniformly on  $K$  by elements of  $A(\bar{\Omega})$  and it follows that we may assume without loss of generality that they are already in  $A(\bar{\Omega})$ . Hence we conclude from the first sentence of the proof that  $u(x) \leq \int u \, d\mu$  for every continuous plurisubharmonic function  $u$  on  $\Omega$ .

There is clearly no loss of generality in assuming that the function  $f$  in the statement of the theorem is real-valued. Then both  $f$  and  $-f$  are plurisubharmonic so  $f(x) \leq \int f \, d\mu$  and  $-f(x) \leq \int (-f) \, d\mu$ . Thus  $f(x) = \int f \, d\mu$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* The maximal ideal space of  $A(\bar{\Omega})$  is  $\bar{\Omega}$  (see [S-W]). Consequently, if we let  $\hat{z}_1, \dots, \hat{z}_n$  denote the Gelfand transforms of  $z_1, \dots, z_n$  as elements of  $A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]$ , and let  $\pi : \mathfrak{M}_{A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  be given by

$$\pi(x) = (\hat{z}_1(x), \dots, \hat{z}_n(x)),$$

then  $\pi(x) \in \bar{\Omega}$  for each point  $x$  in the maximal ideal space of  $A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]$ . Moreover, it also follows that each element of the maximal ideal space of  $A(\bar{\Omega})$  is uniquely determined by its values on the functions  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ , and hence each element of the maximal ideal space of  $A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]$  is uniquely determined by its values on the functions  $z_1, \dots, z_n, f_1, \dots, f_k$ . For each  $\zeta$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , call  $\pi^{-1}(\zeta)$  the fiber over  $\zeta$ . To show that  $\bar{\Omega}$  is the maximal ideal space of  $A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]$ , we must simply show that each fiber consists of a single point. Hence it suffices to show that if  $\zeta$  is in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and  $x$  is in the fiber over  $\zeta$ , then  $\hat{f}_1(x) = f_1(\zeta), \dots, \hat{f}_k(x) = f_k(\zeta)$ . In other words, it suffices to show that each of the functions  $\hat{f}_1 - f_1 \circ \pi, \dots, \hat{f}_k - f_k \circ \pi$  is identically zero.

Since every point of  $\partial\Omega$  is a peak point for  $A(\bar{\Omega})$  (Cor. 1.4 in [W1]), Lemma 2.2 shows that the fiber over each point of  $\partial\Omega$  consists of a single point. Thus  $\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ) is zero on the fibers over  $\partial\Omega$ .

Assume, to get a contradiction, that  $\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi$  is not identically zero. From the preceding paragraph, we see that there is a compact set  $K$  contained in  $\Omega$  such that the set where  $\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi$  takes on its maximum modulus is contained in the fibers over the interior of  $K$ . Now let  $x_0$  be a point where  $\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi$  assumes its maximum modulus, and suppose  $\mu$  is a Jensen measure for  $x_0$  supported on  $\pi^{-1}(K)$ . Then certainly  $\hat{f}_j(x_0) = \int \hat{f}_j \, d\mu$ . Moreover, if we let  $\tilde{x}_0 = \pi(x_0)$ , and let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be the measure on  $K$  defined by  $\tilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(E))$  for every Borel set  $E$ , then  $\tilde{\mu}$  is a Jensen measure for  $\tilde{x}_0$  with respect to the algebra  $A(\bar{\Omega})$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.3,  $f_j(\tilde{x}_0) = \int f_j \, d\tilde{\mu}$ , or equivalently,

$(f_j \circ \pi)(x_0) = \int (f_j \circ \pi) d\mu$ . Hence

$$(\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi)(x_0) = \int (\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi) d\mu.$$

Now, letting  $\text{Int}(K)$  denote the interior of  $K$ , Theorem 2.1 shows that  $|(\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi)(x_0)|$  is less than or equal to the supremum of  $|\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi|$  over the set

$$\left(\partial_{A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]} \cap \pi^{-1}(\text{Int}(K))\right) \cup \partial\left(\pi^{-1}(\text{Int}(K))\right).$$

Since the Shilov boundary of  $A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]$  is obviously contained in  $\bar{\Omega}$  (where  $\hat{f}_j - f_j \circ \pi$  is zero), the preceding observation is easily seen to be a contradiction. □

As mentioned earlier, a very short proof of Theorem 1.4 can be given based on material in [Ga1]. For  $A$  a uniform algebra with maximal ideal space  $\mathfrak{M}_A$  and  $u$  an upper-semicontinuous function on  $\mathfrak{M}_A$ , call  $u$  subharmonic with respect to  $A$  if  $u(x) \leq \int u d\sigma$  for every  $x \in \mathfrak{M}_A$  and every Jensen measure  $\sigma$  for  $x$ . Theorems 5.9 and 6.9 in [Ga1] together show that the real and imaginary parts of the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  in Theorem 1.4 are subharmonic with respect to  $A(\bar{\Omega})$ , and that the same is also true with  $f_1, \dots, f_k$  replaced by their negatives. Thus we have

$$f_j(x) = \int f_j d\sigma \quad (j = 1, \dots, k)$$

for every  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and every Jensen measure  $\sigma$  for  $x$ . Now suppose  $\phi$  is an arbitrary multiplicative linear functional on  $A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]$ , and let  $z_0$  be the point in  $\bar{\Omega}$  corresponding to the restriction of  $\phi$  to  $A(\bar{\Omega})$ . Let  $\mu$  be a Jensen measure for  $\phi$  supported on  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Then  $\mu$  is also a Jensen measure for  $z_0$  (regarded as an element of  $\mathfrak{M}_{A(\bar{\Omega})}$ ) and so we have

$$f_j(z_0) = \int f_j d\mu \quad (j = 1, \dots, k).$$

Since  $\mu$  represents  $\phi$ , we conclude that  $\phi(f_j) = f_j(z_0)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ). It follows that  $\phi$  is evaluation at  $z_0$ , and hence the maximal ideal space of  $A(\bar{\Omega})[f_1, \dots, f_k]$  is  $\bar{\Omega}$ .

When  $\Omega$  is the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , a short and elementary proof of Theorem 1.4 can be given. Let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary multiplicative linear functional on  $A(\bar{B}_n)[f_1, \dots, f_k]$ , and let  $\mu$  be a representing measure for  $\phi$ . Since the only multiplicative linear functionals on  $A(\bar{B}_n)$  are the point evaluations, there must be some point  $z_0$  in  $\bar{B}_n$  such that

$$(*) \quad g(z_0) = \int g d\mu \quad \text{for all } g \text{ in } A(\bar{B}_n).$$



Now suppose  $f$  in  $C(\overline{B}_n)$  is real-valued and pluriharmonic on  $B_n$ . For  $0 < r < 1$ , let  $f_r$  denote the dilate of  $f$  defined by  $f_r(z) = f(rz)$ . Then  $f_r$  is pluriharmonic on the open ball of radius  $1/r$ , so  $f_r$  is the real-part of a holomorphic function there. Hence, since  $\mu$  is a real measure, it follows from (\*) that  $f_r(z_0) = \int f_r d\mu$ . Since the  $f_r$  converge uniformly to  $f$  on  $\overline{B}_n$ , we obtain

$$(**) \quad f(z_0) = \int f d\mu.$$

Since the real and imaginary parts of each  $f_j$  satisfy the hypotheses on  $f$  above, we observe that (\*\*) continues to hold with  $f$  replaced by  $f_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ). Hence  $\phi(f_j) = f_j(z_0)$  ( $j = 1, \dots, k$ ), and it follows that  $\phi$  is evaluation at  $z_0$  on  $A(\overline{B}_n)[f_1, \dots, f_k]$ . Thus the maximal ideal space of  $A(\overline{B}_n)[f_1, \dots, f_k]$  is  $\overline{B}_n$ .

## References

- [A-S] S. Axler and A. Shields, *Algebras generated by analytic and harmonic functions*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **36** (1987), 631–638.
- [Č] E.M. Čirka, *Approximation by holomorphic functions on smooth manifolds in  $C^n$* , Mat. Sb., **78** (1969), 101–123; English transl., Math. USSR Sb., **7** (1969), 95–114.
- [F] J.E. Fornaess, *Embedding strictly pseudoconvex domains in convex domains*, Amer. J. Math., **98** (1976), 529–569.
- [Ga1] T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras and Jensen measures*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series, vol. 32, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1978.
- [Ga2] T.W. Gamelin, *Uniform algebras*, 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1984.
- [Gu] R.C. Gunning, *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables*, 3 vols., Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, Calif., 1990.
- [H] L. Hörmander, *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, 2nd ed., North-Holland Publishing Company, 1979.
- [I] A.J. Izzo, *Uniform algebras generated by holomorphic and pluriharmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **339** (1993), 835–847.
- [R-S] R.M. Range and Y.T. Sui, *Uniform estimates for the  $\bar{\partial}$ -equation on domains with piecewise smooth strictly pseudoconvex boundaries*, Math. Ann., **206** (1973), 325–354.
- [S-W] N. Sibony and J. Wermer, *Generators for  $A(\Omega)$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **194** (1974), 103–114.
- [W1] B.M. Weinstock, *Zero-sets of continuous holomorphic functions on the boundary of a strongly pseudoconvex domain*, J. London Math. Soc., **18** (1978), 484–488.
- [W2] B.M. Weinstock, *Uniform approximation on the graph of a smooth map in  $C^n$* , Can. J. Math., **17** (1980), 1390–1396.

Received February 25, 1993 and revised June 24, 1993. This research was partially supported by NSF grant DMS 90-02904 and by an NSF postdoctoral fellowship.

BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY  
BOWLING GREEN, OH 43403

E-mail address: aizzo@math.bgsu.edu

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Founded by E. F. Beckenbach (1906-1982) and F. Wolf (1904-1989)

### EDITORS

Sun-Yung Alice Chang (Managing Editor)  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
pacific@math.ucla.edu

F. Michael Christ  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
christ@math.ucla.edu

Thomas Enright  
University of California  
San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093  
tenright@ucsd.edu

Nicholas Ercolani  
University of Arizona  
Tucson, AZ 85721  
ercolani@math.arizona.edu

Robert Finn  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
finn@gauss.stanford.edu

Vaughan F. R. Jones  
University of California  
Berkeley, CA 94720  
vfr@math.berkeley.edu

Steven Kerckhoff  
Stanford University  
Stanford, CA 94305  
spk@gauss.stanford.edu

Martin Scharlemann  
University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106  
mgscharl@math.ucsb.edu

Gang Tian  
Courant Institute  
New York University  
New York, NY 10012-1100  
tiang@taotao.cims.nyu.edu

V. S. Varadarajan  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555  
vsv@math.ucla.edu

### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA  
UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII

UNIVERSITY OF MONTANA  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

The supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

---

Manuscripts must be prepared in accordance with the instructions provided on the inside back cover.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Regular subscription rate: \$215.00 a year (10 issues). Special rate: \$108.00 a year to individual members of supporting institutions.

Subscriptions, orders for back issues published within the last three years, and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Kraus Periodicals Co., Route 100, Millwood, NY 10546.

---

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 981 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720 (ISSN 0030-8730) is published monthly except for July and August. Second-class postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 6143, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS at University of California,  
Berkeley, CA 94720, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

This publication was typeset using AMS-LATEX,  
the American Mathematical Society's TEX macro system.  
Copyright © 1995 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 171 No. 2 December 1995

---

On $H^p$ -solutions of the Bezout equation	297
ERIC AMAR, JOAQUIM BRUNA FLORIS and ARTUR NICOLAU	
Amenable correspondences and approximation properties for von Neumann algebras	309
CLAIRE ANANTHARAMAN-DELAROCHE	
On moduli of instanton bundles on $\mathbb{P}^{2n+1}$	343
VINCENZO ANCONA and GIORGIO MARIA OTTAVIANI	
Minimal surfaces with catenoid ends	353
JORGEN BERGLUND and WAYNE ROSSMAN	
Permutation model for semi-circular systems and quantum random walks	373
PHILIPPE BIANE	
The Neumann problem on Lipschitz domains in Hardy spaces of order less than one	389
RUSSELL M. BROWN	
Matching theorems for twisted orbital integrals	409
REBECCA A. HERB	
Uniform algebras generated by holomorphic and pluriharmonic functions on strictly pseudoconvex domains	429
ALEXANDER IZZO	
Quantum Weyl algebras and deformations of $U(g)$	437
NAIHUAN JING and JAMES ZHANG	
Calcul du nombre de classes des corps de nombres	455
STÉPHANE LOUBOUTIN	
On geometric properties of harmonic $Lip_1$ -capacity	469
PERTTI MATTILA and P. V. PARAMONOV	
Reproducing kernels and composition series for spaces of vector-valued holomorphic functions	493
BENT ØRSTED and GENKAI ZHANG	
Iterated loop modules and a filtration for vertex representation of toroidal Lie algebras	511
S. ESWARA RAO	
The intrinsic mountain pass	529
MARTIN SCHECHTER	
A Frobenius problem on the knot space	545
RON G. WANG	
On complete metrics of nonnegative curvature on 2-plane bundles	569
DAVID YANG	
Correction to: "Free Banach-Lie algebras, couniversal Banach-Lie groups, and more"	585
VLADIMIR G. PESTOV	
Correction to: "Asymptotic radial symmetry for solutions of $\Delta u + e^u = 0$ in a punctured disc"	589
KAI SENG (KAISING) CHOU (TSO) and TOM YAU-HENG WAN	