

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

IRREDUCIBLE NON-DENSE  $A_1^{(1)}$ -MODULES

VJACHESLAV M. FUTORNY

Volume 172 No. 1

January 1996

## IRREDUCIBLE NON-DENSE $A_1^{(1)}$ -MODULES

V.M. FUTORNY

**We study the irreducible weight non-dense modules for Affine Lie Algebra  $A_1^{(1)}$  and classify all such modules having at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace. We prove that any irreducible non-zero level module with all finite-dimensional weight subspaces is non-dense.**

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A)$  is the associated Kac-Moody algebra over the complex numbers  $\mathbf{C}$  with Cartan subalgebra  $H \subset \mathcal{G}$ , 1-dimensional center  $\mathbf{C}c \subset H$  and root system  $\Delta$ .

A  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$  is called a *weight* if  $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H^*} V_\lambda$ ,  $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid hv = \lambda(h)v \text{ for all } h \in H\}$ . If  $V$  is an irreducible weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -module then  $c$  acts on  $V$  as a scalar. We will call this scalar the *level* of  $V$ , For a weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$ , set  $P(V) = \{\lambda \in H^* \mid V_\lambda \neq 0\}$ .

Let  $Q = \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta} \mathbf{Z}\varphi$ . It is clear that if a weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$  is irreducible then  $P(V) \subset \lambda + Q$  for some  $\lambda \in H^*$ . An irreducible weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$  is called *dense* if  $P(V) = \lambda + Q$  for some  $\lambda \in H^*$ , and *non-dense* otherwise.

Irreducible dense modules whose weight spaces are all one-dimensional were classified by S. Spirin [1] for the algebra  $A_1^{(1)}$  and by D. Britten, F. Lemire, F. Zorzitto [2] in the general case. It follows from [2] that such modules exist only for algebras  $A_n^{(1)}$ ,  $C_n^{(1)}$ . V. Chari and A. Pressley constructed a family of irreducible integrable dense modules with all infinite-dimensional weight spaces. These modules can be realized as tensor product of standard highest weight modules with so-called loop modules [3].

In the present paper we study irreducible non-dense weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules. We use Kac [4] as a basic reference for notation, terminology and preliminary results. Our main result is the classification of all irreducible non-dense  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules having at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace. This includes, in particular, all irreducible highest weight modules. Moreover, we show that this classification includes all irreducible modules of non-zero level whose weight spaces are all finite-dimensional.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we study generalized Verma modules  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ ,  $\alpha$  is a real root,  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$  which do not necessarily have a highest weight (cf. [5]). By making use of the generalized Casimir operator and generalized Shapovalov form we obtain the criteria of irreducibility for the modules  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  without highest weight (Theorem 3.11).

In Section 4 we classify all irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded modules for the Heisenberg subalgebra  $G \subset \mathcal{G}$  with at least one finite-dimensional graded component. Irreducible  $G$ -modules with trivial action of  $c$  were described earlier in [6]. Let  $\delta \in \Delta$  such that  $\mathbf{Z}\delta - \{0\}$  is the set of all imaginary roots in  $\Delta$ . Following [6] we introduce in Section 5 the category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$  of weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules  $\tilde{V}$  such that  $P(\tilde{V}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{\lambda_i - k\alpha + n\delta \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, k \geq 0\}$  where  $\lambda_i \in H^*$ , but without any restriction on the action of the center (unlike in [6] where the trivial action of the center is required). The irreducible objects in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$  are the unique quotients of  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules  $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ , where  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $V$  is irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module. Modules  $M_\alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{C})$ , with  $\lambda(c) = 0$  were studied in [7-9]. If  $\lambda(c) \neq 0$  and at least one graded component of  $V$  is finite-dimensional then the module  $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$  is irreducible [8, 9]. In Section 6 we classify all irreducible non-dense  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules with at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace (Theorem 6.2). It turns out that these modules are the quotients of the modules of type  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  or  $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ . Moreover, any irreducible  $\mathcal{G}$ -module of non-zero level whose weight spaces are all finite-dimensional is the quotient of  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  for some real root  $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$  (Theorem 6.3).

## 2. Preliminaries.

We have the root space decomposition for  $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G} = H \oplus \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta} \mathcal{G}_\varphi$ , where  $\dim \mathcal{G}_\varphi = 1$  for all  $\varphi \in \Delta$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$  the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathcal{G}$ , by  $W$  the Weyl group and by  $(\ , \ )$  the standard non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathcal{G}$  [4, Theorem 3.2]. Let  $\Delta^{re}$  be the set of real roots in  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta^{im}$  be the set of imaginary roots in  $\Delta$ . Fix  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$  and consider a subalgebra  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}$  generated by  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{-\alpha}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \simeq sl(2)$  and we fix in  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$  a standard basis  $e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}, h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}]$  where  $[h_\alpha, e_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm 2e_{\pm\alpha}$ . We will use the following realization of  $\mathcal{G}$ :

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\alpha) \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}c \oplus \mathbf{C}d$$

with  $[x \otimes t^n + ac + bd, y \otimes t^m + a_1c + b_1d] = [x, y] \otimes t^{n+m} + bmy \otimes t^m - b_1nx \otimes t^n + n\delta_{n,-m}(x, y)c$ , for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ ,  $a, b, a_1, b_1 \in \mathbf{C}$ . Then  $H = \mathbf{C}h_\alpha \oplus \mathbf{C}c \oplus \mathbf{C}d$ .

Denote by  $\delta$  the element of  $H^*$  defined by:  $\delta(h_\alpha) = \delta(c) = 0$  and  $\delta(d) = 1$ . Then  $\Delta^{im} = \mathbf{Z}\delta - \{0\}$  and  $\pi = \{\alpha, \delta - \alpha\}$  is a basis of  $\Delta$ . Let  $\Delta_+ = \Delta_+(\pi)$  be the set of all positive roots with respect to  $\pi$ . The root system  $\Delta$  can be described in the following way:  $\Delta = \{\pm\alpha + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}\}$ . We have  $\mathcal{G}_{\pm\alpha+n\delta} = \mathcal{G}_{\pm\alpha} \otimes t^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{n\delta} = \mathbf{C}h_\alpha \otimes t^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$ . Set  $e_{\alpha+n\delta} = e_\alpha \otimes t^n$ ,  $e_{-\alpha+n\delta} = e_{-\alpha} \otimes t^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $e_{m\delta} = h_\alpha \otimes t^m$ ,  $m \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$ . Then  $[e_{k\delta}, e_{m\delta}] = 2k\delta_{k,-m}c$ ,  $[e_{k\delta}, e_{\pm\alpha+n\delta}] = \pm 2e_{\pm\alpha+(n+k)\delta}$ ,  $[e_{\alpha+k\delta}, e_{-\alpha+m\delta}] = \delta_{k,-m}(h_\alpha + kc) + (1 - \delta_{k,-m})e_{(k+m)\delta}$  for any  $k, m \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

For a Lie algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $S(\mathcal{A})$  will denote the corresponding symmetric algebra. We will identify the algebra  $\mathcal{U}(H) = S(H)$  with the ring of polynomials  $\mathbf{C}[H^*]$  and denote by  $\sigma$  the involutive antiautomorphism on  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$  such that  $\sigma(e_\alpha) = e_{-\alpha}$ ,  $\sigma(e_{\delta-\alpha}) = e_{\alpha-\delta}$ . Set  $\mathcal{N}_+ = \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+} \mathcal{G}_\varphi$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_- = \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+} \mathcal{G}_{-\varphi}$ .

### 3. Generalized Verma modules.

The center of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}(\alpha))$  is generated by the Casimir element  $z_\alpha = (h_\alpha + 1)^2 + 4e_{-\alpha}e_\alpha$ . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\alpha^+ &= \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+ - \{\alpha\}} \mathcal{G}_\varphi, & \mathcal{N}_\alpha^- &= \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+ - \{\alpha\}} \mathcal{G}_{-\varphi}, \\ T_\alpha &= S(H) \otimes \mathbf{C}[z_\alpha], & E_\alpha^\varepsilon &= (H + \mathcal{G}(\alpha)) \oplus \mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \in \{+, -\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ . Consider the 1-dimensional  $T_\alpha$ -module  $\mathbf{C}v_\lambda$  with the action  $(h \otimes z_\alpha^n)v_\lambda = h(\lambda)\gamma^n v_\lambda$  for any  $h \in S(H)$ , and construct an  $H + \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ -module

$$V(\lambda, \gamma) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}(\alpha) + H) \underset{T_\alpha}{\otimes} \mathbf{C}v_\lambda.$$

It is clear that the module  $V(\lambda, \gamma)$  has a unique irreducible quotient  $V_{\lambda, \gamma}$ .

#### Proposition 3.1.

- (i) If  $V$  is an irreducible weight  $H + \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ -module then  $V \simeq V_{\lambda, \gamma}$  for some  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ .
- (ii)  $V_{\lambda, \gamma} \simeq V_{\lambda', \gamma'}$  if and only if  $\gamma = \gamma'$ ,  $\lambda' = \lambda + n\alpha$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2$  for all integers  $\ell$ ,  $0 \leq \ell < n$  if  $n \geq 0$  or for all integers  $\ell$ ,  $n \leq \ell < 0$  if  $n < 0$ .

*Proof.* This is essentially the classification of irreducible weight  $sl(2)$ -modules.  $\square$

Let  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ . Consider  $V_{\lambda, \gamma}$  as  $E_\alpha^\varepsilon$ -module with trivial action of  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon$  and construct the  $\mathcal{G}$ -module

$$M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) \underset{\mathcal{U}(E_\alpha^\varepsilon)}{\otimes} V_{\lambda, \gamma}$$

associated with  $\alpha, \lambda, \gamma, \varepsilon$ .

The module  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  is called a generalized Verma module. Notice that  $V_{\lambda, \gamma}$  does not have to be finite-dimensional.

**Proposition 3.2.**

- (i)  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  is a free  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon))$ -module with all finite-dimensional weight subspaces.
- (ii)  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  has a unique irreducible quotient,  $L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ .
- (iii)  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma) \simeq M_{\pm\alpha}^{\varepsilon'}(\lambda', \gamma')$  if and only if  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon', \gamma = \gamma', \lambda' = \lambda + n\alpha, n \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq \ell < n$  if  $n \geq 0$  or for all  $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, n \leq \ell < 0$  if  $n < 0$ .

*Proof.* Follows from the construction of  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  and Proposition 3.1.  $\square$

Let  $R_\lambda = \{(\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2 \mid \ell \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ . Recall that  $V$  is called a highest weight module with respect to  $\mathcal{N}_+$  and with highest weight  $\lambda \in H^*$  if  $V = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})v, v \in V_\lambda$  and  $V_{\lambda+\varphi} = 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \Delta_+(\pi)$ . Proposition 3.2, (iii) implies that  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  and  $L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  are highest weight modules with respect to some choice of basis of  $\Delta$  and, therefore, are the quotients of Verma modules [4], if and only if  $\gamma \in R_\lambda$ . The theory of highest weight modules was developed in [4, 10].

**Corollary 3.3.**

- (i) Let  $V$  be an irreducible weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -module,  $0 \neq v \in V_\lambda$  and  $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon v = 0$ . Then  $V \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ .
- (ii) Let  $\lambda \notin R_\lambda$ .  $L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma) \simeq L_{\alpha'}^{\varepsilon'}(\lambda', \gamma')$  if and only if  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon', \alpha' = \alpha$  or  $\alpha' = -\alpha, \gamma = \gamma', \lambda' = \lambda + n\alpha, n \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq \ell < n$  if  $n \geq 0$  or for all  $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, n \leq \ell < 0$  if  $n < 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $V$  is irreducible  $\mathcal{G}$ -module,  $V' = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}(\alpha))v$  is an irreducible  $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ -module and  $V \simeq \sigma(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon))V'$ . Then  $V$  is a homomorphic image of  $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  for some  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$  and, thus,  $V \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  which proves (i). (ii) follows from Proposition 3.2, (iii).  $\square$

From now on we will consider the modules  $M_\alpha^+(\lambda, \gamma)(= M(\lambda, \gamma))$ . All the results for the modules  $M_\alpha^-(\lambda, \gamma)$  can be proved analogously. Set  $z = z_\alpha$ . For  $\lambda \in H^*, \gamma \in \mathbf{C}$  and integer  $n \geq 0$  we denote by  $z(n)$  the restriction of  $z$  to the subspace  $M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda - n(\delta - \alpha)}$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** If  $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2$  for all  $0 \leq \ell < 2n$  then  $\text{Spec } z(n) = \{(2k \pm \sqrt{\gamma})^2 \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq k \leq n\}$ .

*Proof.* Denote  $V_n = M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda - n(\delta - \alpha)}, n > 0$ . One can easily show that  $V_n = e_{\alpha - \delta} V_{n-1} + e_{-\delta} e_\alpha V_{n-1} + e_{-\alpha - \delta} e_\alpha^2 V_{n-1}$ . Let  $V_{n-1} = \oplus V_{n-1}(\tau), \tau \in \mathbf{C}$ ,

where  $V_{n-1}(\tau) = \{v \in V_{n-1} \mid \exists N : (z(n-1) - \tau)^N v = 0\}$ . Then the subspace  $e_{\alpha-\delta}V_{n-1}(\tau) + e_{-\delta}e_{\alpha}V_{n-1}(\tau) + e_{-\alpha-\delta}e_{\alpha}^2V_{n-1}(\tau) \subset V_n$  is  $z(n)$ -invariant and  $z(n)$  has on it the eigenvalues  $\tau$  and  $(2 \pm \sqrt{\tau})^2$ , thanks to the condition  $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_{\alpha}) + 2\ell + 1)^2$ ,  $0 \leq \ell < 2n$ , which implies that  $z(n)$  has eigenvalues  $(2k \pm \sqrt{\gamma})^2$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *If  $\gamma \notin R_{\lambda}$  then  $e_{\alpha}$  and  $e_{-\alpha}$  act injectively on  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\gamma \notin R_{\lambda}$  then  $\text{Spec } z(n) \cap R_{\lambda-n\beta} = \emptyset$  for all integer  $n \geq 0$  by Proposition 3.4 and, therefore,  $e_{\alpha}$  and  $e_{-\alpha}$  act injectively on  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$ .  $\square$

Fix  $\rho \in H^*$  such that  $(\rho, \alpha) = 1$ ,  $(\rho, \delta) = 2$ . Since  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$  is a restricted module, i.e. for every  $v \in M(\lambda, \gamma)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}v = 0$  for all but a finite number of positive roots  $\varphi$ , we have well-defined action of a generalized Casimir operator  $\Omega$  on  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$  [4]:

$$\Omega v = (\mu + 2\rho, \mu)v + 2 \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+} \bar{e}_{-\varphi} e_{\varphi} v, \quad v \in M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\mu},$$

where  $\bar{e}_{-\varphi} \in \mathcal{G}_{-\varphi}$ ,  $(\bar{e}_{-\varphi}, e_{\varphi}) = 1$ ,  $\varphi \in \Delta_+$ . Set  $\tilde{\Omega} = 2\Omega + id$ .

Let  $s_{\alpha} \in W$ ,  $s_{\alpha}(\mu) = \mu - (\mu, \alpha)\alpha$ ,  $\mu \in H^*$ .

**Lemma 3.6.** *For a  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$*

$$\tilde{\Omega} = [(\lambda + 2\rho + s_{\alpha}(\lambda + 2\rho), \lambda) + \gamma]id.$$

*Proof.* Follows from [4, Th.2.6] and definition of  $\tilde{\Omega}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $n > 0$ ,  $\beta = \delta - \alpha$ ,  $0 \neq v \in M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n\beta}$ ,  $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_{\alpha}) + 2\ell + 1)^2$  for all  $0 \leq \ell < 2n$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^+ v = 0$ . Then  $k^2\gamma = (n(\lambda(c) + 2) - k^2)^2$  for some  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 3.6 that  $z(n)v = \gamma'v$  and

$$(\lambda - n\beta + 2\rho + s_{\alpha}(\lambda - n\beta + 2\rho), \lambda - n\beta) + \gamma' = (\lambda + 2\rho + s_{\alpha}(\lambda + 2\rho), \lambda) + \gamma$$

which implies

$$\gamma' = \gamma + 4n(\lambda(c) + 2).$$

But,  $\gamma' = (2k \pm \sqrt{\gamma})^2$  for some  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$  by Proposition 3.4. Therefore,  $k^2\gamma = (n(\lambda(c) + 2) - k^2)^2$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - R_{\lambda}$ . If  $k^2\gamma \neq (n(\lambda(c) + 2) - k^2)^2$  for all  $n, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n > 0$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq n$  then  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$  irreducible.*

*Proof.* If the  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$  has a non-trivial submodule  $M$ , then  $M$  contains a non-zero vector  $v$  of weight  $\lambda - n(\delta - \alpha)$ ,  $n > 0$ , such that  $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^+ v = 0$ . Now, the statement follows from Lemma 3.7.  $\square$

Consider the following decomposition of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ :

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) = (\mathcal{N}_\alpha^- \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) + \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) \mathcal{N}_\alpha^+) \oplus T_\alpha \mathbf{C}[e_\alpha] e_\alpha \oplus T_\alpha \mathbf{C}[e_{-\alpha}] e_{-\alpha} \oplus T_\alpha.$$

Let  $j$  be the projection of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$  to  $T_\alpha$ . Introduce the generalized Shapovalov form  $F$ , a symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$  with values in  $T_\alpha$ , as follows (cf. [11]):  $F(x, y) = j(\sigma(x)y)$ ,  $x, y \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ . The algebra  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$  is  $Q$ -graded:  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{\eta \in Q} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})_\eta$ . It is clear that  $F(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})_{\eta_1}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})_{\eta_2}) = 0$  if  $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$ . Denote

$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-)_-\eta = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-) \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})_{-\eta}$  and let  $F_\eta$  be a restriction of  $F$  to  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-)_-\eta$ .

For  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ , consider the linear map  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma} : T_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  defined by  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(h \otimes z^n) = h(\lambda)\gamma^n$  for any  $h \in S(H)$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ .

Set  $\lambda_k = \lambda + k\alpha$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\mu = \lambda - n(\delta - \alpha) \in P(M(\lambda, \gamma))$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  and  $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2s + 1)^2$  for all integer  $s$ ,  $0 \leq s < 2n$ . Then  $\lambda_{2n} \in P(M(\lambda, \gamma))$ ,  $M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda_{2n}} = \mathbf{C}v_n$  and  $M(\lambda, \gamma)_\mu = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-)_-n(\alpha+\delta)v_n$ . Set  $F^{(n)} = F_{n(\alpha+\delta)}$ . We define a bilinear  $\mathbf{C}$ -valued form  $F_\mu^0$  on  $M(\lambda, \gamma)_\mu$  as follows:

$$F_\mu^0(u_1 v_n, u_2 v_n) = \theta_{\lambda_{2n}, \gamma} \left( F^{(n)}(u_1, u_2) \right), \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-)_-n(\alpha+\delta).$$

One can see that  $\dim L(\lambda, \gamma)_\mu = \text{rank } F_\mu^0$ .

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - R_\lambda$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$  is irreducible.
- (ii)  $F_{\lambda-n(\delta-\alpha)}^0$  is non-degenerate for all integers  $n > 0$ .
- (iii)  $\theta_{\lambda_{2n}, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) \neq 0$  for all integers  $n > 0$ .

*Proof.* Follows from the Corollary 3.5. □

Consider in  $T_\alpha$  the following polynomials:  $f_{m,k} = k^2 z - (m(c+2) - k^2)^2$ ,  $g_s = z - (h_\alpha + 2s + 1)^2$ ,  $s, m, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . Lemma 3.7 implies that if  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(g_s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq s < 2n$  and  $\theta_{\lambda_{2m}, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) \neq 0$  for all  $m, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 < m \leq n$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , then  $M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n(\delta-\alpha)} = L(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n(\delta-\alpha)}$  and  $\theta_{\lambda_{2n}, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) \neq 0$ . We conclude that the polynomial  $\det F^{(n)}$  is not identically equal to zero and has its zeros in the union of zeros of polynomials  $f_{m,k}$ ,  $0 < m \leq n$ ,  $0 \leq k \leq m$ ,  $g_s$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq 2n$ . Therefore,  $\det F^{(n)}$  is a product of factors of type  $f_{m,k}$  and  $g_s$ .

**Lemma 3.10.** *Let  $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n > 0$ ,  $0 < m \leq n$ . Then  $f_{m,k}$  is a factor of  $\det F^{(n)}$  if and only if  $k$  is a divisor of  $m$  or  $k = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $k$  is a divisor of  $m$  or  $k = 0$ . Set  $r = 2n + 2m + k$ . Consider  $\lambda \in H^*$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = \theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(g_r) = 0$ . For integer  $s \geq 0$

set  $\nu_s = \lambda_{-s} = \lambda - s\alpha$ . Then  $\theta_{\nu_s, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = \theta_{\nu_s, \gamma}(g_{r+s}) = 0$  and  $\nu_s(h_\alpha) \notin \mathbf{Z}$ , which implies that  $\theta_{\nu_s, \gamma}(g_\ell) \neq 0$  for all  $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\ell < r+s$ . Thus, the form  $F_{\nu_s - i\beta}^0$ ,  $\beta = \delta - \alpha$  is defined for all  $s \geq 0$ ,  $0 < i \leq n$  and  $M(\nu_s, \gamma) \simeq M(\lambda_r)$ ,  $s \geq 0$  by Proposition 3.2, (iii), where  $M(\lambda_r)$  is the Verma module with highest weight  $\lambda_r = \lambda + r\alpha$ . Therefore,  $M(\nu_s, \gamma)_{\nu_s - i\beta} \simeq M(\lambda_r)_{\nu_s - i\beta}$ ,  $0 < i \leq n$  as  $T_\alpha$ -modules. The operator  $z(m)$  has eigenvectors  $w_s^+$ ,  $w_s^- \in M(\lambda_r)_{\nu_s - m\beta}$  with eigenvalues  $\gamma^+ = (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 4(n+m+k) + 1)^2$  and  $\gamma^- = (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 4(n+m) + 1)^2$  respectively. Since  $\theta_{\nu_s, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = 0$ , then

$$\gamma^* = \gamma + 4m(\lambda(c) + 2) \in \{\gamma^+, \gamma^-\}$$

and

$$(\nu_s + 2\rho + s_\alpha(\nu_s + 2\rho), \nu_s) + \gamma = (\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho + s_\alpha(\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho), \nu_s - m\beta) + \gamma^*.$$

Let  $w_s^* \in \{w_s^+, w_s^-\}$  and  $z(m)w_s^* = \gamma^*w_s^*$ . Then

$$\tilde{\Omega}w_s^* = [(\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho + s_\alpha(\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho), \nu_s - m\beta) + \gamma^*]w_s^*$$

by Lemma 3.6. But,  $w_s^* \in M(\lambda_r)$  and

$$\tilde{\Omega}w_s^* = (2(\lambda_r + 2\rho, \lambda_r) + 1)w_s^*$$

by Corollary 2.6 in [4]. Hence

$$2(\lambda_r + 2\rho, \lambda_r) + 1 = (\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho + s_\alpha(\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho), \nu_s - m\beta) + \gamma^*$$

and

$$(\lambda_r + 2\rho, \lambda_r) = (\lambda_r + 2\rho - \tau^*, \lambda_r - \tau^*)$$

where  $\tau^* = m\delta - k\alpha$  if  $\gamma^* = \gamma^+$  and  $\tau^* = m\delta + k\alpha$  if  $\gamma^* = \gamma^-$ . If  $k$  divides  $m$  or  $k = 0$  then  $\tau^*$  is a quasiroot and  $D = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(M(\lambda_r - \tau^*), M(\lambda_r)) \neq 0$  [10, Prop. 4.1].

Let  $0 \neq \chi \in D$ . Then  $\chi(M(\lambda_r - \tau^*)) \cap M(\lambda_r)_{\nu_s - n\beta} \neq 0$  and therefore,  $\theta_{\lambda_{2n-s}, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) = 0$  for any integer  $s \geq 0$ . It implies that if  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{Z}$  and  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = 0$  then  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) = 0$ . Thus,  $f_{m,k}$  is a factor of  $\det F^{(n)}$ . Conversely, suppose that  $f_{n,k}$  is a factor of  $\det F^{(n)}$ ,  $k \neq 0$  and  $k$  is not a divisor of  $n$ . Let  $r = 4n + k$ . Consider a pair  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in H^* \times (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{Z})$  such that  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{n,k}) = \theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(g_r) = 0$  but  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{p,q}) \neq 0$  for all  $0 < p < n$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq p$  (such  $\lambda$  and  $\gamma$  always exist). Then  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) = 0$  and the Verma module  $M(\lambda_r)$  has an irreducible subquotient with highest weight  $\lambda_r - \tau^*$ , where  $\tau^*$  is one of  $n\delta + k\alpha$ ,  $n\delta - k\alpha$ . But, this contradicts the Theorem 2 in [10]. Therefore,  $f_{n,k}$  can not be a factor of  $\det F^{(n)}$  if  $k \neq 0$  and  $k$  is not a divisor of  $n$ .



Let now  $0 < m < n$ ,  $0 < k < m$ ,  $k$  is not a divisor of  $m$  and  $f_{m,k}$  is a factor of  $\det F^{(n)}$ . Consider a pair  $(\lambda, \gamma) \in H^* \times \mathbf{C}$  such that  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = 0$ ,  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{p,q}) \neq 0$  for all  $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 < p \leq n$ ,  $0 \leq q \leq p$ ,  $(p, q) \neq (m, k)$  and  $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(g_s) \neq 0$  for all  $s \in \mathbf{Z}$ . As it was shown above  $f_{m,k}$  is not a factor of  $\det F^{(m)}$  which implies that  $\theta_{\lambda_{2m}, \gamma}(\det F^{(m)}) \neq 0$ . Now it follows from Lemma 3.7 that  $M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n\beta} = L(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n\beta}$  and  $\theta_{\lambda_{2n}, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) \neq 0$ . But, this contradicts the assumption that  $f_{m,k}$  is a factor of  $\det F^{(n)}$ . The Lemma is proved.  $\square$

For  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n > 0$  denote  $X_n = \{0\} \cup \{k \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \mid \frac{n}{k} \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ .

**Theorem 3.11.** *Let  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - R_\lambda$ .  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $M(\lambda, \gamma)$  is irreducible if and only if  $k^2\gamma \neq (n(\lambda(c) + 2) - k^2)^2$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $n > 0$ ,  $k \in X_n$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10.  $\square$

#### 4. Irreducible representations of the Heisenberg subalgebra.

Consider the Heisenberg subalgebra  $G = \mathbf{C}c \oplus \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}} \mathcal{G}_{k\delta} \subset \mathcal{G}$ . It is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded algebra with  $\deg c = 0$ ,  $\deg e_{k\delta} = k$ . This gradation induces a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -gradation on the universal enveloping algebra  $\mathcal{U}(G) : \mathcal{U}(G) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{U}_i$ .

In this section we study the irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -modules. The central element  $c$  acts as a scalar on each such module. In general, we say that a  $G$ -module  $V$  is a module of level  $a \in \mathbf{C}$  if  $c$  acts on  $V$  as a multiplication by  $a$ .

**4.1.  $G$ -Modules of non-zero level.** Let  $G_+ = \sum_{k>0} \mathcal{G}_{k\delta}$ ,  $G_- = \sum_{k<0} \mathcal{G}_{k\delta}$ . For  $a \in \mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C} - \{0\}$ , let  $\mathbf{C}v_a$  be the 1-dimensional  $G_\varepsilon \oplus \mathbf{C}c$ -module for which  $G_\varepsilon v_a = 0$ ,  $cv_a = av_a$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ . Consider the  $G$ -module

$$M^\varepsilon(a) = \mathcal{U}(G) \bigotimes_{\mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon \oplus \mathbf{C}c)} \mathbf{C}v_a$$

associated with  $a$  and  $\varepsilon$ .

The module  $M^\varepsilon(a)$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded:  $M^\varepsilon(a) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} M^\varepsilon(a)_i$  where

$$M^\varepsilon(a)_i = (\sigma(\mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon)) \cap \mathcal{U}_i) \otimes v_a.$$

#### Proposition 4.1.

- (i) *The  $G$ -module  $M^\varepsilon(a)$  is irreducible.*
- (ii)  *$M^\varepsilon(a)$  is a  $\sigma(\mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon))$ -free module.*

(iii)  $\dim M^\varepsilon(a)_i = P(|i|)$  where  $P(n)$  is a partition function.

*Proof.* (ii) and (iii) follow directly from the definition of  $M^\varepsilon(a)$ . Since  $a \neq 0$  one can easily show that for any non-zero  $u \in \sigma(\mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon))$  there exists  $u' \in \mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon)$  such that  $0 \neq u'uv_a \in M^\varepsilon(a)_0$  which implies (i) and completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $V$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module of level  $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$  and  $\dim V_i < \infty$  for at least one  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  then*

$$\text{Spec } e_\delta e_{-\delta} |_V \subset \{2ma \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V_j$  be a non-zero eigenvector of  $e_\delta e_{-\delta}$  with eigenvalue  $b$  and  $b \neq 2ma$  for all  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Since  $a \neq 0$ , if  $e_{n\delta}v = 0$  then  $e_{-n\delta}v \neq 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$ . Denote  $Y = \{n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0, 1\} \mid e_{n\delta}v \neq 0\}$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $j = i$  and  $|Y \cap \mathbf{Z}_+| = \infty$ . Elements  $e_\delta$  and  $e_{-\delta}$  act injectively on the subspace spanned by  $e_\delta^k v$ ,  $e_{-\delta}^k v$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then, for each  $k \in Y \cap \mathbf{Z}_+$ ,  $e_\delta e_{-\delta}(e_{k\delta}v) = be_{k\delta}v$  and  $0 \neq e_{-\delta}^k e_{k\delta}v \in V_i$ . Set  $w_k = e_{-\delta}^k e_{k\delta}v$ . Then  $e_\delta e_{-\delta} w_k = (b + 2ka)w_k$ ,  $k \in Y \cap \mathbf{Z}_+$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\dim V_i < \infty$ . Therefore,  $b = 2ma$  for some  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ .  $\square$

For a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module  $V$  and  $j \geq 0$  denote by  $V^{[j]}$  the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module with  $(V^{[j]})_i = V_{i-j}$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

We describe now all irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -modules of non-zero level with finite-dimensional components.

**Proposition 4.3.**

- (i) *Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module of level  $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$  such that  $\dim V_i < \infty$  for at least one  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Then  $V^{[j]} \simeq M^\varepsilon(a)$  for some  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ .*
- (ii)  *$\text{Ext}^1((M^\varepsilon(a))^{[j]}, M^{\varepsilon'}(a)) = 0$  for any  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{+, -\}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) By Lemma 4.2  $\text{Spec } X |_V \subset \{2ma \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  where  $X$  stands for  $e_\delta e_{-\delta}$ . Let  $V_i \neq 0$ ,  $n$  be an integer with maximal absolute value such that  $2na \in \text{Spec } X |_{V_i}$  and let  $0 \neq v \in V_i$ ,  $Xv = 2nav$ . Assume that  $n > 0$ . Then  $e_{k\delta}v = 0$  for all  $k > 1$ . Indeed, if  $e_{k\delta}v \neq 0$  for some  $k > 1$  then  $X(e_{k\delta}v) = e_{k\delta}Xv = 2na e_{k\delta}v$  and  $2(n+k)a$  is an eigenvalue of  $X$  on  $V_i$  which contradicts the assumption. Therefore,  $e_{k\delta}v = 0$  for all  $k > 1$ . Consider the element  $\tilde{v} = e_\delta^{n-1}v \neq 0$ . Then  $e_{-\delta}e_\delta\tilde{v} = e_{k\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$ ,  $k > 1$ . If  $e_\delta\tilde{v} \neq 0$  then  $v_p = e_\delta^p\tilde{v} \neq 0$ ,  $e_{k\delta}v_p = 0$  and, hence  $e_{-k\delta}v_p \neq 0$  for all  $p > 0$ ,  $k > 1$ . This would imply that  $\dim V_i = \infty$ . Therefore,  $e_\delta\tilde{v} = 0$  and  $V = \mathcal{U}(G)\tilde{v} \simeq M^+(a)$  up to a shifting of gradation. If  $n \leq 0$  then, clearly,

$V \simeq M^-(a)$  up to a shifting of gradation. Suppose that  $V_i = 0$  but, for example,  $V_{i-1} \neq 0$ . Then  $e_{k\delta}v = 0$  for any non-zero  $v \in V_{i-1}$  for all  $k > 0$  and thus  $V = \mathcal{U}(G)v \simeq M^+(a)$  up to a shifting of gradation. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Follows from the proof of (i) and Proposition 4.1, (ii).  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Every finitely-generated  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module  $V$  of level  $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$  such that  $\dim V_i < \infty$  for at least one  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  has a finite length.*

*Proof.* If  $V_i = 0$  then statement follows from Proposition 4.3. Let  $V_i \neq 0$ ,  $n$  be an integer with maximal absolute value such that  $2na \in \text{Spec } e_\delta e_{-\delta} \upharpoonright_{V_i}$  and  $v$  be a corresponding eigenvector. It follows from the proof of Proposition 4.3, (i) that  $V' = \mathcal{U}(G)v \simeq M^\varepsilon(a)$  up to a shifting of gradation. Consider a  $G$ -module  $\tilde{V} = V/V'$ . Then  $\dim \tilde{V}_i < \dim V_i$  and we can complete the proof by induction on  $\dim V_i$ .  $\square$

Now we are in the position to establish the completely reducibility for finitely-generated  $G$ -modules of non-zero level with finite-dimensional components.

**Proposition 4.5.** *Every finitely-generated  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module  $V$  of a non-zero level such that  $\dim V_i < \infty$  for at least one  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  is completely reducible.*

*Proof.* Follows from Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.3.  $\square$

**4.2.  $G$ -modules of level zero.** The irreducible  $G$ -modules of level zero are classified by V. Chari [6]. We recall this classification.

Let  $\tilde{G} = \mathcal{U}(G)/\mathcal{U}(G)c$  and let  $g : \mathcal{U}(G) \rightarrow \tilde{G}$  be the canonical homomorphism. For  $r > 0$  consider a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded ring  $L_r = \mathbf{C}[t^r, t^{-r}]$ ,  $\deg t = 1$  and denote by  $P_r$  the set of graded ring epimorphisms  $\Lambda : \tilde{G} \rightarrow L_r$  with  $\Lambda(1) = 1$ . Let  $L_0 = \mathbf{C}$  and  $\Lambda_0 : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  is a trivial homomorphism such that  $\Lambda_0(1) = 1$ ,  $\Lambda_0(g(e_{k\delta})) = 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$ . Set  $P_0 = \{\Lambda_0\}$ .

Given  $\Lambda \in P_r$ ,  $r \geq 0$  define a  $G$ -module structure on  $L_r$  by:

$$e_{k\delta}t^{rs} = \Lambda(g(e_{k\delta}))t^{rs}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}, \quad ct^{rs} = 0, \quad s \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Denote this  $G$ -module by  $L_{r,\Lambda}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.**

- (i) *Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module of level zero. Then  $V \simeq L_{r,\Lambda}$  for some  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \in P_r$  up to a shifting of gradation.*
- (ii)  *$L_{r,\Lambda} \simeq L_{r',\Lambda'}$  if and only if  $r = r'$  and there exists  $b \in \mathbf{C}^*$  such that  $\Lambda(g(e_{k\delta})) = b^k \Lambda'(g(e_{k\delta}))$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) is essentially Lemma 3.6 in [6]; (ii) follows from [6, Prop. 3.8].  $\square$

**Remark 4.7.** All the results of Section 4, except Proposition 4.1 (iii), are hold for the Heisenberg subalgebra of an arbitrary Affine Lie Algebra.

### 5. The category $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ .

Let  $\alpha \in \pi$ . Following [6] we define category  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$  to be the category of weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules  $M$  satisfying the condition that there exist finitely many elements  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in H^*$  such that  $P(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^r D(\lambda_i)$  where

$$D(\lambda_i) = \{\lambda_i + k\alpha + n\delta \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, k \leq 0\}.$$

Notice that the trivial action of  $c$ , as in [6], is no longer required. It is clear that  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$  is closed under the operations of taking submodules, quotients and finite direct sums.

Denote  $B_\alpha = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha+n\delta}$ . Then  $\mathcal{G} = B_{-\alpha} \oplus (H + G) \oplus B_\alpha$ .

Let  $V$  be an irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module of level  $a \in \mathbf{C}$  and let  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\lambda(c) = a$ . Then we can define a  $B = (H + G) \oplus B_\alpha$ -module structure on  $V$  by setting:  $hv_i = (\lambda + i\delta)(h)v_i$ ,  $B_\alpha v_i = 0$  for all  $h \in H$ ,  $v_i \in V_i$ ,  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

Consider the  $\mathcal{G}$ -module

$$M_\alpha(\lambda, V) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) \underset{\mathcal{U}(B)}{\otimes} V$$

associated with  $\alpha, \lambda, V$ .

#### Proposition 5.1.

- (i) *The  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$  is  $S(B_{-\alpha})$ -free.*
- (ii)  *$M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$  has a unique irreducible quotient  $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ .*
- (iii)  *$P(M_\alpha(\lambda, V)) = (D(\lambda) - \{\lambda + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}) \cup P(V) \subset D(\lambda)$ .*
- (iv)  *$M_\alpha(\lambda, V) \simeq M_{\alpha'}(\lambda', V')$  if and only if  $\alpha' \in \{\alpha + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  and there exists  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\lambda = \lambda' + i\delta$  and  $V^{[i]} \simeq V'$  as graded  $G$ -modules.*

*Proof.* Follows from the construction of  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ .  $\square$

Now we describe the classes of isomorphisms of irreducible modules in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ .

#### Proposition 5.2.

- (i) *Let  $\tilde{V}$  be an irreducible object in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ . Then there exist  $\lambda \in H^*$  and an irreducible  $G$ -module  $V$  such that  $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ .*

- (ii)  $L_\alpha(\lambda, V) \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda', V')$  if and only if there exists  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\lambda = \lambda' + i\delta$  and  $V^{[i]} \simeq V'$  as graded  $G$ -modules.

*Proof.* One can see that  $\tilde{V}$  contains a non-zero element  $v \in \tilde{V}_\lambda$  such that  $B_\alpha v = 0$ . Then  $V = \mathcal{U}(G)v$  is an irreducible  $\mathbf{Z}$ -graded  $G$ -module and  $\tilde{V} \simeq \mathcal{U}(B_{-\alpha})V$ . This implies that  $\tilde{V}$  is a homomorphic image of  $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$  and, therefore, is isomorphic to  $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ , which proves (i). Part (ii) follows from Proposition 5.1, (iv).  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3.** *If  $0 < \dim L_\alpha(\lambda, V)_\mu < \infty$  for some  $\mu \in H^*$  then  $\dim V_i < \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\lambda(c) = 0$  then  $V^{[j]} \simeq L_{r, \Lambda}$  for some  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \in P_r$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  by Proposition 4.6 and, hence  $\dim V_i \leq 1$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\lambda(c) = a \in \mathbf{C}^*$  and  $V^{[j]} \simeq M^\varepsilon(a)$ , for any  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ . By Proposition 4.3, (i),  $\dim V_i = \infty$  for all  $i$ . If  $a \in \mathbf{Q}_+$  ( $a \notin \mathbf{Q}_+$  respectively) then  $\lambda(h_\alpha) - na \notin \mathbf{Z}_+$  for all integer  $n \geq n_0$  ( $n \leq n_0$  respectively) and for some  $n_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Thus,  $e_{\alpha - n\delta} e_{-\alpha + n\delta}$  acts injectively on  $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$  ( $n \leq n_0$  respectively) which implies that  $\dim L_\alpha(\lambda, V)_\mu = \infty$ . But, this contradicts the assumption. We conclude that  $V^{[j]} \simeq M^\varepsilon(a)$  for some  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$  and  $\dim V_i < \infty$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.4.** *Let  $\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$  be an irreducible.*

- (i) [6] *If  $\tilde{V}$  is of level zero then  $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, L_{r, \Lambda})$  for some  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\lambda(c) = 0$ ,  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \in P_r$ .*
- (ii) *If  $\tilde{V}$  is of level  $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$  and  $\dim \tilde{V}_\mu < \infty$  for at least one  $\mu \in P(\tilde{V})$  then  $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a))$  for some  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\lambda(c) = a$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ .*

*Proof.* (i) follows from Propositions 5.2 and 4.6, while (ii) follows from Lemma 5.3, Propositions 5.2 and 4.3.  $\square$

In some cases we can describe the structure of modules  $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ .

Let  $\lambda(c) = 0$ ,  $r = 0$ ,  $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$ ,  $L_{0, \Lambda_0} \simeq \mathbf{C}$ . Set  $\tilde{M}(\lambda) = M_\alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{C})$ . Notice that  $\tilde{M}(\lambda) \simeq S(B_{-\alpha})$  as vector spaces and, therefore,  $P(\tilde{M}(\lambda)) = \{\lambda - n\alpha + k\delta \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, n > 0\} \cup \{\lambda\}$  and

$$\dim \tilde{M}(\lambda)_{\lambda - n\alpha + k\delta} = \infty, n > 1, \dim \tilde{M}(\lambda)_\lambda = \dim \tilde{M}(\lambda)_{\lambda - \alpha + k\delta} = 1, k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

**Proposition 5.5.**

- (i)  $L_\alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{C}) \simeq \tilde{M}(\lambda)$  if and only if  $\lambda(h_\alpha) \neq 0$ .
- (ii) If  $\lambda(h_\alpha) = 0$  then  $L_\alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{C})$  is a trivial one-dimensional module.

*Proof.* Proposition follows from [7, Proposition 6.2] and is also proved in [8].  $\square$

Let  $\lambda(c) = a \in \mathbf{C}^*$ . Set  $M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a) = M_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a))$ . We have

$$P(M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a)) = \{\lambda - k\alpha + n\delta \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, k > 0\} \cup \{\lambda - \varepsilon n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}$$

and

$$\dim M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a)_{\lambda - k\alpha + n\delta} = \infty, k > 0, n \in \mathbf{Z}, \dim M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a)_{\lambda - \varepsilon n\delta} = P(n), n \in \mathbf{Z}_+.$$

**Proposition 5.6.** [8, 9]  $L_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a)) \simeq M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a)$ .

Recall, that  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $\tilde{V}$  is called *integrable* if  $e_{\pm\alpha}$  and  $e_{\pm(\delta-\alpha)}$  act locally nilpotently on  $\tilde{V}$ . All irreducible integrable  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$  of level zero were classified in [6]. In fact, they are the only integrable modules in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ .

**Corollary 5.7.** *If  $\tilde{V}$  is irreducible integrable  $\mathcal{G}$ -module in  $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$  then  $\tilde{V}$  is of level zero.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tilde{V}$  is of level  $a \neq 0$ . Since  $\tilde{V}$  is integrable, it follows from Proposition 5.6 that  $\tilde{V} \neq L_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a))$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ . Then  $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$  and for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  there exist  $i > k$ ,  $j < -k$  such that  $V_i \neq 0$ ,  $V_j \neq 0$ . Now the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 show that  $e_{-\alpha}$  and  $e_{\delta-\alpha}$  are not locally nilpotent on such module and, therefore,  $\tilde{V}$  has a zero level.  $\square$

**Remark.** (i) The structure of modules  $L_\alpha(\lambda, L_{r,\Lambda})$ ,  $r > 0$  is unclear is general. Some examples were considered in [1, 12].

(ii) Most of the results of Section 5 can be generalized for an arbitrary Affine Lie Algebra [6, 7, 12].

## 6. Non-dense $\mathcal{G}$ -modules.

**Definition.** An irreducible weight  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$  is called *dense* if  $P(V) = \lambda + Q$  for some  $\lambda \in H^*$  and *non-dense* otherwise.

In this section we classify all irreducible non-dense  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules with at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace. Our main result is the following Theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.** *If  $\tilde{V}$  is an irreducible non-dense  $\mathcal{G}$ -module with at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace then  $\tilde{V}$  belongs to one of the following disjoint classes:*

- (i) *highest weight modules with respect to some choice of  $\pi$ ;*
- (ii)  $L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - R_\lambda$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ ;
- (iii)  $L_\alpha(\lambda, L_{r,\Lambda})$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\lambda(c) = 0$ ,  $r \geq 0$ ,  $\Lambda \in P_r$ .

(iv)  $L_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a))$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$ ,  $\lambda(c) = a$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ .

Moreover, we can describe the irreducible  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules of non-zero level with finite-dimensional weight subspaces.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Let  $\tilde{V}$  be an irreducible  $\mathcal{G}$ -module of level  $a \neq 0$  with all finite-dimensional weight subspaces. Then  $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\lambda(c) = a$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ .*

**Remark 6.4.** Theorems 6.2, 6.3 imply that in order to complete the classification of all weight irreducible  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules one has to study the following classes:

- (i) Modules of type  $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$  where  $V$  is a graded irreducible  $G$ -module of non-zero level with all infinite-dimensional components.
- (ii) Dense  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules of zero level.
- (iii) Dense  $\mathcal{G}$ -modules of non-zero level with an infinite-dimensional weight subspace.

These classification problems are still open.

The proof of Theorem 6.2 is based on some preliminary results. We start with the following Definition.

**Definition 6.5.** A subset  $P \subset \Delta$  is called closed if  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in P$ ,  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \in \Delta$  imply  $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \in P$ . A closed subset  $P \subset \Delta$  is called a partition if  $P \cap -P = \emptyset$ ,  $P \cup -P = \Delta$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $P$  be a partition,  $P \ni \delta$ ,  $P^{re} = P \cap \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\beta \in \Delta^{re}$ .*

- (i) *If  $|P^{re} \cap \{\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}| < \infty$  or  $|P^{re} \cap \{-\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\}| < \infty$  then  $P^{re} = \{\varphi + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  for some  $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$ .*
- (ii) *If  $|P^{re} \cap \{\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\}| = |P^{re} \cap \{-\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}| = \infty$  then  $P = \Delta_+(\tilde{\pi})$  for some basis  $\tilde{\pi}$  of  $\Delta$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $\Delta = \{\pm\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}\}$ . It follows from [7] that there exist  $w \in W$  and  $\beta' \in \Delta^{re}$  such that

$$wP = \{\beta' + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{k\delta \mid k > 0\}$$

or

$$wP = \{\beta' + n\delta, -\beta' + k\delta \mid n \geq 0, k > 0\} \cup \{k\delta \mid k > 0\} = \Delta_+(\pi')$$

where  $\pi' = \{\beta', \delta - \beta'\}$ . Then

$$P = \{w^{-1}\beta' + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{k\delta \mid k > 0\}$$

or  $P = \Delta_+(w^{-1}\pi')$ . This implies the statement of Lemma.  $\square$

**Definition 6.7.** A non-zero element  $v$  of a  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$  is called admissible if  $\mathcal{N}_\varphi^\varepsilon v = 0$  or  $B_\varphi v = 0$ , for some  $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ .

**Lemma 6.8.** *If the  $\mathcal{G}$ -module  $V$  contains a non-zero vector  $v \in V_\lambda$  such that  $e_\varphi v = 0$  and  $\lambda + k\delta \notin P(V)$  for some  $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$  then  $V$  contains an admissible vector.*

*Proof.* We will assume that  $k > 0$ . The case  $k < 0$  can be considered analogously. We prove the Lemma by the induction on  $k$ . Let  $k = 1$ . Then we have  $e_{\varphi+m\delta}v = e_\delta v = 0$  for all  $m \geq 0$ . If  $e_{\varphi-i\delta}v = 0$  for all  $i > 0$  then  $B_\varphi v = 0$  and  $v$  is admissible. Let  $e_{\varphi-n\delta}v \neq 0$  for some  $n > 0$  and  $e_{\varphi-i\delta}v = 0$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$ . Set  $\tilde{v} = e_{\varphi-n\delta}v \neq 0$ . Then  $e_{\varphi-i\delta}\tilde{v} = e_\delta\tilde{v} = e_{-\varphi+(n+1)\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$ ,  $i < n$  and, thus,  $e_\psi\tilde{v} = 0$  for any  $\psi \in \tilde{P} = \{\varphi - i\delta, -\varphi + (n+j+1)\delta, (j+1)\delta \mid i < n, j \geq 0\}$ . One can see that  $\tilde{P} \cup \{-\varphi + n\delta\}$  is a partition and  $\tilde{P} = \Delta_+(\tilde{\pi}) - \{\varphi'\}$  for some  $\varphi' \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\tilde{\pi} = \{\varphi', \delta - \varphi'\}$ , by Lemma 6.6. Hence,  $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}^+\tilde{v} = 0$  which proves the Lemma for  $k = 1$ .

Assume now that the Lemma is proved for all  $0 < k' < k$  and consider two cases:

(i) There exists  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $0 < n < k$  such that  $e_{\varphi+i\delta}v = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i < n$  but  $e_{\varphi+n\delta}v \neq 0$ . Then  $e_{\varphi+i\delta}\tilde{v} = e_{-\varphi+(k-n)\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$ ,  $0 \leq i < n$  where  $\tilde{v} = e_{\varphi+n\delta}v$  and  $e_{-\varphi+(k-n)\delta}\tilde{v} \in V_{\lambda+k\delta} = 0$ . If  $k - n = 1$  or  $k - n > 1$  and  $e_{-\varphi+\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$  then  $\mathcal{N}_+v = 0$  and  $\tilde{v}$  is admissible. Let  $k - n > 1$  and  $v' = e_{-\varphi+\delta}\tilde{v} \neq 0$ . Then  $v' \in V_{\lambda'}$ ,  $e_{\varphi'}v' = 0$ ,  $\lambda' + (k - n - 1)\delta \notin P(V)$  where  $\lambda' = \lambda + (n + 1)\delta$ ,  $\varphi' = -\varphi + (k - n)\delta$  and  $V$  has an admissible element by the induction hypotheses.

(ii) Let  $e_{\varphi+i\delta}v = 0$  for all  $0 \leq i \leq k$ . Since  $e_{k\delta}v = 0$  we have  $e_{\varphi+i\delta}v = 0$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . If  $\tilde{v}_m = e_{m\delta}v \neq 0$  for some  $0 < m < k$  then  $\tilde{v}_m \in V_{\lambda'}$ ,  $\lambda' = \lambda + m\delta$ ,  $e_{\varphi}\tilde{v}_m = 0$ ,  $\lambda' + (k - m)\delta \notin P(V)$  and we can apply induction. Assume that  $\tilde{v}_m = 0$  for all  $0 < m < k$ . Then we have  $e_{\varphi+i\delta}v = e_{m\delta}v = 0$ ,  $i \geq 0$ ,  $0 < m \leq k$ . If  $e_{\varphi-j\delta}v = 0$  for all  $j > 0$  then  $B_\varphi v = 0$  and  $v$  is admissible. Otherwise, let  $n$  be a minimal positive integer such that  $\tilde{v} = e_{\varphi-n\delta}v \neq 0$ . Then  $e_{\varphi-j\delta}\tilde{v} = e_{-\varphi+(n+k)\delta}\tilde{v} = e_{i\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$ ,  $i \geq 0$ ,  $j < n$ . Assume that  $e_{-\varphi+(n+1)\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$ . We have  $e_\psi\tilde{v} = 0$  for any  $\psi \in \tilde{P} = \{\varphi - j\delta, -\varphi + (n+m)\delta, m\delta \mid j < n, m > 0\}$ . The set  $\tilde{P} \cup \{-\varphi + n\delta\}$  is a partition,  $|\tilde{P}^{re} \cap \{\varphi + i\delta \mid i \geq 0\}| = |\tilde{P}^{re} \cap \{-\varphi + i\delta \mid i > 0\}| = \infty$  and, therefore,  $\tilde{P} = \Delta_+(\tilde{\pi}) - \{\varphi'\}$  for some  $\varphi' \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\tilde{\pi} = \{\varphi', \delta - \varphi'\}$  by Lemma 6.6. We conclude that  $\mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}^+\tilde{v} = 0$  and  $\tilde{v}$  is admissible. Finally, suppose that  $v' = e_{-\varphi+(n+1)\delta}\tilde{v} \neq 0$ . Then  $v' \in V_{\lambda'}$ ,  $e_{\varphi}v' = 0$ ,  $\lambda' + (k - 1)\delta \notin P(V)$  where  $\lambda'$  stands for  $\lambda + \delta$  and, thus  $V$  has an admissible element by the assumption of induction. This completes the proof of Lemma.  $\square$



**Proposition 6.9.** *Let  $V$  be an irreducible non-dense  $\mathcal{G}$ -module. Then  $V$  contains an admissible element.*

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in P(V)$  and  $\lambda + \varphi \notin P(V)$  for some  $\varphi \in \Delta$ . We can assume that  $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$ . Indeed, let  $\varphi = \delta$ . If  $e_\alpha v = e_{\delta-\alpha} v = 0$  for some  $0 \neq v \in V_\lambda$ ,  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$  then  $V$  is a highest weight module with respect to  $\{\alpha, \delta - \alpha\}$  and  $v$  is admissible. If, for example,  $e_\alpha v \neq 0$  then  $\lambda' = \lambda + \alpha \in P(V)$  and  $\lambda' + (\delta - \alpha) \notin P(V)$ . Hence, we can assume that  $\lambda + \varphi \notin P(V)$ ,  $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$ . Let  $0 \neq v \in V_\lambda$ . If  $v' = e_{\varphi-n\delta} v \neq 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$  then  $e_\varphi v' = 0$ ,  $v' \in V_{\tilde{\lambda}}$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + \varphi - n\delta$ ,  $\tilde{\lambda} + n\delta \notin P(V)$  and Proposition follows from Lemma 6.8. If  $e_{\varphi-n\delta} v = 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  then  $B_\varphi v = 0$  and  $v$  is admissible.  $\square$

**Corollary 6.10.** *If  $\tilde{V}$  is an irreducible non-dense  $\mathcal{G}$ -module then either  $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  or  $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$  and irreducible  $G$ -module  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Follows from Proposition 6.9, Corollary 3.3 (i) and Proposition 5.2.  $\square$

Now Theorem 6.2 follows from Corollary 6.6 and Theorem 5.4.

*Proof of Theorem 6.3.* Let  $\mu \in P(\tilde{V})$ . Consider the  $\mathcal{G}$ -submodule  $V = \mathcal{U}(G)\tilde{V}_\mu \subset \tilde{V}$ . Then it follows from Proposition 4.5 that  $V$  is completely reducible and moreover each irreducible component is isomorphic to  $M^\varepsilon(a)$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$  up to a shifting of gradation by Proposition 4.3, (i). Denote by  $V^+$  the sum of all irreducible components of  $V$  isomorphic to  $M^+(a)$  and assume that  $V^+ \neq 0$ . Let  $0 \neq v \in V^+ \cap \tilde{V}_\chi$ ,  $\chi \in P(\tilde{V})$  and  $V^+ \cap \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta} = 0$ . We will show that for any  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$  there exists  $m_\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  such that  $e_{\alpha+m\delta} v = 0$  for all  $m \geq m_\alpha$ . Indeed, let  $v_0 = e_\alpha v \neq 0$ . Consider the  $G$ -module  $\mathcal{U}(G)v_0$  which is again completely reducible by Proposition 4.5. If  $e_{k\delta} v \neq 0$  for all  $k > 0$  then  $v_k = e_\delta^k v_0 \neq 0$  for all  $k > 0$ . But, for big enough  $k$ ,  $v_k$  will belong to the direct sum of irreducible components of  $\mathcal{U}(G)v_0$  each of which is isomorphic to  $M^-(a)$  up to a shifting of gradation. This contradicts Proposition 4.1, (ii), since  $e_\delta^2 v_k = 2^{k+2} e_{\alpha+(k+2)\delta} v = 2e_{2\delta} v_k$ . Thus, there exists  $m_\alpha \geq 0$  such that  $e_{\alpha+m_\alpha\delta} v = 0$  and, therefore,  $e_{\alpha+m\delta} v = 0$  for any  $m \geq m_\alpha$ .

Suppose that  $\chi + \delta \in P(\tilde{V})$ . Since  $\tilde{V}$  is irreducible there exists  $0 \neq u \in \mathcal{U}(G)$  such that  $0 \neq uv \in \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta}$ . It follows from the discussion above that  $e_{n\delta} uv = 0$  for big enough  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ . The  $G$ -submodule  $V' = \mathcal{U}(G)uv$  is completely reducible by Proposition 4.5 and since  $V^+ \cap \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta} = 0$ , any irreducible component  $L \subset V'$  such that  $L \cap \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta} \neq 0$  is isomorphic to  $M^-(a)$  up to a shifting of gradation. Hence,  $e_{n\delta} \tilde{v} \neq 0$  for any non-zero  $\tilde{v} \in V' \cap \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta}$  by Proposition 4.1, (ii) and  $e_{n\delta} uv \neq 0$  in particular. This contradiction implies that  $\chi + \delta \notin P(\tilde{V})$  and therefore  $\tilde{V}$  is a non-dense

$\mathcal{G}$ -module. Applying Theorem 6.2 we conclude that  $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$  for some  $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ ,  $\lambda \in H^*$ ,  $\lambda(c) = a$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

### Acknowledgement.

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

### References

- [1] S. Spirin,  *$\mathbf{Z}^2$ -graded modules with one-dimensional components over the Lie Algebra  $A_1^{(1)}$* , Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **21** (1987), 84-85.
- [2] D. Britten, F. Lemire and F. Zorzitto, *Pointed torsion free Modules of Affine Lie Algebras*, Commun. in Algebra, **18** (1990), 3307-3321.
- [3] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A new family of irreducible, integrable modules for Affine Lie Algebras*, Math. Ann., **277** (1987), 543-562.
- [4] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press 1990.
- [5] J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Verma modules, loop space cohomology and MacDonal type identities*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. sup., **12** (1979), 169-234.
- [6] V. Chari, *Integrable representations of Affine Lie Algebras*, Invent. Math., **85** (1986), 317-335.
- [7] H. Jakobsen and V. Kac, *A new class of unitarizable highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Lecture Notes in Physics, **226** (1985), 1-20.
- [8] V. Futorny, *On Imaginary Verma modules over the affine Lie algebra  $A_1^{(1)}$* , Oslo University preprint, 1991-9.
- [9] ———, *Irreducible graded  $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **26** (1992), 73-75.
- [10] V. Kac and D. Kazhdan, *Structure of representations with highest weight of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Advances in Math., **34** (1979), 97-108.
- [11] N. Shapovalov, *On bilinear form on the universal enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **6** (1972), 307-312.
- [12] V. Futorny, *Imaginary Verma modules for Affine Lie Algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull., to appear.

Received July 12, 1993 and revised December 2, 1993.

KIEV UNIVERSITY  
 KIEV, 252617, UKRAINE  
*E-mail address:* FUTORNY@UNI-ALG.KIEV.UA





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 172 No. 1 January 1996

---

A class of incomplete non-positively curved manifolds	1
BRIAN BOWDITCH	
The quasi-linearity problem for $C^*$ -algebras	41
L. J. BUNCE and JOHN DAVID MAITLAND WRIGHT	
Distortion of boundary sets under inner functions. II	49
JOSE LUIS FERNANDEZ PEREZ, DOMINGO PESTANA and JOSÉ RODRÍGUEZ	
Irreducible non-dense $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules	83
VJACHESLAV M. FUTORNY	
$M$ -hyperbolic real subsets of complex spaces	101
GIULIANA GIGANTE, GIUSEPPE TOMASSINI and SERGIO VENTURINI	
Values of Bernoulli polynomials	117
ANDREW GRANVILLE and ZHI-WEI SUN	
The uniqueness of compact cores for 3-manifolds	139
LUKE HARRIS and PETER SCOTT	
Estimation of the number of periodic orbits	151
BOJU JIANG	
Factorization of $p$ -completely bounded multilinear maps	187
CHRISTIAN LE MERDY	
Finitely generated cohomology Hopf algebras and torsion	215
JAMES PEICHENG LIN	
The positive-dimensional fibres of the Prym map	223
JUAN-CARLOS NARANJO	
Entropy of a skew product with a $Z^2$ -action	227
KYEWON KOH PARK	
Commuting co-commuting squares and finite-dimensional Kac algebras	243
TAKASHI SANO	
Second order ordinary differential equations with fully nonlinear two-point boundary conditions. I	255
H. BEVAN THOMPSON	
Second order ordinary differential equations with fully nonlinear two-point boundary conditions. II	279
H. BEVAN THOMPSON	
The flat part of non-flat orbifolds	299
FENG XU	



0030-8730(1996)172:1;1-F