

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

IRREDUCIBLE NON-DENSE $A_1^{(1)}$ -MODULES

VJACHESLAV M. FUTORNY

IRREDUCIBLE NON-DENSE $A_1^{(1)}$ -MODULES

V.M. FUTORNY

We study the irreducible weight non-dense modules for Affine Lie Algebra $A_1^{(1)}$ and classify all such modules having at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace. We prove that any irreducible non-zero level module with all finite-dimensional weight subspaces is non-dense.

1. Introduction.

Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(A)$ is the associated Kac-Moody algebra over the complex numbers \mathbf{C} with Cartan subalgebra $H \subset \mathcal{G}$, 1-dimensional center $\mathbf{C}c \subset H$ and root system Δ .

A \mathcal{G} -module V is called a *weight* if $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in H^*} V_\lambda$, $V_\lambda = \{v \in V \mid hv = \lambda(h)v \text{ for all } h \in H\}$. If V is an irreducible weight \mathcal{G} -module then c acts on V as a scalar. We will call this scalar the *level* of V . For a weight \mathcal{G} -module V , set $P(V) = \{\lambda \in H^* \mid V_\lambda \neq 0\}$.

Let $Q = \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta} \mathbf{Z}\varphi$. It is clear that if a weight \mathcal{G} -module V is irreducible then $P(V) \subset \lambda + Q$ for some $\lambda \in H^*$. An irreducible weight \mathcal{G} -module V is called *dense* if $P(V) = \lambda + Q$ for some $\lambda \in H^*$, and *non-dense* otherwise.

Irreducible dense modules whose weight spaces are all one-dimensional were classified by S. Spirin [1] for the algebra $A_1^{(1)}$ and by D. Britten, F. Lemire, F. Zorzitto [2] in the general case. It follows from [2] that such modules exist only for algebras $A_n^{(1)}$, $C_n^{(1)}$. V. Chari and A. Pressley constructed a family of irreducible integrable dense modules with all infinite-dimensional weight spaces. These modules can be realized as tensor product of standard highest weight modules with so-called loop modules [3].

In the present paper we study irreducible non-dense weight \mathcal{G} -modules. We use Kac [4] as a basic reference for notation, terminology and preliminary results. Our main result is the classification of all irreducible non-dense \mathcal{G} -modules having at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace. This includes, in particular, all irreducible highest weight modules. Moreover, we show that this classification includes all irreducible modules of non-zero level whose weight spaces are all finite-dimensional.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 we study generalized Verma modules $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$, α is a real root, $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ which do not necessarily have a highest weight (cf. [5]). By making use of the generalized Casimir operator and generalized Shapovalov form we obtain the criteria of irreducibility for the modules $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ without highest weight (Theorem 3.11).

In Section 4 we classify all irreducible \mathbf{Z} -graded modules for the Heisenberg subalgebra $G \subset \mathcal{G}$ with at least one finite-dimensional graded component. Irreducible G -modules with trivial action of c were described earlier in [6]. Let $\delta \in \Delta$ such that $\mathbf{Z}\delta - \{0\}$ is the set of all imaginary roots in Δ . Following [6] we introduce in Section 5 the category $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ of weight \mathcal{G} -modules \tilde{V} such that $P(\tilde{V}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \{\lambda_i - k\alpha + n\delta \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, k \geq 0\}$ where $\lambda_i \in H^*$, but without any restriction on the action of the center (unlike in [6] where the trivial action of the center is required). The irreducible objects in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ are the unique quotients of \mathcal{G} -modules $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$, where $\lambda \in H^*$, V is irreducible \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module. Modules $M_\alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{C})$, with $\lambda(c) = 0$ were studied in [7-9]. If $\lambda(c) \neq 0$ and at least one graded component of V is finite-dimensional then the module $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ is irreducible [8, 9]. In Section 6 we classify all irreducible non-dense \mathcal{G} -modules with at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace (Theorem 6.2). It turns out that these modules are the quotients of the modules of type $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ or $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$. Moreover, any irreducible \mathcal{G} -module of non-zero level whose weight spaces are all finite-dimensional is the quotient of $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ for some real root α , $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ (Theorem 6.3).

2. Preliminaries.

We have the root space decomposition for $\mathcal{G} : \mathcal{G} = H \oplus \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta} \mathcal{G}_\varphi$, where $\dim \mathcal{G}_\varphi = 1$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta$. Denote by $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ the universal enveloping algebra of \mathcal{G} , by W the Weyl group and by $(,)$ the standard non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on \mathcal{G} [4, Theorem 3.2]. Let Δ^{re} be the set of real roots in Δ and Δ^{im} be the set of imaginary roots in Δ . Fix $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ and consider a subalgebra $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \subset \mathcal{G}$ generated by \mathcal{G}_α and $\mathcal{G}_{-\alpha}$. Then $\mathcal{G}(\alpha) \simeq sl(2)$ and we fix in $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ a standard basis $e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}, h_\alpha = [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}]$ where $[h_\alpha, e_{\pm\alpha}] = \pm 2e_{\pm\alpha}$. We will use the following realization of \mathcal{G} :

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\alpha) \otimes \mathbf{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbf{C}c \oplus \mathbf{C}d$$

with $[x \otimes t^n + ac + bd, y \otimes t^m + a_1c + b_1d] = [x, y] \otimes t^{n+m} + bmy \otimes t^m - b_1nx \otimes t^n + n\delta_{n,-m}(x, y)c$, for all $x, y \in \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$, $a, b, a_1, b_1 \in \mathbf{C}$. Then $H = \mathbf{C}h_\alpha \oplus \mathbf{C}c \oplus \mathbf{C}d$.

Denote by δ the element of H^* defined by: $\delta(h_\alpha) = \delta(c) = 0$ and $\delta(d) = 1$. Then $\Delta^{im} = \mathbf{Z}\delta - \{0\}$ and $\pi = \{\alpha, \delta - \alpha\}$ is a basis of Δ . Let $\Delta_+ = \Delta_+(\pi)$ be the set of all positive roots with respect to π . The root system Δ can be described in the following way: $\Delta = \{\pm\alpha + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}\}$. We have $\mathcal{G}_{\pm\alpha+n\delta} = \mathcal{G}_{\pm\alpha} \otimes t^n$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\mathcal{G}_{n\delta} = \mathbf{C}h_\alpha \otimes t^n$, $n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$. Set $e_{\alpha+n\delta} = e_\alpha \otimes t^n$, $e_{-\alpha+n\delta} = e_{-\alpha} \otimes t^n$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $e_{m\delta} = h_\alpha \otimes t^m$, $m \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$. Then $[e_{k\delta}, e_{m\delta}] = 2k\delta_{k,-m}c$, $[e_{k\delta}, e_{\pm\alpha+n\delta}] = \pm 2e_{\pm\alpha+(n+k)\delta}$, $[e_{\alpha+k\delta}, e_{-\alpha+m\delta}] = \delta_{k,-m}(h_\alpha + kc) + (1 - \delta_{k,-m})e_{(k+m)\delta}$ for any $k, m \in \mathbf{Z}$.

For a Lie algebra \mathcal{A} , $S(\mathcal{A})$ will denote the corresponding symmetric algebra. We will identify the algebra $\mathcal{U}(H) = S(H)$ with the ring of polynomials $\mathbf{C}[H^*]$ and denote by σ the involutive antiautomorphism on $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ such that $\sigma(e_\alpha) = e_{-\alpha}$, $\sigma(e_{\delta-\alpha}) = e_{\alpha-\delta}$. Set $\mathcal{N}_+ = \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+} \mathcal{G}_\varphi$, $\mathcal{N}_- = \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+} \mathcal{G}_{-\varphi}$.

3. Generalized Verma modules.

The center of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}(\alpha))$ is generated by the Casimir element $z_\alpha = (h_\alpha + 1)^2 + 4e_{-\alpha}e_\alpha$. Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_\alpha^+ &= \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+ - \{\alpha\}} \mathcal{G}_\varphi, & \mathcal{N}_\alpha^- &= \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+ - \{\alpha\}} \mathcal{G}_{-\varphi}, \\ T_\alpha &= S(H) \otimes \mathbf{C}[z_\alpha], & E_\alpha^\varepsilon &= (H + \mathcal{G}(\alpha)) \oplus \mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \in \{+, -\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$. Consider the 1-dimensional T_α -module $\mathbf{C}v_\lambda$ with the action $(h \otimes z_\alpha^n)v_\lambda = h(\lambda)\gamma^n v_\lambda$ for any $h \in S(H)$, and construct an $H + \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ -module

$$V(\lambda, \gamma) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}(\alpha) + H) \underset{T_\alpha}{\otimes} \mathbf{C}v_\lambda.$$

It is clear that the module $V(\lambda, \gamma)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $V_{\lambda, \gamma}$.

Proposition 3.1.

- (i) *If V is an irreducible weight $H + \mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ -module then $V \simeq V_{\lambda, \gamma}$ for some $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$.*
- (ii) *$V_{\lambda, \gamma} \simeq V_{\lambda', \gamma'}$ if and only if $\gamma = \gamma'$, $\lambda' = \lambda + n\alpha$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2$ for all integers ℓ , $0 \leq \ell < n$ if $n \geq 0$ or for all integers ℓ , $n \leq \ell < 0$ if $n < 0$.*

Proof. This is essentially the classification of irreducible weight $sl(2)$ -modules. \square

Let $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Consider $V_{\lambda, \gamma}$ as E_α^ε -module with trivial action of $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon$ and construct the \mathcal{G} -module

$$M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) \underset{\mathcal{U}(E_\alpha^\varepsilon)}{\otimes} V_{\lambda, \gamma}$$

associated with $\alpha, \lambda, \gamma, \varepsilon$.

The module $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ is called a generalized Verma module. Notice that $V_{\lambda, \gamma}$ does not have to be finite-dimensional.

Proposition 3.2.

- (i) $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ is a free $\sigma(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon))$ -module with all finite-dimensional weight subspaces.
- (ii) $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ has a unique irreducible quotient, $L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$.
- (iii) $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma) \simeq M_{\pm\alpha}^{\varepsilon'}(\lambda', \gamma')$ if and only if $\varepsilon = \varepsilon', \gamma = \gamma', \lambda' = \lambda + n\alpha, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2$ for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq \ell < n$ if $n \geq 0$ or for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, n \leq \ell < 0$ if $n < 0$.

Proof. Follows from the construction of \mathcal{G} -module $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ and Proposition 3.1. □

Let $R_\lambda = \{(\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2 \mid \ell \in \mathbf{Z}\}$. Recall that V is called a highest weight module with respect to \mathcal{N}_+ and with highest weight $\lambda \in H^*$ if $V = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})v, v \in V_\lambda$ and $V_{\lambda+\varphi} = 0$ for all $\varphi \in \Delta_+(\pi)$. Proposition 3.2, (iii) implies that $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ and $L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ are highest weight modules with respect to some choice of basis of Δ and, therefore, are the quotients of Verma modules [4], if and only if $\gamma \in R_\lambda$. The theory of highest weight modules was developed in [4, 10].

Corollary 3.3.

- (i) Let V be an irreducible weight \mathcal{G} -module, $0 \neq v \in V_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon v = 0$. Then $V \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$.
- (ii) Let $\lambda \notin R_\lambda$. $L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma) \simeq L_{\alpha'}^{\varepsilon'}(\lambda', \gamma')$ if and only if $\varepsilon = \varepsilon', \alpha' = \alpha$ or $\alpha' = -\alpha, \gamma = \gamma', \lambda' = \lambda + n\alpha, n \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2$ for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq \ell < n$ if $n \geq 0$ or for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}, n \leq \ell < 0$ if $n < 0$.

Proof. Since V is irreducible \mathcal{G} -module, $V' = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}(\alpha))v$ is an irreducible $\mathcal{G}(\alpha)$ -module and $V \simeq \sigma(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_\alpha^\varepsilon))V'$. Then V is a homomorphic image of $M_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ and, thus, $V \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ which proves (i). (ii) follows from Proposition 3.2, (iii). □

From now on we will consider the modules $M_\alpha^+(\lambda, \gamma)(= M(\lambda, \gamma))$. All the results for the modules $M_\alpha^-(\lambda, \gamma)$ can be proved analogously. Set $z = z_\alpha$. For $\lambda \in H^*, \gamma \in \mathbf{C}$ and integer $n \geq 0$ we denote by $z(n)$ the restriction of z to the subspace $M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda - n(\delta - \alpha)}$.

Proposition 3.4. If $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2\ell + 1)^2$ for all $0 \leq \ell < 2n$ then $\text{Spec } z(n) = \{(2k \pm \sqrt{\gamma})^2 \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}, 0 \leq k \leq n\}$.

Proof. Denote $V_n = M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda - n(\delta - \alpha)}, n > 0$. One can easily show that $V_n = e_{\alpha - \delta} V_{n-1} + e_{-\delta} e_\alpha V_{n-1} + e_{-\alpha - \delta} e_\alpha^2 V_{n-1}$. Let $V_{n-1} = \bigoplus V_{n-1}(\tau), \tau \in \mathbf{C}$,

where $V_{n-1}(\tau) = \{v \in V_{n-1} \mid \exists N : (z(n-1) - \tau)^N v = 0\}$. Then the subspace $e_{\alpha-\delta}V_{n-1}(\tau) + e_{-\delta}e_{\alpha}V_{n-1}(\tau) + e_{-\alpha-\delta}e_{\alpha}^2V_{n-1}(\tau) \subset V_n$ is $z(n)$ -invariant and $z(n)$ has on it the eigenvalues τ and $(2 \pm \sqrt{\tau})^2$, thanks to the condition $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_{\alpha}) + 2\ell + 1)^2$, $0 \leq \ell < 2n$, which implies that $z(n)$ has eigenvalues $(2k \pm \sqrt{\gamma})^2$, $0 \leq k \leq n$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *If $\gamma \notin R_{\lambda}$ then e_{α} and $e_{-\alpha}$ act injectively on $M(\lambda, \gamma)$.*

Proof. If $\gamma \notin R_{\lambda}$ then $\text{Spec } z(n) \cap R_{\lambda-n\beta} = \emptyset$ for all integer $n \geq 0$ by Proposition 3.4 and, therefore, e_{α} and $e_{-\alpha}$ act injectively on $M(\lambda, \gamma)$. \square

Fix $\rho \in H^*$ such that $(\rho, \alpha) = 1$, $(\rho, \delta) = 2$. Since $M(\lambda, \gamma)$ is a restricted module, i.e. for every $v \in M(\lambda, \gamma)$, $\mathcal{G}_{\varphi}v = 0$ for all but a finite number of positive roots φ , we have well-defined action of a generalized Casimir operator Ω on $M(\lambda, \gamma)$ [4]:

$$\Omega v = (\mu + 2\rho, \mu)v + 2 \sum_{\varphi \in \Delta_+} \bar{e}_{-\varphi} e_{\varphi} v, \quad v \in M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\mu},$$

where $\bar{e}_{-\varphi} \in \mathcal{G}_{-\varphi}$, $(\bar{e}_{-\varphi}, e_{\varphi}) = 1$, $\varphi \in \Delta_+$. Set $\tilde{\Omega} = 2\Omega + id$.

Let $s_{\alpha} \in W$, $s_{\alpha}(\mu) = \mu - (\mu, \alpha)\alpha$, $\mu \in H^*$.

Lemma 3.6. *For a \mathcal{G} -module $M(\lambda, \gamma)$*

$$\tilde{\Omega} = [(\lambda + 2\rho + s_{\alpha}(\lambda + 2\rho), \lambda) + \gamma]id.$$

Proof. Follows from [4, Th.2.6] and definition of $\tilde{\Omega}$. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let $n > 0$, $\beta = \delta - \alpha$, $0 \neq v \in M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n\beta}$, $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_{\alpha}) + 2\ell + 1)^2$ for all $0 \leq \ell < 2n$ and $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^+ v = 0$. Then $k^2\gamma = (n(\lambda(c) + 2) - k^2)^2$ for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$.*

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.6 that $z(n)v = \gamma'v$ and

$$(\lambda - n\beta + 2\rho + s_{\alpha}(\lambda - n\beta + 2\rho), \lambda - n\beta) + \gamma' = (\lambda + 2\rho + s_{\alpha}(\lambda + 2\rho), \lambda) + \gamma$$

which implies

$$\gamma' = \gamma + 4n(\lambda(c) + 2).$$

But, $\gamma' = (2k \pm \sqrt{\gamma})^2$ for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ by Proposition 3.4. Therefore, $k^2\gamma = (n(\lambda(c) + 2) - k^2)^2$ which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 3.8. *Let $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - R_{\lambda}$. If $k^2\gamma \neq (n(\lambda(c) + 2) - k^2)^2$ for all $n, k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $n > 0$, $0 \leq k \leq n$ then \mathcal{G} -module $M(\lambda, \gamma)$ irreducible.*

Proof. If the \mathcal{G} -module $M(\lambda, \gamma)$ has a non-trivial submodule M , then M contains a non-zero vector v of weight $\lambda - n(\delta - \alpha)$, $n > 0$, such that $\mathcal{N}_{\alpha}^+ v = 0$. Now, the statement follows from Lemma 3.7. \square

Consider the following decomposition of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$:

$$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) = (\mathcal{N}_\alpha^- \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) + \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) \mathcal{N}_\alpha^+) \oplus T_\alpha \mathbf{C}[e_\alpha] e_\alpha \oplus T_\alpha \mathbf{C}[e_{-\alpha}] e_{-\alpha} \oplus T_\alpha.$$

Let j be the projection of $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ to T_α . Introduce the generalized Shapovalov form F , a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ with values in T_α , as follows (cf. [11]): $F(x, y) = j(\sigma(x)y)$, $x, y \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$. The algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})$ is Q -graded: $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) = \bigoplus_{\eta \in Q} \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})_\eta$. It is clear that $F(\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})_{\eta_1}, \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})_{\eta_2}) = 0$ if $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$. Denote

$\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-)_-\eta = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-) \cap \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G})_{-\eta}$ and let F_η be a restriction of F to $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-)_-\eta$.

For $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, consider the linear map $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma} : T_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ defined by $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(h \otimes z^n) = h(\lambda) \gamma^n$ for any $h \in S(H)$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

Set $\lambda_k = \lambda + k\alpha$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $\mu = \lambda - n(\delta - \alpha) \in P(M(\lambda, \gamma))$, $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $\gamma \neq (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 2s + 1)^2$ for all integer s , $0 \leq s < 2n$. Then $\lambda_{2n} \in P(M(\lambda, \gamma))$, $M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda_{2n}} = \mathbf{C}v_n$ and $M(\lambda, \gamma)_\mu = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-)_-n(\alpha+\delta)v_n$. Set $F^{(n)} = F_{n(\alpha+\delta)}$. We define a bilinear \mathbf{C} -valued form F_μ^0 on $M(\lambda, \gamma)_\mu$ as follows:

$$F_\mu^0(u_1 v_n, u_2 v_n) = \theta_{\lambda_{2n}, \gamma} \left(F^{(n)}(u_1, u_2) \right), \quad u_1, u_2 \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{N}_-)_-n(\alpha+\delta).$$

One can see that $\dim L(\lambda, \gamma)_\mu = \text{rank } F_\mu^0$.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - R_\lambda$. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $M(\lambda, \gamma)$ is irreducible.
- (ii) $F_{\lambda-n(\delta-\alpha)}^0$ is non-degenerate for all integers $n > 0$.
- (iii) $\theta_{\lambda_{2n}, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) \neq 0$ for all integers $n > 0$.

Proof. Follows from the Corollary 3.5. □

Consider in T_α the following polynomials: $f_{m,k} = k^2 z - (m(c+2) - k^2)^2$, $g_s = z - (h_\alpha + 2s + 1)^2$, $s, m, k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 \leq k \leq m$. Lemma 3.7 implies that if $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(g_s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 \leq s < 2n$ and $\theta_{\lambda_{2m}, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) \neq 0$ for all $m, k \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 < m \leq n$, $0 \leq k \leq m$, then $M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n(\delta-\alpha)} = L(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n(\delta-\alpha)}$ and $\theta_{\lambda_{2n}, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) \neq 0$. We conclude that the polynomial $\det F^{(n)}$ is not identically equal to zero and has its zeros in the union of zeros of polynomials $f_{m,k}$, $0 < m \leq n$, $0 \leq k \leq m$, g_s , $0 \leq s \leq 2n$. Therefore, $\det F^{(n)}$ is a product of factors of type $f_{m,k}$ and g_s .

Lemma 3.10. *Let $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$, $n > 0$, $0 < m \leq n$. Then $f_{m,k}$ is a factor of $\det F^{(n)}$ if and only if k is a divisor of m or $k = 0$.*

Proof. Assume that k is a divisor of m or $k = 0$. Set $r = 2n + 2m + k$. Consider $\lambda \in H^*$ and $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = \theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(g_r) = 0$. For integer $s \geq 0$

set $\nu_s = \lambda_{-s} = \lambda - s\alpha$. Then $\theta_{\nu_s, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = \theta_{\nu_s, \gamma}(g_{r+s}) = 0$ and $\nu_s(h_\alpha) \notin \mathbf{Z}$, which implies that $\theta_{\nu_s, \gamma}(g_\ell) \neq 0$ for all $\ell \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\ell < r+s$. Thus, the form $F_{\nu_s - i\beta}^0$, $\beta = \delta - \alpha$ is defined for all $s \geq 0$, $0 < i \leq n$ and $M(\nu_s, \gamma) \simeq M(\lambda_r)$, $s \geq 0$ by Proposition 3.2, (iii), where $M(\lambda_r)$ is the Verma module with highest weight $\lambda_r = \lambda + r\alpha$. Therefore, $M(\nu_s, \gamma)_{\nu_s - i\beta} \simeq M(\lambda_r)_{\nu_s - i\beta}$, $0 < i \leq n$ as T_α -modules. The operator $z(m)$ has eigenvectors w_s^+ , $w_s^- \in M(\lambda_r)_{\nu_s - m\beta}$ with eigenvalues $\gamma^+ = (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 4(n+m+k) + 1)^2$ and $\gamma^- = (\lambda(h_\alpha) + 4(n+m) + 1)^2$ respectively. Since $\theta_{\nu_s, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = 0$, then

$$\gamma^* = \gamma + 4m(\lambda(c) + 2) \in \{\gamma^+, \gamma^-\}$$

and

$$(\nu_s + 2\rho + s_\alpha(\nu_s + 2\rho), \nu_s) + \gamma = (\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho + s_\alpha(\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho), \nu_s - m\beta) + \gamma^*.$$

Let $w_s^* \in \{w_s^+, w_s^-\}$ and $z(m)w_s^* = \gamma^*w_s^*$. Then

$$\tilde{\Omega}w_s^* = [(\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho + s_\alpha(\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho), \nu_s - m\beta) + \gamma^*]w_s^*$$

by Lemma 3.6. But, $w_s^* \in M(\lambda_r)$ and

$$\tilde{\Omega}w_s^* = (2(\lambda_r + 2\rho, \lambda_r) + 1)w_s^*$$

by Corollary 2.6 in [4]. Hence

$$2(\lambda_r + 2\rho, \lambda_r) + 1 = (\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho + s_\alpha(\nu_s - m\beta + 2\rho), \nu_s - m\beta) + \gamma^*$$

and

$$(\lambda_r + 2\rho, \lambda_r) = (\lambda_r + 2\rho - \tau^*, \lambda_r - \tau^*)$$

where $\tau^* = m\delta - k\alpha$ if $\gamma^* = \gamma^+$ and $\tau^* = m\delta + k\alpha$ if $\gamma^* = \gamma^-$. If k divides m or $k = 0$ then τ^* is a quasiroot and $D = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}}(M(\lambda_r - \tau^*), M(\lambda_r)) \neq 0$ [10, Prop. 4.1].

Let $0 \neq \chi \in D$. Then $\chi(M(\lambda_r - \tau^*)) \cap M(\lambda_r)_{\nu_s - n\beta} \neq 0$ and therefore, $\theta_{\lambda_{2n-s}, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) = 0$ for any integer $s \geq 0$. It implies that if $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - \mathbf{Z}$ and $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = 0$ then $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) = 0$. Thus, $f_{m,k}$ is a factor of $\det F^{(n)}$. Conversely, suppose that $f_{n,k}$ is a factor of $\det F^{(n)}$, $k \neq 0$ and k is not a divisor of n . Let $r = 4n + k$. Consider a pair $(\lambda, \gamma) \in H^* \times (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{Z})$ such that $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{n,k}) = \theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(g_r) = 0$ but $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{p,q}) \neq 0$ for all $0 < p < n$, $0 \leq q \leq p$ (such λ and γ always exist). Then $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) = 0$ and the Verma module $M(\lambda_r)$ has an irreducible subquotient with highest weight $\lambda_r - \tau^*$, where τ^* is one of $n\delta + k\alpha$, $n\delta - k\alpha$. But, this contradicts the Theorem 2 in [10]. Therefore, $f_{n,k}$ can not be a factor of $\det F^{(n)}$ if $k \neq 0$ and k is not a divisor of n .

Let now $0 < m < n$, $0 < k < m$, k is not a divisor of m and $f_{m,k}$ is a factor of $\det F^{(n)}$. Consider a pair $(\lambda, \gamma) \in H^* \times \mathbf{C}$ such that $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{m,k}) = 0$, $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(f_{p,q}) \neq 0$ for all $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 < p \leq n$, $0 \leq q \leq p$, $(p, q) \neq (m, k)$ and $\theta_{\lambda, \gamma}(g_s) \neq 0$ for all $s \in \mathbf{Z}$. As it was shown above $f_{m,k}$ is not a factor of $\det F^{(m)}$ which implies that $\theta_{\lambda_{2m}, \gamma}(\det F^{(m)}) \neq 0$. Now it follows from Lemma 3.7 that $M(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n\beta} = L(\lambda, \gamma)_{\lambda-n\beta}$ and $\theta_{\lambda_{2n}, \gamma}(\det F^{(n)}) \neq 0$. But, this contradicts the assumption that $f_{m,k}$ is a factor of $\det F^{(n)}$. The Lemma is proved. \square

For $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $n > 0$ denote $X_n = \{0\} \cup \{k \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \mid \frac{n}{k} \in \mathbf{Z}\}$.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - R_\lambda$. \mathcal{G} -module $M(\lambda, \gamma)$ is irreducible if and only if $k^2\gamma \neq (n(\lambda(c) + 2) - k^2)^2$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $n > 0$, $k \in X_n$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 3.9 and 3.10. \square

4. Irreducible representations of the Heisenberg subalgebra.

Consider the Heisenberg subalgebra $G = \mathbf{C}c \oplus \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}} \mathcal{G}_{k\delta} \subset \mathcal{G}$. It is a \mathbf{Z} -graded algebra with $\deg c = 0$, $\deg e_{k\delta} = k$. This gradation induces a \mathbf{Z} -gradation on the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(G) : \mathcal{U}(G) = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{U}_i$.

In this section we study the irreducible \mathbf{Z} -graded G -modules. The central element c acts as a scalar on each such module. In general, we say that a G -module V is a module of level $a \in \mathbf{C}$ if c acts on V as a multiplication by a .

4.1. G -Modules of non-zero level. Let $G_+ = \sum_{k > 0} \mathcal{G}_{k\delta}$, $G_- = \sum_{k < 0} \mathcal{G}_{k\delta}$. For $a \in \mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C} - \{0\}$, let $\mathbf{C}v_a$ be the 1-dimensional $G_\varepsilon \oplus \mathbf{C}c$ -module for which $G_\varepsilon v_a = 0$, $cv_a = av_a$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Consider the G -module

$$M^\varepsilon(a) = \mathcal{U}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon \oplus \mathbf{C}c)} \mathbf{C}v_a$$

associated with a and ε .

The module $M^\varepsilon(a)$ is a \mathbf{Z} -graded: $M^\varepsilon(a) = \sum_{i \in \mathbf{Z}} M^\varepsilon(a)_i$ where

$$M^\varepsilon(a)_i = (\sigma(\mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon)) \cap \mathcal{U}_i) \otimes v_a.$$

Proposition 4.1.

- (i) *The G -module $M^\varepsilon(a)$ is irreducible.*
- (ii) *$M^\varepsilon(a)$ is a $\sigma(\mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon))$ -free module.*

(iii) $\dim M^\varepsilon(a)_i = P(|i|)$ where $P(n)$ is a partition function.

Proof. (ii) and (iii) follow directly from the definition of $M^\varepsilon(a)$. Since $a \neq 0$ one can easily show that for any non-zero $u \in \sigma(\mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon))$ there exists $u' \in \mathcal{U}(G_\varepsilon)$ such that $0 \neq u'uv_a \in M^\varepsilon(a)_0$ which implies (i) and completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.2. *If V is a \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module of level $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$ and $\dim V_i < \infty$ for at least one $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ then*

$$\text{Spec } e_\delta e_{-\delta} \downarrow_V \subset \{2ma \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

Proof. Let $v \in V_j$ be a non-zero eigenvector of $e_\delta e_{-\delta}$ with eigenvalue b and $b \neq 2ma$ for all $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since $a \neq 0$, if $e_{n\delta}v = 0$ then $e_{-n\delta}v \neq 0$, $n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$. Denote $Y = \{n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0, 1\} \mid e_{n\delta}v \neq 0\}$. We may assume without loss of generality that $j = i$ and $|Y \cap \mathbf{Z}_+| = \infty$. Elements e_δ and $e_{-\delta}$ act injectively on the subspace spanned by $e_\delta^k v$, $e_{-\delta}^k v$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, for each $k \in Y \cap \mathbf{Z}_+$, $e_\delta e_{-\delta}(e_{k\delta}v) = be_{k\delta}v$ and $0 \neq e_{-\delta}^k e_{k\delta}v \in V_i$. Set $w_k = e_{-\delta}^k e_{k\delta}v$. Then $e_\delta e_{-\delta}w_k = (b + 2ka)w_k$, $k \in Y \cap \mathbf{Z}_+$. This contradicts the assumption that $\dim V_i < \infty$. Therefore, $b = 2ma$ for some $m \in \mathbf{Z}$. \square

For a \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module V and $j \geq 0$ denote by $V^{[j]}$ the \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module with $(V^{[j]})_i = V_{i-j}$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

We describe now all irreducible \mathbf{Z} -graded G -modules of non-zero level with finite-dimensional components.

Proposition 4.3.

- (i) *Let V be an irreducible \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module of level $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$ such that $\dim V_i < \infty$ for at least one $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then $V^{[j]} \simeq M^\varepsilon(a)$ for some $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}$.*
- (ii) $\text{Ext}^1((M^\varepsilon(a))^{[j]}, M^{\varepsilon'}(a)) = 0$ for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{+, -\}$.

Proof. (i) By Lemma 4.2 $\text{Spec } X \downarrow_V \subset \{2ma \mid m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ where X stands for $e_\delta e_{-\delta}$. Let $V_i \neq 0$, n be an integer with maximal absolute value such that $2na \in \text{Spec } X \downarrow_{V_i}$ and let $0 \neq v \in V_i$, $Xv = 2nav$. Assume that $n > 0$. Then $e_{k\delta}v = 0$ for all $k > 1$. Indeed, if $e_{k\delta}v \neq 0$ for some $k > 1$ then $X(e_{k\delta}v) = e_{k\delta}Xv = 2na e_{k\delta}v$ and $2(n+k)a$ is an eigenvalue of X on V_i which contradicts the assumption. Therefore, $e_{k\delta}v = 0$ for all $k > 1$. Consider the element $\tilde{v} = e_\delta^{n-1}v \neq 0$. Then $e_{-\delta}e_\delta\tilde{v} = e_{k\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$, $k > 1$. If $e_\delta\tilde{v} \neq 0$ then $v_p = e_\delta^p\tilde{v} \neq 0$, $e_{k\delta}v_p = 0$ and, hence $e_{-k\delta}v_p \neq 0$ for all $p > 0$, $k > 1$. This would imply that $\dim V_i = \infty$. Therefore, $e_\delta\tilde{v} = 0$ and $V = \mathcal{U}(G)\tilde{v} \simeq M^+(a)$ up to a shifting of gradation. If $n \leq 0$ then, clearly,

$V \simeq M^-(a)$ up to a shifting of gradation. Suppose that $V_i = 0$ but, for example, $V_{i-1} \neq 0$. Then $e_{k\delta}v = 0$ for any non-zero $v \in V_{i-1}$ for all $k > 0$ and thus $V = \mathcal{U}(G)v \simeq M^+(a)$ up to a shifting of gradation. This completes the proof of (i).

(ii) Follows from the proof of (i) and Proposition 4.1, (ii). \square

Lemma 4.4. *Every finitely-generated \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module V of level $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$ such that $\dim V_i < \infty$ for at least one $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ has a finite length.*

Proof. If $V_i = 0$ then statement follows from Proposition 4.3. Let $V_i \neq 0$, n be an integer with maximal absolute value such that $2na \in \text{Spec } e_\delta e_{-\delta} \upharpoonright_{V_i}$ and v be a corresponding eigenvector. It follows from the proof of Proposition 4.3, (i) that $V' = \mathcal{U}(G)v \simeq M^\varepsilon(a)$ up to a shifting of gradation. Consider a G -module $\tilde{V} = V/V'$. Then $\dim \tilde{V}_i < \dim V_i$ and we can complete the proof by induction on $\dim V_i$. \square

Now we are in the position to establish the completely reducibility for finitely-generated G -modules of non-zero level with finite-dimensional components.

Proposition 4.5. *Every finitely-generated \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module V of a non-zero level such that $\dim V_i < \infty$ for at least one $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ is completely reducible.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.3. \square

4.2. G -modules of level zero. The irreducible G -modules of level zero are classified by V. Chari [6]. We recall this classification.

Let $\tilde{G} = \mathcal{U}(G)/\mathcal{U}(G)c$ and let $g : \mathcal{U}(G) \rightarrow \tilde{G}$ be the canonical homomorphism. For $r > 0$ consider a \mathbf{Z} -graded ring $L_r = \mathbf{C}[t^r, t^{-r}]$, $\deg t = 1$ and denote by P_r the set of graded ring epimorphisms $\Lambda : \tilde{G} \rightarrow L_r$ with $\Lambda(1) = 1$. Let $L_0 = \mathbf{C}$ and $\Lambda_0 : \tilde{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a trivial homomorphism such that $\Lambda_0(1) = 1$, $\Lambda_0(g(e_{k\delta})) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$. Set $P_0 = \{\Lambda_0\}$.

Given $\Lambda \in P_r$, $r \geq 0$ define a G -module structure on L_r by:

$$e_{k\delta}t^{rs} = \Lambda(g(e_{k\delta}))t^{rs}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}, \quad ct^{rs} = 0, \quad s \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Denote this G -module by $L_{r,\Lambda}$.

Proposition 4.6.

- (i) *Let V be an irreducible \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module of level zero. Then $V \simeq L_{r,\Lambda}$ for some $r \geq 0$, $\Lambda \in P_r$ up to a shifting of gradation.*
- (ii) *$L_{r,\Lambda} \simeq L_{r',\Lambda'}$ if and only if $r = r'$ and there exists $b \in \mathbf{C}^*$ such that $\Lambda(g(e_{k\delta})) = b^k \Lambda'(g(e_{k\delta}))$, $k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$.*

Proof. (i) is essentially Lemma 3.6 in [6]; (ii) follows from [6, Prop. 3.8]. □

Remark 4.7. All the results of Section 4, except Proposition 4.1 (iii), are hold for the Heisenberg subalgebra of an arbitrary Affine Lie Algebra.

5. The category $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$.

Let $\alpha \in \pi$. Following [6] we define category $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ to be the category of weight \mathcal{G} -modules M satisfying the condition that there exist finitely many elements $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in H^*$ such that $P(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^r D(\lambda_i)$ where

$$D(\lambda_i) = \{ \lambda_i + k\alpha + n\delta \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, k \leq 0 \}.$$

Notice that the trivial action of c , as in [6], is no longer required. It is clear that $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ is closed under the operations of taking submodules, quotients and finite direct sums.

Denote $B_\alpha = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}} \mathcal{G}_{\alpha+n\delta}$. Then $\mathcal{G} = B_{-\alpha} \oplus (H + G) \oplus B_\alpha$.

Let V be an irreducible \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module of level $a \in \mathbf{C}$ and let $\lambda \in H^*$, $\lambda(c) = a$. Then we can define a $B = (H + G) \oplus B_\alpha$ -module structure on V by setting: $hv_i = (\lambda + i\delta)(h)v_i$, $B_\alpha v_i = 0$ for all $h \in H$, $v_i \in V_i$, $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Consider the \mathcal{G} -module

$$M_\alpha(\lambda, V) = \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{G}) \underset{\mathcal{U}(B)}{\otimes} V$$

associated with α, λ, V .

Proposition 5.1.

- (i) *The \mathcal{G} -module $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ is $S(B_{-\alpha})$ -free.*
- (ii) *$M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ has a unique irreducible quotient $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$.*
- (iii) *$P(M_\alpha(\lambda, V)) = (D(\lambda) - \{ \lambda + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \}) \cup P(V) \subset D(\lambda)$.*
- (iv) *$M_\alpha(\lambda, V) \simeq M_{\alpha'}(\lambda', V')$ if and only if $\alpha' \in \{ \alpha + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} \}$ and there exists $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\lambda = \lambda' + i\delta$ and $V^{[i]} \simeq V'$ as graded G -modules.*

Proof. Follows from the construction of \mathcal{G} - module $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$. □

Now we describe the classes of isomorphisms of irreducible modules in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$.

Proposition 5.2.

- (i) *Let \tilde{V} be an irreducible object in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$. Then there exist $\lambda \in H^*$ and an irreducible G - module V such that $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$.*

- (ii) $L_\alpha(\lambda, V) \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda', V')$ if and only if there exists $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ such that $\lambda = \lambda' + i\delta$ and $V^{[i]} \simeq V'$ as graded G -modules.

Proof. One can see that \tilde{V} contains a non-zero element $v \in \tilde{V}_\lambda$ such that $B_\alpha v = 0$. Then $V = \mathcal{U}(G)v$ is an irreducible \mathbf{Z} -graded G -module and $\tilde{V} \simeq \mathcal{U}(B_{-\alpha})V$. This implies that \tilde{V} is a homomorphic image of $M_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ and, therefore, is isomorphic to $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$, which proves (i). Part (ii) follows from Proposition 5.1, (iv). \square

Lemma 5.3. *If $0 < \dim L_\alpha(\lambda, V)_\mu < \infty$ for some $\mu \in H^*$ then $\dim V_i < \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$.*

Proof. If $\lambda(c) = 0$ then $V^{[j]} \simeq L_{r,\Lambda}$ for some $r \geq 0$, $\Lambda \in P_r$, $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ by Proposition 4.6 and, hence $\dim V_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $\lambda(c) = a \in \mathbf{C}^*$ and $V^{[j]} \simeq M^\varepsilon(a)$, for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. By Proposition 4.3, (i), $\dim V_i = \infty$ for all i . If $a \in \mathbf{Q}_+$ ($a \notin \mathbf{Q}_+$ respectively) then $\lambda(h_\alpha) - na \notin \mathbf{Z}_+$ for all integer $n \geq n_0$ ($n \leq n_0$ respectively) and for some $n_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus, $e_{\alpha - n\delta}e_{-\alpha + n\delta}$ acts injectively on $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ for all $n \geq n_0$ ($n \leq n_0$ respectively) which implies that $\dim L_\alpha(\lambda, V)_\mu = \infty$. But, this contradicts the assumption. We conclude that $V^{[j]} \simeq M^\varepsilon(a)$ for some $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ and $\dim V_i < \infty$ for all $i \in \mathbf{Z}$. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let $\tilde{V} \in \tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ be an irreducible.*

- (i) [6] *If \tilde{V} is of level zero then $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, L_{r,\Lambda})$ for some $\lambda \in H^*$, $\lambda(c) = 0$, $r \geq 0$, $\Lambda \in P_r$.*
- (ii) *If \tilde{V} is of level $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$ and $\dim \tilde{V}_\mu < \infty$ for at least one $\mu \in P(\tilde{V})$ then $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a))$ for some $\lambda \in H^*$, $\lambda(c) = a$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$.*

Proof. (i) follows from Propositions 5.2 and 4.6, while (ii) follows from Lemma 5.3, Propositions 5.2 and 4.3. \square

In some cases we can describe the structure of modules $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$.

Let $\lambda(c) = 0$, $r = 0$, $\Lambda = \Lambda_0$, $L_{0,\Lambda_0} \simeq \mathbf{C}$. Set $\tilde{M}(\lambda) = M_\alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{C})$. Notice that $\tilde{M}(\lambda) \simeq S(B_{-\alpha})$ as vector spaces and, therefore, $P(\tilde{M}(\lambda)) = \{\lambda - n\alpha + k\delta \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, n > 0\} \cup \{\lambda\}$ and

$$\dim \tilde{M}(\lambda)_{\lambda - n\alpha + k\delta} = \infty, n > 1, \dim \tilde{M}(\lambda)_\lambda = \dim \tilde{M}(\lambda)_{\lambda - \alpha + k\delta} = 1, k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Proposition 5.5.

- (i) $L_\alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{C}) \simeq \tilde{M}(\lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda(h_\alpha) \neq 0$.
- (ii) *If $\lambda(h_\alpha) = 0$ then $L_\alpha(\lambda, \mathbf{C})$ is a trivial one-dimensional module.*

Proof. Proposition follows from [7, Proposition 6.2] and is also proved in [8]. \square

Let $\lambda(c) = a \in \mathbf{C}^*$. Set $M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a) = M_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a))$. We have

$$P(M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a)) = \{\lambda - k\alpha + n\delta \mid k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, k > 0\} \cup \{\lambda - \varepsilon n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}$$

and

$$\dim M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a)_{\lambda - k\alpha + n\delta} = \infty, k > 0, n \in \mathbf{Z}, \dim M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a)_{\lambda - \varepsilon n\delta} = P(n), n \in \mathbf{Z}_+.$$

Proposition 5.6. [8, 9] $L_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a)) \simeq M^\varepsilon(\lambda, a)$.

Recall, that \mathcal{G} -module \tilde{V} is called *integrable* if $e_{\pm\alpha}$ and $e_{\pm(\delta-\alpha)}$ act locally nilpotently on \tilde{V} . All irreducible integrable \mathcal{G} -modules in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ of level zero were classified in [6]. In fact, they are the only integrable modules in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$.

Corollary 5.7. *If \tilde{V} is irreducible integrable \mathcal{G} -module in $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}(\alpha)$ then \tilde{V} is of level zero.*

Proof. Suppose \tilde{V} is of level $a \neq 0$. Since \tilde{V} is integrable, it follows from Proposition 5.6 that $\tilde{V} \neq L_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a))$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$. Then $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ and for any $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ there exist $i > k$, $j < -k$ such that $V_i \neq 0$, $V_j \neq 0$. Now the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 5.3 show that $e_{-\alpha}$ and $e_{\delta-\alpha}$ are not locally nilpotent on such module and, therefore, \tilde{V} has a zero level. \square

Remark. (i) The structure of modules $L_\alpha(\lambda, L_{r,\Lambda})$, $r > 0$ is unclear is general. Some examples were considered in [1, 12].

(ii) Most of the results of Section 5 can be generalized for an arbitrary Affine Lie Algebra [6, 7, 12].

6. Non-dense \mathcal{G} -modules.

Definition. An irreducible weight \mathcal{G} -module V is called *dense* if $P(V) = \lambda + Q$ for some $\lambda \in H^*$ and *non-dense* otherwise.

In this section we classify all irreducible non-dense \mathcal{G} -modules with at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace. Our main result is the following Theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *If \tilde{V} is an irreducible non-dense \mathcal{G} -module with at least one finite-dimensional weight subspace then \tilde{V} belongs to one of the following disjoint classes:*

- (i) *highest weight modules with respect to some choice of π ;*
- (ii) $L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$, $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C} - R_\lambda$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$;
- (iii) $L_\alpha(\lambda, L_{r,\Lambda})$, $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, $\lambda \in H^*$, $\lambda(c) = 0$, $r \geq 0$, $\Lambda \in P_r$.

(iv) $L_\alpha(\lambda, M^\varepsilon(a))$, $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, $\lambda \in H^*$, $a \in \mathbf{C}^*$, $\lambda(c) = a$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$.

Moreover, we can describe the irreducible \mathcal{G} -modules of non-zero level with finite-dimensional weight subspaces.

Theorem 6.3. *Let \tilde{V} be an irreducible \mathcal{G} -module of level $a \neq 0$ with all finite-dimensional weight subspaces. Then $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, $\lambda \in H^*$, $\lambda(c) = a$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$.*

Remark 6.4. Theorems 6.2, 6.3 imply that in order to complete the classification of all weight irreducible \mathcal{G} -modules one has to study the following classes:

- (i) Modules of type $L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ where V is a graded irreducible G -module of non-zero level with all infinite-dimensional components.
- (ii) Dense \mathcal{G} -modules of zero level.
- (iii) Dense \mathcal{G} -modules of non-zero level with an infinite-dimensional weight subspace.

These classification problems are still open.

The proof of Theorem 6.2 is based on some preliminary results. We start with the following Definition.

Definition 6.5. A subset $P \subset \Delta$ is called closed if $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in P$, $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \in \Delta$ imply $\beta_1 + \beta_2 \in P$. A closed subset $P \subset \Delta$ is called a partition if $P \cap -P = \emptyset$, $P \cup -P = \Delta$.

Lemma 6.6. *Let P be a partition, $P \ni \delta$, $P^{re} = P \cap \Delta^{re}$, $\beta \in \Delta^{re}$.*

- (i) *If $|P^{re} \cap \{\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}| < \infty$ or $|P^{re} \cap \{-\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\}| < \infty$ then $P^{re} = \{\varphi + n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ for some $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$.*
- (ii) *If $|P^{re} \cap \{\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\}| = |P^{re} \cap \{-\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}_+\}| = \infty$ then $P = \Delta_+(\tilde{\pi})$ for some basis $\tilde{\pi}$ of Δ .*

Proof. Recall that $\Delta = \{\pm\beta + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{n\delta \mid n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}\}$. It follows from [7] that there exist $w \in W$ and $\beta' \in \Delta^{re}$ such that

$$wP = \{\beta' + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{k\delta \mid k > 0\}$$

or

$$wP = \{\beta' + n\delta, -\beta' + k\delta \mid n \geq 0, k > 0\} \cup \{k\delta \mid k > 0\} = \Delta_+(\pi')$$

where $\pi' = \{\beta', \delta - \beta'\}$. Then

$$P = \{w^{-1}\beta' + k\delta \mid k \in \mathbf{Z}\} \cup \{k\delta \mid k > 0\}$$

or $P = \Delta_+(w^{-1}\pi')$. This implies the statement of Lemma. \square

Definition 6.7. A non-zero element v of a \mathcal{G} -module V is called admissible if $\mathcal{N}_\varphi^\varepsilon v = 0$ or $B_\varphi v = 0$, for some $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$.

Lemma 6.8. *If the \mathcal{G} -module V contains a non-zero vector $v \in V_\lambda$ such that $e_\varphi v = 0$ and $\lambda + k\delta \notin P(V)$ for some $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$, $k \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$ then V contains an admissible vector.*

Proof. We will assume that $k > 0$. The case $k < 0$ can be considered analogously. We prove the Lemma by the induction on k . Let $k = 1$. Then we have $e_{\varphi+m\delta}v = e_\delta v = 0$ for all $m \geq 0$. If $e_{\varphi-i\delta}v = 0$ for all $i > 0$ then $B_\varphi v = 0$ and v is admissible. Let $e_{\varphi-n\delta}v \neq 0$ for some $n > 0$ and $e_{\varphi-i\delta}v = 0$, $0 \leq i < n$. Set $\tilde{v} = e_{\varphi-n\delta}v \neq 0$. Then $e_{\varphi-i\delta}\tilde{v} = e_\delta\tilde{v} = e_{-\varphi+(n+1)\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$, $i < n$ and, thus, $e_\psi\tilde{v} = 0$ for any $\psi \in \tilde{P} = \{\varphi - i\delta, -\varphi + (n+j+1)\delta, (j+1)\delta \mid i < n, j \geq 0\}$. One can see that $\tilde{P} \cup \{-\varphi + n\delta\}$ is a partition and $\tilde{P} = \Delta_+(\tilde{\pi}) - \{\varphi'\}$ for some $\varphi' \in \Delta^{re}$, $\tilde{\pi} = \{\varphi', \delta - \varphi'\}$, by Lemma 6.6. Hence, $\mathcal{N}_\varphi^+\tilde{v} = 0$ which proves the Lemma for $k = 1$.

Assume now that the Lemma is proved for all $0 < k' < k$ and consider two cases:

(i) There exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}$, $0 < n < k$ such that $e_{\varphi+i\delta}v = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < n$ but $e_{\varphi+n\delta}v \neq 0$. Then $e_{\varphi+i\delta}\tilde{v} = e_{-\varphi+(k-n)\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$, $0 \leq i < n$ where $\tilde{v} = e_{\varphi+n\delta}v$ and $e_{-\varphi+(k-n)\delta}\tilde{v} \in V_{\lambda+k\delta} = 0$. If $k - n = 1$ or $k - n > 1$ and $e_{-\varphi+\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$ then $\mathcal{N}_+v = 0$ and \tilde{v} is admissible. Let $k - n > 1$ and $v' = e_{-\varphi+\delta}\tilde{v} \neq 0$. Then $v' \in V_{\lambda'}$, $e_{\varphi'}v' = 0$, $\lambda' + (k - n - 1)\delta \notin P(V)$ where $\lambda' = \lambda + (n + 1)\delta$, $\varphi' = -\varphi + (k - n)\delta$ and V has an admissible element by the induction hypotheses.

(ii) Let $e_{\varphi+i\delta}v = 0$ for all $0 \leq i \leq k$. Since $e_{k\delta}v = 0$ we have $e_{\varphi+i\delta}v = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. If $\tilde{v}_m = e_{m\delta}v \neq 0$ for some $0 < m < k$ then $\tilde{v}_m \in V_{\lambda'}$, $\lambda' = \lambda + m\delta$, $e_{\varphi}\tilde{v}_m = 0$, $\lambda' + (k - m)\delta \notin P(V)$ and we can apply induction. Assume that $\tilde{v}_m = 0$ for all $0 < m < k$. Then we have $e_{\varphi+i\delta}v = e_{m\delta}v = 0$, $i \geq 0$, $0 < m \leq k$. If $e_{\varphi-j\delta}v = 0$ for all $j > 0$ then $B_\varphi v = 0$ and v is admissible. Otherwise, let n be a minimal positive integer such that $\tilde{v} = e_{\varphi-n\delta}v \neq 0$. Then $e_{\varphi-j\delta}\tilde{v} = e_{-\varphi+(n+k)\delta}\tilde{v} = e_{i\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$, $i \geq 0$, $j < n$. Assume that $e_{-\varphi+(n+1)\delta}\tilde{v} = 0$. We have $e_\psi\tilde{v} = 0$ for any $\psi \in \tilde{P} = \{\varphi - j\delta, -\varphi + (n+m)\delta, m\delta \mid j < n, m > 0\}$. The set $\tilde{P} \cup \{-\varphi + n\delta\}$ is a partition, $|\tilde{P}^{re} \cap \{\varphi + i\delta \mid i \geq 0\}| = |\tilde{P}^{re} \cap \{-\varphi + i\delta \mid i > 0\}| = \infty$ and, therefore, $\tilde{P} = \Delta_+(\tilde{\pi}) - \{\varphi'\}$ for some $\varphi' \in \Delta^{re}$, $\tilde{\pi} = \{\varphi', \delta - \varphi'\}$ by Lemma 6.6. We conclude that $\mathcal{N}_\varphi^+\tilde{v} = 0$ and \tilde{v} is admissible. Finally, suppose that $v' = e_{-\varphi+(n+1)\delta}\tilde{v} \neq 0$. Then $v' \in V_{\lambda'}$, $e_{\varphi}v' = 0$, $\lambda' + (k - 1)\delta \notin P(V)$ where λ' stands for $\lambda + \delta$ and, thus V has an admissible element by the assumption of induction. This completes the proof of Lemma. \square

Proposition 6.9. *Let V be an irreducible non-dense \mathcal{G} -module. Then V contains an admissible element.*

Proof. Let $\lambda \in P(V)$ and $\lambda + \varphi \notin P(V)$ for some $\varphi \in \Delta$. We can assume that $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$. Indeed, let $\varphi = \delta$. If $e_\alpha v = e_{\delta-\alpha} v = 0$ for some $0 \neq v \in V_\lambda$, $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ then V is a highest weight module with respect to $\{\alpha, \delta - \alpha\}$ and v is admissible. If, for example, $e_\alpha v \neq 0$ then $\lambda' = \lambda + \alpha \in P(V)$ and $\lambda' + (\delta - \alpha) \notin P(V)$. Hence, we can assume that $\lambda + \varphi \notin P(V)$, $\varphi \in \Delta^{re}$. Let $0 \neq v \in V_\lambda$. If $v' = e_{\varphi-n\delta} v \neq 0$ for some $n \in \mathbf{Z} - \{0\}$ then $e_\varphi v' = 0$, $v' \in V_{\tilde{\lambda}}$, $\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda + \varphi - n\delta$, $\tilde{\lambda} + n\delta \notin P(V)$ and Proposition follows from Lemma 6.8. If $e_{\varphi-n\delta} v = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ then $B_\varphi v = 0$ and v is admissible. \square

Corollary 6.10. *If \tilde{V} is an irreducible non-dense \mathcal{G} -module then either $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ or $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha(\lambda, V)$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, $\lambda \in H^*$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ and irreducible G -module V .*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 6.9, Corollary 3.3 (i) and Proposition 5.2. \square

Now Theorem 6.2 follows from Corollary 6.6 and Theorem 5.4.

Proof of Theorem 6.3. Let $\mu \in P(\tilde{V})$. Consider the \mathcal{G} -submodule $V = \mathcal{U}(G)\tilde{V}_\mu \subset \tilde{V}$. Then it follows from Proposition 4.5 that V is completely reducible and moreover each irreducible component is isomorphic to $M^\varepsilon(a)$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ up to a shifting of gradation by Proposition 4.3, (i). Denote by V^+ the sum of all irreducible components of V isomorphic to $M^+(a)$ and assume that $V^+ \neq 0$. Let $0 \neq v \in V^+ \cap \tilde{V}_\chi$, $\chi \in P(\tilde{V})$ and $V^+ \cap \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta} = 0$. We will show that for any $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$ there exists $m_\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that $e_{\alpha+m\delta} v = 0$ for all $m \geq m_\alpha$. Indeed, let $v_0 = e_\alpha v \neq 0$. Consider the G -module $\mathcal{U}(G)v_0$ which is again completely reducible by Proposition 4.5. If $e_{k\delta} v \neq 0$ for all $k > 0$ then $v_k = e_\delta^k v_0 \neq 0$ for all $k > 0$. But, for big enough k , v_k will belong to the direct sum of irreducible components of $\mathcal{U}(G)v_0$ each of which is isomorphic to $M^-(a)$ up to a shifting of gradation. This contradicts Proposition 4.1, (ii), since $e_\delta^2 v_k = 2^{k+2} e_{\alpha+(k+2)\delta} v = 2e_{2\delta} v_k$. Thus, there exists $m_\alpha \geq 0$ such that $e_{\alpha+m_\alpha\delta} v = 0$ and, therefore, $e_{\alpha+m\delta} v = 0$ for any $m \geq m_\alpha$.

Suppose that $\chi + \delta \in P(\tilde{V})$. Since \tilde{V} is irreducible there exists $0 \neq u \in \mathcal{U}(G)$ such that $0 \neq uv \in \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta}$. It follows from the discussion above that $e_{n\delta} uv = 0$ for big enough $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. The G -submodule $V' = \mathcal{U}(G)uv$ is completely reducible by Proposition 4.5 and since $V^+ \cap \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta} = 0$, any irreducible component $L \subset V'$ such that $L \cap \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta} \neq 0$ is isomorphic to $M^-(a)$ up to a shifting of gradation. Hence, $e_{n\delta} \tilde{v} \neq 0$ for any non-zero $\tilde{v} \in V' \cap \tilde{V}_{\chi+\delta}$ by Proposition 4.1, (ii) and $e_{n\delta} uv \neq 0$ in particular. This contradiction implies that $\chi + \delta \notin P(\tilde{V})$ and therefore \tilde{V} is a non-dense

\mathcal{G} -module. Applying Theorem 6.2 we conclude that $\tilde{V} \simeq L_\alpha^\varepsilon(\lambda, \gamma)$ for some $\alpha \in \Delta^{re}$, $\lambda \in H^*$, $\lambda(c) = a$, $\gamma \in \mathbf{C}$, $\varepsilon \in \{+, -\}$ which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgement.

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

References

- [1] S. Spirin, *\mathbf{Z}^2 -graded modules with one-dimensional components over the Lie Algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **21** (1987), 84-85.
- [2] D. Britten, F. Lemire and F. Zorzitto, *Pointed torsion free Modules of Affine Lie Algebras*, Commun. in Algebra, **18** (1990), 3307-3321.
- [3] V. Chari and A. Pressley, *A new family of irreducible, integrable modules for Affine Lie Algebras*, Math. Ann., **277** (1987), 543-562.
- [4] V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd Edition, Cambridge University Press 1990.
- [5] J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Verma modules, loop space cohomology and MacDonalld type identities*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. sup., **12** (1979), 169-234.
- [6] V. Chari, *Integrable representations of Affine Lie Algebras*, Invent. Math., **85** (1986), 317-335.
- [7] H. Jakobsen and V. Kac, *A new class of unitarizable highest weight representations of infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, Lecture Notes in Physics, **226** (1985), 1-20.
- [8] V. Futorny, *On Imaginary Verma modules over the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$* , Oslo University preprint, 1991-9.
- [9] ———, *Irreducible graded $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **26** (1992), 73-75.
- [10] V. Kac and D. Kazhdan, *Structure of representations with highest weight of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Advances in Math., **34** (1979), 97-108.
- [11] N. Shapovalov, *On bilinear form on the universal enveloping algebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra*, Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen., **6** (1972), 307-312.
- [12] V. Futorny, *Imaginary Verma modules for Affine Lie Algebras*, Canad. Math. Bull., to appear.

Received July 12, 1993 and revised December 2, 1993.

KIEV UNIVERSITY
 KIEV, 252617, UKRAINE
 E-mail address: FUTORNY@UNI-ALG.KIEV.UA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 172 No. 1 January 1996

A class of incomplete non-positively curved manifolds	1
BRIAN BOWDITCH	
The quasi-linearity problem for C^* -algebras	41
L. J. BUNCE and JOHN DAVID MAITLAND WRIGHT	
Distortion of boundary sets under inner functions. II	49
JOSE LUIS FERNANDEZ PEREZ, DOMINGO PESTANA and JOSÉ RODRÍGUEZ	
Irreducible non-dense $A_1^{(1)}$ -modules	83
VJACHESLAV M. FUTORNY	
M -hyperbolic real subsets of complex spaces	101
GIULIANA GIGANTE, GIUSEPPE TOMASSINI and SERGIO VENTURINI	
Values of Bernoulli polynomials	117
ANDREW GRANVILLE and ZHI-WEI SUN	
The uniqueness of compact cores for 3-manifolds	139
LUKE HARRIS and PETER SCOTT	
Estimation of the number of periodic orbits	151
BOJU JIANG	
Factorization of p -completely bounded multilinear maps	187
CHRISTIAN LE MERDY	
Finitely generated cohomology Hopf algebras and torsion	215
JAMES PEICHENG LIN	
The positive-dimensional fibres of the Prym map	223
JUAN-CARLOS NARANJO	
Entropy of a skew product with a Z^2 -action	227
KYEWON KOH PARK	
Commuting co-commuting squares and finite-dimensional Kac algebras	243
TAKASHI SANO	
Second order ordinary differential equations with fully nonlinear two-point boundary conditions. I	255
H. BEVAN THOMPSON	
Second order ordinary differential equations with fully nonlinear two-point boundary conditions. II	279
H. BEVAN THOMPSON	
The flat part of non-flat orbifolds	299
FENG XU	