

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**A TYPE OF UNIQUENESS FOR THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM
ON A HALF-SPACE WITH CONTINUOUS DATA**

HIDENOBU YOSHIDA

Volume 172 No. 2

February 1996

A TYPE OF UNIQUENESS FOR THE DIRICHLET PROBLEM ON A HALF-SPACE WITH CONTINUOUS DATA

HIDENOBU YOSHIDA

Dedicated to Professor F.-Y. Maeda on his 60th birthday

In this paper, we shall prove a property of the harmonic function H defined on a half-space T which is represented by the generalized Poisson integral with a slowly growing continuous function f on the boundary ∂T of T . Then we shall investigate the difference between H and more general harmonic functions having the same boundary value f on ∂T . These give a kind of positive answer to a question asked by Siegel.

1. Introduction.

Let \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R}_+ be the sets of all real numbers and of all positive real numbers, respectively. We introduce the spherical coordinate (r, Θ) , $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$, in the n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) which are related to the cartesian coordinates (X, y) , $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y)$ by the formulas

$$x_1 = r \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin \theta_j \right), \quad y = r \cos \theta_1,$$

and if $n \geq 3$,

$$x_{n+1-k} = r \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} \sin \theta_j \right) \cos \theta_k \quad (2 \leq k \leq n-1),$$

where

$$0 \leq r < +\infty, \quad -2^{-1}\pi \leq \theta_{n-1} < 2^{-1}3\pi$$

and if

$$n \geq 3, \quad 0 \leq \theta_j \leq \pi \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-2).$$

The unit sphere (the unit circle, if $n = 2$) and the upper half unit sphere $\{(1, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^n; 0 \leq \theta_1 < \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ (the upper half circle $\{(1, \theta_1) \in \mathbb{R}^2;$

$-2^{-1}\pi < \theta_1 < 2^{-1}\pi$ if $n = 2$) in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) are denoted by \mathbb{S}^{n-1} and \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} , respectively. The half-space

$$\{(X, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n; X \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, y > 0\} = \{(r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^n; \Theta \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}, 0 < r < +\infty\}$$

is denoted by \mathbb{T}_n . Then the boundary $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$ of \mathbb{T}_n in \mathbb{R}^n ($n \geq 2$) is identified with \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , which is represented as

$$\{Q = (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}; |Q| = t \geq 0, \xi \in \partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}\}$$

by the spherical coordinates, where $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}$ is the boundary of \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} (if $n \geq 3$, then $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} = \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ and if $n = 2$, then $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^1 = \{-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$, $(t, \frac{\pi}{2}) = t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(t, -\frac{\pi}{2}) = -t \in \mathbb{R}$ ($t \geq 0$)).

Given a continuous function f on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$, we say that h is a solution of the (classical) Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f , if h is harmonic in \mathbb{T}_n and

$$\lim_{P \in \mathbb{T}_n, P \rightarrow Q} h(P) = f(Q)$$

for every $Q \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$.

Helms [4, p. 42 and p. 158] states that even if f is a bounded continuous function on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$, the solution of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f is not unique and to obtain the unique solution $H(P)$ ($P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$) we must specify the behavior of $H(P)$ as $y \rightarrow +\infty$. With respect to this fact, Siegel [6, Theorems 1] proved the following result. Let F_ℓ ($\ell \geq 0$) be the set of continuous functions $f(x)$ on \mathbb{R} such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{|f(x)|}{1 + |x|^{2+\ell}} dx < +\infty.$$

If $f \in F_\ell$, then there exists a solution $H_{\ell,2}(f)(P)$ of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_2 with f satisfying

$$H_{\ell,2}(f)(P) = o(r^{\ell+1} / \cos \theta_1) \quad (r \rightarrow +\infty) \\ (P = (r \sin \theta_1, r \cos \theta_1) \in \mathbb{T}_2).$$

If $h(P)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_2 with this f such that

$$h(P) = o(r^{\ell+1} / \cos \theta_1) \quad (r \rightarrow +\infty) \quad (P = (r \sin \theta_1, r \cos \theta_1) \in \mathbb{T}_2),$$

then

$$h(P) = H_{\ell,2}(f)(P) + U(h)(P)$$

for every $P \in \mathbb{T}_2$, where $U(h)(P)$ is a harmonic polynomial (of $P = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$) of degree at most ℓ vanishing on $\partial\mathbb{T}_2 = \{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2; x \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Further

he stated the following result without proof (Siegel [6, Theorem 3]). Let ℓ be a non-negative integer. If f is a continuous function on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) such that

$$(1.1) \quad |f(Q)| \leq F(x) \quad (Q \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, \quad |Q| = x)$$

for some $F(x) \in F_\ell$, $F(x) = F(-x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$), then there exists a solution $H_{\ell,n}(f)(P)$ of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) = o(r^{\ell+1}/\cos \theta_1) \quad (r \rightarrow +\infty) \\ (P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n, \Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})).$$

If $h(P)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with this f satisfying

$$(1.3) \quad h(P) = o(r^{\ell+1}/\cos \theta_1) \quad (r \rightarrow +\infty) \\ (P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n, \Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})),$$

then

$$h(P) = H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) + U(h)(P) \quad (P \in \mathbb{T}_n),$$

where $U(h)(P)$ is a harmonic polynomial of $P = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of degree at most ℓ vanishing on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n = \{(X, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^n; X \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\}$.

In connection with these results, Siegel [6, p. 8] asked whether the condition (1.1) of $f(Q)$ can be replaced by more natural condition

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{|f(Q)|}{1 + |Q|^{n+\ell}} dX < +\infty \quad (\ell \geq 0),$$

under which $H_{\ell,n}(f)(P)$ exists.

A special case of the following result of Yoshida shows that this question is solved affirmatively in the case where $\ell = 0$. To state it, we need the following notations. Let $\Phi(r, \Theta)$ be a function on \mathbb{T}_n . We put

$$N(\Phi)(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} \Phi(r, \Theta) \cos \theta_1 d\sigma_\Theta \quad (\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}))$$

and

$$\mu_0(\Phi) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-1} N(\Phi)(r),$$

if they exist, where $d\sigma_\Theta$ is the surface element on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Let $G_n(P_1, P_2)(P_1, P_2 \in \mathbb{T}_n)$ be the Green function of \mathbb{T}_n . By $K_{0,n}(P, Q)$ ($P \in \mathbb{T}_n, Q \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$), we denote the ordinary Poisson kernel of \mathbb{T}_n

$$c_n^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} G_n(P, Q) = \frac{2y}{s_n} |P - Q|^{-n} \quad c_n = \begin{cases} 2\pi, & (n = 2) \\ (n - 2)s_n, & (n \geq 3) \end{cases},$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu}$ denotes the differentiation at Q along the inward normal into \mathbb{T}_n and s_n is the surface area $2\pi^{n/2}\{\Gamma(n/2)\}^{-1}$ of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} .

Theorem A. (Yoshida [8, Theorem 3 and Lemma 3]). *Let $f(Q)$ be a continuous function on \mathbb{T}_n ($n \geq 2$) satisfying*

$$(1.5) \quad \int^{+\infty} t^{-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt < +\infty,$$

where $d\sigma_\xi$ is the surface element of $\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1} = \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ ($n \geq 3$) and

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^1} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi = \left| f\left(t, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right| + \left| f\left(t, -\frac{\pi}{2}\right) \right| \quad (n = 2).$$

Then the Poisson integral

$$H_{0,n}(f)(P) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n} f(Q)K_{0,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q$$

is a solution of the classical Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f such that

$$\mu_0(H_{0,n}(|f|)) = 0.$$

If $h(P)$ is a solution of the classical Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with this f , then two limits $\mu_0(h)$ ($-\infty < \mu_0(h) \leq +\infty$) and $\mu_0(|h|)$ ($0 \leq \mu_0(|h|) \leq +\infty$) exist, and if

$$(1.6) \quad \mu_0(|h|) < +\infty,$$

then

$$(1.7) \quad h(P) = H_{0,n}(f)(P) + 2ns_n^{-1}\mu_0(h)y$$

for any $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$.

We remark that (1.5) is equivalent to

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{|f(Q)|}{1 + |Q|^n} dQ < +\infty.$$

If h is a solution of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with this f such that $h = o(r/\cos\theta_1)$ ($r \rightarrow \infty$), then $\mu_0(|h|) = 0$, $\mu_0(h) = 0$ and hence $h(P) = H_{0,n}(f)(P)$. This shows that Theorem A gives a positive answer to Siegel's question in the case where $\ell = 0$. However Theorem A gives a form of h not

only in the case where $\mu_0(|h|) = 0$ but also in the case where $0 < \mu_0(|h|) < +\infty$.

In this paper we shall show that a solution of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f satisfying (1.4) satisfies a natural condition weaker than (1.2) (Theorem 1) and other solutions with this f satisfying some growth condition different from (1.3) are specified in a certain sense (Theorem 2), which contains a positive answer to Siegel's question in every case (Corollary 1) and gives a generalized form of Theorem A (Corollary 2). We shall also state Theorem 2 in more general form (Theorem 3).

I would like to thank the referee for suggesting a much simpler proof of Lemma 3.

2. Statement of results.

We denote the origin of \mathbb{R}^n by O . Let k ($k \geq 0$) and n ($n \geq 2$) be two integers and let $L_{k,n+2}$ be the $(n + 2)$ -dimensional Legendre polynomial of degree k , where $L_{0,n+2} = 1$. We also put

$$c_{k,n+2} = \binom{k + n - 1}{k}.$$

We note that $c_{k,n+2}L_{k,n+2}(t)$ is equal to the ultraspherical (or Gegenbauer) polynomial $P_k^{n/2}$ of degree k associated with $\frac{n}{2}$ (see Stein and Weiss [7, p. 148]).

The following theorem gives the Fourier expansion of $K_{0,n}(P, Q)$.

Theorem B. (Armitage [1, Theorem E] and Gardiner [3, Theorem B]). *Let $Q = (Z) = (t, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} - \{O\}$, $|Q| = t$, $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-2}$ ($n \geq 2$). The function $J_{k,n,Q}$ of $P = (X, y) = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$, given by*

$$(2.1) \quad J_{k,n,Q}(P) = r^{k+1} \cos \theta_1 L_{k,n+2}(\sin \theta_1 \cos \gamma)$$

(γ is the angle between $(X, 0)$ and $(Z, 0)$)

is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of degree $k + 1$. Further the function independent of t and r

$$I_{k,n,\xi}(\Theta) = r^{-k-1} J_{k,n,Q}(P)$$

(which is the restriction to the surface \mathbb{S}^{n-1} of $J_{k,n,Q}(P)$ and hence a spherical harmonic of degree $k + 1$) satisfies

$$(2.2) \quad |I_{k,n,\xi}(\Theta)| \leq \cos \theta_1$$

for each $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $r < t$ and $\Theta \in \mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}$ then $K_{0,n}(P, Q)$ is given by

$$K_{0,n}(P, Q) = \frac{2}{s_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{k,n+2} t^{-k-n} r^{k+1} I_{k,n,\xi}(\Theta).$$

For an integer $\ell \geq 1$ and two points $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, $Q = (t, \xi) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$, we put

$$V_{\ell,n}(P, Q) = \frac{2}{s_n} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c_{k,n+2} t^{-k-n} r^{k+1} I_{k,n,\xi}(\Theta).$$

We see from Theorem B that for any fixed $Q \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$ the function $V_{\ell,n}(P, Q)$ of $P \in \mathbb{T}_n$ is harmonic on \mathbb{T}_n and vanishes on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$. We define another function

$$W_{\ell,n}(P, Q) = \begin{cases} V_{\ell,n}(P, Q) & (P \in \mathbb{T}_n, Q = (t, \xi) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n, 1 \leq t < +\infty) \\ 0 & (P \in \mathbb{T}_n, Q = (t, \xi) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n, 0 \leq t < 1). \end{cases}$$

In addition to $K_{0,n}(P, Q)$, the *Poisson kernel* $K_{\ell,n}(P, Q)$ ($P \in \mathbb{T}_n$, $Q \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$) of order ℓ ($\ell \geq 1$) is defined by

$$K_{\ell,n}(P, Q) = K_{0,n}(P, Q) - W_{\ell,n}(P, Q)$$

(see Siegel [6, p. 7] and also see Armitage [1, p. 56]).

Let ℓ be a non-negative integer. Given a function $\Phi(r, \Theta)$ on \mathbb{T}_n , we set

$$\mu_\ell(\Phi) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-\ell-1} N(\Phi)(r),$$

if it exists. By $F_{\ell,n}$ we denote the set of continuous functions $f(Q)$ on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ($n \geq 2$) such that

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \frac{|f(Q)|}{1 + |Q|^{n+\ell}} dQ < +\infty,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\int_0^{+\infty} t^{-\ell-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt < +\infty.$$

Hence $F_{\ell,2}$ is equal to F_ℓ .

Theorem 1. *Let ℓ ($\ell \geq 0$), n ($n \geq 2$) be two integers and $f \in F_{\ell,n}$. Then*

$$H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n} f(Q) K_{\ell,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q$$

is a solution of the classical Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \mu_\ell(|H_{\ell,n}(f)|) = 0.$$

Remark 1. Further, suppose in Theorem 1 that $f \in F_{\ell',n}$ for some ℓ' less than ℓ . Then

$$H_{\ell',n}(f)(P) - H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) = \frac{2}{s_n} \sum_{k=\ell'}^{\ell-1} c_{k,n+2} J_{k,n}^*(f)(P),$$

where

$$J_{k,n}^*(f)(P) = r^{k+1} \int_1^{+\infty} t^{-k-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} I_{k,n,\xi}(\Theta) f(t, \xi) d\sigma_\xi \right) dt \quad P = (r, \Theta).$$

We note from (2.2) that

$$\left| J_{k,n}^*(f)(P) \right| \leq r^{k+1} \cos \theta_1 \int_1^{+\infty} t^{-k-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt < +\infty.$$

Put $J_{k,n,Q}(P) = y\Upsilon_{k,n,Q}(P)$, and observe from (2.1) that $\Upsilon_{k,n,Q}(P)$ is a polynomial of $P = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of degree at most k and even with respect to the variable y . Hence, if we set $J_{k,n}^*(f)(P) = y\Upsilon_{k,n}^*(f)(P)$, then $\Upsilon_{k,n}^*(f)(P)$ is a polynomial of $P = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y)$ of degree at most k and even with respect to y ($k = \ell', \ell' + 1, \ell' + 2, \dots, \ell - 1$). Thus

$$H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) = H_{\ell',n}(f)(P) + yL(f)(P),$$

where $L(f)(P)$ is a polynomial of $P = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of degree at most $\ell - 1$ and even with respect to y .

Remark 2. If (1.2) is satisfied, then (2.4) also holds. Since Siegel assumed (1.1) which is stronger than (2.3), he could obtain (1.2). It is interesting to ask whether (1.2) follows under (2.3) or not.

The following result is just a generalization of Picard’s theorem stating that a positive harmonic function in the Euclidean space is a constant. *Let $H(r, \Theta)$ be harmonic on \mathbb{R}^m ($m \geq 2$). If, for some positive $t > 1$,*

$$r^{-t-1}\mathcal{M}(H^+)(r) \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow +\infty), \quad \mathcal{M}(H^+)(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{m-1}} H^+(r, \Theta) d\sigma_\Theta,$$

then for some positive integer ℓ less than t

$$H(r, \Theta) = C + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \Xi_k(r, \Theta) \quad ((r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{R}^m),$$

where C is a constant and $\Xi_k(r, \Theta) = r^k Y_k(\Theta)$ is a homogeneous harmonic polynomial of order k ($Y_k(\Theta)$ is a spherical harmonic function) (see e.g. BreLOT [2, Appendix; §26]).

It is well known that many results on harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^n can easily be obtained by a passage to \mathbb{R}^{n+2} . By using this fact and the result with $m = n + 2$ stated above, Kuran proved the following Theorem C. To state it, for a function $\Phi(r, \Theta)$ on \mathbb{T}_n we define

$$\mathcal{D}(y\Phi, r) = (\sigma_r^+)^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}_r^+} y\Phi(r, \Theta) d\mathbb{S}_r^+,$$

if it exists, where $S_r^+ = \{(r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n; \Theta \in S_+^{n-1}\}$, σ_r^+ is the surface area of the spherical part of S_r^+ and dS_r^+ is the surface element of S_r^+ .

Theorem C. (Kuran [5, Theorem 10]). *Let $h(X, y) (= h(r, \Theta))$ be a harmonic function on \mathbb{T}_n such that h vanishes continuously on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$.*

If, for some positive t ,

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-t-2} \mathcal{D}(yh^+, r) = 0,$$

then

$$h = y\Pi(h)$$

in \mathbb{T}_n , where $\Pi(h)$ is a polynomial of $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of degree less than t and even with respect to the variable y .

Remark 3. Let $\Phi(r, \Theta)$ be a function on \mathbb{T}_n . Then

$$(2.6) \quad \mathcal{D}(y\Phi, r) = 2s_n^{-1}rN(\Phi)(r),$$

if they exist. Hence (2.5) is equivalent to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r^{-(t+1)}N(h^+)(r) = 0.$$

The following theorem answers affirmatively Siegel's question in the case where ℓ is a positive integer.

Theorem 2. *Let ℓ ($\ell \geq 1$), n ($n \geq 2$) be two integers and*

$$(2.7) \quad f \in F_{\ell, n}.$$

If $h(r, \Theta)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f satisfying

$$(2.8) \quad \mu_\ell(h^+) = 0,$$

then

$$(2.9) \quad h(P) = H_{\ell, n}(f)(P) + y\Pi(h)(P)$$

for every $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, where $\Pi(h)(P)$ is a polynomial of $P = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of degree at most $\ell - 1$ and even with respect to the variable y .

The result obtained by Siegel immediately follows from the remark following Theorem A (the case $\ell = 0$) and Theorem 2 (the case $\ell \geq 1$).

Corollary 1. *Let ℓ be a non-negative integer and $f(Q)$ be a continuous function on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ($n \geq 2$) satisfying*

$$|f(Q)| \leq F(x) \quad (Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, |Q| = x > 0)$$

for some $F(x) \in F_\ell$ ($\ell \geq 0$), $F(x) = F(-x)$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}$). If $h(P)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f such that

$$h(P) = o(r^{\ell+1} / \cos \theta_1) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) \quad (P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n),$$

then

$$h(P) = H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) + U(h)(P) \quad (P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n),$$

where $U(h)(P)$ is a harmonic polynomial of $P = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of degree at most ℓ vanishing on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$.

Theorems 1, 2 and Remark 1 also give a generalized form of Theorem A.

Corollary 2. *Let ℓ be a positive integer and $f(Q)$ be a continuous function on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) satisfying $f \in F_{\ell-1,n}$. Then the Poisson integral*

$$H_{\ell-1,n}(f)(P) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n} f(Q)K_{\ell-1,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q$$

is a solution of the classical Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with f satisfying

$$(2.10) \quad \mu_{\ell-1}(|H_{\ell-1,n}(f)|) = 0.$$

If $h(P)$ is any solution of the classical Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n with this f satisfying

$$\mu_\ell(h^+) = 0,$$

then

$$(2.11) \quad h(P) = H_{\ell-1,n}(f)(P) = y\Pi^*(h)(P)$$

for every $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, where $\Pi^(h)(P)$ is a polynomial of P with degree at most $\ell - 1$ and even with respect to the variable y .*

Remark 4. Since

$$\mu_{\ell-1}(h) = \mu_{\ell-1}(y\Pi^*(h))$$

from (2.10) and (2.11) and

$$y\Pi^*(h)(P) = r^\ell \varphi(h)(\Theta) \{1 + o(1)\} \quad (r \rightarrow +\infty) \quad (P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n)$$

for some $\varphi(h)(\Theta)$ on S_{n-1}^+ , it follows that

$$\mu_{\ell-1}(h) = \int_{S_{n-1}^+} \varphi(h)(\Theta) \cos \theta_1 \, d\sigma_\Theta$$

exists. Put $\ell = 1$ in Corollary 2. Then $\Pi^*(h)(P)$ is a constant C and $\mu_0(h) = C\mu_0(y) = \frac{C}{2n} s_n$. Thus we obtain (1.7) under the weaker condition $\mu_1(h^+) = 0$ than (1.6).

It may be more desirable to restate Theorem 2 in the following form.

Theorem 3. *If $h(r, \Theta)$ is a solution of the Dirichlet problem on \mathbb{T}_n ($n \geq 2$) with some $f \in F_{\ell,n}$ ($\ell \geq 0$) satisfying*

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(h^+)(r)}{\log r} < +\infty,$$

then

$$h(P) = H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) + y\Lambda(h)(P)$$

for every $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, where $\Lambda(h)(P)$ is a polynomial of $P = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and even with respect to the variable y .

3. Proofs of the Theorems 1, 2, 3 and Corollary 2.

For a set E , $E \subset \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{0\}$, we denote $\{(r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n; r \in E\}$ and $\{(r, \Theta) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n; r \in E\}$ by $\mathbb{T}_n E$ and $\partial\mathbb{T}_n E$, respectively.

Lemma 1. *For a positive integer ℓ we have*

$$|K_{0,n}(P, Q) - V_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| \leq C_1 r^{\ell+1} t^{-n-\ell} \cos \theta_1$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$ and any $Q = (t, \xi) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n - \{O\}$ ($n \geq 2$) satisfying $0 < \frac{2r}{t} \leq 1$, where C_1 is a constant depending only on ℓ and n .

Proof. Take any $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n$ and any $Q = (t, \xi) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n - \{O\}$. Put $R_1 = \frac{2r}{t}$, $a = \frac{t}{2}$ and $\Theta_1 = \Theta$ in

$$a^{n-2} G_n((aR_1, \Theta_1), (aR_2, \Theta_2)) = G_n((R_1, \Theta_1), (R_2, \Theta_2))$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{R}_+, (R_1, \Theta_1), (R_2, \Theta_2) \in \mathbb{T}_n).$$

When (R_2, Θ_2) approach to $(2, \xi) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$ along the inward normal, we obtain

$$(3.1) \quad \left(\frac{1}{2t}\right)^{n-1} K_{0,n}((r, \Theta), (t, \xi)) = K_{0,n}\left(\left(\frac{2r}{t}, \Theta\right), (2, \xi)\right).$$

Suppose that $0 < \frac{2r}{t} \leq 1$. From Theorem B and (2.2) we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad & \left| K_{0,n} \left(\left(\frac{2r}{t}, \Theta \right), (2, \xi) \right) - 2s_n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c_{k,n+2} 2^{-k-n} \left(\frac{2r}{t} \right)^{k+1} I_{k,n,\xi}(\Theta) \right| \\
 & \leq s_n^{-1} 2^{-n+1} \sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty} c_{k,n+2} 2^{-k} \left(\frac{2r}{t} \right)^{k+1} |I_{k,n,\xi}(\Theta)| \\
 & \leq s_n^{-1} 2^{-n+1} \left(\frac{2r}{t} \right)^{\ell+1} \cos \theta_1 \sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty} c_{k,n+2} 2^{-k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=\ell}^{\infty} c_{k,n+2} 2^{-k} = \frac{(n + \ell - 1)!}{(n - 1)!(\ell - 1)!} \int_0^{1/2} \left(\frac{1}{2} - u \right)^{\ell-1} (1 - u)^{-n-\ell} du = C'_1$$

is finite, we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| K_{0,n}((r, \Theta), (t, \xi)) - 2s_n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c_{k,n+2} t^{-n-k} r^{k+1} I_{k,n,\xi}(\Theta) \right| \\
 & \leq C_1 t^{-n-\ell} r^{\ell+1} \cos \theta_1 \quad (C_1 = 2^{\ell+1} s_n^{-1} C'_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

from (3.1) and (3.2), which is the conclusion. □

Lemma 2. *Let ℓ be any positive integer. Let $f(Q)$ be a locally integrable function on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) satisfying (2.3). Then $H_{\ell,n}(f)(P)$ is a harmonic function on \mathbb{T}_n .*

Proof. For any fixed $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, take a number R satisfying $R \geq \max(1, 2r)$. Then from Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad & \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[R,+\infty)} |f(Q)| |K_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| d\sigma_Q \\
 & = \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[R,+\infty)} |f(Q)| |K_{0,n}(P, Q) - V_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| d\sigma_Q \\
 & \leq C_1 r^{\ell+1} \cos \theta_1 \int_R^{+\infty} t^{-\ell-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt < +\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $H_{\ell,n}(f)(P)$ is finite for any $P \in \mathbb{T}_n$. Since $K_{\ell,n}(P, Q)$ is a harmonic function of $P \in \mathbb{T}_n$ for any fixed $Q \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$, $H_{\ell,n}(f)(P)$ is also a harmonic function of $P \in \mathbb{T}_n$. □

Lemma 3. *Let ℓ be any positive integer. Let $f(Q)$ be a locally integrable and finite-valued upper semicontinuous function on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$ ($n \geq 2$) satisfying (2.3). Then*

$$\overline{\lim}_{P \rightarrow Q^*, P \in \mathbb{T}_n} H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) \leq f(Q^*)$$

for any $Q^* \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$.

Proof. Let $Q^* = (t^*, \xi^*)$ be any fixed point of $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$ and ε be any positive number. Take a positive number δ , $\delta < 1$, such that

$$(3.4) \quad f(Q) < f(Q^*) + \varepsilon$$

for any $Q \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)$, where $U_\delta(Q^*) = \{P \in \mathbb{R}^n; |P - Q^*| < \delta\}$. From (3.3), we can choose a number R^* , $R^* > 2(t^* + 1)$, such that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[R^*, +\infty)} |f(Q)| |K_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| d\sigma_Q < \varepsilon,$$

for any $P \in \mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)$. Now we write

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)} f(Q) K_{\ell,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[0, R^*) - U_\delta(Q^*)} f(Q) K_{\ell,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[R^*, +\infty)} f(Q) K_{\ell,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q \\ &= I_1(P) + I_2(P) + I_3(P), \\ I_1(P) &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)} f(Q) K_{0,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)} f(Q) W_{\ell,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q \\ &= I_{1,1}(P) + I_{1,2}(P) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(P) &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[0, R^*) - U_\delta(Q^*)} f(Q) K_{0,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q \\ &\quad - \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[0, R^*) - U_\delta(Q^*)} f(Q) W_{\ell,n}(P, Q) d\sigma_Q \\ &= I_{2,1}(P) + I_{2,2}(P). \end{aligned}$$

First we see from (3.5) that

$$(3.6) \quad |I_3(P)| < \varepsilon$$

for any $P \in \mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)} K_{0,n}(P, Q) \, d\sigma_Q \\ &= \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n - U_\delta(Q^*)} K_{0,n}(P, Q) \, d\sigma_Q \\ &= \frac{2y}{s_n} \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n - U_\delta(Q^*)} |P - Q|^{-n} \, d\sigma_Q \end{aligned}$$

for any $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, we have

$$\lim_{P \in \mathbb{T}_n, P \rightarrow Q^*} \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)} K_{0,n}(P, Q) \, d\sigma_Q = 1$$

and hence from (3.4)

$$(3.7) \quad \overline{\lim}_{P \in \mathbb{T}_n, P \rightarrow Q^*} I_{1,1}(P) \leq f(Q^*) + \varepsilon.$$

Also observe that

$$(3.8) \quad |I_{2,1}(P)| \leq \frac{2y}{s_n} \left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^{-n} \int_0^{R^*} t^{n-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| \, d\sigma_\xi \right) dt$$

for any $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n \cap U_{\delta/2}(Q^*)$. Since

$$\int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[0, R^*]} |f(Q)| |W_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| \, d\sigma_Q \leq C_2 \cos \theta_1$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)$, $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$, where

$$C_2 = 2s_n^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} c_{k,n+2} (t^* + 1)^{k+1} \int_1^{R^*} t^{-k-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| \, d\sigma_\xi \right) dt,$$

we obtain that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_{1,2}(P)| &\leq \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n \cap U_\delta(Q^*)} |f(Q)| |W_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| \, d\sigma_Q \\ &\leq C_2 \cos \theta_1 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_{2,2}(P)| &\leq \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[0, R^*] - U_\delta(Q^*)} |f(Q)| |W_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| \, d\sigma_Q \\ &\leq C_2 \cos \theta_1 \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

as $P = (r, \Theta) \rightarrow Q^*$. All (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) give

$$\overline{\lim}_{P \in \mathbb{T}_n, P \rightarrow Q^*} H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) \leq f(Q^*) + 2\varepsilon,$$

from which the conclusion immediately follows. □

Proof of Theorem 1. If $\ell = 0$, then Theorem 1 is included in Theorem A. Hence we can assume that $\ell \geq 1$. It immediately follows from Lemma 2 and Lemma 3 that $H_{\ell,n}(f)(P)$ is a harmonic function on \mathbb{T}_n and

$$\lim_{P \in \mathbb{T}_n, P \rightarrow Q^*} H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) = f(Q^*)$$

for any $Q^* \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$.

To prove (2.4), we see first that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.11) \quad N(|H_{\ell,n}(f)|)(r) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n} |f(Q)| |K_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| d\sigma_Q \right) \cos \theta_1 d\sigma_\Theta \\ &= I_1(r) + I_2(r) \end{aligned}$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, $\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$, where

$$I_1(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[2r, +\infty)} |f(Q)| |K_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| d\sigma_Q \right) \cos \theta_1 d\sigma_\Theta$$

and

$$I_2(r) = \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[0, 2r)} |f(Q)| |K_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| d\sigma_Q \right) \cos \theta_1 d\sigma_\Theta.$$

Let ε be any positive number. Take a sufficiently large number r_0 such that

$$\int_{2r_0}^{+\infty} t^{-\ell-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt < n (C_1 s_n)^{-1} \varepsilon,$$

where C_1 is the constant in Lemma 1. Since

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} \cos^2 \theta_1 d\sigma_\Theta = (2n)^{-1} s_n,$$

we have from (3.3)

$$(3.13) \quad I_1(r) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} r^{\ell+1}$$

for any $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, $r \geq r_0$.

Suppose $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n[\frac{1}{2}, +\infty)$. For any $Q = (t, \xi) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$ ($0 < t \leq 2r$) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| &\leq 2s_n^{-1}t^{-n}r \cos \theta_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} 2^{-k} c_{k,n+2} (2r/t)^k \\ &\leq C_3 t^{-n-\ell+1} r^\ell \cos \theta_1 \quad \Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) \end{aligned}$$

from (2.2) and hence

$$|K_{\ell,n}(P, Q)| \leq \begin{cases} K_{0,n}(P, Q) + C_3 r^\ell t^{-n-\ell+1} \cos \theta_1, & (t \geq 1) \\ K_{0,n}(P, Q), & (0 < t < 1), \end{cases}$$

where

$$C_3 = \ell 2^\ell s_n^{-1} \max_{0 \leq k \leq \ell-1} 2^{-k} c_{k,n+2}.$$

Hence we have

$$(3.14) \quad I_2(r) \leq I_{2,1}(r) + I_{2,2}(r)$$

from (3.12), where

$$I_{2,1}(r) = \int_{\partial\mathbb{T}_n[0,2r)} |f(Q)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} K_{0,n}(P, Q) \cos \theta_1 d\sigma_\Theta \right) d\sigma_Q$$

and

$$I_{2,2}(r) = C_3 (2n)^{-1} s_n r^\ell \int_1^{2r} t^{-\ell-1} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt.$$

Here, consider the function $K_{0,n}(P, Q)$ of $P = (r, \Theta) \in \mathbb{T}_n$ for any fixed $Q = (t, \xi) \in \partial\mathbb{T}_n$. Then we see from (2.5) that

$$N(K_{0,n})(r) = \frac{s_n}{2r} \mathcal{D}(yK_{0,n}, r)$$

and from Kuran [5, Lemma 2] and Helms [4, p. 109; Example 2] that

$$n\mathcal{D}(yK_{0,n}, r) = \begin{cases} 2r^2 s_n^{-1} r^{-n}, & (t \leq r) \\ 2r^2 s_n^{-1} t^{-n}, & (r \leq t) \end{cases},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} K_{0,n}(P, Q) \cos \theta_1 d\sigma_\Theta &= \begin{cases} n^{-1} r^{1-n}, & (t \leq r) \\ n^{-1} r t^{-n}, & (r \leq t) \end{cases} \leq n^{-1} r^{1-n} \\ & \quad (\Theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_{n-1})). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.15) \quad I_{2,1}(r) &\leq n^{-1}r^{1-n} \int_0^{2r} t^{n-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt \\
 &= n^{-1}r^{1-n} \int_0^1 t^{n-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt \\
 &\quad + n^{-1}r^{1-n} \int_1^{2r} t^{n-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt \\
 &\leq C_4 n^{-1}r^{1-n} + n^{-1}r^{1-n} \int_1^{2r} t^{-\ell-1} (2r)^{n+\ell-1} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt \\
 &= C_4 n^{-1}r^{1-n} + n^{-1}2^{n+\ell-1}r^\ell \psi(r),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_4 = \int_0^1 t^{n-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt$$

and

$$\psi(r) = \int_1^{2r} t^{-\ell-1} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt.$$

Then

$$(3.16) \quad I_{2,2}(r) = C_3(2n)^{-1} s_n r^\ell \psi(r).$$

Thus if we can show

$$(3.17) \quad \psi(r) = o(r) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty),$$

then we have

$$I_{2,1}(r) = o(r^{\ell+1}) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

from (3.15),

$$I_{2,2}(r) = o(r^{\ell+1}) \quad (r \rightarrow \infty)$$

from (3.16) and hence from (3.14) we can find a number r_1 such that

$$(3.18) \quad I_2(r) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} r^{\ell+1}$$

for any $r \geq r_1$.

To see (3.17), we note that $\psi(r)$ is increasing,

$$\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{\psi'(r)}{r} dr = 2 \int_2^{+\infty} t^{-\ell-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt \leq 2C_5$$

and

$$\frac{\psi(r)}{r} \leq 2 \int_1^{2r} t^{-\ell-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt < 2C_5,$$

where

$$C_5 = \int_1^\infty t^{-\ell-2} \left(\int_{\partial\mathbb{S}_+^{n-1}} |f(t, \xi)| d\sigma_\xi \right) dt.$$

From these we see

$$\int_1^{+\infty} r^{-2}\psi(r) dr < +\infty$$

by the integration by parts. Since

$$\frac{\psi(r)}{r} = \psi(r) \int_r^{+\infty} x^{-2} dx \leq \int_r^{+\infty} x^{-2}\psi(x) dx,$$

this gives (3.17).

If we put $r_2 = \max(r_0, r_1)$, then we finally have from (3.11), (3.13) and (3.18)

$$r^{-\ell-1}N(|H_{\ell,n}(f)|)(r) < \varepsilon$$

for any $r, r \geq r_2$, which gives (2.14). □

Proof of Theorem 2. Consider the function $h - H_{\ell,n}(f)$. Then it follows from Theorem 1 that this is harmonic in \mathbb{T}_n and vanishes continuously on $\partial\mathbb{T}_n$. Since

$$(3.19) \quad 0 \leq \{h - H_{\ell,n}(f)\}^+(P) \leq h^+(P) + \{H_{\ell,n}(f)\}^-(P)$$

for any $P \in \mathbb{T}_n$ and

$$\mu_\ell(\{H_{\ell,n}(f)\}^-) = 0$$

from (2.4) of Theorem 1, (2.8) gives that

$$\mu_\ell(\{h - H_{\ell,n}(f)\}^+) = 0.$$

From Remark 3 and Theorem C we see that

$$h(P) - H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) = y\Pi(h)(P)$$

for every $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, where $\Pi(h)$ is a polynomial in \mathbb{R}^n of degree at most $\ell - 1$ and even with respect to the variable y , which gives the conclusion of Theorem 2. □

Proof of Corollary 2. The first part follows from Theorem 1. Since $f \in F_{\ell,n}$, Theorem 2 gives

$$h(P) = H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) + y\Pi(h)(P)$$

for every $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, where $\Pi(h)(P)$ is a polynomial of $P \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with degree at most $\ell - 1$ and even with respect to the variable y . Remark 1 also gives

$$H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) = H_{\ell-1,n}(f)(P) + yL(f)(P)$$

for every $P = (X, y) \in \mathbb{T}_n$, where $L(f)(P)$ is a polynomial of $P \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with degree at most $\ell - 1$ and even with respect to the variable y . From these, we evidently obtain (2.11). □

Proof of Theorem 3. Put

$$\overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(h^+)(r)}{\log r} = \alpha.$$

It immediately follows that $\mu_{[\alpha]+1}(h^+) = 0$. Take an integer ℓ^* satisfying $\ell^* \geq \max(\ell, [\alpha] + 1)$. Since $f \in F_{\ell^*,n}$ and $\mu_{\ell^*}(h^+) = 0$, Theorem 2 gives that

$$(3.20) \quad h(P) = H_{\ell^*,n}(f)(P) + y\Pi(h)(P),$$

where $\Pi(h)(P)$ is a polynomial of P and even with respect to y . If $\ell = \ell^*$, then (3.20) gives the conclusion. Suppose that $\ell^* > \ell$. From Remark 1 we also see

$$(3.21) \quad H_{\ell^*,n}(f)(P) = H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) + yL(f)(P),$$

where $L(f)(P)$ is a polynomial of P and even with respect to y . From (3.20) and (3.21) we have

$$h(P) = H_{\ell,n}(f)(P) + y\Lambda(h)(P), \quad \Lambda(h)(P) = \Pi(h)(P) + L(h)(P),$$

which is also the conclusion of Theorem 3. □

References

- [1] D.H. Armitage, *Representations of harmonic functions in half-spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), **38** (1979), 53-71.
- [2] M. Brelot, *Éléments de la théorie classique du potentiel*, Centre de Documentation Universitaire, 1959.

- [3] S.J. Gardiner, *The Dirichlet and Neumann problems for harmonic functions in half-spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), **24** (1981), 502-512.
- [4] L.L. Helms, *Introduction to Potential Theory*, Wiley-Interscience, 1969.
- [5] Ü. Kuran, *Study of superharmonic functions in $R^n \times (0, +\infty)$ by a passage to R^{n+3}* , Proc. London Math. Soc., **20** (1970), 276-302.
- [6] D. Siegel, *The Dirichlet problem in a half-space and a new Phragmén-Lindelöf principle*, Maximum Principles and Eigenvalue Problems in Partial Differential Equations, e.d. P.W. Schaefer, Pitman, 1988.
- [7] E.M. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [8] H. Yoshida, *Harmonic majorization of a subharmonic function on cone or on a cylinder*, Pacific J. of Math., **148** (1991), 369-395.

Received August 10, 1993 and revised February 3, 1994.

CHIBA UNIVERSITY
CHIBA-CITY 260
JAPAN

Rosa M. Miró-Roig , Singular moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on \mathbf{P}^3	477
Hitoshi Moriyoshi and Toshikazu Natsume , The Godbillon-Vey Cyclic Cocycle and Longitudinal Dirac Operators	483
J.C. Naranjo , The positive dimensional fibres of the Prym map	223
Artur Nicolau and Arne Stray , Nevanlinna's coefficients and Douglas algebras	541
K.K. Park , Entropy of a skew product with a Z^2 -action	227
María Cristina Pereyra , Sobolev spaces on Lipschitz curves	553
T. Sano , Commuting co-commuting squares and finite dimensional Kac algebras	243
H.B. Thompson , Second order ordinary differential equations with fully nonlinear two point boundary conditions	255
H.B. Thompson , Second order ordinary differential equations with fully nonlinear two point boundary conditions II	279
F. Xu , The flat part of non-flat orbifolds	299
Hidenobu Yoshida , A type of uniqueness for the Dirichlet problem on a half-space with continuous data	591

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 172 No. 2 February 1996

On the failure cycles for the quadratic normality of a projective variety	307
EDOARDO BALLICO	
On the minimal free resolution of general embeddings of curves	315
EDOARDO BALLICO	
On normality of the closure of a generic torus orbit in G/P	321
ROMUALD DABROWSKI	
Paragroupe d'Adrian Ocneanu et algèbre de Kac	331
MARIE-CLAUDE DAVID	
Irreducibility and dimension theorems for families of height 3 Gorenstein algebras	365
SUSAN J. DIESEL	
On the cohomology of the Lie algebra L_2	399
ALICE FIALOWSKI	
Generic differentiability of convex functions on the dual of a Banach space	413
JOHN R. GILES, P. S. KENDEROV, WARREN BRIAN MOORS and S. D. SCIFFER	
Moon hypersurfaces and some related existence results of capillary hypersurfaces without gravity and of rotational symmetry	433
FEI-TSEN LIANG	
Stable relations. II. Corona semiprojectivity and dimension-drop C^* -algebras	461
TERRY ATHERTON LORING	
Singular moduli spaces of stable vector bundles on \mathbf{P}^3	477
ROSA M. MIRÓ-ROIG	
The Godbillon-Vey cyclic cocycle and longitudinal Dirac operators	483
HITOSHI MORIYOSHI and TOSHIKAZU NATSUME	
Nevanlinna's coefficients and Douglas algebras	541
ARTUR NICOLAU and ARNE STRAY	
Sobolev spaces on Lipschitz curves	553
MARÍA CRISTINA PEREYRA	
A type of uniqueness for the Dirichlet problem on a half-space with continuous data	591
HIDENOBU YOSHIDA	



0030-8730(1996)172:2;1-E