

## ESSENTIAL NORMS OF COMPOSITION OPERATORS AND ALEKSANDROV MEASURES

JOSEPH A. CIMA AND ALEC L. MATHESON

The essential norm of a composition operator on  $H^2$  is calculated in terms of the Aleksandrov measures of the inducing holomorphic map. The argument provides a purely function-theoretic proof of the equivalence of Sarason's compactness condition for composition operators on  $L^1$  and Shapiro's compactness condition for composition operators on Hardy spaces. An application is given relating the essential norm to angular derivatives.

### §1.

If  $\phi$  is a holomorphic map of the unit disk  $\mathbb{D}$  into itself, it is a consequence of Littlewood's subordination principle [5] that composition with  $\phi$  induces a bounded operator  $C_\phi$  on each Hardy space  $H^p$ . A recurring theme in the study of composition operators has been the search for function theoretic conditions on  $\phi$  which guarantee the compactness of  $C_\phi$  on  $H^p$ . It was shown by Shapiro and Taylor [11] that if  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^p$  for some  $0 < p < \infty$ , then  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^p$  for all  $0 < p < \infty$ , and so it is enough to study compactness on  $H^2$ . In this context Shapiro [9] gave an expression for the essential norm of  $C_\phi$  on  $H^2$  in terms of the Nevanlinna counting function of  $\phi$ , thus providing a complete function theoretic characterization of compact composition operators on  $H^2$ .

In a different direction Sarason [7] showed how to define the composition operator  $C_\phi$  on the space  $M$  of complex Borel measures on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . Indeed, if  $u$  is the Poisson integral of a complex Borel measure, it is not difficult to see that  $u \circ \phi$  is also, and then that the action of  $C_\phi$  is bounded on  $M$ . He also showed that  $C_\phi$  acts boundedly on  $L^1$ , and that compactness on  $M$  is equivalent to compactness on  $L^1$ . In the process he gave a function theoretic condition on  $\phi$  equivalent to compactness on  $L^1$ . Since  $H^1 \subset L^1$ , it is evident from the above discussion that Sarason's condition implies Shapiro's. The reverse implication was established by Shapiro and Sundberg [10], and subsequently another more direct proof was found by Sundberg. However, Sarason [8] states that a direct function theoretic proof is still lacking. It is the main purpose of this note to provide such a proof.

Shapiro's expression for the essential norm of  $C_\phi$  on  $H^2$  is

$$\|C_\phi\|_e = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \sqrt{\frac{N_\phi(a)}{\log \frac{1}{|a|}}},$$

where  $N_\phi(z)$  is Nevanlinna's counting function for  $\phi$ , given by

$$N_\phi(z) = \sum_{\phi(\zeta)=z} \log \frac{1}{|\zeta|}.$$

In particular  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $H^2$  if and only if  $\limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \frac{N_\phi(a)}{\log \frac{1}{|a|}} = 0$ . In the course of proving this Shapiro established the inequality

$$\|C_\phi\|_e^2 \geq \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|C_\phi f_a\|_2^2 \geq \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \frac{N_\phi(a)}{\log \frac{1}{|a|}},$$

where  $f_a(z) = \frac{\sqrt{1-|a|^2}}{1-\bar{a}z}$  is the normalized kernel function for  $a \in \mathbb{D}$ . This, together with the rest of his proof, shows that

$$\|C_\phi\|_e = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|C_\phi f_a\|_2.$$

It is important to note that although Shapiro's proof of this equation is not purely function theoretic, his methods can be used to provide such a proof.

In the [next section](#) Sarason's condition will be derived from the alternate condition on the kernel functions. In the process a third expression for the essential norm of  $C_\phi$  will be derived in terms of the singular parts of the Aleksandrov measures of  $\phi$ . An application related to angular derivatives will be given in [Section 3](#).

## §2.

Sarason's compactness condition can be given in two equivalent formulations. In the first instance if  $f \in L^1$  has harmonic extension  $u$  to the unit disk, then  $C_\phi f$  is the boundary function of the harmonic function  $u \circ \phi$ . The Poisson formula gives

$$u(\phi(z)) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{|\zeta - \phi(z)|^2} f(\zeta) dm(\zeta), \quad z \in \mathbb{D},$$

where  $m$  denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit circle  $\mathbb{T}$ . Sarason proceeds by analyzing the kernel

$$\frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\zeta - \phi(\xi)|^2}, \quad \zeta, \xi \in \mathbb{T}.$$

He shows that  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $L^1$  if and only if

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\zeta - \phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi) = 1$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ , at least when  $\phi(0) = 0$ . The main ingredient in his proof is a theorem of Dunford and Pettis which asserts that a sequence of functions  $(f_n)$  in  $L^1$  converges in norm to  $f \in L^1$  if  $f_n \rightarrow f$  and  $\|f_n\|_1 \rightarrow \|f\|_1$ . It is not difficult to show that in general  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $L^1$  if and only if

$$(2.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\zeta - \phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi) = \Re \left( \frac{\zeta + \phi(0)}{\zeta - \phi(0)} \right)$$

for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ .

The other formulation, which is easily seen to be equivalent, results from consideration of measures studied by Aleksandrov [2]. For each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ , since  $\|\phi\|_\infty \leq 1$ ,  $u_\alpha(z) = \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + \phi(z)}{\alpha - \phi(z)} \right)$  is a positive harmonic function, and so, by Herglotz's theorem, is the Poisson integral of a positive measure  $\tau_\alpha$ . This measure has total variation  $\|\tau_\alpha\| = \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + \phi(0)}{\alpha - \phi(0)} \right) = u_\alpha(0)$  and Lebesgue decomposition  $d\tau_\alpha = h_\alpha dm + d\sigma_\alpha$ , where  $h_\alpha \in L^1$  and  $\sigma_\alpha \perp m$ . Since

$$h_\alpha(\xi) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} u_\alpha(r\xi) = \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - \phi(\xi)|^2},$$

for almost every  $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ , it follows from (2.2) that  $C_\phi$  is compact on  $L^1$  if and only if  $\sigma_\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ , or, what is the same thing, if and only if the Aleksandrov measures  $\tau_\alpha$  are all absolutely continuous. Sarason calls this the absolute continuity condition [8].

It follows from the Lebesgue decomposition of  $\tau_\alpha$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_\alpha\| &= \|\tau_\alpha\| - \int_{\mathbb{T}} h_\alpha(\xi) dm(\xi) \\ &= \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + \phi(0)}{\alpha - \phi(0)} \right) - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - \phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\phi f_{r\alpha}\|_2^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - r^2}{|\alpha - r\phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - r^2 |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - r\phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi) - r^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - r\phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi) \\ (2.3) \quad &= \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + r\phi(0)}{\alpha - r\phi(0)} \right) - r^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - r\phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, since  $|\phi(0)| < 1$ ,  $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + r\phi(0)}{\alpha - r\phi(0)} \right) = \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + \phi(0)}{\alpha - \phi(0)} \right)$  uniformly in  $\alpha$ . Now if  $0 < r < s \leq 1$  and  $|w| \leq 1$ , it is geometrically obvious that

$$\left| \frac{1}{s} - w \right| < \left| \frac{1}{r} - w \right|,$$

and so

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{r^2}{|1 - rw|^2} < \frac{s^2}{|1 - sw|^2}.$$

It follows that  $r^2 \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - r\phi(\xi)|^2}$  increases monotonically to  $\frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - \phi(\xi)|^2}$  for almost every  $\xi \in \mathbb{T}$ , and so

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} r^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - r\phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - \phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi).$$

Hence

$$(2.6) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \|C_\phi f_{r\alpha}\|_2^2 = \|\sigma_\alpha\|.$$

In particular

$$(2.7) \quad \|\sigma_\alpha\| \leq \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|C_\phi f_a\|_2^2 = \|C_\phi\|_e^2$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ , and so Shapiro's compactness condition implies Sarason's.

In order to prove the reverse inequality set

$$A = \limsup_{|a| \rightarrow 1} \|C_\phi f_a\|_2^2$$

and fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . For each  $r$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , let

$$E_r = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{T} \mid \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + \phi(0)}{\alpha - \phi(0)} \right) - r^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - r\phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi) \geq A - 2\epsilon \right\}.$$

By continuity each  $E_r$  is a closed set. Since  $r^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{1 - |\phi(\xi)|^2}{|\alpha - r\phi(\xi)|^2} dm(\xi)$  is an increasing function of  $r$  for each  $\alpha$ , it follows that  $E_r \supset E_s$  whenever  $r < s < 1$ . Choose  $r_0$  so that

$$\left| \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + \phi(0)}{\alpha - \phi(0)} \right) - \Re \left( \frac{\alpha + r\phi(0)}{\alpha - r\phi(0)} \right) \right| < \epsilon$$

for all  $\alpha$  if  $r_0 \leq r < 1$ . Now if  $r_0 \leq r < 1$ , there exists  $r_1$ ,  $r \leq r_1 < 1$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $\|C_\phi f_{r_1\alpha}\|_2^2 > A - \epsilon$ , and so  $\alpha \in E_{r_1} \subset E_r$ . In particular each  $E_r$  is nonempty. By compactness there exists  $\alpha_0 \in \bigcap_{0 < r < 1} E_r$ . Hence, passing to the limit,  $\|\sigma_{\alpha_0}\| \geq A - 2\epsilon$ . Combining this with (2.7) yields

$$(2.8) \quad \|C_\phi\|_e = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \sqrt{\|\sigma_\alpha\|}.$$

### §3.

Equation (2.8) leads quickly to a lower bound for  $\|C_\phi\|_e$  in terms of angular derivatives. The angular derivative  $\phi'(\zeta)$  for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  with  $|\phi(\zeta)| = 1$  is the limit  $\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{\phi(\zeta) - \phi(z)}{\zeta - z}$ , provided the limit exists nontangentially. Let  $S_\alpha = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{T} \mid \phi(\zeta) = \alpha\}$  for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ . The Julia-Carathéodory theorem asserts that for each  $\zeta \in S_\alpha$ ,  $\phi'(\zeta)$  exists or is infinite. In any case the proof of the Julia-Carathéodory theorem on p. 11 of [1] shows that  $\tau_\alpha(\{\zeta\}) = \frac{1}{|\phi'(\zeta)|}$  for each  $\zeta \in S_\alpha$  (with the usual convention that  $\frac{1}{|\phi'(\zeta)|} = 0$  if  $\phi'(\zeta) = \infty$ ). In particular the quantity  $\delta(\alpha) = \sum_{\zeta \in S_\alpha} \frac{1}{|\phi'(\zeta)|}$  is the variation of the purely atomic part of  $\tau_\alpha$  and hence is finite. Now (2.8) yields

$$(3.1) \quad \|C_\phi\|_e^2 \geq \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \delta(\alpha),$$

an estimate first obtained by Cowen [3, 4], who also provided the upper bound  $2 \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \delta(\alpha)$  if  $\phi'$  is continuous on  $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ . Actually, if  $\tau_\alpha$  has continuous singular part for no  $\alpha \in \mathbb{T}$ , then in fact

$$(3.2) \quad \|C_\phi\|_e^2 = \sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \delta(\alpha).$$

Since  $\sigma_\alpha$  is supported on  $\overline{S_\alpha}$ , this happens in particular whenever  $S_\alpha$  is a finite set for each  $\alpha$ . A theorem of Novinger and Oberlin [6] shows that this is the case if  $\phi$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition of order 1 (see also [13]). Hence in this case (3.2) holds, improving Cowen's upper bound. It should be remarked that Shapiro has used his calculation of  $\|C_\phi\|_e$  and the Julia-Carathéodory theorem to give a proof of the result of Novinger and Oberlin.

Finally it would be of interest to see a direct proof of (2.7). Since it is relatively easy to prove that  $\|C_\phi\|_e^2 \geq \|\sigma_\alpha\|$  for each  $\alpha$ , this is a question of providing a proof of the inequality  $\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{T}} \|\sigma_\alpha\| \geq \|C_\phi\|_e$  which does not use Nevanlinna's counting function.

### References

- [1] L.V. Ahlfors, *Conformal invariants*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1973.
- [2] A.B. Aleksandrov, *Multiplicity of boundary values of inner functions*, Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR, Ser. Mat., **22** (1987), 490-503 (Russian).
- [3] Carl C. Cowen, *Composition operators on  $H^2$* , J. Operator Theory, **9** (1983), 77-106.
- [4] Carl C. Cowen and Ch. Pommerenke, *Inequalities for the angular derivative of an analytic function in the unit disc*, J. London Math. Soc., **26** (1978), 271-289.
- [5] J.E. Littlewood, *On inequalities in the theory of functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **23** (1925), 481-519.

- [6] W.P. Novinger and D.M. Oberlin, *Peak sets for Lipschitz functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **68** (1978), 37-43.
- [7] D. Sarason, *Composition operators as integral operators*, in "Analysis and Partial Differential Equations", Marcel Dekker, New York, 1990.
- [8] ———, *Sub-Hardy Hilbert spaces in the unit disk*, University of Arkansas lecture notes in the mathematical sciences, Vol. 10, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1994.
- [9] J.H. Shapiro, *The essential norm of a composition operator*, Annals of Math., **125** (1987), 375-404.
- [10] J.H. Shapiro and C. Sundberg, *Compact composition operators on  $L^1$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **108** (1990), 443-449.
- [11] J.H. Shapiro and P.D. Taylor, *Compact, nuclear, and Hilbert-Schmidt composition operators on  $H^2$* , Indiana Univ. Math. J., **125** (1973), 471-496.
- [12] Charles S. Stanton, *Counting functions and majorization for Jensen measures*, Pacific J. Math., **125** (1986), 459-468.
- [13] B.A. Taylor and D.L. Williams, *The peak sets of  $A^m$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **24** (1970), 604-606

Received September 6, 1995 and revised March 29, 1996. The second author was partially supported by National Science Foundation grant DMS-9500835.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA  
 CHAPEL HILL, NC  
*E-mail address:* cima@math.unc.edu

AND

LAMAR UNIVERSITY  
 BEAUMONT, TX 77710  
*E-mail address:* matheson@math.lamar.edu