

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**HARDY'S UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE  
ON SEMISIMPLE GROUPS**

M. COWLING, A. SITARAM, AND M. SUNDARI

Volume 192    No. 2

February 2000



## HARDY'S UNCERTAINTY PRINCIPLE ON SEMISIMPLE GROUPS

M. COWLING, A. SITARAM, AND M. SUNDARI

A theorem of Hardy states that, if  $f$  is a function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $|f(x)| \leq C e^{-\alpha|x|^2}$  for all  $x$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq C e^{-\beta|\xi|^2}$  for all  $\xi$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , and  $\alpha\beta > 1/4$ , then  $f = 0$ . Sitaram and Sundari generalised this theorem to semisimple groups with one conjugacy class of Cartan subgroups and to the  $K$ -invariant case for general semisimple groups. We extend the theorem to all semisimple groups.

### 1. Introduction.

The Uncertainty Principle states, roughly speaking, that a nonzero function  $f$  and its Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  cannot both be sharply localised. This fact may be manifested in different ways. The version of this phenomenon described in the abstract is due to Hardy [3]; we call it Hardy's Uncertainty Principle. Considerable attention has been devoted recently to discovering new forms of and new contexts for the Uncertainty Principle (see [2] for a recent comprehensive survey). In particular, Sitaram and Sundari [4] generalised Hardy's Uncertainty Principle to connected semisimple Lie groups with one conjugacy class of Cartan subgroups and to the  $K$ -invariant case for general connected semisimple Lie groups. We extend the theorem of Sitaram and Sundari [4], and establish a form of Hardy's Uncertainty Principle for all connected semisimple Lie groups with finite centre.

### 2. The theorem.

Let  $G$  be a connected real semisimple Lie group with finite centre. Let  $KAN$  be an Iwasawa decomposition of  $G$ , and let  $MAN$  be the associated minimal parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . The Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $A$  are denoted by  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}$ . The Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces an inner product on  $\mathfrak{a}$  and hence on the dual  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ ; in both cases the corresponding norms are denoted by  $|\cdot|$ . Haar measures on  $K$  and  $G$  are fixed; that on  $K$  is normalised so that the total mass of  $K$  is 1. Integrals over  $G$  and  $K$  are relative to these Haar measures.

Any irreducible unitary representation  $\mu$  of  $M$  may be realised as the left-translation representation on a finite-dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{H}_\mu$  of  $C(M)$ , the space of continuous complex-valued functions on  $M$ . For such a  $\mu$ , and  $\lambda$  in

the complexification  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ , we define the space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}^0$  to be the subspace of  $C(G)$  of all functions  $\xi$  with the properties that

$$\xi(gan) = \xi(g) \exp((i\lambda - \rho) \log a) \quad \forall g \in G \quad \forall a \in A \quad \forall n \in N$$

and

$$m \mapsto \xi(gm) \in \mathcal{H}_\mu \quad \forall g \in G.$$

Note that such functions are determined by their restrictions to  $K$ , i.e., effectively we are dealing with a subspace of  $C(K)$ . The representation  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}^0$  of  $G$  is the left-translation representation of  $G$  on this space. We define the inner product  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$  of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}^0$  to be

$$\int_K \xi(k) \bar{\eta}(k) dk;$$

$\| \cdot \|$  denotes the associated norm.

Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}$  the completion of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}^0$  with this norm, and by  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}$  the extension of  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}^0$  to  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}$ . The space  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}$  may be identified with a subspace of  $L^2(K)$ , and  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}^0$  with the space of continuous functions in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}$ .

For  $\mu$  in  $\widehat{M}$  and  $\lambda$  in  $\mathfrak{a}^*$ , the representation  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}$  is unitary. This representation lifts to a representation of  $L^1(G)$  by integration, as follows. First, for  $f$  in  $L^1(G)$  and  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}$ , the integral

$$\int_G f(g) \langle \pi_{\mu,\lambda}(g)\xi, \eta \rangle dg$$

converges, to  $B_f(\xi, \eta)$  say. Next,  $B_f$  is a sesquilinear form on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}$ . Thus there exists a unique bounded operator, denoted  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f)$ , such that

$$\langle \pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f)\xi, \eta \rangle = \int_G f(g) \langle \pi_{\mu,\lambda}(g)\xi, \eta \rangle dg \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}.$$

We denote by  $\| \cdot \|$  the operator norm of such operators, relative to the given norm on  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}$ . If  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \mathfrak{a}^*$ , then the matrix coefficients  $g \mapsto \langle \pi_{\mu,\lambda}(g)\xi, \eta \rangle$  need not be bounded, and for general  $f$  in  $L^1(G)$  it may not be possible to define  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f)$ . However, for  $f$  which decays sufficiently rapidly at infinity in  $G$ , in particular for  $f$  in the theorem below,  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f)$  may still be defined by the procedure above.

**Theorem.** *Suppose that  $C, \alpha, C_\mu, \beta_\mu$  are positive constants and  $\alpha\beta_\mu > 1/4$  for all  $\mu$  in  $\widehat{M}$ , and that  $f$  is a measurable function on  $G$  such that*

$$|f(kak')| \leq C \exp(-\alpha |\log a|^2) \quad \forall k, k' \in K \quad \forall a \in A$$

and

$$\| \pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f) \| \leq C_\mu \exp(-\beta_\mu |\lambda|^2) \quad \forall \mu \in \widehat{M} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

Then  $f = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be irreducible representations of  $K$ , with characters  $\chi_\sigma$  and  $\chi_\tau$ . Define  $f_{\sigma,\tau}$  by the formula

$$f_{\sigma,\tau}(g) = \dim \sigma \dim \tau \int_K \int_K \bar{\chi}_\sigma(k) \bar{\chi}_\tau(k') f(kgk') dk dk'.$$

By a straightforward estimate,

$$|f_{\sigma,\tau}(kak')| \leq C (\dim \sigma \dim \tau)^2 \exp(-\alpha |\log a|^2) \quad \forall k, k' \in K \quad \forall a \in A.$$

Further,  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f_{\sigma,\tau})$  is the composition  $P_\sigma \pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f) P_\tau$ , where  $P_\sigma$  and  $P_\tau$  are the projections of  $L^2(K)$  onto the  $\sigma$ -isotypic and  $\tau$ -isotypic subspaces, so that

$$\|\pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f_{\sigma,\tau})\| \leq C_\mu \exp(-\beta_\mu |\lambda|^2) \quad \forall \mu \in \widehat{M} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

Now the arguments of Section 3 of [4] show that, if  $\alpha_\mu$  is chosen such that  $0 < \alpha_\mu < \alpha$  and  $\alpha_\mu \beta_\mu > 1/4$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f_{\sigma,\tau})\| &\leq C_{\sigma,\tau,\mu} \int_G \Phi_{i \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}(x) |f(x)| dx \\ &\leq C'_{\sigma,\tau,\mu} \exp\left(\frac{|\lambda|^2}{4\alpha_\mu}\right) \quad \forall \mu \in \widehat{M} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi_{i \operatorname{Re}(\lambda)}$  denotes the usual elementary spherical function, and hence that

$$\pi_{\mu,\lambda}(f_{\sigma,\tau}) = 0 \quad \forall \mu \in \widehat{M} \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*.$$

By Harish-Chandra's subquotient theorem (see G. Warner [5, p. 452]), if  $\pi$  is any irreducible unitary representation of  $G$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$ , then there exist  $\mu$  in  $\widehat{M}$  and  $\lambda$  in  $\mathfrak{a}^*_\mathbb{C}$  and closed subspaces  $S_0$  and  $S_1$  of  $\mathcal{H}_{\mu,\lambda}$  such that  $\pi$  is Naïmark equivalent to the quotient representation  $\dot{\pi}_{\mu,\lambda}$  of  $\pi_{\mu,\lambda}$  on  $S_1/S_0$ . This means that there is an intertwining operator  $A_\pi$  with dense domain and range between  $(\pi, \mathcal{H}_\pi)$  and  $(\dot{\pi}_{\mu,\lambda}, S_1/S_0)$ . Consequently  $\pi(f_{\sigma,\tau}) = 0$ , first on the domain of  $A_\pi$  by the intertwining property, and then on all  $\mathcal{H}_\pi$  by continuity. In summary,

$$\langle \pi(f_{\sigma,\tau})\xi, \eta \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi,$$

and therefore, summing over  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , we see that

$$\langle \pi(f)\xi, \eta \rangle = 0 \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}_\pi.$$

It follows that  $\pi(f) = 0$  for all  $\pi$  in  $\widehat{G}$ , the unitary dual of  $G$ , whence  $f = 0$  by the Plancherel theorem. □

The argument of this paper may also be applied in other contexts. For instance, we may show the following: if  $f$  is a measurable function on  $G$ , rapidly decreasing in the sense that for any  $B$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  there exists  $A$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$|f(kak')| \leq A \exp(-\alpha B |\log a|) \quad \forall k, k' \in K \quad \forall a \in A,$$

and if on each principal series induced from the minimal parabolic subgroup, the group-theoretic Fourier transform vanishes on a set of positive Plancherel measure, then  $f$  is zero. This is a qualitative uncertainty principle related to [1].

### References

- [1] M. Cowling, J.F. Price and A. Sitaram, *A qualitative uncertainty principle for semisimple Lie groups*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A, **45** (1988), 127-132.
- [2] G.B. Folland and A. Sitaram, *The uncertainty principle: a mathematical survey*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl., **3** (1997), 207-238.
- [3] G.H. Hardy, *A theorem concerning Fourier transforms*, J. London Math. Soc., **8** (1933), 227-231.
- [4] A. Sitaram and M. Sundari, *An analogue of Hardy's theorem for very rapidly decreasing functions on semi-simple Lie groups*, Pacific J. Math., **177** (1997), 187-200.
- [5] G. Warner, *Harmonic Analysis on Semi-Simple Lie Groups I*, Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaft, Bd 188, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972.

Received June 26, 1998. The first and third authors were supported by the Australian Research Council.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES  
 SYDNEY NSW 2052  
 AUSTRALIA  
*E-mail address:* m.cowling@unsw.edu.au

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE  
 BANGALORE - 560 059  
 INDIA  
*E-mail address:* sitaram@isibang.ernet.in

UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES  
 SYDNEY NSW 2052  
 AUSTRALIA  
 P.O. Box No. 5978  
 JEDDAH 21432  
 KINGDOM OF SAUDI ARABIA  
*E-mail address:* madhava@memrbksa.com