

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

GINZBURG–LANDAU VORTICES AND MANDELSTAM  
DIAGRAMS

JIE QING

Volume 194 No. 1

May 2000



## GINZBURG–LANDAU VORTICES AND MANDELSTAM DIAGRAMS

JIE QING

Some years ago, in D’Hoker and Phong (1989) studied the functional determinants of Laplacian on Mandelstam diagrams. They considered some renormalizations of the functional determinants of Laplacian on Mandelstam diagrams and explored their applications in String Theory. Recently, on quite a different subject, in Qing (1997) studied the renormalized energy for Ginzburg-Landau vortices on closed surfaces. In this paper we shall demonstrate how those two different renormalized functionals are related to each other.

### 1. Introduction.

Ginzburg and Landau in [GL] introduced the following variational problem in the study of super-conductivity

$$E_\epsilon[u] = \int \left\{ |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2}(1 - |u|^2)^2 \right\} dx$$

where  $u$  is a complex-valued function called a condensate wave function. In the seminal work [BBH] Bethuel, Brezis and Helein studied the asymptotic behavior of minimizers of  $E_\epsilon$  and proved that the limit configuration of vortices of minimizers of  $E_\epsilon$  minimizes the renormalized energy function, which was derived through renormalization of  $E_\epsilon$ . After their works, many mathematicians have been attracted to the study of Ginzburg-Landau problems. In [BBH] it assumes that the domain is bounded and star-shaped. Later Struwe in [Str1], [Str2] extended to any smooth bounded domain. Soon after, first in Bethuel and Riviere [BR] studied the problem with magnetic fields on a bounded domain in  $R^2$ . Then in Qing [Q] studied the problem on a closed surface. From geometric point of view it is simply the variational problem of Yang-Mills-Higgs functional with  $U(1)$  gauge group. The functional is defined for a unitary connection  $A$  on a hermitian line bundle  $L$  over a closed surface  $M$  with metric  $g$  and a smooth section  $s$  of  $L$  as

$$(1.1) \quad E_\epsilon[A, s] = \int_M \left\{ |d_A s|^2 + \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2}(1 - |s|^2)^2 + |F_A|^2 \right\} dx,$$

where  $F_A$  is the curvature 2-form of  $A$  and  $d_A s$  is the covariant derivative of  $s$ . It was proved that:

**Theorem A** (Qing). *For any sequence of minimizers  $(A_{\epsilon_k}, s_{\epsilon_k})$  for  $E_{\epsilon_k}$  as  $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ , there exists a subsequence  $(A_{\epsilon_j}, s_{\epsilon_j})$  whose “vortices” (not precisely defined)*

$$p_i^{\epsilon_j} \rightarrow p_i^* \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, d$$

and  $\{p_i^*\}_{i=1}^d$  minimizes the renormalized energy

$$(1.2) \quad D[\{p_i\}] = 2\pi \sum_{i \neq k}^d G(p_k, p_i) + 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^d G(p_k, p_k) + 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^d R(p_k)$$

where  $G(\cdot, p)$  is the Green function with its pole at  $p$ ,  $G(p, p)$  is the regular part of Green function (please see [Q]) and  $R$  is the solution of

$$(1.3) \quad \Delta R + R = \sum_{k=1}^d G(\cdot, p_k) - \frac{2\pi d}{\text{vol}(M)} \text{ on } M.$$

An important and interesting question is how the renormalized energy depends on the Riemannian metrics  $g$ . It turned out that the renormalized energy  $D[\{p_i\}]$  can be better understood if we use Arakelov Green functions.

Mandelstam diagrams are surfaces whose curvature are all concentrated at isolated points. On regular compact surface Polyakov-Ray-Singer [Po], [RS], [OPS], [Ch] formula for log determinant of Laplacian is

$$\log \frac{\det \Delta_1}{\det \Delta_0} = -\frac{1}{12\pi} \int \{|\nabla u|^2 + 2K_0 u\} dv_0$$

where  $g_1 = e^{2u} g_0$  and  $K$  is the Gaussian curvature of  $g_0$ . In D’Hoker and Phong [DP] studied the log determinants of Laplacian on Mandelstam diagrams and adopted a renormalization to define an unambiguous notion of log determinant for Mandelstam diagrams. In particular, their renormalized log determinant still enjoys the additive law as expected.

In this note, we first review some facts about the Arakelov Green functions. Then we will carry out the computation of  $D[\{p_i\}]$  via the Arakelov Green functions and show the following main result:

**Theorem B.** *Suppose that  $(M, g)$  is a closed surface with genus larger than one. Let  $g_0$  be the metric of constant curvature and volume one, and  $g = e^{2\phi} g_0$ . And suppose that  $L$  is a Hermitian line bundle over  $M$  with degree  $d = -\chi(M)$ . Then*

$$D[\{p_k\}] = -12\pi \log \frac{\det \Delta_{g_M}}{\det \Delta_{g_0}} + 4\pi^2 \frac{\chi^2(M)}{\text{vol}(M)} + \frac{2\pi\chi(M)}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M H_{g_0} dv + \frac{2\pi\chi(M)}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M \phi dv + 2\pi \sum_k \phi(p_k) + 2\pi \sum_k \mu(p_k).$$

where  $g_M$  is the so-called Mandelstam metric whose curvature are all concentrated at  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d\}$ ,  $H_{g_0}$  solves (2.3) and  $\mu$  solves (3.3) in this note.

### 2. Arakelov Green Functions.

In this section we will introduce Mandelstam metrics, which are metrics whose curvature are all concentrated at isolated points, and Arakelov Green functions. We will also introduce the Liouville action which is the so-called log determinant of Laplacian on  $M$  due to Polyakov [Po], Ray and Singer [RS] (see also [OPS], [Ch]). It is interesting that the transform formula for Arakelov Green functions under conformal change of metrics naturally brings out the Liouville action.

Suppose  $M$  is a closed surface of genus larger than 1, and  $g_0$  is the constant curvature metric with volume 1 on  $M$ . And suppose  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l\}$  be  $l$  distinct points on  $M$ , where  $l = -\chi(M)$ . The Green function with respect to  $g_0$  is defined as

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta G(\cdot, p) = 2\pi\delta(\cdot, p) - 2\pi \\ \int_M G(x, p)dv_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then let

$$(2.2) \quad H_{g_0}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^l G(x, p_k).$$

Thus

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta H_{g_0}(x) = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^l \delta(\cdot, p_k) + 2\pi\chi(M) \\ \int_M H_{g_0}(x)dv_0 = 0. \end{cases}$$

A Mandelstam metric is

$$(2.4) \quad g_M = e^{-2H_{g_0}}g_0.$$

It is readily seen from (2.3) that the Mandelstam metric has its curvature all concentrated at  $\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l\}$ .

Next, we introduce Arakelov Green functions for any given metric  $g$ . First, we recall the definition of the usual Green function with respect to  $g$ . It is defined as

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g G(\cdot, p) = 2\pi\delta(\cdot, p) - \frac{2\pi}{\text{vol}(M, g)} \\ \int_M G(x, p)dv = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $dv$  is the volume element of  $g$ . The Arakelov Green function with respect to  $g$  is defined as

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g G^A(\cdot, p) = 2\pi\delta(\cdot, p) - \frac{K}{\chi(M)} \\ \int_M G^A(x, p)K(x)dv = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $K$  is the Gaussian curvature of the metric  $g$ . It is easily seen that the Arakelov Green function is the same as the usual Green function when the metric is of constant curvature. Solving for  $G^A(x, p)$  in terms of the usual Green function gives

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} G^A(s, t) = & G(s, t) - \frac{1}{2\pi\chi(M)} \int_M G(s, x)K(x)dv \\ & - \frac{1}{2\pi\chi(M)} \int_M G(x, t)K(x)dv \\ & + \frac{1}{4\pi^2\chi^2(M)} \int_M \int_M G(x, y)K(x)K(y)dv_x dv_y. \end{aligned}$$

Before we give the transform formula for the Arakelov Green functions under conformal change of metrics, let us introduce the Liouville action

$$(2.8) \quad S(g, u) = \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_M \{|\nabla u|^2 dv + 2K_0 u\} dv,$$

which is related to the functional determinant by Polyakov-Ray-Singer formula [Po], [RS], [OPS], [Ch]. Because Gaussian curvature transforms as

$$(2.9) \quad \Delta\phi + K = K_\phi e^{2\phi},$$

where  $K_\phi$  is the Gaussian curvature of the metric  $g = e^{2\phi}g_0$ , we have the additive rule for Liouville action

$$(2.10) \quad S(g, u) = S(g, u - \phi) + S(g_0, \phi).$$

Then from (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9), one obtains

$$(2.11) \quad G_\phi^A(x, p) = G^A(x, p) - \frac{1}{\chi(M)}(\phi(x) + \phi(p)) + \frac{6}{\chi^2(M)}S(g_0, \phi).$$

Thus, if we denote  $\sum_{k=1}^l G_\phi^A(x, p_k)$  by  $H_g(x)$  for the metric  $g$ ,

$$H_g(x) = H_{g_0}(x) + \phi(x) - \frac{1}{\chi(M)} \sum_{k=1}^l \phi(p_k) - \frac{6}{\chi(M)}S(g_0, \phi),$$

that is

$$(2.12) \quad H_{g_0}(x) + \phi(x) = H_g(x) + \frac{1}{\chi(M)} \sum_{k=1}^l \phi(p_k) + \frac{6}{\chi(M)}S(g_0, \phi).$$

Therefore, the Mandelstam metric can be rewritten in term of the metric  $g$  as follows:

$$(2.13) \quad g_M = e^{-2(H_g + \frac{1}{\chi(M)} \sum_{k=1}^l \phi(p_k) + \frac{6}{\chi(M)} S(g_0, \phi))} g.$$

### 3. Renormalizations.

Suppose that  $L$  is a Hermitian line bundle of degree  $l$  over the closed surface  $(M, g)$  still having  $g = e^{2\phi} g_0$ . To compute renormalized energy for the Ginzburg-Landau vortices is to compute the renormalized energy for canonical solutions of Yang-Mills with prescribed isolated singularity (please see [Q]). What one does is to renormalize the energy

$$(3.1) \quad Y(s, A) = Y(g, h) = \int_M |\nabla h|^2 dv + \int_M h^2 dv,$$

where  $g$  stands for the metric and  $h$  stands for the solution of

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g h + h = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^l \delta(\cdot, p_k) \\ \int_M h dv = -2\pi \chi(M). \end{cases}$$

In the following, we shall solve  $h$  in terms of Arakelov Green functions. First, let us denote the Gaussian curvature of  $g$  by  $K$ , and solve

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_g \mu + K = -h \\ \int_M \mu dv = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\omega = \phi + \mu$ . By (3.3) we know that the Gaussian curvature of the metric  $g_\omega = e^{2\omega} g_0$  is  $-he^{-2\mu}$ . Hence, its Arakelov Green function  $G_\omega^A(x, p)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{g_\omega} G_\omega^A(x, p) = 2\pi \delta(x, p) + \frac{he^{-2\mu}}{\chi(M)} \\ \int_M G_\omega^A(x, p) he^{-2\mu} dv_{g_\omega} = 0, \end{cases}$$

which implies

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_{g_\omega} H_{g_\omega} = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^l \delta(\cdot, p_k) - he^{-2\mu} \\ \int_M H_{g_\omega} h dv_g = 0. \end{cases}$$

Notice that  $\Delta_{g_\omega} = e^{-2\mu}\Delta_g$  and  $\delta_{g_\omega}(\cdot, p)e^{-2\mu} = \delta(\cdot, p)$ . Thus, we arrive at

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta_g H_{g_\omega} + h = 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^l \delta(\cdot, p_k)$$

from (3.4). Comparing this to (3.2), we conclude that

$$(3.6) \quad h = H_{g_\omega} + C$$

for some constant  $C$ . Multiplying  $h$  to (3.6) and integrating both sides over  $M$  gives

$$(3.7) \quad \int_M h^2 dv = -2\pi\chi(M)C.$$

On the other hand, simply integrating both sides of (3.6) gives

$$(3.8) \quad \text{vol}(M)C = -2\pi\chi(M) - \int_M H_{g_\omega} dv.$$

Now we are going to do the renormalization for both Yang-Mills action  $Y(g, h)$  and Liouville action  $S$ . One of the key points is that the following metric-dependent renormalization will produce an anomaly for both actions. In other words, the renormalizations performed on different metrics yield different normalized functionals. Therefore, one needs to keep track of the possible anomaly. Set  $g_M = e^{2\sigma}g_\omega$  for simplicity, i.e.,

$$(3.9) \quad \sigma = -H_{g_\omega} - \frac{1}{\chi(M)} \sum_{k=1}^l \omega(p_k) - \frac{6}{\chi(M)} S(g_0, \omega)$$

in the light of (2.12). By (3.6), one has

$$(3.10) \quad \sigma = -h + C - \frac{1}{\chi(M)} \sum_{k=1}^l \omega(p_k) - \frac{6}{\chi(M)} S(g_0, \omega).$$

Then, let  $M_\epsilon$  be the surface  $M$  with the disks  $\{d_{g_\omega}(x, p_k) < \epsilon\}$  removed, where  $d_{g_\omega}$  is the distance function of metric  $g_\omega$ . Therefore, the renormalized Liouville action is

$$(3.11) \quad S_r(g_\omega, \sigma) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{12\pi} \left\{ \int_{M_\epsilon} |\nabla\sigma|^2 dv_\omega - 2 \int_{M_\epsilon} h e^{-2\mu} \sigma dv_{g_\omega} \right\} + \frac{\chi(M)}{6} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.12) \quad & \int_M h e^{-2\mu} \sigma dv_{g_\omega} \\
 &= \int_M h \left( -h + C - \frac{1}{\chi(M)} \sum_{k=1}^l \omega(p_k) - \frac{6}{\chi(M)} S(g_0, \omega) \right) dv \\
 &= - \int_M h^2 dv - 2\pi \chi(M) \left( C - \frac{1}{\chi(M)} \sum_{k=1}^l \omega(p_k) - \frac{6}{\chi(M)} S(g_0, \omega) \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.13) \quad S_r(g_\omega, \sigma) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{M_\epsilon} \{ |\nabla h|^2 + h^2 \} dv + \frac{\chi(M)}{6} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{6} \chi(M) C - \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=1}^l \omega(p_k) - 2S(g_0, \omega).
 \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote this by

$$(3.14) \quad Y_r(g, h) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{1}{12\pi} \int_{M_\epsilon} \{ |\nabla h|^2 dv + h^2 \} + \frac{\chi(M)}{6} \log \frac{1}{\epsilon} \right\}.$$

Then, in the light of (3.1) and (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_r(g_\omega, \sigma) \\
 &= \frac{1}{12\pi} Y_r(g, h) + \frac{\chi(M)}{6} C - \frac{1}{3} \sum_k \omega(p_k) - 2S(g_0, \omega) \\
 &= \frac{1}{12\pi} Y_r(g, h) - \frac{\pi \chi^2(M)}{3 \operatorname{vol}(M)} - \frac{\chi(M)}{6 \operatorname{vol}(M)} \int_M H_{g_\omega} dv \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{3} \sum_k \omega(p_k) - 2S(g_0, \omega), \\
 &= \frac{1}{12\pi} Y_r(g, h) - \frac{\pi \chi^2(M)}{3 \operatorname{vol}(M)} - \frac{1}{3} \sum_k \omega(p_k) - 2S(g_0, \omega) \\
 &\quad - \frac{\chi(M)}{6 \operatorname{vol}(M)} \left( \int_M H_{g_0} dv + \int_M \phi dv - \frac{\operatorname{vol}(M)}{\chi(M)} \sum_k \omega(p_k) - \frac{6 \operatorname{vol}(M)}{\chi(M)} S(g_0, \omega) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{12\pi} Y_r(g, h) - \frac{\pi \chi^2(M)}{3 \operatorname{vol}(M)} - \frac{\chi(M)}{6 \operatorname{vol}(M)} \left( \int_M H_{g_0} dv + \int_M \phi dv \right) \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{6} \sum_k \omega(p_k) - S(g_0, \omega).
 \end{aligned}$$

To have an unambiguous notion of determinants for a Mandelstam diagram, one needs to take off the conformal anomaly. In D'Hoker and Phong **[DP]**

proved that

$$(3.15) \quad S(g_0, -H_{g_0}) = S(g_0, \omega) + S_r(g_\omega, \sigma) - \frac{1}{6} \sum_{k=1}^l \omega(p_k).$$

In the same spirit, one can carefully check the difference between  $Y_r(g, h)$  and the renormalized energy  $D[\{p_k\}]$  obtained in [Q], and conclude

$$(3.16) \quad D[\{p_k\}] = Y_r(g, h) - 2\pi \sum_{k=1}^l \mu(p_k).$$

Because the disks removed on  $M$  are measured in the metric  $g_\omega$  not  $g$  and the scale at any point  $p$  differs by the conformal factor  $e^{\mu(p)}$  for the two metrics. Thus

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} D[\{p_k\}] = & 12\pi S(g_0, -H_{g_0}) + 4\pi^2 \frac{\chi^2(M)}{\text{vol}(M)} + \frac{2\pi\chi(M)}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M H_{g_0} dv \\ & + \frac{2\pi\chi(M)}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M \phi dv + 2\pi \sum_k \phi(p_k) + 2\pi \sum_k \mu(p_k). \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgment.** The author would like to thanks Professor D.H. Phong for introducing the paper [DP] to him and for his interest in this work.

## References

- [BBH] F. Bethuel, H. Brezis and F. Helein, *Ginzburg-Landau Vortices*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1994.
- [BR] F. Bethuel and T. Riviere, *Vortices for a Variational Problems Related to Superconductivity*, preprint, 1994.
- [Ch] Alice Chang, *Moser-Trudinger inequality and applications to some problems in conformal geometry*, Lecture notes for the summer school of PDE at Toronto, 1995.
- [DP] E. D'Hoker and D.H. Phong, *Functional determinants on Mandelstam diagrams*, Comm. Math. Phys., **124** (1989), 629-645.
- [GL] V. Ginzburg and L. Landau, *On the theory of Superconductivity*, Zh. Eksper. Teoret. Fiz., **20** (1950), 1064-1082; (English translation in Men. of Physics: L. Landau, **I** (1965), 138-167).
- [OPS] B. Osgood, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, *Extremals of determinants of Laplacian*, J. Funct. Anal., **80** (1988), 148-211.
- [Po] A. Polyakov, *Quantum geometry of bosonic strings*, Physics Letters, **103B** (1981), 207-210.
- [Q] J. Qing, *Renormalized energy for Ginzburg-Landau vortices on closed surfaces*, Math. Z., **225** (1997), 1-34.
- [RS] D.B. Ray and I.M. Singer, *R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds*, Advances in Math., **7** (1971), 145-210.

- [Str1] M. Struwe, *On the asymptotic behavior of minimizers of Ginzburg-Landau model in 2-dimension*, J. Diff. Int. Equations, **7** (1994), 1613-1624.
- [Str2] ———, *Erratum*, J. Diff. Int. Equations, **8** (1995), 224.

Received May 4, 1998.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
SANTA CRUZ, CA 95064  
*E-mail address:* qing@count.ucsc.edu

