

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

SPECIAL VALUES OF KOECHER–MAASS SERIES OF  
SIEGEL CUSP FORMS

YOUNGJU CHOIE AND WINFRIED KOHNEN

Volume 198 No. 2

April 2001



**SPECIAL VALUES OF KOECHER–MAASS SERIES OF  
 SIEGEL CUSP FORMS**

YOUNGJU CHOIE AND WINFRIED KOHNEN

**A certain finiteness result for special values of character twists of Koecher-Maass series attached to Siegel cusp of genus  $g$  is proved.**

**1. Introduction.**

Let  $f$  be an elliptic cusp form of even integral weight  $k$  on  $\Gamma_1 := SL_2(\mathbf{Z})$ . Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo a positive integer  $N$  and denote by  $L(f, \chi, s)$  ( $s \in \mathbf{C}$ ) the Hecke  $L$ -function of  $f$  twisted with  $\chi$ , defined by analytic continuation of the series

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \chi(n) a(n) n^{-s} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0; a(n) = n\text{-th Fourier coefficient of } f).$$

Let  $g(\chi)$  be the Gauss sum attached to  $\chi$ . As is well-known, there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $M_f \subset \mathbf{C}$  (depending only on  $f$ ) of finite rank such that all the special values

$$i^{s+1} (2\pi)^{-s} g(\bar{\chi}) L(f, \chi, s)$$

$$(s \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \leq s \leq k - 1;$$

$\chi$  a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $N$ ,  $N \in \mathbf{N}$ )

lie in  $M_f \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[\bar{\chi}]$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}[\bar{\chi}]$  is the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module obtained from  $\mathbf{Z}$  by adjoining the values of  $\bar{\chi}$ . In fact, if  $f$  is a Hecke eigenform, one has  $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbf{Z}} M_f \leq 2$  [1, 7, 8, 10].

The purpose of this paper is to give a generalization of the above result to the case of a Siegel cusp form  $f$ , where now  $L(f, \chi, s)$  is replaced by an appropriate  $\chi$ -twist of the Koecher-Maass series attached to  $f$ .

More precisely, let  $f$  be a cusp form of even integral weight  $k \geq g + 1$  w.r.t. the Siegel modular group  $\Gamma_g := Sp_g(\mathbf{Z})$  of genus  $g$  and write  $a(T)$  ( $T$  a positive definite half-integral matrix of size  $g$ ) for its Fourier coefficients. For  $\chi$  as above we set

$$(1) \quad L(f, \chi, s) := \sum_{\{T > 0\} / GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{\chi(\operatorname{tr} T) a(T)}{\epsilon_N(T) (\det T)^s} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0),$$

where the summation extends over all positive definite half-integral  $(g, g)$ -matrices  $T$  modulo the action  $T \mapsto T[U] := U^t T U$  of the group  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}) := \{U \in GL_g(\mathbf{Z}) \mid U \equiv E_g \pmod{N}\}$  and  $\epsilon_N(T) := \#\{U \in GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid T[U] = T\}$  is the order of the corresponding unit group of  $T$  (note that  $\epsilon_N(T) = 1$  whenever  $N > 2$  by a classical result of Minkowski). Furthermore,  $\text{tr} T$  denotes the trace of  $T$ . Note that  $\chi(\text{tr} T)$  depends only on the  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ -class of  $T$ .

In §2 (Thm. 1) we shall prove that the series  $L(f, \chi, s)$  have holomorphic continuations to  $\mathbf{C}$  and satisfy functional equations under  $s \mapsto k - s$ . The proof is fairly standard and follows the same pattern as in [6] for the case  $N = 1$  (compare also [5]) and [9, §3.6] for  $g = 1$ .

The main result of the paper (Thm. 2) which will be proved in §3, states that all the special values

$$i^{gs + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \pi^{\frac{g(g-1)}{4} + [\frac{g}{2}]} (2\pi)^{-gs} g(\bar{\chi}) L(f, \chi, s)$$

$$\left( s \in \mathbf{N}, \frac{g+1}{2} \leq s \leq k - \frac{g+1}{2}; \right.$$

$$\left. \chi \text{ a primitive Dirichlet character modulo } N, N \in \mathbf{N} \right)$$

are contained in  $M_f \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[\bar{\chi}]$  where  $M_f \subset \mathbf{C}$  is a finite  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module depending only on  $f$ . Its rank is bounded by the rank of a certain singular relative homology group of a toroidal compactification of a quotient space of  $\mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_g$  is the Siegel upper half-space of genus  $g$  and  $w := k - (g + 1)$ . See §3 for details.

For the proof one represents the functions  $L(f, \chi, s)$  (similar as in the case  $g = 1$ ) as finite linear combinations of integrals of certain differential forms attached to  $f$  along certain  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2}$ -dimensional real subcycles of  $\Gamma_g \backslash \mathcal{H}_g$ . Our assertion then can be deduced if we use results of Hatada given in [2, 3]. More precisely, in [2] it is shown that the space of cusp forms of weight  $k \geq g + 1$  w.r.t. a torsion-free congruence subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_g$  is canonically isomorphic to the space of holomorphic differential forms of highest degree on a compactification of  $\Gamma \backslash \mathbf{Z}^{2gw} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$ , and in [3] using [2] a certain finiteness statement for a certain family of integrals of Siegel cusp forms is derived. (Actually, as we think, some of the assertions of [3] have to be slightly modified, for complete correctness' purposes; cf. §3.)

Inspecting the proof of Thm. 2, it is quite suggestive or even more or less clear that a similar finiteness statement as given there can be proved for special values of Dirichlet series of a much more general type. In fact, such a result essentially seems to be true for finite linear combinations of all the

partial series

$$\sum_{\{T>0\}/GL_g^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z})} \frac{e^{2\pi i \operatorname{tr}(TS)} a(T)}{\epsilon^{(S)}(T)(\det T)^s} \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0),$$

where  $S$  is any rational symmetric matrix of size  $g$ ,  $GL_g^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z})$  is the subgroup  $\{U \in GL_g(\mathbf{Z}) \mid S[U^t] \equiv S \pmod{\mathbf{Z}}\}$  and  $\epsilon^{(S)}(T) := \#\{U \in GL_g^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid T[U] = T\}$ . However, we do not want to pursue this point further.

We finally remark that in [4] the Koecher-Maass series of a Siegel-Eisenstein series of genus  $g$  is explicitly expressed in terms of “elementary” zeta functions. In particular, if  $g$  is odd it is shown to be a sum of products of Riemann zeta functions. It would be interesting to investigate if a similar statement as given in Thm. 2 would also hold in this case. In fact, it is suggestive that such an assertion can be derived directly from the explicit formulas given in [4].

One can also ask similar questions in the case of a Klingen-Siegel-Eisenstein series.

**Notations.** If  $A$  and  $B$  are complex matrices of appropriate sizes, we put  $A[B] := B^tAB$ . We simply write  $E = E_g$  resp.  $0 = 0_g$  for the unit resp. zero matrix of size  $g$  if there is no confusion.

We often write elements of the group  $GSp_g^+(\mathbf{R}) \subset GL_{2g}(\mathbf{R})$  consisting of real symplectic similitudes of size  $2g$  with positive scale in the form  $\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$ , understanding that  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  are real  $(g, g)$ -matrices.

If  $Y \in \mathbf{R}^{(g,g)}$ , we write  $Y > 0$  if  $Y$  is symmetric and positive definite. The group  $GL_g(\mathbf{R})$  operates on  $\mathcal{P}_g := \{Y \in \mathbf{R}^{(g,g)} \mid Y > 0\}$  in the usual way from the right by  $Y \mapsto Y[U]$ .

If  $f(Z)$  is a complex-valued function on  $\mathcal{H}_g$ ,  $k$  a positive integer and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in GSp_g^+(\mathbf{R})$ , we set

$$(f|_k\gamma)(Z) := \det(CZ + D)^{-k} f((AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}) \quad (Z \in \mathcal{H}_g).$$

We often write  $f|_k\gamma$  instead of  $f|_k\gamma$  if there is no misunderstanding.

If  $k$  is a positive integer,  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$  and  $\chi$  is a character of  $\Gamma$  of finite order, we denote by  $S_k(\Gamma, \chi)$  the space of Siegel cusp forms of weight  $k$  and character  $\chi$  w.r.t.  $\Gamma$ . If  $\chi = 1$  we simply write  $S_k(\Gamma)$ .

**2. Character twists of Koecher-Maass series.**

For  $N$  a natural number we define

$$\Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2) := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_g \mid C \equiv 0 \pmod{N^2}, \right. \\ \left. D \equiv \lambda E \pmod{N} \text{ for some } \lambda \in \mathbf{Z} \right\}$$

(note that  $\lambda$  must necessarily satisfy  $(\lambda, N) = 1$ ).

It is easy to see that  $\Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma_g$ . If  $\chi$  is a Dirichlet character modulo  $N$ , we extend  $\chi$  to a character of  $\Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$  by putting  $\chi(\gamma) := \chi(\lambda)$  if  $\gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & \lambda E \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_g)$  with Fourier coefficients  $a(T)$  ( $T > 0$  half-integral). Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $N$ . Then the function*

$$f_\chi(Z) := \sum_{T>0} \chi(\text{tr } T) a(T) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(TZ)} \quad (Z \in \mathcal{H}_g)$$

belongs to  $S_k(\Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2), \chi^2)$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$g(\bar{\chi}) := \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \bar{\chi}(\nu) e^{2\pi i \nu / N}$$

be the Gauss sum attached to  $\bar{\chi}$ . Since

$$\sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \bar{\chi}(\nu) e^{2\pi i \text{tr}(T) \frac{\nu}{N}} = \chi(\text{tr } T) g(\bar{\chi}),$$

we obtain

$$(2) \quad f_\chi = \frac{1}{g(\bar{\chi})} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \bar{\chi}(\nu) f|_{\alpha_\nu},$$

where

$$\alpha_\nu := \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{\nu}{N} E \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \quad (\nu \in \mathbf{Z}).$$

Let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$  and put

$$A' := A + \frac{\nu}{N} C, \\ B' := B + \frac{\nu}{N} (E - AD^t) D - \frac{\nu^2}{N^2} CD^t D, \\ D' := D - \frac{\nu}{N} CD^t D.$$

Then  $A', B'$  and  $D'$  are integral matrices, one has  $D' \equiv D \pmod{N}$  and

$$\alpha_\nu \gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{\nu}{N} D^t D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix};$$

in particular  $\begin{pmatrix} A' & B' \\ C' & D' \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$ , and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f_\chi | \gamma &= \frac{1}{g(\bar{\chi})} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \bar{\chi}(\nu) f | \begin{pmatrix} E & \frac{\nu}{N} D^t D \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \chi(\lambda^2) \cdot \frac{1}{g(\bar{\chi})} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \bar{\chi}(\nu) f | \alpha_\nu \quad (D \equiv \lambda E \pmod{N}) \\ &= \chi^2(\gamma) f. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

**Lemma 2.** *Let the notations be as in Lemma 1 and put*

$$W_{N^2} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ N^2 E & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$f_\chi | W_{N^2} = g(\chi)^2 N^{-gk-1} f_{\bar{\chi}}.$$

*Proof.* For  $(\nu, N) = 1$  determine  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{Z}$  with  $\lambda N - \mu \nu = 1$ . Then

$$\alpha_\nu W_{N^2} = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E \\ E & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} NE & -\mu E \\ -\nu E & \lambda E \end{pmatrix} \alpha_\mu.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{\chi}) \cdot f_\chi | W_{N^2} &= N^{-gk} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}, (\nu, N)=1} \bar{\chi}(\nu) f | \alpha_\mu \\ &= \chi(-1) N^{-gk} \sum_{\mu \pmod{N}, (\mu, N)=1} \chi(\mu) f | \alpha_\mu \\ &= \chi(-1) g(\chi) N^{-gk} f_{\bar{\chi}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $g(\chi)g(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)N$ , we obtain our claim.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k$  be even and let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_g)$ . Let  $\chi$  be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $N$  and define  $L(f, \chi, s)$  ( $\text{Re}(s) \gg 0$ ) by (1). Let*

$$\gamma_g(s) := (2\pi)^{-gs} \prod_{\nu=1}^g \pi^{(\nu-1)/2} \Gamma\left(s - \frac{\nu-1}{2}\right) \quad (s \in \mathbf{C})$$

and set

$$L^*(f, \chi, s) := N^{gs} \gamma_g(s) L(f, \chi, s) \quad (\text{Re}(s) \gg 0).$$

Then  $L^*(f, \chi, s)$  extends to a holomorphic function on  $\mathbf{C}$ , and the functional equation

$$L^*(f, \chi, k - s) = (-1)^{\frac{gk}{2}} g(\chi)^2 \frac{1}{N} L^*(f, \bar{\chi}, s)$$

holds, where  $g(\chi)$  is the Gauss sum attached to  $\chi$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & (U^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid U \in GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}) \right\} \subset \Gamma_{g,0}^*(N^2)$$

and  $k$  is even, the function  $f_\chi(iY)$  ( $Y > 0$ ) is invariant under  $Y \mapsto Y[U]$  ( $U \in GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ ). Hence it follows in the usual way that

$$(3) \quad L^*(f, \chi, s) = \frac{1}{2} N^{gs} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{g,N}} f_\chi(iY) (\det Y)^s dv \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) \gg 0),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N}$  is any fundamental domain for the action of  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  on  $\mathcal{P}_g$  and  $dv = (\det Y)^{-(g+1)/2} dY$  is the  $GL_g(\mathbf{R})$ -invariant volume element on  $\mathcal{P}_g$ .

We fix a set of representatives  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  for  $GL_g(\mathbf{Z})/GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  and now take

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{F}_{g,N} = \bigcup_{\nu=1}^r \mathcal{R}_g[U_\nu],$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_g$  is Minkowski's fundamental domain for the action of  $GL_g(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Since  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  is closed under transposition, also  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N}^{-1}$  is a fundamental domain for  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ .

We let

$$\mathcal{P}_{g,+} := \{Y \in \mathcal{P}_g \mid \det Y \geq N^{-g}\}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{g,-} := \{Y \in \mathcal{P}_g \mid \det Y \leq N^{-g}\},$$

write

$$\mathcal{F}_{g,N} = (\mathcal{F}_{g,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}) \cup (\mathcal{F}_{g,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,-})$$

and observe that  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,-}$  under the map  $Y \mapsto (N^2Y)^{-1}$  is transformed bijectively onto  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N}^{-1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}$ . We also observe that both  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{g,N}^{-1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}$  are fundamental domains for the induced action of  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  on  $\mathcal{P}_{g,+}$ , the integral in (3) is absolutely convergent and the integrand is invariant under  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ .

Therefore, since by Lemma 2

$$f_\chi(i(N^2Y)^{-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{gk}{2}} g(\chi)^2 N^{gk-1} (\det Y)^k f_{\bar{\chi}}(iY),$$

we conclude that

$$(5) \quad L^*(f, \chi, s) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathcal{F}_{g,N} \cap \mathcal{P}_{g,+}} \left( f_\chi(iY)(N^g \det Y)^s + (-1)^{\frac{gk}{2}} g(\chi)^2 N^{-1} f_{\bar{\chi}}(iY)(N^g \det Y)^{k-s} \right) dv.$$

Standard arguments and estimates taking into account (4) and properties of  $\mathcal{R}_g$  (compare e.g., [5, Chap. VI]) now show that the integral on the right of (5) is (absolutely) convergent for all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$  and represents a holomorphic function of  $s$ .

Since

$$g(\chi)g(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)N,$$

we also easily see the claimed functional equation. This concludes the proof of the Theorem.

### 3. Special values.

In this section we shall prove:

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $k$  be even,  $k \geq g+1$  and let  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_g)$ . If  $\chi$  is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo  $N$ , define  $L(f, \chi, s)$  ( $s \in \mathbf{C}$ ) by holomorphic continuation of the series (1) (Theorem 1). Let  $g(\bar{\chi})$  be the Gauss sum attached to  $\bar{\chi}$  and let  $\mathbf{Z}[\bar{\chi}]$  be the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module obtained from  $\mathbf{Z}$  by adjoining the values of  $\bar{\chi}$ .*

*Then there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module  $M_f \subset \mathbf{C}$  depending only on  $f$  of finite rank such that all the special values*

$$i^{gs + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \pi^{\frac{g(g-1)}{4} + [\frac{g}{2}]} (2\pi)^{-gs} g(\bar{\chi}) L(f, \chi, s)$$

*where  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\frac{g+1}{2} \leq s \leq k - \frac{g+1}{2}$  and  $\chi$  runs over all primitive Dirichlet characters modulo all positive integers  $N$ , are contained in  $M_f \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[\bar{\chi}]$ .*

*Proof.* From (2) and (3) and the proof of Theorem 1 we find that

$$(6) \quad g(\bar{\chi})\gamma_g(s)L(f, \chi, s) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\nu \pmod{N}} \bar{\chi}(\nu) \int_{\mathcal{F}_{g,N}} f\left(iY + \frac{\nu}{N}E\right) (\det Y)^{s - \frac{g+1}{2}} dY$$

for all  $s \in \mathbf{C}$ .

Note that the individual integrands on the right of (6) are  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$ -invariant since  $f(Z)$  is invariant under  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & (U^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid U \in GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z}) \right\}$  and under translations. Let  $w \in \mathbf{Z}$ ,  $w \geq 0$  and  $Sp_g(\mathbf{R}) \rtimes \mathbf{R}^{2gw}$  be the semi-direct product of  $Sp_g(\mathbf{R})$  and  $\mathbf{R}^{2gw} \cong (\mathbf{R}^{2g})^w$  with multiplication given by

$$(\gamma, \lambda)(\gamma', \lambda') = (\gamma\gamma', \lambda\gamma'^{\uparrow} + \lambda')$$

where by  $\gamma \mapsto \gamma^\uparrow$  we denote the diagonal embedding of  $Sp_g(\mathbf{R})$  into  $GL_{2gw}(\mathbf{R})$ .

The group  $Sp_g(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R}^{2gw}$  acts on  $\mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$  (with  $\mathbf{C}^{gw} \cong (\mathbf{C}^g)^w$ ) from the left by

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\gamma, \lambda) \circ (Z, (\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_w)) \\
 &= \left( (AZ + B)(CZ + D)^{-1}, \left( \zeta_1 + (\mu_1, \nu_1) \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ E_g \end{pmatrix} (CZ + D)^{-1}, \right. \right. \\
 &\qquad \left. \left. \dots, \zeta_w + (\mu_w, \nu_w) \begin{pmatrix} Z \\ E_g \end{pmatrix} (CZ + D)^{-1} \right) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\lambda = ((\mu_1, \nu_1), \dots, (\mu_w, \nu_w))$  with  $\mu_j, \nu_j \in \mathbf{R}^g$  for all  $j$ . The discrete subgroup  $\Gamma_g \times \mathbf{Z}^{2gw}$  acts properly discontinuously.

Let  $\Gamma \subset \Gamma_g$  be any congruence subgroup acting without fixed points on  $\mathcal{H}_g$  (e.g., the principal congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_g(\ell)$  with  $\ell \geq 3$ ) and view  $f$  as an element of  $S_k(\Gamma)$ .

Put  $w := k - (g + 1)$ . It was shown in [2] that the map

$$h(Z) \mapsto h(Z)dZd\zeta$$

gives an isomorphism between  $S_k(\Gamma)$  and the space of holomorphic differential forms of degree  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2} + gw$  of (any) non-singular compactification of the quotient space  $\Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^{2gw} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$ .

Using toroidal compactifications, in [3] from this a certain finiteness statement for certain cycle integrals attached to  $h$  was derived which we now want to describe in the special case we need.

Let  $S$  be a given rational symmetric matrix of size  $g$  and let  $n$  be an integer with  $0 \leq n \leq w$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_g(S; n) &:= \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{P}_g} \{S + iY\} \\
 &\quad \times \left( (\mathbf{R}^g)^{w-n} \times \{(\mu_1 iY, \dots, \mu_n iY) \mid \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{R}^g\} \right) \\
 &\subset \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $T_g(S; n)$  is a real submanifold of  $\mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$  of dimension  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2} + gw$ .

(In the notation of [3, §6] we have taken  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{w-n} \in \{g + 1, \dots, 2g\}$  and  $a_{w-n+1} = \dots = a_w \in \{1, \dots, g\}$ . Also note that in the definition of  $T_g(a_1, \dots, a_w; X)$  in [3, p. 401] we have replaced the “ $Z$ ” in  $W(a_1, \dots, a_w)[Z]$  by “ $iY$ ”. We think that this is the correct definition, since otherwise the corresponding integrals in [3, Lemma 6.2 and Thm. 5] in general would not be convergent.)

Put

$$\mathcal{U}_g := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & (U^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \mid U \in GL_g(\mathbf{R}) \right\} \subset Sp_g(\mathbf{R}),$$

$$V_{g,n} := \{(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{w-n}, (\mu_1, 0), \dots, (\mu_n, 0)) \mid \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{w-n} \in \mathbf{R}^{2g}, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{R}^g\}$$

and

$$H_{g,n} := \mathcal{U}_g \times V_{g,n} \subset Sp_g(\mathbf{R}) \times \mathbf{R}^{2gw}.$$

Let

$$\alpha^{(S)} := \begin{pmatrix} E & S \\ 0 & E \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then one easily checks that the conjugate subgroup

$$H_{g,n}^{(S)} := (\alpha^{(S)}, 0) \cdot H_{g,n} \cdot (\alpha^{(S)}, 0)^{-1}$$

leaves  $T_g(S; n)$  stable.

Note that  $H_{g,n}^{(S)}$  consists of all pairs

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} U & S(U^t)^{-1} - US \\ 0 & (U^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{w-n}, (\mu_1, -\mu_1 S), \dots, (\mu_n, -\mu_n S)) \right)$$

with  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{w-n} \in \mathbf{R}^{2g}$  and  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{R}^g$ .

Let

$$H_{g,n,\Gamma}^{(S)} := H_{g,n}^{(S)} \cap \Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^{2gw}.$$

Write  $M := \Gamma \times \mathbf{Z}^{2gw} \backslash \mathcal{H}_g \times \mathbf{C}^{gw}$  and denote by  $\overline{M}$  a fixed toroidal compactification of  $M$ . Let  $\partial M = \overline{M} \setminus M$ . Then according to [3, Lemma 6.1] the closure of the image of  $H_{g,n,\Gamma}^{(S)} \backslash T_g(S; n)$  in  $\overline{M}$  w.r.t. the usual complex topology is the support of a singular relative  $\frac{g(g+1)}{2} + gw$ -cycle with integral coefficients w.r.t.  $(\overline{M}, \partial M)$ .

Since  $H_{\frac{g(g+1)}{2} + gw}(\overline{M}, \partial M, \mathbf{Z})$  is of finite rank, one concludes that for any given  $h \in S_k(\Gamma)$  all the numbers

$$\int_{H_{g,n,\Gamma}^{(S)} \backslash T_g(S; n)} h(Z) dZ d\zeta \quad (S \in \mathbf{Q}^{(g,g)}, S = S^t)$$

are contained in a finite  $\mathbf{Z}$ -module (depending only on  $h$ ) whose rank is bounded by the rank of the above cohomology group ([3, Thm. 5], compare our above remark).

On the other hand (compare [3, Lemma 6.2]) one has the equality

$$(7) \quad \int_{H_{g,n,\Gamma}^{(S)} \backslash T_g(S;n)} h(Z) dZ d\zeta \\ = \int_{\alpha^{(S)} \cdot \mathcal{U}_g \cdot (\alpha^{(S)})^{-1} \cap \Gamma \backslash \{S+iY \mid Y \in \mathcal{P}_g\}} h(Z) \det(Z-S)^n dZ.$$

In particular, now take  $\Gamma = \Gamma_g(\ell)$  with some fixed  $\ell \geq 3$ . Then the integral on the right of (7) is equal to

$$i^{gn + \frac{g(g+1)}{2}} \int_{\mathcal{P}_g / GL_{g,\ell}^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z})} h(S+iY) (\det Y)^n dY,$$

where

$$GL_{g,\ell}^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z}) := \{U \in GL_{g,\ell}(\mathbf{Z}) \mid S[U^t] \equiv S \pmod{\ell\mathbf{Z}}\}.$$

Let  $S = \frac{\nu}{N}E$  with  $\nu \in \mathbf{Z}$  (so  $\alpha^{(S)} = \alpha_\nu$  in the notation of §2). Then we see that  $GL_{g,\ell N}(\mathbf{Z})$  is contained in  $GL_{g,\ell}^{(S)}(\mathbf{Z})$ . Since the index of  $GL_{g,\ell N}(\mathbf{Z})$  in  $GL_{g,N}(\mathbf{Z})$  is bounded by a number depending only on  $\ell$ , the assertion of Thm. 2 now follows taking into account (6) and the fact that  $\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \nu) \in \mathbf{Q}\sqrt{\pi}$  for  $\nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

**Acknowledgements.** The authors would like to thank E. Freitag, T. Oda, R. Scharlau and H. Yoshida for valuable conversations.

## References

- [1] M. Eichler, *Eine Verallgemeinerung der abelschen Integrale*, Math. Ann., **67** (1957), 267-298.
- [2] K. Hatada, *Siegel cusp forms as holomorphic differential forms on certain compact varieties*, Math. Ann., **262** (1983), 503-509.
- [3] ———, *Homology Groups, Differential Forms and Hecke Rings on Siegel Modular Varieties*, in 'Topics in Mathematical Analysis' (ed. Th.M. Rassias), World Scientific Publ. Co., (1989), 371-409.
- [4] T. Ibukiyama and H. Katsurada, *An explicit form of Koecher-Maass series of Siegel-Eisenstein series*, preprint 2000.
- [5] H. Klingen, *Introductory Lectures on Siegel Modular Forms*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [6] H. Maass, *Siegel's modular forms and Dirichlet series*, Lect. Not. in Mathematics, **216**, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [7] J. Manin, *Periods of parabolic forms and p-adic Hecke series*, Math. Sb., **21** (1973), 371-393.
- [8] G. Shimura, *Sur les intégrales attachées aux formes automorphes*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **11**(4) (1959), 291-311.

- [9] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions*, Iwanami Shoten Publ. and Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.
- [10] V.V. Šokurov, *Shimura integrals of cusp forms*, Math. USSR Izvestija, **16(3)** (1981), 603-646.

Received June 15, 1999. The first author was partially supported by KOSEF 98-0701-01-01-3 and POSTECH fund in the program year of 1999.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
POHANG INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY  
POHANG 790-784  
KOREA  
*E-mail address:* yjc@yjc.postech.ac.kr

UNIVERSITÄT HEIDELBERG  
MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT,  
INF 288, D-69120 HEIDELBERG  
GERMANY  
*E-mail address:* winfried@mathi.uni-heidelberg.de

