

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

COLORING MAPS OF PERIOD THREE

J.M. AARTS, R.J. FOKKINK, AND J. VERMEER

COLORING MAPS OF PERIOD THREE

J.M. AARTS, R.J. FOKKINK, AND J. VERMEER

We investigate the color number and genus for fixed-point free maps of order three. A result that has the flavor of the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theorem for involutions is established. The Y -sphere, the combinatorial boundary of the product of tripods, is studied in detail. Problems of coloring non-invariant subspaces are touched upon.

Introduction.

All spaces are assumed to be separable metric and all mappings are assumed to be continuous.

Definition 1. Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a map from X to itself. An open subset B of X is called a *color* of (X, f) if $f(B) \cap B = \emptyset$ or, equivalently, $f^{-1}(B) \cap B = \emptyset$. A *coloring* of (X, f) is a finite cover \mathcal{B} of X consisting of colors. The minimal cardinality of a coloring \mathcal{B} is called the *color number* $\text{col}(X, f)$ of (X, f) .

In the definition of color we could have used closed subsets as well. By shrinking an open coloring a closed coloring may be obtained and the colors of a closed coloring can be enlarged so as to obtain an open coloring. The situation is more delicate when considering non-invariant subspaces, as was shown in [8]. For a fixed-point free homeomorphism f of an n -dimensional space X we have $\text{col}(X, f) \leq n + 3$; if moreover the map f is an involution then $\text{col}(X, f) \leq n + 2$, [3].

In this paper we study fixed-point free maps $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ of order 3, i.e., $\sigma^3(x) = x$ for each $x \in X$. It is to be noted that for a color B of (X, σ) , when σ has period 3, the sets B , $\sigma(B)$ and $\sigma^2(B)$ are pairwise disjoint. One of the reasons for studying maps of period 3 is that they provide examples of pairs (X, σ) with $\dim(X) = n$ and $\text{col}(X, \sigma) = n + 3$. A second reason is that there is an intimate relation between the color number and the genus, which we now define.

Definition 2. Let X be a space and $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ a map of period 3 without fixed-points.

- (1) A subset B of X is called a *set of first type* if there exists a color C of (X, σ) such that $B = C \cup \sigma(C) \cup \sigma^2(C)$; we also say that B is *generated* by C .

(2) We say that the *genus* of the space X is at most k if X can be written as a union of k sets of first type. Notation: $\text{gen}(X, \sigma) \leq k$.

Recall that if $f: X \rightarrow X$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Y$ are mappings then a mapping $h: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *equivariant* if $h \circ f = g \circ h$. Note that for an equivariant $h: X \rightarrow Y$ and mappings f and g of order 3 we have $\text{col}(X, f) \leq \text{col}(Y, g)$ and $\text{gen}(X, f) \leq \text{gen}(Y, g)$.

We now formulate the main results, which are generalizations of the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theorem for involutions on S^n .

Theorem 1. *Let X be a space and $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ a map of period 3 without fixed-points. If $\text{gen}(X, \sigma) = n + 1$ and if A_1, \dots, A_{n+1} are colors of X with $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} [A_i \cup \sigma(A_i) \cup \sigma^2(A_i)]$ then*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} [A_i \cup \sigma(A_i)] \neq \emptyset.$$

Theorem 2. *Let X be a space and $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ a map of period 3 without fixed-points. If $\text{col}(X, \sigma) = n + 3$ and $\{A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}, A_{n+2}, A_{n+3}\}$ is a coloring of X then*

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} [A_i \cup \sigma(A_i)] \neq \emptyset.$$

We shall also obtain a bound on the color number of non-invariant subspaces, using the results of [3]. We shall use the results above to show that this bound is almost sharp. Theorem 1 can be extended to general periodic maps on paracompact spaces [1] by a different proof. The proof in the present paper concerns certain universal spaces, the Y -cube and the Y -sphere, which are interesting in their own right.

1. The Y -cube Y^n and the Y -sphere S_Y^n .

The interval $I = [-1, 1]$ with the antipodal-map is a standard space for the study of involutions and gives rise to the study of the n -cube I^n and the n -sphere S^n . We introduce a similar space for the study of maps of order 3. A natural candidate is the tripod, a Y -shaped space.

Consider in the complex plane the rotation γ of 120 degrees around 0 which is induced by the multiplication with $\zeta = \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{3})$. Let I denote the closed segment between 0 and 1. The subspace $Y = I \cup \zeta(I) \cup \zeta^2(I)$ is called the *closed Y -interval*. The points 1, ζ and ζ^2 are called the *end points* of Y and $Y^\circ = Y \setminus \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}$ is referred to as the *open Y -interval*. Both Y and Y° are invariant under γ . The space Y^n is called the *n -dimensional Y -cube*. The product map $\gamma^n: Y^n \rightarrow Y^n$ is a map of order 3 with a unique fixed point. The subspace S_Y^{n-1} of Y^n is defined by

$$S_Y^{n-1} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in Y^n : x_i \in \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\} \text{ for some } i\}.$$

The space S_Y^{n-1} is called the $(n - 1)$ -dimensional Y -sphere. The 1-dimensional Y -sphere is the familiar bipartite cubic graph on six nodes $K(3, 3)$, which is a standard example of a non-planar graph.

Note that S_Y^{n-1} is invariant under γ^n and that γ^n has no fixed points in S_Y^{n-1} . As $\dim(S_Y^n) = n$ we have

$$\text{col}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq n + 3.$$

We will show later on that $\text{col}(S_Y^n, \gamma) = n + 3$. So (S_Y^n, γ^{n+1}) is a pair of an n -dimensional space and a mapping for which the color number is maximal. The space S_Y^n can be obtained from S_Y^{n-1} as in the following way

$$S_Y^n = [S_Y^{n-1} \times Y] \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^2 [Y^n \times \{\zeta^i\}] \subset Y^{n+1}$$

and

$$S_Y^n = [S_Y^{n-1} \times Y^\circ] \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^2 [Y^n \times \{\zeta^i\}] \subset Y^{n+1}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let X be a space and $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ a map of order 3 without fixed-points. Suppose D is a closed subset such that $D \cap \sigma(D) = \emptyset$ and $E = D \cup \sigma(D) \cup \sigma^2(D)$, then there exist an equivariant map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ with $f^{-1}(1) = D$.*

In the lemma, one may think of D and E as a closed color and closed set of the first kind.

Proof. By enlarging the $\sigma^i(D)$ for $i = 0, 1, 2$ we obtain open colors U_i . For $i = 0, 1, 2$ define real-valued Urysohn functions f_i on X such that $0 \leq f_i(x) \leq 1$ for all x , $f_i^{-1}(1) = \sigma^i(D)$ and $f_i^{-1}(0) = X \setminus U_i$. Let $f = f_0 + \zeta f_1 + \zeta^2 f_2$. \square

Theorem 3. *Let X be a space and $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ a map of order 3 without fixed-points. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\text{col}(X, \sigma) \leq n + 3$,
- (2) $\text{gen}(X, \sigma) \leq n + 1$,
- (3) *there exist an equivariant map $f : (X, \sigma) \rightarrow (S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1})$,*
- (4) $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i$, *where B_i is a σ -invariant subspace with $\text{col}(B_i, \sigma) = 3$ for all i .*

Proof. Suppose (1) holds. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}, A_{n+2}, A_{n+3}\}$ be an open coloring of X . If $x \in A_{n+2} \cup A_{n+3}$ then at least one of the points $\sigma(x)$ and $\sigma^2(x)$ does not belong to $A_{n+2} \cup A_{n+3}$, as \mathcal{A} is a coloring of X . It follows that

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} [A_i \cup \sigma(A_i) \cup \sigma^2(A_i)],$$

whence (2) holds. If (X, σ) satisfies (2), then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i$ with $B_i = C_i \cup \sigma(C_i) \cup \sigma^2(C_i)$ a set of first type. By shrinking the cover $\{\sigma^j(C_i) : i = 1, \dots, n; j = 0, 1, 2\}$ one can find closed subsets $D_i \subset C_i$ such that $\{\sigma^j(D_i) : i = 1, \dots, n; j = 0, 1, 2\}$ is a cover of X . By Lemma 1 there is for each i an equivariant map $f_i : X \rightarrow Y$ with the property $f_i^{-1}(1) = D_i$. The evaluation map $f = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1})$ of X to Y^{n+1} is equivariant and sends X to S_Y^n . So (3) holds. We have already observed that $\text{col}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq n + 3$. Thus (1) follows from (3).

To complete the proof we show that (2) and (4) are equivalent. If (X, σ) satisfies (2), then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} B_i$ where each $B_i = C_i \cup \sigma(C_i) \cup \sigma^2(C_i)$ is a set of first type. The subspaces B_i are invariant and have color number 3. Now, suppose that (4) holds. For each i let C_i be a color of the subspace B_i witnessing the fact that $\text{col}(B_i, \sigma) = 3$. For each i the set C_i is open in the subspace B_i and the sets $C_i, \sigma(C_i)$ and $\sigma^2(C_i)$ are mutually disjoint subsets of B_i . As the sets $C_i, \sigma(C_i)$ and $\sigma^2(C_i)$ are mutually separated in X there is an color U_i of (X, σ) such that $B_i \cap U_i = C_i$. The set $V_i = U_i \cup \sigma(U_i) \cup \sigma^2(U_i)$ is of the first type and $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} V_i$, whence $\text{gen}(X, \sigma) \leq n + 1$. \square

From the equivalence of (2) and (4) in the previous theorem and the construction of S_Y^n out of S_Y^{n-1} one can obtain

$$(1) \quad \text{gen}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq 1 + \text{gen}(S_Y^{n-1}, \gamma^n).$$

To prove this formula let $S_Y^{n-1} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k B_i$, where B_i is a γ^n -invariant subspace with $\text{col}(B_i, \sigma) = 3$ for all i and $k = \text{gen}(S_Y^{n-1}, \gamma^n)$. Then $S_Y^n = [\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i \times Y^\circ] \cup L^n$ and $\text{gen}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq k + 1$, where $L^n = Y^n \times \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Theorem 4. $\text{col}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) = n + 3$ and $\text{gen}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) = n + 1$.

Proof. It has already been observed that $\text{col}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq n + 3$. It is known that for all odd n the standard sphere S^n with the standard map σ of period three has color number $n + 3$. So there exists an equivariant map $f : (S^n, \sigma) \rightarrow (S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1})$. It follows that $\text{col}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) \geq n + 3$ and by Theorem 3 one obtains $\text{gen}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) = n + 1$. Now suppose that n is even and $\text{col}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) < n + 3$. By Theorem 3 it follows that $\text{gen}(S_Y^n, \gamma^{n+1}) < n + 1$. Then by the formula (1) we get $\text{gen}(S_Y^{n+1}, \gamma^{n+2}) < n + 2$, which cannot be true, as $n + 1$ is odd. \square

2. Ljusternik-Schnirelmann for maps of order 3.

Theorem 2 is similar to the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theorem which reads as follows. Suppose that σ is an involution on a space X and $\text{col}(X, \sigma) = n + 2$. Suppose $\{A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}, A_{n+2}\}$ is a coloring of X . Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i \neq \emptyset$. The reason why this is true follows. If the two points $\mathbf{x}_1 = (1, \dots, 1)$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 = (-1, \dots, -1)$ are deleted from the standard sphere $S^n \subset [-1, 1]^{n+1}$ one

obtains a space with a strictly smaller coloring number (for $n \geq 1$). For maps of order 3 one can not make a similar claim, $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i \neq \emptyset$, as easy examples on S^1 already show. If in the space S_Y^n the three points $\mathbf{x}_i = (\zeta^i, \dots, \zeta^i)$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, are deleted one obtains a space with the same coloring number. The color number decreases only if more points are deleted.

Consider the n -dimensional Y -sphere S_Y^n and define the subset Λ_Y^n by

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_i)_i \in \Lambda_Y^n \text{ if and only if } x_i \in \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\} \text{ for all } i.$$

Note that the cardinality of Λ_Y^n is 3^{n+1} and that Λ_Y^n is invariant under γ^{n+1} . From Theorem 5 it follows that the color number can be reduced if we delete the subset Λ_Y^n from S_Y^n .

We define a subspace $\Sigma^n \subset \Lambda_Y^n$ in the following way. For $i = 0, 1, 2$, the subset Σ_i^n of Λ^n is defined by

$$\Sigma_i^n = \{ \mathbf{x} = (x_j)_j : x_j = \zeta^{i-1} \text{ or } x_j = \zeta^{i+1} \} \setminus \Delta,$$

where Δ is the diagonal of Y^{n+1} , i.e., Δ is the set of points all whose coordinates are equal. For example, $\mathbf{x} = (\zeta, \zeta^2, \zeta) \in \Sigma_0^2$, but $\mathbf{y} = (\zeta, \zeta, \zeta) \notin \Sigma_0^2$. Note that the three sets Σ_i^n , $i = 0, 1, 2$, are pairwise disjoint and $\gamma^{n+1}(\Sigma_i^n) = \Sigma_{(i+1) \bmod 3}^n$. It follows that the set $\Sigma^n = \Sigma_0^n \cup \Sigma_1^n \cup \Sigma_2^n$ is γ^{n+1} -invariant.

Theorem 5. For $n \geq 1$,

$$\text{col}(S_Y^n \setminus \Sigma^n, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq n + 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{gen}(S_Y^n \setminus \Sigma^n, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq n.$$

Proof. As the statements of the theorem are equivalent by Theorem 3, we prove the second. For $n = 1$ it is best to verify the statement by drawing a picture. There are six points in Σ^1 . We mentioned already that S_Y^1 is the graph $K(3, 3)$, which is cut by Σ^1 in six of its edges. The three edges that remain intact, connect vertices of two opposite parts of the graph. So $S_Y^1 \setminus \Sigma^1$ consists of three components, that are permuted by γ^2 . Thus $S_Y^1 \setminus \Sigma^1$ is a set of the first type.

Now assume that the result holds for $(S_Y^{n-1} \setminus \Sigma^{n-1}, \gamma^n)$. As $S_Y^n = [S_Y^{n-1} \times Y^\circ] \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^2 [Y^n \times \{\zeta^i\}]$ and $(S_Y^{n-1} \times Y^\circ) \cap \Sigma^n = \emptyset$, we have

$$S_Y^n \setminus \Sigma^n = [(S_Y^{n-1} \setminus \Sigma^{n-1}) \times Y^\circ] \cup \left[(\Sigma^{n-1} \times Y^\circ) \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^2 \{(Y^n \times \{\zeta^i\}) \setminus \Sigma^n\} \right].$$

The induction hypothesis implies that $\text{gen}((S_Y^{n-1} \setminus \Sigma^{n-1}) \times Y^\circ, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq n - 1$. So it suffices to show that the remaining two sets $(\Sigma^{n-1} \times Y^\circ) \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^2 (Y^n \times \{\zeta^i\}) \setminus \Sigma^n$ form a set of genus 1. Define the sets A_i for $i = 0, 1, 2$ by

$$A_i = (\Sigma_i^{n-1} \times Y^\circ) \cup (Y^n \times \{\zeta^i\}) \setminus \Sigma^n.$$

One verifies that this set has the property $\gamma^{n+1}(A_i) \cap A_i = \emptyset$ and that $(\Sigma^{n-1} \times Y^\circ) \cup \bigcup_{i=0}^2 (Y^n \times \{\zeta^i\}) \setminus \Sigma^n = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup A_2$. So the proof is finished once we verify that A_0 is a closed subset of $S_Y^n \setminus \Sigma^n$. It is obvious that $Y^n \times \{1\}$ is closed, so we have to verify that the closure of $\Sigma_0^{n-1} \times Y^\circ$ is contained in A_0 . Observe that the set of density points of $\Sigma_0^{n-1} \times Y^\circ$ in the Y -sphere is $\Sigma_0^{n-1} \times \{1, \zeta, \zeta^2\}$. So in $S_Y^n \setminus \Sigma^n$ its set of density points is $\Sigma_0^{n-1} \times \{1\}$, which is a subset of $Y^n \times \{1\}$. We conclude that A_0 is closed in $S_Y^n \setminus \Sigma^n$. \square

The [previous](#) theorem has as a corollary [Theorem 2](#).

Proof. Note first that the three statements

- (1) $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} [A_i \cup \sigma(A_i)] \neq \emptyset$,
- (2) $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} [\sigma(A_i) \cup \sigma^2(A_i)] \neq \emptyset$,
- (3) $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} [\sigma^2(A_i) \cup A_i] \neq \emptyset$,

are equivalent, as these sets are mapped onto each other by the map σ . Using the closed coloring $\{A_1, \dots, A_{n+1}, A_{n+2}, A_{n+3}\}$, for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, we can define by [Lemma 1](#) equivariant maps $f_i: X \rightarrow Y$ with $f_i^{-1}(1) = A_i$. The evaluation map $F = (f_1, \dots, f_{n+1}): X \rightarrow Y^{n+1}$ is equivariant and $F(X) \subset S_Y^n$. Since $\text{col}(X, \sigma) = n+3$ and $\text{col}(S_Y^n \setminus \Sigma_n, \gamma^{n+1}) \leq n+2$, it can not occur that $F(X) \subset S_Y^n \setminus \Sigma^n$. Choose $x \in X$ with $F(x) = (f_i(x))_i \in \Sigma^n$, say $F(x) \in \Sigma_1^n$.

It follows that $x \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} [A_i \cup \sigma^2(A_i)] \neq \emptyset$ and so $\sigma(x) \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} [A_i \cup \sigma(A_i)] \neq \emptyset$. Similar arguments can be used for the cases $F(x) \in \Sigma_2^n$ and $F(x) \in \Sigma_0^n$. \square

3. Colorings of non-invariant subspaces.

We have defined colors as special open subsets. It was already observed that in defining colors we could have used closed sets as well. However, when studying non-invariant subspaces we must stick to open colors.

Definition 3. Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow X$ is a map from X to itself. Let A be a subset of X . A *coloring* of the subset A is a finite collection \mathcal{B} consisting of colors of (X, f) such that $A \subset \bigcup \mathcal{B}$. We denote the minimal cardinality of such a collection by $\text{col}(A, X, f)$.

With the technique of the proof of [Theorem 3](#), the equivalence of [\(2\)](#) and [\(4\)](#) one can prove the following lemma. We use the notation σ_B to denote the restriction of the map σ to the subspace B .

Lemma 2 ([\[8\]](#)). *Let X be a space and $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$ a map of period 3 without fixed-points. If $A \subset B \subset X$ and B is σ -invariant, then*

$$\text{col}(A, X, \sigma) = \text{col}(A, B, \sigma_B).$$

It is a consequence of the lemma that to compute the color number of a subset A of X , we may assume that $X = A \cup \sigma(A) \cup \sigma^2(A)$. The following theorem provides an upper bound for the color number of a subset related to its dimension.

Theorem 6. *Suppose that $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ is a map of period 3 without fixed-points. If A is a subset of X and $\dim(A) \leq n$ then $\text{col}(A, X, \sigma) \leq 3n + 5$.*

Proof. The σ -invariant subspace $T = A \cup \sigma(A) \cup \sigma^2(A)$ has dimension at most $3n+2$ and contains no fixed points of σ . So $\text{col}(T, \sigma_T) \leq \dim(T) + 3 = 3n + 5$. The result follows from the [previous](#) lemma. \square

We shall present an example of a map σ of period 3 with $\text{col}(A, X, \sigma) \geq 3n + 4$. We refer to [\[8\]](#) for a related example of an involution ι with color number $\text{col}(A, X, \iota) = 2n + 3$. For the construction we need the following result, which is a consequence of [Theorem 1](#).

Theorem 7. *Let $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ be a map of period 3 without fixed-points. Suppose $\text{col}(X, \sigma) = n + 3$. If A is a dense subset of X with $A \cup \sigma(A) \cup \sigma^2(A) = X$ then $\text{col}(A, \sigma) \geq n + 2$.*

Proof. We argue by contradiction. Assume that $\{U_1, \dots, U_{n+1}\}$ is a coloring of (A, X, σ) . Then $X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} (U_i \cup \sigma(U_i) \cup \sigma^2(U_i))$. As $\text{col}(X, \sigma) = n + 3$, we have $\text{gen}(X, \sigma) = n + 1$. From [Theorem 1](#) it follows that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} [\sigma^{-1}(U_i) \cup \sigma(U_i)]$ is a nonempty open set, which by the density of A contains an element $a \in A$. For each index i we have either $\sigma(a) \in U_i$ or $\sigma^{-1}(a) \in U_i$ and therefore $a \notin U_i$. This contradicts that the U_i cover A . \square

For the construction of our example we need the following lemmas. The first lemma is a special case of a result in [\[4\]](#).

Lemma 3. *Let X be a space of dimension 2 with a fixed-point free map $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ of period 3. Then there exists a subspace A of X with the following properties*

- (1) A is dense in X ,
- (2) $\dim A = 0$,
- (3) $A \cup \sigma(A) \cup \sigma^2(A) = X$.

The following lemma follows easily from the fact that a subset of X is contained in a G_δ -subset of the same dimension.

Lemma 4. *Let X be a space with a fixed-point free map $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ of period 3. If A is a σ -invariant subspace of X with $\dim(A) = k$ then there exists a σ -invariant G_δ subset A' of X with $A \subset A'$ and $\dim A' = \dim A$.*

Lemma 5. *Let X be a space with $\dim X = n$ and with a fixed-point free map $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ of period 3. Then $X = X_0 \cup \dots \cup X_n$, where $\dim X_i = 0$ and each X_i is σ -invariant.*

Proof. This is a version of the Decomposition Theorem [11]. We use induction on the dimension. If $\dim X = 0$ the result is trivial. Assume the result holds for all spaces of dimension $\leq k - 1$ and all maps of order 3 without fixed-point. Let X be a space with $\dim X = k$ and let $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ be a map of period 3 without fixed-points. Let $\{U_n; n \in \omega_0\}$ be a countable base with $\dim(\text{cl } U_n \setminus U_n) \leq k - 1$, for all n . If we define

$$X_k = X \setminus \left[\bigcup_n (\text{cl } U_n \setminus U_n) \cup \bigcup_n \sigma(\text{cl } U_n \setminus U_n) \cup \bigcup_n \sigma^2(\text{cl } U_n \setminus U_n) \right]$$

then X_k is σ -invariant, $\dim X_k = 0$ and $\dim(X \setminus X_k) \leq k - 1$. So the induction argument applies. \square

Finally we construct the example of a complete n -dimensional subspace that cannot be colored with less than $3n + 4$ colors.

Example 1. Consider the space S_Y^{3n+2} with the standard map $\gamma : S_Y^{3n+2} \rightarrow S_Y^{3n+2}$ of order 3. We know that $\text{col}(S_Y^{3n+2}, \gamma) = 3n + 5$. Since the S_Y^{3n+2} is $(3n+2)$ -dimensional it can be written as the union of $3n+3$ zero-dimensional subspaces, say $S_Y^{3n+2} = B_1 \cup \dots \cup B_{3n+3}$ such that each B_i is zero-dimensional and γ -invariant. Let $X_i = B_{3i-2} \cup B_{3i-1} \cup B_{3i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, n + 1$. Then each X_i is ≤ 2 -dimensional, invariant under γ . Lemma 3 implies that each X_i has a dense zero-dimensional subspace A_i such that $A_i \cup \gamma(A_i) \cup \gamma^2(A_i) = X_i$. Write $A' = A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_{n+1}$. Then, A' is dense, A' has dimension $\leq n$ and A' also has the property

$$(2) \quad A' \cup \gamma(A') \cup \gamma^2(A') = S_Y^{3n+2}.$$

Note that Property (2) implies that $\dim A' = n$. We enlarge A' to a dense G_δ subset A of dimension n . Then A satisfies Property (2). Finally, by Theorem 7 we have $\text{col}(A, X, \gamma) \geq 3n + 4$.

4. Some remarks on periodic maps.

For a general fixed-point free homeomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ we were unable to obtain bounds on $\text{col}(A, X, f)$ in terms of $\dim A$. Indeed, we do not even know whether such a bound exists. However, we can find such a bound in the special case that the map is periodic.

We need the following result of Steinlein.

Theorem 8 ([15]). *If $f : S^{(m-1)(p-1)-1} \rightarrow S^{(m-1)(p-1)-1}$ is a fixed-point free map of prime-period p , then*

$$\text{col}(S^{(m-1)(p-1)-1}, f) \leq 4m.$$

To obtain our final result, one should know that any free periodic homeomorphism $f : X \rightarrow X$ on a space of $\dim X = n$ can be conjugated to

a free periodic homeomorphism on S^n [15], so that it suffices to consider homeomorphisms on S^n .

Theorem 9. *Let $\sigma : X \rightarrow X$ be a map of prime-period p , without fixed-points and suppose that $p - 1$ divides $n + 1$. If A is a n -dimensional subset of X then $\text{col}(A, X, \sigma) \leq 5n + 12$.*

Proof. Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow X$ is a map of order p and let A be subspace of X of dimension n . We can assume that $p \geq 5$, since the case $p = 2$ is done in [8] and $p = 3$ is done above. As we can assume that $X = A \cup f(A) \cup \dots \cup f^{p-1}(A)$, we see that the maximal dimension of X is $pn + p - 1$. Choose a minimal m such that $\dim(X) \leq (m - 1)(p - 1) - 1$ and so

$$pn + p - 1 \leq (m - 1)(p - 1) - 1.$$

This implies that we can take

$$m = \left\lceil \frac{pn + p}{p - 1} + 1 \right\rceil.$$

We conclude that we can color X , hence A , with $4m = 4 \lceil \frac{pn+p}{p-1} + 1 \rceil \leq 5n + 12$ colors. \square

References

- [1] J.M. Aarts, G.A. Brouwer, R.J. Fokkink and J. Vermeer, *Intersection properties of coverings of G-spaces*, to appear in *Topology Appl.*
- [2] J.M. Aarts and R.J. Fokkink, *An addition theorem for the color number*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **129**(9) (2001), 2803-2807 (electronic), [CMP 1 838 806](#), [Zbl 0963.54026](#).
- [3] J.M. Aarts, R.J. Fokkink and J. Vermeer, *Variations on a theorem of Lusternik and Schnirelman*, *Topology*, **35** (1996), 1051-1056, [MR 98e:55003](#), [Zbl 0918.54011](#).
- [4] ———, *A dynamic decomposition theorem*, to appear in *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **94**(3), (2002).
- [5] A. Błaszczyk and J. Vermeer, *Some old and some new results on combinatorial properties of fixed-point free maps*, *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.*, **767** (1995), 1-16, [MR 98g:54100](#), [Zbl 0919.54034](#).
- [6] A. Błaszczyk and Kim Dok Yong, *A topological version of a combinatorial theorem of Katětov*, *Com. Math. Univ. Car.*, **29** (1988), 657-663.
- [7] J. Dugundji and A. Granas, *Fixed Point Theory*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1988, [MR 83j:54038](#), [Zbl 0483.47038](#).
- [8] J.A.M. de Groot and J. Vermeer, *Liusternik, Schnirelmann for subspaces*, *Topology Appl.*, **115**(3) (2001), 343-354, [CMP 1 848 134](#).
- [9] M. van Hartskamp, *Colorings of fixed-point free maps*, Thesis, Free University, Amsterdam, 1999.
- [10] M. van Hartskamp and J. Vermeer, *On colorings of maps*, *Topology Appl.*, **73** (1996), 181-190, [MR 97i:55006](#), [Zbl 0867.55003](#).

- [11] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton University Press, Princeton NJ, 1941, [MR 3,312b](#), [Zbl 0060.39808](#).
- [12] M.A. Krasnoselski, *On special coverings of a finite dimensional sphere*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **103** (1955), 961-964 (Russian), [MR 18,406a](#).
- [13] K. Kuratowski, *Topology I*, Academic Press, 1966, [MR 36 #840](#), [Zbl 0158.40802](#).
- [14] J. van Mill, *Easier proofs of coloring theorems*, Topology Appl., **97** (1999), 155-163, [MR 2000i:54066](#), [Zbl 0947.54019](#).
- [15] H. Steinlein, *On the theorems of Borsuk-Ulam and Ljusternik-Schnirelmann-Borsuk*, Canad. Math. Bull., **27** (1984), 192-204, [Zbl 0531.55002](#).
- [16] H. Steinlein, *Borsuk's antipodal theorem and its generalizations and applications: A survey*, in 'Methodes topologiques en Analyse non lineaire', les presses de l'universite de Montreal, A.Granas, 1985, [MR 86k:55002](#), [Zbl 0573.55003](#).

Received May 16, 2000 and revised August 29, 2000.

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY DELFT
FACULTY I.T.S.
P.O. BOX 5031
2600 GA DELFT
THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: Aarts@its.tudelft.nl

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY DELFT
FACULTY I.T.S.
P.O. BOX 5031
2600 GA DELFT
THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: fokkink@its.tudelft.nl

TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY DELFT
FACULTY I.T.S.
P.O. BOX 5031
2600 GA DELFT
THE NETHERLANDS
E-mail address: J.Vermeer@its.tudelft.nl