

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

EXTREMALS FOR A MOSER–JODEIT EXPONENTIAL
INEQUALITY

STEVE HUDSON AND MARK LECKBAND

Volume 206 No. 1

September 2002

EXTREMALS FOR A MOSER–JODEIT EXPONENTIAL INEQUALITY

STEVE HUDSON AND MARK LECKBAND

Trudinger and Moser, interested in certain nonlinear problems in differential geometry, showed that if $|\nabla u|^q$ is integrable on a bounded domain in R^n with $q \geq n \geq 2$, then u is exponentially integrable there. Symmetrization reduces the problem to a one-dimensional inequality, which Jodeit extended to $q > 1$. Carleson and Chang proved that this inequality has extremals when $q \geq 2$ is an integer. Hence, so does the Moser-Trudinger inequality (with $q = n$).

This paper extends the result of Carleson and Chang to all real numbers $q > 1$. An application and some related results involving noninteger q are also discussed.

Introduction.

Let D be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$. Let $W^n(D)$ be the Sobolev space of functions u supported in the closure of D with gradient in $L^n(D)$. Trudinger [10] showed that for u in the unit ball of W^n , there are constants α and A (depending only on n) such that

$$(1) \quad \int_D \exp(\alpha u^{\frac{n}{n-1}}) dx \leq A|D|.$$

Moser [9] found the largest possible value of α by using symmetrization to reduce this to a one-dimensional problem. The integer n can then be replaced by a real number $q \geq 2$. Jodeit [5] extended the result to $1 < q < 2$.

Theorem A (Jodeit, Moser). *Let $1 < q < \infty$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Let ω be a function in $C^1[0, \infty)$ such that $\omega(0) = 0$ and $\int |w'|^q \leq 1$. Then*

$$(2) \quad A(q) = \sup_w \int_0^\infty \exp(\omega^p(t) - t) dt.$$

Carleson and Chang [2] proved that this theorem has extremals for integers $q \geq 2$. Through symmetrization, this proves that the Trudinger-Moser theorem for $W^n(D)$ has extremals, at least when D is a ball. Flucher [3] extended this to arbitrary smooth bounded domains in \mathbf{R}^n . Lin [6] did the same for $n \geq 3$. It is natural to ask whether Theorem A has extremals for general q . The main result of this paper is:

Theorem B. *Theorem A has extremals for all real numbers $q > 1$.*

The outline of the proof is similar to that in [2], especially when $q \geq 2$. In Section 1 we show that if no extremals exist, then $A(q)$ is less than an explicit constant $R(q)$. This requires new methods when $1 < q < 2$. One of the main ideas of [2] is linearization, in which the exponent p is replaced by 1, with controllable error for $1 < p \leq 2$. So, it is not surprising that their proof (their inequality (23), for example) breaks down when $1 < q < 2$.

Section 2 provides a specific ω to show $A(q) > R(q)$. This part requires a different construction than in [2], but for a different reason. There is less slack: It appears that $A(q) \rightarrow R(q)$ as $q \rightarrow 1^+$ (but we do not attempt a proof of this).

In a related paper, McLeod and Peletier [8] give a somewhat different proof of Theorems A and B for integer $q > 1$. It differs especially in the first part, in showing $A(q) \leq R(q)$. It then refers to the ω in [2].

It is not clear whether Theorem B has important applications to functions on \mathbf{R}^n (with $q \neq n$). But there are several related results that show it is reasonable to look at noninteger q . For example, in Section 3, we use Theorem B to generalize the results in [2] to $u \in W^q(B^n)$, $1 < q \leq n$, with similar sharpness in α . When $q < n$ this involves a weight.

Also, Theorem A is used by the authors in [4] to prove an inequality like Moser's for functions in the Lorentz-Sobolev space $W^{n,q}(D)$. It isn't clear whether Theorem B gives extremals for this problem, due to problems with symmetrization.

Adams [1] has extended the Moser-Trudinger theorem to higher-order derivatives, based on a generalization of Theorem A by Garcia. A very interesting question is whether the inequality of Adams, or Garcia, has extremals. Our methods seem promising in showing that Garcia's inequality has them, for some range of q .

Section 1.

This section contains the proof of Proposition 1 below, and follows the strategy in [2]. Mainly, the range $1 < q < 2$ requires a new approach. We will generally avoid duplication of [2], except that our construction in the next section (unlike the one in [2]) is based on this work. So several equations from [2] are included here for later reference.

Let $R(q) = 1 + \exp\{\psi(q) + \gamma\}$, where $\psi(q)$ is the psi function $\Gamma'(q)/\Gamma(q)$ and γ is the Euler constant. If $q = n$ is an integer, then $R(q)$ is the Carleson-Chang constant $1 + \exp\{1 + 1/2 + \cdots + 1/(n-1)\}$. $A(q)$ is the constant of Theorem A.

Proposition 1. *If Theorem A has no extremal, then $A(q) \leq R(q)$.*

The following notation and results will be used in the proof. Let K_q be the space of continuous piecewise C^1 functions $\omega(t)$ on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying

$$\omega(0) = 0, \omega'(t) \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty |\omega'|^q \leq 1.$$

Roughly, these are the functions of Theorem A.

Let ω_m be a sequence in K_q such that $\int_0^\infty \exp(\omega_m^p(t) - t) dt$ converges to $A(q)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Assuming Theorem A has no extremal, the following conditions hold:

- (a) For each $A > 0$, $\int_0^A |\omega'_m(t)|^q dt \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (b) For m large enough, there exists a point a_m in $[1, \infty)$ such that $(\omega_m(a_m))^p - a_m = -2 \log^+(a_m)$. Moreover, if a_m denotes the first such point, then $a_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (c) $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a_m}^\infty \exp(\omega_m^p(t) - t) dt \leq \exp(\psi(q) + \gamma)$.
- (d) $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{a_m} \exp(\omega_m^p(t) - t) dt = 1$.

Proposition 1 follows from (c) and (d). The proofs in [2] require only minor modifications except for part (c) for $1 < q < 2$, which begins with the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. *For $1 < q < \infty$, $p = q/(q - 1)$ and $\delta > 0$, let $K_{\delta,q}$ be the space of continuous piecewise C^1 functions on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying $\phi(0) = 0$, $\phi'(t) \geq 0$ and $\int (\phi')^q \leq \delta$. Then for each $c > 0$,*

$$(3) \quad \sup_{\phi \in K_{\delta,q}} \int_0^\infty \exp\{c\phi(t) - t\} dt < \exp\{(1/p)^{q-1} c^q \delta / q\} R(q).$$

While the proof resembles that in [2], we list the modifications required for noninteger q , and also some formulas needed later. Inequality (3) has an extremal ϕ such that,

$$(4) \quad c\phi'(t) = p(1 + Be^{t/(q-1)})^{-1},$$

where $B \geq 0$ is chosen so that

$$(5) \quad c^q \delta = \int_0^\infty (c\phi'(t))^q dt.$$

It is also shown $(B + 1)/B$ is the numerical value of the supremum of (3). Let

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \beta(t) &= [1 + 1/(Be^{t/(q-1)})]^{-1}, \quad \text{so that} \\ \phi(t) &= (q/c)[\log(1 + 1/B) + \log(\beta(t))]. \end{aligned}$$

For further reference, define for $B \geq 0$,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon(q, B) &= \int_{B+1}^{\infty} (u-1)^{-1} [1/u - 1/u^q] du, \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(B+1)^k} - \frac{1}{(k+q-1)(B+1)^{k+q-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

After a change of variables, the right side of (5) is equal to

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} p^q(q-1) \int_B^{\infty} \frac{1}{(u-1)u^q} du &= p^q(q-1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+q-1)(B+1)^{k+q-1}}, \\ &= p^q(q-1) [\log(1+1/B) - \varepsilon(q, B)], \\ &> p^q(q-1) [\log(1+1/B) - (\psi(q) + \gamma)], \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi(q) + \gamma = \varepsilon(q, 0) > \varepsilon(q, B)$ for all $B > 0$. Solving for $(B+1)/B$ in the above inequality establishes (3).

With the help of (7) and (8), the following extends a lemma of Carleson-Chang to noninteger $q \geq 2$, which is used to prove (c) in this case.

Lemma 1.2.a. *Let $\omega \in K_q$ and $\int_a^{\infty} (\omega')^q = \delta$. For $2 \leq q < \infty$ and $a > 0$, we have*

$$(9) \quad \int_a^{\infty} \exp(\omega^p(t) - t) dt \leq \frac{\exp(\omega^p(a) - a)}{1 - \delta^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \exp\left(\frac{C_1^q \beta_q}{p^{q-1}q}\right) R(q),$$

where $\beta_q = \delta / (1 - \delta^{1/(q-1)})^{q-1}$ and $C_1 = p\omega^{p-1}(a)$.

Our proof of (c) for $1 < q < 2$ requires a similar lemma:

Lemma 1.2.b. *For $1 < q < 2$ and a large enough with $\omega^p(a) - a = -2 \log(a)$, we have*

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_a^{\infty} \exp(\omega^p(t) - t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{\exp(\omega^p(a) - a)}{1 - \delta^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \exp\left(\frac{C_1^q (1 + \alpha)^q \beta_q}{p^{q-1}q}\right) R(q) + 2 \exp(-a), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = C_2(\log(a)/a)^{1/q}$, for some constant C_2 independent of a .

Proof of (10). For $a > 1$, set $x = t - a$, $\psi(x) = \omega(t) - \omega(a)$. Then,

$$(11) \quad (\omega(a) + \psi(x))^p = \omega^p(a) + p\omega^{p-1}[1 + f(\psi(x)/\omega(a))]\psi(x) + \psi^p(x),$$

where the function f comes from the binomial expansion of $(1+u)^q$. Note that f is an increasing function and f is $O(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0$.

We have $\omega^p(a) - a = -2 \log(a)$ and $\omega^p(a) \leq a(1 - \delta)^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$. This shows,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \delta &\leq 2(q-1) \frac{\log(a)}{a} + C \log^2(a)/a^2, \quad (C \leq (2-q)2^{2-q}) \\ &\leq C_1 \log(a)/a. \end{aligned}$$

Let E_1 be the set of x for which

$$\psi(x) \geq 4\omega(a) \left(\frac{C_1 \log(a)}{a} \right)^{1/q}.$$

Then on E_1 , using Holder's inequality and (12),

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(t) &\leq \psi(x) \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{a}{C_1 \log(a)} \right)^{1/q} \right], \\ &\leq \delta^{1/q} x^{1/p} \left[1 + \frac{1}{4} (C_1 \log(a))^{1/q} \right], \\ &\leq x^{1/p} \left[\left(\frac{C_1 \log(a)}{a} \right)^{1/q} + \frac{1}{4} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We now require a to be large enough so that $C_1 \log(a)/a < 1/4^q$. The integral of $\exp\{\omega^p - t\}$ over E_1 is bounded by,

$$(13) \quad \int_a^\infty \exp\left(\frac{t-a}{2} - t\right) dt \leq 2e^{-a}.$$

Let $E_2 = \left\{ x : \psi(x) \leq 4\omega(a) \left(\frac{C_1 \log(a)}{a} \right)^{1/q} \right\}$. Replacing $\omega^p(t)$ by the right side of (11), we need to estimate the following integral,

$$\int_{E_2} \exp(\omega^p(a) + p\omega^{p-1}(a)(1 + f(\psi(x)/\omega(a)))\psi(x) + \psi^p(x) - x - a) dx.$$

Using $\psi^p(x) \leq \delta^{1/(q-1)}x$, we set

$$y = (1 - \delta^{1/(q-1)})x, \quad c_1 = p\omega^{p-1}(a), \quad \phi(y) = \psi(x),$$

and

$$\alpha = C_2 \left(\frac{\log(a)}{a} \right)^{1/q} \geq f \left(4 \left(\frac{C_1 \log(a)}{a} \right)^{1/q} \right),$$

for some independent constant C_2 . Observe that the previous integral is less than the following

$$(14) \quad \frac{\exp(\omega^p(a) - a)}{1 - \delta^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \sup \int_0^\infty \exp(c_1(1 + \alpha)\phi(y) - y) dy,$$

where the supremum is taken over all ϕ satisfying

$$\int_0^\infty (\phi'(y))^q dy \leq \beta_q.$$

We have the following inequality from (13) and (14).

$$\int_a^\infty \exp(\omega^p(t) - t) dt \leq \frac{\exp(\omega^p(a) - a)}{1 - \delta^{\frac{1}{q-1}}} \sup \int_0^\infty \exp(c\phi(y) - y) dy + 2e^{-a},$$

where $c = (1 + \alpha)c_1$. We now apply Lemma 1.1 proving (10).

We now return to the proof of (c) for $1 < q < 2$. The conclusion of Lemma 1.2.b implies

$$(15) \quad \int_{a_m}^{\infty} \exp(\omega_m^p(t) - t) dt \leq \frac{e^K \exp(\psi(q) + \gamma)}{1 - \delta_m^{1/(q-1)}} + 2 \exp(-a_m),$$

where

$$K = \omega_m^p(a_m) - a_m + \beta_q [p\omega^{p-1}(a_m)(1 + C_2 \log(a_m)/a_m)]^q / (p^{q-1}q).$$

All we need to show is that $\limsup K \leq 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The above expression for K reduces to

$$K = \omega_m^p(a_m) - a_m + \frac{\delta_m(1 + \alpha)^q (\omega_m(a_m))^p}{(q-1)(1 - \delta_m^{1/(q-1)})^{q-1}}.$$

We have $(\omega_m(a_m))^p - a_m = -2 \log(a_m)$. Applying a binomial expansion to the denominator with estimate (12) derives

$$K \leq -2 \log(a_m) + 2 \log(a_m) + C(\log(a_m)/a_m)^{1+1/q}.$$

Observing $a_m \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ completes the proof of (c).

Section 2.

Here we prove that $A(q) > R(q)$ by studying specific examples ω_q . Combined with Proposition 1 from Section 1, this proves Theorem B. When $q \geq 2$, we can use the Carleson-Chang example, but not their proof which uses induction on $q = n$. When $1 < q < 2$, we will need a new type of example, motivated by Section 1, and some very precise estimates to show $A(q) > R(q)$. In passing, it seems likely that $A(q) - R(q) \rightarrow 0$ as $q \rightarrow 1$.

Case 1. Suppose $2 \leq q < \infty$. Set

$$\omega_q(t) = \begin{cases} [(q-1)^{-1/q}/p]t, & 0 \leq t \leq q, \\ (t-1)^{1/p}, & q \leq t \leq N_q, \\ (N_q-1)^{1/p}, & t \geq N_q, \end{cases}$$

where $N_q = (q-1) \exp(p^q - p) + 1$ is chosen so that $\int_0^\infty |\omega'(t)|^q dt = 1$.

One computes the exponential norm as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} I(q) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(\omega_m^p(t) - t) dt \\ &= q \int_0^1 e^{v(t)} dt + (2-q)/e + (q-1) \exp(p^q - p - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where $v(x) = (q-1)x^p - qx$. We prove the following lemma and thereby establish Theorem B.

Lemma 2.1. $I(q) > R(q)$ for $q \geq 2$.

Proof. We observe for $v(x) = (q - 1)x^p - qx$ that $v(0) = 0$, $v(1) = -1$, $v'(x) = q(x^{p-1} - 1)$, $v'(0) = -q$, $v'(1) = 0$ and $v'' > 0$. Thus, $v(x) > -qx$ on $(0, 1/q]$ and $v(x) \geq -1$ on $[1/q, 1]$. We estimate

$$\int_0^1 \exp(v) > \int_0^{1/q} \exp(-qx) + \int_{1/q}^1 \exp(-1) = (1 + (q - 2)/e)/q,$$

or

$$q \int_0^1 \exp(v) + (2 - q)/e > 1.$$

To complete the proof, it is enough to show, for $q \geq 2$,

$$(q - 1) \exp(p^q - p - 1) \geq R(q) - 1 = \exp(\psi(q) + \gamma).$$

Both sides are equal to e for $q = 2$. For $q > 2$, the problem reduces to showing

$$(16) \quad \psi'(q) \leq d/dq[p^q - p + \log(q - 1)].$$

We now estimate both sides of (16). Observe,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(q) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k + q)^2} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k + q - \frac{1}{2})(k + q + \frac{1}{2})} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q - \frac{1}{2})}, \end{aligned}$$

$$d/dq(p^q - p) = p^q(\log(p) - p + 1) + (p - 1)^2.$$

To prove (16), we must show

$$\frac{1}{(q - \frac{1}{2})} \leq (p - 1)^2 + (p - 1) + p^q(\log(p) - p + 1),$$

which requires estimates of $\log(p)$ and p^q . Set $x = 1/(2q - 1) \leq 1/3$ so that $p = (1 + x)/(1 - x)$. A Maclaurin series expansion in x shows that

$$(17) \quad \frac{1}{(q - \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{1}{12(q - \frac{1}{2})^3} \leq \log(p) \leq \frac{1}{(q - \frac{1}{2})} + \frac{1}{10(q - \frac{1}{2})^3}.$$

Therefore, from $d/dq[\log(p^q)] = \log(p) - 1/(q - 1) \leq 0$, we have

$$\log(p^q) = \log(4) + \int_2^q \log\left(\frac{t}{(t - 1)}\right) - \frac{1}{(t - 1)} dt,$$

and using (17),

$$\log(p^q) \leq \log(4) + \log((2q - 1)/3) + \varepsilon - \log(q - 1),$$

where

$$\varepsilon = \int_2^q \frac{1}{(10(t - \frac{1}{2})^3)} dt = (1/20) \left[\frac{4}{9} - \frac{1}{(q - \frac{1}{2})^2} \right] < 1/45.$$

So $p^q \leq 8(q-1/2) \exp(\varepsilon)/[3(q-1)]$. Since $\log(p) - p + 1 \leq 0$, (16) has been reduced to proving

(18)

$$\frac{1}{(q-\frac{1}{2})} \leq \frac{1}{(q-1)} + \left(\frac{1}{(q-1)}\right)^2 + \frac{8(q-\frac{1}{2})}{3(q-1)} \exp(\varepsilon) \left(\log(p) - \frac{1}{(q-1)}\right).$$

Set $\lambda = p - 1 = 1/(q-1)$. Since

$$\log(p) - \lambda \geq \frac{1}{(q-\frac{1}{2})} - \frac{1}{(q-1)} = \frac{-1}{[2(q-\frac{1}{2})(q-1)]},$$

the right side of (18) is at least $\lambda + \lambda^2 - (4/3)\lambda^2 \exp\{\varepsilon\}$. The left side equals $2\lambda/(\lambda+2)$, so (18) reduces to checking that

$$(19) \quad [(4/3) \exp\{\varepsilon\} - 1](\lambda + 2) \leq 1.$$

If $q \geq 3$, (19) holds because $\varepsilon \leq 1/45$ and $\lambda \leq 1/2$.

Now suppose that $2 < q \leq 3$. Since $\varepsilon \leq (q-2)/30$, the mean value theorem shows that $\exp\{\varepsilon\} \leq 1 + (q-2)/28$. We also have $\lambda + 2 = (2q-1)/(q-1) = 3 - (q-2)/(q-1)$, so the left side of (19) is at most

$$\begin{aligned} & [1/3 + (q-2)/21][3 - (q-2)/(q-1)] \\ & \leq 1 + (q-2)(1/7 - 1/[3(q-1)]) \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Case 2. Suppose $1 < q < 2$.

Attempts to use examples like those in Case 1 indicate that the number of pieces required to beat $R(q)$ is unbounded as q approaches 1. We will construct an example that is linear over $[0, a]$ and nonlinear over $[a, \infty)$. We shall show that for large enough a , the exponential norm exceeds $R(q)$. In fact, as $a \rightarrow \infty$, the exponential norm of our example converges downward to $R(q)$. This is sufficient to establish the conclusion of Proposition 1 as false thereby proving Theorem B. The idea of how to do this is based upon the method of proof of Proposition 1 for $1 < q < 2$.

To begin, let $a > 1$ and ω be linear on $[0, a]$ satisfying $\omega(0) = 0$ and $\omega^p(a) - a = -2 \log(a)$. Define δ by the following:

$$(20) \quad 1 - \delta = \int_0^a |\omega'(t)|^q dt = (w^p(a)/a)^{q-1}.$$

We look to Lemma 1.1 for the definition of our example over $[a, \infty)$. Recall that there is an explicit formula for an extremal for the supremum of (3). We use this formula below. For $t > a$, we define $x = t - a$ and $\omega(t) = \psi(x) + \omega(a)$,

where

(21)

$$\psi(x) = (q - 1)A_1[\log(1 + 1/B) + \log(\beta(x))], \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty (\psi'(x))^q dx \leq \delta.$$

For ease of notation we have set

$$\beta(x) = [1 + 1/(Be^{\frac{x}{q-1}})]^{-1}.$$

We shall specify the constants A_1 and B later.

The first estimate of the exponential integral is obvious.

(22)
$$\int_0^a \exp(w^p(t) - t) dt > 1 - e^{-a}.$$

The hard work is estimating the exponential integral over $[a, \infty)$.

The basic idea of [2] was to linearize the $(\omega(a) + \psi(x))^p$ and we do the same. However, the obvious inequality

$$(\omega(a) + \psi(x))^p \geq \omega^p(a) + p\omega^{p-1}(a)\psi(x) + \psi^p(x),$$

is too generous for our purposes. Therefore we expand as follows:

$$\omega^p(t) = (\omega(a) + \psi(x))^p = (\mu + (q - 1)A_1 \log(\beta(x)))^p,$$

where $\mu = \omega(a) + (q - 1)A_1 \log(1 + 1/B) = \omega(a) + \psi(\infty)$, to obtain

(23)

$$\begin{aligned} (\omega(a) + \psi(x))^p &= \mu^p + p\mu^{p-1}(q - 1)A_1 \log(\beta(x)) \\ &\quad + (1/2)p(p - 1)((q - 1)A_1)^2 \mu^{p-2} \log^2(\beta(x)) \\ &\quad + (1/6)p(p - 1)(p - 2)((q - 1)A_1)^3 (\zeta)^{p-3} \log^3(\beta(x)), \end{aligned}$$

(where $\omega(a) \leq \zeta \leq \mu$),

$$\geq A_2 + A_3\psi(x) + A_4 \log^2(\beta(x)) + A_5 \log^3(\beta(x)),$$

where

(24) $A_2 = (\omega(a) + (q - 1)A_1 \log(1 + 1/B))^{p-1}(\omega(a) - qA_1 \log(1 + 1/B)),$

(or $A_2 = \mu^{p-1}[\omega(a) - (p - 1)\psi(\infty)]$),

$A_3 = p(\omega(a) + (q - 1)A_1 \log(1 + 1/B))^{p-1},$

$A_4 = (1/2)p(p - 1)((q - 1)A_1)^2(\omega(a) + (q - 1)A_1 \log(1 + 1/B))^{p-2},$

$A_5 = (1/6)p(p - 1)(p - 2)((q - 1)A_1)^3 W,$

where $W = \begin{cases} (\omega(a))^{p-3}, & 2 < p < 3. \\ (\omega(a) + \psi(\infty))^{p-3}, & 3 \leq p. \end{cases}$

We can now specify A_1 and B . Motivated by Equation (4), with the intention of having $c = A_3 = p/A_1$, we want A_1 and B to satisfy

$$(25) \quad A_3\psi'(x) = p \left(1 + Be^{x/(q-1)}\right)^{-1}, \quad \text{or equivalently,}$$

$$A_1 = (\omega(a) + (q-1)A_1 \log(1 + 1/B))^{1-p} = (\mu)^{1-p}.$$

And also, from (5), we want

$$(*) \quad \frac{\delta}{A_1^q} = (q-1) \int_{B+1}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{(s-1)s^q}.$$

It is not yet clear that there exist simultaneous solutions A_1 and B . To see this, let (25) define A_1 as a function of B . Define $L(B)$ as the left side and $R(B)$ as the right side of (*). As $B \rightarrow \infty$, $A_1 \rightarrow \omega(a)^{p-1}$ by (25), and $R(B) \rightarrow 0$. So, $L > R$ for large enough B . We compute,

$$dR/dB = -(q-1)/[B(B+1)^q] \quad \text{and} \quad dL/dB = -\delta(q-1)/[B(B+1)].$$

Estimating the integrals as $B \rightarrow 0^+$ shows $L < R$ for small enough B .

Note that (24) and (25) imply $A_1 = p/A_3$. Setting $c = A_3$ in (3) implies that the extremal $\phi(x)$ for Lemma 1.1 is the $\psi(x)$ defined by (21). Thus, ψ satisfies all the formulas in Lemma 1.1. We can now use (23) to proceed with the proof of Theorem B. The terms involving β below were neglected error terms in [2], but contribute to an important ‘good’ integral G defined below. The analysis is very tight.

We now have the following estimate:

$$(26) \quad \int_a^{\infty} \exp(\omega^p(t) - t) dt$$

$$\geq \exp(A_2 - a) \int_0^{\infty} \exp(A_3\psi(x) + A_4 \log^2(\beta(x)) + A_5 \log^3(\beta(x)) - x) dx$$

$$= \exp(A_2 - a) \int \exp(\nu(x) + \eta(x)) dx$$

$$= \exp(A_2 - a) \left[\int \exp(\nu(x)) dx + G \right]$$

where $\nu(x) = A_3\psi(x) - x$, $\eta(x) = A_4 \log^2(\beta(x)) + A_5 \log^3(\beta(x))$ and

$$G = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(\nu(x) + \eta(x)) - \exp(\nu(x)) dx.$$

We will be done if we show the right-hand side of (26) is larger than $R(q) - 1 + e^{-a} = \exp(\varepsilon(q, 0)) + e^{-a}$. We now expand the right side of (26) into quantities that we must estimate. Since we have chosen ψ to be an extremal, all the estimates of Lemma 1.1 will apply. In particular, we

shall need the following identities to establish the estimates that have been organized into Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3.

(27)

- (i) $a = \omega^p(a)(1 - \delta)^{1-p}$, (by (20));
- (ii) $(B + 1)/B = \exp(\delta\mu^p/(q - 1) + \varepsilon(q, B))$, (by (8) and (25));
- (iii) $\delta\mu = \psi(\infty) - \frac{(q - 1)\varepsilon(q, b)}{\mu^{p-1}}$ (rearranging (ii));
- (iv) $\exp(A_2 - a) \int \exp(\nu(x)) = \exp(A_2 - a + \delta\mu^p/(q - 1)) \exp(\varepsilon(q, B))$;
 ((iv) is equivalent to (ii)).

Lemma 2.2.

- (a) $A_2 - a + \delta\mu^p/(q - 1) \geq -\varepsilon^2(q, B)/[\omega(a)\mu^{p-1}]$.
- (b) $\varepsilon(q, 0) - \varepsilon(q, B) \leq (q - 1)B$.
- (c) $\varepsilon(q, B) \leq (\pi^2/6 - 1/p)(q - 1)$.

Proof of (a). We begin with expanding the left side of (a) and simplifying using the definitions of $A_2, \mu, \omega(a)$ and $\psi(\infty)$, see (24).

$$\begin{aligned} & A_2 - a + \delta\mu^p/(q - 1) \\ &= \mu^{p-1}(\omega(a) - (p - 1)\psi(\infty)) - a + \frac{\delta\mu^p}{(q - 1)}, \\ &= -a + \mu^{p-1} \left[\omega(a) - (p - 1)\psi(\infty) + \frac{\delta\mu}{(q - 1)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

using $-(p - 1) + 1/(q - 1) = 0$ and the right side of (27iii) for $\delta\mu$,

$$\begin{aligned} &= -a + \mu^{p-1}[\omega(a) - \varepsilon(q, B)\mu^{1-p}], \\ &= -a + \omega^p(a)[1 + \psi(\infty)/\omega(a)]^{p-1} - \varepsilon(q, B), \end{aligned}$$

using (27iii) to solve for $\psi(\infty)/\omega(a)$,

$$= -a - \varepsilon(q, B) + \omega^p(a) \left[\frac{1}{1 - \delta} + \frac{(q - 1)\varepsilon(q, B)^{p-1}}{(1 - \delta)\omega(a)\mu^{p-1}} \right]^{p-1}.$$

For $p \geq 2$, $(x + y)^{p-1} \geq x^{p-1} + (p - 1)x^{p-2}y$ and the above reduces to

(28)

$$\geq -a - \varepsilon(q, B) + \omega^p(a)(1 - \delta)^{1-p} + \varepsilon(q, B)[(1 - \delta)(1 + \psi(\infty)/\omega(a))]^{1-p}.$$

Using (27i) and the following version of (27iii),

$$(1 - \delta)(1 + \psi(\infty)/\omega(a)) = 1 + \frac{(q - 1)\varepsilon(q, B)}{\omega(a)(\omega(a) + \psi(\infty))^{p-1}}.$$

The right side of (28) is

$$\begin{aligned} &= -\varepsilon(q, B) + \varepsilon(q, B)[1 + (q-1)\varepsilon(q, B)/(\omega(a)\mu^{p-1})]^{1-p}, \\ &\geq -\varepsilon(q, B) + \varepsilon(q, B)[1 - \varepsilon(q, B)/(\omega(a)\mu^{p-1})] \end{aligned}$$

which completes the Proof of (a).

Proof of (b). By (7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(q, 0) - \varepsilon(q, B) &= \int_1^{B+1} \frac{1}{(s-1)} \left[\frac{1}{s} - \frac{1}{s^q} \right] ds \\ &\leq \int_1^{B+1} (q-1)/s ds \leq (q-1)B. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (c). Using the series representation of $\varepsilon(q, 0)$, see (7),

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon(q, B) \leq \varepsilon(q, 0) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k+q-1} \right), \\ &= (q-1) \left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k(k+q-1)} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \\ &\leq (q-1) \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{p} \right), \text{ which is (c).} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. For large enough a , $G \geq q(q-1)[1 - 3/a]/[B\mu^p]$.

Proof. By integration by parts,

$$\int_0^{\infty} \exp(\nu(x) + \eta(x)) dx = V(\infty) - \int_0^{\infty} V(x) \exp(\eta(x)) \eta'(x) dx,$$

where

$$V(x) = \int_0^x \exp(\nu(t)) dt,$$

and $\eta(x) = A_4 \log^2(\beta(x)) + A_5 \log^3(\beta(x))$, $\beta(x) = [1 + 1/(Be^{x/q-1})]^{-1}$.

We need to explicitly calculate $V(x)$. To begin, $\nu(t) = A_3\psi(t) - t$, where ψ is an extremal for (3). Therefore, a variational argument shows that ν satisfies,

$$(29) \quad e^{\nu(t)} = A\nu''(t)(\nu'(t) + 1)^{q-2}, \text{ for some constant } A < 0.$$

Observe $\nu(0) = 0$, $\nu'(\infty) = -1$ and $\nu(\infty) = -\infty$. Multiply (29) by $\nu'(t)$ and integrate to obtain,

$$(30) \quad e^{\nu(t)} = \frac{A(\nu'(t) + 1)^q}{q} - \frac{A(\nu'(t) + 1)^{q-1}}{q-1} + C.$$

Let $t \rightarrow \infty$ to obtain $C = 0$. Equations (29) and (30) imply

$$\nu''(t) = \frac{(\nu'(t) + 1)^2}{q} - \frac{(\nu'(t) + 1)}{q - 1}.$$

Solving this differential equation shows the following version of (4):

$$(31) \quad \nu'(t) + 1 = p(1 + Be^{t/(q-1)})^{-1}.$$

It can be shown, see [2], that $V(\infty) = (B + 1)/B = J = 1/\beta(0)$. Using (30) and (31) we compute,

$$V(x) = -Ap^{q-1}/(q - 1)[(B + 1)^{1-q} - (1 - \beta(x))^{q-1}].$$

Using $V(\infty) = (B + 1)/B$ and the above with $\beta(\infty) = 1$, we have

$$(32) \quad V(x) = (B + 1)^q/B[(B + 1)^{1-q} - (1 - \beta(x))^{q-1}].$$

Notice $\beta \leq 1$, $\exp(\eta(x)) \geq 1$ and $\eta'(x) \leq 0$, thus the above gives,

$$G \geq 1/B \int_0^\infty [(B + 1)^{1-q} - (1 - \beta(x))^{q-1}]|\eta'(x)| dx,$$

and setting $w = \log(\beta)$,

$$= -1/B \int_{-\log(J)}^0 (2A_4w - 3A_5w^2)[(B + 1)^{1-q} - (1 - e^w)^{q-1}] dw.$$

Using a Maclaurin series representation for $(1 - x)^{q-1}$ and $(B + 1)^{-1} = 1 - 1/J$,

$$G \geq 1/B \int_{-\log(J)}^0 (2A_4w - 3A_5w^2)(q - 1)[e^w - B/(B + 1)] dw,$$

integrating by parts and using the definitions of A_4 and A_5 (see (24)) gives,

$$= [q(q - 1)/(B\mu^p)][1 - (p - 2)(q - 1)/\mu^p + O(\log^3(J)/J)].$$

Notice that, $\mu^p \approx \omega^p(a) \approx a$, as a approaches ∞ . By (27iii),

$$\mu \geq \omega(a)/(1 - \delta) = (a/\omega(a))^{q-1}.$$

So $\mu^p \geq \mu^{p-1}\omega(a) \geq a$. By (20), $\delta \geq 2(q - 1)\log(a)/a$. This and (27ii) give B is $O(1/a^2)$. Thus $\log^3(J)/J$ is $o(1/a)$, and so

$$G \geq q(q - 1)[1 - 3/a]/(B\mu^p).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. We return to the proof of Theorem B.

Using (22), (26), and (27iv),

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \exp(\omega^p(x) - x) dx \\ & > 1 - e^{-a} + \exp(A_2 - a + \delta\mu^p/(q - 1))e^{\varepsilon(q,B)} + \exp(A_2 - a)G. \end{aligned}$$

We shall show the right side is greater than or equal to $1 + e^{\varepsilon(q,0)}$. Using algebra and (27ii) this goal becomes,

$$(33) \quad \exp(A_2 - a + \delta\mu^p/(q-1))[1 + BG/(B+1)] \geq e^{-\varepsilon(q,B)}[e^{\varepsilon(q,0)} + e^{-a}].$$

By Lemma 2.2(a) and Lemma 2.3, the left side of the above is greater than or equal to

$$\exp(-\varepsilon^2(q, B)/(\omega(a)\mu^{p-1}))[1 + q(q-1)(1 - 3/a)/[\mu^p(1+B)]],$$

and,

$$\varepsilon^2(q, B) \leq (\pi^2/6 - 1/p)^2(q-1)^2, \quad (\text{by Lemma 2.2(c)}).$$

Since $\pi^2/6 - 1/p < p$ for $p > 2$ and $p(q-1) = q$, for large enough a the above is

$$\leq (q - \log(a)/a)(q-1).$$

We also claim $1/a - 1/\mu^p$ is $O(1/a^2)$. To see this, from (27i) and (27iii),

$$\mu^p \leq (a/\omega(a))^p(1 + (q-1)\varepsilon(q, B)/a)^p.$$

A binomial expansion shows $(1 + (q-1)\varepsilon(q, B)/a)^p$ is $1 + O(1/a)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty}(\mu^p - a) &\leq \limsup_{a \rightarrow \infty}[(a/\omega(a))^p - a] + O(1) \\ &= O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $1/a - 1/\mu^p \approx (\mu^p - a)/a^2$ is $O(1/a^2)$.

Recall that B is $O(1/a^2)$, so the factor $B+1$ is negligible and the left side of (33) is at least

$$\begin{aligned} &[1 - (q - \log(a)/a)(q-1)/a][1 + q(q-1)(1 - 3/a)/a] + O(1/a^2), \\ &\geq 1 + (q-1)\log(a)/a^2 + O(1/a^2), \\ &\geq 1 + (q-1)[B + (\log(a) - 1)/a^2] + O(1/a^2). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2(b) implies the right side of (33) is at most $1 + (q-1)B + O(1/a^4)$, completing the proof.

Section 3. An application of Theorem B.

For real valued functions f on R^n , let f^* be the nonincreasing rearrangement of f defined as $f^*(t) = \inf\{s : m\{|f| > s\} \leq t\}$. We define $f^\#(x)$ to be the spherically symmetric nondecreasing rearrangement of f defined as $f^\#(x) = f^*(\sigma_{n-1}|x|^n/n)$ where σ_{n-1} is the $n-1$ measure of the unit sphere.

We have the following theorem which includes the case $q = n$ which is the application of Carleson and Chang, [2].

Theorem C. *Let $1 < q \leq n$. For functions u supported in B^n such that $\|\nabla u\|_q \leq 1$,*

$$\int_{B^n} \exp(\alpha u^{\#p}(x)) m(|x|) dx \leq A(q) |B^n|,$$

where

$$m(r) = \frac{\exp\{-(r^{-kn} - 1)\}}{r^{-n(k+1)}}$$

and

$$k = \frac{(n - q)}{n(q - 1)}, \alpha = n(\sigma_{n-1})^{1/(q-1)}.$$

If $q = n$, set $m(r) = 1$.

This is sharp in the sense that it does not hold for any larger α . There is an extremal for each $1 < q \leq n$. Also, $m(r)$ is continuous as a function of q .

By standard symmetrization, we can assume $u = u^\#$. Set $|x| = e^{-t/n}$, $v(t) = \alpha^{1/p} u^\#(x)$ and note $|v'(t)| = (\alpha^{1/p} |x|/n) |\nabla u^\#(x)|$, $dx = -|B^n| e^{-t} dt$. So,

$$\int_0^\infty |v'(t)|^q e^{t(q-n)/n} dt \leq 1.$$

For $1 < q < n$, set $t = \ln(ks + 1)/k$, so $s = (e^{kt} - 1)/k$. Set $\omega(s) = v(t)$. Then,

$$\int_0^\infty |\omega'(s)|^q ds \leq 1.$$

By Theorem A, $\int \exp(\omega^p(s) - s) ds \leq A(q)$, and this has an extremal by Theorem B. Thus,

$$\int_0^\infty \exp(v^p(t) - s(t)) ds(t) \leq A(q),$$

and this has an extremal. This is the conclusion of Theorem C.

References

- [1] D.R. Adams, *A sharp inequality of J. Moser for higher order derivatives*, Annals of Math., **128** (1988), 385-398, MR 89i:46034, Zbl 9672.31008.
- [2] L. Carleson and S.Y.A. Chang, *On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser*, Bull. Sc. Math., 2^e série, **110** (1986), 113-127, MR 88f:46070, Zbl 0619.58013.
- [3] M. Flucher, *Extremal functions for the Trudinger-Moser inequality in 2 dimensions*, Comm. Math. Helv., **67** (1992), 471-497, MR 93k:58073, Zbl 0763.58008.
- [4] S. Hudson and M. Leckband, *A sharp exponential inequality for Lorentz-Sobolev spaces on bounded domains*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **127**(7) (1999), 2029-2033, MR 99j:46036, Zbl 0920.46025.

- [5] M. Jodeit, *An inequality for the indefinite integral of a function in L^q* , *Studia Math.*, **44** (1972), 545-554, MR 49 #5805, Zbl 0244.26010.
- [6] M.A. Leckband, *An integral inequality with applications*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **283**(1) (1984), 157-168, MR 85g:26018, Zbl 0545.26006.
- [7] K.-C. Lin, *Extremal functions for Moser's inequality*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **348**(7) (1996), 2663-2671, MR 96i:58043, Zbl 0861.49001.
- [8] J.B. McLeod and L.A. Peletier, *Observations on Moser's inequality*, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.*, **106** (1989), 261-285, MR 90d:26029, Zbl 0687.46017.
- [9] J. Moser, *A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger*, *Ind. Univ. Math. J.*, **23** (1971), 1077-1092, MR 46 #662, Zbl 0213.13001.
- [10] N.S. Trudinger, *On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications*, *J. Math. Mech.*, **17** (1967), 473-484, MR 35 #7121, Zbl 0163.36402.

Received July 19, 1999.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
MIAMI, FL 33199
E-mail address: hudsons@fiu.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY
MIAMI, FL 33199
E-mail address: leckband@fiu.edu