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A SPHERE THEOREM FOR 2-DIMENSIONAL CAT(1)-SPACES

Koichi Nagano

We study sphere theorems for compact, geodesically complete 2-dimensional CAT(1)-spaces. As one of the main results, for compact, geodesically complete, 2-dimensional CAT(1)-spaces, we obtain the optimal volume condition to ensure being homeomorphic to the 2-sphere.

1. Introduction.

The problems of sphere theorems in Riemannian geometry have yielded the beautiful results and the fruitful techniques for the study of global geometry (cf. [22]). The main purpose of this paper is to study sphere theorems for CAT(1)-spaces: When are CAT(1)-spaces homeomorphic to the sphere?

The notion of CAT(κ)-spaces is introduced by Gromov ([11]) based on Alexandrov’s original notion, i.e., spaces with curvature bounded above by κ ∈ ℝ. The research for CAT(1)-spaces is important since the space of directions at a given point in a CAT(κ)-space, which has the most local geometric information, is a CAT(1)-space. Furthermore, the ideal boundary of a given CAT(0)-space (the so-called, Hadamard space), which has the most global one, is a CAT(1)-space. In addition, all spherical buildings are CAT(1)-spaces (cf. [13], [23]).

Throughout this paper, we always assume that CAT(κ)-spaces have the local compactness and the geodesical completeness. Nevertheless, the local metric structure may be complicated. For example, it is known by Kleiner that a CAT(κ)-space X may admit no triangulation even if X is 2-dimensional (cf. [12], [14]). We require the careful treatment of the local structure.

If X is a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space, then the diameter of X is not smaller than π. There exist many examples of compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-spaces possessing the minimal diameter π which are not homeomorphic to each other: Ballmann and Brin [5] have classified the isometry classes of the 2-dimensional spherical polyhedra in some sense which are such CAT(1)-spaces of the minimal diameter π.

In this paper, we shall study volume sphere theorems for compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-spaces.
1.1. CAT(κ)-spaces. We first state the precise definition of CAT(κ)-spaces in this paper. We refer to [1], [2], [3], and [7] for the fundamental properties of CAT(κ)-spaces, more generally, of spaces with curvature bounded above.

For κ ∈ ℝ, we set $D_κ := \text{diam} M^n_κ$, i.e., the diameter of the n-dimensional, complete, simply connected model space $M^n_κ$ with constant sectional curvature κ.

Let $(X, d_X)$ be a complete metric space. We say that X is a CAT(κ)-space if X satisfies the following:

(i) (D_κ-geodesic) Every two points $x, y \in X$ with $d_X(x, y) < D_κ$ are joined by a minimizing geodesic $xy$.

(ii) (CAT(κ)-property) For an arbitrary geodesic triangle $\triangle \subset X$ with perimeter $< 2D_κ$, we have the comparison triangle $\tilde{\triangle} \subset M^n_2$ (with the same side lengths as $\triangle$) such that $d_X(x, y) \leq d_{M^n_2}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y})$ for every pair $x, y \in \triangle$ and the corresponding points $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in \tilde{\triangle}$.

We now note the following important properties of CAT(κ)-spaces:

(i) The convexity radii of all points are not smaller than $D_κ/2$.

(ii) The injectivity radii of all points are not smaller than $D_κ$. In particular, the $D_κ$-neighborhood of a given point is contractible.

The first one is also related to the property that $d_X$ is (semi) convex.

1.2. Simple examples of CAT(1)-spaces. Next, we provide simple examples of CAT(1)-spaces. We remark that, if $X$ is a CAT(κ)-space for some $κ > 0$, then $\sqrt{κ}X := (X, \sqrt{κ}d_X)$ is a CAT(1)-space.

We here recall Reshetnyak’s gluing lemma ([19], cf. [7]): The space constructed by gluing CAT(κ)-spaces isometrically along proper convex subsets is again a CAT(κ)-space.

Example 1.1. Here, all X in (i)–(v) are compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-spaces:

(i) Let $X$ be the n-dimensional sphere $S^n(r)$ with radius $r > 0$. Then, for any $r \geq 1$, the space $X = S^n(r)$ is a CAT(1)-space.

(ii) We take mutually antipodal points $p, \tilde{p} \in S^n(1)$ and the closed interval $[0, \pi]$. Let $X$ be the quotient space obtained by gluing $S^n(1)$ and $[0, \pi]$ along $p = \{0\}$ and $\tilde{p} = \{\pi\}$. Then, $X$ is a CAT(1)-space. (cf. Figure 1.)

(iii) We prepare $S^n(1)$ and the (distinct) closed unit n-hemisphere $\mathbb{H}S^n(1)$. Let $X$ be the quotient space obtained by gluing $S^n(1)$ and $\mathbb{H}S^n(1)$ along their equators. Then, $X := S^n(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}S^n(1) \mathord{/_{\text{equator}}}$ is a CAT(1)-space. (cf. Figure 2.)

(iv) Let $X$ be the n-dimensional real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^n(r)$ as the quotient for $S^n(r)$ by the standard $\mathbb{Z}_2$-action. Then, for any $r \geq 2$, the space $X = \mathbb{RP}^n(r)$ is a CAT(1)-space.
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(v) Let $X = S^1(2\pi) = S^1(1) \times S^1(1)$ be the flat torus whose universal
covering space has the fundamental domain of the flat $(2\pi \times 2\pi)$-square.
Then, $X$ is a CAT(1)-space.

More generally, complete, smooth Riemannian manifolds with sectional
curvature uniformly bounded above by 1 and of injectivity radii bounded
below by $D_1$ are CAT(1)-spaces.

1.3. Main theorems. Let $X$ be a locally compact, geodesically complete
CAT($\kappa$)-space. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $X^n \subset X$ the set of all points whose
open $t$-balls have the Hausdorff dimension $n$ for any sufficiently small $t > 0$.
Throughout this paper, $\text{dim}$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension, and $\mathcal{H}^n(\cdot)$
the $n$-dimensional Hausdorff measure. In addition, the symbol $\vartheta_{\alpha, \beta, \ldots}(\epsilon)$
denotes the positive function depending only on $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ with
$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \vartheta_{\alpha, \beta, \ldots}(\epsilon) = 0$.

In [15], from the CAT(1)-property, the author shows the following: For
given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X$ be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space satisfying $X = X^n$. Then, $\mathcal{H}^n(X) \leq \mathcal{H}^n(S^n(1))$. Moreover, the equality holds if and only if $X$ is isometric to $S^n(1)$.

Furthermore, the author proves the following sphere theorem ([15]): For
given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have a positive number $\tau_n > 0$ satisfying the following: We assume that $X$ is a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space such that:

(i) $X = X^n$.
(ii) The following holds for $\epsilon \in (0, \tau_n)$:

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^n(X) &< \mathcal{H}^n(S^n(1)) + \epsilon.
\end{align*}

Then, there exists a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism between $X$ and $S^n(1)$ such that
the Lipschitz constants are contained in $\left(1 - \vartheta_n(\epsilon), 1 + \vartheta_n(\epsilon)\right)$.

We remark that the above Assumption (i) is essential because of Example 1.1.(ii).

We now concentrate on the case $n = 2$. We consider how much the above
volume condition (1.1) can be relaxed.

As one of the main results, we prove the following sphere theorem for
2-dimensional CAT(1)-spaces:

**Theorem A.** Let $X$ be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space
satisfying $X = X^2$ and

\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}^2(X) &< (3/2)\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1)).
\end{align*}

Then, $X$ is homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional sphere $S^2$.

**Remark 1.2.** The condition (1.2) is optimal for Theorem A because, for
$X = S^2(1) \cup HS^2(1)/\text{equator}$ as in Example 1.1.(iii), we see that $X = X^2$
$\mathcal{H}^2(X) = (3/2)\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1))$, and that $X$ is not homeomorphic to $S^2$. 


Remark 1.3. Without the assumption $X = \overline{X}^2$, we can observe an embedding of $S^2$ into a CAT(1)-space of the Hausdorff dimension $\leq 2$. In Section 5, we shall prove the following: Let $X$ be a locally compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space of the Hausdorff dimension $\leq 2$ with $\overline{X}^2 \neq \emptyset$ such that $H^2(X) < (3/2)H^2(S^2(1))$. Then, there exists a locally convex subset $Y \subset X$ such that $Y$ is a 2-dimensional Lipschitz manifold homeomorphic to $S^2$. Actually, $Y = \overline{X}^2$, and $Y$ is a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space with respect to the interior distance in $Y$.

Remark 1.4. At the same time proving Theorem A, we observe the following for smooth Riemannian manifolds: Let $M$ be a compact, smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension $n$ which is also a CAT(1)-space. Assume that $H^n(M) < (3/2)H^n(S^n(1))$. Then, $M$ is homeomorphic to an $n$-dimensional sphere $S^n$.

In smooth Riemannian case, Coghlan and Itokawa [9] have obtained the result related to Theorem A as follows: Let $M$ be a compact, simply connected Riemannian manifold of even dimension $m$. Assume that $M$ has positive sectional curvature with uniformly bounded above by $\kappa$, and that its volume $\text{vol}(M)$ satisfies $\text{vol}(M) \leq (3/2)\text{vol}(S^m(1)) / \kappa^{m/2}$. Then, $M$ is homeomorphic to $S^m(1)$.

In our general case, we furthermore obtain the following:

**Theorem B.** Let $X$ be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space satisfying $X = \overline{X}^2$ and $H^2(X) = (3/2)H^2(S^2(1))$. Then, $X$ is either homeomorphic to $S^2$ or isometric to $S^2(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}^2(1) / \text{equator}$.

In Section 5, we also investigate the number of the homotopy types of CAT(1)-spaces: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $V > 0$, let us denote by $\mathcal{C}(n, V)$ the isometry classes of all compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-spaces such that $X = \overline{X}^n$ and $H^n(X) \leq V$. Then, the number of the homotopy types of $\mathcal{C}(n, V)$ is bounded above by a constant depending only on $n$ and $V$.

1.4. The outline of our proofs of main theorems. First, we simply review the convergence theorem, which is studied in [15], for compact, geodesically complete CAT($\kappa$)-spaces: For a given CAT($\kappa$)-space with weak singularities in some sense, let us consider the other CAT($\kappa$)-space sufficiently close to it with respect to the Gromov-Hausdorff distance. Then, we have an almost isometry, and hence a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism between them. (See Section 2.)

We also have volume comparison for CAT($\kappa$)-spaces (cf. [15]), i.e., the opposite inequalities to the well-known of Bishop type and of Bishop-Gromov type for smooth Riemannian manifolds with curvature bounded below.

Let $X$ be the 2-dimensional one as in Theorem A. Then, using the volume comparison, (1.2), and the convergence theorem ([15]), we can prove
the following: Every point in $X$ as in Theorem A has a neighborhood homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional open disk, in particular, $X$ is a 2-dimensional topological manifold. More generally, for a given point, we also obtain the optimal local volume growth condition to possess a neighborhood homeomorphic to a 2-disk in Section 3. Namely, we obtain the following:

**Proposition C.** For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, let us denote by $X$ a locally compact, geodesically complete CAT($\kappa$)-space. Assume that a point $x \in X$ satisfies the following: $H^2(B_x(t;X))/\omega^2_\kappa(T) < 3/2$ for some $T \in (0,D_x]$. Then, there exists a positive number $t = t_x > 0$ such that $B_x(t;X)$ is homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional, Euclidean open disk $B^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Here, we denote by $B_x(t;X)$ the open $t$-ball centered at $x \in X$, and by $\omega^2_\kappa(T)$ the 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure of a $T$-ball in $M_\kappa^2$.

**Remark 1.5.** The local structure of locally compact, geodesically complete CAT($\kappa$)-spaces, especially of dimension 2, has been already studied by Kleiner, Burago and Buyalo [8]. Proposition C can be also proved by using their studies mentioned in Section 3 in [8].

Furthermore, (1.2) implies that $X$ as in Theorem A can be covered by two contractible open balls. Then, the Jordan curve theorem concludes that $X$ is homeomorphic to a 2-sphere. Thereby, we prove Theorem A.

We next consider $X$ as in Theorem B. We denote by \{z_i\} $\subset X$ a maximal $\pi$-discrete set, i.e., $d_X(z_i,z_j) \geq \pi$ for $i \neq j$. Then, the volume comparison and the assumption $H^2(X) = (3/2)H^2(S^2(1))$ in Theorem B imply that $\sharp \{z_i\} = 2$ or 3 for any maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_i\} \subset X$. If $\sharp \{z_i\} = 2$ for any such $\{z_i\} \subset X$, then $X$ is homeomorphic to a 2-sphere from the similar idea to that in the proof of Theorem A. Assume that $\sharp \{z_i\} = 3$ for some maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_i\} \subset X$. Then, from a volume rigidity, $X$ is the union of the closed convex subsets isometric to the unit hemisphere with pole $z_i, i = 1,2,3$. Considering how the boundaries of the unit hemispheres meet each other, we can show that $X$ is either homeomorphic to a 2-sphere or isometric to $S^2(1) \sqcup H^2(1)/\text{equator}$. In this way, we prove Theorem B.

**1.5. The organization of this paper.** The organization of this paper is as follows:

Section 2: We discuss the fundamental properties and the known facts for CAT($\kappa$)-spaces.

Section 3: We observe the existence of 2-disk neighborhoods in CAT($\kappa$)-spaces, and show Proposition C.

Section 4: We prove Theorems A and B.

Section 5: We research some topological embeddings into CAT($\kappa$)-spaces of the Hausdorff dimension $\leq 2$. 
Section 6: We provide some prospects for the study of CAT(κ)-spaces from a topological viewpoint.

\[ S^n(1) \quad [0, \pi] \quad X \]

Figure 1. Example 1.1.(ii).

\[ S^n(1) \quad \mathbb{H}S^n(1) \quad X \]

Figure 2. Example 1.1.(iii).

2. Preliminaries.

In this section, we list the basic properties and the known facts of CAT(κ)-spaces, spaces with curvature bounded above, which will be needed in the subsequent sections.

Let \((X, d_X)\) be a complete metric space. We denote by \(B_x(t; X)\) (resp. \(\overline{B}_x(t; X)\)) the open (resp. closed) metric ball with radius \(t > 0\) centered at \(x \in X\).

2.1. Spaces with curvature bounded above and various radii. For \(\kappa \in \mathbb{R}\), we say that \(X\) is an Alexandrov space with curvature bounded above by \(\kappa\) if \(X\) is locally CAT(\(\kappa\)), i.e., if for every \(x \in X\) there exists a positive number \(R = R_x \in (0, D_\kappa/2]\) such that \(\overline{B}_x(R; X)\) is a CAT(\(\kappa\))-space. Then, we remark that, \(\overline{B}_x(R; X)\) is a convex subset in \(X\) for \(R \in (0, D_\kappa/2]\).

Let \(x \in X\) be a point in an Alexandrov spaces with curvature \(\leq \kappa\). We then define various radii at \(x\) as follows:
• The injectivity radius at $x$, $\text{InjRad}(x)$, is defined as the supremum of $R > 0$ satisfying the following: For every $y \in B_x(R; X)$, $x$ and $y$ are joined by the unique minimizing geodesic $xy$.

• The $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$-radius at $x$, $\text{CAT}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x)$, the supremum of $R \in (0, D_\kappa/2]$ satisfying: $\overline{B}_x(R; X)$ is a $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$-space.

• The comparable radius at $x$, $\text{Comp}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x)$, the supremum of $R \in (0, D_\kappa]$ satisfying: For every two points $y, z \in B_x(R; X)$ which satisfy $d_X(x, y) + d_X(y, z) + d_X(z, x) < 2D_\kappa$, there exists a geodesic triangle $\triangle(x, y, z) \subset X$ with the vertices $x, y, z$ such that $\triangle(x, y, z)$ has the $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$-property.

Then, by definition, we have

$$0 < \text{CAT}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x) \leq \text{Comp}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x) \leq \text{InjRad}(x).$$

Moreover, if $X$ itself is a $\text{CAT}(\kappa)$-space, then for any $x \in X$ we have

$$2\text{CAT}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x) = \text{Comp}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x) = D_\kappa.$$

### 2.2. Spaces of directions and the tangent cones

For complete metric space $X$, we say that $X$ is geodesically complete if every (nontrivial) geodesic is contained in a geodesic whose domain of the parameterization is a whole real line.

For a while, let $X$ denote a locally compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature $\leq \kappa$.

For $x \in X$, we write $\Sigma_x X := \{xy \mid y \in X \setminus \{x\}\} / \angle_x = 0$, called the space of directions at $x$, where $\angle_x$ is the angle at $x$. The direction $v_{xy} \in \Sigma_x X$ often denotes $[xy] \in \Sigma_x X$. We write $C_x X$, called the tangent cone at $x$, as the Euclidean cone $\Sigma_x X \times [0, \infty) / \Sigma_x X \times \{0\}$. Note that $\Sigma_x X$ is a compact, geodesically complete $\text{CAT}(1)$-space, and that $C_x X$ is a locally compact, geodesically complete $\text{CAT}(0)$-space ([1], [2]). We also remark that $(C_x X, \star)$ is isometric to the (pointed) Gromov-Hausdorff limit of $(\frac{1}{t} X, \pi)$ as $t \downarrow 0$, where $\star \in C_x X$ is the vertex of the cone.

### 2.3. Branch points and their measure

We here introduce the notion of branch points by Otsu and Tanoue ([17]) for representing singularities in spaces with curvature bounded above.

For $\delta > 0$ and $x \in X$, a point $z \in X$ is a $\delta$-branch point of $x$ if the following holds: $\text{diam} \{v \in \Sigma_x X \mid \angle_z(v_{zx}, v) = \pi\} \geq \delta$. We denote by $S_{x, \delta}$ the set of all $\delta$-branch points of $x$. Furthermore, we define $S_\delta(X) := \cup \{S_{x, \delta} \mid x \in X\}$, called $\delta$-branch points in $X$. Note that both $S_{x, \delta}$ and $S_\delta(X)$ are closed in $X$ for any $x \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ ([17], [15]).

For given positive integer $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we write

$$X^n := \{x \in X \mid \dim \Sigma_x X = n - 1\},$$

$$\overline{X}^n := \{x \in X \mid \dim B_x(t; X) = n \text{ for any sufficiently small } t > 0\},$$
\[
\hat{X}^n := \{ x \in X | \dim B_x(t;X) \leq n \text{ for some } t > 0 \},
\]

where \( \hat{X}^n \) is the same one as that defined in Section 1. Furthermore, as some singular sets, we write \( S^n_X := \{ x \in \hat{X}^n | \Sigma_x X \neq S^{n-1}(1) \} \).

Otsu and Tanoue ([16], [17]) study the Hausdorff measures of singular points as follows:

**Theorem 2.1** ([16], [17]). For a given positive integer \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we assume that \( B_x(T; X) \subset \hat{X}^n \) for some \( T \in (0, \text{CAT}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x)) \). Then, we obtain the following:

(i) \( \mathcal{H}^n(S_y \cap B_x(T;X)) = 0 \) for any \( \delta > 0 \).

(ii) \( \mathcal{H}^n(S^n_x \cap B_x(T;X)) = 0 \).

In particular, if \( \mathcal{H}^n(B_x(t;X)) > 0 \) also holds for \( t \in (0, T) \), then there exists a point \( y \in B_x(t;X) \) in an \( \mathcal{H}^n \)-full measure subset in \( B_x(t;X) \) such that \( \Sigma_y X = S^{n-1}(1) \).

Here, we remark the following ([15]): \( \hat{X}^n \subset X^n \) holds for given \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). Moreover, if \( X = \hat{X}^n \) also holds, then \( \hat{X}^n = X^n \).

Furthermore, the author ([15]) verifies the following:

**Lemma 2.2** ([15]). For given \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), assume that \( B_x(T;X) \subset \hat{X}^n \) for some \( x \in X \) and \( T > 0 \). Then, we obtain \( \Sigma_x X = (\Sigma_x \hat{X})^{n-1} \) and \( C_x X = (C_x \hat{X})^n \).

**2.4. Convention.** For metric spaces \( Y \) and \( Z \), a map \( f_1 : Y \to Z \) is called an expanding map if \( d_Z(f_1(y_1), f_1(y_2)) \geq d_Y(y_1, y_2) \) holds for every \( y_1, y_2 \in Y \).

For \( \vartheta > 0 \), a surjective map \( f_2 : Y \to Z \) is said to be a \( \vartheta \)-almost isometry if \( |d_Z(f_2(y_1), f_2(y_2)) - d_Y(y_1, y_2)| < \vartheta d_Y(y_1, y_2) \) for every \( y_1, y_2 \in Y \). We note that: If \( \vartheta < 1 \), then the map \( f_2 \) is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism. Furthermore, if \( f_2 \) is a \( \vartheta \)-almost isometry for any \( \vartheta > 0 \), then \( f_2 \) is an isometry.

**2.5. Convergence theorems.** We now denote by \( d_{GH} \) the Gromov-Hausdorff distance (cf. [10]).

The following is the convergence theorem which is mentioned in Section 1 for spaces with only weak singularities:

**Theorem 2.3** ([15]). For given constants \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \) and \( R_0 > 0 \), we find a positive constant \( \delta = \delta_n > 0 \) with the following properties: Let \( X \) denote a compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature \( \leq \kappa \) satisfying \( X = \hat{X}^n \) and \( S_\delta(X) = \emptyset \) for \( \delta \in (0, \delta_n) \). We then find an \( \hat{\vartheta} = \hat{\vartheta}_{\kappa,n,R_0,\delta} > 0 \) satisfying the following: If \( Y \) is a compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature \( \leq \kappa \) such that \( \text{CAT}_\kappa \text{Rad}(y) \geq R_0 \) for any \( y \in Y \), and that \( d_{GH}(X, Y) < \epsilon \) for \( \epsilon \in (0, \hat{\vartheta}) \), then there exists a \( (\vartheta_n(\delta) + \vartheta_{\kappa,n,R_0,\delta}(\epsilon)) \)-almost isometry \( \Psi : Y \to X \).
Remark 2.4. The construction of the almost isometry discussed in [15] guarantees that there also exists an almost isometry between some \(d_{GH}\)-close local parts with only weak singularities.

In [15], using Theorem 2.3, the author studies volume convergence theorems for Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded above. As one of them, we obtain the following local volume regularity:

**Theorem 2.5 ([15]).** Let \(X\) be a locally compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature \(\leq \kappa\). If \(x \in X^n\) holds for given \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), then we have

\[
\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_x(t; X))}{t^n} = \mathcal{H}^n(B_{\star}(1; C_x X)) \in (0, \infty).
\]

Here, \(\star \in C_x X\) is the vertex of the Euclidean cone.

### 2.6. Volume comparison for spaces with curvature bounded above.

For \(\kappa \in \mathbb{R}\) and \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), we denote by \(\omega_n^\kappa(t)\) the \(n\)-dimensional Hausdorff measure of a \(t\)-ball in \(M^n_\kappa\). Let \(X\) be a locally compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature \(\leq \kappa\).

The following absolute volume comparison can be obtained by the CAT(\(\kappa\))-property ([15]):

**Proposition 2.6 ([15]).** For given \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), we have

\[
\mathcal{H}^n(B_x(t; X)) \geq \omega_n^\kappa(t)
\]

for any \(x \in X^n\) and \(t \in [0, \text{Comp}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x)]\).

Furthermore, assume that \(B_x(t; X) \subset X^n\) for \(t \in [0, \text{CAT}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x)]\). Then, the equality in (2.1) holds if and only if the convex set \(B_x(t; X)\) is isometric to \(B_{\overline{x}}(t; M^n_\kappa)\) for a given point \(\overline{x} \in M^n_\kappa\).

In fact, the inequality (2.1) is obtained by the following:

**Lemma 2.7 ([15]).** For given \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), we take a point \(x \in X^n\). Then, there exists an expanding map \(g_x : S^{n-1}(1) \to \Sigma_x X\).

We now define \(\partial B_x(t; X) := \{ y \in X | d_X(x, y) = t \}\). We then provide the coarea formula for the distance functions (cf. [15]):

**Lemma 2.8.** For given \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), assume that \(\mathcal{H}^n(B_x(T; X)) < \infty\) for \(x \in X\) and \(T \in (0, \text{Comp}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x))\). Then, we have

\[
\mathcal{H}^n(B_x(T; X)) = \int_0^T \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\partial B_x(t; X)) dt.
\]

The following relative volume comparison can be also obtained by Lemma 2.8 and the CAT(\(\kappa\))-property ([15]):
Proposition 2.9 ([15]). For given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \overline{X}^n$, let us define the function $F : (0, \text{Comp}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x)] \to [1, +\infty]$ as

$$F(t) := \mathcal{H}^n(B_x(t; X))/\omega^n_\kappa(t).$$

Then, $F$ is monotone non-decreasing as $t \nearrow$.

Remark 2.10. In general, $F(t)$ as in Proposition 2.9 does not necessarily converge to 1 as $t \searrow 0$. More precisely, by Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following (cf. [15]):

$$F(t) = \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_x(t; X))}{\mathcal{H}^n(B_\star(1; C_x X))} = \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Sigma_x X)}{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S^{n-1}(1))}$$

as $t \searrow 0$, where $\star$ is the vertex of $C_x X$.

3. Two dimensional disk neighborhoods in spaces with curvature bounded above.

In this section, we observe some topological properties of spaces with curvature bounded above. We also prove Proposition C.

For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$, let $X$ denote a locally compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature $\leq \kappa$, and let $x \in X$ satisfy $x \in \overline{X}^2$. We now consider its space of directions $\Sigma_x X$. Then, $\dim \Sigma_x X = 1$, and hence $\Sigma_x X$ has a structure of finite graph equipped with the vertex set containing $S_\pi(\Sigma_x X)$ (cf. Lemma 2.9 in [14]). If $\Sigma_x X$ is homeomorphic to $S^1$ and its length is sufficiently close to $2\pi$, then we see that $x \in X$ has a 2-dimensional disk neighborhood. This follows from Theorem 3.1 in [8], which is obtained by Kleiner, stated by Burago and Buyalo.

More generally, we obtain the following:

Proposition 3.1. Let $x \in X$ be a point in a locally compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space $X$ with curvature $\leq \kappa$ such that $\Sigma_x X$ is homeomorphic to $S^1$. Then, we have a positive number $t = t_x > 0$ such that $B_x(t; X)$ is bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to $B_\star(t; C_x X)$; in particular, $B_x(t; X)$ is homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional open disk $B^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$.

Remark 3.2. Let $x \in X$ be as in Proposition 3.1. Then, as a consequence, we see that $x \in \overline{X}^2$.

Remark 3.3. Proposition 3.1 can be proved by using Theorem 3.1 in [8] since $C_x X$ is the Euclidean cone over a circle. The details are omitted.

Remark 3.4. Now, let us consider an Alexandrov space $X$ with curvature $\leq \kappa$ so that $X$ is a 2-dimensional topological manifold without boundary. Then, it is known by Alexandrov that $X$ is locally geodesically complete. In particular, $\Sigma_x X$ is also compact and geodesically complete for every $x \in X$. In this case, Proposition 3.1 in [8] shows that $\Sigma_x X$ is homeomorphic to
Therefore, we see that $X$ is locally bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^2$. Namely, $X$ is a 2-dimensional Lipschitz manifold.

For $0 < t < T$, we denote by $A_x(t; X) := B_x(T; X) \setminus \overline{B}_x(t; X)$ the metric annulus around $x$.

In this paper, we show Proposition 3.1 by applying Theorem 2.3 adequately since $C_x X$ is of 2-dimension:

**Proof of Proposition 3.1.** Now, we note that every point in $C_x X \setminus \{⋆\}$ has the space of directions isometric to $S^1(1)$ since $\Sigma_x X$ is a circle, Also, $B_⋆(1; C_x X)$ is an open 2-disk. We consider the (topological) annulus $A_⋆(1, 1/2; C_x X)$.

Since $B_x(1; \lambda X)$ converges to $B_⋆(1; C_x X)$ as $\lambda \to \infty$, we obtain the following: For any $\epsilon > 0$, we have a sufficiently large number $J \gg 1$ such that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $d_{GH}(B_x(1; 2^{J+j} X), B_⋆(1; C_x X)) < \epsilon$. Considering $A_⋆(1, 1/2; C_x X)$, we obtain the following from the arguments discussed in [15] (cf. Theorem 2.3, Remark 2.4):

**Claim 3.5.** For each $j$, we have a $\vartheta(\epsilon)$-almost isometry

$$\tilde{h}_j : 2^{J+j} X \supset \tilde{U}_j \to A_⋆(1, 1/2; C_x X)$$

for some open set $\tilde{U}_j$ satisfying:

$$A_x(1 - \vartheta(\epsilon), (1/2) + \vartheta(\epsilon); 2^{J+j} X) \subset \tilde{U}_j \subset A_x(1 + \vartheta(\epsilon), (1/2) - \vartheta(\epsilon); 2^{J+j} X).$$

Hence, by Claim 3.5, we obtain a homeomorphism

$$h_j : X \supset U_j := (1/2^{J+j}) \tilde{U}_j \to A_o(1/2^{J+j}, 1/2^{J+j+2}; \mathbb{R}^2),$$

where $o \in \mathbb{R}^2$ is the origin.

Next, assume that we have a homeomorphism

$$H_j : \bigcup_{k=0}^j U_k \to A_o(1/2^j, 1/2^{j+j+2}; \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Then, using $h_{j+1}$, we can construct a homeomorphism

$$H_{j+1} : \bigcup_{k=0}^{j+1} U_k \to A_o(1/2^j, 1/2^{j+j+3}; \mathbb{R}^2)$$

such that $H_{j+1}|_{\bigcup_{k=0}^j U_k} = H_j$, which is guaranteed by (3.1). Hence, we can define the map

$$H_\infty : B_x(1/2^j; X) = \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty U_k \to B_o(1/2^j; \mathbb{R}^2)$$
with \( H_\infty(x) := \mathbf{o} \) such that \( H_\infty\big|_{\bigcup_{j \neq k} U_j} = H_j \), \( j = 0, \ldots, \infty \). Then, \( H_\infty \) is a homeomorphism, which completes the Proof of Proposition 3.1. \( \square \)

Now, for a given point, we provide the following local volume growth condition to ensure a 2-disk neighborhood, which is a generalization of Proposition C:

**Proposition 3.6.** For \( \kappa \in \mathbb{R} \), let us denote by \( X \) a locally compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature \( \leq \kappa \). Assume that a point \( x \in X^2 \) satisfies the following:

\[
\mathcal{H}^2(B_x(T; X)) / \omega^2_\kappa(T) < 3/2 \tag{3.2}
\]

for some \( T \in (0, \text{Comp}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x)] \). Then, we have a positive number \( t = t_x > 0 \) such that \( B_x(t; X) \) is homeomorphic to a 2-dimensional open disk \( B^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \).

**Remark 3.7.** The above local volume growth condition (3.2) is optimal for Proposition 3.6: Actually, consider \( X = S^2(1) \sqcup H\mathbb{S}^2(1)/\text{equator} \), as in Example 1.1, which is a CAT(1)-space. Then, every point \( x \in X^2 \) in the attached equator satisfies \( \mathcal{H}^2(B_x(T; X)) / \omega^2_\kappa(T) = 3/2 \) for any \( T \in (0, \pi] \), and \( x \) never possess a neighborhood homeomorphic to a 2-disk.

**Proof of Proposition 3.6.** By Proposition 2.9 and the assumption (3.2), we have

\[
\frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_x(t; X))}{\omega^n_1(t)} \leq \frac{\mathcal{H}^n(B_x(T; X))}{\omega^n_1(T)} < \frac{3}{2}
\]

for any \( t \in (0, T] \), \( n = 2 \). It then follows from Lemma 2.7 and (2.10) that

\[
\frac{3}{2} > \frac{\mathcal{H}^2(B_x(t; X))}{\omega^2_1(t)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Sigma_x X)}{\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S^{n-1}(1))} \geq 1
\]

as \( t \searrow 0 \). Now, note that \( \Sigma_x X = \overline{(\Sigma_x X)}^1 \) by Lemma 2.2.

Next, we investigate the following 1-dimensional case:

**Proposition 3.8.** Let \( X \) be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space satisfying \( X = X^1 \) and

\[
\mathcal{H}^1(X) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^1(S^1(1)).
\]

Then, \( X \) is homeomorphic to \( S^1 \).

**Proof.** Since \( X = X^1 \), we see that \( X \) has a structure of finite graph equipped with the vertex set containing \( S_\pi(X) \) (cf. Lemma 2.9 in [14]).

Suppose that \( S_\pi(X) \neq \emptyset \). Taking \( x \in S_\pi(X) \), we have (at least three) minimizing geodesics \( \gamma_{x,i} : [0, \pi] \rightarrow X, i = 1, 2, 3 \), with \( \gamma_{x,i}(0) = x \) such that \( \gamma_{x,i}([0, \pi]) \cap \gamma_{x,j}([0, \pi]) = \emptyset \) for \( i \neq j \) since InjRad(\( x \)) \( \geq \pi \). This implies
that $\mathcal{H}^1(X) \geq (3/2)\mathcal{H}^1(S^1(1))$, which contradicts to the present assumption. Hence, $S_\pi(X) = \emptyset$, and hence $X$ is homeomorphic to $S^1$. □

Recall that the point $x \in X^2$ in Proposition 3.6 has the space of directions $\Sigma_x X$ which is a CAT(1)-space as researched in Proposition 3.8. Therefore, Propositions 3.1 and 3.8 conclude Proposition 3.6. □

Thus, we have shown Proposition C. □

4. A sphere theorem for 2-dimensional CAT(1)-spaces.

In this section, we prove Theorems A and B.

4.1. Proof of Theorem A. First, we observe the following metric properties:

Lemma 4.1. For given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $X$ be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space with $X = \overline{X^n}$ such that $\mathcal{H}^n(X) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^n(S^n(1))$. Then, the following hold:

(i) For any $x \in X$, we have $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\Sigma_x X) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(S^{n-1}(1))$.
(ii) For $z_1, z_2 \in X$ with $d_X(z_1, z_2) = \text{diam} X$, we obtain

$$X = B_{z_1}(\pi; X) \cup B_{z_2}(\pi; X).$$

Proof. (i): Now, (i) follows from the similar argument as that discussed in the Proof of Proposition 3.6.

(ii): Suppose that, $X \neq B_{z_1}(\pi; X) \cup B_{z_2}(\pi; X)$, i.e., we have a point $z_3 \in X$ satisfying $d_X(z_i, z_3) \geq \pi, i = 1, 2$. Then, since $X$ is geodesically complete, we have $d_X(z_1, z_2) = \text{diam} X \geq \pi$. Hence, we obtain $B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X) \cap B_{z_j}(\pi/2; X) = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, 3$. Then, by Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^n(X) \geq \mathcal{H}^n\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^3 B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X)\right) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{H}^n\left(B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X)\right)$$

$$\geq 3\omega_2^1(\pi/2) = (3/2)\mathcal{H}^n(S^n(1)).$$

This contradicts to the present assumption $\mathcal{H}^n(X) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^n(S^n(1))$, which proves (ii). □

Here, we prove Theorem A:

Proof of Theorem A. Let $X$ denote a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space satisfying $X = \overline{X^2}$ and $\mathcal{H}^2(X) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1))$. Then, by Proposition 3.6 and Lemma 4.1.(i), $X$ is a 2-dimensional topological manifold.

Now, we note that $X$ can be covered by two contractible open sets by Lemma 4.1.(ii). Hence, since $X$ is 2-dimensional, we obtain

$$X = B_1^2 \cup B_2^2$$
for some open 2-disks $B^2_1, B^2_2$. Then, the Jordan curve theorem concludes that $X$ is homeomorphic to $S^2$.

In this way, we have completed the Proof of Theorem A. \qed

**4.2. Proof of Theorem B.** First, we study the 1-dimensional case:

**Proposition 4.2.** Let $X$ be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space with $X = \overline{X}^1$ such that $\mathcal{H}^1(X) = (3/2)\mathcal{H}^1(S^1(1))$. Then, $X$ is either a circle or isometric to $S^1(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}S^1(1)/\text{equator}$.

Then, we again recall that $X$ has a structure of finite graph equipped with the vertex set containing $S_\pi(X)$ since $X = \overline{X}^1$.

Here, let $S^1(1) \sqcup [0, \pi]/\rho=\{0\}, \hat{\rho}=\{\pi\}$ denote the CAT(1)-space as in Example 1.1.(ii). Then, note that $S^1(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}S^1(1)/\text{equator}$ is isometric to $S^1(1) \sqcup [0, \pi]/\rho=\{0\}, \hat{\rho}=\{\pi\}$.

**Proof of Proposition 4.2.** Let us denote by $\{z_i\}$ a maximal $\pi$-discrete set in $X$, i.e., $d_X(z_i, z_j) \geq \pi$ for $i \neq j$.

First, note that $\sharp\{z_i\} \geq 2$ for any maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_i\} \subset X$ since $X$ is geodesically complete. On the other hand, from Proposition 2.6 and the present assumption $\mathcal{H}^1(X) = (3/2)\mathcal{H}^1(S^1(1))$, we can conclude that $\sharp\{z_i\} \leq 3$ for any such $\{z_i\} \subset X$.

**Claim 4.3.** Let $X$ be as in Proposition 4.2. If $\sharp\{z_i\} = 2$ for any maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_i\} \subset X$, then $X$ is a circle.

**Proof.** Take a maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_1, z_2\} \subset X$. It then follows from the maximality of $\{z_1, z_2\} \subset X$ that $X = B_{z_1}(\pi; X) \cup B_{z_2}(\pi; X)$.

Suppose that some point $x \in X$ is contained in $S_\pi(X)$, i.e., $x$ is the vertex of $X$. Then, since $\text{InjRad}(x) \geq \pi$, we have at least three minimizing geodesics $\gamma_{x,k} : [0, \pi] \to X$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, with $\gamma_{x,k}(0) = x$ such that $\gamma_{x,k}([0, \pi]) \cap \gamma_{x,l}([0, \pi]) = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$. Hence, we obtain a $\pi$-discrete set $\{\gamma_{x,k}(\pi/2)\}_{k=1,2,3} \subset X$. This is a contradiction to the assumption in Claim 4.3. Hence, $X$ is a circle. \qed

**Claim 4.4.** Let $X$ be as in Proposition 4.2. If $\sharp\{z_i\} = 3$ for some maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_i\} \subset X$, then $X$ is isometric to the CAT(1)-space $S^1(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}S^1(1)/\text{equator}$.

**Proof.** In this case, by Proposition 2.6 and the present volume assumption $\mathcal{H}^1(X) = (3/2)\mathcal{H}^1(S^1(1))$, we see that $B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X)$ is isometric to $[0, \pi]$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$. Considering how $B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X), i = 1, 2, 3$, meet each other, we can show that $X = S^1(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}S^1(1)/\text{equator}$. \qed

Therefore, Claims 4.3 and 4.4 conclude Proposition 4.2.
Here, let us begin proving Theorem B:

**Proof of Theorem B.** For a while, we denote by $X$, as in Theorem B, a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space with $X = \mathbb{H}^2$ such that $\mathcal{H}(X) = (3/2)\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{S}^2(1))$. Then, similarly to the Proof of Proposition 4.2, we see that $\sharp\{z_i\} = 2$ or 3 for any maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_i\} \subset X$ from Proposition 2.6 and the present assumption $\mathcal{H}(X) = (3/2)\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{S}^2(1))$. We now note that, for any $x \in X$, the space of directions $\Sigma_x X$ is either a circle or isometric to $\mathbb{S}^1(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}^2(1)/\text{equator}$ by Lemma 2.2, (2.2), Propositions 3.8 and 4.2.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let $X$ be as in Theorem B. If $\sharp\{z_i\} = 2$ for any maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_i\} \subset X$, then $X$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$.

**Proof.** For a maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_1, z_2\} \subset X$, we have

$$X = B_{z_1}(\pi; X) \cup B_{z_2}(\pi; X)$$

from the maximality of $\{z_1, z_2\} \subset X$.

We next show that $\Sigma_x X$ is a circle for every $x \in X$. Suppose that $\Sigma_x X$ is isometric to $\mathbb{S}^1(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}^2(1)/\text{equator}$, and hence, isometric to $\mathbb{S}^1(1) \sqcup [0, \pi]/\gamma_k = [0, \pi] \rightarrow \Sigma_x X$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, such that $\gamma_k(0) = p, \gamma_k(\pi) = \hat{p}$, and that $\gamma_k((0, \pi)) \cap \gamma_l((0, \pi)) = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$. We now take the direction $v_k := \gamma_k(\pi/2) \in \Sigma_x X$, and a point $y_k \in X$ satisfying $v_k = y_k \in \Sigma_x X$ and $d_X(x, y_k) = \pi/2$. Since $\angle_X(y_k, y_l) = \pi$ for $k \neq l$ in this case, $\{y_k\}_{k=1,2,3} \subset X$ forms a $\pi$-discrete set in $X$. This is a contradiction to the assumption in Lemma 4.5. Hence, $\Sigma_x X$ is a circle for every $x \in X$.

Therefore, by Proposition 3.1, the space $X$ is a 2-dimensional topological manifold. Similarly to the Proof of Theorem A, we can show that $X$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$. \hfill $\Box$

**Lemma 4.6.** Let $X$ be as in Theorem B. If $\sharp\{z_i\} = 3$ for some maximal $\pi$-discrete set $\{z_i\} \subset X$, then $X$ is either homeomorphic to $\mathbb{S}^2$ or isometric to $\mathbb{S}^2(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}^2(1)/\text{equator}$.

**Proof of Lemma 4.6.** In this case, by Proposition 2.6 and the assumption $\mathcal{H}(X) = (3/2)\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{S}^2(1))$, we also see that $B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X)$ is isometric to $\mathbb{H}^2(1)$ for each $i = 1, 2, 3$, and that $X = \cup\{B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X)|i = 1, 2, 3\}$.

Next, we observe how $B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X), i = 1, 2, 3$, meet each other along their boundaries $\mathbb{S}^1(1) := \partial B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X)$.

**Claim 4.7.** $B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X) \cap B_{z_j}(\pi/2; X) = \mathbb{S}^1(1) \cap \mathbb{S}^1(1)$ is a nonempty subset of $X$ for each $i \neq j$.

**Proof.** We here only verify that $B_{z_i}(\pi/2; X) \cap B_{z_j}(\pi/2; X) \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \neq j$. 

Suppose that $\bar{B}_{z_i}(\pi/2; X) \cap \bar{B}_{z_j}(\pi/2; X) = \emptyset$ for some $i \neq j$. Then, for such $i$, we have $\bar{B}_{z_i}(\pi/2; X) \cap \bar{B}_{z_k}(\pi/2; X) \neq \emptyset$, in particular, we see that $\bar{B}_{z_i}(\pi/2; X) \cap \bar{B}_{z_k}(\pi/2; X)$ is a closed, convex subset isometric to $S^1(1)$ since $X$ is geodesically complete.

On the other hand, for such $j$, the set $\bar{B}_{z_j}(\pi/2; X) \cap \bar{B}_{z_k}(\pi/2; X)$ is also a closed, convex subset isometric to $S^1(1)$, which yields a contradiction. \hfill \Box

Now, the connected finite graph $\cup S^1_i(1) = \cup \{S^1_i(1)|i = 1, 2, 3\}$ equips the interior distance $d_X$ because $S^1_i(1)$ is isometrically embedded in $X$. Hence, the injectivity radius of $\cup S^1_i(1)$ is not smaller than $\pi$.

Furthermore, the diameter of $\cup S^1_i(1)$ is equal to $\pi$: Actually, we only verify the following essential case: Some points $x_i \in S^1_i(1)$ and $x_j \in S^1_j(1)$ satisfy $x_i \notin S^1_j(1)$ and $x_j \notin S^1_i(1)$. Then, by Claim 4.7, we have $x_i, x_j \in S^1_k(1)$, and hence $d_X(x_i, x_j) \leq \pi$.

It is seen by Lemma 6.1 in [4] (cf. [6]) that such a graph $\cup S^1_i(1)$ is isometric to either $S^1(1)$ or $S^1(1) \sqcup H^1(1)/\text{equator}$.

If $\cup S^1_i(1) = S^1(1)$, then $X$ is isometric to $S^2(1) \sqcup H^2(1)/\text{equator}$. If $\cup S^1_i(1) = S^1(1) \sqcup H^1(1)/\text{equator}$, then $X$ is homeomorphic to $S^2$. This completes the Proof of Lemma 4.6. \hfill \Box

Thereby, we have proved Theorem B. \hfill \Box

5. Topological embeddings of CAT($\kappa$)-spaces of dimension not greater than 2.

5.1. On the local structure of 2-dimensional spaces with curvature bounded above. The local structure of spaces with curvature bounded above has been studied by Burago and Buyalo [8], Kleiner [12], and others. We here observe the local structure of spaces of the Hausdorff dimension not greater than 2.

Let us denote by $X$ a locally compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature $\leq \kappa$ satisfying $X = \hat{X}^2$. Then, we obtain the following:

**Proposition 5.1.** $X = \overline{X^2} \cup \overline{X^1} \cup \overline{X^0}$. In particular, $\overline{X^2}$, $\overline{X^0}$ are closed, and $\overline{X^1}$ is open in $X$.

A direction $v \in \Sigma_x X$ is said to be isolated if $\angle_x(u, v) = \pi$ for any $u \in \Sigma_x X$. In this case, the subset $\{v\}$ itself is a connected component of $\Sigma_x X$.

To show Proposition 5.1, we first study isolated directions:

**Lemma 5.2.** Let $x \in X$ be a point possessing an isolated direction $v \in \Sigma_x X$. Then, we have a positive number $t = t_{x,v} > 0$ satisfying the following: If $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are minimizing geodesics emanating from $x$ directed by $v$, then $\gamma_1(t) = \gamma_2(t)$ holds for any $t \in (0, t)$. 


Proof. Suppose that this claim is not true. We may now assume the following: There exist \( y_i, z_i, w_i \in X \setminus \{x\} \) with \( y_i, z_i, w_i \to x \) such that:

(i) \( t_i := d_X(x, y_i) = d_X(x, z_i) \),

(ii) \( y_i \in xw_i, y_i \neq z_i \),

(iii) \( v = v_{xy} = v_{xz} = v_{xw_i} \in \Sigma_x X \).

Let \( p_i \in X \) be a point with \( z_i \in y_ip_i \) so that \( d_X(y_i, p_i) \) is uniformly constant. Then, we may assume that there is \( p_0 \neq x \) satisfying \( p_i \to p_0 \), \( xp_i \to xp_0 \), and \( v_{xp_i} \to v_{xp_0} \in \Sigma_x X \). Since \( \angle_x(y_i, z_i) = 0 \), the inequality \( \angle_y(w_i, p_i) \geq \pi/2 - \vartheta(t_i) \) follows from comparison geometry. Because \( v \in \Sigma_x X \) is isolated, we have \( \angle_x(y_i, p_0) = \pi \) from the upper semi-continuity of angles. The choice of \( p_i, p_0 \) implies that \( v_{xp_i}, v_{xp_0} \) are uniformly contained in the same connected component of \( \Sigma_x X \), which also implies \( \angle_x(y_i, p_i) = \pi \). Since \( x \not\in y_ip_i \), we obtain a contradiction. \( \square \)

Remark 5.3. Lemma 5.2 also holds independently of the assumption \( X = \mathbb{R}^2 \).

Proof of Proposition 5.1. Let us consider the essential case \( \overline{X}^0 = \emptyset \). Assume that we have a point \( x \in X \) with \( x \not\in \overline{X}^2 \). Then, since \( X = \mathbb{R}^2 \), there exists \( t > 0 \) such that \( \mathcal{H}^2(B_x(t; X)) = 0 \). Because of the existence of the Lipschitz onto map

\[
\log_x : B_x(t; X) \ni y \mapsto (v_{xy}, d_X(x, y)) \in B_{\star}(t; C_x X)
\]

\( (\log_x(x) := \star) \), we have \( \mathcal{H}^1(\Sigma_x X) = 0 \). This implies that \( \Sigma_x X \) is composed of at most finitely many isolated points. Hence, by Lemma 5.2, we have \( x \in \overline{X}^1 \).

Furthermore, it is known by [15] that \( \overline{X}^2 \) is closed. Therefore, we obtain Proposition 5.1. \( \square \)

Next, we investigate the 2-dimensional part. Let us define

\[
R^2_x(t) := \{ y \in X \mid y \in \overline{X}^2, d_X(x, y) < t \},
\]

\[
\overline{R}^2_x(t) := \{ y \in X \setminus \{x\} \mid v_{xy} \in (\Sigma_x X)^1, d_X(x, y) < t \} \cup \{x\}.
\]

Lemma 5.4. For any \( x \in \overline{X}^2 \), there exists a positive number \( t_x > 0 \) such that \( R^2_x(t) = \overline{R}^2_x(t) \) for any \( t \in (0, t_x) \).

Proof. To show \( R^2_x(t) \subset \overline{R}^2_x(t) \), suppose that we have some points \( y_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots \), converging to \( x \) with \( y_i \in \overline{X}^2 \) such that \( v_{xy_i} \in \Sigma_x X \) are isolated. Since \( \Sigma_x X \) is compact, we may assume \( v_{xy_i} = v \) for some isolated direction \( v \). Then, Lemma 5.2 yields that \( y_i \in \overline{X}^1 \) for any sufficiently large \( i \), which is a contradiction.

On the other hand, suppose that we have a point \( y \in \overline{R}^2_x(t) \) such that \( y \not\in \overline{X}^2 \). Now, \( y \in \overline{X}^1 \) follows from Proposition 5.1. Then, the existence of
log₂ implies that \(v_{xy}\) is isolated, which is a contradiction. Hence, we obtain \(R_x^2(t) \subset R_y^2(t)\).

We here claim the local convexity of the 2-dimensional part.

**Proposition 5.5.** For any \(x \in \mathbb{X}^2\), there exists \(t_x > 0\) such that \(R_x^2(t)\) is convex in \(X\) for any \(t \in (0, t_x)\). In other words, \(\mathbb{X}^2\) is locally convex in \(X\).

**Proof.** Suppose this claim is not true, i.e., suppose that there exist points \(y, z \in \mathbb{X}^2\) with \(y, z \rightarrow x\) such that we have a point \(w_i \in y, z \cap \mathbb{X}^1\).

If \(x \notin y, z\) for infinitely many \(i\), then \(v_{xyw_i}\) are isolated by Lemma 5.4. This implies that \(\angle_x(y, w_i) = \pi\), which yields a contradiction.

If \(x \in y, z\) for infinitely many \(i\), then without loss of generality we may assume that \(w_i \neq x\) is contained in \(xy\). Then, by Lemma 5.4, \(v_{xyw_i} = v_{xw_i} \in (\Sigma_x X)^1\), and hence \(w_i \in \mathbb{X}^2\). This is a contradiction. We thus prove Proposition 5.5. □

**Remark 5.6.** Let \(x \in \mathbb{X}^2\) be a point such that the space of directions \(\Sigma_x X\) is composed of a circle and finitely many points. Then, by Propositions 3.1 and 5.5, we completely understand the local topological structure around \(x\). Namely, \(R_x^2(t)\) is homeomorphic to \(B^2\), and \(B_x(t; X)\) is composed of \(R_x^2(t)\) and the finitely many minimizing geodesics emanating from \(x\) directed by the isolated directions for sufficiently small \(t > 0\). This proposition can be also proved by the results of Kleiner, Burago and Buyalo [8].

### 5.2. A topological embedding into CAT(1)-spaces of dimension \(\leq 2\)

Next, we prove the following which is a generalization of Theorem A:

**Theorem 5.7.** Let \(X\) be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space with \(X = \mathbb{X}^2\), \(\mathbb{X}^2 \neq \emptyset\) satisfying \(\mathcal{H}^2(X) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1))\). Then, the compact, locally convex subset \(Y := \mathbb{X}^2 \subset X\) is a Lipschitz manifold homeomorphic to \(S^2\). Moreover, \(Y\) is a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space with respect to the interior distance in \(Y\).

**Proof.** Now, we define \(Y := \mathbb{X}^2\). Then, \(\Sigma_x X\) is composed of a circle and at most finitely many points for every \(x \in Y\) from the assumption \(\mathcal{H}^2(X) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1))\) and the same argument as that discussed in Propositions 3.6 and 3.8. Hence, by Remark 5.6, \(R_x^2(t)\) is homeomorphic to \(B^2\), and \(B_x(t; X)\) is the union of \(R_x^2(t)\) and the finitely many minimizing geodesics emanating from \(x\) for sufficiently small \(t > 0\). Therefore, we see that \(Y\) is a compact, 2-dimensional Lipschitz manifold without boundary.

Let us consider the interior distance \(d_Y\) in \(Y\) induced from \(d_X\). Then, by Proposition 5.5, \(d_Y\) locally coincides with \(d_X\). Hence, \(Y\) is an Alexandrov space with curvature \(\leq 1\). Furthermore, for any \(y_1, y_2 \in Y\) with \(d_X(y_1, y_2) < \pi\), we have \(d_Y(y_1, y_2) = d_X(y_1, y_2)\) from the CAT(1)-property of \(X\). Since
InjRad($Y$) $\geq \pi$, we can show that $Y$ is a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space with $Y = \mathbb{Y}^2$ such that $\mathcal{H}^2(Y) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1))$. Therefore, Theorem A implies Theorem 5.7.

\[ \square \]

**Remark 5.8.** The set $Y$ as that stated in Theorem 5.7 is not necessarily globally convex in $X$ since a minimizing geodesic in $X$ joining $y_1, y_2 \in Y$ possibly passes through some 1-dimensional part.
6. Addendum from a topological view point

From the preceding observation, it is perspective to be shown that:

**Conjecture 6.1.** For given positive integer \( n \geq 3 \), let \( X \) be a compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space satisfying \( X = \overline{X}^n \) and the following: \( \mathcal{H}^n(X) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^n(S^n(1)) \). Then, \( X \) is homeomorphic to \( S^n \).

The author does not know an example of \( X \) as in the assumption in 6.1, which is not homeomorphic to \( S^n \).

Actually, by the arguments discussed above and the generalized Schoenflies theorem (cf. [21]), we can show the following which has been essentially proved by Coghlan and Itokawa [9]:

**Theorem 6.2.** Let \( M \) be a compact, smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension \( n \) which is also a CAT(1)-space. Assume that the following holds: \( \mathcal{H}^n(M) < (3/2)\mathcal{H}^n(S^n(1)) \). Then, \( M \) is homeomorphic to \( S^n \).

Also, in the previous section, Proposition 3.1 plays an important role to study spaces with curvature bounded above from a topological view point. As a natural question, we provide:

**Conjecture 6.3.** Let \( x \in X \) be a point in a locally compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov space with curvature \( \leq \kappa \) such that \( \Sigma_x X \) is homeomorphic to \( S^{n-1} \) for given \( n \geq 3 \). Then, \( x \) has a neighborhood homeomorphic to some \( n \)-dimensional open disk.

The essential part of the problem in 6.3 is to observe singular points with serious singularities because of Theorem 3.1 in [8].

For finite dimensional Alexandrov spaces with curvature bounded below, it is known that the proposition as in 6.3 is affirmative from Perelman’s stability theorem ([18]): For a given space, if the other space of the same dimension is sufficiently close to it with respect to \( d_{GH} \), then they are homeomorphic.

Our problem in 6.3 is different from that of the stability theorem. Kleiner ([12]) points out that, in general, the stability theorem does not hold for locally compact, geodesically complete spaces with curvature bounded above (cf. Example 2.7 in [14]).

In fact, for an arbitrary \( \epsilon > 0 \), we can construct an example of compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space \( X_\epsilon \) with \( X_\epsilon = \overline{X_\epsilon^n} \) satisfying the following:

(i) \( \mathcal{H}^2(X_\epsilon) \in \left( 2\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1)), 2\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1)) + \epsilon \right) \).

(ii) \( X_\epsilon \) admits no triangulation.

(iii) \( X_\epsilon \) converges to \( S^2(1) \sqcup S^2(1)/\text{equator} \) with respect to \( d_{GH} \) as \( \epsilon \to 0 \).

Here, \( S^2(1) \sqcup S^2(1)/\text{equator} \) denotes the quotient space obtained by gluing \( S^2(1) \) and \( S^2(1) \) along their equators. This example \( X_\epsilon \) can be constructed...
by the similar way to that stated in Example 2.7 in [14]. Roughly speaking, the construction of $X_{\epsilon}$ is as follows:

First, we construct a region $C_{\epsilon} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ as in Figure 3, composed of a sequence of quadrangles whose size tend to 0, surrounded by two piecewise broken curves $c_{\epsilon}$ and $\overline{c}_{\epsilon}$ joining $p_{\epsilon}$ and the limit point $\hat{p}_{\epsilon}$, such that the lengths of $c_{\epsilon}$ and $\overline{c}_{\epsilon}$ is not greater than $\pi$, and that the area of $C_{\epsilon}$ is bounded above by $\vartheta(\epsilon)$.

Next, we prepare a region $W_{\epsilon}^1 \subset S^2(1) = \mathbb{H}S^2(1) \sqcup \mathbb{H}S^2(1)/\text{equator}$ as in Figure 4 with its boundary $\partial W_{\epsilon}^1$ such that:

(i) $\mathbb{H}S^2(1)$ is a proper subset of $W_{\epsilon}^1$.

(ii) The area of $W_{\epsilon}^1 \setminus \mathbb{H}S^2(1)$ is bounded above by $\vartheta(\epsilon)$.

(iii) Let us also prepare another three regions $W_{\epsilon}^i$, $i = 2, 3, 4$, isometric to $W_{\epsilon}^1$. If we choose an appreciate subarc $\tau_{\epsilon}^i$ ($i = 1, 2, 3, 4$) of $\partial W_{\epsilon}^i$, then the quotient space $X_{\epsilon} := C_{\epsilon} \sqcup (\sqcup_{i=1}^4 W_{\epsilon}^i)/\sim$ made by the relations $\tau_{\epsilon}^1 = \tau_{\epsilon}^2$ and $\tau_{\epsilon}^3 = \tau_{\epsilon}^4$ is a compact, geodesically complete $\text{CAT}(1)$-space.

To realize this, we must be careful of the “geodesic curvature” (in a generalized sense) of $c_{\epsilon}, \overline{c}_{\epsilon}, \tau_{\epsilon}^i$, and $\partial W_{\epsilon}^i$.

In this way, we can obtain such a wild example $X_{\epsilon}$ which admits no triangulation around $\hat{p}_{\epsilon} \in C_{\epsilon} \subset X_{\epsilon}$. Furthermore, its construction implies that $X_{\epsilon}$ converges to $S^2(1) \sqcup S^2(1)/\text{equator}$ with respect to $d_{\text{GH}}$ as $\epsilon \to 0$, and then, $\mathcal{H}^2(X_{\epsilon}) \to \mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1) \sqcup S^2(1)/\text{equator})$.

We hence mention that the following problem is still open:
Problem 6.4. Describe the homeomorphism type of a given, compact, geodesically complete CAT(1)-space $X$ satisfying $X = \overline{X}^2$ and

$$\mathcal{H}^2(X) \in \left(\frac{3}{2}\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1)), 2\mathcal{H}^2(S^2(1))\right).$$

On the other hand, we can observe the number of the homotopy types of such CAT(1)-spaces. We now discuss it more generally as follows:

For given constants $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}, V > 0,$ and $R > 0,$ let us denote by $\mathcal{A}(\kappa, n, V, R)$ the isometry classes of all compact, geodesically complete Alexandrov spaces with curvature $\leq \kappa$ such that $X = \overline{X}^n,$ $\mathcal{H}^n(X) \leq V,$ and that $\text{CAT}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x) \geq R$ for every $x \in X.$

For $X \in \mathcal{A}(\kappa, n, V, R),$ the compactness of $X$ and the condition that $\text{CAT}_\kappa \text{Rad}(x) \geq R$ for every $x \in X$ guarantee the following ([20], cf. Lemma I.7A.15 in [7]): $X$ is homotopy equivalent to a finite Euclidean simplicial complex $K$ which is the nerve obtained by a finite covering

$$\mathcal{U} = \{B_{x_i}(R/10; X) | i \in I_X\}$$

of $X$ such that $\{x_i\}_{i \in I_X}$ is a maximal $(R/20)$-discrete set in $X.$

Now, by Proposition 2.6, the number of its covering $I_X$ is bounded above by a constant depending only on $\kappa, n, V,$ and $R.$ Therefore, we have the following:

**Proposition 6.5.** For given constants $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{N}, V > 0,$ and $R > 0,$ the number of the homotopy types of $\mathcal{A}(\kappa, n, V, R)$ is bounded above by a constant depending only on $\kappa, n, V,$ and $R.$

In particular, the number of those of the isometry classes $C(n, V)$ of CAT(1)-spaces defined in Section 1 is bounded above by a constant depending only on $n$ and $V.$
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