

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

REMARK ON THE RATE OF DECAY OF SOLUTIONS TO
LINEARIZED COMPRESSIBLE NAVIER–STOKES
EQUATIONS

TAKAYUKI KOBAYASHI AND YOSHIHIRO SHIBATA

Volume 207 No. 1

November 2002

**REMARK ON THE RATE OF DECAY OF SOLUTIONS TO
 LINEARIZED COMPRESSIBLE NAVIER–STOKES
 EQUATIONS**

TAKAYUKI KOBAYASHI AND YOSHIHIRO SHIBATA

We consider the L_p – L_q estimates of solutions to the Cauchy problem of linearized compressible Navier–Stokes equation. Especially, we investigate the diffusion wave property of the compressible Navier–Stokes flows, which was studied by D. Hoff and K. Zumbrum and Tai-P. Liu and W. Wang.

1. Introduction.

In this paper, we consider the Cauchy problem of the following linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \rho_t + \gamma \operatorname{div} v &= 0 && \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ v_t - \alpha \Delta v - \beta \nabla \operatorname{div} v + \gamma \nabla \rho &= 0 && \text{in } (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ \rho|_{t=0} = \rho_0, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0 &&& \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \end{aligned}$$

where $v = v(t, x) = {}^T(v_1(t, x), \dots, v_n(t, x))$ a vector valued unknown function, $\rho = \rho(t, x)$ is a scalar valued unknown function; t is time variable; we denote the spatial point of n -dimensional Euclidian Space \mathbb{R}^n by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ($n \geq 2$);

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_t &= \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}, & v_t &= \frac{\partial v}{\partial t}, & \Delta v &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_j^2}, \\ \operatorname{div} v &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_j}, & \nabla \rho &= \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_n} \right); \end{aligned}$$

ρ_0 and v_0 are given initial data; α and γ are positive constants and β a non-negative constant. Concerning the decay property, asymptotically, the solution decomposed into sum of two parts under the influence of a hyperbolic aspect and a parabolic aspect. One of which dominates in L_p for $2 \leq p \leq \infty$, the other $1 \leq p < 2$. For $p \geq 2$, the time asymptotic behavior of solutions is similar to the solution of pure diffusion problem. Namely, the decay at the rate of the solution is similar to the solution of a linear, second order, strictly parabolic system with L_1 initial data. Moreover, the decay order of the term that is given by the convolution of Green functions of diffusion equation and

wave equation is better than the solution to pure diffusion system. On the other hand, for $p < 2$, the asymptotically dominant term reflects the spreading effect of the solution operator for the standard multi-dimensional wave equation. As a result, the solution may grow without bound in L_p for $p < 2$. This result was investigated by D. Hoff and K. Zumbrun [2, 3] in the case of the Navier-Stokes system describing the compressible fluid flow, and Y. Shibata [6] in the case of the linear viscoelastic equation. D. Hoff and K. Zumbrun [2, 3] considered the linear effective artificial viscosity system as the first approximation of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation in several space dimension. The Green function of this system is written exactly by the convolution of the Green function of diffusion equation and wave equation. In view of this, they gave the pointwise estimate and L_p estimate of the Green function in [2, 3], and L_p estimate for the solutions to the nonlinear problem in [2]. But, the Green function of the system (1.1) and the linear viscoelastic equation is not written exactly. Tai-P. Liu and W. Wang [4] gave the pointwise estimate for the solutions to the system (1.1) and the nonlinear problem in odd multi-dimension case, and Y. Shibata [6] gave the L_p estimate for the solution to the linear viscoelastic equations by directly using Fourier transform method. The main difference of the structure to the solutions between (1.1) or effective artificial viscosity system and linear viscoelastic equation is the Riesz kernel $R_j(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\xi_j/|\xi|](x)$, where \mathcal{F}^{-1} denotes the Fourier inverse transform. The Green matrix of the system (1.1) and effective artificial viscosity system includes the Riesz kernel. Since the convolution operator $u \rightarrow R_j * u$ is not bounded from L_1 to L_1 and from L_∞ to L_∞ , if we consider L_1 or L_∞ estimate, then these features will lead to a great deal of cancellation in the convolution operator of the Green function. D. Hoff and K. Zumbrun [2] overcame this difficulty by applying the weak version of the Paley-Wiener theorem to the general, symmetrizable, hyperbolic-strictly parabolic systems. In this paper, we shall estimate directly using Fourier transform method in [6]. In particular, we shall detect the cancellation in the Green function.

2. Main results.

First of all, we shall introduce the solution operator of (1.1). Applying the Fourier transform with respect to $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, (1.1) is reduced to the following ordinary differential equation with parameter $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt}(t, \xi) + i\gamma\xi \cdot \hat{v}(t, \xi) = 0, \\ \frac{d\hat{v}}{dt}(t, \xi) + \alpha|\xi|^2\hat{v}(t, \xi) + \beta\xi(\xi \cdot \hat{v}(t, \xi)) + i\gamma\xi\hat{\rho}(t, \xi) = 0, \\ \hat{\rho}(0, \xi) = \hat{\rho}_0(\xi), \quad \hat{v}(0, \xi) = \hat{v}_0(\xi), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\hat{u}(t, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u(t, x) dx, \quad \hat{u}_j(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-ix \cdot \xi} u_j(x) dx.$$

By (2.1) we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d^2 \hat{\rho}}{dt^2}(t, \xi) + (\alpha + \beta)|\xi|^2 \frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt}(t, \xi) + \gamma^2 |\xi|^2 \hat{\rho}(t, \xi) = 0, \\ \hat{\rho}(0, \xi) = \hat{\rho}_0(\xi), \quad \hat{\rho}_t(0, \xi) = -i\gamma \xi \cdot \hat{v}_0(\xi). \end{cases}$$

The characteristic equation corresponding to the (2.2) is

$$(2.3) \quad \lambda^2 + (\alpha + \beta)|\xi|^2 \lambda + \gamma^2 |\xi|^2 = 0.$$

The roots $\lambda_{\pm}(\xi)$ of (2.3) are given by the formula

$$(2.4) \quad \lambda_{\pm}(\xi) = -A \left(|\xi|^2 \pm \sqrt{|\xi|^4 - B^2 |\xi|^2} \right),$$

where $A = (\alpha + \beta)/2, B = 2\gamma/(\alpha + \beta)$. When $|\xi| \neq 0, B$, the solution of (2.2) is given by the formula

$$(2.5) \quad \hat{\rho}(t, \xi) = \frac{\lambda_+(\xi)e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi)e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \hat{\rho}_0(\xi) - i\gamma \frac{e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \xi \cdot \hat{v}_0(\xi).$$

Since $\lambda_+(\xi) = \lambda_-(\xi)$ when $|\xi| = B$, as the solution of (2.2), when $B/2 < |\xi| < 2B$, we use the following formula

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(t, \xi) = & \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{(z + |\xi|^2)e^{zt}}{z^2 + (\alpha + \beta)|\xi|^2 z + \gamma^2 |\xi|^2} dz \hat{\rho}_0(\xi) \\ & + \frac{i\gamma}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{zt}}{z^2 + (\alpha + \beta)|\xi|^2 z + \gamma^2 |\xi|^2} dz \xi \cdot \hat{v}_0(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

where Γ is a closed path containing $\lambda_{\pm}(\xi)$ and contained in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} z \leq -c_0\}$ and c_0 is a positive number such that

$$(2.7) \quad \max_{\frac{B}{2} \leq |\xi| \leq 2B} \operatorname{Re} \lambda_{\pm}(\xi) \leq -2c_0.$$

Also, by (2.1) we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d\hat{v}}{dt}(t, \xi) + \alpha |\xi|^2 \hat{v}(t, \xi) = \hat{f}(t, \xi), \\ \hat{v}(0, \xi) = \hat{v}_0(\xi), \end{cases}$$

where

$$\hat{f}(t, \xi) = \frac{\xi}{i\gamma} \left\{ \beta \frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt}(t, \xi) + \gamma^2 \hat{\rho}(t, \xi) \right\}.$$

Therefore, by (2.5) and (2.8), the solution of (2.6) given by the formula:

when $|\xi| \neq 0, B$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad \hat{v}(t, \xi) &= e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \hat{v}_0(\xi) + \int_0^t e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2(t-s)} \hat{f}(s, \xi) ds \\
 &= e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \hat{v}_0(\xi) - i\gamma\xi \left(\frac{e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \right) \hat{\rho}_0(\xi) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_+(\xi)e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi)e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} - e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \right) \frac{\xi \cdot \hat{v}_0(\xi)}{|\xi|^2},
 \end{aligned}$$

and when $B/2 < |\xi| < 2B$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{v}(t, \xi) &= e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \hat{v}_0(\xi) - \frac{i\gamma\xi}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{zt}}{z^2 + (\alpha + \beta)|\xi|^2 z + \gamma^2|\xi|^2} dz \hat{\rho}_0(\xi) \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{(z + |\xi|^2)e^{zt}}{z^2 + (\alpha + \beta)|\xi|^2 z + \gamma^2|\xi|^2} dz - e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \right) \frac{\xi \cdot \hat{v}_0(\xi)}{|\xi|^2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varphi_0(\xi)$, $\varphi_M(\xi)$ and $\varphi_\infty(\xi)$ be functions in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$(2.10) \quad \varphi_0(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & |\xi| \leq B/2, \\ 0 & |\xi| \geq B/\sqrt{2}, \end{cases} \quad \varphi_\infty(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1 & |\xi| \geq 2B, \\ 0 & |\xi| \leq \sqrt{2}B, \end{cases} \\
 \varphi_M(\xi) = 1 - \varphi_0(\xi) - \varphi_\infty(\xi).$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad E_0(t) &= (E_{0,\rho}(t), E_{0,v}(t)), \\
 E_\infty(t) &= (E_{\infty,\rho}(t), E_{\infty,v}(t)), \\
 E_{0,\rho}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi_0(\xi)\hat{\rho}(t, \xi)](x), \\
 E_{0,v}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi_0(\xi)\hat{v}(t, \xi)](x), \\
 E_{\infty,\rho}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\varphi_M(\xi) + \varphi_\infty(\xi))\hat{\rho}(t, \xi)](x), \\
 E_{\infty,v}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1}[(\varphi_M(\xi) + \varphi_\infty(\xi))\hat{v}(t, \xi)](x).
 \end{aligned}$$

Noting that $\varphi_M(\xi) = 1$ for $B/\sqrt{2} \leq |\xi| \leq \sqrt{2}B$ and $\varphi_M(\xi) = 0$ for $|\xi| \geq 2B$ or $|\xi| \leq B/2$, by (2.10) and (2.11) we see that $(\rho(t, x), v(t, x)) = E_0(t)(\rho_0, v_0)(x)$ is a solution of (1.1). The main purpose of the paper is to show the following two theorems.

Theorem 2.1 ($L_1 - L_\infty$ and $L_1 - L_1$ estimate of $E_0(t)$).

(1) For any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_{0,\rho}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j,\alpha,n}(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3n-1}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)} \left[\|\rho_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]; \\ & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_{0,v}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j,\alpha,n}(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3n-1}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)} \left[\|\rho_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right] \\ & \quad + C_{j,\alpha,n}(1+t)^{-\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)} \|v_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here and hereafter, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^j &= \frac{\partial^j}{\partial t^j}, & \partial_x^\alpha &= \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\alpha_n}}, \\ \alpha &= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), & |\alpha| &= \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n, \end{aligned}$$

$C_{A,B,\dots}$ means the constant depending on A, B, \dots .

(2) For any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_0(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j,\alpha,n}(1+t)^{q(n) - \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}} \left[\|\rho_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$q(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-1}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 3 \text{ and } n \text{ is an odd number,} \\ \frac{n}{4} & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and } n \text{ is an even number.} \end{cases}$$

Remark. The estimate (1) is better than [3, Theorem 1.2] when $n = 2$, $j = 0$ and $|\alpha| = 0$.

Theorem 2.2 ($L_1 - L_1$ and $L_\infty - L_\infty$ estimate of $E_\infty(t)$). Let $p = 1$ or ∞ . For any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_{\infty,\rho}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} e^{-ct} \left[C_k t^{-(j-k)} \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{2k+|\alpha|-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right] \\ & \quad + C_{j,\alpha,n} e^{-ct} \left[C_k t^{-(j-k)} \|v_0\|_{W_p^{2k+|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{W_p^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]; \\ & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_{\infty,v}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} e^{-ct} \left[C_k t^{-(j-k)} \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{2k+|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right] \\ & \quad + C_{j,\alpha,n} e^{-ct} (1+t^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \left[C_k t^{-(j-k)} \|v_0\|_{W_p^{2k+|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{W_p^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here and hereafter, we put $K^+ = \max(K, 0)$ and

$$W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ u \in L_p(\mathbb{R}^n) \mid \|u\|_{W_p^k(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial_x^\alpha u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty \right\}.$$

Y. Shibata [6] gave the $L_p - L_q$ type estimates for the solution to the linear viscoelastic equation:

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{cases} v_{tt} - \Delta v - \Delta v_t = 0 & \text{in } [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n, \\ v(0) = v_0, v_t(0) = v_1 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

The solution of (2.12) are represented by the Fourier transform as follows: When $|\xi| \neq 0, 2$

$$\hat{v}(t, \xi) = \frac{\lambda_+(\xi)e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi)e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \hat{v}_0(\xi) + \frac{e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \hat{v}_1(\xi),$$

where

$$\lambda_\pm(\xi) = \frac{-|\xi|^2 \pm \sqrt{|\xi|^4 - |\xi|^2}}{2}$$

and when $1 < |\xi| < 4$,

$$\hat{v}(t, \xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{(z + |\xi|^2)e^{zt}}{z^2 + |\xi|^2 z + |\xi|^2} dz \hat{v}_0(\xi) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma \frac{e^{zt}}{z^2 + |\xi|^2 z + |\xi|^2} dz \hat{v}_1(\xi),$$

where γ is a closed path containing $\lambda_\pm(\xi)$ and contained in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Re} z \leq -c_0\}$ and c_0 is a positive number such that

$$\max_{1 \leq |\xi| \leq 4} \text{Re} \lambda_\pm(\xi) \leq -2c_0.$$

The difference of the structure to the solutions between (1.1) and (2.12) is the Riesz kernel $R_j(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\xi_j/|\xi|)(x)$. The Green matrix of the solution of (1.1) includes the Riesz kernel (cf. (2.9)). Since the convolution operator $u \rightarrow R_j * u$ is bounded from L_p to L_p for $1 < p < \infty$, the following theorems directly follow from [6, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2].

Theorem 2.3 ($L_p - L_q$ estimate of $E_0(t)$).

- (1) Let M be the positive number ≥ 1 and let $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$, $(p, q) \neq (\infty, \infty), (1, 1)$. Then, for any $t \in [0, M]$, we have

$$\|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_0(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{n,p,q,j,\alpha,M} [\|\rho_0\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}].$$

- (2) Let $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$. For any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_0(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{n,p,q,j,\alpha} (1+t)^{-\left(\frac{n}{2} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q}\right) + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)} [\|\rho_0\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark.

- (1) The estimate (1) in Theorem 2.1 is better than the estimate (2) in Theorem 2.3 with $(p, q) = (1, \infty)$.
- (2) By Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, the estimate (1) in Theorem 2.3 also holds when $(p, q) = (1, 1)$ or (∞, ∞) .

Theorem 2.4 ($L_p - L_p$ estimate of $E_\infty(t)$). *Let $1 < p < \infty$. For any $t > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_{\infty, \rho}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j, \alpha, p, N} e^{-ct} \left[t^{-\frac{N}{2}} \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{(2j+|\alpha|-N-2)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right] \\ & \quad + C_{j, \alpha, p, N} e^{-ct} \left[t^{-\frac{N}{2}} \|v_0\|_{W_p^{(2j+|\alpha|-N-1)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{W_p^{(|\alpha|-1)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]; \\ & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha E_{\infty, v}(t)(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j, \alpha, n, N} e^{-ct} \left[t^{-\frac{N}{2}} \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{(2j+|\alpha|-N-1)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\rho_0\|_{W_p^{(|\alpha|-1)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right] \\ & \quad + C_{j, \alpha, n, N} e^{-ct} \left[t^{-\frac{N}{2}} \|v_0\|_{W_p^{(2j+|\alpha|-N)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|v_0\|_{W_p^{(|\alpha|-2)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1 (1).

To prove Theorem 2.1 (1), we put

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad L_{11}(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_+(\xi)e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi)e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ L_{12}(t, x) &= -i\gamma \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[t\xi \frac{e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ L_{21}(t, x) &= {}^t L_{12}(t, x), \\ L_{22}(t, x) &= K_1(t, x) + K_2(t, x) - K_3(t, x), \\ K_1(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x) I, \quad I \text{ is unit matrix,} \\ K_2(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_+(\xi)e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi)e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ K_3(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \end{aligned}$$

and then, from (2.5) and (2.9) it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad E_0(t)(\rho_0, v_0) = \begin{pmatrix} L_{11}(t, \cdot) & L_{12}(t, \cdot) \\ L_{21}(t, \cdot) & L_{22}(t, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} * \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ v_0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $*$ denotes the spatial convolution. In view of the Young inequality, in order to get Theorem 2.1 (1) it suffices to show that for $t > 0$

$$(3.3) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha L_{11}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} t^{-\left(\frac{3n-1}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)},$$

$$(3.4) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha L_{12}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} t^{-\left(\frac{3n-1}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)},$$

$$(3.5) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} t^{-\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{|\alpha|}{2} + j\right)},$$

$$(3.6) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} t^{-\left(\frac{3n-1}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)},$$

$$(3.7) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_3(t, \cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} t^{-\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{|\alpha|}{2} + j\right)}.$$

It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \left(\delta_{ik} - \frac{\xi_i \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x) \right| &\leq C_{j,\beta,n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} |\xi|^{2j+|\beta|} d\xi \\ &\leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{-\left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{|\beta|}{2} + j\right)}, \end{aligned}$$

which show (3.5) and (3.7). In view of (3.1), we put

$$(3.8) \quad K_{\psi,0}(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \psi(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x),$$

$$(3.9) \quad K_{\psi,1}(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_+(\xi) e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi) e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \psi(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x),$$

$$(3.10) \quad K_{\psi,2}(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_-(\xi) e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - \lambda_+(\xi) e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \psi(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x),$$

where $\psi = \psi(\omega) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$, $S^{n-1} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\xi| = 1\}$ and $\psi(\xi) = \psi(\xi/|\xi|)$. By (2.3) and (2.4), we know that

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_+(\xi) \lambda_-(\xi) &= A^2 B^2 |\xi|^2, \\ \lambda_+(\xi) + \lambda_-(\xi) &= -2A |\xi|^2, \\ \lambda_\pm(\xi)^2 + 2A \lambda_\pm(\xi) |\xi|^2 + \gamma |\xi|^2 &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{cases} K_{\psi,1}(t, x) = \partial_t K_{\psi,0}(t, x), \\ K_{\psi,2}(t, x) = -\partial_t K_{\psi,0}(t, x) + 2A \Delta K_{\psi,0}(t, x). \end{cases}$$

Therefore, in order to show (3.3), (3.4) and (3.6) it suffices to show the following theorem:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. For any $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{\psi,0}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} (1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)}.$$

To prove this theorem, first of all, we shall estimate $K_{\psi,0}(t, x)$ near the light cone. Namely, we shall show that for $t \geq \max(1, (R/R_0)^4)$ and $|x| \geq R_0 t$

$$(3.13) \quad \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{\psi,0}(t, x) \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} (1+t)^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)},$$

where R is the number appearing in Lemma 3.2, below and R_0 is the fixed number such that $R_0 \leq \gamma/4$. To obtain (3.13), we shall use the following lemma concerning the stationary phase method (cf. Vainberg [9, pp. 29-35]):

Lemma 3.2. *Let $g(\omega) \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$, $S^{n-1} = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\xi| = 1\}$. Then, there exist a $R > 1$ and a C_g such that*

$$\left| \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{ir(\hat{x}\cdot\omega)} g(\omega) dS_\omega \right| \leq C_g r^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}, \quad \hat{x} \in S^{n-1}, r \geq R.$$

If we put $|\xi| = r$, we have

$$\lambda_\pm(\xi) = -A \left(r^2 \pm ir\sqrt{B^2 - r^2} \right) = \lambda_\pm(r).$$

Since we may assume that $\varphi_0(\xi) = \varphi_0(|\xi|) = \varphi_0(r)$, by using the polar coordinate we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{\psi,0}(t, x) &= \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^n \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda_+(r)e^{\lambda_+(r)t} - \lambda_-(r)e^{\lambda_-(r)t}}{\lambda_+(r) - \lambda_-(r)} r^{|\alpha|+n-1} \varphi_0(r) dr \\ &\quad \cdot \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{i(\hat{x}\cdot\omega)r|x|} (i\omega)^\alpha \psi(\omega) dS_\omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{x} = x/|x|$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a number determined later on. Let us consider the case where $|x|\epsilon \geq R$, below. Since $r|x| \geq \epsilon|x| \geq R$ when $r \geq \epsilon$, by Lemma 3.2

$$\left| \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{i(\hat{x}\cdot\omega)r|x|} (i\omega)^\alpha \psi(\omega) dS_\omega \right| \leq C_\alpha (r|x|)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}}.$$

Noting that $\varphi_0(r) = 0$ when $r \geq B/\sqrt{2}$ (cf. (2.10)), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{\psi,0}(t, x) \right| \\ &\leq C \left\{ \int_0^\epsilon r^{n+j+|\alpha|-2} dr + \int_\epsilon^\infty e^{-Ar^2 t} r^{n-2+j+|\alpha|} (r|x|)^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} dr \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we make the change of variable; $r\sqrt{t} = s$ in the last integration and if we use the assumption: $|x| \geq R_0 t$, then we have

$$\left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{\psi,0}(t, x) \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} \left\{ \epsilon^{n+j+|\alpha|-1} + t^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)} \right\}.$$

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ in such a way that

$$\epsilon^{n+j+|\alpha|-1} = t^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)}.$$

When $|x| \geq R_0 t$ and $t \geq \max(1, (R/R_0)^4)$, we see that

$$|x|\epsilon \geq R_0 t \cdot t^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)/(n+j+|\alpha|-1)} = R_0 t^{\frac{n-1}{n+j+|\alpha|-1} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}} \geq R_0 t^{\frac{1}{4}} \geq R.$$

Therefore, we have (3.13).

Now, we shall show that for $t \geq 1$ and $|x| \leq R_0 t$

$$(3.14) \quad \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{\psi,0}(t, x) \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} t^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}\right)}.$$

If we put

$$f(\xi) = \sqrt{1 - |\xi|^2 B^{-2}} = 1 + |\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2), \quad g(s) = -\frac{1}{2B^2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \theta s B^{-2}}} d\theta,$$

then by Taylor's formula we have

$$(3.15) \quad \frac{e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - e^{-\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{\ell!} \left(\partial_t^\ell \frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^\ell + e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} R_N(t, |\xi|),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_N(t, |\xi|) &= \frac{1}{2i\gamma |\xi| f(|\xi|) N!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^N \left[e^{i\gamma |\xi| t + i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t \theta} (i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t)^{N+1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-i\gamma |\xi| t - i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t \theta} (-i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t)^{N+1} \right] d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

In fact,

$$e^{\lambda_\pm(\xi)t} = e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} e^{\mp i\gamma |\xi| f(|\xi|) t} = e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} e^{\mp i\gamma |\xi| t + i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t}.$$

Put

$$h(\theta) = e^{\pm i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t \theta}, \quad h^{(k)}(\theta) = \frac{d^k h}{d\theta^k}(\theta).$$

Since

$$h(1) = h(0) + h'(0) + \dots + \frac{1}{N!} h^{(N)}(0) + \frac{1}{N!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^N h^{(N+1)}(\theta) d\theta,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\pm i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t} &= 1 + (\pm i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t) + \dots + \frac{1}{N!} (\pm i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t)^N \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^N e^{\pm i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t \theta} (\pm i\gamma |\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2) t)^{N+1} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (i\gamma|\xi|^3g(|\xi|^2)t)^N e^{i\gamma|\xi|t} - (i\gamma|\xi|^3g(|\xi|^2)t)^N e^{-i\gamma|\xi|t} \\ &= \left\{ \partial_t^N \left(e^{i\gamma|\xi|t} - e^{-i\gamma|\xi|t} \right) \right\} (|\xi|^2g(|\xi|^2)t)^N \\ &= 2i \left(\partial_t^N \sin \gamma|\xi|t \right) (|\xi|^2g(|\xi|^2)t)^N, \end{aligned}$$

noting that $\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi) = -2i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)$, we have (3.15).

We shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3 (cf. Mizohata [5], Evans [1]). *Put*

$$w(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\sin |\xi|t}{|\xi|} \hat{h}(\xi) \right] (x).$$

Then, for suitable constants a_α we have

$$w(t, x) = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha t^{|\alpha|+1} \int_{|z|=1} z^\alpha (\partial_x^\alpha h)(x + tz) dS$$

for odd $n \geq 3$; and

$$w(t, x) = \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} a_\alpha t^{|\alpha|+1} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{z^\alpha (\partial_x^\alpha h)(x + tz)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz$$

for even $n \geq 2$.

Regarding (3.15), we put

$$\begin{aligned} G_\ell(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2t}}{f(|\xi|)} (|\xi|^2g(|\xi|^2)t)^\ell \psi(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ \omega_\ell(t, x) &= \frac{1}{\gamma} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\left(\partial_t^\ell \frac{\sin \gamma|\xi|t}{|\xi|} \right) \widehat{G}_\ell(t, \xi) \right] (x). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{\sin \gamma|\xi|t}{|\xi|} \right) \hat{h}(\xi) \right] (x) = \partial_t^\ell \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\sin \gamma|\xi|t}{|\xi|} \hat{h}(\xi) \right] (x),$$

by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.16) \quad \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta K_{\psi,0}(t, x) &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \omega_\ell(t, x) \\ &\quad + \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-A|\xi|^2t} R_N(t, |\xi|) \right] (x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.17) \quad \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \omega_\ell(t, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \partial_t^m (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \\ \quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} \int_{|z|=1} z^{\alpha+\delta} \left(\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \partial_t^{j-k} G_\ell \right) (t, x + \gamma tz) dS \\ \quad \text{for odd } n \geq 3; \\ \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} a_\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \partial_t^m (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \\ \quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{z^{\alpha+\delta} \left(\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \partial_t^{j-k} G_\ell \right) (t, x + \gamma tz)}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} dz \\ \quad \text{for even } n \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

The following proposition and lemma play an essential role to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proposition 3.4 (Shibata-Shimizu [7]). *Let α be a number $> -n$ and put $\alpha = N + \sigma - n$ where $N \geq 0$ is an integer and $0 < \sigma \leq 1$. Let $f(\xi)$ be a function in $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\xi^\gamma f(\xi) &\in L_1(\mathbb{R}^n), \quad |\gamma| \leq N; \\ \left| \partial_\xi^\gamma f(\xi) \right| &\leq C_\gamma |\xi|^{\alpha-|\gamma|}, \quad \xi \neq 0, \quad \forall \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$|\mathcal{F}^{-1}[f(\xi)](x)| \leq C_{\alpha,n} \left(\max_{|\gamma| \leq N+2} C_\gamma \right) |x|^{-(n+|\alpha|)}, \quad x \neq 0,$$

where $C_{\alpha,n}$ is a constant depending essentially only on n and α .

Lemma 3.5. *Let α be a nonnegative number and $\psi(t, \xi)$ be a function such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t, \cdot) &\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \\ \left| \partial_\xi^\gamma \psi(t, \xi) \right| &\leq C_\gamma |\xi|^{\alpha-|\gamma|}, \quad \xi \neq 0, \quad \forall \gamma, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$g(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} \psi(t, \xi) \right] (x),$$

where $\beta > 0$. Then, we have

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} |g(t, x)| &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta,n} |x|^{-(\alpha+n)}, \quad x \neq 0, \\ |g(t, x)| &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta,n} t^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{2}}, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{\alpha, \beta, n} t^{-\frac{|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad \alpha > 0, \quad t > 0, \\ \int_{|x| \leq At} |g(t, x)| dx &\leq C_{\beta, n, A} (1 + \log(1 + t)), \quad \alpha = 0, \quad t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the formula of derivative of composed function (cf. Simader [8, p. 202]):

$$(3.19) \quad \partial_\xi^\gamma h(g(\xi)) = \sum_{\nu=1}^{|\gamma|} h^{(\nu)}(g(\xi)) \left[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_\nu = \gamma \\ |\alpha_i| \geq 1}} \left(\partial_\xi^{\alpha_1} g(\xi) \right) \dots \left(\partial_\xi^{\alpha_\nu} g(\xi) \right) \right],$$

we have

$$\partial_\xi^\gamma e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{|\gamma|} (\beta t)^\nu e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} \left[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_\nu = \gamma \\ |\alpha_i| \geq 1}} \left(\partial_\xi^{\alpha_1} |\xi|^2 \right) \dots \left(\partial_\xi^{\alpha_\nu} |\xi|^2 \right) \right].$$

Since

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \partial_\xi^{\alpha_i} |\xi|^M \right| &\leq C_{\alpha_i} |\xi|^{M - |\alpha_i|}, \quad \xi \neq 0, \\ (t|\xi|^2)^M e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} &\leq C_{M, \beta} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}|\xi|^2 t}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(3.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| \partial_\xi^\gamma e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} \right| &\leq C_\gamma \sum_{\nu=1}^{|\gamma|} (\beta t)^\nu e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} \left[\sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_\nu = \gamma \\ |\alpha_i| \geq 1}} |\xi|^{2\nu - (|\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_\nu|)} \right] \\ &\leq C_\gamma \sum_{\nu=1}^{|\gamma|} (\beta|\xi|^2 t)^\nu e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} |\xi|^{-|\gamma|} \\ &\leq C_{\beta, \gamma} |\xi|^{-|\gamma|} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}|\xi|^2 t}, \quad \xi \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the Leibniz's rule we have

$$(3.22) \quad \left| \partial_\xi^\gamma \left(e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} \psi(t, \xi) \right) \right| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma} e^{-\frac{\beta}{2}|\xi|^2 t} |\xi|^{\alpha - |\gamma|}, \quad \xi \neq 0, \quad \forall \gamma.$$

Therefore, by Proposition 3.4 we have (3.18).

By the assumptions, we have

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} |g(t, x)| &\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\beta|\xi|^2 t} |\xi|^\alpha d\xi = C t^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\beta|\eta|^2} |\eta|^\alpha d\eta \\ &\leq C_{\alpha, \beta, n} t^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.18) and (3.23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta,n} t^{-\frac{\alpha+n}{2}} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} dx + C_{\alpha,\beta,n} \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} |x|^{-(\alpha+n)} dx \\ &\leq C_{\alpha,\beta,n} t^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \quad \alpha > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \leq At} |g(t, x)| dx &\leq C_{\beta,n} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{|x| \leq At} dx \leq C_{\beta,n,A}, \quad \alpha = 0, t < 1, \\ \int_{|x| \leq At} |g(t, x)| dx &\leq C_{\beta,n} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} dx + C_{\beta,n} \int_{\sqrt{t} \leq |x| \leq At} |x|^{-n} dx \\ &\leq C_{\beta,n,A}(1 + \log t), \quad \alpha = 0, t \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

which completes the Proof of Lemma 3.5.

Concerning the estimate $G_\ell(t, x)$, we have

(3.24)

$$\left| \partial_t^{j-k} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} G_\ell(t, x + \gamma tz) \right| \leq C_{j,k,\alpha,\beta,\delta,\ell} |x + \gamma tz|^{-(2(j-k)+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\delta|+n)}.$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t^{j-k} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} G_\ell(t, x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\sum_{m=0}^{\min(j-k,\ell)} \binom{j-k}{m} \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{f(|\xi|)} (-A|\xi|^2)^{j-k-m} (i\xi)^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot \partial_t^m (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2 t)^\ell \psi(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi)) \right] (x). \end{aligned}$$

By (3.20), (3.21) and (3.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \partial_\xi^\mu \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\min(j-k,\ell)} \binom{j-k}{m} \frac{e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t}}{f(|\xi|)} (-A|\xi|^2)^{j-k-m} (i\xi)^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \cdot \partial_t^m (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2 t)^\ell \psi(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi)) \right) \right| \\ &\leq C_{j,k,\alpha,\beta,\delta,\ell,\mu} |\xi|^{2(j-k)+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\delta|-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.5, we have (3.24).

First we consider the case when n is an odd ≥ 3 . When $|z| = 1$, $|x| \leq R_0 t$, and $R_0 \leq \gamma/4$, we have

$$|x + \gamma tz| \geq \gamma t - |x| \geq (\gamma - R_0)t \geq \frac{\gamma}{2} t.$$

Therefore, applying (3.24) to (3.17), we have for $|x| \leq R_0$ and $t \geq 1$

(3.25)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \omega_\ell(t, x) \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} |a_\alpha| \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \frac{(|\alpha|+1)!}{m!} (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} t^{-m} \\
 & \quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} C_{j,k,\alpha,\beta,\delta,\ell} \int_{|z|=1} |x + \gamma tz|^{-(2(j-k)+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\delta|+n)} dS \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} |a_\alpha| \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \frac{(|\alpha|+1)!}{m!} (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} t^{-m} \\
 & \quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} C_{j,k,\alpha,\beta,\delta,\ell} t^{-(2(j-k)+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\delta|+n)} \int_{|z|=1} dS \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,\ell,n} t^{-(j+|\beta|+\ell+n-1)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the case when n is even ≥ 2 . By (3.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \omega_\ell(t, x) \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} |a_\alpha| \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \frac{(|\alpha|+1)!}{m!} \gamma^{|\alpha|+1} t^{|\alpha|+1-m} \\
 & \quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} C_{j,k,\alpha,\beta,\delta,\ell} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{\left| \left(\partial_t^{j-k} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} G_\ell \right) (t, x + \gamma tz) \right|}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} dz.
 \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{\left| \left(\partial_t^{j-k} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} G_\ell \right) (t, x + \gamma tz) \right|}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} dz = I + II,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 I &= \int_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 1} \frac{\left| \left(\partial_t^{j-k} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} G_\ell \right) (t, x + \gamma tz) \right|}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} dz, \\
 II &= \int_{|z| \leq \frac{1}{2}} \frac{\left| \left(\partial_t^{j-k} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} G_\ell \right) (t, x + \gamma tz) \right|}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} dz.
 \end{aligned}$$

When $1/2 \leq |z| \leq 1$, $|x| \leq R_0 t$, $t \geq 1$ and $R_0 \leq \gamma/4$, we have

$$|x + \gamma tz| \geq \frac{\gamma t}{2} - |x| \geq \left(\frac{\gamma}{2} - R_0\right)t \geq \frac{\gamma}{4}t.$$

Then, by (3.24) we have

$$I \leq C_{j,k,\alpha,\beta,\delta,\ell} t^{-(2(j-k)+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\delta|+n)} \int_{\frac{1}{2} \leq |z| \leq 1} \frac{dz}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}}.$$

When $|z| \leq 1/2$, $|x| \leq R_0 t$, $t \geq 1$ and $R_0 \leq \gamma/4$, we have

$$|x + \gamma tz| \leq \frac{\gamma}{2}t + R_0 t \leq \frac{3}{4}\gamma t, \quad \sqrt{1-|z|^2} \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}.$$

Therefore, putting $p = x + \gamma tz$, by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\begin{aligned} II &\leq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \int_{|p| \leq \frac{3}{4}\gamma t} \left| \left(\partial_t^{j-k} \partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} G_\ell \right) (t, p) \right| dp \\ &\leq C_{j,k,\alpha,\beta,\delta,\ell,n} \begin{cases} t^{-n} t^{-\frac{1}{2}(2(j-k)+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\delta|)} & \text{when } 2(j-k) + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\delta| \geq 1, \\ t^{-n}(1 + \log t) & \text{when } 2(j-k) + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\delta| = 0 \\ & \text{and } \ell = 0, \\ t^{-n} & \text{when } 2(j-k) + |\alpha| + |\beta| + |\delta| = 0 \\ & \text{and } \ell \geq 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimations, we have for $|x| \leq R_0 t$ and $t \geq 1$

(3.26)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta w_\ell(t, x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} |a_\alpha| \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \frac{(|\alpha|+1)!}{m!} (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} t^{-m} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} C_{j,k,\alpha,\beta,\delta,\ell,n} \left\{ t^{-(2(j-k)+|\alpha|+|\beta|+|\delta|+n)} + t^{-n-\frac{1}{2}(2(j-k)+|\beta|+|\delta|)} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \binom{j}{k} \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, 1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \frac{|a_\alpha|}{m!} t^{1-m} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} C_{j,k,\beta,\delta,\ell,n} \left\{ t^{-(2(j-k)+|\beta|+|\delta|+n)} + t^{-n-\frac{1}{2}(2(j-k)+|\beta|+|\delta|)} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{m=0}^{\min(j+\ell,1)} \binom{j+\ell}{m} \frac{|a_\alpha|}{m!} t^{1-m} \\
 & \cdot C_{j,\beta,\ell,n} \left\{ t^{-(\ell+j-m+|\beta|+n)} + t^{-n-\frac{1}{2}(\ell+j-m+|\beta|)} (1 + \log(1+t)) \right\} \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,\ell,n} \left\{ (1 + \log(1+t)) t^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\beta|}{2}\right) - \frac{n-1}{4} - \frac{\ell}{2}} + t^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\beta|}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{4}} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall estimate the remainder term. By (3.15) and (3.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} R_N(t, \xi) \right] (x) \\
 & = \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} (-A|\xi|^2)^k (i|\xi|)^\beta \partial_t^{j-k} R_N(t, \xi) \right] (x),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \partial_t^{j-k} R_N(t, \xi) \right| \\
 & = \left| \frac{1}{2i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)N!} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^N \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{j-k} \binom{j-k}{\ell_1} \partial_t^{j-k-\ell_1} e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2)t\theta} \right. \\
 & \quad \cdot \left. \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{\ell_1} \binom{\ell_1}{\ell_2} \partial_t^{\ell_1-\ell_2} e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|t} \partial_t^{\ell_2} (|\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2)t)^{N+1} d\theta \right| \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,N} \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{j-k} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{\ell_1} |\xi|^{3(N+j-k)+2(1-\ell_1)-\ell_2} t^{N+1-\ell_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimations, we have

(3.27)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} R_N(t, \xi) \right] (x) \right| \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,N} \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{j-k} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{\ell_1} t^{N+1-\ell_2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{3(N+j)+2(1-\ell_1)-\ell_2-k+|\beta|} e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} d\xi \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,N} \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{\ell_1=0}^{j-k} \sum_{\ell_2=0}^{\ell_1} t^{-\frac{N+j+|\beta|+(j-k-\ell_1)+(j-\ell_1)}{2}} \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,N} t^{-\frac{N+j+|\beta|}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (3.25), (3.26), (3.27) and (3.13), we have

$$(3.28) \quad \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta K_{\psi,0}(t, x) \right| \leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{-\left(\frac{3n-3}{4} + \frac{j+|\beta|}{2}\right)}, \quad t \geq \max(1, (R/R_0)^4).$$

To complete the Proof of Theorem 3.1, we have to estimate the case when $0 \leq t \leq \max(1, (R/R_0)^4)$. But, it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta K_{\psi,0}(t, x) \right| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^n \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (i\xi)^\beta \frac{\lambda_+(\xi)^j e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi)^j e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \psi(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi) d\xi \right| \\ & \leq C_{j,\beta} \int_0^{\frac{B}{\sqrt{2}}} r^{j+|\beta|+n-2} dr \\ & \leq C_{j,\beta,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1 (2).

In this section, we shall show Theorem 2.1 (2). In view of (3.1), (3.2) and Young inequality, it suffices to show that

$$(4.1) \quad \left\| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha L_{ij}(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} (1+t)^{q(n) - \frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}},$$

where $q(n) = (n-1)/4$ for odd $n \geq 3$ and $= n/4$ for even $n \geq 2$. Since the kernel of $L_{11}(t, x)$, $L_{12}(t, x) = {}^t L_{21}(t, x)$ are the same as those of (2.12), (4.1) directly follows from the results of [6, Theorem 2.1] when $(i, j) = (1, 1), (1, 2)$ and (2.1). Therefore, our task is to show (4.1) when $(i, j) = (2, 2)$. In view of (3.1), we put

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(t, x) &= K_2(t, x) - K_3(t, x) \\ &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_+(\xi) e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi) e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} - e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \right) \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right] (x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$L_{22}(t, x) = K_1(t, x) + L_0(t, x), \quad K_1(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x) I.$$

Noting that $\varphi_0(\xi) = 0$ when $|\xi| \geq B/\sqrt{2}$, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \left\| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta K_1(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\beta,n} (1+t)^{-j - \frac{|\beta|}{2}}.$$

In fact, putting

$$\chi(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\varphi_0(\xi)] (x) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

we have

$$K_1(t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi\alpha t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\alpha t}} \chi(y) dy.$$

By Young inequality, we see that

$$\|K_1(t, x)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_n, \quad t > 0.$$

When $j + |\beta| \geq 1$, we have

$$\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[(i\xi)^\beta (-\alpha|\xi|^2)^j e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \varphi_0(\xi) \right],$$

and

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\mu \left((i\xi)^\beta (-\alpha|\xi|^2)^j \varphi_0(\xi) \right) \right| \leq C_{j,\beta,n} |\xi|^{2j+|\beta|-|\mu|}, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$\|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta K_1(t, x)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{-j-\frac{|\beta|}{2}}, \quad t > 0.$$

When $0 < t \leq 1$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x) &= (\alpha\Delta)^j \partial_x^\beta \left\{ \frac{1}{(4\pi\alpha t)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{2\alpha t}} \chi(y) dy \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|z|^2} ((\alpha\Delta)^j \partial_x^\beta \chi)(x - \sqrt{2\alpha t}z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta K_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\beta,n}, \quad 0 < t \leq 1.$$

Combining these estimations, we have (4.2).

Now, we shall show that

$$(4.3) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta L_0(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{q(n)-\frac{j+|\alpha|}{2}}, \quad t \geq 1.$$

By (3.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda_+(\xi)e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - \lambda_-(\xi)e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \\ &= \partial_t \left(\frac{e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} - e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \right) \\ &= \partial_t \left\{ \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{\ell!} \partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^\ell + e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} R_N(t, |\xi|) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{\ell!} \partial_t^{\ell+1} \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^\ell \\ & \quad + \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{\ell!} \partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \partial_t \left(\frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^\ell \right) \\ & \quad + \partial_t \left(e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} R_N(t, |\xi|) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(|\xi|) = 1 + |\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} \\ &= e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} \left\{ \partial_t \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{\gamma |\xi|} \right) - \partial_t \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2)}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two estimations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_0(t, x) &= L_1(t, x) + L_2(t, x) - M_0^1(t, x) + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{1}{\ell!} M_\ell^1(t, x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=0}^N \frac{1}{\ell!} M_\ell^2(t, x) \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{1}{(\ell-1)!} M_\ell^3(t, x) + \mathcal{R}_N(t, x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\left(\partial_t \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) - 1 \right) e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ L_2(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\left(e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} - e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \right) \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ M_0^1(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} g(|\xi|^2)}{\gamma f(|\xi|^2)} \xi_j \xi_k \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ M_\ell^1(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t^{\ell+1} \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{\gamma f(|\xi|^2)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^\ell \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ M_\ell^2(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{-A e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{\gamma f(|\xi|^2)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^\ell \xi_j \xi_k \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ M_\ell^3(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} g(|\xi|^2)}{\gamma f(|\xi|^2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \cdot (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^{\ell-1} \xi_j \xi_k \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_N(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t \left(e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} R_N(t, |\xi|) \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right) \right] (x).$$

First, we shall show that

$$(4.4) \quad \|L_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_n t^{q(n)}, \quad t \geq 1.$$

Put

$$g_0(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &L_1(t, x) + g_0(t, x) \\ &= \partial_t \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{\gamma |\xi|} \right) \widehat{g}_0(t, \xi) \right] (x) - \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{\gamma |\xi|} \widehat{\partial_t g_0}(t, \xi) \right] (x), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.5) \quad &L_1(t, x) + g_0(t, x) \\ &= \partial_t \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \int_{|z|=1} z^\alpha (\partial_x^\alpha g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz) dS \right\} \\ &\quad - \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|^2} \widehat{\partial_t g_0}(t, \xi) \right] (x) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha (|\alpha| + 1) (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \int_{|z|=1} z^\alpha (\partial_x^\alpha g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz) dS \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \sum_{|\delta|=1} \int_{|z|=1} z^{\alpha+\delta} (\partial_x^{\alpha+\delta} g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz) dS \end{aligned}$$

when n is an odd ≥ 3 . In view of Lemma 3.3, we see that

$$(4.6) \quad a_0 \int_{|z|=1} dS = 1.$$

In fact, putting

$$w(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\sin |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \widehat{h}(\xi) \right] (x),$$

by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &= w_t(0, x) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha (1 + |\alpha|) t^{|\alpha|} \int_{|z|=1} z^\alpha (\partial_x^\alpha h)(x + tz) dS \Big|_{t=0} \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha t^{|\alpha|+1} \sum_{|\delta|=1} \int_{|z|=1} z^{\alpha+\delta} (\partial_x^{\alpha+\delta} h)(x + tz) dS \Big|_{t=0} \\ &= \left(a_0 \int_{|z|=1} dS \right) h(x), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.6). Combining (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.7) \quad L_1(t, x) &= a_0 \int_{|z|=1} \{g_0(t, x + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, x)\} dS \\
 &+ \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha (1 + |\alpha|) (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|} \int_{|z|=1} z^\alpha (\partial_x^\alpha g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz) dS \\
 &+ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \sum_{|\delta|=1} \int_{|z|=1} z^{\alpha+\delta} (\partial_x^{\alpha+\delta} g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz) dS.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.8) \quad L_1(t, x) &= a_0 \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{g_0(t, x + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, x)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz \\
 &+ \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} a_\alpha (1 + |\alpha|) (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{z^\alpha (\partial_x^\alpha g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz \\
 &+ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} a_\alpha (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \sum_{|\delta|=1} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{z^{\alpha+\delta} (\partial_x^{\alpha+\delta} g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz
 \end{aligned}$$

when n is an even ≥ 2 . Concerning the estimate $g_0(t, x)$, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha g_0(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} t^{-\left(j + \frac{|\alpha|}{2}\right)}, \quad j + |\alpha| \geq 1.$$

In fact, we have

$$\partial_t^\ell \partial_x^\alpha g_0(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} (-A|\xi|^2)^\ell (i\xi)^\alpha \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x)$$

and

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\mu \left((-A|\xi|^2)^\ell (i\xi)^\alpha \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right) \right| \leq C_{j,k,\alpha,\mu} |\xi|^{2\ell + |\alpha| - |\mu|}, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Therefore, (4.9) follows from Lemma 3.5. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 g_0(t, x + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, x) &= \int_0^1 \frac{d}{d\theta} \{g_0(t, x + \gamma tz\theta)\} d\theta \\
 &= \int_0^1 (\nabla_x g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz\theta) d\theta \cdot \gamma tz
 \end{aligned}$$

by (4.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.10) \quad & \left\| a_0 \int_{|z|=1} \{g_0(t, \cdot + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, \cdot)\} dS \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq a_0 \gamma t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|z|=1} \int_0^1 |z| |(\nabla_x g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz \theta)| d\theta dS dx \\
 & \leq C_n t^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

when n is an odd ≥ 3 ; and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.11) \quad & \left\| a_0 \int_{|z|\leq 1} \frac{g_0(t, \cdot + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, \cdot)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq a_0 \gamma t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{|z|\leq 1} \int_0^1 \frac{|z| |(\nabla_x g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz \theta)|}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} d\theta dz dx \\
 & \leq C_n t^{\frac{1}{2}}
 \end{aligned}$$

when n is an even ≥ 2 . Therefore, by (4.7), (4.9) and (4.10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|L_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \leq C_n \left\{ t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} t^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} t^{\frac{|\alpha|+1}{2}} \right\} \\
 & \leq C_n t^{\frac{n-1}{4}}
 \end{aligned}$$

when n is an odd ≥ 3 ; and by (4.7), (4.8) and (4.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|L_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} & \leq C_n \left\{ t^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} t^{\frac{|\alpha|}{2}} + \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} t^{\frac{|\alpha|+1}{2}} \right\} \\
 & \leq C_n t^{\frac{n}{4}}
 \end{aligned}$$

when n is an even ≥ 2 , which implies (4.4).

Next, we shall show that

$$(4.12) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta L_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{q(n) - \frac{j+|\beta|}{4}}, \quad t \geq 1, j + |\beta| \geq 1.$$

By (4.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.13) \quad & \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta L_1(t, x) \\
 &= a_0 \int_{|z|=1} \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \{g_0(t, x + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, x)\} dS \\
 &+ \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha (1 + |\alpha|) \sum_{m=0}^{\min(k+1, |\alpha|)} \frac{|\alpha|!}{m!} (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|} t^{-m} \\
 &\cdot \sum_{|\delta|=k-m} \int_{|z|=1} z^{\alpha+\delta} (\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \partial_t^{j-k} g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz) dS \\
 &+ \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\min(k, |\alpha|+1)} \frac{(|\alpha|+1)!}{m!} (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|} t^{1-m} \\
 &\cdot \sum_{|\delta|=k-m+1} \int_{|z|=1} z^{\alpha+\delta} (\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \partial_t^{j-k} g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz) dS
 \end{aligned}$$

when n is an odd ≥ 3 ; and by (4.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.14) \quad & \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta L_1(t, x) \\
 &= a_0 \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \{g_0(t, x + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, x)\}}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz \\
 &+ \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} a_\alpha (1 + |\alpha|) \sum_{m=0}^{\min(k+1, |\alpha|)} \frac{|\alpha|!}{m!} (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|} t^{-m} \\
 &\cdot \sum_{|\delta|=k-m} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{z^{\alpha+\delta} (\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \partial_t^{j-k} g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz \\
 &+ \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} a_\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\min(k, |\alpha|+1)} \frac{(|\alpha|+1)!}{m!} (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|} t^{1-m} \\
 &\cdot \sum_{|\delta|=k-m+1} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{z^{\alpha+\delta} (\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \partial_t^{j-k} g_0)(t, x + \gamma tz)}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz
 \end{aligned}$$

when n is an even $n \geq 2$. By (4.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.15) \quad & \left\| a_0 \int_{|z|=1} \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \{g_0(t, \cdot + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, \cdot)\} dS \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq a_0 \int_{|z|=1} \left\{ \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta g_0(t, \cdot + \gamma tz)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta g_0(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right\} dS \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{-\left(j + \frac{|\beta|}{2}\right)};
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.16) \quad & \left\| a_0 \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \{g_0(t, \cdot + \gamma tz) - g_0(t, \cdot)\}}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz \right\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq a_0 \int_{|z|=1} \frac{\|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta g_0(t, \cdot + \gamma tz)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta g_0(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{\sqrt{1 - |z|^2}} dz \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{-\left(j + \frac{|\beta|}{2}\right)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting

$$p(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n-3}{2}, & \text{when } n \text{ is an odd } \geq 3, \\ \frac{n-2}{2}, & \text{when } n \text{ is an even } \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

by (4.9), (4.13), (4.14), (4.15) and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta L_1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,n} \left\{ t^{-\left(j + \frac{|\beta|}{2}\right)} + \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{1 \leq |\alpha| \leq p(n)} \sum_{m=0}^{\min(k+1, |\alpha|)} t^{-\left(j + \frac{|\beta| - |\alpha| + m - k}{2}\right)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{0 \leq |\alpha| \leq p(n)} \sum_{m=0}^{\min(k, |\alpha| + 1)} t^{-\left(j + \frac{|\beta| - |\alpha| + m - k - 1}{2}\right)} \right\} \\
 & \leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{q(n) - \frac{j + |\beta|}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.12).

Now we shall estimate

$$\partial_t^\ell \partial_x^\beta L_2(t, x) = (\alpha - A)^j \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\left(e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} - e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \right) |\xi|^{2\ell} (i\xi)^\beta \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right] (x).$$

Since

$$e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} - e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} = (\alpha - A) |\xi|^2 t \int_0^1 e^{-\theta A |\xi|^2 t - (1-\theta)\alpha |\xi|^2 t} d\theta,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_\xi^\eta \left\{ \left(e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} - e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \right) |\xi|^{2\ell} (i\xi)^\beta \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi) \right\} \right| \\ & \leq C_{\ell, \beta, \eta} |\xi|^{2\ell + |\beta| + 2 - |\eta|} t, \quad \xi \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$(4.17) \quad \|\partial_t^\ell \partial_\xi^\beta L_2(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{\ell, \beta, n} t^{-\left(j + \frac{|\beta|}{2}\right)}, \quad t > 0.$$

Next, we shall show that for $t \geq 1$

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{cases} \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta M_0^1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j, \beta, n} t^{q(n) - \frac{j + |\beta| + 1}{2}}, \\ \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta M_\ell^1(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j, \beta, \ell, n} t^{q(n) - \frac{j + |\beta| + \ell - 1}{2}}, \quad \ell \geq 1, \\ \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta M_\ell^2(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j, \beta, \ell, n} t^{q(n) - \frac{j + |\beta| + \ell}{2}}, \quad \ell \geq 0, \\ \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta M_\ell^3(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j, \beta, \ell, n} t^{q(n) - \frac{j + |\beta| + \ell}{2}}, \quad \ell \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

To do this, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_0^1(t, x) &= e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \frac{g(|\xi|^2)}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} \xi_j \xi_k \varphi_0(\xi), \\ \psi_\ell^1(t, x) &= e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \frac{1}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^\ell \xi_j \xi_k \varphi_0(\xi), \\ \psi_\ell^2(t, x) &= e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \frac{-A}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^\ell \xi_j \xi_k \varphi_0(\xi), \\ \psi_\ell^3(t, x) &= e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \frac{g(|\xi|^2)}{\gamma f(|\xi|)} (|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2) t)^{\ell-1} \xi_j \xi_k \varphi_0(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{cases} M_0^1(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \psi_0^1(t, \xi) \right] (x), \\ M_\ell^1(t, x) = -\mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t^{\ell-1} \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \psi_\ell^1(t, \xi) \right] (x), \\ M_\ell^2(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \psi_\ell^2(t, \xi) \right] (x), \\ M_\ell^3(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \psi_\ell^3(t, \xi) \right] (x). \end{cases}$$

Concerning the estimate $\psi_\ell^k(t, \xi)$, we have

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\mu \psi_\ell^k(t, \xi) \right| \leq C_{\mu, \ell} |\xi|^{2 - |\mu|}, \quad \forall \mu.$$

Therefore, if we put

$$g_\ell^k(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\psi_\ell^k(t, \xi) \right] (x),$$

then, by Lemma 3.5 we have

$$(4.20) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta g_\ell^k(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j, \beta, k, \ell, n} t^{-\left(1 + j + \frac{|\beta|}{2}\right)}.$$

In view of (4.19) and (4.20), we consider the function:

$$N_\ell(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\partial_t^\ell \left(\frac{\sin \gamma |\xi| t}{|\xi|} \right) \hat{G}(t, \xi) \right] (x),$$

where $G(t, x)$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(4.21) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta G(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\beta,n} t^{-(1+j+\frac{|\beta|}{2})}.$$

In order to prove (4.18), it suffices to show that

$$(4.22) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta N_\ell(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\beta,\ell,n} t^{q(n) - \frac{j+|\beta|+\ell}{2}}.$$

By (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta N_\ell(t, x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-3}{2}} a_\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \partial_t^m (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} \int_{|z|=1} z^{\alpha+\delta} \left(\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \partial_t^{j-k} G \right) (t, x + \gamma tz) dS \end{aligned}$$

when n is an odd ≥ 3 ; and

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta N_\ell(t, x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{n-2}{2}} a_\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} \binom{\ell+k}{m} \partial_t^m (\gamma t)^{|\alpha|+1} \\ & \quad \cdot \sum_{|\delta|=\ell+k-m} \int_{|z| \leq 1} \frac{z^{\alpha+\delta} \left(\partial_x^{\alpha+\beta+\delta} \partial_t^{j-k} G \right) (t, x + \gamma tz)}{\sqrt{1-|z|^2}} dz \end{aligned}$$

when n is an even ≥ 2 . Therefore, by (4.21) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta N_\ell(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j,\beta,\ell,n} \sum_{k=0}^j \sum_{|\alpha| \leq p(n)} \sum_{m=0}^{\min(\ell+k, |\alpha|+1)} t^{\frac{|\alpha|-|\beta|-\ell-j}{2} - \frac{j+m-k}{2}} \\ & \leq C_{j,\beta,\ell,n} t^{q(n) - \frac{j+|\beta|+\ell}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.22).

In order to estimate the remainder term $\mathcal{R}_N(t, x)$, we consider the function:

$$R_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[r_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, \xi) \right] (x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} r_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, \xi) &= \frac{e^{-A|\xi|^2 t}}{2i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)} \int_0^1 (1-\theta)^N e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|(1+\theta|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2))t} d\theta \\ &\quad \cdot (\pm i\gamma|\xi|^3 g(|\xi|^2))^{N+1} \psi(\xi) \varphi_0(\xi), \end{aligned}$$

and $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n - \{0\})$ satisfies the condition:

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\gamma \psi(\xi) \right| \leq C_\gamma |\xi|^{-|\gamma|}, \quad \forall \xi \neq 0.$$

If $\psi(\xi) = \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2}$, then we have

$$(4.23) \quad \mathcal{R}_N(t, x) = \partial_t \left\{ \left(R_{N,\psi}^+(t, x) - R_{N,\psi}^-(t, x) \right) t^{N+1} \right\}.$$

First, we observe that

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left| \partial_\xi^\delta \left\{ (-A|\xi|^2 \pm i\gamma|\xi|(1+\theta|\xi|^2)g(|\xi|^2))^j (i\xi)^\beta r_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, \xi) \right\} \right| \\ &\leq C_{j,\beta,N,\delta} |\xi|^{3N+2+j+|\beta|-2|\delta|} e^{-\frac{A}{4}|\xi|^2 t}, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq 1, \xi \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

In fact, by the formula of derivative of composed function (cf. (3.19)), we have

$$(4.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_\xi^\delta e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|(1+\theta|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2))t} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{|\delta|} (\pm i\gamma t)^\ell e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|(1+\theta|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2))t} \\ &\quad \cdot \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|+\dots+|\alpha_\ell|=|\delta| \\ |\alpha_i| \geq 1}} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_1} \{ |\xi|(1+\theta|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2)) \} \dots \partial_\xi^{\alpha_\ell} \{ |\xi|(1+\theta|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2)) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| \partial_\xi^{\alpha_\nu} \{ |\xi|(1+\theta|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2)) \} \right| \leq C_{\alpha,\nu} |\xi|^{1-|\alpha_\nu|}, \quad \xi \in \text{supp } \varphi_0,$$

by (4.25) we have

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\delta e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|(1+\theta|\xi|^2 g(|\xi|^2))t} \right| \leq C_\delta \sum_{\ell=1}^{|\delta|} (t|\xi|)^\ell |\xi|^{-|\delta|}, \quad \xi \in \text{supp } \varphi_0.$$

Therefore, we have for $\xi \in \text{supp } \varphi_0$ and $\xi \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_\xi^\delta \left\{ (-A|\xi|^2 \pm i\gamma|\xi|(1 + \theta|\xi|^2)g(|\xi|^2))^j (i\xi)^\beta r_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, \xi) \right\} \right| \\ & \leq C_\delta \sum_{0 \leq \nu \leq \delta} |\xi|^{3N+2+j+|\beta|-\nu} e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \sum_{\ell=1}^{|\delta-\nu|} (t|\xi|)^\ell |\xi|^{-|\delta-\nu|} \\ & \leq C_\delta \sum_{0 \leq \nu \leq \delta} |\xi|^{3N+2+j+|\beta|-\nu} e^{-\frac{A}{2}|\xi|^2 t} \sum_{\ell=1}^{|\delta-\nu|} (t|\xi|)^\ell |\xi|^{-\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|\xi|^{-\ell} \leq C_\delta |\xi|^{-|\delta|}$ ($0 \leq \ell \leq |\delta|$) when $\xi \in \text{supp } \varphi_0$ and $\xi \neq 0$, by the above inequality we have (4.24). Since

$$(4.26) \quad e^{ix \cdot \xi} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{x_j}{i|x|^2} \partial_{\xi_j} e^{ix \cdot \xi},$$

in view of (4.24) by $n + 1$ -times integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta R_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, x) \\ & = \sum_{|\delta|=n+1} \left(\frac{ix}{|x|^2} \right)^\delta \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^n \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{ix \cdot \xi} \partial_\xi^\delta \\ & \quad \cdot \left\{ (-A|\xi|^2 \pm i\gamma|\xi|(1 + \theta|\xi|^2)g(|\xi|^2))^j (i\xi)^\beta r_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, \xi) \right\} d\xi d\theta \end{aligned}$$

when $N > n/3$. Therefore, by (4.24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta R_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, x) \right| & \leq C_{j,\beta,N,n} |x|^{-(1+n)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{3N+2+j+|\beta|-2(n+1)} e^{-\frac{A}{4}|\xi|^2 t} d\xi \\ & \leq C_{j,\beta,N,n} |x|^{-(n+1)} t^{-\frac{3N+j+|\beta|-n}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by (4.24) with $\delta = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta R_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, x) \right| & \leq C_{j,\beta,N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^{3N+2+j+|\alpha|} e^{-\frac{A}{4}|\xi|^2 t} d\xi \\ & \leq C_{j,\beta,N} t^{-\frac{3N+2+j+|\beta|+n}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these two estimations, we have

$$(4.27) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left\| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta R_{N,\psi}^\pm(t, \cdot) \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j,\beta,N,n} \left\{ \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} t^{-\frac{3N+2+j+|\beta|+n}{2}} dx + \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} t^{-\frac{3N+j+|\alpha|-n}{2}} |x|^{-n+1} dx \right\} \\ & \leq C_{j,\beta,N,n} t^{-\frac{3N+|\alpha|+j+1-n}{2}}, \quad t \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.23) and (4.27), we have

$$(4.28) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\beta \mathcal{R}_N(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\beta,N} t^{-\frac{N-n+j+|\beta-1}{2}}, \quad t \geq 1, \quad N > \frac{n}{3}.$$

Combining (4.4), (4.12), (4.17), (4.18) and (4.28), we have (4.3). To complete the Proof of Theorem 2.1 (2), we have to show that

$$(4.29) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha L_0(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Regarding the relations:

$$\lambda_\pm(\xi) = -A|\xi|^2 \mp i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|),$$

we put

$$L_0(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_j(t, \xi)](x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1(t, \xi) &= \frac{A|\xi|e^{-A|\xi|^2t}}{2i\gamma f(|\xi|)} \left(e^{-i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)t} - e^{i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)t} \right) \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi), \\ \psi_2(t, \xi) &= e^{-A|\xi|^2t} \left(\frac{e^{-i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)t} - e^{i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)t}}{2} - 1 \right) \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi), \\ \psi_3(t, \xi) &= \left(e^{-A|\xi|^2t} - e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2t} \right) \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_0(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

First, we shall estimate $\mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_1(t, \xi)](x)$. By the formula of derivative of composed function (cf. (3.19)), we have

$$(4.30) \quad \begin{aligned} &\partial_\xi^\delta e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)t} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^{|\delta|} (\pm i\gamma t)^\ell e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)t} \sum_{\substack{|\alpha_1|+\dots+|\alpha_\ell|=|\delta| \\ |\alpha_i| \geq 1}} \partial_\xi^{\alpha_1} \{|\xi|f(|\xi|)\} \dots \partial_\xi^{\alpha_\ell} \{|\xi|f(|\xi|)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\left| \partial_\xi^{\alpha_\nu} |\xi|f(|\xi|) \right| \leq C_{\alpha_\nu} |\xi|^{1-|\alpha_\nu|}, \quad |\xi| \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \xi \neq 0,$$

by (4.30) we have

$$(4.31) \quad \left| \partial_\xi^\delta e^{\pm i\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)t} \right| \leq C_\delta \sum_{\ell=1}^{|\delta|} |\xi|^{\ell-|\delta|} \leq C_\delta |\xi|^{-|\delta|}, \quad |\xi| \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

By (4.31) and Leibniz' rule, we have

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\delta \left\{ \partial_t^j \psi_1(t, \xi) (i\xi)^\alpha \right\} \right| \leq C_{j,\delta,\alpha} |\xi|^{1-|\delta|}, \quad |\xi| \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Since $\text{supp } \psi_1(t, \cdot) \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid |\xi| \leq B/\sqrt{2}\}$, by Proposition 3.4 with $(\alpha, N, \sigma) = (1, n, 1)$ we have

$$\left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_1(t, \xi)](x) \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} |x|^{-n-1}, \quad \forall x \neq 0.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_1(t, \xi)](x) \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha,n} \int_{|\xi| \leq \frac{B}{\sqrt{2}}} |\xi| \, d\xi \leq C_{j,\alpha,n}.$$

Therefore, combining these two estimations, we have

(4.32)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_1(t, \xi)](\cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{j,\alpha,n} \left\{ \int_{|x| \leq 1} dx + \int_{|x| \geq 1} |x|^{-(n+1)} dx \right\} \\ &\leq C_{j,\alpha,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we shall estimate $\mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_2(t, \xi)](x)$. By Taylor's formula, we have

$$\psi_2(t, \xi) = -i\gamma f(|\xi|) t e^{-A|\xi|^2 t} \int_0^1 \sin(\theta\gamma|\xi|f(|\xi|)t) \, d\theta \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|} \varphi_0(\xi).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\delta \left\{ (i\xi)^\alpha \partial_t^j \psi_2(t, \xi) \right\} \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha,\delta} |\xi|^{1-|\delta|}, \quad \xi \neq 0.$$

Employing the same argument as in $\mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_1(t, \xi)](x)$, we have

(4.33)
$$\|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_2(t, \xi)](\cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n}.$$

Finally, we shall estimate $\mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_3(t, \xi)](x)$. Since

$$\psi_3(t, x) = (A - \alpha)t \int_0^1 e^{-((1-\theta)A + \theta\alpha)|\xi|^2 t} \, d\theta \xi_j \xi_k \varphi_0(\xi),$$

we have

$$\left| \partial_\xi^\delta \left\{ (i\xi)^\alpha \partial_t^j \psi_3(t, \xi) \right\} \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha,\delta} |\xi|^{2-|\delta|}.$$

Therefore, employing the same argument as in $\mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_1(t, \xi)](x)$, we have

(4.34)
$$\|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\psi_3(t, \xi)](\cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,\alpha,n}.$$

Combining (4.32), (4.33) and (4.34), we have (4.29), which completes the proof.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.2.

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 2.2. First, we consider the part where $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{2}B$. Since $\lambda_{\pm}(\xi) = -A \left(|\xi|^2 \pm \sqrt{|\xi|^4 - B^2|\xi|^2} \right)$ when $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{2}B$, we write:

$$(5.1) \quad \lambda_+(\xi) = -A|\xi|^2 + 1 + \mu(\xi), \quad \lambda_-(\xi) = -1 - \mu(\xi),$$

where

$$\mu(\xi) = \frac{AB^4}{4|\xi|^2} g \left(\frac{B^2}{|\xi|^2} \right), \quad g(s) = \int_0^1 (1 - \theta s)^{-\frac{3}{2}} (1 - \theta) d\theta.$$

Note that $g(B^2/|\xi|^2) \in C^\infty$ when $|\xi| \geq 2$. In view of (2.5) and (2.9), we put

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\pm}(t)u(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_{\mp}(\xi) e^{\lambda_{\pm}(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \varphi_{\infty}(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) \right] (x), \\ M_{\pm, \beta}(t)u(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\xi^{\beta} e^{\lambda_{\pm}(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \varphi_{\infty}(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) \right] (x), \quad |\beta| = 1, \\ K_{\pm, \infty}(t)v_0(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_{\pm}(\xi) e^{\lambda_{\pm}(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_{\infty}(\xi) \hat{v}_0(\xi) \right] (x), \\ K_{1, \infty}(t)v_0(x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \left(\delta_{jk} - \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \right) \varphi_{\infty}(\xi) \hat{v}_0(\xi) \right] (x). \end{aligned}$$

By [6, Theorem 4.2.1], we have for $p = 1$ or ∞

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha L_+(t)u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{j,k,\alpha} t^{-(j-k)} e^{-ct} \|u\|_{W_p^{2k+(|\alpha|-1)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha M_{+, \beta}(t)u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{j,k,\alpha} t^{-(j-k)} e^{-ct} \|u\|_{W_p^{2k+|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad |\beta| = 1, \\ \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha (L_-(t)u - e^{-t}u)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{j,\alpha} e^{-ct} \|u\|_{W_p^{(|\alpha|-1)^+}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha M_{-, \beta}(t)u\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{j,\alpha} e^{-ct} \|u\|_{W_p^{|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad |\beta| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall show that for $p = 1$ or ∞

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha k_{+, \infty}(t)v_0\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{j,\alpha,n} \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) t^{-(j-k)} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{W_p^{2k+|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \\ \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha k_{1, \infty}(t)v_0\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{j,\alpha,n} \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) t^{-(j-k)} e^{-ct} \|v_0\|_{W_p^{2k+|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$K_{+,j,k}(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_+(\xi)^j e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{j-k} \xi_m \xi_\ell}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^j |\xi|^2} \varphi_\infty(\xi) \right] (x),$$

$$K_{1,j,k}(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{(-\alpha|\xi|^2)^j e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{j-k}}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^j} \cdot \left(\delta_{m\ell} - \frac{\xi_m \xi_\ell}{|\xi|^2} \right) \varphi_\infty(\xi) \right] (x),$$

for $j \leq k \leq 0$, and then

$$(5.4) \quad \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{+,\infty}(t) v_0 = K_{+,j,k}(t, \cdot) * \partial_x^\alpha (1 - \Delta)^k v_0;$$

$$(5.5) \quad \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{1,\infty}(t) v_0 = K_{1,j,k}(t, \cdot) * \partial_x^\alpha (1 - \Delta)^k v_0.$$

By (5.1) we have for $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{2}B$

$$(5.6) \quad \left| \partial_\xi^\nu \left\{ \frac{\lambda_+(\xi)^j e^{\lambda_+(\xi)t} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{j-k} \xi_m \xi_\ell}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^j |\xi|^2} \varphi_\infty(\xi) \right\} \right| \leq C_{j,k,\nu} t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t} |\xi|^{-|\nu|} e^{-c_2 |\xi|^2 t}, \quad \forall \nu,$$

and also we have

$$(5.7) \quad \left| \partial_\xi^\nu \left\{ \frac{(-\alpha|\xi|^2)^j e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} (1 + |\xi|^2)^{j-k} \xi_m \xi_\ell}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^j |\xi|^2} \varphi_\infty(\xi) \right\} \right| \leq C_{j,k,\nu} t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t} |\xi|^{-|\nu|} e^{-c_2 |\xi|^2 t}, \quad \forall \nu.$$

Therefore, using (4.26) and the integration by parts $n + 1$ times, by (5.6) we have

$$(5.8) \quad |L_{+,j,k}(t, x)| \leq C_{j,k,n} \frac{t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t}}{|x|^{n+1}} \int_{|\xi| \geq \sqrt{2}B} |\xi|^{-n-1} e^{-c_2 |\xi|^2 t} d\xi \leq C_{j,k,n} \frac{t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t}}{|x|^{n+1}},$$

and by (5.7) we have

$$(5.9) \quad |L_{1,j,k}(t, x)| \leq C_{j,k,n} \frac{t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t}}{|x|^{n+1}}.$$

On the other hand, by (5.6) we have

$$(5.10) \quad |L_{+,j,k}(t, x)| \leq C_{j,k,n} t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t} \int_{|\xi| \geq \sqrt{2}B} e^{-c_2 |\xi|^2 t} d\xi \leq C_{j,k,n} t^{-(j-k) - \frac{n}{2}} e^{-c_1 t},$$

and by (5.7) we have

$$(5.11) \quad |L_{1,j,k}(t, x)| \leq C_{j,k,n} t^{-(j-k) - \frac{n}{2}} e^{-c_1 t}.$$

Therefore, by (5.8) and (5.10) we have

$$(5.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|L_{+,j,k}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ & \leq C_{j,k,n} t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t} \left\{ t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{|x| \leq \sqrt{t}} dx + \int_{|x| \geq \sqrt{t}} \frac{1}{|x|^{n+1}} dx \right\} \\ & \leq C_{j,k,n} \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t}, \end{aligned}$$

and by (5.9) and (5.11) we have

$$(5.13) \quad \|L_{1,j,k}(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,k,n} \left(1 + t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t}.$$

By (5.12), (5.13) and the Young inequality, we have (5.3).

Next, we shall show that for $p = 1$ or ∞

$$(5.14) \quad \|\partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha K_{-, \infty}(t) v_0\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_{j,k,\alpha,n} t^{-(j-k)} e^{-c_1 t} \|v_0\|_{W_p^{2k+|\alpha|}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Put

$$\ell_-(t, x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\frac{\lambda_-(\xi) e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \frac{\xi_j \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_\infty(\xi) \right] (x),$$

and then

$$(5.15) \quad K_{-, \infty}(t) v_0(x) = \ell_-(t, \cdot) * v_0.$$

Now, we shall prove that

$$(5.16) \quad \|\partial_t^j \ell_-(t, \cdot)\|_{L_1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_j e^{-ct}.$$

By (5.1) we have for $|\xi| \geq \sqrt{2}B$

$$(5.17) \quad \left| \partial_\xi^\beta \left\{ \frac{\lambda_-(\xi)^{j+1} e^{\lambda_-(\xi)t}}{\lambda_+(\xi) - \lambda_-(\xi)} \frac{\xi_m \xi_k}{|\xi|^2} \varphi_\infty(\xi) \right\} \right| \leq C_{j,\beta} (1+t)^{|\beta|} e^{-ct} |\xi|^{-2-|\beta|}.$$

Therefore, using (4.26) and the integration by parts $n - 1$ times, by (5.17) we have

$$\left| \partial_t^j \ell_-(t, x) \right| \leq C_{j,n} e^{-ct} \begin{cases} |x|^{-(n-1)}, & 0 < |x| \leq 1; \\ |x|^{-(n+1)}, & |x| \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

which implies (5.16). By (5.16) and the Young inequality, we have (5.14).

In order to complete the Proof of Theorem 2.2, we have to estimate the part where $B/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 2B$ (cf. (2.10)). In view of (2.6) and (2.9), below, if we put

(5.18)

$$\begin{aligned}
 N_{0,\psi}(t, x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[\oint_{\Gamma} \frac{(z + |\xi|^2)e^{zt}}{z^2 + (\alpha + \beta)|\xi|^2 z + \gamma^2|\xi|^2} dz \psi(\xi) \varphi_M(\xi) \right] (x); \\
 N_{1,\psi}(t, x) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[i\gamma\xi \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{zt}}{z^2 + (\alpha + \beta)|\xi|^2 z + \gamma^2|\xi|^2} dz \psi(\xi) \varphi_M(\xi) \right] (x); \\
 N_{2,\psi}(t, x) &= \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left[e^{-\alpha|\xi|^2 t} \psi(\xi) \varphi_M(\xi) \right] (x),
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\psi \in C^\infty(S^{n-1})$ and $\psi = \psi(\xi/|\xi|)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\varphi_M(\xi) \hat{\rho}(t, \xi)] (x) &= N_{0,\psi}(t, \cdot) * \rho_0 + N_{1,\psi}(t, \cdot) * v_0; \\
 \mathcal{F}^{-1} [\varphi_M(\xi) \hat{v}(t, \xi)] (x) &= N_{1,\psi}(t, \cdot) * \rho_0 + N_{0,\psi}(t, \cdot) * v_0 + N_{2,\psi}(t, \cdot) * v_0.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we use (4.26) and (2.7), then we see easily that

$$\left| \partial_t^j \partial_x^\alpha N_{\ell,\psi}(t, x) \right| \leq C_{j,\alpha,N} e^{-ct} |x|^{-N}, \quad \forall N \geq 0, \text{ integer.}$$

Therefore, applying the Young inequality to (5.18) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.19) \\
 \|\mathcal{F}^{-1} [\varphi_M(\xi) (\hat{\rho}, \hat{v})(t, \xi)]\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\leq C_{j,\alpha,p} e^{-ct} \|(\rho_0, v_0)\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad 1 \leq p \leq \infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.2), (5.3), (5.14) and (5.19), we have Theorem 2.2.

References

- [1] L.C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Grundle Math. Wiss., Berkeley Mathematics Lecture Notes, **3a**, 1998, MR 99e:35001, Zbl 0902.35002.
- [2] D. Hoff and K. Zumbrun, *Multi-dimensional diffusion waves for the Navier-Stokes equations of compressible flow*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **44(2)** (1995), 603-676, MR 96j:35189, Zbl 0842.35076.
- [3] ———, *Pointwise decay estimates for multidimensional Navier-Stokes diffusion waves*, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., **48** (1997), 597-614, MR 98i:35145, Zbl 0882.76074.
- [4] T.-P. Liu and W. Wang, *The pointwise estimates of diffusion wave for the Navier-Stokes system in odd multi-dimensions*, Comm. Math. Phys., **196** (1998), 145-173, MR 99i:35127, Zbl 0912.35122.
- [5] S. Mizohata, *The Theory of Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1973, MR 58 #29033, Zbl 0263.35001.
- [6] Y. Shibata, *On the rate of decay of solutions to linear viscoelastic equation*, Math. Meth. Appl. Sci., **23** (2000), 203-226, MR 2001d:35188 Zbl 0947.35020.
- [7] Y. Shibata and S. Shimizu, *A decay property of the Fourier transform and its application to Stokes problem*, J. Math. Fluid Mech., **3** (2001), 213-230, MR 2002i:35005.
- [8] C.G. Simader, *On Dirichlet Boundary Value Problem; An L_p -Theory Based on a Generalization of Gårding's Inequality*, Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Math., **268**, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1972, MR 57 #13169, Zbl 0242.35027.

- [9] B.R. Vainberg, *Asymptotic Methods in Equations of Mathematical Physics*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, London, Paris, Montreux, Tokyo, 1989, MR 91h:35081.

Received February 27, 2001.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KYUSHU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
1-1 SENSUI-CHO, TOBATA-KU
KITAKYUSYU 804-8550
JAPAN
E-mail address: kobayasi@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING
WASEDA UNIVERSITY
3-4-1 OHKUBO SHINJUKU-KU
TOKYO 169-8555
JAPAN
E-mail address: yshibata@waseda.jp