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We consider the equation $\Delta u - V(x)u + W(x)u^p = 0$ and
its parabolic counterpart in noncompact manifolds. Under
some natural conditions on the positive functions $V$ and $W$,
which may only have 'slow' or no decay near infinity, we es-
tablish existence of positive solutions in both the critical and
the subcritical case. This leads to the solutions, in the diffi-
cult positive curvature case, of many scalar curvature equa-
tion in noncompact manifolds. The result is new even in the
Euclidean space.

In the subcritical, parabolic case, we also prove the conver-
gence of some global solutions to nontrivial stationary solu-
tions.

1. Introduction.

In this paper we establish positive solutions to some semilinear elliptic equa-
tions in noncompact manifolds of dimension $n \geq 3$, which involve both the
subcritical and critical exponent $(n + 2)/(n - 2)$. We will also prove the
convergence of global solutions to nontrivial stationary solutions for some
parabolic equations. For the sake of clarity we present these results in three
subsections.

1.1. Results on the scalar curvature equations in noncompact com-
plete manifolds. The scalar curvature equations

$$\Delta u - \frac{n - 2}{4(n - 1)} R(x)u + W u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0,$$

are the targets of intensive study over the last decades. Here $M$ is a Rie-
mannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$, $R$ is the scalar curvature, and $W$ is
a function of $x$. In the case of compact manifolds, great progress have been
made. For the Yamabe problem ($W$ is a constant), we refer to the survey
paper [LP] and the book [Au2] for an account of this matter. In the 80’s,
Yau [Yau] and Kazdan [Kaz] suggested the study of (1.1) in the noncom-
 pact setting. In the recent book [Au2], this study was proposed again by
Aubin.
However the understanding in the noncompact case is still rather limited when the scalar curvature is nonnegative. In the negative scalar curvature case, we refer the reader to [AM], [LTY] and the references there. Some nonexistence and existence results in the positive scalar curvature case can be found in [Jin] \((W = 1)\), [Zh3] \((W = 1)\), [Ki2] \((W = 1)\) and [Ni], [KN], \([Ho]\) \((R, W \text{ decay rapidly})\). In Theorem 1.1 below, we establish a general existence result on scalar curvature equations in the most difficult case, i.e., when the scalar curvature is positive and not necessarily decaying.

In order to state the result precisely, it is necessary to recall some well-known objects. We use \(M\) to denote a complete noncompact manifold with dimension \(n \geq 3\). We use 0 to denote a fixed point in \(M\) and write \(d(x) = d(x, 0)\), the distance from 0 to \(x \in M\).

1. Let \(R = R(x)\) be the scalar curvature of \(M\), then the Yamabe invariant is

\[
Y(M) = \inf_{u \in C_0^\infty(M)} \int_M \left( |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} Ru^2 \right) dx / \|u\|^2_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(M)}.
\]

2. Given a function \(W = W(x)\) and a domain \(D \subset M\), define

\[
Q(W, D) = \inf_{u \in C_0^\infty(D)} \int_D \left( |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} Ru^2 \right) dx / \left( \int_D W u^{2n/(n-2)} dx \right)^{(n-2)/n}.
\]

The quantities \(Y(M)\) and \(Q(W, D)\) have been used widely in the study of conformal properties of both compact and noncompact manifolds. For further properties see the papers \([Au]\), \([S]\), \([ES]\), \([E]\) and \([Ki]\). For instance, Condition (a) below is exactly the noncompact version of the main assumption in \([ES]\). Note also \(Q(1, M) = Y(M)\).

We also point out that the solutions in Theorem 1.1 below have finite energy in the sense that \(\int_M |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty\) and \(\int_M u^{n/(n-2)} dx < \infty\). This will be clear from the construction of the solution.

We hope to find solutions with infinite energy in a future study.

**Theorem 1.1.** Suppose:

(a) \(M\) is an \(n \geq 3\) dimensional complete noncompact manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature and \(|B(x, r)| \leq Cr^n\) for all \(x \in M\) and \(r \geq 1\); the Yamabe invariant \(Y(M) > 0\); \(W(x) \geq 0\), \(0 \neq W \in L^\infty(M) \cap C^1(M)\);

(b) there is a compact exhaustion \(\{D_j\}\) of \(M\) such that

\[
\sup_j \left[ \left( \max_{x \in D_j} W(x) \right) \right]^{(n-2)/n} Q(W, D_j) < Q_0 = \frac{n(n-2)}{4} (\text{Vol}(S^n))^{2/n};
\]

here \(Q_0\) is the constant in the sharp Sobolev inequality in \(\mathbb{R}^n\);
(c) there is a compact domain $D$ such that

$$
\left( \sup_{D^c} W \right)^{(n-2)/n} Q(W, M) < Q(1, D^c).
$$

(i) Then (1.1) has a positive solution $u \in L_2^{2n/(n-2)}(M)$ such that $u(x) \leq C/(1 + d(x)^{n-2})$.

(ii) If, in addition to (a) only, one assumes $M$ is nonparabolic, $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ and $R(x) \geq c_1 + d(x)^b > 0$ with $b < 2$. Let $u \geq 0$ be any solution to (1.1) such that $\int_M u^{2n/(n-2)} dx < \infty$. Then there exist $c_1, c_2 > 0$ and $a = a(b) > 0$ such that, for all $x \in M$,

$$
u(x) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 d(x)^a}.
$$

Remark 1.1. Here we show that the assumptions in the theorem are quite natural and encompass large classes of manifolds.

Since $Q(1, B(0, r)^c) \geq Y(M) > 0$, Condition (c) is satisfied if $\lim_{d(x) \to \infty} W(x) = 0$. There are noncompact manifolds satisfying Condition (c) even if $W \equiv 1$ (see [Ki]).

In case $W = W(x)$ reaches absolute maximum at $x_0 \in M$, then $Q(W, D) \leq Q(W, D_1)$ if $x_0 \in D_1 \subset D$. Hence Condition (b) is satisfied if one can find just one compact domain $D_0$ containing $x_0$ so that

$$
\left( \max_{x \in D_0} W(x) \right)^{(n-2)/n} Q(W, D_0) < Q_0.
$$

The latter is the basic existence condition obtained in the compact case ([ES] Proposition 1.1), [E]). See also [BN]. Ample examples of the function $W$ are provided in these two papers. Basically $W$ is required to satisfy some flatness condition at its maximum.

Another set of examples comes from compact perturbations of $S^2 \times \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$, $n \geq 6$. Choose a perturbed metric which is not locally conformal flat in $B(x_0, 1)$. Here $x_0$ is a point. By [Au], one can find a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, 1))$ so that the Yamabe quotient involving $\phi$ is strictly less than $Q_0$. Therefore Condition (b) in Theorem 1.1 is satisfies if $W$ is a constant in $B(x_0, 1)$ provided the constant is the absolute maximum and $W$ converges to zero at infinity. In fact one only need some weaker flatness condition such as vanishing of certain derivatives at the maximum point (see [ES]). Other conditions are satisfied too since we can choose the perturbation so small that the scalar curvature is bounded away from zero.

Remark 1.2. In the papers [ES] and [E], Escobar and Schoen obtained important existence results concerning (1.1) in compact manifolds with and without boundaries.
In the paper [Ki, Ki2], under similar assumptions, Kim obtained interesting existence result for (1.1) with $W = 1$. However some clarification seems needed. In the last paragraph on p. 1987 [Ki], the quoted sharp Sobolev inequality of Aubin contains constants $C(\epsilon)$ that may depend on the domains. This is because the domains ($\Omega$ in [Ki]) may not be contained in a compact set even though their volume is finite. This complicates the claim that the ‘approximate solutions $u_i$’ are uniformly bounded in compact domains. In this paper we overcome the difficulty by proving a priori decay estimates for solutions, under merely an assumption on the volume of geodesic balls.

One can replace the volume assumption in (a) by assuming that the Ricci curvature is bounded from below and the injectivity radius is positive. Then the Sharp Sobolev inequality holds on the whole manifold (see [He] e.g.). In this case, the existence part of Theorem 1.1 (i) remains valid (see Remark 2.1 below).

**Remark 1.3.** Theorem 1.1 still holds if $R$ is replaced by an ordinary function satisfying some similar assumptions. This can be proven by exploiting the results in [E] in the current setting. In both Theorem 1.1 and the Corollary 1 below, we can allow the function $W$ to change sign. But we are not seeking the full generality this time.

It is well-known that the scalar curvature of ‘most’ manifolds with non-negative Ricci curvature decay slower than the inverse of distance square, as in Theorem 1.1 (ii). In the case, (finite energy) solutions given in Theorem 1.1 (ii) decay exponentially to zero near infinity. Therefore they do not produce complete conformal metrics. This result has two interesting implications. First, it snugly complements the existence result of complete conformal metric ([Ki2]), where the opposite assumption on the scalar $R$ was made. Second it seems to reveal the limit of the direct variational approach, which requires the solution to have finite energy. Moreover it provides a method of conformal compactification. This can be regarded as a generalization of the stereographic projection between $S^n$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$.

An immediate geometric application of the theorem is:

**Corollary 0.** Suppose $M$ and $W$ satisfy all conditions in Theorem 1.1 (i) and (ii). If $M$ has only one end and it is topologically simple at infinity (finite type), then $M$ is conformal to a closed compact manifold minus one point, with scalar curvature $W$.

If $W$ decays as $c/d(x)^a$ with $a > 0$, we can obtain existence result on (1.1) via a simpler proof without Condition (c).

**Corollary 1.** Let $M$ be a complete noncompact manifold with bounded scalar curvature. Suppose the Yamabe invariant is positive and $|B(x, r)| \leq cr^n$ for any $x \in M$ and all $r > 0$. Suppose also:
(a) There is a compact exhaustion \( \{ D_j \} \) of \( M \) such that
\[
\sup_j \left[ \left( \max_{x \in D_j} W(x) \right)^{(n-2)/n} Q(W, D_j) \right] < Q_0 = \frac{n(n-2)}{4} \left( \text{Vol}(S^n) \right)^{2/n}.
\]

(b) \( 0 \neq W \in L^\infty(M) \), \( W(x) \geq 0 \) and \( W(x) \leq \frac{c}{1+a(x)^a} \) with \( a > 0 \).

Then (1.1) has a positive solution.

The conclusion remains valid if \( M = \mathbb{R}^n \), \( n \geq 3 \), and \( R = R(x) \) is any bounded function satisfying (a) that is nonnegative outside a compact set.

Remark 1.4. Under further assumptions, one may be able to show that the metric \( u^{4/(n-2)}g \) is complete, using the idea [Ki2]. But we are not able to construct an explicit example. See Remark 2.2 in the next section.

We construct an example of (1.1) covered by Corollary 1. Let \( W(x) > 0 \) be a function satisfying (b) and achieving global maximum at \( x = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^4 \). Let \( V(x) = \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R(x) \) be a bounded smooth function which is nonnegative outside a compact set. Suppose that the first eigenvalue of the operator \(-\Delta + V\) in \( B(0,1) \) is positive, i.e.,
\[
\int_{B(0,1)} (|\nabla u|^2 + V(x)u^2)dx \geq c \int_{B(0,1)} u^2dx, \quad u \in W^{1,2}_0(B(0,1)).
\]

Suppose also \( V(0) < 0 \), then (1.1) in \( \mathbb{R}^4 \) has a positive solution.

Let us verify that the conditions of Corollary 1 are met. According to Theorem 3.2 in [E], for the above function \( V \) and \( W \), one has
\[
\left( \max_{x \in B(0,1)} W(x) \right)^{(n-2)/n} Q(W, B(0,1)) < Q_0.
\]

Since \( W \) achieves absolute maximum at \( x = 0 \), the above holds when \( B(0,1) \) is replaced by any domain containing it. Hence Condition (a) is met. All other conditions follow easily.

1.2. Results on elliptic equations in the subcritical case. Let us describe the elliptic results in the subcritical case. Consider the equation
\[
\Delta u - V(x)u + W(x)u^p = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n.
\]

Here \( 1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}, \ n \geq 3 \). In what follows, unless otherwise stated, we will assume that \( V = V(x) \) and \( W = W(x) \) are locally Hölder continuous, and bounded function.

This equation has a rich history. When \( V = W = 1 \), it is well-known that (1.2) has a so-called ground state solution, meaning a positive solution decaying exponentially to zero near infinity. In [Lio1-2], P.L. Lions obtained existence of nontrivial solutions to (1.2) when \( V \) is a suitable perturbation of a positive constant near infinity and \( W = 1 \). His approach is the famous concentration-compactness principle, which is variational in nature. Related
results are also obtained in Ding and Ni [DN] (when \( V = 1 \) and \( W \) satisfies various conditions). See also [NS]. When \( V \) and \( W \) have rapid decay, important existence results were achieved in Ni [Ni], Kenig and Ni [KN]. See also [Zh3]. Here and later a function is said to have rapid decay if it is smaller than \( C/|x|^b \) near infinity, where \( b > 2 \). Otherwise we say it has slow decay. In Stuart [St] existence result was obtained when \( V = 1 \) and \( W \) has slow decay. Subsequently many authors have taken up the study of the problem and produced numerous interesting results.

Despite these advances, the important middle range, i.e., when both \( V \) and \( W \) have slow decay, seems to be completely open in the nonradial case. Our next theorem largely fill this gap. Let us mention that this result is not a direct consequence of the variational approach. Since it is well-known that the concentrated compactness method requires that \( V \) converges to a positive constant at infinity in a special manner. Moreover if \( V \) has rapid decay and \( W \equiv 1 \), (1.2) does not have any positive solution if \( 1 < p < \frac{n}{n-2} \) (see [Zh3]). In this paper we will also introduce a dynamic approach to solve (1.2) (see Section 1.3).

The following table provides a glimpse of the current understanding on the existence of positive solutions to (1.2). It is not intended to be a complete account of the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \Delta u - V(x)u + W(x)u^p = 0 )</th>
<th>existence results, ( 1 &lt; p &lt; \frac{n+2}{n-2} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( W \to 1 ) and ( V \to 1 ) at ( \infty )</td>
<td>[Lio1-2], [DN], [NS] under more assumptions of the convergence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W ) and ( V ) decay rapidly</td>
<td>[Ni], [KN], [Lin], [Ka], [Zh3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V = 1 ), ( W ) decays</td>
<td>[St], [DN], [Li]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V ) decays rapidly, ( W ) does not</td>
<td>there are nonexistence results [Ni], [Li], [Zh3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( W ) and ( V ) have slow decay</td>
<td>current paper, with additional condition on ( W )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The second theorem of the paper is:

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose \( \frac{a}{1+|x|^b} \leq V(x) \leq C_1 \) with \( b \in [0, 2) \), \( a > 0 \). Suppose \( 0 < W(x) \leq C_2/(1 + |x|^{2-((p-1)(n-2)/2)}) \) and \( 1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2} \). Then Equation (1.2) has a positive solution such that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \) and \( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1} \, dx \) are finite.

The conclusion still holds if \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is replaced by a complete manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature and maximum volume growth, i.e., \( |B(x, r)| \geq cr^n > 0 \) for all \( x \) and \( r > 0 \).
Remark 1.5. When $p \rightarrow \frac{n+2}{n-2}$ from below the number $2 - (p-1)(n-2)/2$ converges to 0. Since it is well-known that (1.2) may not have any finite energy positive solution when $V$ and $W$ are just bounded functions. This indicates that the decay rate on $W$ is close to optimal.

Note also that both Theorem 1.2 (and 1.3 below) may fail if $V$ is allowed to decay faster than $c/|x|^2$ near infinity (see [Zh2]).

Remark 1.6. Theorems 1.2 and 1.3 continue to hold if the Laplacian in (1.2) and (1.3) is replaced by an uniformly elliptic divergence operator with bounded measurable coefficients depending on $x$.

The strategy of the proof of Theorem 1.2 is the combined use of domain exhaustion method, Green’s function estimates and certain scaling arguments.

1.3. Results in the parabolic case. Next we present the parabolic results of the paper. One of the central questions in nonlinear analysis is whether or not a global solution to evolution equations would converge to a nontrivial equilibrium solution. This problem is relatively well studied if the ambient space is compact. However this is not the case in noncompact setting. In fact the understanding in this case is rather limited. To illustrate this shortcoming let us consider a model parabolic equation:

$$
\begin{cases}
\Delta u - V(x)u + W(x)u^p - \partial_t u = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x).
\end{cases}
$$

Here $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$, $n \geq 3$. We assume that $V = V(x)$ and $W = W(x)$ are locally Hölder continuous, and bounded function.

Problems such as (1.3) also arises from many areas and are some of the central subjects in nonlinear analysis.

In the classical paper [NST], when $\mathbb{R}^n$ is replaced by a bounded domain, interesting result on the convergence of solutions of (1.3) to that of (1.2) are obtained. However except a few exceptions, the corresponding results for $\mathbb{R}^n$ and other noncompact domains have not been achieved in general. The papers [CDE] and [BJP] study (1.3) in the case $V = W = 1$. The paper [SZ] studies the case $V$, $W$ and the solutions are radial. In the paper [Zh3] convergence results on (1.3) when $V$ and $W$ have rapid decay were obtained (see Section 1.2 for the meaning of rapid decay). As illustrated by many authors, the nature of the decay for $V$ and $W$ near infinity is a deciding factor for the existence and nonexistence of solutions to (1.2) and (1.3). Usually fundamental differences exist between the slower decay cases and the rapid decay ones.

Based on the study of global positive solutions of the linear part of the equation in (1.3) and a scaling argument, we will prove that Equation (1.3) has global positive solutions whose $\omega$ limit set contains nontrivial positive
solutions to the elliptic equation (1.2). Up till now have not seen any comparable convergence results for Equation (1.3), except in the case when \( V \) and \( W \) are radial. We should mention that the types of equations we are studying require \( W \) to decay at infinity. An interesting remaining problem is to obtain a similar convergence results when both \( V \) and \( W \) do not decay to zero. We hope to address it in future.

**Theorem 1.3.** Suppose \( \frac{a}{1+|x|^b} \leq V(x) \leq C_1 \) with \( b \in [0, 2) \), \( a > 0 \). Suppose \( 0 < W(x) \leq C_2/(1 + |x|^{2-((p-1)(n-2)/2)}) \) and \( 1 < p < 1 + \frac{4}{n} \). Then for any compactly supported nonnegative \( f \neq 0 \), there exists \( \lambda > 0 \) such that the problem

\[
\begin{align*}
\Delta u - V(x)u + W(x)u^p - \partial_t u &= 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\
u(x, 0) &= u_0(x) \equiv \lambda f(x),
\end{align*}
\]

has a global positive solution. Moreover the \( \omega - \) limit set contains a nontrivial equilibrium solution. The result continues to hold if \( \mathbb{R}^n \) is replaced by a complete manifold of nonnegative Ricci curvature and maximum volume growth.

**Remark 1.7.** At this moment we do not know if the assumption \( p < 1 + \frac{4}{n} \) can be improved to \( p < \frac{n+2}{n-2} \) in the parabolic case.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 4 we establish several preliminary estimates on the global solutions to (1.3). We will prove Theorem 1.3 in Section 5.

The proofs of different theorems are related but independent of each other.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

The proof is divided into 5 steps. We will use the idea of finite domain exhaustion. A crucial step is to establish certain a priori decay of solutions of (1.1) near infinity.

**Step 1.** We begin by solving, for each \( j > 0 \), the variational problem

\[
\inf_{u \in W_0^{1,2}(B(0,j))} \int_{B(0,j)} (|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2)dx
\]

subject to the constraint \( \int_{B(0,j)} W u^{p+1}dx = 1 \). Here and throughout the section \( p = (n + 2)/(n - 2) \) and \( V = \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)}R(x) \). Following the standard arguments in ([Au], [S] and [ES]), for each \( j > 0 \), Problem (2.1) has a positive solution under our assumptions. In fact details of the proof are mirrored in Step 3 below where we will prove that these \( u_j \) are uniformly bounded in any compact set.
Let $u_j \geq 0$ be a solution to (2.1). Then there exists a $q_j > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
\Delta u_j(x) - V u_j(x) + q_j W u_j^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0, \\
x \in B(0,j); \quad u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial B(0,j).
\end{equation}

In fact
\[
q_j = \inf_{u \in W^{1,2}_0(B(0,j))} \frac{\int_{B(0,j)} (|\nabla u|^2 + V u^2) dx}{\left( \int_{B(0,j)} W u^{p+1} dx \right)^{2/(p+1)}}.
\]

Since the Yamabe invariant is positive and $W$ is a bounded function. We know that, for any $j > 0$,
\[
\left( \int_{B(0,j)} W u^{p+1} dx \right)^{2/(p+1)} \leq (\sup W)^{2/(p+1)} \left( \int_{B(0,j)} u^{p+1} dx \right)^{2/(p+1)} \leq C (\sup W)^{2/(p+1)} \left[ \int_{B(0,j)} (|\nabla u|^2 + V u^2) dx \right].
\]

This shows that there exists a $q > 0$ such that $q_j$ decreases to $q$ when $j \to \infty$. In fact $q = Q(W,M)$, defined in Section 1.

We extend $u_j$ to the whole manifold by defining $u_j(x) = 0$ when $x$ is outside of $B(0,j)$. The extended function, still denoted by $u_j$ is a subsolution to Equation (2.2) in the whole manifold. Our goal is to show that a subsequence $u_j$ converges to a positive solution to (1.1).

**Step 2.** In this step we will prove the following: There exists $R_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that
\begin{equation}
u_j(x) \leq \frac{C}{1 + d(x)^{(n-2)/2}}
\end{equation}
for all $j$ and $x$ when $d(x) \geq R_0$. This estimate follows from an argument in [Zh4]. For simplicity we will drop the subscript $j$ in this step.

For $R > 1$ and fixing $x_0$ such that $d(x_0) = 2R^2$. For each $R > 1$, let us introduce the scaled metric
\[g_1 = g/R^4.\]

Let $M_1$ be the manifold $M$ with $g$ replaced by $g_1$ and $d_1$, $\Delta_1$, $\nabla_1$ be the corresponding distance, Laplace-Beltrami operator, gradient respectively. Note that $\Delta_1 = R^4 \Delta$. Let us consider $v \in C(M_1)$ defined by
\[v(x) = R^{n-2} u(x).\]

Since $\Delta u - V u + q_j W u^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = 0$ and $R > 1$, direct computation shows
\[
\Delta_1 v - V_1 v + q_j W v^{(n+2)/(n-2)} = R^{n+2} (\Delta u - V u + q_j W u^{(n+2)/(n-2)}) \geq 0,
\]
\[
\int_{d_1(x_0,x) \leq 1} v^{2n/(n-2)}(x)d_1x = \int_{d(x_0,x) \leq R^2} u^{2n/(n-2)}(x)dx,
\]
\[
\int_{d_1(x_0,x) \leq 1} |\nabla_1 v(x)|^2d_1x = \int_{d_1(x_0,x) \leq 1} g_1(\nabla_1 v(x), \nabla_1 v(x))d_1x
\]
\[
= R^{-2} \int_{d(x_0,x) \leq R^2} |\nabla u(x)|^2dx.
\]

Estimate (2.3) will be proven once we can show that \( v \) is bounded in \( B_1(x_0,1) = \{ x \in M_1 \mid d_1(x_0,x) \leq 1 \} \). To this end we will use an argument inspired by that in [Eg] p. 44, which can be generalized to our case since the manifold \( M_1 \) has nonnegative Ricci curvature outside a compact set.

Take \( G(s) = s^\beta \) if \( s > 0 \), and zero otherwise, and put \( F(u) = \int_0^u G'(s)^2ds = \beta^2u^{2\beta-1}/(2\beta - 1) \). It is easy to verify that \( sF(s) \leq s^2G'(s)^2 \leq \beta^2G(s)^2 \) if \( \beta > 1 \).

Take \( \phi \in C^\infty(0,\infty) \) such that
\[
0 \leq \phi \leq 1; \quad \phi(r) = 1, \ r \in [0,1/2]; \quad \phi(r) = 0, \ r \in [1,\infty);
\]
\[
-C \leq \phi'(r) \leq 0; \quad |\phi''(r)| \leq C.
\]

Let \( \eta = \eta(x) = \phi(d_1(x_0,x)) \). Using \( \eta^2F(v) \) as a test function on the inequality
\[
\Delta_1 v - v_1v + q_j W v^{(n+2)/(n-2)} \geq 0,
\]
we obtain
\[
\int |\nabla_1 v|^2G'(v)^2\eta^2d_1x + \int V_1vF(v)\eta^2d_1x + 2\int \nabla_1 v\nabla_1 \eta F(v)\eta d_1x
\]
\[
\leq \beta^2 \int q_j W v^{(n+2)/(n-2)}v^{-1}G(v)^2\eta^2d_1x.
\]

Using the inequality
\[
2|\nabla_1 v\nabla_1 \eta F(v)\eta| \leq \varepsilon|\nabla_1 v|^2\eta^2v^{-1}F(v) + \varepsilon^{-1}|\nabla_1 \eta|^2F(v)v
\]
\[
\leq \varepsilon|\nabla_1 v|^2\eta^2G'(v)^2 + \varepsilon^{-1}\beta^2|\nabla_1 \eta|^2G(v)^2
\]
we obtain, for another \( \varepsilon > 0 \),
\[
\|\nabla_1(G(v)\eta)\|^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{2\beta - 1} \int V_1[G(v)\eta]^2
\]
\[
\leq C\beta^2 \int |\nabla_1 \eta|^2G(v)^2d_1x + (\beta^2 + \varepsilon) q_j \int W v^{4/(n-2)}G(v)^2\eta^2d_1x.
\]

Here \( \varepsilon \) can be chosen as any small positive number.
At this point we need to use Condition (e) which implies that $Q(1,B(0,r)^c) > 0$ when $r$ is large. Indeed

$$
\left[ \int_{B_1(x_0,2)} |G(v)\eta|^{2n/(n-2)} \right]^{(n-2)/n} \leq C_0 \|\nabla_1(G(v)\eta)\|_2^2 + C_0 \int V_1[G(v)\eta]^2.
$$

Here in fact, we can choose

$$
C_0 = 1/Q(1, B(0, R^2/2)^c) > 0.
$$

Noting that $\beta^2/2^\epsilon \geq 1$ if $\beta > 1$ and applying Hölder’s inequality, we find that

$$
\|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \\
\leq CC_0\beta^2 \int |\nabla_1\eta|^2 G(v)^2 d_1 x + C_0q_\beta(\beta^2 + \epsilon) \int W v^{4/(n-2)} G(v)^2 \eta^2 d_1 x \\
\leq CC_0\beta^2 \int |\nabla_1\eta|^2 G(v)^2 d_1 x + C_0q_\beta(\beta^2 + \epsilon) \|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \\
\cdot \left( \int_{B_1(x_0,1)} W^{n/2} u^{2n/(n-2)} d_1 x \right)^{2/n} \\
\leq CC_0\beta^2 \int |\nabla_1\eta|^2 G(v)^2 d_1 x \\
+ C_0q_\beta \left( \sup_{B_1(x_0,1)} W \right)^{(n-2)/n} (\beta^2 + \epsilon) \|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \\
\cdot \left( \int_{B_1(x_0,1)} W u^{2n/(n-2)} d_1 x \right)^{2/n}.
$$

Here all the norms are over the ball $B_1(x_0,1)$. Since

$$
\int_{B_1(x_0,1)} W u^{2n/(n-2)} d_1 x \leq \int_M W u^{2n/(n-2)} d x = 1,
$$

we have

$$
\|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \leq CC_0\beta^2 \int |\nabla_1\eta|^2 G(v)^2 d_1 x \\
+ C_0q_\beta \left( \sup_{B_1(x_0,1)} W \right)^{(n-2)/n} (\beta^2 + \epsilon) \|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2.
$$
By Assumption (e) in the theorem, when $R$ is sufficiently large,

\[
\left( \sup_{B(0,R^2/2^c)} W \right)^{(n-2)/n} Q(W, M) < Q(1, B(0, R^2/2^c)).
\]

Since $q_j \to Q(W, M)$ when $j \to \infty$, we have

\[
\left( \sup_{B(0,R^2/2^c)} W \right)^{(n-2)/n} q_j < \frac{1}{C_0}
\]

when $j$ is sufficiently large. Hence there exists a $\beta > 1$ such that

\[
\sup_{B_1(x_0,1)} W^{(n-2)/n} (\beta^2 + \epsilon) (C_0 q_j) < \lambda < 1.
\]

Using this and take $G(v) = v^{\beta_0}$, we see that, for a $\beta_0 > 1$ and sufficiently close to 1,

\[
(2.6) \quad \|v^{\beta_0}\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \leq 2C \int |\nabla_1 \eta|^2 v^{2\beta_0} d_1 x.
\]

Choose $\eta$ such that $\eta(x) = 1$ if $x \in B_1(x_0,1/2)$, $|\nabla_1 \eta| \leq 2$ and $\eta(x) = 0$ when $x \in B_1(x_0,1)^c$. Use Hölder’s inequality we see that

\[
\|v^{\beta_0}\|_{B_1(x_0,1/2)}^2 \leq C \int \frac{v^{2\beta_0} d_1 x}{B_1(x_0,1)^2} \leq \|v\|_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(B_1(x_0,1))}^m \leq C < \infty.
\]

Here $m > 0$. Note this is the place where we are using Condition (a) on volume, which implies $|B_1(x_0,1)| = |B(x_0, R^2)|/R^{2n} \leq C$.

Now using standard method we immediately know that $v(x_0) \leq C_1$. For completeness we give a sketch of the proof.

Given $r_2, r_1$ such that $0 < r_2 < r_1 < 1/2$, we choose $\eta$ to be a radial function with support in $B_1(x_0, r_1)$ and such that $\eta = 1$ if $x \in B_1(x_0, r_1)$ and $|\nabla_1 \eta| \leq 2/(r_2 - r_1)$. Clearly (2.5) remains valid for such $\eta$ and any fixed $\beta \geq 1$. Let $\chi_r$ be the characteristic function of $B(x_0, r)$. By Hölder’s inequality, there exists a $\delta < 1$ and $m > 0$ such that

\[
\|G(v)\chi_{r_1}\|_2 \leq C \|G(v)\chi_{r_1}\|_{2n\delta/(n-2)},
\]

\[
\int_{B_1(x_0, r_1)} v^{4/(n-2)} G(v)^2 d_1 x \leq C \|v\chi_{r_1}\|_{2n\beta_0/(n-2)} m \|G(v)\chi_{r_1}\|_{2n\delta/(n-2)}^2
\]

\[
\leq C \|G(v)\chi_{r_1}\|_{2n\delta/(n-2)}^2.
\]

Substituting the last two inequalities to the first inequality of (2.5), we obtain

\[
\|G(v)\chi_{r_2}\|_{2n/(n-2)} \leq \frac{C\beta}{r_1 - r_2} \|G(v)\chi_{r_1}\|_{2n\delta/(n-2)}.
\]
From this point, the standard Moser’s iteration of taking $\beta = \delta^{-m}$ and $r_m = r_1(2 + 2^{-m})/4$ shows that

$$v(x_0) \leq c < \infty$$

when $R$ is sufficiently large. Therefore, since $d(x_0) = 2R^2$,

$$u(x_0) = \frac{v(x_0)}{R^{n-2}} \leq \frac{c}{1 + d(x_0)^{(n-2)/2}}.$$ 

This completes Step 2.

Step 3. We prove that $u_j$ is uniformly bounded in any given finite domain. This follows from the ideas in [Au], [ES] and [Ki], together with the decay estimates in Step 2.

Let $u_j$ be the subsolution to (2.2), produced in Step 1.

For any fixed $j > 0$, let

$$(2.7) \quad D = \{x \in M \mid u_j(x) \geq 1\}.$$ 

By Step 2, $D$ is contained in a fixed ball of sufficiently large radius. This is a crucial observation for the subsequent proof.

Writing $w_j = u_j - 1$, we see that

$$(2.8) \quad -\Delta w_j + V(1 + w_j) \leq q_j(1 + w_j)^p.$$ 

For some $b > 0$ to be determined later, multiplying (2.8) by $w_j^{1+2b}$ and integrating, we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \int_D \left[ \frac{1+2b}{(1+b)^2} |\nabla w_j^{1+b}|^2 + V(1 + w_j)w_j^{1+2b} \right] dx$$

$$\leq q_j \int_D W w_j^{1+2b}(w_j + 1)^p dx.$$ 

By virtue of the sharp Sobolev inequality of Aubin [Au], for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $C(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$\left( \int_D w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} dx \right)^{(n-2)/n}$$

$$\leq (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{Q_0} \int_D |\nabla w_j^{1+b}|^2 dx + C(\epsilon) \int_D w_j^{2(1+b)} dx.$$ 

Here, as before, $Q_0$ is the best Sobolev constant in $\mathbb{R}^n$. 

Substituting (2.9) to the left-hand side of the above, we see that

\begin{equation}
\left(\int_D w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} dx\right)^{(n-2)/n} \\
\leq (1 + \epsilon) \frac{1}{Q_0} \frac{(1 + b)^2}{(1 + 2b)} \\
\cdot \int_D \left( q_j W w_j^{1+2b}(1 + w_j)^{(n+2)/(n-2)} - V(w_j + 1)w_j^{1+2b} \right) dx \\
+ C(\epsilon) \int_D w_j^{2(1+b)} dx.
\end{equation}

As in [Ki], we write $D_1 = \{ x \in D \mid w_j(x) \geq 2 \}$ and $D_2 = D - D_1$. When $x \in D_1$, it is clear that

$$
(1 + w_j(x))^{(n+2)/(n-2)} \leq w_j^{(n+2)/(n-2)}(x) + c_1 w_j^{4/(n-2)}(x).
$$

When $x \in D_2$, $w_j(x) \leq 2$. Hence

\begin{align*}
\int_D W w_j^{1+2b}(1 + w_j)^{(n+2)/(n-2)} dx \\
= \int_{D_1} W w_j^{1+2b}(1 + w_j)^{(n+2)/(n-2)} dx \\
+ \int_{D_2} W w_j^{1+2b}(1 + w_j)^{(n+2)/(n-2)} dx \\
\leq \int_{D_1} W w_j^{2n/(n-2)+2b} dx + c_1 \int_{D_1} W w_j^{(n+2)/(n-2)+2b} dx \\
+ \int_{D_2} W w_j^{1+2b}(1 + w_j)^{(n+2)/(n-2)} dx \\
\leq \int_{D_1} W w_j^{2n/(n-2)+2b} dx + c_2 \int_{D_1} W w_j^{(n+2)/(n-2)+2b} dx \\
\leq \left( \int_{D_1} W w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} dx \right)^{(n-2)/n} \left( \int_D W w_j^{2n/(n-2)} dx \right)^{2/n} \\
+ c_2 \int_{D_1} W w_j^{(n+2)/(n-2)+2b} dx \\
\leq \left( \int_{D_1} W w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} dx \right)^{(n-2)/n} + c_2 \int_{D_1} W w_j^{(n+2)/(n-2)+2b} dx.
\end{align*}
Here we have used the Hölder’s inequality. Substituting the above into (2.10), we have

\[
\left( \int_D w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq (1 + \epsilon) \frac{q_j (1 + b)^2}{Q_0 (1 + 2b)} \left( \int_D w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} + C \int_{D_1} w_j^{(n+2)/(n-2) + 2b} dx + C(\epsilon) \int_D w_j^{2(1+b)} dx + c.
\]

By our assumption in Theorem 1.1 \((\sup_j \sup_{x \in B(0,j)} W(x)^{(n-2)/n} q_j < Q_0)\), we can choose \(\epsilon\) and \(b\) sufficiently small so that,

\[
(1 + \epsilon) \frac{q_j (1 + b)^2}{Q_0 (1 + 2b)} \left( \int_D w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} < \lambda < 1.
\]

So (2.11) implies

\[
\left( \int_D w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq \frac{C}{1 - \lambda} \left( \int_{D_1} w_j^{(n+2)/(n-2) + 2b} dx + C(\epsilon) \int_D w_j^{2(1+b)} dx + c \right).
\]

Choosing \(b\) sufficiently small, we know, for some \(l_i, l_i' > 0, i = 1, 2\),

\[
\int_D \left[ w_j^{2(1+b)} + w_j^{(n+2)/(n-2) + 2b} \right] dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left( \int_D w_j^{2n/(n-2)} dx \right)^{l_i} |D|^{l_i'}.
\]

It follows that

\[
\int_D w_j^{(1+b)2n/(n-2)} dx \leq C,
\]

where \(C\) is independent of \(j\). From here standard regularity theory shows that \(u_j\) is uniformly bounded in any compact domain of \(M\). Step 3 is complete.

**Step 4.** We will show that a subsequence of \(u_j\) converges pointwise to a positive solution to (1.1), up to a constant multiple.

Since \(u_j\) is uniformly bounded in any compact domain, the standard elliptic theory shows that \(u_j\) is uniformly bounded in \(C^{2,\alpha}\) norm in any compact domain too. Hence a subsequence of \(u_j\), still denoted by \(u_j\), converges pointwise to a solution \(u\) to:

\[
\Delta u(x) - Vu(x) + qWu^p(x) = 0, \ x \in M^n.
\]

Here \(q\) is a positive constant. Now using a dilation of \(u\), we can obtain a solution to (1.2).
We need to prove that \( u \) is positive. We will use the Concentrated Compactness Principle of P. L. Lions, as suggested in [Ki]. To this end we write

\[
J(u) \equiv \int_M (|\nabla u|^2 + V u^2) dx, \quad v_j \equiv u_j - u.
\]

Next

\[
J(u_j) = J(u + v_j) = J(u) + J(v_j) + 2 \int_M (-\Delta u + V u) v_j dx.
\]

Clearly \( v_j \) converges weakly to zero. Hence

\[
(2.14) \quad J(u_j) - J(v_j) \to J(u).
\]

Moreover, for any fixed \( R > 0 \),

\[
(2.15) \quad J(v_j) = \int_{B(0,R)^c} (|\nabla v_j|^2 + V v_j^2) dx + \int_{B(0,R)^c} (|\nabla v_j|^2 + V v_j^2) dx
\]

\[
\geq Q(1, B(0, R)^c) \left( \int_{B(0,R)^c} |v_j|^{2n/(n-2)} dx \right)^{(n-2)/n} + o(1)
\]

\[
\geq Q(1, B(0, R)^c) \left( \int_{B(0,R)^c} W|v_j|^{2n/(n-2)} dx \right)^{(n-2)/n}
\]

\[
\cdot \left[ \sup_{B(0,R)^c} W \right]^{-(n-2)/n} + o(1)
\]

as \( R \to \infty \). Here we have used the fact that \( v_j \) converges to 0 pointwise in any compact domain.

By the Fatou Lemma due to Brezis and Lieb,

\[
(2.16) \quad \int_M W u_j^{2n/(n-2)} dx - \int_M W v_j^{2n/(n-2)} dx \to \int_M W u^{2n/(n-2)} dx.
\]

We claim that \( u \) is not identically zero. Otherwise (2.16) shows that

\[
(2.17) \quad \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_M W|v_j|^{2n/(n-2)} dx = 1,
\]

since \( \int_M W u_j^{2n/(n-2)} dx = 1 \). From (2.14), (2.15), (2.17) and the assumption that \( u = 0 \), we see that

\[
\liminf_{j \to \infty} J(u_j) = \liminf_{j \to \infty} J(v_j) \geq Q(1, B(0, R)^c) \left[ \sup_{B(0,R)^c} W \right]^{-(n-2)/n} + o(1)
\]

as \( R \to \infty \). Recall that

\[
\liminf_{j \to \infty} J(u_j) = Q(W, M).
\]
Hence
\[
\left[ \sup_{B(0,R)^c} W \right]^{(n-2)/n} Q(W, M) \geq Q(1, B(0,R)^c) + o(1).
\]
This is a contradiction to Assumption (c) when R is sufficiently large.

Hence u is not identically zero. By the unique continuation property, we see that \( u(x) > 0 \) everywhere. A suitable dilation yields a positive solution to (1.1). This proves (i).

**Step 5.** We prove part (ii) of the theorem.

We need to prove an a priori estimate under weaker assumptions than (i).

First we note that Step 2 of the proof still applies in this case, with only a slight change. Indeed, (2.5) remains true. Now using the a priori assumption that \( \int_M u^{2n/(n-2)} \, dx < \infty \) and the scaling invariance of this integral, we see that
\[
\int_{B_1(x_0, 1)} W^{2n/(n-2)} \, d_1 x \to 0
\]
when \( x_0 \to \infty \). From this, (2.6) is an immediate consequence of (2.5).

Following the rest of the proof of Step 2, we know that \( u(x) \leq C/(1 + d(x)^{(n-2)/2}) \).

Under the extra assumption that \( R(x) \geq c/(1 + d(x)^b) \) with \( b < 2 \), we show that \( u \) actually has exponential decay near infinity.

Here is the proof. Since \( u \) is a solution to (1.1), we have
\[
\Delta u - (V - W u^{p-1})u \geq 0.
\]
By the assumption that \( V(x) \geq c/(1 + d(x)^b) \) with \( b < 2 \) and the estimate \( u(x) \leq C/(1 + d(x)^{(n-2)/2}) \), we know that
\[
(V - W u^{p-1})(x) \geq \frac{c}{1 + d(x)^b} - \frac{C}{1 + d(x)^2} \geq \frac{c}{2(1 + d(x)^b)}.
\]
Here we just used the equality \( p - 1 = 4/(n-2) \).

Let \( \Gamma_1 \) be the Green’s function of the operator
\[
\Delta - (V - W u^{p-1}).
\]
By the estimate of Green’s functions [Zh1], we have, when \( d(x) \geq 1 \) and for some \( a > 0 \),
\[
\Gamma_1(x, 0) \leq C e^{-cd(x)^a}.
\]
For completeness, we sketch a proof here.

From the heat kernel estimates (Theorem 1.1 in [Zh1]), we have
\[
\Gamma_1(x, 0) \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{C}{|B(x, \sqrt{t})|} e^{-c(\sqrt{t}/(1+d(x)^{b/2}))(2-b)/2} e^{-cd(x)^2/t} \, dt.
\]
By direct computation, the above shows
\[
\Gamma_1(x,0) \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{C}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|} e^{-cd(x)^2/(2t)} dt \ e^{-cd(x)^a/2}
\]
where \(a > 0\). Hence
\[
\Gamma_1(x,0) \leq C \left[ \int_0^{d(x)^2} \frac{1}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|} e^{-cd(x)^2/(2t)} dt 
+ \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|} e^{-cd(x)^2/(2t)} dt \right] e^{-cd(x)^a/2}.
\]
By the doubling property of the balls, for \(t \leq d(x)^2\) and \(d(x) \geq 1\),
\[
|B(x,\sqrt{t})| \geq c(t/d(x)^2)^k|B(x,d(x))| 
\geq c'(t/d(x)^2)^k|B(0,d(x))| \geq c''(t/d(x)^2)^k.
\]
When \(t \geq d(x)^2\) and \(d(x) \geq 1\),
\[
\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|B(x,\sqrt{t})|} e^{-cd(x)^2/(2t)} dt \leq C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{|B(0,\sqrt{t})|} dt \leq C,
\]
by the extra assumption that \(M\) is nonparabolic. Hence
\[
\Gamma(x,0) \leq C[1 + d(x)^2]e^{-cd(x)^a/2} \leq C'e^{-cd(x)^a/4}.
\]
Note, for a large \(k > 0\), \(u(z) \leq k\Gamma_1(z,0)\) when \(d(z)\) is large, but fixed. By the maximum principle, we see that
\[
u(x) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2d(x)^a}
\]
for all \(x\). \(\square\)

**Remark 2.1.** If one assumes that the Ricci curvature is bounded from below and the injectivity radius is positive, then Aubin’s sharp Sobolev inequality (with an extra zero order term) holds on the whole manifold. Then the existence result can be obtained by carrying out Steps 2 to 4.

**Proof of Corollary 1.** The existence part is similar to the Proof of Theorem 1.1. We give the proof in several steps.

**Step 1.** This is identical to Step 1 in the Proof of Theorem 1.1.
Step 2. This is again similar to Step 2 before. Using the same notations as in Step 2 before, one has:

\[
\|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \\
\leq C_1\beta^2 \int |\nabla_1 \eta|^2 G(v)^2 d_1 x + C_1 q_j (\beta^2 + \epsilon) \int W v^{4/(n-2)} G(v)^2 \eta^2 d_1 x \\
\leq C_1\beta^2 \int |\nabla_1 \eta|^2 G(v)^2 d_1 x + C_1 q_j (\beta^2 + \epsilon) \|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \\
\cdot \left( \int_{B_1(x_0,1)} W^{n/2} v^{2n/(n-2)} d_1 x \right)^{2/n} \\
\leq C_1\beta^2 \int |\nabla_1 \eta|^2 G(v)^2 d_1 x \\
+ C_1 q_j \left( \sup_{B_1(x_0,1)} W \right)^{(n-2)/n} (\beta^2 + \epsilon) \|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \\
\cdot \left( \int_{B_1(x_0,1)} W v^{2n/(n-2)} d_1 x \right)^{2/n}.
\]  

Here all the norms are over the ball \(B_1(x_0,1)\). Since \(\int_{B_1(x_0,1)} W v^{2n/(n-2)} d_1 x \leq 1\) and \(W(x) \to 0\) as \(d(x) \to \infty\), the above shows that

\[
\|G(v)\eta\|_{2n/(n-2)}^2 \leq C_2 \beta^2 \int |\nabla_1 \eta|^2 G(v)^2 d_1 x.
\]

From here we just follow the previous arguments step by step to conclude that \(v(x) \leq C\) and hence \(u_j(x) \leq C/d(x)^{(n-2)/2}\).

Step 3. This is identical to the previous Step 3 since we are working in a bounded domain.

Step 4. Since \(u_j(x) \leq C/(1+d(x)^{(n-2)/2})\), by the decay condition on \(W\) and the volume growth assumption on \(M\), we see that

\[
\int_{B(0,r_0)^c} W u_j^{p+1} dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(0,2^i r_0)-B(0,2^{i-1} r_0)} W u_j^{p+1} dx \\
\leq C \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (2^{i-1} r_0)^{a}.
\]

Hence, for a sufficiently large \(r_0\), one has

\[
\int_{B(0,r_0)} W u_j^{p+1} dx = 1 - \int_{B(0,r_0)^c} W u_j^{p+1} dx \geq 1/2.
\]  

By the standard elliptic theory, \(u_j\) is uniformly bounded in \(C^{2,\alpha}\) norm for some \(\alpha > 0\). So a subsequence, still denoted by \(u_j\), converges pointwise to a
function $u$. By (2.20) and the unique continuation property, we know that $u(x) > 0$ for all $x$. This $u$ is a positive solution to
\[ \Delta u(x) - Vu(x) + qw^p(x) = 0, \ x \in M^a. \]
Here $q$ is a positive constant. Now using an dilation of $u$, we can obtain a positive solution to (1.1). This proves the existence. \hfill \Box

**Remark 2.2.** Let $u$ be a solution in Corollary 1. We show that $u^{4/(n-2)}g$ is a complete metric under the extra assumption: $r \Delta r \leq (n/2) - \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$ and, for a sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, $R(x) \leq \epsilon/(1 + d(x)^2)$ when $d(x)$ is large. However, it seems hard to find an example of such manifolds.

We will follow an idea in [Ki2]. It suffices to prove that
\[ u(x) \geq c_0/(1 + d(x)^{(n-2)/2}) \]
for some $c_0 > 0$.

Suppose this is not true. For any small $c > 0$, consider the set
\[ D \equiv \{ x \in M \mid h(x) \equiv cr^{-(n-2)/2} - u(x) > 0 \}. \]
Here $r = d(x)$. The set $D$ is clearly nonempty by the above assumption. Moreover $D$ is outside any given compact set if $c$ is sufficiently small. This is due to the fact that $u$ is positive everywhere.

Let $D \subset B(0, r_0)^c$. Here we choose $r_0$ so large that $r \Delta r \leq (n/2) - \delta$ when $x \in D$. By direct computation, the following holds in the distribution sense:
\[ \Delta (cr^{(2-n)/2} - u) \geq r^{-1-(n/2)} \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \left( \frac{n}{2} - r \Delta r \right) c - \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} R(x)u(x)r^{1+(n/2)} \right). \]

Using $u(x) \leq c/d(x)^{(n-2)/2}$ and our extra assumption in the remark, we see that
\[ \Delta h \geq cr^{1-(n/2)} \left( \frac{n-2}{2} \delta - \frac{(n-2)}{4(n-1)} R(x)r^2 \right) > 0. \]
This shows that $h$ is subharmonic in $D$. However $h(x) > 0$ in $D$ and $h(x) = 0$ in $\partial D$. The contradiction implies that $D$ is empty when $c$ is sufficiently small.

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2.

We will only show the proof in the Euclidean case since the manifold case is similar.

We will use the method of domain exhaustion. We divide the proof into three steps.
Step 1. We prove an a priori decay estimate for certain sub solutions $u$ solving

$$\Delta u + Wu^p \geq 0$$

in the weak sense. During this step, we assume that $u$ satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Wu^{p+1} dx < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 dx < \infty$.

Pick $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and let $R = |x|/2$. Throughout the section we make a change of the variables

$$y = x/R.$$ 

Write

$$u_1(y) = R^k u(Ry)$$

with $k = (n - 2)/2$, we know that $u_1$ satisfies

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta u_1 + R^{2-(p-1)k} u_1^{p+1} \geq 0.$$ 

Here and later $W_1(y) = W(Ry)$ and the $\Delta$ in front of $u_1$ is the Laplacian in $y$ variable.

From $(3.1)$, direct computation shows, for any $l \geq 1$

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta u_1^l + lR^{2-(p-1)k} W_1^{l+p-1} \geq 0.$$ 

Given any $y_0$ such that $|y_0| = 1$ and $s_0 > 0$, we wish to show that $u_1(y_0)$ is uniformly bounded when $R$ is sufficiently large. Much of the remainder of the step is to prove this claim.

Let $\Psi$ be a suitable cut-off function, by standard arguments we know that

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{B(y_0,\sigma_2)} |\nabla u_1|^2 dy \leq C\tau^{-2} \int_{B(y_0,\sigma_2)} W_1^{l+p-1} dy,$$

where $\tau = \sigma_2 - \sigma_1$ and $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < 1$.

Using Sobolev embedding, it is easy to see that

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{B_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2\theta} dy \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} \tau^{-2} \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} W_1^{l+p-1} dy \right]^{\theta},$$

where $\theta = n/(n-2)$ here and throughout this section. We will modify $(3.4)$ so that a Moser iteration can be carried out.

From scaling relation between $u$ and $u_1$, it is easy to see that

$$(3.5) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1^{2n/(n-2)}(z') dz' = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{2n/(n-2)}(z) dz < \infty.$$ 

Using the scaling $x = Ry$, $W_1(y) = W(Ry)$ and $u_1(y) = R^k u(Ry)$, it is clear that

$$\int_{B(y_0,\sigma_2)} W_1(y) u_1^{p+1}(y) dy = R^{k(p+1)-n} \int_{B(x_0,\sigma_2 R)} W(x) u^{p+1} dx.$$
By the assumption at the beginning of the step
\[(3.6) \quad \int_{B(y_0, \sigma_2)} W_1(y) u_1^{p+1}(y) dy \leq CR^{k(p+1)-n}.
\]
Since \( k = (n - 2)/2 \), one has \( k(p + 1) - n = -(2 - k(p - 1)). \)

Now let us go back to (3.4). Take
\[ q_1 = \frac{2n}{n - (p - 2)(p - 1)}, \quad q'_1 = \frac{q_1}{q_1 - 1} = \frac{2n}{2n - (n - 2)(p - 1)}, \]
and use Hölder’s inequality, we know that
\[
\int_{B(y_0, \sigma_1)} u_1^{2q_1} dy \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{2l+p-1} dy \right]^\theta
\]
\[
\leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{2l+p-1} dy \right]^{1/q'_1} \left( \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} u_1^{(p-1)q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_1}.
\]

Since \((p - 1)q_1 = 2n/(n - 2)\), by (3.5),
\[(3.7) \quad \int_{B_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2\theta} dy \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{2l+p-1} dy \right]^{1/q'_1} \left( \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} u_1^{(p-1)q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_1}.
\]
Recall that \( W(x) \leq C/(1 + |x|^m) \). Hence \( W_1(y) \leq CR^{-m} \) when \(|y - y_0| \leq \sigma_2 \leq 1/2\). So (3.7) implies
\[
\int_{B_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2\theta} dy \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{2l+p-1} dy \right]^{1/q'_1} \left( \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} u_1^{(p-1)q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_1}.
\]
By choosing \( m = 2 - (p - 1)k = 2 - ((p - 1)(n - 2)/2) \), we see that
\[(3.8) \quad \int_{B_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2\theta} dy \leq C \left[ (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^{-2} \left( \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} u_1^{2l+p-1} dy \right) \right]^{1/q'_1} \left( \int_{B_{\sigma_2}} u_1^{(p-1)q_1} dy \right)^{1/q_1}.
\]

If \( p < \frac{n+2}{n-2} \), then
\[ q'_1 = \frac{q_1}{q_1 - 1} = \frac{2n}{2n - (n - 2)(p - 1)} < \frac{n}{n - 2} = \theta.
\]
Therefore we can use Moser’s iteration on (3.8) to conclude that
\[ u_1(y_0) \leq C.
\]
This is so because
\[ \|u_1\|_{L^{2q'_1}(B_1)} \leq C. \]
This shows
\[(3.9) \quad u(x) \leq C/\left(1 + |x|^{(n-2)/2}\right).\]

**Step 2.** We show that $u$ has uniform exponential decay:
\[u(x) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 |x|^{((2-b)/2)^2}}\]
for all $x$.

From last step we know that $u(x) \leq C/1 + |x|^{(n-2)/2}$. By the assumption on $W$, we see that
\[W(x)u^{p-1}(x, t) \leq C/1 + |x|^{(p-1)(n-2)/2} \leq C/1 + |x|^2.\]
Since $V(x) \geq a/1 + |x|^b$ with $b < 2$, we see that
\[0 = \Delta u(x) - V(x)u(x) + W(x)u^p(x) = \Delta u(x) - (V(x) - W(x))u^{p-1}(x)u(x) \leq \Delta u(x) - \frac{c_0}{1 + |x|^b} u(x)\]
when $|x| \geq r$ for a large $r > 0$. Here $c_0$ is a positive number.

Let $\Gamma_1$ be the Green’s function of the elliptic operator $\Delta - \frac{c_0}{1 + |x|^b}$. Note that $u(x, 0) = 0$ when $|x|$ is large. It is also clear that $\Gamma_1(x, 0) \geq c(|x|) > 0$. Applying the maximum principle on the exterior of a sufficiently large cylinder centered at the origin, we know that
\[u(x) \leq C\Gamma_1(x, 0)\]
when $|x|$ and $C$ are sufficiently large. By the upper bound of $\Gamma_1$ in [Mu] (when the leading operator is the Laplacian), [Zh1] (general case), we have
\[\Gamma_1(x, y) \leq Ce^{-c(|x-y|/(1+|x|)^{b/2})^{(2-b)/2}}/|x-y|^{n-2}.\]
It follows that
\[u(x) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 |x|^{((2-b)/2)^2}}\]
for all $x$.

**Step 3.** We use the method of domain exhaustion.

We begin by solving, for each $j > 0$, the standard variational problem
\[(3.10) \quad \inf_{u \in W_{0,2}^1(B(0,j))} \int_{B(0,j)} (|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2) dx\]
subject to the constraint $\int_{B(0,j)} Wu^{p+1}dx = 1$. Let $u_j > 0$ be a solution to (3.10). Then there exists a $\lambda_j > 0$ such that

$$\Delta u_j(x) - V u_j(x) + \lambda_j Wu_j^p(x) = 0, \quad x \in B(0,j); \quad u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial B(0,j).$$

We claim that there exists a $\lambda > 0$ such that $\lambda_j$ decreases to $\lambda$ when $j \to \infty$. Clearly $\lambda_j < \lambda_j \prime$ if $j' > j$. When $W$ satisfies the assumption in Theorem 1.2, we have, for any suitable $u$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Wu^{p+1}dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{2-(p-1)(n-2)/2}} u^{p+1}(x)dx$$

$$= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{2-(p-1)(n-2)/2}} u^{2-(p-1)(n-2)/2}u^{(p-1)(n-2)/2}(x)dx.$$  

Using the inequality $ab \leq C(a^m + b^{m'})$ with the exponents $m = 2/((p-1)(n-2)/2)$ and $m' = m/(m-1) = 4/((p-1)(n-2))$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Wu^{p+1}dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{2}} u^2dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{(p-1)((n-2)/2)}(x)dx$$

$$= C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{2}} u^2dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{2n/(n-2)}(x)dx$$

$$\leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + Vu^2)dx.$$  

This shows that $\lambda_j$ is bounded away from zero. The claim is proven.

Let $u_j$ be a solution to (3.10). We extend the domain of $u_j$ to the whole space by setting $u_j(x) = 0$ when $x$ is outside of the ball $B(0,j)$. It is easy to verify that the extended function, still denoted by $u_j$, is a subsolution to (1.2) in the weak sense, i.e.,

$$\Delta u_j(x) - V u_j(x) + \lambda_j Wu_j^p(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

in the weak sense. Since

$$\left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_j^{2n/(n-2)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u_j|^2 = C\lambda_j \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Wu_j^{p+1} = C\lambda_j \leq C\lambda_1$$

for all $j$, we can use Step 2 to conclude that

$$u_j(x) \leq c_1e^{-c_2|x|^{((2-b)/2)}}$$

for all $|x| \geq R_0$ and $j$. Here $R_0$ is a sufficiently large number. If $|x| \leq R_0$, by [GS1] or [GS2], $u_j(x)$ is uniformly bounded. Hence (3.13) actually holds for all $x$.

This shows that there exists $r_0 > 0$ such that

$$\int_{B(0,r_0)} Wu_j^{p+1}dx = 1 - \int_{B(0,r_0)^c} Wu_j^{p+1}dx \geq 1/2.$$
By the standard elliptic theory, \( u_j \) is uniformly bounded in \( C^{2,\alpha} \) norm for some \( \alpha > 0 \). So a subsequence, still denoted by \( u_j \), converges pointwise to a function \( u \). By (3.14) and the unique continuation property, we know that \( u(x) > 0 \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \). This \( u \) is a positive solution to
\[
\Delta u(x) - Vu(x) + \lambda W^p(x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
\]
Now using a dilation of \( u \), we can obtain a positive solution to (1.2). \( \square \)

4. Existence of global solutions and energy estimates.

As indicated in [F] and [Zh2], the existence or nonexistence of global positive solutions to (1.3) is both strongly influenced by the exponent \( p \) and the potentials \( V \) and \( W \). In this section we will show that (1.3) with bounded \( W \) possesses global positive solutions under the condition that \( V(x) \geq c/(1 + |x|^b) \) with \( b < 2 \) and \( c > 0 \). In fact, concerning the existence of global positive solutions, the condition on \( V \) is sharp in general. This means that if \( 0 \leq V(x) \leq C/(1 + |x|^b) \) with \( b > 2 \), \( W = 1 \), then (1.3) has no global positive solutions if \( 1 < p < 1 + \frac{2}{n} \) (see [Zh2]). We will also prove some energy estimate for global solutions which will be useful for the proof of Theorem 1.3. Those results in this section which overlap those in [SZ] or [Zh2] are presented here for completeness.

Let us also mention that all results in this section remain valid if \( \Delta \) is replaced by an uniformly elliptic divergence operator with bounded measurable coefficients depending on \( x \). In this more general case one needs the Green’s function estimates in [Zh1] to begin with. While in the special case some comparison methods are sufficient ([SZ]).

We denote by \( e^{t(\Delta - V)} \) the semigroup (on \( L^\infty \)) associated with the linear part of the equation
\[
(4.1) \quad u_t - \Delta u + Vu = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty).
\]
Namely, for all \( \phi \in L^\infty \), \( u(x, t) = (e^{t(\Delta - V)} \phi)(x) \) denotes the unique solution of (4.1) with initial data \( \phi \). Also, we denote by \( \Gamma \) the Green’s function of the operator \( \Delta - V \) and for all suitable \( f \), we put
\[
\Gamma \ast f(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x, y)f(y)dy = \int_0^\infty e^{t(\Delta - V)} f(x)dt.
\]

Given \( k > 0 \), we introduce a weighted space \( L^\infty_k \) defined as
\[
L^\infty_k = \{ u \mid u(.) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n), (1 + |x|^k)u(x) < \infty \}.
\]
The norm of this space is given by \( \|u\|_{\infty, k} = \sup_{x}(1 + |x|^k)|u(x)| \).

We will use \( T(u_0) \) to denote the maximum time of existence of the solution to (1.3), which may also denoted by \( u(., u_0)(.) \).
Proposition 4.1. Suppose \( V(x) \geq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^b} \) with \( b \in [0, 2) \), \( a > 0 \) and let \( k \geq 0 \).

There exists \( C \geq 1 \) such that for all \( \phi \in L_k^\infty \),
\[
\| e^{(\Delta - V)} \phi \|_{\infty, k} \leq C \| \phi \|_{\infty, k}, \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Proof. By Theorem 1.1 in [Zh1], we have
\[
G(x, t; y, 0) \leq c_1 \frac{e^{-c_2[t^{1/2}/(1 + |x|^b/2)]^\alpha} e^{-c_2[t^{1/2}/(1 + |y|^b)]^\alpha}}{t^{n/2}} e^{-c_3|x-y|^2/t}
\]
with \( \alpha = (2 - b)/2 \).

Given \( f = f(x) \), we write
\[
G \ast f(x, t) = \int_{|y| \leq |x|/2} G(x, t; y, 0)f(y)dy + \int_{|y| \leq |x|/2} G(x, t; y, 0)f(y)dy \equiv J_1 + J_2.
\]
Clearly
\[
J_1 \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^k} \int \frac{e^{-c_3|x-y|^2/t}}{t^{n/2}} dy \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^k}.
\]
When \( |y| \leq |x|/2 \), one has \( |x-y| \geq |x|/2 \geq |y| \). Therefore
\[
J_2 \leq \frac{C}{t^{n/2}} \int_{|y| \leq |x|/2} e^{-c_2[t^{1/2}/(1 + |x|^b/2)]^\alpha} e^{-c_2[t^{1/2}/(1 + |y|^b)]^\alpha} e^{-c_2|x-y|^2/t} dy.
\]
Here \( c > 0 \) is chosen sufficiently small. If \( |x| \leq 1 \), then obviously \( J_2 \leq C \).
So we can assume that \( |x| \geq 1 \). Direct computation shows that
\[
[t^{1/2}/(1 + |x|^b/2)]^\alpha + |x|^2/t \geq \theta^6
\]
for some \( \theta > 0 \) and all \( t > 0 \). Hence
\[
J_2 \leq C e^{-|x|^\theta}.
\]
Combining this with the estimate on \( J_1 \) completes the proof. \( \square \)

Proposition 4.2. Suppose \( V(x) \geq \frac{a}{1 + |x|^k} \) with \( b \in [0, 2) \), \( a > 0 \). Suppose \( 0 \leq f(x) \leq 1/(1 + |x|^k) \) for some \( k \geq 0 \), then
\[
\Gamma \ast f(x) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Gamma(x, y) f(y)dy \leq \frac{C(1 + |x|^b)}{1 + |x|^k}.
\]

Proof. According to [Mu] or Corollary 1 in [Zh1], under the assumptions in the proposition, there exist positive constants \( c_1, c_2 \) such that, for all \( x, y \) and \( \alpha = (2 - b)/2 \),
\[
\Gamma(x, y) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2|x-y|/(1 + |x|^b/2)^{\alpha}} e^{-c_2|x-y|/(1 + |y|^b)^{\alpha}} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{n-2}}.
\]
When $|x| \leq 1$ and $|y| \geq 2$, we have
\[
\Gamma(x, y) \leq e^{-c|y|(1-(b/2))^2} \frac{C}{|x-y|^{n-2}}.
\]

Hence $\Gamma \ast f(x) \leq C$ when $|x| \leq 1$.

In order to estimate $\Gamma \ast f(x)$ when $|x| \geq 1$, let us write
\[
(4.4) \quad \Gamma \ast f(x) = \int_{|y| \leq |x|/2} \Gamma(x, y)f(y)dy + \int_{|y| \geq |x|/2} \cdots dy + \int_{|x|/2 \leq |y| \leq 2|x|} \cdots dy \\
\equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3.
\]

When $|y| \leq |x|/2$, one has $|x-y| \geq |x| - |y| \geq |x|/2 \geq |y|$. Hence
\[
e^{-c_2||x-y|/(1+|y|^{b/2})|^a} \leq e^{-c_2|x|^{(1-(b/2))(2-b)/2}},
\]
\[
e^{-c_2||x-y|/(1+|y|^{b/2})|^a} \leq e^{-c_2|y|^{(1-(b/2))(2-b)/2}}.
\]
It follows that
\[
(4.5) \quad I_1 \leq Ce^{-c_2|x|^{(1-(b/2))(2-b)/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-c_2|y|^{(1-(b/2))(2-b)/2}} |x-y|^{n-2} dy \\
\leq Ce^{-c_2|x|^{(1-(b/2))(2-b)/2}}.
\]

Similarly
\[
(4.6) \quad I_2 \leq Ce^{-c_2|x|^{(1-(b/2))(2-b)/2}}.
\]

Next let us estimate $I_3$. Since $|x|/2 \leq |y| \leq 2|x|$ in this case, we have $f(y) \leq C/(1+|x|^k)$. Hence
\[
I_3 \leq \frac{C}{1+|x|^k} \int_{|x|/2 \leq |y| \leq 2|x|} \Gamma(x, y)dy \\
\leq \frac{C}{1+|x|^k} \int_{|x|/2 \leq |y| \leq 2|x|} \frac{e^{-c_2||x-y|/(1+|y|^{b/2})|^a}}{|x-y|^{n-2}} dy.
\]

Take the substitution $y' = y/|x|$, we obtain, as $|x| \geq 1$,
\[
I_3 \leq \frac{C}{1+|x|^k} \int_{|1/2 \leq |y'| \leq 2} e^{-c|x|^{(1-(b/2))^2}|x/|x||-y'|^{(2-b)/2}} \frac{dy'}{|(x/|x|) - y'|^{n-2}}.
\]

Note that $|x/|x|| = 1$, so if we let $r = |(x/|x|) - y'|$, then
\[
I_3 \leq \frac{C}{1+|x|^k} (1+|x|)^2 \int_0^3 e^{-c|x|^{(1-(b/2))^2}r^{(2-b)/2}} r dr.
\]

Letting $r_1 = |x|^{(1-(b/2))} r$, we have
\[
I_3 \leq \frac{C}{1+|x|^k} (1+|x|)^2 \int_0^\infty e^{-cr_1^{(2-b)/2}} r_1 dr_1 \frac{1}{|x|^{2-b}}.
\]
i.e.,

\[ I_3 \leq \frac{C(1 + |x|^b)}{1 + |x|^k}. \]  

The lemma is proven by combining (3.3)-(3.5).

The result in the next proposition is known. But we give a sketch of the proof for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 4.3.** Suppose \( \frac{a}{1 + |x|^\sigma} \leq V(x) \leq C_1 \) with \( b \in [0, 2) \), \( a > 0 \). Suppose \( W \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \) and \( 1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2} \). Then the following conclusions hold:

(i) For any compactly supported nonnegative \( f \neq 0 \), there exists \( \lambda_0 > 0 \) such that the problem

\[
\begin{cases}
\Delta u - V(x)u + W(x)u^p - \partial_t u = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty), \\
u(x, 0) = u_0(x) = \lambda f(x),
\end{cases}
\]

has a global positive solution when \( \lambda \in (0, \lambda_0) \);

(ii) moreover the \( \omega^- \) limit set contains an equilibrium solution;

(iii) all global positive solutions are bounded in \( D \times (c, \infty) \). Here \( c > 0 \) and \( D \) is any compact domain.

**Proof.** (i) The existence of global solutions for small initial data is a simple consequence of Propositions 4.1 and 4.2 together with a fixed point argument. If one is restricted to (1.3) only, then a comparison method also yields the result (see [SZ]). However the current method has the advantage that it covers the case when \( \Delta \) in (1.3) is replaced by a uniformly elliptic operator with bounded measurable coefficients. We refer to [Zh2] for details of the proof.

(ii) Next us recall some well-known facts related to the existence of an energy functional for Equation (1.3).

For \( u_0 \in L^\infty \cap H^1 \) it is well-known that \( u \in C([0, T(u_0)]; H^1) \) and that the energy \( E(t) \), defined as

\[
E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V u^2 \, dx - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1} \, dx
\]

satisfies the identity

\[
E(0) - E(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u_t(x, s)|^2 \, dx \, ds.
\]

We will use the following two classical lemmas.
Lemma 4.1. Let \( u_0 \in L^\infty \cap H^1 \). If \( T(u_0) = \infty \), then \( E(t) \geq 0 \) for all \( t \geq 0 \), hence in particular
\[
\int_0^\infty \int |u_t(x,s)|^2 \, dx \, ds \leq E(0) \leq C \|u_0\|_{H^1}^2.
\]

Proof. This is a consequence of the classical concavity argument of Levine (see [Le1]). □

Lemma 4.2. Let \( u_0 \in L^\infty \cap H^1 \). If \( T(u_0) = \infty \), then the \( \omega \)-limit set \( \omega(u_0) \) consists of equilibria (i.e., of solutions of the corresponding elliptic equation).

Proof. Assume \( u(t_j) \to v \) in \( L_k^\infty \) and fix \( t > 0 \). By continuous dependence of solutions of (1.3) over initial data in \( L^\infty \), it follows that \( u(t + t_j) \to S(t)v \) in \( L^\infty \). For each \( R > 0 \), we have
\[
\int_{|x| < R} |u(x, t + t_j) - u(x, t_j)|^2 \, dx \leq C(R) \int_{t_j}^{t + t_j} \int_{|x| < R} |u_t(x, s)|^2 \, dx \, ds \leq C(R) \int_{t_j}^\infty \int_{|x| < R} |u_t(x, s)|^2 \, dx \, ds.
\]

Since the RHS goes to 0 as \( j \to \infty \) in view of Lemma 4.1, we deduce that
\[
\int_{|x| < R} |(S(t)v)(x) - v(x)|^2 \, dx = 0,
\]
hence \( S(t)v \equiv v \) for all \( t > 0 \), which means that \( v \) is an equilibrium. This proves the lemma and Part (ii) of the proposition.

(iii) This follows from the scaling argument in [Gi]. □

The following result, essentially given in [S], is important for the proof of Theorem 1.3:

Proposition 4.4. Let \( u_0 \in L^\infty \cap H^1 \) and assume that \( T(u_0) = \infty \). Then the following holds:
\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_h^{T+h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1}(x,t) \, dx \, dt \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^1}), \quad T \geq 1, \quad h \geq 0.
\]

Proof. Without loss of generality we take \( h = 0 \). We use an energy argument from [S, Theorem 2] (given there for \( V \equiv 0 \)). Let \( f(t) \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2(x,t) \, dx \), then
by Lemma 4.1,
\[
f(t) - f(0) = 2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} uu_s \\
\leq 2 \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_s^2 \, dx \, ds \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^2 \, dx \, ds \right)^{1/2} \\
\leq 2E(0)^{1/2} \left( \int_0^t f(s) \, ds \right)^{1/2}.
\]

This easily implies
\[
f(t) \leq C(E(0))(f(0) + t) \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^1})(t + 1), \quad t \geq 0.
\]

Multiplying both sides of (1.3) by \( u \) and integrating, we obtain, for \( T > 0 \),
\[
\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1}(x,t) \, dx \, dt \\
= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u(x,t)|^2 + V(x)u^2(x,t)) \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (u^2(x,T) - u^2(x,0)) \, dx \\
= 2 \int_0^T E(t) \, dt + \frac{2}{p+1} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1}(x,t) \, dx \, dt + \frac{1}{2}(f(T) - f(0)).
\]

Hence
\[
\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1}(x,t) \, dx \, dt \\
\leq \frac{p+1}{p-1} \left( \frac{f(T) - f(0)}{2T} + \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T E(t) \, dt \right) \\
\leq \frac{p+1}{p-1} \left( \frac{f(T)}{2T} + 2E(0) \right) \leq C(\|u_0\|_{H^1}), \quad T \geq 1.
\]

\[
5. \text{ Proof of Theorem 1.3.}
\]

Again we will only give a proof of the Euclidean case, which is divided into several steps.

\textbf{Step 1.} Let \( u \) solves
\[
\Delta u + Wu^p - u_t \geq 0.
\]

Pick \( x \in \mathbb{R}^n \) and let \( R = |x|/2 \). Throughout the section we make a change of the variables
\[
y = x/R, \quad s = t/R^2.
\]

Write
\[
u_1(y,s) = R^k u(Ry, R^2 s)
\]
with $k = (n - 2)/2$, we know that $u_1$ satisfies

$$\Delta u_1 + R^{2-(p-1)k} w_1 u_1^p - \partial_s u_1 \geq 0. \tag{5.1}$$

Here and later $W_1(y) = w(Ry)$ and the $\Delta$ in front $u_1$ is the Laplacian in $y$ variable.

From (5.1), direct computation shows, for any $l \geq 1$

$$\Delta u_1^l + lR^{2-(p-1)k} W_1 u_1^{l+p-1} - \partial_s u_1^l \geq 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Given any $y_0$ such that $|y_0| = 1$ and $s_0 > 0$, we wish to show that $u_1(y_0, s_0)$ is uniformly bounded when $R$ is sufficiently large. Much of the remainder of the step is to prove this claim.

For a $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, write

$$Q_\sigma = \{y \mid |y - y_0| \leq \sigma\} \times [s_0 - \sigma^2, s_0].$$

Since the support of $u_0$ is compact, the support of $u_1(., 0)$ is contained in a ball centered at 0 with radius of the order $c/R$. When $s < 0$ and $y$ is outside the support of $u_1(., 0)$, we define $u_1(y, s) = 0$. In this way $u_1$ satisfies (5.2) in $Q_\sigma$ when $R$ is sufficiently large.

Let $\Psi$ be a suitable cut-off function, by standard arguments we know that

$$\sup_{s_0 - \sigma_1^2 \leq s \leq s_0} \int_{|y - y_0| \leq \sigma_1} u_1^{2l}(y, s)dy + c\int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} |\nabla u_1^l|^2 dy ds \leq C \tau^{-2} \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{2l+p-1} dy ds,$$

where $\tau = \sigma_2 - \sigma_1$ and $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2 < 1$.

Using Sobolev embedding and Hölder’s inequality, it is easy to see that

$$\int_{B(y_0, r)} f^{2(1+(2/n))} dy \leq C \left( \int_{B(y_0, r)} f^2 dy \right)^{2/n} \left[ \int_{B(y_0, r)} (|\nabla f|^2 + r^{-2} f^2) dy \right].$$

Using (5.3) and the above, we see that

$$\int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2\theta} dy ds \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} \tau^{-2} \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{2l+p-1} dy ds \right] \sup_{s_0 - \sigma_1^2 \leq s \leq s_0} \left( \int_{|y - y_0| \leq \sigma_1} u_1^{2l}(y, s)dy \right)^{2/n}.$$

Here and later $\theta = 1 + (2/n)$. It follows that

$$\int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2\theta} dy ds \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} \tau^{-2} \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{2l+p-1} dy ds \right]^\theta. \tag{5.4}$$

We will modify (5.4) so that a Moser iteration can be carried out.
From the energy estimate in Section 4, Proposition 4.4, we know that
\[
\frac{1}{R^2} \int_{t-R^2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1} \leq C.
\]
Since \(E(t) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} V u^2 - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1}\) and \(E(t)\) is nonincreasing, we see that
\[
(5.5) \quad \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{t-R^2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|\nabla u|^2 + V u^2) \leq \frac{2}{p+1} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{t-R^2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} W u^{p+1} + 2E(t)
\]
\[\leq C(E(0)).\]

By Sobolev embedding, the above implies
\[
(5.6) \quad \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{t-R^2}^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{2n/(n-2)} \leq C(E(0)).
\]

From scaling relation between \(u\) and \(u_1\), it is easy to see that
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u^{2n/(n-2)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1^{2n/(n-2)}\]
Hence
\[
(5.7) \quad \int_{s-1}^s \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u_1^{2n/(n-2)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \leq C(E(0)).
\]

Next
\[
(5.8) \quad \int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2(1+(2/n))}
\leq \int_{s_0-\sigma_1^2}^{s_0} \left( \int_{B(y_0,\sigma_1)} u_1^{2n/(n-2)} \right)^{(n-2)/n} \left( \int_{B(y_0,\sigma_1)} u_1^2 \right)^{2/n}
\leq \sup_{s \in (s_0-\sigma_1^2, s_0)} \left( \int_{B(y_0,\sigma_1)} u_1^2 \right)^{2/n} \int_{s_0-\sigma_1^2}^{s_0} \left( \int_{B(y_0,\sigma_1)} u_1^{2n/(n-2)} \right)^{(n-2)/n}.
\]

Combining (5.7) and (5.8) one obtains
\[
(5.9) \quad \int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2(1+(2/n))} \leq C(E(0)) \sup_{s \in (s_0-\sigma_1^2, s_0)} \left( \int_{B(y_0,\sigma_1)} u_1^2 \right)^{2/n}.
\]

We would like to find an upper bound for the right-hand side of (5.9). To this end we take \(l = 1\) in (5.3) to get
\[
(5.10) \quad \sup_{s_0-\sigma_1^2 \leq s \leq s_0} \int_{|y-y_0| \leq \sigma_1} u_1^2(y, s) dy \leq C \tau^{-2} R^{2(k-1)} \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{p+1} dy ds
\]
where \( \tau = \sigma_2 - \sigma_1 \). Using the scaling \( t = s R^2 \), \( x = R y \), \( W_1(y) = W(Ry) \) and \( u_1(y, s) = R^k u(Ry, R^2 s) \), it is clear that

\[
\int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} W_1(y) u_1^{p+1}(y, s) dy ds = R^{(p+1)-n} \int_{t_0-s_0}^{t_0} \int_{B(x_0, \sigma_2 R^2)} W(x) u_1^{p+1} dx dt.
\]

By the energy estimate Proposition 4.4 again

(5.11) \( \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} W_1(y) u_1^{p+1}(y, s) dy ds \leq R^{(p+1)-n} C(E(0)) \).

Since \( k = (n-2)/2 \), one has \( k(p+1) - n = -(2 - k(p+1)) \). Taking \( \sigma_2 = 2 \) and \( \sigma_1 \leq 1 \), by (5.10) and (5.11), we deduce

(5.12) \( \sup_{s_0 - \sigma_1^2 s \leq s \leq s_0} \int_{|y-y_0| \leq \sigma_1} u_1^2(y, s) dy \leq C(E(0))(2 - \sigma_1)^{-2} \leq C(E(0)) \).

Substituting (5.12) to (5.9), we obtain

(5.13) \( \int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2(1+(2/n))} dy ds \leq C(E(0)) \)

for any \( \sigma_1 \in (0, 1) \).

Now let us go back to (5.4). Take

\[
q_1 = \frac{2(n+2)}{n(p-1)}, \quad q_1' = \frac{q_1}{q_1 - 1} = \frac{2(n+2)}{3n - np + 4}
\]

and use Hölder’s inequality, we know that

\[
\int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2q_1} dy ds \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} l^2 \tau^{-2} \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} W_1 u_1^{2l+p-1} dy ds \right] \theta
\]

\[
\leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} l^2 \tau^{-2} \left( \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} W_1^{q_1'} u_1^{2q_1'} dy ds \right)^{1/q_1'} \left( \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} u_1^{(p-1)q_1} dy ds \right)^{1/q_1} \right] \theta.
\]

Since \( (p-1)q_1 = 2(1 + \frac{2}{n}) \), by (5.13),

(5.14) \( \int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2q_1} dy ds \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k} l^2 \tau^{2} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^{-2} \left( \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} W_1^{q_1'} u_1^{2q_1'} dy ds \right)^{1/q_1'} \right] \theta \).

Recall that \( W(x) \leq C/(1 + |x|^m) \). Hence \( W_1(y) \leq CR^{-m} \) when \( |y-y_0| \leq \sigma_2 \leq 1/2 \). So (5.14) implies

\[
\int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2q_1} dy ds \leq C \left[ R^{2-(p-1)k-m} l^2 \tau^{2} (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^{-2} \left( \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} u_1^{2q_1'} dy ds \right)^{1/q_1'} \right] \theta.
\]
By choosing $m = 2 - (p - 1)/k = 2 - ((p - 1)(n - 2)/2)$, we see that

\[(5.15) \quad \int_{Q_{\sigma_1}} u_1^{2q_1} dyds \leq C \left[ (\sigma_2 - \sigma_1)^{-2} \left( \int_{Q_{\sigma_2}} u_1^{2q_1'} \right)^{1/q_1'} \right]^{\theta}.
\]

If $p < 1 + 4/n$, then

\[
q_1' < \frac{2(n + 2)}{3n - (n + 4) + 4} = \frac{n + 2}{n} = \theta.
\]

Therefore we can use Moser’s iteration on (5.15) to conclude that $u_1(y_0, s) \leq C$. Using (5.7), this shows

\[(5.16) \quad u(x, t) \leq C/(1 + |x|^{(n-2)/2}).
\]

**Step 2.** We show that $u$ has uniform exponential decay.

From last step we know that $u(x) \leq C/(1 + |x|^{(n-2)/2})$. By the assumption on $W$, we see that

\[
W(x)u^{p-1}(x, t) \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{2-(p-1)(n-2)/2}} \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{(p-1)(n-2)/2}} \leq \frac{C}{1 + |x|^2}.
\]

Since $V(x) \geq \frac{a}{1+|x|^b}$ with $b < 2$, we see that

\[
0 = \Delta u(x, t) - V(x)u(x, t) + W(x, t)u^{p}(x, t) - u_t(x, t)
= \Delta u(x, t) - (V(x) - W(x)u^{p-1}(x, t))u(x, t) - u_t(x, t)
\leq \Delta u(x, t) - \frac{c_0}{1+|x|^b} u(x, t) - u_t(x, t)
\]

when $|x| \geq r$ for a large $r > 0$. Here $c_0$ is a positive number.

Let $\Gamma_1$ be the Green’s function of the elliptic operator $\Delta - \frac{c_0}{1+|x|^b}$. Note that $u(x, 0) = 0$ when $|x|$ is large. It is also clear that $\Gamma_1(x, 0) \geq c(|x|) > 0$. Applying the maximum principle on the exterior of a sufficiently large cylinder centered at the origin, we know that

\[
u(x, t) \leq CT\Gamma_1(x, 0)
\]

when $|x|$ and $C$ are sufficiently large. By the upper bound of $\Gamma_1$, as in Section 3, it follows that

\[
u(x, t) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2|x|^{(2-b)/2}}
\]

for all $x, t$.

**Step 3.** Define

\[
D_0 = \{ u_0 \in L^\infty_k; \quad T(u_0) = \infty \text{ and } u(t; u_0) \to 0 \text{ in } L^\infty_k \text{ as } t \to \infty \}.
\]
By the exponential decaying property of the fundamental solution of $\triangle - V$ (Proposition 4.3), it follows that $D_0$ contains an open neighborhood $W_0$ of $0$ in $L_k^\infty$ and that

$$D_0 = \{ u_0 \in L_k^\infty; \ T(u_0) = \infty \text{ and } 0 \in \omega_k(u_0) \}.$$  

We claim that $D_0$ is open in $L_k^\infty$. Indeed, if $u_0 \in D_0$, there exists $t > 0$ such that $u(t; u_0) \in W_0$. But by continuous dependence of solutions of (1.1) in $L_k^\infty$, if $\|u_0 - \overline{u_0}\|_{\infty,k}$ is sufficiently small, then $u(t; \overline{u_0}) \in W_0 \subset D_0$, so that $\overline{u_0} \in D_0$. The claim follows.

Let now

$$\lambda^* = \sup\{ \lambda > 0; \ \lambda \phi \in D_0 \}.$$  

We have just seen that $\lambda \phi \in D_0$ when $\lambda > 0$ is small, and it is well-known that $T(\lambda \phi) < \infty$ if $\lambda$ is large (see [Le2] for example). Therefore, $0 < \lambda^* < \infty$.

Let $\lambda_j \uparrow \lambda^*$ with $\lambda_j \phi \in D_0$. By standard scaling method, we have, for any bounded domain $D$,

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|u(t; \lambda_j \phi)|_D\|_\infty \leq C(\lambda_j(\|\phi\|_{H^1} + \|\phi\|_\infty), D) \leq C(D), \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots.$$  

Since by continuous dependence in $L_k^\infty$, we have, for each $t \in [0, T(\lambda^* \phi))$,

$$\|u(t; \lambda^* \phi)|_D\|_\infty = \lim_{j} \|u(t; \lambda_j \phi)|_D\|_\infty \leq C(D),$$

it follows that $T(\lambda^* \phi) = \infty$.

On the other hand, by the openness of $D_0$, $\lambda^* \phi \not\in D_0$. We claim that $\omega(\lambda^* \phi)$ contains a nontrivial nonnegative equilibrium $v$. Suppose the claim is false. Then by Step 2, there exists a sequence $\{t_j\}$ with $t_j \to \infty$, such that $\{u(t_j, \lambda^* \phi)\}$ is compact in $L_k^\infty$ norm. Moreover a subsequence would converge to 0 in $L_k^\infty$ norm. Hence $\|u(t_j, \lambda^* \phi)\|_{\infty,k}$ would be sufficiently small when $j$ is large. But this would imply that $\lambda^* \phi \in D_0$. This contradiction validates the claim. The strong maximum principle finally implies that $v > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The proof is complete. 
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