

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

ON THE EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS OF
SOBOLEV-POINCARÉ INEQUALITY

MEIJUN ZHU

Volume 214 No. 1

March 2004

ON THE EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS OF SOBOLEV-POINCARÉ INEQUALITY

MEIJUN ZHU

Dedicated to Elliott H. Lieb on the occasion of his 70th birthday

We prove the existence of extremal functions of Sobolev-Poincaré inequality on S^n for $p \in (1, (1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n})/4)$. For general n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifolds embedded in R^{n+1} , such an existence result is proved for $p \in (n/(n-1), (1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n})/4)$.

1. Introduction.

Let (M^n, g) be a n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. The standard Sobolev-Poincaré inequality can be stated as the following: For any $p \in [1, n)$, there is a constant $A(p, M^n, g) > 0$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad \left(\int_{M^n} |u - u_a|^{p_*} \right)^{p/p_*} \leq A(p, M^n, g) \int_{M^n} |\nabla_g u|^p, \quad \forall u \in W^{1,p}(M^n)$$

where $u_a = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(M^n)} \int_{M^n} u$, $p_* = np/(n-p)$ is the Sobolev conjugate of p . This inequality can be proved by combining Sobolev inequality with Poincaré inequality, see, for example, Hebey's book [8]. In this paper we are interested in the estimates of the best constant and the existence of extremal functions to the above inequality. Analytically these are naturally motivated questions. On the other hand they may have interesting geometric implications, in particular, in the study of Poincaré's isoperimetric inequality. We shall discuss this geometric issue in another paper [9].

Generally speaking, the existence of extremal functions is not a trivial issue, given the fact that p_* is the critical Sobolev exponent for the Sobolev embedding theorem. In this paper, for a model manifold -the unit sphere S^n with the standard metric $g_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} dx_i^2$, we obtain a fairly satisfying result. Define the Sobolev-Poincaré quotient by

$$(1.2) \quad I_p(u) := \frac{\int_{S^n} |\nabla u|^p}{\left(\int_{S^n} |u - u_A|^{p_*} \right)^{p/p_*}}$$

where $u_A = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(S^n)} \int_{S^n} u$. We are going to prove:

Theorem 1.1. *If $1 < p < (1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n})/4$, then*

$$P_1(S^n, p) := \inf\{I_p(u) : u \in C^1(S^n) \setminus \{0\}\}$$

is achieved.

The main idea in the proof is to show that there is a minimizing sequence which strongly converges (in L^{p^*} sense) to a nonzero function. Let

$$\begin{aligned} k(n, p) &= \pi^{-1/2} n^{-1/p} \left(\frac{p-1}{n-p}\right)^{1-1/p} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(1+n/2)\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma(n/p)\Gamma(1+n-n/p)} \right\}^{1/n} \\ &= \inf_{u \in C_0^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u|^p)^{1/p}}{(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{p^*})^{1/p^*}}; \end{aligned}$$

and $k(n, 1) = \lim_{p \rightarrow 1^+} k(n, p) = \pi^{-1/2} n^{-1} \{\Gamma(1+n/2)\}^{1/n}$. If one can prove that

$$(1.3) \quad P_1(S^n, p) < \frac{1}{k^p(n, p)},$$

the convergence of a minimizing sequence will follow from some standard arguments. However, for general p such a strict inequality may not be true. For example, from Bernstein inequality on S^2 one can prove (see more details in [9]) that

$$\inf_{u \in BV(S^2) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{S^2} |\nabla u|}{(\int_{S^2} |u - u_A|^{n/(n-1)})^{(n-1)/n}} = \frac{1}{k(2, 1)} = 2\sqrt{\pi}.$$

Nevertheless, by choosing a suitable (but standard) test function we can show the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M^n, g) be a n -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary embedded in R^{n+1} . If $p \in (n/(n-1), (1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n})/4)$ for $n \geq 4$, then*

$$(1.4) \quad P_1(M^n, p) := \inf_{u \in C^1 \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{M^n} |\nabla_g u|^p}{(\int_{M^n} |u - u_a|^{p^*})^{p/p^*}} < \frac{1}{k^p(n, p)},$$

and the infimum is achieved at some $u_0 \in C^1(M^n)$.

The case of $p \in (n/(n-1), (1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n})/4)$ in Theorem 1.1 is obviously covered by Theorem 1.2. It is unclear whether the strict inequality (1.4) is still true for $p \geq (1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n})/4$ or not. On the other hand, one may check that if $n = 3$ inequality (1.4) holds for $p = 1$, thus it is true for $p \in [1, 1 + \delta_0)$ for some positive number δ_0 . Unfortunately, we have no information about this δ_0 . We may guess that the strict inequality (1.4) holds for all $p \in [1, n)$ and $n \geq 2$ except the case of $p = 1$ and $n = 2$. Even though we do not know whether (1.4) holds for general p or not, however, if we return to the special manifold S^n and change the constraint on u slightly, we obtain the

following result for all $p \in (1, n)$. For convenience, throughout the paper we denote

$$H_a(S^n) := \left\{ u \in C^1(S^n) : \int_{S^n} |u|^{p_*-2} u = 0 \right\}.$$

Theorem 1.3. *If $1 < p < n$, then*

$$P_{II}(S^n, p) := \inf_{u \in H_a(S^n) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{S^n} |\nabla u|^p}{\left(\int_{S^n} |u|^{p_*}\right)^{p/p_*}},$$

is achieved at some $u_0 \in H_a(S^n)$.

It shall be pointed out that in the case of $n = 2$ and $p = 1$ we have $p_* - 2 = 0$. Therefore the constraints on u in Theorem 1.3 is the same as that in Theorem 1.1.

Based on the symmetrization result on S^n (see, for example, Baernstein [2]), we can assume that there is a minimizing sequence depending only on one variable. Amazingly, the case of $p < 2n/(n + 1)$ in Theorem 1.1 can be handled in the same spirit as that in the proof of Theorem 1.3 (note that this upper bound of p matches the lower bound of p in Theorem 1.2 perfectly).

We make a final remark in this introduction. When the manifold is a sphere with the standard metric, if one can show that there is an anti-symmetric minimizing sequence u_k (that is, $u(x', x_n) = -u(x', -x_n)$) to $\inf I_p(u)$, one easily obtains (1.3) and Theorem 1.1 follows. Unfortunately, such an expectation may not be realized in practice, given the fact that there are the extremal functions for $\inf I_1(u)$ on S^2 which are not antisymmetric. We refer to [4] for some related issues on the symmetric properties of the extremal functions.

We organize the paper as follows: In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.3; in Section 3, we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.3.

For a small positive parameter $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, we define $q_\epsilon = p_* - \epsilon$,

$$H_{a,\epsilon}(S^n) := \left\{ u \in C^1(S^n) : \int_{S^n} |u|^{q_\epsilon-2} u = 0 \right\},$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad S_\epsilon := \inf_{u \in H_{a,\epsilon}(S^n) \setminus \{0\}} J_\epsilon(u) := \inf_{u \in H_{a,\epsilon}(S^n) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{S^n} |\nabla u|^p}{\left(\int_{S^n} |u|^{q_\epsilon}\right)^{p/q_\epsilon}}.$$

Let $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \theta)$ be the spherical coordinates of S^n , where $0 \leq \alpha_i < 2\pi$, $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Standard variational method shows that $\inf J_\epsilon(u)$ is attained in $H_{a,\epsilon}(S^n)$. Further, the symmetrization argument (see, e.g., Baernstein [2]) yields that the extremal function $u_\epsilon(x)$ only depends on θ and is a

monotonically non-decreasing function of θ . We can normalize u_ϵ such that

$$(2.6) \quad \int_{S^n} |u_\epsilon|^{q_\epsilon} = 1.$$

Thus, u_ϵ satisfies the following equation:

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla(|\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-2} \nabla u_\epsilon) + S_\epsilon |u_\epsilon|^{q_\epsilon-2} u_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } S^n \\ du_\epsilon(\theta)/d\theta \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

If $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C$, then from the elliptic estimates (see, for example, [5]) we know that $\|u_\epsilon\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} \leq C$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, and conclude that up to a subsequence of ϵ , $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u_0$ in $C^{1,\alpha}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, where u_0 is the minimizer of J_0 . Hence Theorem 1.3 is proved. So we shall focus on ruling out the case: Up to a subsequence of ϵ ,

$$(2.8) \quad \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

We denote θ_ϵ as the zero point of u_ϵ , and assume that $\theta_\epsilon \rightarrow \theta_0$ (up to a subsequence of ϵ).

Further, without loss of generality we can assume that

$$\begin{cases} u_\epsilon(\theta) < 0 & \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta < \theta_\epsilon \\ u_\epsilon(\theta) > 0 & \text{for } \theta_\epsilon < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and $u_\epsilon(\pi/2) = \max u_\epsilon(\theta) = \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}$.

Proposition 2.1. *Given $\delta > 0$, for any $\theta < \pi/2 - \delta$,*

$$u_\epsilon(\theta) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{uniformly as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. The proposition can be proved via the following standard two steps:

First of all we claim that

$$(2.9) \quad \underline{\lim}_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S_\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{k^p(n, p)}.$$

We relegate the proof of this inequality to the end of this paper.

Then we have the following concentration phenomena: For any fixed $\delta > 0$,

$$(2.10) \quad \liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{S^n \cap \{\theta > \pi/2 - \delta\}} u_\epsilon^{q_\epsilon} = 1.$$

As a consequence, one can obtain Proposition 2.1. We refer readers to, for example, [7] for more details.

Now, for any $\tau \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$, we define $\mu_\epsilon = \max_{\theta \in [-\pi/2, \tau]} |u_\epsilon(\theta)|$. From Proposition 2.1 we know that $\mu_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $v_\epsilon(\theta) = -u_\epsilon(\theta)/\mu_\epsilon$.

Then v_ϵ satisfies:

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla(|\nabla v_\epsilon|^{p-2}\nabla v_\epsilon) + S_\epsilon \mu_\epsilon^{q_\epsilon-p} v_\epsilon^{q_\epsilon-1} = 0 & \text{in } S^n \cap \{-\pi/2 < \theta < \tau\} \\ 0 \leq |v_\epsilon| \leq 1 & \text{for } -\pi/2 < \theta < \tau. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the standard elliptic theory that $v_\epsilon \rightarrow v_0$ in any compact set of $\{x = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \theta) \in S^n \mid -\pi/2 < \theta < \tau\}$, where v_0 satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla(|\nabla v_0|^{p-2}\nabla v_0) = 0 & \text{for } -\pi/2 < \theta < \tau \\ \max |v_0(\theta)| = 1, \quad 0 \leq |v_0| \leq 1 & \text{for } -\pi/2 < \theta < \tau. \end{cases}$$

Since $v_0(\theta)$ is monotonically non-decreasing in θ , in both cases ($\tau > \theta_0$ or $\tau < \theta_0$) we obtain a contradiction due to the maximum principle!

3. Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

Let us first establish Theorem 1.1 in the case of $p < 2n/(n + 1)$. We follow the main stream in the proof of Theorem 1.3. For a small positive parameter $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, we define $q_\epsilon = p_* - \epsilon$, and

$$(3.12) \quad Z_\epsilon := \inf_{u \in H_{a,p_*}(S^n) \setminus \{0\}} I_\epsilon(u) := \inf_{u \in H_{a,p_*}(S^n) \setminus \{0\}} \frac{\int_{S^n} |\nabla u|^p}{\left(\int_{S^n} |u|^{q_\epsilon}\right)^{p/q_\epsilon}},$$

where

$$H_{a,p_*}(S^n) = \left\{ u \in C^1(S^n) : \int_{S^n} u = 0 \right\}.$$

Standard variational method and the symmetrization argument show that $\inf I_\epsilon(u)$ is attained by $u_\epsilon(x)$ which depends only on θ and is a monotonically non-decreasing function of θ . We normalize u_ϵ such that

$$(3.13) \quad \int_{S^n} |u_\epsilon|^{q_\epsilon} = 1.$$

If $\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} < \infty$, we are done. Otherwise, we assume, up to some subsequence of ϵ , that

$$\|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Let θ_ϵ be the zero point of u_ϵ and denote $c_\epsilon = \int_{S^n} |u_\epsilon|^{q_\epsilon-2} u_\epsilon$. Up to a further subsequence of ϵ , we can assume that $\theta_\epsilon \rightarrow \theta_0$. It follows easily from Hölder inequality and (3.13) that

$$|c_\epsilon| \leq C.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{cases} u_\epsilon(\theta) < 0 & \text{for } -\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta < \theta_\epsilon \\ u_\epsilon(\theta) > 0 & \text{for } \theta_\epsilon < \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}, \end{cases}$$

and $u_\epsilon(\pi/2) = \max u_\epsilon(\theta) = \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty}$. The Euler-Lagrange equation of u_ϵ is given by:

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla(|\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-2}\nabla u_\epsilon) + Z_\epsilon|u_\epsilon|^{q_\epsilon-2}u_\epsilon - Z_\epsilon c_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } S^n \\ du_\epsilon(\theta)/d\theta \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

Easy to see that $|Z_\epsilon c_\epsilon| < C$. We define $\mu_\epsilon = -u_\epsilon(-\frac{\pi}{2})$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.1, one can show that $\mu_\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

If $\theta_0 > -\pi/2$, we let $v_\epsilon = -u_\epsilon/\mu_\epsilon$. Then v_ϵ satisfies

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{cases} \nabla(|\nabla v_\epsilon|^{p-2}\nabla v_\epsilon) \\ \quad + Z_\epsilon \mu_\epsilon^{q_\epsilon-p} v_\epsilon^{q_\epsilon-1} - Z_\epsilon c_\epsilon \mu_\epsilon^{1-p} = 0 & \text{in } S^n \cap \{-\pi/2 < \theta < \theta_\epsilon\} \\ du_\epsilon(\theta)/d\theta \geq 0, \\ 0 \leq v_\epsilon \leq 1 \text{ for } -\pi/2 < \theta < \theta_\epsilon. \end{cases}$$

For any fixed $p < 2n/(n+1)$, we choose positive constants s and t such that

$$(3.17) \quad (q_\epsilon - 1)t + s = q_\epsilon - 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(q_\epsilon - 1)t}{q_\epsilon} + s = 1.$$

Then from Hölder inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |c_\epsilon| &\leq \int_{S^n} |u_\epsilon|^{q_\epsilon-1} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{S^n} |u_\epsilon|^{q_\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{(q_\epsilon-1)t}{q_\epsilon}} \cdot \left(\int_{S^n} |u_\epsilon| \right)^s \\ &= C \cdot \left(\int_{-\pi/2}^{\theta_\epsilon} |u_\epsilon| \right)^s \quad \left(\text{using } \int_{S^n} u = 0 \right) \\ &\leq C_1 \left| u_\epsilon \left(-\frac{\pi}{2} \right) \right|^s \\ &\leq C_1 \mu_\epsilon^s. \end{aligned}$$

(3.17) implies $s = 1/(q_\epsilon - 1)$. Using the fact that $p < 2n/(n+1)$, one can check that for small ϵ , $s + 1 - p > 0$. Thus it follows from the standard elliptic theory that $v_\epsilon \rightarrow v_0$ in $C^{1,\alpha}(K)$ for any compact set K of $\{x = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}, \theta) \in S^n : -\pi/2 < \theta < \theta_0\}$, where v_0 satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla(|\nabla v_0|^{p-2}\nabla v_0) = 0 & \text{for } -\pi/2 < \theta < \theta_0 \\ v_0(-\pi/2) = \max_{-\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \theta_0} |v_0(\theta)| = 1, \\ 0 \leq v_0 \leq 1 & \text{for } -\pi/2 < \theta < \theta_0. \end{cases}$$

We thus derive a contradiction due to the maximum principle.

If $\theta_0 = -\frac{\pi}{2}$, then for sufficiently small ϵ , $\theta_\epsilon < 0$, thus $u_\epsilon(\theta) \geq 0$ for $\theta \geq 0$. Therefore $\int_{S^n \cap \{-\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/4\}} u_\epsilon \leq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |u_\epsilon(-\pi/2)| \cdot \left(\theta_\epsilon + \frac{\pi}{2}\right) &\geq C \int_{S^n \cap \{\theta_\epsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi/4\}} u_\epsilon \\ &\geq C \int_{S^n \cap \{0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/4\}} u_\epsilon \geq C u_\epsilon(0). \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $|u_\epsilon(-\pi/2)| \geq C u_\epsilon(0)$ for sufficiently small ϵ . We then consider $v_\epsilon = -u_\epsilon/\mu_\epsilon$ in the lower hemisphere and obtain $v_\epsilon \rightarrow v_0$ in $C^{1,\alpha}(K)$ for any compact set K of the lower hemisphere, where v_0 satisfies:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla(|\nabla v_0|^{p-2} \nabla v_0) = 0 & \text{for } -\pi/2 < \theta < 0 \\ v_0(-\pi/2) = \max_{-\pi/2 \leq \theta \leq 0} v_0(\theta) = 1, \quad 0 \leq |v_0| \leq 1 & \text{for } -\pi/2 < \theta < 0. \end{cases}$$

Again this contradicts the maximum principle! We hereby complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the case of $p < 2n(n+1)$.

To prove Theorem 1.1 in the case of $p \geq 2n(n+1)$, we only need to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. We first establish Theorem 1.2 under the assumption that (1.4) holds.

We quote the following lemma from Aubin’s book [1]:

Lemma 3.1. *Given $\delta > 0$, there is a constant $C(\delta)$ such that*

$$\left(\int_{M^n} |u|^{p^*} dv_g\right)^{p/p^*} \leq (k^p(n,p) + \delta) \int_{M^n} |\nabla_g u|^p dv_g + C(\delta) \int_{M^n} |u|^p dv_g$$

holds for all $u \in W^{1,p}(M^n)$.

Let $\{u^{(m)}\}$ be a minimizing sequence with $\int_{M^n} u^{(m)} dv_g = 0$ and

$$\|u^{(m)}\|_{P^*,M^n} = \left(\int_{M^n} |u^{(m)}|^{p^*} dv_g\right)^{1/p^*} = 1.$$

Clearly, $\|u^{(m)}\|_{W^{1,p}(M^n)} \leq C$. After passing to a subsequence, $u^{(m)}$ converges weakly to some $\tilde{u} \in W^{1,p}(M^n)$, and $u^{(m)}$ converges strongly to \tilde{u} in L^q for any $q < p^*$. Thus $\int_{M^n} \tilde{u} dv_g = 0$. Due to Brezis-Lieb’s lemma [3], it is not difficult to see that

$$\int_{M^n} (|u^{(m)}|^{p^*} - |u^{(m)} - \tilde{u}|^{p^*}) dv_g = \int_{M^n} |\tilde{u}|^{p^*} dv_g + o(1),$$

and consequently

$$\int_{M^n} (|u^{(m)}|^{p^*} - |u^{(m)} - \tilde{u}|^{p^*}) dv_g \leq 1 + o(1), \quad \int_{M^n} |\tilde{u}|^{p^*} dv_g \leq 1,$$

where $o(1)$ denotes various quantity tending to zero as m tends to ∞ .

Choose δ such that $\frac{1}{k^p(n,p)+\delta} - P_1(M^n, p) \geq \delta/2$. By the Sobolev embedding theorem and Lemma 3.1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &P_1(M^n, p) \\
 &= \int_{M^n} |\nabla_g u^{(m)}|^p + o(1) \\
 &= \int_{M^n} |\nabla_g (u^{(m)} - \tilde{u})|^p + \int_{M^n} |\nabla_g \tilde{u}|^p + o(1) \\
 &= \int_{M^n} |\nabla (u^{(m)} - \tilde{u})|^p + \frac{C(\delta)}{k^p(n,p) + \delta} \int_{M^n} |u^{(m)} - \tilde{u}|^p + \int_{M^n} |\nabla_g \tilde{u}|^p + o(1) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{k^p(n,p) + \delta} \left(\int_{M^n} |u^{(m)} - \tilde{u}|^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*} + P_1(M^n, p) \left(\int_{M^n} \tilde{u}^{p^*} \right)^{p/p^*} + o(1) \\
 &\geq \frac{1}{k^p(n,p) + \delta} \int_{M^n} |u^{(m)} - \tilde{u}|^{p^*} + P_1(M^n, p) \int_{M^n} \tilde{u}^{p^*} + o(1) \\
 &= \left(\frac{1}{k^p(n,p) + \delta} - P_1(M^n, p) \right) \int_{M^n} |u^{(m)} - \tilde{u}|^{p^*} + P_1(M^n, p) + o(1).
 \end{aligned}$$

This yields that $\int_{M^n} |u^{(m)} - \tilde{u}|^{p^*} = o(1)$. It follows easily that \tilde{u} is a minimizer. Theorem 1.2 is proved.

We are left to verify (1.4).

Proof of (1.4).

We follow closely the computations in Druet [6]. Since M^n is embedded in R^{n+1} , there is a point $x_0 \in M$ such that the scalar curvature at x_0 (denoted as $R_g(x_0)$) is positive. We choose a normal geodesic coordinates system near x_0 . Let r be the distance from point x to x_0 , we define, for small positive constants ϵ and δ ,

$$(3.18) \quad u_\epsilon(x) = u_\epsilon(r) = \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)}} \right)^{\frac{n}{p}-1} \cdot \varphi(r),$$

where $\varphi(r)$ is a nonnegative cut-off function satisfying:

$$\varphi(r) \begin{cases} = 1 & \text{for } r \leq \frac{\delta}{2}, \\ \leq 1 & \text{for } \frac{\delta}{2} \leq r \leq \delta, \\ = 0 & \text{for } r \geq \delta. \end{cases}$$

Notice that in this system,

$$(3.19) \quad dv_g = \left[1 - \frac{1}{6} R_{ij}(x_0) x^i x^j + o_r(1)(r^2) \right] dx^i dx^j,$$

where and throughout this section we denote $o_\gamma(1)$ as the term tending to 0 as $\gamma \rightarrow 0$.

We divide our computation into four steps.

Step 1. Estimate of $\int_{M^n} u_\epsilon^{p^*} dv_g$.

We have, from (3.18), that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{M^n} u_\epsilon^{p^*} dv_g \\
 & \geq \int_{B_{\delta/2}(x_0)} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)}} \right)^{\left(\frac{n}{p}-1\right) \cdot p^*} dv_g \\
 & = \omega_{n-1} \int_0^{\delta/2} (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} r^{n-1} dr \\
 & \quad - \frac{\omega_{n-1} R_g(x_0)}{6n} (1 + o_\delta(1)) \int_0^{\delta/2} (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} r^{n+1} dr \\
 & = \omega_{n-1} \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{p}} \int_0^{\frac{\delta}{2\epsilon^{(p-1)/p}}} (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \\
 & \quad \cdot \left[\beta^{n-1} - \frac{R_g(x_0)}{6n} (1 + o_\delta(1)) \cdot \beta^{n+1} \cdot \epsilon^{2(p-1)/p} \right] d\beta,
 \end{aligned}$$

where ω_{n-1} is the surface area of S^{n-1} . For $p < \frac{n+2}{2}$ we know that $n+2-pn/(p-1) < 0$. Thus

$$\int_0^{\frac{\delta}{2\epsilon^{(p-1)/p}}} (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \cdot \beta^{n+1} d\beta \leq C.$$

It follows that (we also use $n > 2(p-1)$)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \omega_{n-1} \epsilon^{-n/p} \int_0^{\frac{\delta}{2\epsilon^{(p-1)/p}}} (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \beta^{n-1} d\beta \\
 & \geq D_1 \cdot \epsilon^{-n/p} - C \delta^{-\frac{n}{p-1}} \epsilon^{n/p} \cdot \epsilon^{-n/p} \\
 & = D_1 \cdot \epsilon^{-n/p} - o_\epsilon(1) \delta^{-\frac{n}{p-1}} \epsilon^{\frac{-n+2(p-1)}{p}},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_1 = \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta^{n-1}}{(1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^n} d\beta;$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\omega_{n-1} R_g(x_0) \epsilon^{-n/p}}{6n} (1 + o_\delta(1)) \int_0^{\frac{\delta}{2\epsilon^{(p-1)/p}}} (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \beta^{n+1} \cdot \epsilon^{2(p-1)/p} d\beta \\
 & \leq D_2 (1 + o_\delta(1)) \epsilon^{-n/p+2(p-1)/p},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$D_2 = \frac{\omega_{n-1} R_g(x_0) \epsilon^{-n/p}}{6n} \int_0^\infty \frac{\beta^{n+1}}{(1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^n} d\beta.$$

Therefore, for $p < \frac{n+2}{2}$,

$$(3.20) \quad \int_{M^n} u_\epsilon^{p*} dv_g \geq D_1 \epsilon^{-n/p} - (D_2 + o_{\epsilon,\delta}(1)) \epsilon^{-n/p+2(p-1)/p},$$

where $o_{\epsilon,\delta}(1)$ means that for any $\gamma > 0$ there is a δ_0 such that for $\delta < \delta_0$, $\liminf_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} o_{\epsilon,\delta}(1) < \gamma$. Notice that $(1 + \sqrt{1 + 8n})/4 < (n + 2)/2$ for $n \geq 2$.

Step 2. Estimate of $\int_M |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p dv_g$.

If we denote

$$\tau(r) = (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{1-n/p},$$

then

$$\nabla u_\epsilon = \nabla \tau(r) \varphi(r) + \tau(r) \nabla \varphi(r),$$

and

$$|\nabla u_\epsilon|_g^p \leq |\varphi(r) \nabla \tau(r)|_g^p + \nu |\nabla \varphi(r) \tau(r)|_g^p + \mu |\nabla \varphi(r) \tau(r)|_g \cdot |\varphi(r) \nabla \tau(r)|_g^{p-1}$$

for some positive constants ν and μ .

Since $\nabla \varphi(r) = 0$ for $0 \leq r \leq \delta/2$, we know that

$$\int_{M^n} (\nu |\nabla \varphi(r) \tau(r)|^p + \mu |\nabla \varphi(r) \tau(r)| \cdot |\nabla \tau(r)|^{p-1}) dv_g \leq C(\delta)$$

for some constant $C(\delta)$ independent of ϵ . On the other hand, noting that u_ϵ is radially symmetric and $g^{rr} = 1$, we know that

$$|\varphi(r) \nabla \tau(r)|_g^p \leq |\nabla \tau(r)|_g^p = \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \cdot r^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \cdot (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{-n}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M^n} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p dv_g \\ & \leq C(\delta) + \int_M |\nabla \tau(r)|^p dv_g \\ & \leq C(\delta) + \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\delta \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \cdot r^{p/(p-1)} (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \cdot r^{n-1} dr \\ & \quad - \frac{\omega_{n-1} R_g(x_0)}{6n} (1 + o_\delta(1)) \int_0^\delta \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \cdot r^{p/(p-1)} (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} r^{n+1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily check:

$$\begin{aligned} & \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^\delta (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \cdot r^{p/(p-1)+n-1} dr \\ & = \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{p}} \cdot \int_0^{\delta \epsilon^{\frac{1-p}{p}}} (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \beta^{p/(p-1)+n-1} d\beta \\ & \leq \left(E_1 + C \delta^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}} \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{n-p}{p}} \right) \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_1 = \omega_{n-1} \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^\infty (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \beta^{p/(p-1)+n-1} d\beta.$$

Note that $p/(p-1) + n - 1 > -1$, and $p/(p-1) + n - pn/(p-1) = (p-n)/(p-1) < 0$, thus $E_1 < \infty$. Also for $p < (n+2)/3$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\omega_{n-1} R_g(x_0)}{6n} \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^\delta (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \cdot r^{p/(p-1)+n+1} dr \\ &= \frac{\omega_{n-1} R_g(x_0)}{6n} \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \epsilon^{\frac{3p-2-n}{p}} \cdot \int_0^{\delta \epsilon^{\frac{1-p}{p}}} (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \beta^{p/(p-1)+n+1} d\beta \\ &\leq \left(E_2 + C \delta^{\frac{p-n}{p-1}+2} \cdot \epsilon^{\frac{n+2-3p}{p}} \right) \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$E_2 = \frac{\omega_{n-1} R_g(x_0)}{6n} \left(\frac{n-p}{p-1} \right)^p \int_0^\infty (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-n} \beta^{p/(p-1)+n+1} d\beta < \infty.$$

For $p < (n+2)/3$, we have $(n-p)/p > 2(p-1)/p$. Thus,

$$(3.21) \quad \int_{M^n} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p dv_g \leq C(\delta) + E_1 \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{p}} - E_2 (1 + o_{\epsilon,\delta}(1)) \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{2(p-1)}{p}}.$$

We also note that $(1 + \sqrt{1+8n})/4 < (n+2)/3$ for $n \geq 2$.

Step 3. Estimates of $\int_{M^n} u_\epsilon$.

$$\begin{aligned} (3.22) \quad \int_{M^n} u_\epsilon &\leq \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\delta (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{1-n/p} r^{n-1} dr \\ &\leq C_1 \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n(p-1)}{p}} \cdot \int_0^{\delta \epsilon^{\frac{1-p}{p}}} (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{1-\frac{n}{p}} \beta^{n-1} d\beta \\ &\leq \begin{cases} C(\delta) \epsilon^{\frac{np+p-2n}{p}} & \text{if } np+p-2n < 0 \\ C(\delta) \ln(\delta \epsilon^{\frac{1-p}{p}} + C) & \text{if } np+p-2n = 0 \\ C(\delta) & \text{if } np+p-2n > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Step 4. Define $w_\epsilon = u_\epsilon - \int_{M^n} u_\epsilon$. Then $\int_{M^n} |\nabla w_\epsilon|^p = \int_{M^n} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^p$. From Minkowski inequality, we know

$$\left| \left(\int_{M^n} |w_\epsilon|^{p^*} \right)^{1/p^*} - \left(\int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p^*} \right)^{1/p^*} \right| \leq \text{vol}^{1/p^*}(M^n) \cdot \int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|.$$

From (3.20) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.23) \quad & \left(\int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p_*} \right)^{1/p_*} \\
 & \geq (D_1 \epsilon^{-n/p} - (D_2 + o_{\epsilon, \delta}(1)) \epsilon^{-n/p+2(p-1)/p})^{1/p_*} \\
 & = D_1^{1/p_*} \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{pp_*}} - \left(\frac{D_1^{1/p_*} D_2}{D_1 p_*} + o_{\epsilon, \delta}(1) \right) \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{pp_*} + \frac{2(p-1)}{p}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

If $p \geq 2n/(n+1)$ (i.e., $np + p - 2n \geq 0$) and

$$(3.24) \quad -\frac{n}{pp_*} + \frac{2(p-1)}{p} < 0,$$

we obtain from (3.22) that

$$(3.25) \quad \left(\int_{M^n} |w_\epsilon|^{p_*} \right)^{1/p_*} \geq D_1^{1/p_*} \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{pp_*}} - \left(\frac{D_1^{1/p_*} D_2}{D_1 p_*} + o_{\epsilon, \delta}(1) \right) \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{pp_*} + \frac{2(p-1)}{p}}.$$

This is the place where we need the condition $p < (1 + \sqrt{1+8n})/4$ to guarantee that (3.24) holds.

On the other hand, if the dimension $n \geq 4$, for any $p \in (n/(n-1), 2n/(n+1))$, one can check:

$$-\frac{n}{pp_*} + \frac{2(p-1)}{p} < \frac{np + p - 2n}{p} < 0.$$

From (3.22) and (3.23) we know that (3.25) still holds. From (3.25) and (3.21) one can derive that for some small enough δ and ϵ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\int_{M^n} |\nabla w_\epsilon|^p}{\left(\int_{M^n} |w_\epsilon|^{p_*} \right)^{p/p_*}} & \leq \frac{C(\delta) + E_1 \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{p}} - E_2 (1 + o_{\epsilon, \delta}(1)) \epsilon^{1-\frac{n}{p} + \frac{2(p-1)}{p}}}{D_1^{p/p_*} \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{p_*}} - \left(\frac{p D_1^{p/p_*} D_2}{p_* D_1} + o(1) \right) \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{p_*} + \frac{2(p-1)}{p}}} \\
 & < \frac{1}{k^p(n, p)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

More details about the derivation of the second inequality in the above can be found in Druet's paper [6]. We hereby complete the Proof of (1.4).

Now we return to the proof of (2.9). We use the same test function. Similar to Step 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p^*-2} u_\epsilon \\ & \leq \omega_{n-1} \int_0^\delta (\epsilon + r^{p/(p-1)})^{-(np-n+p)/p} r^{n-1} dr \\ & \leq C_1 \epsilon^{-\frac{np-n+p}{p} + \frac{n(p-1)}{p}} \cdot \int_0^{\delta \epsilon^{\frac{1-p}{p}}} (1 + \beta^{p/(p-1)})^{-(np-n+p)/p} \beta^{n-1} d\beta \\ & \leq C\epsilon^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We choose C_ϵ such that for $v_\epsilon = u_\epsilon - C_\epsilon$,

$$\int_{M^n} |v_\epsilon|^{p^*-2} v_\epsilon dv_g = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{x \in M^n : u_\epsilon \geq C_\epsilon\}} |u_\epsilon - C_\epsilon|^{p^*-2} (u_\epsilon - C_\epsilon) dv_g \\ & = - \int_{\{x \in M^n : u_\epsilon < C_\epsilon\}} |u_\epsilon - C_\epsilon|^{p^*-2} (u_\epsilon - C_\epsilon) dv_g. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $C_\epsilon \geq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (3.26) \quad \int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon - C_\epsilon|^{p^*-1} dv_g &= 2 \int_{\{x \in M^n : u_\epsilon \geq C_\epsilon\}} |u_\epsilon - C_\epsilon|^{p^*-1} dv_g \\ &\leq 2 \int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p^*-1} dv_g \leq 2C\epsilon^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

But for any fixed p_* , there are two positive constants a and b depending only on p_* such that

$$(x - 1)^{p_*-1} \geq ax^{p_*-1} - b, \quad \forall x \geq 1.$$

Applying the above in (3.26) we obtain that $C_\epsilon \leq C\epsilon^{-1/(p_*-1)}$. Using Minkowski inequality, we have

$$\left(\int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p_*} \right)^{1/p_*} - C \cdot C_\epsilon \leq \left(\int_{M^n} |v_\epsilon|^{p_*} \right)^{1/p_*} \leq \left(\int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p_*} \right)^{1/p_*} + C \cdot C_\epsilon.$$

For $p \in (1, n)$, we have $-1/(p_*-1) > -n/(pp_*)$. Similar to the computation in (3.20) we know that

$$\left(\int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p_*} \right)^{1/p_*} = (1 + o_\epsilon(1)) D_1^{1/p_*} \epsilon^{-n/(pp_*)}, \quad \forall p \in (1, n).$$

Thus $C_\epsilon = o_\epsilon(1)(\int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p_*})^{1/p_*}$, and

$$\left(\int_{M^n} |v_\epsilon|^{p_*}\right)^{1/p_*} = (1 + o_\epsilon(1)) \left(\int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p_*}\right)^{1/p_*}.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} S_\epsilon \leq S_0 \leq \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{M^n} |\nabla_g v_\epsilon|^p}{(\int_{M^n} |v_\epsilon|^{p_*})^{p/p_*}} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{M^n} |\nabla_g u_\epsilon|^p}{(\int_{M^n} |u_\epsilon|^{p_*})^{p/p_*}} \leq \frac{1}{k^p(n, p)}.$$

Acknowledgments. This paper should be a joint work with Elliott H. Lieb. Most ideas came out from some stimulative discussions with him; the author respects his decision with admiration that he will not put his name on this paper, and would like to dedicate this paper to him. The author thanks A. Baernstein and E. Hebey for some useful discussions. This work has been done while the author was visiting Princeton University, and was partially supported by the American Mathematical Society Centennial fellowship. He also would like to thank A. Chang, P. Yang and Mathematics Department of Princeton University for their hospitality during his visit.

References

- [1] T. Aubin, *Nonlinear Analysis on Manifolds. Monge-Ampere Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982, Grundlehern Math. Wiss., **252**, MR 0681859, Zbl 0512.53044.
- [2] A. Baernstein, *A unified approach to symmetrization*, in ‘Partial Differential Equations of Elliptic type. Sympos. Math. XXXV’, edited by A. Alvino, etc., Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1994, 47-91, MR 1297773, Zbl 0830.35005.
- [3] H. Brezis and E. Lieb, *A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **88(3)** (1983), 486-490, MR 0699419, Zbl 0526.46037.
- [4] B. Dacorogna, W. Gangbo and N. Subia, *Sur une généralisation de l’inégalité de Wirtinger*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **9(1)** (1992), 29-50, MR 1151466, Zbl 0764.49009.
- [5] E. DiBenedetto, *$C^{1+\alpha}$ local regularity of weak solutions of degenerate elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Anal., **7(8)** (1983), 827-850, MR 0709038, Zbl 0539.35027.
- [6] O. Druet, *Optimal Sobolev inequalities of arbitrary order on compact Riemannian manifolds*, J. Functional Analysis, **159** (1998), 217-242, MR 1654123, Zbl 0923.46035.
- [7] N. Ghoussoub, C. Gui and M. Zhu, *On a singularly perturbed Neumann problem with the critical exponent*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **26(11-12)** (2001), 1929-1946, MR 1876408, Zbl 0997.35021.
- [8] E. Hebey, *Sobolev Spaces on Riemannian Manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **1635**, Springer, 1996, MR 1481970, Zbl 0866.58068.

- [9] M. Zhu, *Sharp Poincaré-Sobolev inequalities and the shortest length of simple closed geodesics on a topological two sphere*, preprint.

Received February 6, 2003 and revised June 2, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA
NORMAN, OK 73019
E-mail address: mzhou@aftermath.math.ou.edu

