

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

INTERSECTION OF CONJUGACY CLASSES WITH  
BRUHAT CELLS IN CHEVALLEY GROUPS

ERICH W. ELLERS AND NIKOLAI GORDEEV

Volume 214 No. 2

April 2004



## INTERSECTION OF CONJUGACY CLASSES WITH BRUHAT CELLS IN CHEVALLEY GROUPS

ERICH W. ELLERS AND NIKOLAI GORDEEV

Let  $G = \tilde{G}(K)$  where  $\tilde{G}$  is a simple and simply-connected algebraic group that is defined and quasi-split over a field  $K$ . We investigate properties of intersections of Bruhat cells  $B\dot{w}B$  of  $G$  with conjugacy classes  $C$  of  $G$ , in particular, we consider the question, when is  $B\dot{w}B \cap C \neq \emptyset$ .

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $(G, B, N, S)$  be a Tits system. Some aspects of intersections of conjugacy classes of  $G$  with Bruhat cells  $B\dot{w}B$  have been investigated by several authors (see e.g., [St1], [K], [V] and [VS]). Here  $w \in W = N/(B \cap N)$  and  $\dot{w} \in N$  is a preimage of  $w$  with respect to the natural surjection  $N \rightarrow W$ . In particular, it is desirable to learn how a conjugacy class  $C$  of  $G$  is related to those conjugacy classes  $C_w$  of  $W$  for which  $B\dot{w}B \cap C \neq \emptyset$ , where  $w \in C_w$ .

Here we deal with the case where  $G$  is a Chevalley group, i.e.,  $G$  is the group of points  $\tilde{G}(K)$  of a simple algebraic group  $\tilde{G}$  that is defined and quasi-split over a field  $K$ , thus  $G$  is a proper or a twisted Chevalley group (see [St2]). Therefore, one can define a Tits system  $(G, B, N, S)$ , where  $S = \{w_{\alpha_i} \mid \alpha_i \in \Pi\}$  for a simple root system  $\Pi$  corresponding to  $G$  ([St2] and [C1]).

A crucial step to investigate intersections  $B\dot{w}B \cap C$  was done by R. Steinberg [St1] who constructed the cross-section of regular conjugacy classes in  $B\dot{w}_S B$ , where  $w_S$  is a Coxeter element of  $W$  with respect to the fixed set of generators  $S$  of  $W$ , i.e.,  $w_S$  is a product of elements in  $S$  in any order, where each  $s \in S$  occurs exactly once. The next natural step is to consider intersections of regular classes with cells of the form  $B\dot{w}w_S\dot{w}^{-1}B$ . Here we prove the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a simple and simply-connected algebraic group that is defined and quasi-split over a field  $K$  and let  $G = \tilde{G}(K)$ . Further, let  $C \subset G$  be a conjugacy class of  $G$  such that*

$$(*) \quad B\dot{w}_S B \cap C \neq \emptyset,$$

*where  $w_S$  is a Coxeter element of  $W$  with respect to  $S$ . Then  $C$  intersects all cells of the form  $B\dot{w}w_S\dot{w}^{-1}B$ , where  $w \in W$ .*

Note that the condition  $B\dot{w}_S B \cap C \neq \emptyset$  implies that every element of  $C$  is regular in  $\tilde{G}$ , except the case when  $\tilde{G}$  is not split and has the type  $A_{2l}$  ([St1, Remark 8.8]). Condition (\*) holds, for instance, for regular conjugacy classes of  $G$  in the following cases (as shown in Section 4):

- (a)  $G = SL_n(K)$ ;
- (b)  $K = \overline{K}$  (where  $\overline{K}$  is the algebraic closure of  $K$ ).

In the cases (c) to (f) below, the field  $K$  is supposed to be perfect:

- (c)  $\tilde{G}$  is split over  $K$  and  $C = \tilde{C} \cap G$  for a conjugacy class  $\tilde{C}$  of  $\tilde{G}$ ;
- (d)  $\dim K \leq 1$  and  $C$  is a semisimple class (here  $\dim K$  is the homological dimension of  $K$ );
- (e)  $\tilde{G}$  is split over  $K$ ,  $C \cap B \neq \emptyset$ , and  $C$  is a semisimple class;
- (f)  $C$  is a unipotent class,  $\text{char } K$  is not a bad prime for  $\tilde{G}$ , and if  $\tilde{G}$  is not split, then  $\tilde{G}$  is not of type  $A_{2l}$ .

Theorem 1.1 implies:

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a simple and simply-connected algebraic group that is defined and quasi-split over a field  $K$  and let  $G = \tilde{G}(K)$ . Further, let  $C \subset G$  be a regular conjugacy class of  $G$ . If one of Conditions (a) to (f) holds, then  $C$  intersects all Bruhat cells of the form  $B\dot{w}_S \dot{w}^{-1} B$ .*

**Remark.** The statement of the Corollary in Case (a) follows from the existence of a normal rational form. Case (b) follows from a much more general fact: Every regular conjugacy class of a simple algebraic group (i.e.,  $G = \tilde{G}(\overline{K})$ ) intersects all Bruhat cells (see Appendix). Also, in Case (f), if  $K$  is a finite field, then a theorem of Kawanaka [K] shows that any regular unipotent conjugacy class intersects all Bruhat cells.

Now let  $X \subset S$ ,  $W_X = \langle X \rangle$ . By  $w_X$  we denote a product (in any order) of elements of  $X$ , where each  $x \in X$  occurs exactly once, i.e.,  $w_X$  is a Coxeter element of  $W_X$  with respect to  $X$ . It is natural to consider intersections  $B\dot{w}_X \dot{w}^{-1} B \cap C$  next. In [GS] it has been shown that  $B\dot{w}_X B \cap C \neq \emptyset$  for some  $X \subset S$  if  $C$  is a semisimple class and  $K$  is a finite field. Here we prove:

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a simple and simply-connected algebraic group that is defined and quasi-split over a perfect field  $K$  such that  $\dim K \leq 1$ , and let  $G = \tilde{G}(K)$ . Further, let  $C \subset G$  be a noncentral semisimple conjugacy class of  $G$ . Then  $C$  intersects all Bruhat cells of the form  $B\dot{w}_X \dot{w}^{-1} B$  for some  $X \subset S$ ,  $X \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Remark.** This theorem generalizes Proposition 6 from [GS].

We thank the referee for drawing our attention to a result of Geck and Pfeiffer (see Proposition 3.3) which allows us to extend our results to all Chevalley groups.

**2.  $S$ -Coxeter elements in Coxeter groups.**

Let  $W$  be a finite group of orthogonal transformations of a Euclidean space  $V$  generated by reflections. Then  $W$  is a Coxeter group. Let  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_r\}$  be a Coxeter system of generators of  $W$ , i.e.,  $s_i^2 = 1$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $(s_i s_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$  is the system of basic relations for the group  $W$  (see [Bou, IV, 1]). Then every element of the form  $s_{\pi(1)} s_{\pi(2)} \dots s_{\pi(r)}$ , where  $\pi \in S_r$ , is called a Coxeter element of  $W$ . All Coxeter elements of  $W$  constructed for all possible Coxeter systems of generators are conjugate in  $W$  (see [Bou, V, 6, Proposition 1]), and if  $V^W = \{0\}$ , each Coxeter element acts on  $V \setminus \{0\}$  without fixed points ([Bou, V, 6, 2]).

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X \subset S$  and let  $W_X$  be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $X$ . Every element of  $W$  that is conjugate to a Coxeter element in  $W_X$  will be called a generalized Coxeter element of  $W$ .

**Definition 2.2.** For a fixed system  $S$  of generators the elements of the form  $s_{\pi(1)} s_{\pi(2)} \dots s_{\pi(r)}$ , where  $|S| = r$ , will be called  $S$ -Coxeter elements. If  $X \subset S$ , then  $X$ -Coxeter elements in  $W_X$  will be called generalized  $S$ -Coxeter elements of  $W$ .

Let  $l_S(w)$  be the  $S$ -length of  $w$ , i.e., the length of  $w$  with respect to  $S$ . Obviously, a Coxeter element  $w \in W$  is  $S$ -Coxeter if and only if  $l_S(w) = r$ . Below, we shall work with a fixed system  $S$  and we shall write  $l(w)$  instead of  $l_S(w)$ . We shall use the well-known fact that  $l_X(w) = l_S(w)$  for any  $w \in W_X$ .

**Example 2.3.** Let  $W = S_4$  and  $S = \{(12), (23), (34)\}$ . Then we have six Coxeter elements (4-cycles) in  $W$ . Among them there are four  $S$ -Coxeter elements:

$$(12)(23)(34), (34)(23)(12), (23)(12)(34), (12)(34)(23),$$

and two elements that are not  $S$ -Coxeter elements:

$$(23)(12)(23)(34)(23), (23)(34)(23)(12)(23).$$

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $w_1, w_2$  be two  $S$ -Coxeter elements of  $W$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n \in S$  (possibly  $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$  for  $i \neq j$ ) such that

$$w_2 = \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} \dots \sigma_1 w_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n$$

and  $l(\sigma_i \sigma_{i-1} \dots \sigma_1 w_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{i-1} \sigma_i) = r$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* See [C2, Section 10.3]. □

**3. A condition for the intersection of a conjugacy class with Bruhat cells and Gauss cells.**

We are going to use the concepts of  $S$ -ascent and  $S$ -descent and derive some of their properties. The notion of descent was introduced and considered in

[**GP**] (without the name “descent”) as a binary relation between elements of conjugacy classes of Coxeter groups. The notion of ascent is dual to that of descent.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $w_1, w_2 \in W$ . We say that there exists an  $S$ -ascent (resp.  $S$ -descent) from  $w_1$  to  $w_2$  if there is a sequence  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n \in S$  such that

$$w_2 = \sigma_n \sigma_{n-1} \dots \sigma_1 w_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & l(\sigma_i \sigma_{i-1} \dots \sigma_1 w_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_i) \\ & \geq \text{(resp. } \leq) l(\sigma_{i-1} \dots \sigma_1 w_1 \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Remark.** As before, we fix a set  $S$  of generators for  $W$ . In [**GP**] an  $S$ -descent from an element  $w \in W$  to an element  $w' \in W$  is denoted by  $w \longrightarrow w'$ . It is logical to denote an  $S$ -ascent from  $w' \in W$  to  $w \in W$  by  $w \longleftarrow w'$ .

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $C \subset W$  be a conjugacy class. We define

$$l(C) = \min \{l(w) \mid w \in C\}.$$

The following proposition is due to M. Geck and G. Pfeifer ([**GP**, Theorem 3.2.9.(a)]):

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $C \subset W = W(R)$  be a conjugacy class. Then for every  $w \in C$  there exists an  $S$ -descent to an element  $w' \in C$  such that  $l(w') = l(C)$ .*

Let  $G$  be a Chevalley group (proper or twisted) corresponding to a root system  $R$  in the sense of [**St2**]. We fix a simple root system  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  and a corresponding Borel subgroup  $B = HU$ . Let  $W = W(R)$  be the Weyl group of  $G$  and  $S = \{w_{\alpha_1}, \dots, w_{\alpha_r}\}$  the corresponding Coxeter system of generators. By  $X_\alpha$  we denote below a root subgroup of  $G$  (see [**St2**]).

The meaning of Definition 3.1 becomes clear from the following:

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $g \in B\dot{w}B$  (resp.  $g \in B^- \dot{w}B$ ) and let  $w' \in W$  be an element that is conjugate to  $w$ . If there exists an  $S$ -ascent (resp.  $S$ -descent) from  $w$  to  $w'$ , then there exists an element  $g' \in Bw'B$  (resp.  $B^- \dot{w}'B$ ) that is conjugate to  $g$ .*

*Proof.* We shall use the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $w \in W$ . Suppose*

$$w(\alpha_i) < 0, \text{ and } w^{-1}(\alpha_i) < 0$$

*for some  $\alpha_i \in \Pi$ . Then either  $w = w_{\alpha_i} w' w_{\alpha_i}$ , where  $l(w') = l(w) - 2$ , or  $w = w_{\alpha_i} w' = w' w_{\alpha_i}$ , where  $l(w') = l(w) - 1$ .*

*Proof.* The assumption  $w^{-1}(\alpha_i) < 0$  implies

$$w = w_{\alpha_i} w_1,$$

where  $l(w_1) = l(w) - 1$  ([C2, Section 2.2]). Suppose  $w_1(\alpha_i) = \beta > 0$ . Since  $w(\alpha_i) = w_{\alpha_i}(\beta) < 0$ , we have  $\beta = \alpha_i$  and we have the second possibility. Now let  $w_1(\alpha_i) < 0$ . Then  $w_1 = w' w_{\alpha_i}$  where  $l(w') = l(w_1) - 1$  and we have the first possibility.  $\square$

First, let  $g \in B\dot{w}B$ , then  $g = b_1\dot{w}b_2$ . We may assume  $b_1 = 1$  and  $b_2 = u \in U$ . Also, it is sufficient to prove the assertion for an  $S$ -ascent of one step, i.e.,  $w' = w_\alpha w w_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . We can write  $u = u_\alpha v$ , where  $u_\alpha$  is a root subgroup element corresponding to  $\alpha$  and where  $v \in U$  is an element that has no  $\alpha$ -factors in any decomposition into positive root subgroup elements.

If  $u_\alpha = 1$ , then  $u' = \dot{w}_\alpha u \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} \in U$  and

$$g' = \dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} = (\dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1})(\dot{w}_\alpha u \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}) = \dot{w}' u' \in B\dot{w}'B.$$

Let  $u_\alpha \neq 1$ . Suppose  $\beta = w(\alpha) > 0$ . We may assume  $\beta \neq \alpha$  (otherwise  $w' = w_\alpha w w_\alpha^{-1} = w$ ). We have  $g = \dot{w} u_\alpha \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w} v = u_\beta \dot{w} v$ . Now we can consider the element  $u_\beta^{-1} g u_\beta$  instead of  $g$  which satisfies the previous condition  $u_\alpha = 1$ .

Suppose  $\beta = w(\alpha) < 0$  and  $\gamma = w^{-1}(\alpha) > 0$ . We have  $g = \dot{w} u_\alpha v = \dot{w} u_\alpha v u_\alpha^{-1} u_\alpha$ . Note that  $v' = u_\alpha v u_\alpha^{-1}$  has no factors corresponding to  $\alpha$ . Consider now the element  $\tilde{g} = u_\alpha g u_\alpha^{-1}$  instead of  $g$ . We have  $\tilde{g} = u_\alpha \dot{w} v' = \dot{w} \dot{w}^{-1} u_\alpha \dot{w} v' = \dot{w} u_\gamma v'$ , an element which also satisfies the condition  $u_\alpha = 1$ .

Now let  $\beta = w(\alpha) < 0$ ,  $\gamma = w^{-1}(\alpha) < 0$ . Then, by Lemma 3.5, either  $w_\alpha w w_\alpha = w$  and, therefore, there is nothing to prove, or  $l(w_\alpha w w_\alpha) < l(w)$  which contradicts our assumption.

Second, let  $g \in B^{-}\dot{w}B$ . We may assume  $g = v v_\alpha \dot{w} u_\alpha u$ , where  $v \in U^{-}$ ,  $v_\alpha \in X_{-\alpha}$ ,  $u_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ ,  $u \in U$  and the elements  $v, u$  have no factors from the group  $X_{\pm\alpha}$ . Note,  $\dot{w}_\alpha v \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} \in U^{-}$ ,  $\dot{w}_\alpha u \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} \in U$  (because  $\alpha$  is a simple root). Thus, if  $v_\alpha = u_\alpha = 1$ , then  $\dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} \in B^{-}\dot{w}'B$ . Now put  $\beta = w(\alpha)$ ,  $\gamma = w^{-1}(\alpha)$ . If  $\beta < 0$ ,  $\gamma < 0$ , we have  $g = v v_\alpha \dot{w} u_\alpha \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w} u = v v_\alpha v_\beta \dot{w} u = v v_\alpha v_\beta v_\alpha^{-1} v_\alpha \dot{w} u = v(v_\alpha v_\beta v_\alpha^{-1}) \dot{w} u_\gamma u$ , where  $v_\beta = \dot{w} u_\alpha \dot{w}^{-1} \in X_\beta$ ,  $u_\gamma = \dot{w}^{-1} v_\alpha \dot{w} \in X_{-\gamma}$ . We may assume  $\beta, \gamma \neq -\alpha$  (otherwise we have  $w_\alpha w w_\alpha = w$ ). Thus the elements  $v(v_\alpha v_\beta v_\alpha^{-1})$ ,  $u_\gamma u$  have no factors from  $X_{\pm\alpha}$  and we are in the preceding case.

Let  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\gamma < 0$ . Then  $g = v v_\alpha u_\beta \dot{w} u = v_\alpha v' \dot{w} u$ , where the element  $v' \in U^{-}$  has no factor from  $X_{-\alpha}$ . Put  $u_\alpha = \dot{w}_\alpha v_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}$ . Then  $\dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} = u_\alpha v'' \dot{w}' u'$  for some  $v'' \in U^{-}$ ,  $u' \in U$ . Thus  $u_\alpha^{-1} \dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} u_\alpha \in B^{-}\dot{w}'B$ .

The case  $\beta < 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$  is similar to the preceding one.

Let  $\beta > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 0$ . Again, as above, we may assume  $\beta, \gamma \neq \alpha$ . Thus by Lemma 3.5, we have  $l(w') = l(w_\alpha w w_\alpha) = l(w) + 2$  which contradicts our assumption.  $\square$

**Example 3.6.** Let  $G = SL_3(K)$  and let

$$\dot{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{w}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $g$  be a semisimple element of  $G$  that has no eigenvalues in  $K$ . Then  $g$  is a regular element and therefore its conjugacy class  $C_g$  intersects the big Bruhat cell  $B\dot{w}B$  (see [EGH, Lemma 4]). But  $C_g \cap B\dot{w}'B = \emptyset$  because every element of the form  $b_1\dot{w}'b_2$  is conjugate to an element of the form

$$\dot{w}'b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ -a_{11} & -a_{12} & -a_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} \end{pmatrix}$$

which has an eigenvalue  $a_{33} \in K$ . Note that here  $S = \{w_{12}, w_{23}\}$  (where  $w_{ij}$  is the matrix in which the  $i$ th and  $j$ th elements of the standard basis are interchanged) and  $l(w) = 3, l(w') = l(w_{12}) = 1$ .

**Example 3.7.** Let  $G = SL_4(K)$  and let

$$\dot{w} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \dot{w}' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here  $S = \{w_{12}, w_{23}, w_{34}\}$  and  $\dot{w} = \dot{w}_{12}\dot{w}_{34}$  is a generalized  $S$ -Coxeter element. Thus every noncentral conjugacy class of  $G$  intersects  $B^-\dot{w}B$  ([EG]). In particular, one can find a transvection  $g \in B^-\dot{w}B$ . But there are no transvections in  $B^-\dot{w}'B$  because  $B^-\dot{w}'B = \dot{w}'B\dot{w}'^{-1}\dot{w}'B = \dot{w}'B$ , and every matrix  $x \in \dot{w}'B$  satisfies the condition  $\text{rank}(x - 1) \geq 2$ .

The examples above show that if there is no  $S$ -ascent (resp.  $S$ -descent) from  $w \in W$  to its conjugate  $w' \in W$ , the condition  $C \cap B\dot{w}B \neq \emptyset$  (resp.  $C \cap B^-\dot{w}B \neq \emptyset$ ) for a conjugacy class  $C \in G$  does not necessarily imply  $C \cap B\dot{w}'B \neq \emptyset$  (resp.  $C \cap B^-\dot{w}'B \neq \emptyset$ ).

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $g \in B\dot{w}B$  (resp.  $g \in B^-\dot{w}B$ ). Suppose  $l(w_\alpha w w_\alpha) = l(w) - 2$  (resp.  $l(w_\alpha w w_\alpha) = l(w) + 2$ ). Then the conjugacy class  $C_g$  of  $g$  intersects either  $B\dot{w}_\alpha\dot{w}w_\alpha^{-1}B$  (resp.  $B^-\dot{w}_\alpha\dot{w}w_\alpha^{-1}B$ ) or  $B\dot{w}_\alpha\dot{w}B$  and  $B\dot{w}w_\alpha B$  (resp.  $B^-\dot{w}_\alpha\dot{w}B$  and  $B^-\dot{w}w_\alpha B$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $g \in B\dot{w}B$ . We may assume, as in the proof of Proposition 3.4, that  $g = \dot{w}u_\alpha u$  and  $w = w_\alpha w_1 w_\alpha$ , where  $l(w_1) = l(w) - 2$ . Moreover,  $\beta = w_1(\alpha) > 0, \gamma = w_1^{-1}(\alpha) > 0$ , and  $w_1(\alpha), w_1^{-1}(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ . If  $u_\alpha = 1$ , then  $\dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} \in B\dot{w}_1 B$ . Suppose  $u_\alpha \neq 1$ . Put  $u_{-\alpha} = \dot{w}_\alpha u_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}$ . There exists  $u'_\alpha \in X_\alpha$  (here  $X_\alpha$  is the corresponding root subgroup) such that  $u'_\alpha u_{-\alpha} = \dot{w}_\alpha u''_\alpha$  for some  $u''_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ . Further,  $g_1 = \dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} = \dot{w}_1 u_{-\alpha} u'$  for some  $u' \in U$ . Put  $u_\beta = \dot{w}_1 u'_\alpha \dot{w}_1^{-1}$  (recall  $\beta = w_1(\alpha) > 0$ ). Then

$g_2 = u_\beta g_1 u_\beta^{-1} = \dot{w}_1 u'_\alpha \dot{w}_1^{-1} \dot{w}_1 u_{-\alpha} u' u_\beta^{-1} = \dot{w}_1 \dot{w}_\alpha u''_\alpha u' u_\beta^{-1} \in B \dot{w}_1 \dot{w}_\alpha B$ . Since  $l(w_1 w_\alpha) = l(w_\alpha w_1)$ , we also can find an element in  $C_g \cap B \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w}_1 B$  (by Proposition 3.4).

Now let  $g \in B^- \dot{w} B$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.4 we may assume  $g = v v_\alpha \dot{w} u_\alpha u$ ,  $\alpha \neq w(\alpha) > 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq w^{-1}(\alpha) > 0$ . If  $v_\alpha = u_\alpha = 1$ , then  $\dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} \in B^- \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} B$ . Let  $v_\alpha = 1$ ,  $u_\alpha \neq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &= \dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} = (\dot{w}_\alpha v \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1})(\dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1})(\dot{w}_\alpha u_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1})(\dot{w}_\alpha u \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}) \\ &= v' \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} u_{-\alpha} u', \end{aligned}$$

where  $v' \in U^-$ ,  $u' \in U$ ,  $u_{-\alpha} \in X_{-\alpha}$ . Moreover, the element  $u'$  has no factors in  $X_\alpha$ . Further,  $u_{-\alpha} g_1 u_{-\alpha}^{-1} = u_{-\alpha} v' \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} u_{-\alpha} u' u_{-\alpha}^{-1}$ . Since  $u_{-\alpha} u' u_{-\alpha}^{-1} \in U$ , we have  $u_{-\alpha} g_1 u_{-\alpha}^{-1} \in B^- \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} B$ . Similar considerations work in the case  $v_\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $u_\alpha = 1$ .

Let  $v_\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $u_\alpha \neq 1$ . Put  $u'_\alpha = \dot{w}_\alpha v_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}$ ,  $v'_\alpha = \dot{w}_\alpha u_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}$ ,  $v' = \dot{w}_\alpha v \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}$ ,  $u' = \dot{w}_\alpha u \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}$ . Then

$$g_1 = \dot{w}_\alpha g \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} = v' u'_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} v'_\alpha u' = v' u'_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} (v'_\alpha u' v_{\alpha'}^{-1}) v'_\alpha.$$

Put  $u'' = v'_\alpha u' v_{\alpha'}^{-1}$ ,  $v'' = v'_\alpha v'$ . Then  $g_2 = v'_\alpha g_1 v_{\alpha'}^{-1} = v'' u'_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} u''$ . Further,  $u'_\alpha \dot{w}_\alpha = x_{-\alpha} x_\alpha$  for some  $x_{-\alpha} \in X_{-\alpha}$ ,  $x_\alpha \in X_\alpha$ . Hence

$$g_2 = v'' x_{-\alpha} x_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} u'' = v'' x_{-\alpha} \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} (\dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w}^{-1} x_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1}) u''.$$

Since  $w^{-1}(\alpha) > 0$  and  $w^{-1}(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ , we get  $\dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w}^{-1} x_\alpha \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha^{-1} \in U$  and therefore  $g_2 \in B^- \dot{w} \dot{w}_\alpha B$ . From Proposition 3.4 we get  $C_g \cap B^- \dot{w}_\alpha \dot{w} B \neq \emptyset$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Proofs of the Theorems.

Here  $\tilde{G}$  is a simple algebraic group defined and quasi-split over a field  $K$ ,  $\tilde{B} = \tilde{T} \tilde{U}$  is a Borel subgroup defined over  $K$ ,  $\tilde{N} = N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{T})$ ,  $\tilde{W} = \tilde{N}/\tilde{T}$  and  $G = \tilde{G}(K)$ ,  $B = \tilde{B}(K)$ ,  $T = \tilde{T}(K)$ ,  $U = \tilde{U}(K)$ ,  $N = \tilde{N}(K)$ ,  $W = N/T$ . Further, let  $\tilde{\Pi} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\}$  be a simple root system of  $\tilde{G}$  and  $\Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\}$  be a simple root system (in the sense of [C2]) for  $G$  (which is obtained from  $\tilde{\Pi}$  by gluing of some roots).

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Assume that Condition (\*) of Theorem 1.1 holds. Further let  $C_c \subset W$  be the conjugacy class of Coxeter elements and let  $\omega \in C_c$ . By Proposition 3.3 there exists an  $S$ -descent from  $\omega$  to an element  $\omega' \in C_c$  such that  $l(\omega') = l(C_c) = r$ . Since among the factors of  $\omega'$  there are all reflections  $w_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $\alpha_i \in \Pi$ , the element  $\omega'$  is an  $S$ -Coxeter element. By Lemma 2.4 we have an  $S$ -ascent from  $w_S$  (recall that  $w_S$  is the Coxeter element from Condition (\*)) to  $\omega'$  and, therefore, we have an  $S$ -ascent from  $w_S$  to  $\omega$ . Now our statement follows from Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

*Condition (\*).* Below,  $K$  is a perfect field.

We need the following simple (and known) facts:

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\tilde{C}$  be a conjugacy class of  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $C = \tilde{C} \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . Further, let  $g \in C$ . If  $H^1(K, C_{\tilde{G}}(g)) = 1$  then  $C$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$  (here  $C_{\tilde{G}}(g)$  is the centralizer of  $g$  in  $\tilde{G}$ ).*

*Proof.* The argument here is the same as in ([C2, Proposition 3.7.3]). Indeed, if  $g' \in C$ , then there exists an element  $\gamma \in \tilde{G}$  such that  $g' = \gamma g \gamma^{-1}$ . Thus, for every element  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  of the Galois group we have

$$\sigma(\gamma)g\sigma(\gamma^{-1}) = \gamma g \gamma^{-1}$$

and therefore  $x_\sigma = \gamma^{-1}\sigma(\gamma) \in C_{\tilde{G}}(g)$ . Since  $x_\sigma$  is a 1-cocycle, we have  $x_\sigma = y\sigma(y^{-1})$  for some  $y \in C_{\tilde{G}}$  and therefore  $\sigma(\gamma y) = \gamma y$  for every  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ . Thus,  $\gamma y \in G$  and  $g' = \gamma y g y^{-1} \gamma^{-1}$ . □

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $\tilde{C}$  be a semisimple conjugacy class of  $\tilde{G}$  and let  $C = \tilde{C} \cap G \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\dim K \leq 1$ , then  $C$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\tilde{G}$  is simply-connected,  $C_{\tilde{G}}(s)$  is a connected reductive group for  $s \in \tilde{C} \cap G$  ([C2, Theorem 3.5.6]) and therefore  $H^1(K, C_{\tilde{G}}(s)) = 1$  ([St1, 11.2]). Now the assertion follows from Lemma 4.1. □

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $C$  be the same as in the preceding lemma. Suppose that  $\tilde{G}$  is split and  $\tilde{C}$  is a regular semisimple class such that  $\tilde{C} \cap T \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $C$  is a conjugacy class of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* If  $s \in \tilde{C} \cap T$ , then  $C_{\tilde{G}}(s) = \tilde{T}$  is a  $K$ -split torus and therefore  $H^1(K, C_{\tilde{G}}(s)) = 1$  ([Sp, 12.3.5.(3)]). Now the assertion follows from Lemma 4.1. □

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $u_1, u_2 \in G$  be two regular unipotent elements of  $\tilde{G}$ . Assume that  $\text{char } K$  is not a bad prime for  $\tilde{G}$ . Then there exist elements  $t \in \tilde{T}$  and  $\gamma \in G$  such that  $u_1 = t\gamma u_2 \gamma^{-1} t^{-1}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{G} = \tilde{G}/Z(\tilde{G})$ ,  $\bar{T} = \tilde{T}/Z(\tilde{G})$ . Then  $\bar{G}$  is defined and quasi-split over  $K$  and  $Z(\bar{G}) = 1$ . Further, let  $u \in \tilde{G}$  be a regular unipotent element and let  $\bar{u}$  be its image in  $\bar{G}$ . The  $\text{char } K$  is not a bad prime for  $\bar{G}$ , thus  $V = C_{\bar{G}}(\bar{u})$  is a connected unipotent subgroup of  $\bar{G}$  ([C2, Proposition 5.1.6]) which is defined and split over  $K$  ([Sp, 14.3.8]) and therefore  $H^1(K, V) = 1$  ([Sp, 12.3.5.(3)]). Hence any two regular unipotent elements of  $\bar{G}(K)$  are conjugate (Lemma 4.1). If  $\bar{G}_1(K) \leq \bar{G}(K)$  is a subgroup generated by unipotent elements of  $\bar{G}$ , then it is a normal subgroup and  $\bar{G}(K) = \bar{G}_1(K)\bar{T}(K)$  (this follows from the Bruhat decomposition). Now let  $\bar{u}_1, \bar{u}_2 \in \bar{G}(K)$  be images of regular unipotent elements  $u_1, u_2 \in G$ . Then there exist elements  $\bar{\gamma} \in \bar{G}_1(K)$ ,  $\bar{t} \in \bar{T}(K)$  such that  $\bar{u}_1 = \bar{t}\bar{\gamma}\bar{u}_2\bar{\gamma}^{-1}\bar{t}^{-1}$ . If  $\gamma \in \tilde{G}(K) = G$ ,  $t \in \tilde{T}$  are preimages of  $\bar{\gamma}, \bar{t}$ , then  $u_1 \equiv t\gamma u_2 \gamma^{-1} t^{-1} \pmod{Z(\tilde{G})}$ . Since  $u_1, u_2$  are both unipotent elements, we have  $u_1 = t\gamma u_2 \gamma^{-1} t^{-1}$ . □

Now we check Condition (\*) for (a) to (f):

(a) If  $G = SL_n(K)$ , Condition (\*) is an immediate consequence of the representation of elements of  $GL_n(K)$  in rational canonical form.

(b) Consider the case where  $K$  is an algebraically closed field. According to Steinberg’s theorem ([St1, 1.4]), the set

$$\mathfrak{N} = \dot{w}_{\gamma_1} X_{\gamma_1} \dot{w}_{\gamma_2} X_{\gamma_2} \dots \dot{w}_{\gamma_s} X_{\gamma_s}$$

is a cross-section of all regular conjugacy classes of the group  $\tilde{G}$ , where  $\dot{w}_{\gamma_1}, \dots, \dot{w}_{\gamma_s}$  is any fixed system of preimages of the basic reflections  $w_{\gamma_1}, \dots, w_{\gamma_s}$  in any fixed order (here  $X_{\gamma_i}$  is the corresponding root subgroup). Moreover, we can rewrite  $\mathfrak{N}$  in the form

$$\mathfrak{N} = \dot{w}_{\gamma_1} \dot{w}_{\gamma_2} \dots \dot{w}_{\gamma_s} X_{\theta_1} X_{\theta_2} \dots X_{\theta_s},$$

where  $\theta_i = w_{\gamma_s} \dots w_{\gamma_{i+2}} w_{\gamma_{i+1}}(\gamma_i) > 0$ . Since  $K$  is an algebraically closed field,  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\} = \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s\}$  and any element in the intersection  $C \cap \tilde{N}(K)$  lies in the  $S$ -Coxeter cell  $B\dot{w}_{\alpha_1} \dot{w}_{\alpha_2} \dots \dot{w}_{\alpha_r} B$ . This proves (\*).

(c) If  $\tilde{G}$  is split over  $K$ , the closed subset  $\mathfrak{N}$  (defined above) of  $\tilde{G}$  is defined over  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{N} \cap \tilde{C} \in G$  ([St1, Section 9]).

(d) There exists a closed subset  $\mathfrak{N}'$  of  $\mathfrak{N}$  which is defined over  $K$  and such that every regular semisimple conjugacy class  $\tilde{C}$  of  $\tilde{G}$  intersects  $\mathfrak{N}'$  in just one point (and this point belongs to  $G$  if  $\tilde{C} \cap G \neq \emptyset$ ) ([St1, 9.11]). Since  $\mathfrak{N} \subset B\dot{w}_S B$  for some  $S$ -Coxeter element  $w_S$ , the assertion follows from Lemma 4.2.

(e) We may use the same argument as in (d), and Lemma 4.3.

(f) If  $\tilde{G}$  is split or  $\tilde{G}$  is not of type  $A_{2l}$ , the cross-section of regular classes  $\mathfrak{N}$  is defined over  $K$  and for the conjugacy class of regular unipotent elements  $\tilde{C}$  we have  $u = \tilde{C} \cap \mathfrak{N} \in B\dot{w}_S B$ , where  $\dot{w}_S \in N$  for some  $S$ -Coxeter element  $w_S$  in  $W$  ([St1, Section 9]). Now let  $u' \in \tilde{C} \cap G$ . By Lemma 4.4 we have  $t\gamma u' \gamma^{-1} t^{-1} = u = u_1 \dot{w}_S b_1$  for some  $t \in \tilde{T}, \gamma \in G$  and  $u_1 \in U, b_1 \in B$ . Hence  $u'' = \gamma u' \gamma^{-1} = (t^{-1} u_1 t)(t^{-1} \dot{w}_S t)(t^{-1} b_1 t)$ . Thus  $u'' \in \tilde{B} \dot{w}_S \tilde{B}$ . But  $u'' \in G$  and, therefore,  $u'' \in B\dot{w} B$  for some  $\dot{w} \in N$ . Since  $B\dot{w} B \subset \tilde{B} \dot{w} \tilde{B}$ , we have  $w = w_S$ . This implies that the conjugacy class  $C$  of  $u'$  in  $G$  has a nontrivial intersection with  $B\dot{w}_S B$ , where  $\dot{w}_S \in N$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.*

Below,  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  is a connected reductive algebraic group defined over a perfect field  $K$  such that  $\dim K \leq 1$ .

**Lemma 4.5.** *Let  $\tilde{P} = \tilde{L}R_u(\tilde{P})$  be a parabolic subgroup of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  defined over  $K$ . Let  $\tilde{L}$  be a fixed Levi factor (defined over  $K$ ) and let  $R_u(\tilde{P})$  be the unipotent radical of  $\tilde{P}$ . Further, let  $s \in \tilde{P}(K)$ ,  $s = lu$ , where  $l \in \tilde{L}$  and  $u \in R_u(\tilde{P})$ .*

If  $s \in \tilde{\Gamma}(K)$ , then  $l \in \tilde{L}(K)$  and  $u \in R_u(\tilde{P})(K)$ . If, in addition,  $s$  is a semisimple element, then  $s$  is conjugate to  $l$  in  $\tilde{P}$ .

*Proof.* The first assertion follows from the uniqueness of the decomposition  $lu$ .

Further, if  $s$  is semisimple, it is contained in a maximal torus in  $\tilde{P}$  which is contained in a Levi subgroup  $L'$ . ([**Sp**, 8.4.4]). Since all Levi subgroups are conjugate in  $\tilde{P}$  ([**Sp**, 16.1.1]) by elements of  $\tilde{P}$ , one can find an element  $p = l_1 u_1 \in \tilde{P}$  where  $l_1 \in \tilde{L}$ ,  $u_1 \in R_u(\tilde{P})$  such that  $psp^{-1} \in \tilde{L}$ . Then  $l_1^{-1} p s p^{-1} l_1 = u_1 s u_1^{-1} = l(l^{-1} u_1 l) u u_1^{-1} \in \tilde{L}$ . Hence  $(l^{-1} u_1 l) u u_1^{-1} = 1$  (because  $(l^{-1} u_1 l) u u_1^{-1} \in R_u(\tilde{P})$ ) and therefore  $l_1^{-1} p s p^{-1} l_1 = l$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $s \in \tilde{\Gamma}(K)$  be a semisimple element of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  such that  $C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(s)^0$  is not a torus. Then there exists a parabolic subgroup  $\tilde{P}$  of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  defined over  $K$  such that  $s \in \tilde{P}$ .*

*Proof.* The group  $C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(s)^0$  is defined over  $K$  ([**Sp**, 12.1.4]). Further, the condition  $\dim K \leq 1$  implies that there exists a Borel subgroup  $\tilde{B}_s$  of  $C_{\tilde{G}}(s)^0$  which is also defined over  $K$  ([**St1**, 10.2]). Since  $C_{\tilde{\Gamma}}(s)^0$  is not a torus, the unipotent radical  $R_u(\tilde{B}_s)$  is not trivial. The group  $\tilde{U}_1 = R_u(\tilde{B}_s)$  is also defined over  $K$  ([**Sp**, 14.4.5(v)]). Further, let

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{N}_1 &= N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{U}_1), \quad \tilde{U}_2 = \tilde{U}_1 \cdot R_u(\tilde{N}_1), \quad \tilde{N}_2 = N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{U}_2), \dots, \\ \tilde{U}_i &= \tilde{U}_{i-1} \cdot R_u(\tilde{N}_{i-1}), \quad \tilde{N}_i = N_{\tilde{G}}(\tilde{U}_i), \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then all members of (1) are closed subgroups of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  and  $\tilde{U}_k = \tilde{U}_{k+1}$ ,  $\tilde{N}_k = \tilde{N}_{k+1}$  for some positive integer  $k$  ([**Hu**, 30.3]). Further, all groups in (1) are defined over  $K$ ; indeed, the field  $K$  is perfect and all groups are defined as normalizers of  $K$ -defined groups, their unipotent radicals, and the images of  $K$ -defined groups with respect to maps  $\tilde{U}_{i-1} \times R_u(\tilde{N}_{i-1}) \rightarrow \tilde{U}_{i-1} \cdot R_u(\tilde{N}_{i-1})$ , induced by multiplication in  $\tilde{G}$ . Since  $\tilde{U}_1$  is connected, the last member  $\tilde{N}_k$  of this sequence is a parabolic subgroup of  $\tilde{\Gamma}$  ([**Hu**, 30.3]). From the definitions we have  $s \in \tilde{N}_1 \leq \tilde{N}_k$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove Theorem 1.3. Let  $s \in G$  be a noncentral semisimple element. We may assume that  $s$  is not a regular element of  $\tilde{G}$  (otherwise the statement follows from Theorem 1.1 and Property (d)). By Lemma 4.6 we have  $s \in \tilde{P}$  for some parabolic subgroup defined over  $K$ . Since  $g\tilde{P}g^{-1} = \tilde{P}_I$  for some standard parabolic subgroup  $\tilde{P}_I$  and  $g \in G$  ([**Sp**, 15.4.6]), we may assume  $s \in \tilde{P}_I$ , where  $I \subset \tilde{\Pi}$  is a  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -invariant subset (note that the group  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$  acts on  $\tilde{\Pi}$  by permutation and the orbits of this action

correspond to  $\Pi$ ; see [St1, Section 9]). Let  $\tilde{L}_I = \tilde{T}\tilde{G}_I$ , where  $\tilde{G}_I = \langle X_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \langle I \rangle \rangle$ . Then  $\tilde{L}_I$  is a  $K$ -defined Levi factor of  $\tilde{P}_I$ .

By Lemmas 4.5 and 4.2 we may assume  $s \in \tilde{L}_I$ . (Indeed, by Lemma 4.5 we have an element  $l \in \tilde{L}_I(K)$  which is conjugate to  $s$  in  $\tilde{P}_I$ . By Lemma 4.2 the elements  $s, l$  are conjugate by an element of the group  $G$ . Hence we may take the element  $l \in C$  instead of  $s$ .)

Again by Lemma 4.6 we may assume that  $C_{\tilde{L}_I}(s)^0 = \tilde{T}'$ , where  $\tilde{T}'$  is a maximal torus of  $\tilde{L}_I$  defined over  $K$  (otherwise, we can take a smaller set  $I$  using the same procedure as above). Note that the derived subgroup  $\tilde{L}_I$  is equal to  $\tilde{G}_I$  and therefore is a simply-connected semisimple group (because  $\tilde{G}$  is simply-connected). Hence  $C_{\tilde{L}_I}(s)^0 = C_{\tilde{L}_I}(s)$  ([C2, Theorem 3.5.6]) and thus

$$(2) \quad C_{\tilde{L}_I}(s) = \tilde{T}'.$$

Further, if  $I = \emptyset$  we have  $\tilde{P}_I = \tilde{B}$  and  $\tilde{T}' = \tilde{T}$ . Hence  $s \in \tilde{T}(K) = T$ . Since  $s$  is a noncentral element of  $G$ , there exists a root  $\alpha \in \Pi$  such that  $s$  is not in the center of the group  $T\tilde{G}_\alpha(K)$  (here,  $\tilde{G}_\alpha = \langle X_\beta \mid \beta \in \langle I_\alpha \rangle \rangle$  where  $I_\alpha \subset \tilde{\Pi}$  is the  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -orbit of  $\alpha$ ). Since the Borel subgroup  $B_\alpha$  of  $T\tilde{G}_\alpha(K)$  (with respect to  $T$ ) is not a normal subgroup, one can find an element  $\gamma \in T\tilde{G}_\alpha(K)$  such that  $\gamma s \gamma^{-1} = \dot{w}_\alpha b$ , where  $w_\alpha \in W$  is the corresponding reflection and  $b \in B_\alpha$ . Hence  $C \cap B\dot{w}_\alpha B \neq \emptyset$ . Further, let  $\omega \in W$ . Then  $\omega w_\alpha \omega^{-1} = w_\beta$ , where  $\beta = \omega(\alpha)$ . Let  $\dot{\omega}, \dot{w}_\beta$  be preimages of  $\omega, w_\beta$  in the group  $N$ . Then  $\dot{\omega} T\tilde{G}_\alpha(K) \dot{\omega}^{-1} = T\tilde{G}_\beta(K)$ . The element  $s' = \dot{\omega} s \dot{\omega}^{-1}$  is not a central element in  $T\tilde{G}_\beta(K)$ . Now, as above, we have  $\gamma' s' \gamma'^{-1} \in B\dot{w}_\beta B$  for some  $\gamma' \in T\tilde{G}_\beta(K)$ . Thus, if  $I = \emptyset$ , the assertion of the theorem holds for  $X = \{\alpha\}$ .

Now we may assume that  $I \neq \emptyset$  and Condition (2) holds.

We have  $s = tg$ ,  $t \in \tilde{T} \cap C_{\tilde{L}_I}(\tilde{G}_I)$ , and  $g \in \tilde{G}_I$  ([Hu, 27.5]). Note that the elements  $t$  and  $g$  do not necessarily belong to  $G$  but  $t, g \in \tilde{L}_I(K')$  for some extension  $K'/K$ . The element  $s \in G$  is  $\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$ -invariant and  $t \in Z(\tilde{L}_I)$ . Hence  $g = h_1 g_1$ , where  $h_1 \in \tilde{T}(K')$ ,  $g_1 \in \tilde{G}_I(K)$  (this follows from the Bruhat decomposition of  $g$ ). Further, (2) implies that  $g$  is a regular element of  $\tilde{G}_I$ . If  $\mathfrak{N}'$  is a cross-section (defined over  $K$ ) of regular semisimple conjugacy classes of  $\tilde{G}_I$  ([St1, Section 9]) then  $h_1 \mathfrak{N}'$  is also a cross-section (defined over  $K'$ ) of regular semisimple conjugacy classes of  $\tilde{G}_I$ . Hence the conjugacy class  $C_g$  of  $g$  in  $\tilde{G}_I$  intersects  $h_1 \mathfrak{N}'$  in just one point. Thus the conjugacy class  $C_s = tC_g$  of  $s$  in  $\tilde{L}_I$  intersects  $th_1 \mathfrak{N}'$  also in one point  $x$  (recall,  $t \in Z(\tilde{L}_I)$ ). Since the conjugacy class  $C_s$  is defined over  $K$  and the closed subset  $th_1 \mathfrak{N}'$  is also defined over  $K$  (because  $th_1 = sg_1^{-1} \in \tilde{L}_I(K)$ ),

the point  $x$  is  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant and therefore it belongs to  $L_I(K)$ . Since  $s, x \in L_I(K) \leq G$  are conjugate in  $L_I$  (and therefore in  $\tilde{G}$ ), we have  $x = \sigma s \sigma^{-1}$  for some  $\sigma \in G$  (Lemma 4.2). Further,

$$(3) \quad th_1 \mathfrak{R}' \subset \left( \prod_{\alpha \in X} \dot{w}_\alpha \right) \tilde{U},$$

where  $X \subset \Pi$  is the set of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbits of  $I \subset \tilde{\Pi}$  and  $w_\alpha$  in (3) is the product of basic reflections  $w_\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  runs through the orbit corresponding to  $\alpha$  or  $w_\alpha = w_{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$  if such orbit consists of two roots  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2$  such that  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2$  is a root (see [St1, Section 9]). From (3) we obtain

$$(4) \quad x = \sigma s \sigma^{-1} \in \tilde{B} \prod_{\alpha \in X} \dot{w}_\alpha \tilde{B}.$$

Since  $x \in G$ , we have

$$(5) \quad x = \sigma s \sigma^{-1} \in B \dot{w} B$$

for some  $w \in W$ . But

$$(6) \quad B \dot{w} B \subset \tilde{B} \dot{w} \tilde{B}.$$

From (4), (5), (6) we get

$$(7) \quad w = \prod_{\alpha \in X} w_\alpha,$$

i.e.,  $w$  is a generalized  $S$ -Coxeter element of  $W$ . Now (5) and (7) imply that the conjugacy class of  $s$  in  $G$  intersects  $B \dot{w} B$  for some generalized  $S$ -Coxeter element  $w$  of  $W$ .

Suppose that  $w' = \omega w \omega^{-1}$  is also an  $S$ -Coxeter element of  $W$  for some  $\omega \in W$ . Then  $w' = \prod_{\alpha \in Y} w_\alpha$  for some  $Y \subset \Pi$ ,  $|Y| = |X|$ . Let  $X' = \{\omega(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in X\}$ . Then

$$w' = \prod_{\alpha \in Y} w_\alpha = \prod_{\beta \in X'} w_\beta.$$

The element  $w'$  is a Coxeter element of the root systems generated by  $Y$  and  $X'$ . It acts without fixed points on the vector space (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) generated by  $Y$  and on the vector space generated by  $X'$ . Moreover,  $l(w') = |Y| = |X'|$ . Hence the vector spaces (over  $\mathbb{R}$ ) generated by  $Y$  and  $X'$  coincide (it is the  $\langle w' \rangle$ -complement to the vector space of  $w'$ -invariant vectors). Since  $X$  is a simple root system for the root system  $\langle X \rangle$ , the set  $X'$  is a simple root system for  $\langle X' \rangle$ . On the other hand, the set  $Y$  is a simple root system for the root system  $\langle Y \rangle$ . Now  $X' \subset \omega(\Pi)$ ,  $Y \subset \Pi$  and the linear spaces generated by  $X'$  and  $Y$  coincide. Moreover, the root subsystems  $\langle X' \rangle, \langle Y \rangle$  have the same Coxeter element  $w'$ . Hence  $\langle X' \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ . Now let  $I'$  be a subset of  $\tilde{\Pi}$

that is  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -invariant and such that the set of  $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ -orbits of  $I'$  coincides with  $Y$ . Since  $\omega(\langle X \rangle) = \langle X' \rangle = \langle Y \rangle$ , we have

$$(8) \quad \tilde{G}_{I'} = \langle X_\beta \mid \beta \in \langle I' \rangle \rangle = \dot{\omega} \tilde{G}_I \dot{\omega}^{-1}.$$

From (8) we get

$$(9) \quad \tilde{L}_{I'} = \tilde{T} \tilde{G}_{I'} = \dot{\omega} \tilde{L}_I \dot{\omega}^{-1}.$$

Since  $\omega \in W$ , we can choose the preimage  $\dot{\omega} \in G$ . From (9)

$$s' = \dot{\omega} s \dot{\omega}^{-1} \in \tilde{L}_{I'} \cap G.$$

Now we have a semisimple regular element  $s' \in \tilde{L}_{I'}(K)$ . The same arguments as above show that there exists an element  $\tau \in G$  such that  $s'' = \tau s' \tau^{-1} \in B \dot{w}'' B$ , where

$$w'' = \prod_{\beta \in Y} w_\beta$$

(the order of the roots  $\beta$  in this product can be different from the order of the roots  $\alpha$  in the product corresponding to  $w'$ ). By Lemma 2.4 there exists an  $S$ -ascent from  $w''$  to  $w'$  (both elements are  $Y$ -Coxeter elements for the Weyl group of the system  $\langle Y \rangle$ ). Proposition 3.4 implies

$$(10) \quad \delta s'' \delta^{-1} \in B \dot{w}' B$$

for some  $\delta \in G$ .

The inclusions (5) and (10) show that the conjugacy class  $C$  of  $s$  in  $G$  intersects all Bruhat cells  $B \dot{w}''' B$ , where  $w'''$  runs through all generalized  $S$ -Coxeter elements that are conjugate to  $w$ . Now let  $\tilde{w} \in W$  be an element from the conjugacy class of  $w$ . Proposition 3.3 implies that there exists an  $S$ -ascent from some generalized  $S$ -Coxeter element  $w'''$  to  $\tilde{w}$ . Now the assertion of the theorem follows from Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

Theorem 1.3 has been proved.

**Remarks to Theorem 1.3.**

**1. Intersection with a parabolic subgroup.** In the proof of Theorem 1.3 we showed that

$$(**) \quad C \cap P_X \neq \emptyset$$

for every noncentral semisimple conjugacy class  $C$  that is not regular, where  $X \subsetneq \Pi$  and  $P_X = BW_X B$  is the corresponding parabolic subgroup (if  $K$  is a perfect field and  $\dim K \leq 1$ ). More generally, Equation  $(**)$  holds for every noncentral conjugacy class  $C$  that is not a regular semisimple class (if  $K$  is a perfect field and  $\dim K \leq 1$ ). Indeed, we consider the Jordan decomposition  $g = su$  of an element  $g \in C$ . Applying the same construction as in Lemma 4.6, we get a parabolic subgroup  $P$  which is defined over  $K$  and contains  $s, u$ . Then by an appropriate conjugation we can embed  $g$  in

a standard parabolic subgroup. (Note, if  $K$  is a finite field, then Condition (\*\*) is a consequence of the properties of the Steinberg representation [C2, Proposition 6.4.5].)

**2. The condition:  $\dim \mathbf{K} \leq 1$ .** The example below shows that if this condition does not hold, the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 may be false.

Let  $n = 4k$  and let  $V$  be a linear space over the real number field  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\dim V = 4k$ . Further, let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{4k}\}$  be a fixed basis of  $V$  and let  $V^+ = \langle e_1, \dots, e_{2k} \rangle$ ,  $V^- = \langle e_{2k+1}, \dots, e_{4k} \rangle$ . Further, let  $(x_1, \dots, x_{4k})$  be the coordinates of an element in  $V$  with respect to the basis  $\{e_i\}$  and let  $\Phi = x_1^2 + \dots + x_{2k}^2 - x_{2k+1}^2 - \dots - x_{4k}^2$ . Let  $\Omega = \Omega(V, \Phi) = [SO(V, \Phi), SO(V, \Phi)]$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a Chevalley group in the sense of [St2], corresponding to the root system  $D_{2k}$ . Let  $g \in GL(V)$  be the linear operator such that  $g|_{V^+} = -1, g|_{V^-} = 1$ . One can easily check that  $g \in \Omega$  and  $gug^{-1} \neq u^{\pm 1}$  for every nontrivial unipotent element  $u \in \Omega$  (the latter follows from the fact that  $v \pm g(v)$  is not an isotropic vector if  $v \neq 0$  is isotropic). Hence the element  $g$  cannot normalize any nontrivial unipotent subgroup of  $\Omega$  and therefore  $g$  cannot belong to any proper parabolic subgroup of  $\Omega$ . This implies that a preimage  $\hat{g}$  of  $g$  in  $G = \text{Spin}_{4k}(\mathbb{R})$  (with respect to the natural homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \Omega$ ) also cannot belong to a proper parabolic subgroup of  $G$ . Hence  $C \cap Bw_X B = \emptyset$  for every  $X \subset \Pi$ , where  $C$  is the conjugacy class of  $\hat{g}$  in  $G$ ,  $B$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\Pi$  is a simple root system corresponding to  $\tilde{G} = \mathbf{Spin}_{4k}$  (note,  $BW_X B = P_X$  is a standard parabolic subgroup).

**3. The ordered set of  $\mathfrak{X}_C$ .** Recall, for any set  $X \subset \Pi$  we define  $w_X = \prod_{\alpha \in X} w_\alpha$ , where the product can be taken in any fixed order. For the set

$$\mathfrak{X}_C = \{X \subset \Pi \mid C \cap Bw_X B \neq \emptyset\}$$

one can consider the natural order with respect to inclusion.

Let  $G = SL_n(\mathbb{C})$  and  $C$  a noncentral semisimple conjugacy class. Let  $\lambda(C) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$  be the partition of  $n$ , i.e.,  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_r$ , where  $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_r = n$ , which corresponds to the multiplicities of eigenvalues of elements of  $C$  (i.e.,  $\lambda_1$  is the biggest multiplicity, then  $\lambda_2$ , etc.) and let  $\lambda^*(C)$  be the dual partition (i.e., the rows and columns of  $\lambda$  are interchanged). Further, to every partition  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_s)$  of  $n$  we assign a subset  $X(\mu) \subset \Pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}\}$ , namely,

$$X(\mu) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_{\mu_1}, \alpha_{\mu_1+\mu_2}, \dots, \alpha_{\mu_1+\dots+\mu_{s-1}}\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $X(\lambda^*(C))$  is a maximal element of  $\mathfrak{X}_C$ . Moreover, every maximal element  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}_C$  is  $W$ -conjugate to  $X(\lambda^*(C))$ . Thus we have just one conjugacy class  $\{ww_X w^{-1}\}$  in  $W$  for each maximal  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_C$ .

For other types of groups we can have several conjugacy classes in  $W$  of elements of the form  $w_X$ , where  $X \in \mathfrak{X}_C$  is a maximal element. Say, consider

the root system  $R = B_2 = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \rangle$ , where  $\alpha_1 = \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2$  and  $\alpha_2 = \varepsilon_2$  (in the notation of [Bou]), and let  $G$  be the corresponding simple and simply connected group over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $g = h_{\varepsilon_1}(t)h_{\varepsilon_2}(t^{-1}) \in G$  be a semisimple element, where  $h_{\varepsilon_1}(t), h_{\varepsilon_2}(t^{-1})$  are the corresponding root semisimple elements (in the notation of [St2]) and  $t \neq \pm 1$ . Let  $C$  be the conjugacy class of  $g$ . Then  $\{\alpha_1\}$  and  $\{\alpha_2\}$  both are maximal elements of  $\mathfrak{X}_C$ . Thus here we have two different conjugacy classes in  $W$  of elements  $w_X$  for maximal  $X$  in  $\mathfrak{X}_C$ .

### 5. Appendix.

The following result as well as the line of proof was pointed out to the second author by T.A. Springer in the discussion of relevant questions:

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a simple algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field  $\bar{K}$  and let  $G = \tilde{G}(\bar{K})$ . Further, let  $C$  be the conjugacy class of a regular element of  $G$ . Then  $C \cap B\dot{w}B \neq \emptyset$  for every  $w \in W$ .*

*Proof.* For  $b \in B$  we put

$$\mathfrak{D}_B(b) = \{xbx^{-1} \mid x \in B\}.$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *There exists a nonempty finite set  $\{b_1, \dots, b_n\} \subset C \cap B$  such that*

$$C \cap B = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} \mathfrak{D}_B(b_i).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x = s_1u_1, y = s_2u_2 \in B$  be two regular elements, where  $s_1, s_2 \in T$  and  $u_1, u_2 \in U$ . We show

$$(11) \quad \mathfrak{D}_B(x) = \mathfrak{D}_B(y) \text{ if and only if } s_1 = s_2.$$

Indeed, “only if” is obvious. Now let

$$(12) \quad b_1 = su_1, b_2 = su_2, s \in T, u_1, u_2 \in U.$$

Since we can consider the Jordan decompositions of  $x, y$  as elements of  $B$ , we may assume that (12) gives the Jordan decompositions of  $b_1$  and  $b_2$ . Put  $\Gamma = [C_G(s), C_G(s)], B_\Gamma = B \cap \Gamma$ . Then (12) implies  $u_1, u_2 \in B_\Gamma$ . Moreover, the elements  $u_1, u_2$  are regular unipotent elements of  $\Gamma$  ([St1, 3.7]) and therefore the elements  $u_1, u_2$  are conjugate in  $B_\Gamma$  (see [C2, the proof of Proposition 5.1.3]). Hence we have (11).

Now let  $b = su \in B, s \in T, u \in U, g \in G, gb g^{-1} \in B$ . Further, let  $g \in B\dot{w}B$ . Then  $gb g^{-1} = w(s)u'$  for some  $u' \in U$ . Together with (11), this implies our assertion. □

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $b \in C \cap B$  be a fixed element and let  $w \in W$ . Then every irreducible component  $\mathfrak{C}_w$  of  $\overline{C} \cap \overline{B\dot{w}B}$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}_B(b) \subset \mathfrak{C}_w$  satisfies the following condition:*

$$\dim \mathfrak{C}_w = \dim \overline{C} + \dim \overline{B\dot{w}B} - \dim G.$$

*Proof.* Since  $b$  is a regular element,  $\dim C_B(b) = \text{rank } G$  ([St1, 3.11]). If  $\mathfrak{C}_1$  is an irreducible component of  $\overline{C} \cap B$  containing  $\mathfrak{D}_B(b)$ , then Lemma 5.2 implies  $\mathfrak{C}_1 = \overline{\mathfrak{D}_B(b)}$  and, therefore,

$$(13) \quad \dim \mathfrak{C}_1 = \dim B - \text{rank } G = \dim \overline{C} + \dim B - \dim G.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{D}_B(b) \subset \mathfrak{C}_w$  for some irreducible component  $\mathfrak{C}_w$  of  $\overline{C} \cap \overline{B\dot{w}B}$ . Suppose

$$(14) \quad \dim \mathfrak{C}_w > \dim \overline{C} + \dim \overline{B\dot{w}B} - \dim G.$$

Since  $B$  is a closed subset of  $\overline{B\dot{w}B}$  ([Sp, 8.15]) and  $\mathfrak{C}_1$  is an irreducible component of  $\mathfrak{C}_w \cap B$ , we have

$$(15) \quad \dim \mathfrak{C}_1 \geq \dim \mathfrak{C}_w + \dim B - \dim \overline{B\dot{w}B}.$$

Now (14) and (15) contradict (13). Thus we have our statement.  $\square$

Now we return to the proof of Proposition 5.1.

Take  $\mathfrak{C}_w$  as in Lemma 5.3. Assume  $C \cap B\dot{w}B = \emptyset$ . Then

$$(16) \quad \mathfrak{C}_w \subset \bigcup_{w' < w} B\dot{w}'B = \bigcup_{w' < w} \overline{B\dot{w}'B}$$

([Sp, 8.15]). From (16) we have  $\mathfrak{C}_w \subset \overline{B\dot{w}'B}$  for some  $w' < w$  and we may consider  $\mathfrak{C}_w$  as an irreducible component of  $\overline{C} \cap \overline{B\dot{w}'B}$  that contains  $\mathfrak{D}_B(b)$ . Then, by Lemma 5.3, we have

$$(17) \quad \dim \mathfrak{C}_w = \dim \overline{C} + \dim \overline{B\dot{w}'B} - \dim G.$$

But (17) contradicts Lemma 5.3 because  $\dim \overline{B\dot{w}'B} < \dim \overline{B\dot{w}B}$ . This proves Proposition 5.1.  $\square$

## References

- [Bo] A. Borel, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **126**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991, MR 1102012, Zbl 0726.20030.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de Mathématique. Groupes et Algèbre de Lie*, Chap. IV, V, VI, 2ème édition, Masson, Paris, 1981, MR 1890629, Zbl 0483.22001.
- [C1] R.W. Carter, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Reprint of the 1972 original, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1989, MR 1013112, Zbl 0723.20006.
- [C2] ———, *Finite Groups of Lie Type. Conjugacy Classes and Complex Characters*, Reprint of the 1985 original, Wiley Classics Library, John Wiley and Sons, Chichester, 1993, MR 1266626, Zbl 0567.20023.
- [EG] E.W. Ellers and N. Gordeev, *Intersection of conjugacy classes of Chevalley groups with Gauss cells*, J. Algebra, **220** (1999), 591-611, MR 1717361, Zbl 942.20030.
- [EGH] E.W. Ellers, N. Gordeev and M. Herzog, *Covering numbers for Chevalley groups*, Israel J. Math., **111** (1999), 339-372, MR 1710745, Zbl 941.20050.

- [GP] M. Geck and G. Pfeiffer, *Characters of Finite Coxeter Groups and Iwahori-Hecke Algebras*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, New Series, **21**, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000, MR 1778802, Zbl 0996.20004.
- [GS] N. Gordeev and J. Saxl, *Products of conjugacy classes in Chevalley groups I. Extended covering numbers*, Israel J. Math., **130** (2002), 207-248, MR 1919378, Zbl 1007.20041.
- [Hu] J.E. Humphreys, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **21**, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1975, MR 0396773, Zbl 0325.20039.
- [K] N. Kawanaka, *Unipotent elements and characters of finite Chevalley groups*, Osaka J. Math., **12(2)** (1975), 523-554, MR 0384914, Zbl 0314.20031.
- [Sp] T.A. Springer, *Linear Algebraic Groups*, 2nd edition, Progress in Mathematics, **9**, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston MA, 1998, MR 1642713, Zbl 0927.20024.
- [St1] R. Steinberg, *Regular elements of semisimple algebraic groups*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math., **25** (1965), 49-80, MR 0180554, Zbl 0136.30002.
- [St2] ———, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*, Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson, Yale Univ., New Haven CT, 1968, MR 0466335, Zbl 0307.22001.
- [V] N.A. Vavilov, *Bruhat decomposition of two-dimensional transformations* (Russian), Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astronom., **3** (1989), 3-7; translation in Vestnik Leningrad Univ. Math., **22(3)** (1989), 1-6, MR 1055331, Zbl 0716.20024.
- [VS] N.A. Vavilov and A.A. Semenov, *Bruhat decomposition for long root tori in Chevalley groups* (Russian), Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI), **175**, 1989, Koltsa i Moduli, **3**, 12-23, 162; translation in J. Soviet Math., **57(6)** (1991), 3453-3458, MR 1047233, Zbl 0746.20024.

Received August 12, 2002 and revised June 16, 2003. Research was supported by NSERC Canada Grant A7251 and by INTAS-99-00817.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO  
100 ST. GEORGE STREET, TORONTO  
ONTARIO M5S 3G3  
CANADA  
*E-mail address:* ellers@math.toronto.edu

RUSSIAN STATE PEDAGOGICAL UNIVERSITY  
MOJKA 48  
ST. PETERSBURG 191-186  
RUSSIA  
*E-mail address:* nickgordeev@mail.ru

