

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**BIHERMITIAN GRAY SURFACES**

WŁODZIMIERZ JELONEK

Volume 222 No. 1

November 2005



## BIHERMITIAN GRAY SURFACES

WŁODZIMIERZ JELONEK

**We give examples of bihermitian compact surfaces  $(M, g)$  whose Ricci tensor  $\rho$  satisfies  $\nabla_X \rho(X, X) = \frac{1}{3} X \tau g(X, X)$ . We construct one-parameter families of such metrics on all the Hirzebruch surfaces  $\Sigma_k$ , for  $k \geq 0$ .**

### Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$  be a riemannian manifold with Ricci tensor  $\rho$  satisfying

$$(0-1) \quad \nabla_X \rho(X, X) = \frac{2}{n+2} X \tau g(X, X),$$

where  $\tau$  is the scalar curvature of  $(M, g)$  and  $n = \dim M$ . A. Gray [1978] called such manifolds  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$  manifolds. Many interesting manifolds are of this type, including (compact) Einstein–Weyl manifolds [Jelonek 1999], weakly self-dual Kähler surfaces [Jelonek 2002a; Apostolov et al. 2003] and D’Atri spaces.

In [Jelonek 2002a] we showed that every Kähler surface has a harmonic anti-self-dual part  $W^-$  of the Weyl tensor  $W$  (i.e. such that  $\delta W^- = 0$ ) if and only if it is an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifold. Later [2002b] we gave an example of a Kähler  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -metric on a Hirzebruch surface  $\Sigma_1$ ; this example was independently found by Apostolov, Calderbank and Gauduchon [Apostolov et al. 2003], who additionally proved that this is the only compact Kähler  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -surface with nonconstant scalar curvature. We show here that for hermitian surfaces the situation is different: there are many examples of hermitian  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -surfaces with nonconstant scalar curvature.

In [Jelonek 2002a] we also showed that any simply connected 4-dimensional  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifold  $(M, g)$  whose Ricci tensor has exactly two eigenvalues of multiplicity 2 admits two mutually opposite hermitian structures commuting with the Ricci tensor. Surfaces admitting two oppositely oriented complex structures will be called *bihermitian surfaces*. (The reader should be warned that this term has been used differently in [Apostolov et al. 2003], where it means a surface admitting two positively oriented hermitian structures).

---

The work was supported by KBN grant 2 P0 3A 023 24.

MSC2000: 53C07, 53C20.

Keywords: bihermitian surfaces, Hirzebruch surfaces.

**Proposition 0.1** [Jelonek 2002b]. *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact 4-manifold with even first Betti number admitting two opposite to each other hermitian structures  $J, \bar{J}$  which commute with the Ricci tensor  $\rho$  of  $(M, g)$ . Then  $M$  is a ruled surface or is locally a product of two riemannian surfaces.*  $\square$

Here we construct examples of  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -metrics with nonconstant scalar curvature and bihermitian Ricci tensor. We shall call such surfaces with the appropriate riemannian structure the Gray surfaces. We shall construct our examples on Hirzebruch surfaces  $\Sigma_k$  which are ruled surfaces of genus 0.

Using the methods of Bérard-Bergery [1982] (see also [Sentenac 1981; Page 1978]) we reduce the problem to a certain ODE of the second order. We show that this equation has a positive solution satisfying the appropriate boundary conditions and we shall prove in this way the existence of bihermitian  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -metrics. In this way we also give new examples of compact 4-dimensional  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifolds; compare [Besse 1987, p. 433].

## 1. Hermitian 4-manifolds

Let  $(M, g, J)$  be an almost hermitian manifold. We say that  $(M, g, J)$  is a hermitian manifold if its almost hermitian structure  $J$  is integrable. In the sequel we shall consider 4-dimensional hermitian manifolds  $(M, g, J)$ , which we shall also call hermitian surfaces. Such manifolds are always oriented and we choose an orientation in such a way that the Kähler form  $\Omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$  is self-dual (that is,  $\Omega \in \wedge^+ M$ ). The vector bundle of self-dual forms admits a decomposition

$$\wedge^+ M = \mathbb{R}\Omega \oplus LM,$$

where  $LM = \{\Phi \in \wedge M : \Phi(JX, JY) = -\Phi(X, Y)\}$  is the bundle of real  $J$ -skew invariant 2-forms.  $LM$  is a complex line bundle over  $M$  with the complex structure  $\mathcal{J}$  defined by  $(\mathcal{J}\Phi)(X, Y) = -\Phi(JX, Y)$ . For a 4-dimensional hermitian manifold the covariant derivative of the Kähler form  $\Omega$  is locally expressed by

$$(1-1) \quad \nabla\Omega = a \otimes \Phi + \mathcal{J}a \otimes \mathcal{J}\Phi,$$

where  $\mathcal{J}a(X) = -a(JX)$ .

An opposite (almost) hermitian structure on a hermitian 4-manifold  $(M, g, J)$  is an (almost) hermitian structure  $\bar{J}$  whose Kähler form (with respect to  $g$ ) is anti-self-dual.

On a riemannian manifold a distribution  $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$  is called *umbilical* [Jelonek 2000] if  $\nabla_X X|_{\mathcal{D}^\perp} = g(X, X)\xi$  for every  $X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ , where  $X|_{\mathcal{D}^\perp}$  is the  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  component of  $X$  with respect to the orthogonal decomposition  $TM = \mathcal{D} \oplus \mathcal{D}^\perp$ . The vector field  $\xi$  is called the mean curvature normal of  $\mathcal{D}$ . An involutive distribution  $\mathcal{D}$  is tangent to a foliation, which is called totally geodesic if its every leaf is a totally geodesic

submanifold of  $(M, g)$ , i.e.,  $\nabla_X X \in \mathcal{D}$  if  $X$  is a section of a vector bundle  $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ . In the sequel we shall not distinguish between  $\mathcal{D}$  and a tangent foliation and we shall also say that  $\mathcal{D}$  is totally geodesic in such a case.

On any hermitian non-Kähler 4-manifold  $(M, g, J)$  there are two natural distributions  $\mathcal{D} = \{X \in TM : \nabla_X J = 0\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  defined in the open set  $U = \{x : |\nabla J_x| \neq 0\}$ . We shall call  $\mathcal{D}$  the *nullity distribution* of  $(M, g, J)$ . From (1-1) it is clear that  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $J$ -invariant and that  $\dim \mathcal{D} = 2$  in  $U = \{x \in M : \nabla J_x \neq 0\}$ . By  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  we shall denote the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $U$ . On  $U$  we can define the opposite almost hermitian structure  $\bar{J}$  by formulas  $\bar{J}X = JX$  if  $X \in \mathcal{D}^\perp$  and  $\bar{J}X = -JX$  if  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ , which we shall call natural opposite almost hermitian structure. It is not difficult to check that for the famous Einstein hermitian manifold  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  with the Page metric [1978] (see also [Bérard-Bergery 1982; Sentenac 1981; Koda 1993; LeBrun 1997]) the opposite structure  $\bar{J}$  is hermitian and extends to the global opposite hermitian structure.

By an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifold [Gray 1978] we mean a riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  satisfying

$$\mathfrak{C}_{XYZ} \nabla_X \rho(Y, Z) = \frac{2}{\dim M + 2} \mathfrak{C}_{XYZ} X \tau g(Y, Z),$$

where  $\rho$  is the Ricci tensor of  $(M, g)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}$  means the cyclic sum. A riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$  manifold if and only if the Ricci endomorphism  $\text{Ric}$  of  $(M, g)$  is of the form  $\text{Ric} = S + \frac{2}{n+2} \tau \text{Id}$ , where  $S$  is a Killing tensor,  $\tau$  is the scalar curvature and  $n = \dim M$ . Recall that a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor  $S$  on a riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is called a Killing tensor if  $g(\nabla S(X, X), X) = 0$  for all  $X \in TM$ . It is not difficult to prove the following lemma:

**Lemma.** *Let  $S \in \text{End}(TM)$  be a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor on a riemannian 4-manifold  $(M, g)$ . Assume that  $S$  has exactly two everywhere different eigenvalues  $\lambda, \mu$  of the same multiplicity 2, i.e.,  $\dim D_\lambda = \dim D_\mu = 2$ , where  $D_\lambda, D_\mu$  are eigendistributions of  $S$  corresponding to  $\lambda, \mu$  respectively. Then  $S$  is a Killing tensor if and only if both distributions  $D_\lambda$  and  $D_\mu$  are umbilical with mean curvature normal equal respectively*

$$\xi_\lambda = \frac{\nabla \mu}{2(\lambda - \mu)}, \quad \xi_\mu = \frac{\nabla \lambda}{2(\mu - \lambda)}.$$

## 2. Gray surfaces

Let  $(M, g_0)$  be a compact riemannian surface of constant curvature  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $p : P \rightarrow M$  be a principal circle bundle over  $M$  with a connection form  $\theta$  such that  $d\theta = cp^*\omega$ , where  $\omega$  is the volume form of  $(M, g)$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . The manifold  $P$  with the metric  $g_P = \theta \otimes \theta + p^*g_0$  is a 3-dimensional  $\mathcal{A}$ -manifold. Let  $\theta^\sharp$  be a vector field dual to  $\theta$  with respect to  $g_P$ . Consider a local orthonormal frame  $\{X, Y\}$  on

$(M, g_0)$  and let  $X^h, Y^h$  be horizontal lifts of  $X, Y$  with respect to  $p : P \rightarrow M$  (so that  $\theta(X^h) = \theta(Y^h) = 0$  and  $p(X^h) = X, p(Y^h) = Y$ ). Set  $H = \partial/\partial t$ .

Now consider the manifold  $Q = \mathbb{R} \times P$  with the metric

$$(2-1) \quad g_{f,h} = dt \otimes dt + f(t)^2 \theta \otimes \theta + h(t)^2 p^* g_0,$$

where  $f, h \in C^\infty((a, b)) \cap C([a, b])$  and  $f > 0$  on  $(a, b)$  and  $h > 0$  on  $[a, b]$ . We define two almost hermitian structures  $J, \bar{J}$  on  $Q$  as follows:

$$JH = \frac{1}{f} \theta^\sharp, JX^h = Y^h, \bar{J}H = -\frac{1}{f} \theta^\sharp, \bar{J}X^h = Y^h.$$

**Proposition 2.1** [Jelonek 2002b]. *Let  $\mathfrak{D}$  be a distribution spanned by the fields  $\{\theta^\sharp, H\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a totally geodesic foliation with respect to the metric  $g_{f,h}$ . Both structures  $J, \bar{J}$  are hermitian and  $\mathfrak{D}$  is contained in the nullity of  $J$  and  $\bar{J}$ . The distribution  $\mathfrak{D}^\perp$  is umbilical with the mean curvature normal  $\xi = -\nabla \ln h$ .  $\square$*

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a 4-dimensional riemannian manifold whose Ricci tensor  $\rho$  has two eigenvalues  $\lambda_0(x), \mu_0(x)$  of the same multiplicity 2 at every point  $x$  of  $M$ . Assume that the eigendistribution  $\mathfrak{D}_\lambda = \mathfrak{D}$  corresponding to  $\lambda_0$  is a totally geodesic foliation and the eigendistribution  $\mathfrak{D}_\mu = \mathfrak{D}^\perp$  corresponding to  $\mu_0$  is umbilical. Then  $(M, g)$  is an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifold if and only if  $\lambda_0 - 2\mu_0$  is constant and  $\nabla \tau \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$ . The distributions  $\mathfrak{D}, \mathfrak{D}^\perp$  determine two hermitian structures  $J, \bar{J}$  which are opposite to each other and commute with  $\rho$ . Both structures  $J, \bar{J}$  are hermitian and  $\mathfrak{D}$  is contained in the nullity of  $J$  and  $\bar{J}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S_0$  be the Ricci endomorphism of  $(M, g)$ , so that  $\rho(X, Y) = g(S_0 X, Y)$ . Let the tensor  $S$  be defined by the formula

$$S_0 = S + \frac{\tau}{3} \text{id}.$$

Then  $\text{tr } S = -\frac{1}{3}\tau$ . Let  $\lambda_0, \mu_0$  be eigenfunctions of  $S_0$  and assume that

$$\lambda_0 - 2\mu_0 = 3C$$

is constant.  $S$  also has two eigenfunctions, which we denote by  $\lambda, \mu$ . It is easy to see that  $\lambda_0 = \frac{1}{3}\tau + C$  and  $\mu_0 = \frac{1}{6}\tau - C$ . Then  $\mu = -\frac{1}{6}\tau - C$  and  $\lambda = C$  is constant. Since the distribution  $\mathfrak{D}^\perp$  is umbilical we have  $\nabla_X X|_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp} = g(X, X)\xi$  for any  $X \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D}^\perp)$  where  $\xi$  is the mean curvature normal of  $\mathfrak{D}^\perp$ . Since the distribution  $\mathfrak{D}$  is totally geodesic we also have  $\nabla_X X|_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp} = 0$  for any  $X \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{D})$ . Let  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$  be a local orthonormal basis of  $TM$  such that  $\mathfrak{D}^\perp = \text{span}\{E_1, E_2\}$  and  $\mathfrak{D} = \text{span}\{E_3, E_4\}$ . Then  $\nabla_{E_1} E_1|_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp} = \nabla_{E_2} E_2|_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp} = \xi$ . Consequently, noting that  $\nabla \mu|_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp}$  vanishes if and only if  $\nabla \tau|_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp}$  does, we get

$$\text{tr}_g \nabla S = 2\nabla S(E_1, E_1) = -2(S - \mu \text{Id})(\nabla_{E_1} E_1) + \nabla \mu|_{\mathfrak{D}^\perp} = -2(\lambda - \mu)\xi,$$

if we assume that  $\nabla\tau_{|\mathcal{D}^\perp} = 0$ . On the other hand,  $\text{tr}_g \nabla S_0 = \frac{1}{2}\nabla\tau$  and  $\text{tr}_g \nabla S = \text{tr}_g \nabla S_0 - \frac{1}{3}\nabla\tau$ . Consequently

$$\text{tr}_g \nabla S = \frac{\nabla\tau}{6} = -\nabla\mu.$$

Thus

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{2(\mu - \lambda)}\nabla\mu.$$

From the lemma it follows that  $(M, g)$  is an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifold if  $\lambda_0 - 2\mu_0$  is constant and  $\nabla\tau \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$ . These conditions are also necessary, since  $\nabla\lambda = 0$  if  $(M, g)$  is  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifold and  $D_\lambda$  is totally geodesic. Analogously

$$\xi = -\frac{1}{2(\mu - \lambda)}\nabla\mu \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla\mu = -\frac{1}{6}\nabla\tau \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}),$$

where  $\xi$  is the mean curvature normal of an umbilical distribution  $D_\mu$ , if  $(M, g)$  is an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -manifold.  $\square$

We now construct examples of compact  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -surfaces  $(M, g, J)$  with nonconstant scalar curvature on a ruled surface  $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$ . Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  be any two real numbers such that  $a < b$ . Consider a metric  $g_{f,h}$  on a product  $(a, b) \times S^1 \times S^2$  given by the formula

$$(2-2) \quad g_{f,h} = dt^2 + g_t,$$

where  $g_t = f^2(t)\theta^2 + h(t)^2\text{can}$  is the metric on  $S^1 \times S^2$  parameterized by  $t$ ,  $\text{can}$  denotes the canonical metric on  $S^2$  of constant curvature 1 and  $f, h \in C^\infty(a, b)$  are positive functions defined on  $(a, b)$ .

**Proposition 2.3** [Bérard-Bergery 1982; Sentenac 1981]. *The metric  $g_{f,h}$  defined on  $(a, b) \times S^1 \times S^2$  extends to a smooth metric on the surface  $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$  if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (a)  $f(a) = f(b) = 0$ ,  $f'(a) = 1$ ,  $f'(b) = -1$ , and  $f^{(2k)}(a) = f^{(2k)}(b) = 0$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- (b)  $h(a) \neq 0 \neq h(b)$ ,  $h'(a) = h'(b) = 0$ , and  $h^{(2k+1)}(a) = h^{(2k+1)}(b) = 0$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

$\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *On the surface  $\Sigma_0 = \mathbb{CP}^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$  there exists a one-parameter family  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha > 1\}$  of bihermitian  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -metrics. The Ricci tensor  $\rho = \rho_\alpha$  of  $(\Sigma_0, g_\alpha)$  is bihermitian and has two eigenvalues, which are everywhere different.*

*Proof.* Consider the metric (2-2) on  $(a, b) \times S^1 \times S^2$ . We shall find the conditions on  $f, h$  to obtain the warped product metric  $(\mathbb{CP}^1, g_f) \times_h (\mathbb{CP}^1, 4\text{can})$ , where  $g_f = dt^2 + f^2(t)\theta^2$  is the metric on the first copy of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  and  $\text{can}$  is the standard Fubini–Studi metric on the second copy of  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ . Then the Ricci tensor of  $(U, g_h)$

has two eigenvalues  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  corresponding to the eigendistributions  $D_\lambda = \mathcal{D}$ ,  $D_\mu = \mathcal{D}^\perp$  which are given by the following formulas [Jelonek 2000; Madsen et al. 1997]:

$$\lambda_1 = -2\frac{h''}{h} - \frac{f''}{f}, \quad \lambda_2 = -\frac{f''}{f} - 2\frac{f'h'}{fh}, \quad \mu = -\frac{h''}{h} - \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 - \frac{f'h'}{fh} + \frac{1}{h^2}.$$

Since  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  we obtain

$$f = Dh'.$$

Note that  $D_\lambda$  is totally geodesic and  $D_\mu$  is totally umbilical (since  $g_{f,h}$  is the warped product metric).

To obtain an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -metric,  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$  have to satisfy

$$\lambda - 2\mu = 3C$$

for some constant  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus we obtain an equation

$$\frac{h'''}{h'} - 2\frac{h''}{h} - 2\left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 + \frac{2}{h^2} + 3C = 0;$$

introducing  $h' = \sqrt{P(h)}$ , this becomes

$$h^2 P''(h) - 2P'(h)h - 4P(h) + 4 + 6Ch^2 = 0.$$

Consequently

$$P(h) = \frac{A}{h} + Bh^4 + Ch^2 + 1,$$

where  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$  are arbitrary.

Now let  $D = 1$  and consider the equations

$$P(x) = 0, P(y) = 0, \quad P'(x) = 2, P'(y) = -2.$$

We are looking for unknown real numbers  $A, B, C$  and  $(x, y)$ , where  $0 < x < y$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{A}{x} + Bx^4 &= -Cx^2 - 1, & \frac{A}{y} + By^4 &= -Cy^2 - 1, \\ -\frac{A}{x^2} + 4Bx^3 &= 2 - 2Cx, & -\frac{A}{y^2} + 4By^3 &= -2 - 2Cy. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$A = \frac{xy(x^4 - y^4 + Cx^2y^2(x^2 - y^2))}{y^5 - x^5},$$

$$B = \frac{x - y + C(x^3 - y^3)}{y^5 - x^5},$$

$$C = \frac{y^2 + x^2 + 2(x - y)}{y^3 - x^3},$$

and

$$x = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha(2\alpha^2 + \alpha + 2)}, \quad y = \alpha x = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1)}{(2\alpha^2 + \alpha + 2)} \quad \text{for some } \alpha > 1.$$

Note that  $x, y > 0$  and  $C > 0, A, B < 0$ .

Consider the differential equation

$$(2-3) \quad \frac{d^2h}{dt^2} = \frac{1}{2}P'(h), \quad h'(0) = 0, \quad h(0) = x = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha(2\alpha^2 + \alpha + 2)},$$

where  $P = P_\alpha$  and  $h = h_\alpha$  depend on the parameter  $\alpha > 1$ . This equation is equivalent to

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \sqrt{P(h)}, \quad h(0) = x = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1)}{\alpha(2\alpha^2 + \alpha + 2)},$$

if  $t \in D = \{t \geq 0 : h'(t) \geq 0\}$ . One can also check that

$$P''(h) = \frac{2}{h^2}(P(h) - 1 + 5Bh^2).$$

Consequently  $P''(h_0) < 0$  if  $P(h_0) = 0$ . It follows that  $P = P_\alpha$ , where  $(\alpha > 1)$ , has exactly two positive roots  $\{x, y\}$  and  $P(t) > 0$  if  $t \in (x, y)$ . Note that equation (2-3) admits a smooth periodic solution  $h$  defined on the whole of  $\mathbb{R}$  and such that  $\text{img } h = [x, y]$ . Let  $b$  be the smallest positive number such that

$$h(b) = y = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha^2 + 3\alpha + 1)}{(2\alpha^2 + \alpha + 2)}.$$

Let us take  $a = 0$ . Then it is easy to check that  $h''(a) = 1$  and  $h''(b) = -1$  since  $P'(x) = 2$  and  $P'(y) = -2$ . Note also that  $h'(a) = h'(b) = 0$  and consequently  $h^{(2k+1)}(a) = h^{(2k+1)}(b) = 0$ . Thus the metric  $g_h$  extends to a smooth warped product metric on the whole of the surface  $\Sigma_0$ . Now it is easy to check that  $\lambda = -10Bh^2 - 3C$  and  $\mu = -5Bh^2 - 3C$ . The tensor  $\rho - \frac{1}{3}\tau g$  is a Killing tensor with eigenvalues  $C$  and  $5Bh^2 + C$ , corresponding to  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{D}^\perp$  respectively. It follows that we obtained a one-parameter family of bihermitian  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -metrics  $\{g_\alpha : \alpha > 1\}$  on  $\Sigma_0$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** In that way we have constructed examples of compact  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$  bihermitian surfaces whose Ricci tensor is bihermitian. Our examples are of cohomogeneity 1 under the action of the group  $G = S^1 \times \text{SO}(3)$  of isometries with principal orbit  $S^1 \times \mathbb{CP}^1$  and two special orbits  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ ; see [Madsen et al. 1997]. They do not have a harmonic Weyl tensor, hence they are proper  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$  manifolds, meaning that their Ricci tensor is not a Codazzi tensor; compare [Besse 1987].

Next we shall give the examples with the symmetry group  $U(2)$ . As in [Madsen et al. 1997],  $L(k, 1)$  (where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ) will denote the a lens space. By  $\Sigma_k$  we denote the  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  associated with the principal bundle

$$p : P(k) = L(k, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$$

(it is the space of cohomogeneity 1 under an action of  $U(2)$  with principal orbit  $L(k, 1)$  and two special orbits  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$ ). The diffeomorphism type of  $\Sigma_k$  depends only on the parity of  $k$ : if  $k$  is even, then  $\Sigma_k$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^2 \times S^2$ , for  $k$  odd,  $\Sigma_k$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ . Let us consider the metric (2–1) where  $P = P(k)$ ,  $g_0 = g_{FS}$  is the Fubini–Study metric on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1$  and  $d\theta = 2kp^*\omega_{FS}$  where  $\omega_{FS}$  is the Kähler form of  $(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1, g_{FS})$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** *On the surfaces  $\Sigma_k$ ,  $k \geq 1$  there exists a one-parameter family  $\{g_x : x \in (0, \epsilon_k)\}$  of bihermitian  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -metrics. The Ricci tensor  $\rho = \rho_x$  of  $(\Sigma_k, g_x)$  is bihermitian and has two eigenvalues, which are everywhere different.*

*Proof.* Consider the metric (2–1) on  $(\alpha, \beta) \times P(k)$ . We shall find the conditions on  $f, h$  to obtain the metric on the whole of  $\Sigma_k$ . Then the Ricci tensor of  $(U, g_h)$  has two eigenvalues  $\lambda, \mu$  corresponding to the eigendistributions  $D_\lambda = \mathfrak{D}$ ,  $D_\mu = \mathfrak{D}^\perp$  which are given by the following formulas (see [Besse 1987; Jelonek 2002b; 2000; Madsen et al. 1997]):

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= -2\frac{h''}{h} - \frac{f''}{f}, \\ \lambda_2 &= -\frac{f''}{f} + 2\left(k^2\frac{f^2}{h^4} - \frac{f'h'}{fh}\right), \\ \mu &= -\frac{h''}{h} + \left(k^2\frac{f^2}{h^4} - \frac{f'h'}{fh}\right) - \left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 - 3k^2\frac{f^2}{h^4} + \frac{4}{h^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  we obtain

$$f = \pm \frac{hh'}{\sqrt{k^2 + Ah^2}}.$$

We shall consider the case where  $A < 0$  and up to homothety of the metric we can assume that  $A = -1$ . Note that  $D_\lambda$  is totally geodesic and  $D_\mu$  is umbilical. To obtain an  $\mathcal{AC}^\perp$ -metric  $\lambda, \mu$  have to satisfy

$$\lambda - 2\mu = C,$$

for some constant  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus we obtain an equation

$$(2-4) \quad \frac{f''}{f} - 2\frac{h''}{h} - 2\left(\frac{h'}{h}\right)^2 - 6k^2\frac{f^2}{h^4} + \frac{8}{h^2} + C = 0,$$

with boundary conditions  $h > 0$ ,  $f(\alpha) = f''(\alpha) = h'(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $f'(\alpha) = 1$ ,  $f(\beta) = f''(\beta) = h'(\beta) = 0$ ,  $f'(\beta) = -1$ ; compare [Jelonek 2002b; Madsen et al. 1997; Bérard-Bergery 1982]. Write

$$(2-5) \quad h^2 = k^2 - g^2, \quad f = g', \quad g' = \sqrt{z(g)}.$$

Then our equation reads

$$(k^2 - g^2)^2 z''(g) + 2g(k^2 - g^2)z'(g) - 4z(g)(g^2 + 2k^2) + 16(k^2 - g^2) + 2C(k^2 - g^2)^2 = 0.$$

Write  $z(g) = P(g)/(k^2 - g^2)$ . Then equation (2-4) reads

$$(k^2 - g^2)P''(g) + 6gP'(g) - 6P(g) + 16(k^2 - g^2) + 2C(k^2 - g^2)^2 = 0.$$

Consequently

$$P(g) = 4(k^2 + g^2) + ag + b\left(\frac{1}{5}\left(\frac{g}{k}\right)^6 - \left(\frac{g}{k}\right)^4 + 3\left(\frac{g}{k}\right)^2 + 1\right) + d(k^2 - g^2)^3$$

where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $d = C/(6k^2)$ . It follows that

$$z(g) = \frac{Q(g/k)}{1 - (g/k)^2} = z_0\left(\frac{g}{k}\right),$$

where

$$Q(t) = 4(1 + t^2) + \frac{a}{k}t + \frac{b}{k^2}\left(\frac{1}{5}t^6 - t^4 + 3t^2 + 1\right) + dk^4(1 - t^2)^3,$$

and  $z_0(t) = Q(t)/(1 - t^2)$ . We shall show that our equation has a one-parameter family of solutions for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us write (for simplicity we shall write  $b$  instead of  $b/k^2$ ,  $d$  instead of  $dk^4$ )

$$(2-6) \quad Q(t) = \frac{1}{5}(b - 5d)t^6 + (3d - b)t^4 + (4 + 3(b - d))t^2 + 4 + b + d.$$

We shall show that for small  $x \in (0, 1)$  there exist  $b, d \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $Q$  has only one positive root equal to  $x$  and  $Q'(x) = -2k(1 - x^2)$ . Setting  $z_0(t) = Q(t)/(1 - t^2)$ , this means that  $z_0(x) = 0$ , that  $x$  is the only positive root of  $z_0$  and that  $z_0'(x) = -2k$ . The equations  $Q(x) = 0$  and  $Q'(x) = -2k(1 - x^2)$  are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} b\left(\frac{1}{5}x^6 - x^4 + 3x^2 + 1\right) + d(1 - x^2)^3 &= -4 - 4x^2, \\ b\left(\frac{6}{5}x^5 - 4x^3 + 6x\right) + d(-6x(1 - x^2)^2) &= 2kx^2 - 8x - 2k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, assuming  $x \in (0, 1)$ , we have

(2-7)

$$b = \frac{5}{2} \frac{(kx^4 + 8x^3 - 2kx^2 + 16x + k)}{x(x^4 - 10x^2 - 15)},$$

$$d = \frac{kx^7 + (k+8)x^6 + (8-5k)x^5 - 5kx^4 + 15kx^3 + (15k-40)x^2 + (5k-40)x + 5k}{2x(x-1)(x+1)^2(x^4 - 10x^2 - 15)}.$$

Note that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{4+b+d}{x} = k > 0, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{2d}{d-b} = 1, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} b = -\infty, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} d = +\infty.$$

Consequently there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  (depending on  $k$ ) such that  $Q_x(0) > 0$  and  $Q_x(x) = 0$  if  $x \in (0, \epsilon)$  where  $Q_x$  is given by (2-6) and  $b, d$  are given by (2-7). We shall show now that if  $x$  is small then it is the only positive root of  $Q$ . We have

$$Q''(t) = 2t(b-d) \left( \left( \frac{4}{b-d} + 3 \right) + 2 \left( \frac{3d-b}{b-d} \right) t^2 + \frac{3}{5} \left( \frac{b-5d}{b-d} \right) t^4 \right).$$

Consider a quadratic polynomial

$$H_x(T) = \alpha_x T^3 + \beta_x T + \gamma_x,$$

where

$$\gamma_x = 2 \left( \frac{4}{b-d} + 3 \right), \quad \beta_x = 2 \left( \frac{3d-b}{b-d} \right), \quad \alpha_x = \frac{3}{5} \left( \frac{b-5d}{b-d} \right).$$

It is easy to show that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \alpha_x = \frac{9}{5}, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \beta_x = -4, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} \gamma_x = 3.$$

Consequently  $H_x(T) > 0$  for all  $T \in \mathbb{R}$  and small  $x$ . Thus there exists  $\epsilon_k > 0$  such that  $Q_x(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (-x, x)$ ,  $Q_x(-x) = Q_x(x) = 0$ ,  $Q'_x(-x) = 2k(1-x^2)$ ,  $Q'_x(x) = -2k(1-x^2)$  if  $x \in (0, \epsilon_k)$ .

Now write

$$z_x(t) = \frac{Q_x(t)}{1-t^2}.$$

If  $x \in (0, \epsilon_k)$  then there exists a solution  $g : (-A, A) \rightarrow (-kx, kx)$ , where

$$A = \lim_{t \rightarrow kx^-} \int_0^t \frac{dg}{\sqrt{z_x(g/k)}},$$

of an equation

$$g' = \sqrt{z_x(g/k)},$$

such that  $g(-A) = -kx$ ,  $g(A) = kx$ ,  $g'(-A) = g'(A) = 0$ ,  $g''(-A) = 1$ ,  $g''(A) = -1$ . If we define  $f, h$  by (2-5) then equation (2-4) and the boundary conditions are satisfied (note that  $Ck^2 = 6d$ ) and the metric (2-1) on  $(-A, A) \times P(k)$  determined

by  $x \in (0, 1)$  extends to a smooth bihermitian  $\mathcal{A}C^\perp$ -metric on the surface  $\Sigma_k$  for every  $x \in (0, \epsilon_k)$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** For other examples of manifolds of the type studied here, see [Madsen et al. 1997]; their Ricci tensor has two eigenvalues of multiplicities 1 and 3, whereas ours have Ricci tensor with two eigenvalues of the same multiplicity 2. Non-compact examples of bihermitian Kähler  $\mathcal{A}C^\perp$ -surfaces (also of cohomogeneity 1) were first given [Derdziński 1981] and recently the general explicit expression of such Kähler surfaces was discovered by Apostolov, Calderbank and Gauduchon [Apostolov et al. 2003].

### References

- [Apostolov et al. 2003] V. Apostolov, D. M. J. Calderbank, and P. Gauduchon, “The geometry of weakly self-dual Kähler surfaces”, *Compositio Math.* **135**:3 (2003), 279–322. MR 2004f:53045 Zbl 1031.53045
- [Bérard-Bergery 1982] L. Bérard-Bergery, “Sur de nouvelles variétés riemanniennes d’Einstein”, pp. 1–60 Inst. Élie Cartan **6**, Univ. Nancy, Nancy, 1982. MR 85b:53048 Zbl 0544.53038
- [Besse 1987] A. L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, *Ergebnisse der Mathematik* **10**, Springer, Berlin, 1987. MR 88f:53087 Zbl 0613.53001
- [Derdziński 1981] A. Derdziński, “Exemples de métriques de Kähler et d’Einstein auto-duales sur le plan complexe”, pp. 334–346 in *Géométrie riemannienne en dimension 4* (Séminaire Arthur Besse, Paris, 1978/1979), edited by L. Bérard-Bergery and M. Berger, *Textes Math.* **3**, CEDIC, Paris, 1981. MR 769127 Zbl 0477.53025
- [Gray 1978] A. Gray, “Einstein-like manifolds which are not Einstein”, *Geom. Dedicata* **7**:3 (1978), 259–280. MR 80b:53034 Zbl 0378.53018
- [Jelonek 1999] W. Jelonek, “Killing tensors and Einstein–Weyl geometry”, *Colloq. Math.* **81**:1 (1999), 5–19. MR 2000m:53058 Zbl 0945.53028
- [Jelonek 2000] W. Jelonek, “Killing tensors and warped products”, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **75**:1 (2000), 15–33. MR 2002c:53075 Zbl 0994.53023
- [Jelonek 2002a] W. Jelonek, “Compact Kähler surfaces with harmonic anti-self-dual Weyl tensor”, *Differential Geom. Appl.* **16**:3 (2002), 267–276. MR 2003c:53058 Zbl 1037.53028
- [Jelonek 2002b] W. Jelonek, “Extremal Kähler  $\mathcal{A}C^\perp$ -surfaces”, *Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin* **9**:4 (2002), 561–571. MR 2004g:53077 Zbl 1045.53030
- [Koda 1993] T. Koda, “A remark on the manifold  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$  with Bérard-Bergery’s metric”, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **11**:4 (1993), 323–329. MR 95b:53057 Zbl 0816.53029
- [LeBrun 1997] C. LeBrun, “Einstein metrics on complex surfaces”, pp. 167–176 in *Geometry and physics* (Århus, 1995), edited by J. Andersen et al., *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.* **184**, Dekker, New York, 1997. MR 97j:53048 Zbl 0876.53024
- [Madsen et al. 1997] A. B. Madsen, H. Pedersen, Y. S. Poon, and A. Swann, “Compact Einstein–Weyl manifolds with large symmetry group”, *Duke Math. J.* **88**:3 (1997), 407–434. MR 98h:53078 Zbl 0881.53041
- [Page 1978] D. Page, “A compact rotating gravitational instanton”, *Phys. Lett. B* **79** (1978), 235–238.

[Sentenac 1981] P. Sentenac, “Construction d’une métrique d’Einstein sur la somme connexe de deux projectifs complexes de dimension 2”, pp. 292–307 in *Géométrie riemannienne en dimension 4* (Séminaire Arthur Besse, Paris, 1978/1979), edited by L. Bérard-Bergery and M. Berger, Textes Math. **3**, CEDIC, Paris, 1981. MR 769142 Zbl 0477.53046

Received May 23, 2002. Revised April 8, 2003.

WŁODZIMIERZ JELONEK  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF CRACOW  
WARSZAWSKA 24  
31-155 KRAKÓW  
POLAND  
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
ŚW. TOMASZA 30  
31-027 KRAKÓW  
POLAND  
wjelon@usk.pk.edu.pl