

*Pacific  
Journal of  
Mathematics*

**GROMOV HYPERBOLIC GROUPS AND THE MACAEV NORM**

RUI OKAYASU

Volume 223    No. 1

January 2006



## GROMOV HYPERBOLIC GROUPS AND THE MACAEV NORM

RUI OKAYASU

**Let  $\Gamma$  be a Gromov hyperbolic group with a finite set  $A$  of generators. We prove that  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) \leq k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$ , where  $\text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$  is the growth entropy,  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty))$  is the Coornaert–Papadopoulos topological entropy of the subshift  $\Sigma(\infty)$  associated with  $(\Gamma, A)$ , and  $k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A)$  is Voiculescu’s numerical invariant, which is an obstruction to the existence of quasicontral approximate units relative to the Macaev norm for a tuple of unitary operators  $\lambda_A = (\lambda_a)_{a \in A}$  in the left regular representation of  $\Gamma$ . We also prove that these three quantities are equal for a hyperbolic group splitting over a finite group.**

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a finitely generated group with a finite generating set  $A$ . We consider the family  $\lambda_A = (\lambda_a)_{a \in A}$  of left translation operators on  $\ell^2(\Gamma)$ , specifically the value of Voiculescu’s numerical invariant  $k_{\infty}^{-}$  for this family. Voiculescu introduced this invariant  $k_{\infty}^{-}(\tau)$ , for a tuple  $\tau$  of Hilbert space operators, in a remarkable series of papers [1979; 1981; 1990; David and Voiculescu 1990] to deal with perturbation problems.

For the case of free groups, Voiculescu gave an estimate for  $k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A)$ ; we obtain its exact value. For the case of certain amalgamated free product groups, we proved in [Okayasu 2004] that  $k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A)$  equals the growth entropy  $\text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$  of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $A$ . These groups are Gromov hyperbolic groups in the sense of [Gromov 1987]. In [Okayasu 2004], we showed that if a subshift  $\Sigma$  satisfies a certain condition, then  $k_{\infty}^{-}(\tau) = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$  for the family  $\tau$  of creation operators on the Fock space associated with  $\Sigma$ , which is used to define the Matsumoto algebra [1997] associated to  $\Sigma$ . (Here  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$  is the topological entropy of  $\Sigma$ .) This equation holds for every shift of finite type.

M. Coornaert and A. Papadopoulos [2001] have shown the following: Let  $X$  be a proper geodesic metric space that is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic. The class of functions on  $X$  called horofunctions (a generalization of Busemann functions) gives a description of the boundary at infinity  $\partial X$ . When  $X$  is the Cayley graph of a hyperbolic group

---

*MSC2000:* primary 47B10; secondary 47A30, 37B10, 20F65.

*Keywords:* perturbation theory, Macaev ideal, hyperbolic groups.

$\Gamma$ , the space of cocycles associated with horofunctions that take integral values on the vertices is a shift of finite type  $\Sigma(\infty)$ . (See also [Gromov 1987].)

Continuing this line of investigation, we first determine (Theorem 1.1) a lower bound for  $k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A)$  in terms of the topological entropy  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty))$ , for arbitrary hyperbolic groups. We therefore have

$$h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) \leq k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma, A),$$

since the upper bound was already given in [Okayasu 2004]. We also show here that if a given hyperbolic group  $\Gamma$  splits over a finite group, the equation  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) = \text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$  holds for a certain finite generating set  $A$  of  $\Gamma$  (Corollary 1.2). As a consequence, the inequalities turn into an equalities for such groups:

$$h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) = k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A) = \text{gr}(\Gamma, A).$$

It was already known from [Voiculescu 1993] that  $k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A) \neq 0$  for every nonelementary hyperbolic group  $\Gamma$ , because  $\Gamma$  is nonamenable.

**Notation.** We denote by  $\Sigma(\infty)$  the shift of finite type relative to  $(\Gamma, A)$ , constructed in [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\Gamma$  is a Gromov hyperbolic group with a finite generating set  $A$  and  $\lambda$  its left regular representation. Set  $\lambda_A = (\lambda_a)_{a \in A}$ . Then we have*

$$h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) \leq k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma, A).$$

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  is a nonelementary hyperbolic group with a finite generating set  $A$ ,  $\lambda$  its left regular representation and  $\lambda_A = (\lambda_a)_{a \in A}$ . Suppose that either*

- (1)  $\Gamma$  can be written nontrivially as a free product  $G_1 * G_2$  and  $A = F_1 \cup F_2$  for some finite generating sets  $F_1, F_2$  of  $G_1, G_2$ ; or
- (2)  $\Gamma$  has a form of a free product  $G_1 *_H G_2$  with finite amalgamated subgroup  $H$ , which is properly contained in both factors and of index greater than 2 in at least one factor, and  $A = F_1 \cup F_2$  for some finite generating sets  $F_1, F_2$  of  $G_1, G_2$ , containing  $H$ ; or
- (3)  $\Gamma$  is an HNN extension

$$G *_H \theta = \langle G, x \mid hx = x\theta(h) \text{ for } h \in H \rangle,$$

where  $H$  is a proper finite subgroup of  $G$  and  $A = F \cup \{x, x^{-1}\}$  for some finite generating set  $F$  of  $G$ , which contains both  $H$  and  $\theta(H)$ .

Then  $k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A) = \text{gr}(\Gamma, A) = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty))$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

**Voiculescu’s perturbation theory.** Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space and let  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ ,  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$  denote, respectively, the spaces of bounded linear operators and compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ . A *symmetrically normed ideal*  $(\mathfrak{S}, \|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{S}})$  is an ideal  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$  which is a Banach space endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathfrak{S}}$  satisfying

$$\|XTY\|_{\mathfrak{S}} \leq \|X\| \cdot \|T\|_{\mathfrak{S}} \cdot \|Y\|$$

for  $T \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $X, Y \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the operator norm on  $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .

It is well-known that the Schatten  $p$ -classes  $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})$  are symmetrically normed ideals. So are the ideals  $\mathcal{C}_p^-(\mathcal{H})$  defined for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  by the norm

$$\|T\|_p^- = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j j^{-1+1/p}$$

(where  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots$  are the eigenvalues of  $(T^*T)^{1/2}$ ); they are important for perturbation theory. The particular case  $\mathcal{C}_{\infty}^-(\mathcal{H})$  is also known as the *Macaev ideal*. Note that  $\mathcal{C}_1^-(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H})$  but

$$\mathcal{C}_p^-(\mathcal{H}) \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H}) \subsetneq \mathcal{C}_q^-(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{if } 1 < p < q.$$

The dual  $\mathfrak{S}^*$ , where the duality is given by the bilinear form  $(X, Y) \mapsto \text{Tr}(XY)$ , is again a normed ideal. We have  $\mathcal{C}_p(\mathcal{H})^* = \mathcal{C}_q(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $p > 1$  and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{C}_p^-(\mathcal{H})^* = \mathcal{C}_q^+(\mathcal{H})$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_q^+(\mathcal{H})$  consists of all  $T \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$  such that

$$\|T\|_q^+ = \sup_k \frac{\sum_{j=1}^k \lambda_j}{\sum_{j=1}^k j^{-1/q}} < \infty.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be a symmetrically normed ideal of  $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H})$ . For an  $N$ -tuple  $\tau = (T_1, \dots, T_N)$  of bounded linear operators on  $\mathcal{H}$ , we define

$$k_{\mathfrak{S}}(\tau) = \liminf_{A \in \mathbb{F}(H)_1^+} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|[A, T_i]\|_{\mathfrak{S}},$$

where the inferior limit is taken with respect to the natural order on

$$\mathbb{F}(H)_1^+ = \{T \in \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{H}) \mid T : \text{finite rank, } 0 \leq T \leq I\}$$

and  $[A, B] = AB - BA$ . We write  $k_p^-(\tau)$  when  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathcal{C}_p^-(\mathcal{H})$ .

We see from the definition that  $k_{\mathfrak{S}}(\tau)$  measures the obstruction to the existence of a sequence  $\{A_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H})_1^+$  such that  $A_n \nearrow I$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[A_n, T_i]\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq N$ . If such a sequence exists, it is called a *quasicentral approximate unit* for  $\tau$  relative to  $\mathfrak{S}$ .

**Proposition 2.1** [Voiculescu 1990, Proposition 2.1]. *Let  $\tau = (T_1, \dots, T_N) \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})^N$  and  $X_i \in \mathcal{C}_1^+(\mathcal{H})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^N [X_i, T_i] \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{H}) + \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})_+,$$

then

$$\left| \operatorname{Tr} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N [X_i, T_i] \right) \right| \leq k_\infty^-(\tau) \sum_{i=1}^N \|X_i\|_1^{\tilde{\tau}},$$

where  $\|X_i\|_1^{\tilde{\tau}} = \inf_{Y \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{H})} \|X_i - Y\|_1^+$ .

**Proposition 2.2** [Gohberg and Kreĭn 1969, Theorem 14.1]. *For  $T \in \mathcal{C}_1^+(\mathcal{H})$ , we have*

$$\|T\|_1^{\tilde{\tau}} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n s_j(T)}{\sum_{j=1}^n 1/j}.$$

**Subshifts.** We briefly define the necessary concepts from symbolic dynamics; see [Lind and Marcus 1995] for a more leisurely introduction.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a finite alphabet and  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  the one-sided infinite product space  $\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}$  with the product topology (of discrete topologies). The *shift map*  $\sigma$  on  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is given by  $(\sigma(x))_i = x_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . A *word* over  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite sequence  $w = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  with  $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$ . For  $x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and a word  $w = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ , we say that  $w$  *occurs in*  $x$  if there is an index  $i$  such that  $x_i = a_1, \dots, x_{i+n-1} = a_n$ . For a collection  $\mathcal{F}$  of words over  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we define the (*one-sided*) *subshift*  $X = X_{\mathcal{F}}$  to be the subset of sequences in  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  in which *no* word in  $\mathcal{F}$  occurs.

Let  $X$  be a subshift of  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{W}_n(X)$  the set of all words with length  $n$  that occur in  $X$  and we set

$$\mathcal{W}(X) = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_n(X).$$

Let  $\varphi : \mathcal{W}_{m+n+1}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a map, which we call a *block map*. The extension of  $\varphi$  from  $X$  to  $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is defined by  $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , where

$$y_i = \varphi((x_{i-m}, x_{i-m+1}, \dots, x_{i+n})).$$

We also denote this extension by  $\varphi$  and call it a *sliding block code*.

The *topological entropy* of a subshift  $X$  is defined by

$$h_{\text{top}}(X) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \operatorname{card} \mathcal{W}_n(X).$$

A simple class of subshifts is that of *shifts of finite type* (SFT), those that can be described by a finite set of forbidden words. Let  $M = [M(a, b)]_{a, b \in \mathcal{A}}$  be a 0–1

matrix. Then

$$\Sigma_M := \{(x_i)_{i=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{A}^\mathbb{N} \mid M(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1\}$$

is called the *one-sided topological Markov shift by  $M$*  and it is a shift of finite type.

**Gromov hyperbolic groups.** For basic facts about Gromov hyperbolic spaces and groups, see [Gromov 1987] and [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 1993].

Let  $(X, | \cdot |)$  be a metric space which is proper, geodesic and  $\delta$ -hyperbolic for some  $\delta \geq 0$ . A function  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\varepsilon$ -convex, where  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , if for any geodesic segment  $[x_0, x_1]$  in  $X$  and any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have

$$f(x_t) \leq (1-t)f(x_0) + tf(x_1) + \varepsilon,$$

where  $x_t$  is the point on  $[x_0, x_1]$  satisfying  $|x_0 - x_t| = t|x_0 - x_1|$ .

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . An  $\varepsilon$ -horofunction on  $X$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -convex function  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $h(x) - \lambda = \text{dist}(x, h^{-1}(\lambda))$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h(x) \geq \lambda$ .

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be a geodesic ray. The associated *Busemann function*  $h_r : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined by

$$h_r(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |x - r(t)| - t.$$

A Busemann function on a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic  $X$  is a  $4\delta$ -horofunction [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 2.5]. Thus Busemann functions form an important class of horofunctions.

**Definition 2.5.** A function  $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -cocycle if there is an  $\varepsilon$ -horofunction  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\varphi(x, y) = h(x) - h(y)$$

for every  $x, y \in X$ . We call such a function  $h$  a *primitive* for  $\varphi$ . (If  $h$  is a primitive for  $\varphi$ , so is  $h + c$  for any constant  $c$ .)

**Proposition 2.6** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 2.7]. *Let  $\varphi$  be a cocycle on  $X$ . For  $x, y, z$  and  $w \in X$ , we have*

- (1)  $\varphi(x, x) = 0$ ,
- (2)  $\varphi(x, y) = -\varphi(y, x)$ ,
- (3)  $\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(x, z) + \varphi(z, y)$ ,
- (4)  $|\varphi(x, y)| \leq |x - y|$ ,
- (5)  $|\varphi(x, y) - \varphi(z, w)| \leq |x - z| + |y - w|$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be an isometry of  $X$ ,  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an  $\varepsilon$ -horofunction and  $\varphi : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an  $\varepsilon$ -cocycle. The functions  $\gamma h$  and  $\gamma\varphi$  defined by

$$\gamma h(x) = h(\gamma^{-1}x), \quad \gamma\varphi(x, y) = \varphi(\gamma^{-1}x, \gamma^{-1}y),$$

for  $x, y \in X$ , are an  $\varepsilon$ -horofunction and an  $\varepsilon$ -cocycle, respectively. If  $\varphi$  is the cocycle of  $h$ , then  $\gamma\varphi$  is the cocycle of  $\gamma h$ . Let  $\Phi$  be the set of  $\varepsilon$ -cocycles on  $X$  for all possible values of  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ . We equip  $\Phi$  with the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\varphi$  be a cocycle on  $X$ . A  $\varphi$ -gradient arc is a path  $g : I \rightarrow X$ , parameterized by arclength, satisfying

$$\varphi(g(t), g(t')) = t' - t$$

for every  $t, t' \in I$ . If  $I = \mathbb{R}$  or  $I = [0, \infty)$ , we say that  $g$  is a  $\varphi$ -gradient line or ray, respectively. If  $g(0) = x$ , we say that  $g$  starts at  $x$ .

**Lemma 2.8** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Lemma 2.9]. *Let  $\varphi$  be a cocycle on  $X$  and  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  an interval with  $a \in I$ ,  $I_1 = I \cap (-\infty, a]$  and  $I_2 = I \cap [a, \infty)$ . If  $g : I \rightarrow X$  is a path whose restrictions to  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are  $\varphi$ -gradient arcs, then  $g$  is itself a  $\varphi$ -gradient arc.*

**Proposition 2.9** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 2.10]. *Let  $\varphi$  be a cocycle on  $X$ .*

- (1) *Any  $\varphi$ -gradient arc  $g : I \rightarrow X$  is a geodesic.*
- (2) *If  $x, y \in X$  satisfying  $\varphi(x, y) = |x - y|$ , and if  $g : [a, b] \rightarrow X$  is a geodesic joining  $x$  and  $y$ , then  $g$  is a  $\varphi$ -gradient arc.*

**Proposition 2.10** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 2.13]. *For every cocycle  $\varphi$  on  $X$  and for every  $x \in X$ , there is a  $\varphi$ -gradient ray  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  starting at  $x$ .*

Let  $\varphi$  be a cocycle on  $X$  and  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  a  $\varphi$ -gradient ray. By Proposition 2.9, part (1),  $g$  is a geodesic and so converges to a well-defined point  $g(\infty) \in \partial X$ .

**Proposition 2.11** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 3.1]. *Let  $\varphi$  be a cocycle on  $X$  and let  $g, g' : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be  $\varphi$ -gradient rays. Then  $g(\infty) = g'(\infty)$ .*

**Definition 2.12.** We define a map  $\pi : \Phi \rightarrow \partial X$  by setting  $\Phi(\varphi) = g(\infty) \in \partial X$ , where  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  is a  $\varphi$ -gradient ray.

Let  $\text{Isom}(X)$  denote the group of isometries of  $X$ . The action of  $\text{Isom}(X)$  on  $\Phi$  defined by  $(\gamma, \varphi) \mapsto \gamma\varphi$  is continuous.

**Proposition 2.13** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 3.3]. *The map  $\pi : \Phi \rightarrow \partial X$  is continuous, surjective, and commutes with the actions of  $\text{Isom}(X)$  on  $\Phi$  and  $\partial X$ .*

For any cocycle  $\varphi$ , any geodesic ray  $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  satisfying  $r(\infty) = \pi(\varphi)$ , and any  $t \geq 0$ , we set

$$R_{\varphi,t} = \{x \in X \mid \varphi(x, r(t)) = 0\} \cap B(r(t), 16\delta).$$

**Proposition 2.14** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 3.4]. *For  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , let  $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be a geodesic ray such that  $r(\infty) = \pi(\varphi)$ . For all  $x \in X$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $t > |x - r(0)| + 16\delta$ , we have*

$$\varphi(x, r(t)) = \text{dist}(x, R_{\varphi,t}).$$

In all that follows,  $\Gamma$  is a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic group with respect to a finite set of generators  $A$  and  $X$  is the Cayley graph associated to the pair  $(\Gamma, A)$ . We denote by  $X^0 = \Gamma$  the set of vertices and by  $X^1$  the set of edges of  $X$ . For  $x \in \Gamma$ , we denote by  $|x|$  the word length of  $x$  with respect to  $A$ .

**Definition 2.15.** A horofunction  $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *integral* if  $h(x) \in \mathbb{Z}$  for every  $x \in X^0$ . A cocycle having an integral horofunction as a primitive is called an *integral cocycle*.

Every integral cocycle is completely determined by its values on  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ , by [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Corollary 4.4]. Thus we can regard an integral cocycle on  $X$  as a function from  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\Phi_0 \subseteq \Phi$  be the space of integral cocycles on  $X$ . The topology induced on  $\Phi_0$  by  $\Phi$  is the topology of pointwise convergence on  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ . For simplicity, we denote by  $\pi : \Phi_0 \rightarrow \partial\Gamma$  the restriction of the map  $\pi : \Phi \rightarrow \partial\Gamma$ .

**Proposition 2.16** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 4.5]. *The map  $\pi : \Phi_0 \rightarrow \partial\Gamma$  is continuous,  $\Gamma$ -equivalent, surjective and uniformly finite to one. In fact, for every  $\xi \in \partial\Gamma$  we have*

$$\text{card}\{\varphi \in \Phi_0 \mid \pi(\varphi) = \xi\} \leq (2N_0 + 1)^{N_1},$$

where  $N_0$  is the integral part of  $16\delta + 1$  and  $N_1$  is the number of elements in  $\Gamma$  contained in the closed ball of radius  $N_0$  centered at the identity.

**Lemma 2.17** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Lemma 5.1]. *For every  $\varphi \in \Phi_0$  and  $x \in X^0$ , there is  $a \in A$  such that  $\varphi(x, xa) = 1$ .*

Now we fix a total order relation on the finite generating set  $A$ . Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_0$  and  $x \in X^0$ . The lexicographic order on  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  induces a total order on the set of  $\varphi$ -gradient rays starting at  $x$ .

**Proposition 2.18** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 5.2]. *Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_0$  and  $x \in X^0$ . The set of  $\varphi$ -gradient rays starting at  $x$  has a smallest element.*

**Definition 2.19.** We define a map  $\alpha : \Phi_0 \rightarrow \Phi_0$  by  $\alpha(\varphi) = a^{-1}\varphi$ , where  $\varphi \in \Phi_0$  and  $a$  is the smallest element in  $A$  satisfying  $\varphi(e, a) = 1$ .

**Proposition 2.20** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 5.6]. *The map  $\alpha : \Phi_0 \rightarrow \Phi_0$  is continuous.*

**Proposition 2.21** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Proposition 5.7]. *Let  $\varphi \in \Phi_0$  and  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be the smallest  $\varphi$ -gradient ray starting at  $e$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $a_n \in A$  be the label of the oriented edge from  $g(n)$  to  $g(n+1)$  and  $g_n : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  the smallest  $\alpha^n(\varphi)$ -gradient ray starting at  $e$ .*

- (1)  $\alpha^n(\varphi) = g(n)^{-1}\varphi$ .
- (2)  $g_n(t) = g(n)^{-1}g(t+n)$  for any  $t \in [0, \infty)$ .
- (3) For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the label of oriented edge from  $g_n(k)$  to  $g_n(k+1)$  is  $a_{k+n}$ .

Next we introduce the shift of finite type  $(\Sigma(\infty), T)$  and the conjugacy  $P$  from  $(\Phi_0, \alpha)$  to  $(\Sigma(\infty), T)$ . We take integers  $R \geq 100\delta + 1$  and  $L \geq 2R + 32\delta + 1$ . For a subset  $Y \subseteq X$  and  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ , we set

$$N(Y, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X \mid \text{dist}(x, Y) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

For  $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ , let  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be the smallest  $\varphi$ -gradient ray starting at  $e$ . Set

$$V(\varphi) = N(g([0, L]), R).$$

$V(\varphi)$  is contained in the closed ball  $B(e, L+R)$  of radius  $L+R$  centered at  $e$ .

For each  $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ , we define a function  $\rho(\varphi) : V(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\rho(\varphi)(x) = \varphi(x, e)$$

for  $x \in V(\varphi)$ . Note that  $\rho(\varphi)$  is the restriction to  $V(\varphi)$  of the primitive  $h$  of  $\varphi$  with  $h(e) = 0$ . We set

$$S = \{\rho(\varphi) : V(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid \varphi \in \Phi_0\}.$$

**Lemma 2.22** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Lemma 6.2]. *The set  $S$  is finite.*

**Definition 2.23.** Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of sequences  $(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 0}$  with  $\sigma_n \in S$  for  $n \geq 0$ , and give it the product topology (of discrete topologies on copies of  $S$ ). The map  $T : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  is the shift map. Define a map  $P : \Phi_0 \rightarrow \Sigma$  by

$$\Phi_0 \ni \varphi \mapsto (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \Sigma,$$

where  $\sigma_n = \rho(\alpha^n(\varphi))$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

Let  $s \in S$ . We denote by  $V(s)$  the domain of the function  $s$ . Since  $R \geq 1$ , the domain  $V(s)$  contains the closed unit ball  $B(e, 1)$ . Hence the value  $s(a)$  is well-defined for all  $a \in A$ . Since the finite generating set  $A$  is equipped with a fixed total order relation, we can define  $w(s)$  to be the smallest element  $a \in A$  satisfying  $s(a) = -1$ . (Such an  $a$  exists because of Lemma 2.17.)

Let  $\sigma = (\sigma_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \Sigma$ . We define a sequence  $(\gamma_n(\sigma))_{n \geq 0}$  by setting

$$\gamma_0(\sigma) = e, \quad \gamma_n(\sigma) = w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1}) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

For  $n \geq 0$ , we set

$$V_n(\sigma) = \gamma_n(\sigma)V(\sigma_n).$$

This depends only on the first  $n + 1$  coordinates of  $\sigma$ . We also define functions  $f_n(\sigma) : V_n(\sigma) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f_n(\sigma)(x) = \sigma_n(\gamma_n(\sigma)^{-1}x) - n$  for  $x \in V_n(\sigma)$ .

**Lemma 2.24** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Lemma 6.5]. *For  $\varphi \in \Phi_0$ , take  $\sigma = P(\varphi)$  and let  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be the smallest  $\varphi$ -gradient ray starting at  $e$ . Assume  $n \geq 0$ .*

- (1)  $\gamma_n(\sigma) = g(n)$ .
- (2)  $V_n(\sigma) = N(g([n, n + L]), R)$ .
- (3)  $f_n(\sigma)$  is the restriction to  $V_n(\sigma)$  of the primitive  $h$  of  $\varphi$  with  $h(e) = 0$ .

**Definition 2.25.** Let  $\sigma \in \Sigma$ . We say that  $\sigma$  is *consistent* if for all  $i, j \geq 0$ , we have

$$f_i(\sigma)(x) = f_j(\sigma)(x)$$

for all  $x \in V_i(\sigma) \cap V_j(\sigma)$ . We denote by  $\Sigma(\infty)$  the set of all consistent sequences.

**Lemma 2.26** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Lemma 6.8].  $P(\Phi_0) \subseteq \Sigma(\infty)$ .

**Theorem 2.27** [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001, Theorem 7.18]. *The set of consistent sequences  $\Sigma(\infty)$  is a shift of finite type. Moreover  $(\Phi_0, \alpha)$  and  $(\Sigma(\infty), T)$  are conjugate via the map  $P$ .*

### 3. The topological entropy of $\Sigma(\infty)$

Let  $\Gamma$  be a Gromov hyperbolic group with a finite generating set  $A$  on which we fix a total order relation. Let  $\Sigma(\infty)$  the corresponding SFT.

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by  $W_n$  the set of all words with length  $n$  that occur in  $\Sigma(\infty)$  and by  $A_n$  the set of all elements in  $\Gamma$  with word length  $n$  with respect to the finite generating set  $A$  (as a particular case,  $A_0 = \{e\}$ ). We set  $D_n = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} W_k$  and  $B_n = \bigcup_{0 \leq k \leq n} A_k$ . For each  $s \in S$ , we set

$$W_n(s) = \{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n \mid \sigma_0 = s\},$$

and for each  $a \in A$ ,

$$A_n(a) = \{a\gamma \in A_n \mid \gamma \in A_{n-1}\}.$$

We write  $D_n(s) = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} W_k(s)$  and  $B_n(a) = \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} A_k(a)$ .

We denote by  $\text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$  the *growth entropy* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $A$ :

$$\text{gr}(\Gamma, A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \text{card } A_n.$$

We also define

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{A}_n &= \{\gamma \in A_n \mid \gamma = w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1}) \text{ for some } (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n\}, \\ \bar{B}_n &= \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \bar{A}_n, \\ \bar{A}_n(w(s)) &= \{\gamma \in A_n(w(s)) \mid \gamma = w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1}) \\ &\quad \text{for some } (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n(s)\}, \\ \bar{B}_n(w(s)) &= \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq n} \bar{A}_n(w(s)).\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.1.** *There is a constant  $K > 0$  such that*

$$\text{card}\{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n \mid w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1}) = \gamma\} \leq K,$$

for every  $n \geq 1$  and every  $\gamma \in A_n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi, \varphi' \in \Phi_0$  and  $g, g'$  their smallest gradient rays starting at  $e$  such that  $g(n) = g'(n) = \gamma \in A_n$ . Note that  $\varphi(\gamma, e) = \varphi'(\gamma, e) = -n$ . We denote  $\sigma = P(\varphi)$  and  $\sigma' = P(\varphi')$ . By Lemma 2.24, we have  $\gamma_n(\sigma) = \gamma_n(\sigma') = \gamma$ .

We first claim that  $g = g'$  on  $[0, n]$ . We now assume that  $g \neq g'$  on  $[0, n]$ . We may assume that  $g' < g$  in the lexicographic order on  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$  without loss of generality.

Note that  $\varphi(e, \gamma) = \varphi(g(0), g(n)) = n = |e - \gamma|$ , and  $g' : [0, n] \rightarrow X$  is a geodesic joining  $e$  and  $\gamma$ . From Proposition 2.9(2) it follows that  $g' : [0, n] \rightarrow X$  is a  $\varphi$ -gradient arc. Then we define the path  $\bar{g} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  by

$$\bar{g}(k) = \begin{cases} g'(k) & \text{for } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ g(k) & \text{for } n \leq k. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.8, the path  $\bar{g}$  is  $\varphi$ -gradient ray starting at  $e$  such that  $\bar{g} < g$  in the lexicographic order on  $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Therefore  $g$  would be not the smallest  $\varphi$ -gradient ray. Hence we have  $g = g'$  on  $[0, n]$ .

Let  $h, h'$  be primitives for  $\varphi, \varphi'$  satisfying  $h(e) = h'(e) = 0$ , respectively. We set  $B = B(\gamma, L + R)$ .

We secondly claim that if  $h = h'$  on  $B$ , then  $h = h'$  on  $N(g([0, n + L]), R)$ . Notice that  $R > 16\delta$  and  $L > 2R$ . Let  $k \in [0, n]$  satisfying  $n - k \leq 2R$ . Since  $N(g([k, n + L]), R) \subseteq B$ , we have  $h = h'$  on  $N(g([k, n + L]), R)$ . Next let  $k \geq 0$  satisfying  $n - k > 2R$ . For  $x \in B(g(k), R)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}n &= |g(0) - g(n)| = |g(0) - g(k)| + |g(k) - g(n)| \\ &\geq |g(0) - x| - |x - g(k)| + |g(k) - g(n)| \geq |g(0) - x| - R + (n - k) \\ &> |g(0) - x| + R > |g(0) - x| + 16\delta.\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.14, we have  $\varphi(x, g(n)) = \text{dist}(x, R_{\varphi, n})$ . Recall that

$$R_{\varphi, n} = \{x \in X \mid \varphi(x, g(n)) = 0\} \cap B(g(n), 16\delta).$$

Hence  $h(x) + n = \text{dist}(x, R_{\varphi, n})$ . This shows that the value of  $h(x)$  depends only on the restriction of  $h$  on  $B(g(n), 16\delta) \subseteq B$ . Namely we obtain our claim.

We now assume that  $h = h'$  on  $B$ . In this case, we remark that  $g = g'$  on  $[0, n+L]$ . By Proposition 2.21, we have  $V(\alpha^k(\varphi)) = N(g(k)^{-1}g([k, k+L]), R) = V(\alpha^k(\varphi'))$  for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . For each  $x \in V(\alpha^k(\varphi))$ , since

$$N(g(k)^{-1}g([k, k+L]), R) = g(k)^{-1}N(g([k, k+L]), R),$$

there is  $y \in N(g([k, k+L]), R)$  such that  $x = g(k)^{-1}y$ . Then

$$\rho(\alpha^k(\varphi))(x) = g(k)^{-1}\varphi(x, e) = \varphi(y, g(k)) = h(y) - h(g(k)) = h(y) + k.$$

Similarly we also obtain  $\rho(\alpha^k(\varphi))(x) = h'(y) + k$ . Hence if  $h = h'$  on  $B$ , then it follows from the second claim that

$$\rho(\alpha^k(\varphi))(x) = h(y) + k = h'(y) + k = \rho(\alpha^k(\varphi')).$$

Therefore  $\rho(\alpha^k(\varphi)) = \rho(\alpha^k(\varphi'))$ ; that is,  $\sigma_k = \sigma'_k$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq n$ .

Hence it suffices to set  $K = (2(L+R)+1)^b$ , where  $b = \text{card } B = \text{card } B(e, L+R)$ . Indeed, for every  $x \in B$  we have, using Proposition 2.6,

$$|h(x) + n| = |h(x) - h(\gamma)| = |\varphi(x, \gamma)| \leq |x - \gamma| \leq L + R.$$

This easily leads to the assertion. □

**Corollary 3.2.**  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$ .

*Proof.* For each  $n \geq 0$ , the map  $W_n \ni (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \mapsto w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1}) \in A_n$  is uniformly finite-to-one by Lemma 3.1. Thus

$$\text{card } W_n \leq K \text{card } A_n.$$

The assertion follows immediately. □

**Remark 3.3.** A fundamental theorem of J. Stallings [1971] shows that a finitely generated group  $\Gamma$  has infinitely many ends if and only if it has a form of either (2) or (3) of Corollary 1.2. In particular, a torsion-free group has the form (1).

#### 4. Proof of main results

*Proof of Corollary 1.2.* In view of Corollary 3.2, we just need to show that  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) \geq \text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$  if one of the conditions (1)–(3) of Corollary 1.2 is satisfied. Remark 3.3 shows that it suffices to check cases (2) and (3); but we check case (1) explicitly as well because it is very simple.

Case (1): It suffices to show that the map  $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \mapsto w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1})$  from  $W_n$  to  $A_n$  is surjective. Let  $\gamma \in A_n$ . There is the smallest geodesic segment  $r : [0, n] \rightarrow X$  from  $e$  to  $\gamma$ . We can take  $g$  to be a geodesic ray extending  $r$ , meaning

that  $r(k) = g(k)$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Indeed, by assumption, we have  $\Gamma = G_1 * G_2$ . Then  $\gamma$  is written as a reduced word  $g_1 \cdots g_m$ , where  $g_k \in G_{i_k}$  with  $i_k \neq i_{k+1}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ . Hence for  $l \geq 1$ , it is enough to set

$$g(n+2l) = \gamma \cdot \underbrace{ab \cdots ab}_{2l}, \quad g(n+2l-1) = \gamma \cdot \underbrace{ab \cdots ba}_{2l-1},$$

for some  $a \in F_i$  and  $b \in F_{i_m}$  with  $i \neq i_m$  and  $a, b \neq e$ .

We consider the cocycle  $\varphi_g$  having the Busemann function  $h_g$  as a primitive. It is clear that  $g$  is a  $\varphi_g$ -gradient ray. Moreover by definition,  $g$  is, in fact, the smallest  $\varphi_g$ -gradient ray starting at  $e$ . Hence  $\gamma = w(P(\varphi_g)) \cdots w(P(\alpha^{n-1}(\varphi_g)))$ . It follows that the map above is surjective. Thus  $\text{card } A_n \leq \text{card } W_n$ , and  $\text{gr}(\Gamma, A) \leq h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty))$  as needed.

Case (2): Now we assume that  $\Gamma = G_1 *_H G_2$ . Let  $\gamma \in A_n$  with  $n \geq 2$ . We express the element  $\gamma$  by the reduced word  $g_1 \cdots g_m$ , where  $g_k \in G_{i_k} \setminus H$  with  $i_k \neq i_{k+1}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq m-1$ . We take a sequence  $(g_{m+1}, g_{m+2}, \dots)$  such that  $g_k \in F_{i_k} \setminus H$  with  $i_{k-1} \neq i_k$  for all  $k \geq m+1$ . We define a sequence  $(g(k))_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $X$  by  $g(k) = g_1 \cdots g_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $\langle y, z \rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|y| + |z| - |y - z|)$  be the Gromov product based at  $e$ . For  $l \geq k \geq m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 2\langle g(k), g(l) \rangle &= |g(k)| + |g(l)| - |g(k)^{-1}g(l)| \\ &\geq k + l - |g(k)^{-1}g(l)| = k + l - |g_{k+1} \cdots g_l| \\ &\geq k + l - (l - k) = 2k \end{aligned}$$

tends to  $\infty$  with  $k$ ; thus there exists  $\xi \in \partial X$  such that the sequence  $(g(k))_{k=1}^\infty$  converges to  $\xi$ . Let  $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be a geodesic ray starting at  $e$  with  $r(\infty) = \xi$ . We denote by  $\varphi_r$  the cocycle with respect to the Busemann function  $h_r$ . Let  $g' : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be the smallest  $\varphi_r$ -gradient ray starting at  $e$ . Because  $r$  is also a  $\varphi_r$ -gradient ray, it follows from Proposition 2.11 that  $g'(\infty) = \xi$ . We can express  $g'$  by the infinite reduced word  $(g'_1, g'_2, \dots)$  with  $g'_k \in G_{j_k} \setminus H$  and  $j_k \neq j_{k+1}$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Since  $g'(\infty) = \xi$ , we have  $i_k = j_k$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Moreover we obtain  $\gamma = g(m) = g_1 \cdots g_m = g'_1 \cdots g'_m h$  for some  $h \in H$ . Let  $k_m \geq 1$  such that  $g'(k_m) = g'_1 \cdots g'_{k_m}$ . Then we have  $|g(m) - g'(k_m)| \leq 1$ . Note that  $n-1 \leq k_m \leq n+1$ . Hence we have proved that for any  $\gamma \in A_n$ , there is  $\gamma' \in A_n$  such that  $\gamma' \in B(\gamma, 2)$  and  $\gamma' = w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1})$  for some  $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n$ . Therefore  $\text{card } A_n \leq \text{card } B(e, 2) \cdot \text{card } W_n$ , and the assertion follows.

Case (3): We assume that  $\Gamma = G *_H \theta$ . Let  $\gamma \in A_n$ . The element  $\gamma$  can be represented by either (i)  $g_0 \in G$  or (ii) a reduced word  $g_0 x^{\varepsilon_0} \cdots g_{m-1} x^{\varepsilon_{m-1}} g_m$ , where  $g_k \in G$  and  $\varepsilon_k \in \{1, -1\}$  for all  $0 \leq k \leq m$ . In case (i), we set  $g_k = e$  for  $k \geq 1$  and  $\varepsilon_k = 1$  for  $k \geq 0$ . In case (ii), we set  $g_k = e$  for  $k \geq m+1$  and  $\varepsilon_k = \varepsilon_{m-1}$

for  $k \geq m$ . Then we define the sequence  $(g(k))_{k=0}^\infty$  in  $X$  by  $g(k) = g_0 x^{\varepsilon_0} \cdots g_k x^{\varepsilon_k}$  for all  $k \geq 0$ . Again, for  $l \geq k \geq m$ ,

$$2(g(k), g(l)) = |g(k)| + |g(l)| - |g(k)^{-1}g(l)| \geq k + l - |x^{\varepsilon_{k+1}} \cdots x^{\varepsilon_l}| = 2k$$

goes to  $\infty$  with  $k$ ; hence  $(g(k))_{k=0}^\infty$  converges to some  $\xi \in \partial\Gamma$ . Let  $r : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be a geodesic ray with  $r(0) = e$  and  $r(\infty) = \xi$ . We denote by  $\varphi_r$  the cocycle with respect to the Busemann function  $h_r$ . Let  $g' : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$  be the smallest  $\varphi_r$ -gradient ray starting at  $e$ . We can also represent the geodesic ray  $g'$  as the infinite reduced word  $(g'_0 x^{\delta_0}, g'_1 x^{\delta_1}, \dots)$ . Since  $g'(\infty) = \xi$ , we have  $\varepsilon_i = \delta_i$  for all  $i \geq 0$ . Moreover we obtain  $\gamma = g_0 x^{\varepsilon_0} \cdots g_m = g'_0 x^{\varepsilon_0} \cdots g'_m g$ , for some either  $g \in H$  if  $\varepsilon_m = 1$ , or  $g \in \theta(H)$  if  $\varepsilon_m = -1$ . Let  $k_m \geq 1$  such that  $g'(k_m) = g'_0 x^{\varepsilon_0} \cdots g'_m$ . Then we have  $|\gamma - g(k_m)| \leq 1$ . Note that  $n-1 \leq k_m \leq n+1$ . Hence we have shown that for each  $\gamma \in A_n$ , there is  $\gamma' \in A_n$  such that  $\gamma' \in B(\gamma, 2)$  and  $\gamma' = w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1})$  for some  $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n$ . Therefore  $\text{card } A_n \leq \text{card } B(e, 2) \cdot \text{card } W_n$ , and  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$  as needed.  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** It is easy to check that the topological entropy  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty))$  does not depend on the choice of total order relations on  $A$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* It suffices to show that  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) \leq k_\infty^-(\lambda_A)$ , because the inequality  $k_\infty^-(\lambda_A) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma, A)$  has been proved in [Okayasu 2004, Proposition 4.1]. Let  $\lambda_{w(S)} = \{\lambda_{w(s)} \mid s \in S\}$ . Note that  $k_\infty^-(\lambda_{w(S)}) \leq k_\infty^-(\lambda_A)$ .

Since  $\Sigma(\infty)$  is an SFT, there are  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $W \subseteq S^{N+1}$  such that

$$\Sigma(\infty) = \{(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \Sigma \mid (\sigma_n, \dots, \sigma_{n+N}) \in W \text{ for any } n \geq 0\}.$$

Let  $I = S^N$  and  $\beta_N : \Sigma(\infty) \rightarrow I^\mathbb{N}$  be the  $N$ -th higher block code. Then the subshift  $\beta_N(\Sigma(\infty))$  is the Markov shift  $\Sigma_M$  for some matrix  $M = [M(i, j)]_{i, j \in I}$ . Let  $\mu$  be the maximal measure on  $\Sigma(\infty)$ , i.e.,  $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) = h_\mu(T|_{\Sigma(\infty)})$ . For simplicity, we denote by  $h$  the topological entropy of  $\Sigma(\infty)$ . We denote by  $[\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]$  the cylinder set at 0-th coordinate. For  $(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n$  with  $n \geq N$ , we have

$$\mu([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]) = \frac{l_i r_j}{e^{(n-N)h}},$$

where  $i = (\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{N-1})$ ,  $j = (\sigma_{n-N}, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in I$  and  $l, r$  are the left and right Perron vectors of  $M$  with  $\sum_{i \in I} l_i r_i = 1$  (see [Kitchens 1998]).

For each  $n \geq 0$ , denote by  $P_n$  the projection onto the subspace

$$\overline{\text{span}} \{\delta_\gamma \in \ell^2(\Gamma) \mid |\gamma| = n\}.$$

For  $a \in A$ , define the partial isometry  $T_a \in \mathbb{B}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$  [Okayasu 2002; 2004] by

$$T_a = \sum_{n \geq 0} P_{n+1} \lambda_a P_n.$$

For each  $s \in S$ , we define  $X_s$  by

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \\ \in W_n(s)}} \mu([\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]) T_{w(\sigma_1)} \cdots T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})} P_0 T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})}^* \cdots T_{w(\sigma_1)}^* T_{w(\sigma_0)}^*.$$

Then  $\sum_{s \in S} [X_s, \lambda_{w(s)}] = P_0$ , because

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s \in S} \lambda_{w(s)} X_s \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \\ \in W_n(s)}} \mu([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]) T_{w(\sigma_0)} \cdots T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})} P_0 T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})}^* \cdots T_{w(\sigma_0)}^* \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \\ \in W_n}} \mu([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]) T_{w(\sigma_0)} \cdots T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})} P_0 T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})}^* \cdots T_{w(\sigma_0)}^* \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s \in S} X_s \lambda_{w(s)} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{s \in S} \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \\ \in W_n(s)}} \mu([\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]) T_{w(\sigma_1)} \cdots T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})} P_0 T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})}^* \cdots T_{w(\sigma_1)}^* \\ &= P_0 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \\ \in W_n}} \mu([\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]) T_{w(\sigma_0)} \cdots T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})} P_0 T_{w(\sigma_{n-1})}^* \cdots T_{w(\sigma_0)}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Next we give an estimate of  $\|X_s\|_1^{\tilde{\dagger}}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\gamma \in \bar{A}_n(w(s))$ , we define

$$s_\gamma = \sum_{\substack{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n(s) \\ \gamma = w(\sigma_0) \cdots w(\sigma_{n-1})}} \mu([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]).$$

This sum is uniformly finite by Lemma 3.1. Thus

$$C_1 e^{-nh} \leq s_\gamma \leq C_2 e^{-nh}$$

for constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$ , independent of  $n$  and  $\gamma$ .

Let  $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \cdots$  be the eigenvalues of  $(X_s^* X_s)^{1/2}$ . For each  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $\gamma_j \in \bar{A}_{n_j}(w(s))$  such that  $s_j = s_{\gamma_j}$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Recall that

$$\|X_s\|_1^{\tilde{\dagger}} = \inf_{Y \in \mathbb{F}(\ell^2(\Gamma))_1^{\dagger}} \|X_s - Y\|_1^{\dagger}.$$

By doing finite rank perturbations if necessary, we may assume that for all  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$e^{-n_j(h+\varepsilon)} \leq s_j \leq e^{-n_j(h-\varepsilon)}.$$

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $e^{-N\varepsilon} \leq C_1$  and  $n \geq N$ . If there is  $m > n$  such that  $j \leq \text{card } \bar{B}_n(w(s))$  and  $\gamma_j \in \bar{A}_m(w(s))$ , we have

$$e^{-m(h-\varepsilon)} \geq e^{-n(h+\varepsilon)}.$$

For otherwise we would have

$$s_j \leq e^{m(h-\varepsilon)} < e^{-n(h+\varepsilon)} \leq e^{-n\varepsilon} \frac{s_\gamma}{C_1} \leq s_\gamma$$

for all  $\gamma \in \bar{B}_n(w(s))$  and this is a contradiction. Therefore  $e^{m(h-\varepsilon)} \geq e^{-n(h+\varepsilon)}$ , namely

$$m \leq n \frac{h+\varepsilon}{h-\varepsilon}.$$

We put

$$k = \max \left\{ m \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \leq n \frac{h+\varepsilon}{h-\varepsilon} \right\}.$$

Since

$$\mu([s]) = \sum_{(\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}) \in W_n(s)} \mu([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}]) \leq \text{card } W_n(s) \cdot C e^{-nh},$$

for some  $C > 0$ , we obtain

$$\frac{\mu([s])e^{nh}}{C} \leq \text{card } W_n(s).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_s\|_1^{\tilde{+}} &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{\text{card } \bar{B}_n(w(s))} s_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{\text{card } \bar{B}_n(w(s))} j^{-1}} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^k \sum_{\gamma \in \bar{A}_l(w(s))} \sum_{w(\sigma_0) \dots w(\sigma_{l-1}) = \gamma} \mu([\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{l-1}])}{\log \text{card } \bar{B}_n(w(s))} \\ &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{l=1}^k \mu([s])}{\log \text{card } \bar{B}_n(w(s))} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log \text{card } \bar{A}_n(w(s))} \frac{h+\varepsilon}{h-\varepsilon} \mu([s]) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log \text{card } W_n(s) - \log K} \frac{h+\varepsilon}{h-\varepsilon} \mu([s]) \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{\log \mu([s]) + nh - \log C - \log K} \frac{h+\varepsilon}{h-\varepsilon} \mu([s]) \\ &= \frac{h+\varepsilon}{h(h-\varepsilon)} \mu([s]). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used that  $\text{card } W_n(s) \leq K \text{card } \bar{A}_n(w(s))$  (Lemma 3.1). Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, we have

$$\|X_s\|_1^{\tilde{+}} \leq \frac{1}{h} \mu([s]).$$

Thanks to Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$h = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma(\infty)) \leq k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_{w(s)}) \leq k_{\infty}^{-}(\lambda_A) \leq \text{gr}(\Gamma, A). \quad \square$$

### Acknowledgment

The author expresses his gratitude to Masaki Izumi for his constant encouragement and important suggestions.

### References

- [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 1993] M. Coornaert and A. Papadopoulos, *Symbolic dynamics and hyperbolic groups*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1539**, Springer, Berlin, 1993. MR 94d:58054 Zbl 0783.58017
- [Coornaert and Papadopoulos 2001] M. Coornaert and A. Papadopoulos, “Horofunctions and symbolic dynamics on Gromov hyperbolic groups”, *Glasg. Math. J.* **43**:3 (2001), 425–456. MR 2003c:20047 Zbl 1044.20027
- [David and Voiculescu 1990] G. David and D. Voiculescu, “ $s$ -numbers of singular integrals for the invariance of absolutely continuous spectra in fractional dimensions”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **94**:1 (1990), 14–26. MR 92f:47014 Zbl 0732.47023
- [Gohberg and Kreĭn 1969] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Kreĭn, *Introduction to the theory of linear nonselfadjoint operators*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs **18**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969. MR 39 #7447 Zbl 0181.13504
- [Gromov 1987] M. Gromov, “Hyperbolic groups”, pp. 75–263 in *Essays in group theory*, edited by S. M. Gersten, Math. Sciences Research Inst. Publ. **8**, Springer, New York, 1987. MR 89e:20070 Zbl 0634.20015
- [Kitchens 1998] B. P. Kitchens, *Symbolic dynamics: One-sided, two-sided and countable state Markov shifts*, Universitext, Springer, Berlin, 1998. MR 98k:58079 Zbl 0892.58020
- [Lind and Marcus 1995] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. MR 97a:58050 Zbl 00822672
- [Matsumoto 1997] K. Matsumoto, “On  $C^*$ -algebras associated with subshifts”, *Internat. J. Math.* **8**:3 (1997), 357–374. MR 98h:46077 Zbl 0885.46048
- [Okayasu 2002] R. Okayasu, “Cuntz–Krieger–Pimsner algebras associated with amalgamated free product groups”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **38** (2002), 147–190. MR 2003h:46102 Zbl 1007.46055
- [Okayasu 2004] R. Okayasu, “Entropy of subshifts and the Macaev norm”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **56**:1 (2004), 177–191. MR 2004j:47137 Zbl 1062.47030
- [Stallings 1971] J. Stallings, *Group theory and three-dimensional manifolds*, Yale Mathematical Monographs **4**, Yale University Press, New Haven, 1971. MR 54 #3705 Zbl 0241.57001
- [Voiculescu 1979] D. Voiculescu, “Some results on norm-ideal perturbations of Hilbert space operators”, *J. Operator Theory* **2**:1 (1979), 3–37. MR 80m:47012 Zbl 0446.47003
- [Voiculescu 1981] D. Voiculescu, “Some results on norm-ideal perturbations of Hilbert space operators. II”, *J. Operator Theory* **5**:1 (1981), 77–100. MR 83f:47014 Zbl 0483.46036

[Voiculescu 1990] D. Voiculescu, “On the existence of quasicontral approximate units relative to normed ideals. I”, *J. Funct. Anal.* **91**:1 (1990), 1–36. MR 91m:46089 Zbl 0762.46051

[Voiculescu 1993] D. Voiculescu, “Entropy of random walks on groups and the Macaev norm”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **119**:3 (1993), 971–977. MR 93m:47077 Zbl 0799.60006

Received September 23, 2003. Revised May 7, 2005.

RUI OKAYASU  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
OSAKA KYOIKU UNIVERSITY  
ASAHIGAOKA KASHIWARA 582-8582  
JAPAN  
rui@cc.osaka-kyoiku.ac.jp

