

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**EXISTENCE OF TIME-PERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO THE
NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS AROUND A MOVING BODY**

GIOVANNI P. GALDI AND ANA L. SILVESTRE

Volume 223 No. 2

February 2006

EXISTENCE OF TIME-PERIODIC SOLUTIONS TO THE NAVIER–STOKES EQUATIONS AROUND A MOVING BODY

GIOVANNI P. GALDI AND ANA L. SILVESTRE

We demonstrate the existence of time-periodic motions of an incompressible Navier–Stokes fluid subject to a time-periodic body force, occupying the region exterior to a body that performs a periodic rigid motion of same period.

1. Introduction

Consider a rigid body \mathcal{B} moving through an infinitely extended Navier–Stokes liquid \mathcal{L} , which is subject to an external force f . If Ω is the three-dimensional region exterior to \mathcal{B} , with boundary Σ , the equations of motion of \mathcal{L} with respect to a frame attached to \mathcal{B} and with the origin at the center of mass of \mathcal{B} are

$$(1-1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u = \nu \Delta u - \nabla p + (V - u) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \times u + f \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0 \\ u = V \quad \text{on } \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}; \end{array} \right\} \text{ in } \Omega \times \mathbb{R},$$

see [Galdi 2002]. Here $u = u(x, t)$ is the velocity field of the liquid, ν is the kinematic viscosity coefficient of \mathcal{L} , and $p = p(x, t)$ is the pressure field divided by the (constant) density of \mathcal{L} , and ω is the angular velocity of \mathcal{B} . The velocity field associated with the rigid motion of \mathcal{B} is

$$V(x, t) = \zeta(t) + \omega(t) \times x,$$

where ζ is the velocity of the center of mass of \mathcal{B} .

The question we address is the following. Assume that \mathcal{B} moves periodically with period T (that is, ζ and ω are periodic functions of time), and that f is also periodic with the same period. Then, does the fluid execute a time-periodic motion

MSC2000: 76D03, 76D05, 35Q35.

Keywords: Navier–Stokes equations, time-periodic solutions, moving obstacle.

Galdi's was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0103970 and DMS-0404834. Silvestre's work was partially supported by F.C.T. through POCTI-FEDER and projects POCTI/MAT/34735/99 and POCTI/MAT/61792/2004.

of period T ? Though simple in its formulation and physically significant, this problem seemingly has been solved only when \mathcal{B} is at rest [Maremonti 1991a; 1991b; Kozono and Nakao 1996; Maremonti and Padula 1996; Salvi 1995; Yamazaki 2000; Galdi and Sohr 2004]. (See also [Morimoto 1971/72] and the references therein for the case where Ω is a bounded domain.) The methods adopted in all these papers do not extend directly to the case when \mathcal{B} undergoes periodic motion; they basically revolve around the properties of solutions of the *linearized* problem, \mathcal{P}_L , obtained by disregarding the nonlinear term $u \cdot \nabla u$ in equation (1–1)₁. If the body is at rest, \mathcal{P}_L involves only the Stokes operator, $A = -P \Delta$ (where P is the Helmholtz projection), and it reduces to the well-known Stokes problem. If \mathcal{B} is in motion, by contrast, \mathcal{P}_L involves the linear operator

$$A + (\zeta + \omega \times x) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \times u.$$

(In the appropriate function class, we have $P((\zeta + \omega \times x) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \times u) = (\zeta + \omega \times x) \cdot \nabla u - \omega \times u$.) Then, especially due to the presence of the *unbounded* coefficient $\omega \times x$, the linearized problem is much more complicated than the Stokes problem and its functional properties, to date, are not completely understood; see [Hishida 1999; Galdi 2003; Farwig et al. 2004]. One must therefore resort to other approaches. Note that exactly the same difficulty arises in the study of the initial-boundary and boundary value problems associated to (1–1), for whose results and corresponding methods we refer to [Hishida 1999; Galdi and Silvestre 2002; Galdi 2003; Silvestre 2004] and references therein.

To our knowledge, even for the simpler case when $\omega \equiv 0$ and $\zeta \neq 0$ no results are available.

In this paper we show the existence of weak and strong periodic solutions to problem (1–1) in the case when \mathcal{B} moves by an arbitrary time-periodic motion and f is time-periodic with the same period. We prove these results by means of the classical Faedo–Galerkin approach suitably coupled with an “invading domains” technique [Ladyzhenskaya 1969; Heywood 1980]. Specifically, in each bounded domain Ω_k of an increasing sequence of domains covering Ω , we show the existence of a periodic solution $(u^{(k)}, p^{(k)})$. This solution is “weak”, in the sense of Leray and Hopf, for ζ , ω and f of arbitrary size in a suitable function class, and for an arbitrary exterior domain Ω . Moreover, if Ω is of class C^2 and the size of ζ , ω and f is appropriately restricted, we prove the existence of more regular solutions such that $du^{(k)}/dt, u^{(k)}, \nabla u^{(k)}, D^2 u^{(k)} \in L^2(\Omega_k \times [0, T])$, and $p^{(k)}, \nabla p^{(k)} \in L^2(\Omega_k \times [0, T])$. Because the term $(\zeta + \omega \times x) \cdot \nabla u^{(k)} - \omega \times u^{(k)}$ possesses nice functional properties on each *bounded* Ω_k [Galdi and Silvestre 2002; 2005; Silvestre 2004], we are able to obtain estimates for $u^{(k)}$, *uniformly* in k , that allow us to pass to the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$ and to prove that weak (Theorem 3.2) and strong (Theorem 4.1) periodic solutions to (1–1) exist in the whole of Ω . In the

special case $\zeta \equiv \omega \equiv 0$, our results improve those previously known, in that we require no regularity on Ω (versus the C^2 -regularity needed in [Maremonti and Padula 1996]) in the case of weak solutions, and only C^2 -regularity (versus the C^3 -regularity needed in [Salvi 1995]) in the case of strong solutions.

The *uniqueness* problem is left open, even for strong solutions. As shown in [Galdi and Sohr 2004] for the simpler instance $\zeta \equiv \omega \equiv 0$, uniqueness is not related to the local regularity of solutions but, rather, to their asymptotic behavior *in space*. The determination of this latter for the case at hand appears to be a challenging question that will be treated elsewhere.

The paper is organized as follows. After recalling in Section 2 some notation and preparatory results, in Section 3 we show the existence of weak periodic solution, while Section 4 is dedicated to the existence of strong periodic solutions.

2. Notation and preparatory results

Let \mathcal{A} be a domain of \mathbb{R}^3 . We denote by $\delta(\mathcal{A})$ the diameter of \mathcal{A} and, for $R > \delta(\mathcal{A})$, we set $\mathcal{A}_R = \mathcal{A} \cap B_R$ and $\overline{\mathcal{A}}^R = \mathcal{A} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{A}_R}$, where $B_R = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| < R\}$, and the bar denotes closure.

An *exterior domain* is the complement of the closure of a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 .

We shall use standard notation for function spaces [Adams 1975]. For instance, $L^q(\mathcal{A})$, $H^m(\mathcal{A}) := W^{m,2}(\mathcal{A})$, $H_0^m(\mathcal{A}) := W_0^{m,q}(\mathcal{A})$, etc., denote the usual Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces on the domain \mathcal{A} , with norms $\|\cdot\|_{q,\mathcal{A}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{m,2,\mathcal{A}}$, respectively.

If G, H are second-order tensor fields and g, h are vector fields on \mathcal{A} , we set

$$(G, H)_{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} G_{ij} H_{ij}, \quad (g, h)_{\mathcal{A}} = \int_{\mathcal{A}} g_i h_i,$$

whenever the integrals make sense. If there is no danger of confusion, we shall omit the subscript \mathcal{A} .

The trace space on $\partial\mathcal{A}$ for functions from $H^m(\mathcal{A})$ is denoted by $H^{m-1/2}(\partial\mathcal{A})$ and its norm by $\|\cdot\|_{m-1/2,\partial\mathcal{A}}$. Classical properties and results related to these spaces can be found in [Adams 1975; Galdi 1994a]. The following spaces of solenoidal functions will be needed:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) &= \{\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathcal{A}) : \nabla \cdot \phi = 0\}, \\ H(\mathcal{A}) &= \text{completion of } \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ in the norm } \|\cdot\|_2, \\ V(\mathcal{A}) &= \text{completion of } \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{A}) \text{ in the norm } \|\nabla(\cdot)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The dual space of $V(\mathcal{A})$ will be denoted by $V'(\mathcal{A})$ with norm $\|\cdot\|_{-1,\mathcal{A}}$ and the duality $\langle F, u \rangle_{\mathcal{A}}$ will indicate the value of $F \in V'(\mathcal{A})$ at $u \in V(\mathcal{A})$. If \mathcal{A} is locally

Lipschitzian with outward unit normal N , we have

$$H(\mathcal{A}) = \{\Phi \in L^2(\mathcal{A}) : \nabla \cdot \Phi = 0 \text{ and } \Phi|_{\partial\mathcal{A}} \cdot N = 0\},$$

$$V(\mathcal{A}) = \{\Phi \in H^1_{loc}(\bar{\mathcal{A}}) : \nabla \Phi \in L^2(\mathcal{A}), \nabla \cdot \Phi = 0 \text{ and } \Phi|_{\partial\mathcal{A}} = 0\};$$

see [Temam 1984; Galdi 1994a]. The orthogonal complement of $H(\mathcal{A})$ in $L^2(\mathcal{A})$ is

$$G(\mathcal{A}) = \{\nabla \pi \in L^2(\mathcal{A}) : \pi \in H^1_{loc}(\bar{\mathcal{A}})\}.$$

The orthogonal projection of $L^2(\mathcal{A})$ onto $H(\mathcal{A})$ is denoted by P .

If X is a Banach space, we denote by $L^q(0, T; X)$ the space of all measurable functions from $[0, T]$ to X , such that $\int_0^T \|u(t)\|_X^p dt < \infty$, and by $C([0, T]; X)$ the space of continuous function from $[0, T]$ to X .

Lemma 2.1. *Let X_0, X_1, X be Hilbert spaces such that the injection of X_0 into X is compact and the injection of X into X_1 is continuous. Then the injection of the space*

$$\{v \in L^2(0, T; X_0) : \frac{dv}{dt} \in L^1(0, T; X_1)\}.$$

into $L^2(0, T; X)$ is compact.

Proof. See [Temam 1984]. □

The boundary velocity $u(x, t) = \zeta(t) + \omega(t) \times x$ has a solenoidal extension:

Lemma 2.2. *Let Ω be an exterior domain of \mathbb{R}^3 , and let $\zeta, \omega \in H^1(0, T)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a solenoidal function $\tilde{u} \in H^1(0, T; W^{m,q}(\Omega))$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \in [1, \infty]$, such that*

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_{H^1(0,T;W^{m,q}(\Omega))} \leq C(\Sigma, m, q)(\|\zeta\|_{H^1(0,T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0,T)}),$$

$$\|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{m,q} \leq C(\Sigma, T, m, q)(\|\zeta\|_{H^1(0,T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0,T)}) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Moreover,

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_R} v(x, t) \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}(x, t) \cdot v(x, t) dx \right| < \epsilon \|\nabla v(t)\|_2^2,$$

for all $v \in C([0, T]; V(\Omega_R))$, $t \in [0, T]$, $R > \delta(\mathcal{B})$, and \tilde{u} is T -periodic if ζ and ω are T -periodic.

Proof. Using Lemma [Galdi 1994a, III.6.2], we consider a function $\eta_\alpha \in C^\infty_0(\bar{\Omega})$ such that $0 \leq \eta_\alpha \leq 1$, $\eta_\alpha = 1$ if $\text{dist}(x, \Sigma) < e^{-1/\alpha}/2$, $\eta_\alpha = 0$ if $\text{dist}(x, \Sigma) \geq 2e^{-1/\alpha}$, and $|\nabla \eta_\alpha(x)| \leq \alpha \text{dist}(x, \Sigma)$, for all $x \in \Omega$, with $\alpha > 0$. The extension is defined by

$$\tilde{u}(x, t) = -\nabla \times (\eta_\alpha(x)(\zeta_i(t)x_{(i+1) \bmod 3}e_i + \frac{1}{2}|x|^2\omega(t))).$$

Taking into account the properties of the function η_α [Galdi 1994b, Chapter IX], it is possible to choose α such that \tilde{u} satisfies the desired properties for a given ϵ . □

We end this section with some fundamental estimates of suitable three-linear forms.

Lemma 2.3. *Let Ω be an exterior domain of \mathbb{R}^3 , and let $v \in V(\Omega_R) \cap H^2(\Omega_R)$, $\omega \in H^1(0, T)$. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $C = C(\Omega, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that*

- (i) $(v \cdot \nabla v, P \Delta v)_{\Omega_R} \leq C (\|\nabla v\|_{2, \Omega_R}^4 + \|\nabla v\|_{2, \Omega_R}^6) + \varepsilon \|P \Delta v\|_{2, \Omega_R}^2$ and
- (ii) $((\omega \times v - \omega \times x \cdot \nabla v), P \Delta v)_{\Omega_R} \leq C (\|\omega\|_{H^1(0, T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0, T)}^2) \|\nabla v\|_{2, \Omega_R}^2 + \varepsilon \|P \Delta v\|_{2, \Omega_R}^2$.

Proof. The inequality in (i) is well known; see [Heywood 1980], for example. The proof of (ii) is given in [Galdi and Silvestre 2005]. □

3. Existence of periodic weak solutions

Denote by $\mathcal{D}_{T,p}$ the class of functions Φ that are infinitely differentiable in $\Omega \times [0, T]$, of compact support in Ω , and satisfying $\operatorname{div} \Phi(x, t) = 0$ in $\Omega \times [0, T]$ and $\Phi(x, 0) = \Phi(x, T)$ in Ω . If we formally multiply through both sides of (1–1)₁ by $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}_{T,p}$ and integrate by parts over $\Omega \times [0, T]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(u(T) - u(0), \Phi(0)) \\ &= \int_0^T ((u, \Phi_t) - v(\nabla u, \nabla \Phi) + ((V - u) \cdot \nabla u, \Phi) - (\omega \times u, \Phi) + \langle f, \Phi \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if u is time-periodic of period T , the right-hand side of this equation vanishes. Conversely, if u is a sufficiently regular field (in space and time) for which the right-hand side of the relation above vanishes for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}_{T,p}$, it follows by standard arguments that u satisfies (1–1)_{1,2} for some pressure field p and that $u(0) = u(T)$. We are thus led to:

Definition 3.1. A vector field u is a *periodic weak solution* to (1–1) if

- (i) $u - \tilde{u} \in L^2(0, T; V(\Omega))$, where \tilde{u} is the extension given in Lemma 2.2;
- (ii) for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}_{T,p}$,

$$(3-1) \int_0^T ((u, \Phi_t) - v(\nabla u, \nabla \Phi) + ((V - u) \cdot \nabla u, \Phi) - (\omega \times u, \Phi) + \langle f, \Phi \rangle) = 0.$$

Remark. It is easy to show that, if $f \in L^1(0, T; V'(\Omega))$ and $\xi, \omega \in H^1(0, T)$, every periodic weak solution satisfies

$$\frac{du}{dt} \in L^1(0, T; V'(\Omega_R)) \quad \text{for all } R > \delta(\mathcal{B}),$$

and so, in particular,

$$u \in C([0, T]; V'(\Omega_R)) \quad \text{for all } R > \delta(\mathcal{B}).$$

In fact, set $\Phi = \varphi \psi$ in (3–1), where $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and $\psi \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$. We obtain

$$\int_0^T (u(t), \varphi) \psi'(t) = - \int_0^T G_\varphi(t) \psi(t) \quad \text{for all } \psi \in C_0^\infty(0, T),$$

where

$$G_\varphi(t) = -\nu(\nabla u, \nabla \varphi) + ((V - u) \cdot \nabla u, \varphi) - (\omega \times u, \varphi) + \langle f, \varphi \rangle.$$

Using the inequality

$$\|u\|_{2, \Omega_R} \leq C(\Omega_R) (\|\nabla u\|_2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{1,2}),$$

along with the assumption on f , we obtain for a.a. $t \in [0, T]$

$$|G_\varphi(t)| \leq C(\Omega_R, \nu) \times ((1 + \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0,T)}) \|\nabla u\|_{2, \Omega_R} + \|\nabla u\|_2^2 + \|\tilde{u}\|_{1,2}^2 + \|f\|_{-1}) \|\varphi\|_{1,2, \Omega_R}.$$

Thus, with the help of Definition 3.1(ii), we find $G_\varphi(t) = \langle g(t), \varphi \rangle$ with $g \in L^1(0, T; V'(\Omega_R))$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}(u, \varphi) = \langle g, \varphi \rangle$$

in the sense of distributions on $[0, T]$. The desired property is then proved.

The objective of this section is to show:

Theorem 3.2. *Let Ω be an exterior domain of \mathbb{R}^3 . Let $\xi, \omega \in H^1(0, T)$ with $\xi(0) = \xi(T)$, $\omega(0) = \omega(T)$, and let $f \in L^2(0, T; V'(\Omega))$ be periodic in time of period T . Then there exists at least one periodic weak solution to (1–1).*

For the proof we use the “invading domains” procedure (see [Heywood 1980], for instance), in two steps. In the first, using the method of [Prouse 1963], we show the existence of a periodic weak solution u_m on bounded domains Ω_{R_m} , $R_m > \delta(\mathcal{B})$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and establish suitable *a priori* estimates. In the second step, we let $R_m \rightarrow \infty$ and show that u_m converges, in a suitable sense, to a periodic weak solution to (1–1).

Step 1: Construction of approximating periodic weak solutions in Ω_{R_m} . Let $\mathcal{S} = \{R_m : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ be an increasing and unbounded sequence of positive numbers with $R_1 > \delta(\mathcal{B})$ and let $\{\Omega_R : R \in \mathcal{S}\}$ with $\bigcup_{R \in \mathcal{S}} \Omega_R = \Omega$ be the corresponding sequence of bounded domains covering Ω .

In each Ω_R , $R \in \mathcal{S}$, we shall look for a T -periodic solution u_R in the form $u_R = v_R + \tilde{u}$, with \tilde{u} given by Lemma 2.2, and v_R (in the appropriate functional

class) satisfying the identity

$$(3-2) \quad \frac{d}{dt}(v_R, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} = -\nu(\nabla v_R, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_R} + ((V - v_R) \cdot \nabla v_R, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} - (v_R \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} \\ - (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_R, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} - (\omega \times v_R, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} + \langle \tilde{f}, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega_R}$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega_R)$, and a.a. $t \in]0, T[$, where

$$(3-3) \quad \tilde{f} = f + \nu \Delta \tilde{u} - \partial_t \tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} + V \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} - \omega \times \tilde{u}.$$

Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.2 and with the help of Lemma 2.2, we deduce that \tilde{f} is periodic of period T , $\tilde{f} \in L^2(0, T; V'(\Omega))$, and that

$$(3-4) \quad \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(0, T; V'(\Omega_R))} \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^2(0, T; V'(\Omega))} \\ \leq C(\Sigma)(\|\zeta\|_{H^1(0, T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0, T)}) + \|f\|_{L^2(0, T; V'(\Omega))}.$$

For each $R \in \mathcal{S}$, we consider a base $\{w_{Ri}\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $V(\Omega_R)$ orthonormal in $H(\Omega_R)$. We let

$$v_{Rk}(x, t) = \sum_{i=1}^k c_{Rki}(t) w_{Ri}(x),$$

where the coefficients $c_{Rk} = \{c_{Rk1}, \dots, c_{Rkk}\}$ are required to solve the system of ordinary differential equations

$$(3-5) \quad \frac{dc_{Rkj}}{dt} = \sum_{i=1}^k A_{ij}(t)c_{Rki} + \sum_{i,l=1}^k B_{ilj}c_{Rki}c_{Rkl} + C_j(t), \quad j = 1, \dots, k,$$

where

$$A_{ij} = -\nu(\nabla w_{Ri}, \nabla w_{Rj})_{\Omega_R} - (\omega \times w_{Ri}, w_{Rj})_{\Omega_R} + (V \cdot \nabla w_{Ri}, w_{Rj})_{\Omega_R} \\ - (w_{Ri} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}, w_{Rj})_{\Omega_R} - (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla w_{Ri}, w_{Rj})_{\Omega_R}, \\ B_{ilj} = -(w_{Ri} \cdot \nabla w_{Rl}, w_{Rj})_{\Omega_R}, \\ C_j = \langle \tilde{f}, w_{Rj} \rangle_{\Omega_R}.$$

Following [Prouse 1963], we begin to show the existence of a T -periodic solution to the system (3–5).

Lemma 3.1. *System (3–5) has a solution $c_{Rk} \in H^1(0, T)$, such that $c_{Rk}(0) = c_{Rk}(T)$.*

Proof. For each $R \in \mathcal{S}$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we choose an initial velocity $v_{0Rk} \in \text{span}\{w_{R1}, \dots, w_{Rk}\}$ and set $c_{Rkj}(0) = c_{0Rkj} := (w_{Rj}, v_{0Rk})_{\Omega_R}$. Since $\zeta, \omega \in H^1(0, T)$ and $f \in L^2(0, T, V'(\Omega))$, the system (3–5) has a unique solution $c_{Rk} \in H^1(0, T_{Rk})$ for some $T_{Rk} \leq T$. Multiplying (3–5) by c_{Rkj} , summing over j , integrating by parts, and recalling that

$$(3-6) \quad ((V_{Rk} - v_{Rk}) \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, v_{Rk}) = (\omega \times v_{Rk}, v_{Rk}) = (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, v_{Rk}) = 0,$$

(where we have omit the subscript Ω_R for simplicity), we see that v_{Rk} satisfies the equation

$$(3-7) \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_{Rk}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^2 = \langle \tilde{f}, v_{Rk} \rangle - (v_{Rk} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}, v_{Rk}).$$

Using Lemma 2.2 with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{4}\nu$, we get

$$-(v_{Rk} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}, v_{Rk}) \leq \frac{1}{4}\nu \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^2$$

and since

$$\langle \tilde{f}, v_{Rk} \rangle_{\Omega_R} \leq \|\tilde{f}\|_{-1} \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{4}\nu \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^2 + C(\nu) \|\tilde{f}\|_{-1}^2,$$

we obtain

$$(3-8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|v_{Rk}\|_2^2 + \nu \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^2 \leq C(\nu) \|\tilde{f}\|_{-1}^2.$$

Using the Poincaré inequality

$$(3-9) \quad \|\nabla w\|_2 \geq \frac{C}{R} \|w\|_2, \quad w \in H_0^1(\Omega_R),$$

with C a positive, absolute constant, we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|v_{Rk}\|_2^2 + \frac{\nu C_1}{R^2} \|v_{Rk}\|_2^2 \leq C_2(\nu) \|\tilde{f}\|_{-1}^2.$$

Consequently,

$$(3-10) \quad e^{\nu C_1 t / R^2} \|v_{Rk}(t)\|_2^2 \leq \|v_{0Rk}\|_2^2 + C_2(\nu) \int_0^{T_{Rk}} e^{\nu C_1 \tau / R^2} \|\tilde{f}(\tau)\|_{-1}^2 d\tau$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{Rk}]$. From this inequality it follows that

$$(3-11) \quad \|v_{Rk}(t)\|_2^2 \leq \|v_{0Rk}\|_2^2 + C_2(\nu) \int_0^T e^{\nu C_1 \tau / R^2} \|f(\tau)\|_{-1}^2 d\tau$$

for all $t \in [0, T_{Rk}]$. Using the orthogonality properties of $\{w_{R1}, \dots, w_{Rk}\}$ we have $|c_{Rk}(t)| = \|v_{Rk}(t)\|_2$, from which we conclude that $T_{Rk} = T$.

Let ϱ be such that

$$(3-12) \quad \varrho^2 \geq \frac{C_2(\nu) \int_0^T e^{\nu C_1 \tau / R^2} \|\tilde{f}(\tau)\|_{-1}^2 d\tau}{1 - e^{-\nu C_1 T / R^2}}$$

and let \mathbb{B}_ϱ^k be the ball of radius ϱ in \mathbb{R}^k . In view of (3-10) and (3-12), if $|c_{Rk}(0)| = \|v_{0Rk}\|_2 \leq \varrho$ then

$$|c_{Rk}(T)| = \|v_{Rk}(T)\|_2 \leq \varrho,$$

and thus the map $\mathcal{T} : \mathbb{B}_\varrho^k \rightarrow \mathbb{B}_\varrho^k$ such that $\mathcal{T}(c_{0Rk}) = c_{Rk}(T)$ is well defined. By the same procedure used in [Prouse 1963], we can show that the map \mathcal{T} is continuous,

and therefore has a fixed point; that is, there exists a solution to (3–5) such that $c_{Rk}(0) = c_{Rk}(T)$. \square

Here are some useful estimates for the approximating solution in Ω_R .

Lemma 3.2. *There exists a positive constant $C = C(\nu, \Sigma)$ such that*

$$(3-13) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla v_{Rk}(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;V'(\Omega))}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,T)}^2 + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0,T)}^2).$$

Moreover, there exists a positive constant C independent of $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$(3-14) \quad \|v_{Rk}(t)\|_2 \leq C \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T] \text{ and all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since, by Lemma 3.1, v_{Rk} is T -periodic, integrating (3–8) over $[0, T]$ we find

$$(3-15) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla v_{Rk}(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \leq C(\nu) \int_0^T \|\tilde{f}(\tau)\|_{-1}^2 d\tau$$

and then we use (3–4). This proves (3–13).

Equation (3–14) is an immediate consequence of (3–11). \square

We can now easily show the existence of a periodic weak solution on each Ω_R , for $R \in \mathcal{S}$. Actually, using Lemma 3.2 and well-known procedures (see [Galdi 2000], for example), we prove the existence of a field v_R and of a subsequence, again denoted by $\{v_{Rk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, such that

$$(3-16) \quad \begin{aligned} v_R &\in L^2(0, T; V(\Omega_R)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; H(\Omega_R)), \\ v_{Rk} &\rightarrow v_R \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V(\Omega_R)), \\ v_{Rk} &\rightarrow v_R \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; H(\Omega_R)), \\ v_{Rk}(t) &\rightarrow v_R(t) \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega_R), \text{ for all } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $v_{Rk}(0) = v_{Rk}(T)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the last condition in (3–16) implies that $v_R(0) = v_R(T)$, namely, that v_R is T -periodic. Moreover, in view of (3–16)₂ and of (3–13), we find

$$(3-17) \quad \int_0^T \|\nabla v_R(\tau)\|_{2,\Omega_R}^2 d\tau \leq C(\|f\|_{L^2(0,T;V'(\Omega))}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H^1(0,T)}^2 + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0,T)}^2),$$

with $C = C(\nu, \Omega) > 0$. Finally, coupling (3–16) with classical arguments, we can prove that, for all $R \in \mathcal{S}$, v_R satisfies condition (3–2). Using (3–16)₁ along with a standard procedure (see [Temam 1984], for instance), we can also show that the right-hand side of (3–2), with $v \equiv v_R$, defines a continuous (linear) functional on $V(\Omega_R)$, and that

$$\frac{d}{dt}(v_R, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} = \left\langle \frac{dv_R}{dt}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\Omega_R} \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in V(\Omega_R) \text{ and a.a. } t \in]0, T[,$$

where

$$\frac{dv_R}{dt} \in L^{4/3}(0, T; V'(\Omega_R)).$$

Consequently, from (3–2) we deduce that

$$(3-18) \quad \left\langle \frac{dv_R}{dt}, \varphi \right\rangle_{\Omega_R} = -\nu(\nabla v_R, \nabla \varphi)_{\Omega_R} + ((V - v_R) \cdot \nabla v_R, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} - (v_R \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} \\ - (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_R, \varphi)_{\Omega_R} - (\omega \times v_R \cdot \varphi)_{\Omega_R} + \langle \tilde{f}, \varphi \rangle_{\Omega_R},$$

for all $\varphi \in V(\Omega_R)$ and a.a. $t \in]0, T[$.

Step 2: Convergence of the sequence $\{v_R + \tilde{u}\}_{R \in \mathcal{I}}$ to a periodic weak solution to (1–1). We extend v_R by zero outside Ω_R , for $R \in \mathcal{I}$, and continue to denote the extension by v_R . Clearly, the extended fields satisfy (3–17) and (3–18). We shall next prove some appropriate estimates for them.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $R_0 > \delta(\mathcal{B})$. There exists a positive constant C depending only on the data and R_0 such that*

$$\int_0^T \|v_R(t)\|_{2, \Omega_{R_0}}^2 dt + \int_0^T \left\| \frac{dv_R}{dt}(t) \right\|_{V'(\Omega_{R_0})} dt \leq C,$$

for all $R > R_0$, $R \in \mathcal{I}$.

Proof. The estimate on v_R is an obvious consequence of (3–9) and of (3–17). Let φ be any function in $\mathcal{D}(\Omega_{R_0})$. From Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.2, (3–9) and the Sobolev inequalities

$$\|w\|_6 \leq C \|\nabla w\|_2, \quad \|w\|_3 \leq C R \|\nabla w\|_2, \quad w \in V(\Omega_R)$$

with C a positive, absolute constant, we find

$$\begin{aligned} -(\nabla v_R, \nabla \varphi) &\leq \|\nabla v_R\|_2 \|\nabla \varphi\|_2, \\ (V \cdot \nabla v_R, \varphi) &\leq \|V\|_{3, \Omega_{R_0}} \|\nabla v_R\|_2 \|\varphi\|_6 \\ &\leq C(\Sigma, T)(R_0 \|\xi\|_{H^1(0, T)} + R_0^2 \|\omega\|_{H^1(0, T)}) \|\nabla v_R\|_2 \|\varphi\|_2, \\ -(v_R \cdot \nabla v_R, \nabla \varphi) &\leq \|v_R\|_6 \|\nabla v_R\|_2 \|\varphi\|_3 \leq C R_0 \|\nabla v_R\|_2^2 \|\varphi\|_2, \\ -(v_R \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}, \varphi) &\leq \|\nabla \tilde{u}\|_{3/2} \|v_R\|_6 \|\varphi\|_6 \\ &\leq C(\Sigma, T)(\|\xi\|_{H^1(0, T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0, T)}) \|\nabla v_R\|_2 \|\varphi\|_2, \\ -(\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_R, \varphi) &\leq \|\tilde{u}\|_3 \|\nabla v_R\|_2 \|\varphi\|_6 \\ &\leq C(\Sigma, T)(\|\xi\|_{H^1(0, T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0, T)}) \|\nabla v_R\|_2 \|\varphi\|_2, \\ -(\omega \times v_R, \varphi) &\leq |\omega| \|v\|_2 \|\varphi\|_2 \leq C(\Sigma, T) R_0^2 \|\omega\|_{H^1(0, T)} \|\nabla v_R\|_2 \|\varphi\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows from these inequalities and from (3–18), (3–17) and (3–4). \square

Lemma 3.4. *There exists a field v and a sequence $\{v_\rho : \rho \in \mathcal{S}' \subset \mathcal{S}\}$ such that, for all $R_0 > \delta(\mathcal{B})$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3-19) \quad & v \in L^2(0, T; V(\Omega)) \cap L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_{R_0})) \\
 & v_\rho \rightarrow v \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; V(\Omega)), \\
 & v_\rho \rightarrow v \text{ strongly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_{R_0})).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From the bound (3-17), we deduce that there is a subsequence of $\{v_R\}$, again denoted by $\{v_R\}$, and a field $v \in L^2(0, T; V(\Omega))$ for which (3-19)₂ holds. Fix $R_0 > \delta(\mathcal{B})$ and apply Lemma 2.1 with $X_0 = H^1(\Omega_{R_0})$, $X = L^2(\Omega_{R_0})$ and $X_1 = V'(\Omega_{R_0})$. There follows the existence of a subsequence, still denoted by $\{v_R\}$, satisfying conditions (3-19)₃. This latter subsequence may depend on R_0 . However, covering Ω , with an increasing sequence of bounded domains and using Cantor diagonalization method, we may select a subsequence $\{v_\rho\}$ for which the property (3-19)₃ holds for all R_0 . The lemma is therefore proved. \square

In conclusion to this section, we shall prove that $u \equiv v + \tilde{u}$ is a periodic weak solution to (1-1). In view of Lemmas 2.2 and 3.4, we have only to show that u satisfies (3-1). To this end, set $u_\rho = v_\rho - \tilde{u}$ in (3-2), where $\{v_\rho\}$ is the sequence of Lemma 3.4. Multiplying both sides of the resulting equation by an arbitrary $\psi \in C^1[0, T]$ such that $\psi(0) = \psi(T)$, integrating in time between 0 and T and recalling that $u_\rho(0) = u_\rho(T)$, we obtain

$$(3-20) \quad \int_0^T \{ (u_\rho, \phi_t) - \nu(\nabla u_\rho, \nabla \phi) + ((V - u) \cdot \nabla u_\rho, \phi) - (\omega \times u_\rho, \phi) + \langle f, \phi \rangle \},$$

with $\phi = \psi \varphi$, for any fixed $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega)$ and all sufficiently large ρ . We then pass to the limit $\rho \rightarrow \infty$ in this relation and use the convergence properties stated in (3-19). It is routine to show (see [Galdi 2000], for example) that u satisfies (3-1), with $\Phi = \phi$. However, any $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}_{T,p}$ can be approximated, together with its first derivatives, uniformly pointwise by suitable linear combinations of such a ϕ [Galdi 2000], and so the proof of Theorem 3.2 is completed.

4. Existence of Periodic Strong Solutions

We now show that if Ω and the data are more regular and if these latter are sufficiently small, then a periodic strong solution exists.

Theorem 4.1. *Let Ω be an exterior domain of \mathbb{R}^3 of class C^2 . Let $\xi, \omega \in H^1(0, T)$ with $\xi(0) = \xi(T)$, $\omega(0) = \omega(T)$, and $f \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega) \cap V'(\Omega))$. There is a positive constant $C_0 = C_0(\Omega, \nu)$ such that if*

$$(4-1) \quad D_\alpha \equiv \alpha \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;V'(\Omega))} + \|f\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega))} + \alpha (\|\xi\|_{H^1(0,T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0,T)}) \leq C_0,$$

where $\alpha = \max\{1, 1/T\}$, then there exists (u, p) satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 3.1 and the following properties for all $R > \delta(\mathcal{B})$:

$$(4-2) \quad \begin{aligned} u &\in C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega_R)), \quad \frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_R)) \\ \nabla u &\in L^2(0, T; H^1(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty(0, T; L^2(\Omega)), \\ \nabla p &\in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)). \end{aligned}$$

If, in particular, $\omega(t) \equiv 0$, we have, in addition,

$$\frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega)).$$

In any case, $u(0) = u(T)$ and (u, p) satisfies (1-1)₁ a.e. in $\Omega \times [0, T]$.

In order to construct such a solution we choose the basis functions w_{Rj} in (3-5) as the eigenfunctions of the Stokes problem:

$$(4-3) \quad P \Delta w_{Rj} = -\lambda_{Rj} w_{Rj} \quad w_{Rj} \in V(\Omega_R) \cap H^2(\Omega_R).$$

Clearly, by the same argument of Step 1 of Section 3, we show the existence of a periodic approximating solution v_{Rk} to (3-5) that satisfies the estimates of Lemma 3.2. Under the assumptions (4-1), we shall now establish further suitable estimates on the first and second spatial derivatives of the approximating solutions.

Lemma 4.1. *Let condition (4-1) hold. Then*

$$(4-4) \quad \|\nabla v_{Rk}(t)\|_{2, \Omega_R} + \int_0^T \|D^2 v_{Rk}(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)}^2 d\tau \leq C,$$

with C depending only upon the data.

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (3-5) by $\lambda_{Rk} c_{Rk}$, summing over j and taking into account (4-3), we deduce

$$(4-5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^2 + \|P \Delta v_{Rk}\|_2^2 \\ &= -(\xi \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, P \Delta v_{Rk}) + (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, P \Delta v_{Rk}) + (v_{Rk} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}, P \Delta v_{Rk}) \\ &\quad -(\tilde{f}, P \Delta v_{Rk}) + (v_{Rk} \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, P \Delta v_{Rk}) + ((\omega \times v_{Rk} - \omega \times x \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}), P \Delta v_{Rk}), \end{aligned}$$

where all integrals are taken over Ω_R . Using the Schwarz inequality along with Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3, we easily obtain from (4-5)

$$(4-6) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^2 + \nu \|P \Delta v_{Rk}\|_2^2 \\ &\leq C(\Omega, \nu) ((\gamma + \gamma^2) \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^2 + \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^4 + \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^6) + C(\nu) \|\tilde{f}\|_2^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = \|\zeta\|_{H^1(0,T)} + \|\omega\|_{H^1(0,T)}$. In order to obtain the desired estimate from this differential inequality, we need an upper bound for the quantity $\|\nabla v_{Rk}(0)\|_2$. It is clear that

$$(4-7) \quad \|\nabla v_{Rk}(0)\|_2 = \|\nabla v_{Rk}(T)\|_2.$$

From (3–15) and the mean value theorem for continuous functions, we deduce the existence of $\bar{t} \in [0, T]$ such that

$$\|\nabla v_{Rk}(\bar{t})\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|\nabla v_{Rk}(\tau)\|_2^2 d\tau \leq \frac{C(v)}{T} \int_0^T \|\tilde{f}(\tau)\|_{-1}^2 d\tau.$$

Hence, from (4–6), we deduce that there exists a positive constant $C' = C'(\Omega, v)$ such that $D_\alpha \leq C'$ implies $\|\nabla v_{Rk}(t)\|_2 \leq C(v, \Omega, D_\alpha)$ for all $t \in [\bar{t}, T]$. Taking into account (4–7), we then get

$$\|\nabla v_{Rk}(0)\|_2 \leq C(v, \Omega, D_\alpha)$$

and again, making suitable assumptions about the smallness of D_α , we conclude

$$(4-8) \quad \|\nabla v_{Rk}(t)\|_2 \leq C(v, \Omega, D_\alpha) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Having established this, we go back to (4–6) and obtain the uniform estimate

$$(4-9) \quad \int_0^T \|P \Delta v_{Rk}(\tau)\|_{2,\Omega_R}^2 d\tau \leq C(v, \Omega, D_\alpha),$$

and since $\|D^2 v_{Rk}\|_{2,\Omega_R} \leq C (\|P \Delta v_{Rk}\|_{2,\Omega_R} + \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_{2,\Omega_R})$ (see [Heywood 1980], for example), with C independent of k and R , from (4–9) we infer (4–4)₂. \square

We next provide an estimate for the time derivative of v_{Rk} .

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose the assumptions of the Lemma 4.1 hold. There exists a positive constant C depending on the data and on R such that*

$$(4-10) \quad \int_0^T \|\partial_\tau v_{Rk}(\tau)\|_{2,\Omega_R}^2 d\tau \leq C.$$

Proof. Multiplying (3–5) by $\frac{dc_{Rkj}}{dt}$ and summing over j we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2^2 + v \|\partial_t v_{Rk}\|_2^2 \\ &= -(v_{Rk} \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R} + (\zeta \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R} + (\omega \times x \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R} \\ & \quad - (\omega \times v_{Rk}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R} - (v_{Rk} \cdot \nabla \tilde{u}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R} - (\tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R} + (\tilde{f}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| (\omega \times v_{Rk}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R} \right| + \left| (\omega \times x \cdot \nabla v_{Rk}, \partial_t v_{Rk})_{\Omega_R} \right| \\ & \leq C(\Sigma, T) \|\omega\|_{H^1(0,T)} R \|\partial_t v_{Rk}\|_2 \|\nabla v_{Rk}\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

and the remaining terms are estimated as in the previous lemma. □

Using the estimates of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, and proceeding as in Step 1 of Section 3, we show the existence of a T -periodic field v_R such that

$$(4-11) \quad \begin{aligned} & v_R \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1(\Omega_R)) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2(\Omega_R)), \\ & \frac{dv_R}{dt} \in L^2(0, T; L^2(\Omega_R)), \end{aligned}$$

and satisfying, in addition, the estimate

$$(4-12) \quad \|\nabla v_R(t)\|_{2,\Omega_R} + \int_0^T \|D^2 v_R(\tau)\|_{L^2(\Omega_R)}^2 d\tau \leq C,$$

with C independent of R . Furthermore, v_R satisfies the equation (3-2). Actually, by well known arguments, (3-2) and (4-11) imply the existence of a scalar field $p_R \in L^2(0, T; W^{1,2}(\Omega_R))$ such that the pair (v_R, p_R) satisfies the following equations a.a. in $\Omega \times [0, T]$:

$$(4-13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial v_R}{\partial t} = \nu \Delta v_R + (V - v_R) \cdot \nabla v_R - v_R \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_R - \omega \times v_R - \nabla p_R + \tilde{f}, \\ & \nabla \cdot v_R = 0. \end{aligned}$$

From (4-13) we can now obtain an estimate on $\partial v_R / \partial t$, uniformly in R on any fixed compact set, for sufficiently large R .

Lemma 4.3. *Let the assumptions of Lemma 4.1 hold, and let $R_0 > \delta(\mathfrak{B})$. The following estimates hold:*

$$(4-14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \|\partial_t v_R(t)\|_{2,\Omega_{R_0}}^2 dt \leq C_1 \quad \text{for all } R > R_0, R \in \mathcal{S}, \\ & \int_0^T \|\nabla p_R(t)\|_{2,\Omega_R}^2 dt \leq C_2 \quad \text{for all } R \in \mathcal{S}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_1 depends only on the data and R_0 , while C_2 depends only on the data. If, in particular, $\omega(t) \equiv 0$, we have the stronger estimate

$$(4-15) \quad \int_0^T \|\partial_t v_R(t)\|_{2,\Omega_R}^2 dt \leq C,$$

with C depending only on the data.

Proof. By the Helmholtz decomposition, we may write

$$(4-16) \quad \tilde{f} = P\tilde{f} + \nabla\tilde{p}, \quad \tilde{p} \in L^2(0, T; G(\Omega)).$$

Recalling that for all $v \in V(\Omega_R) \cap H^2(\Omega_R)$ we have, by [Galdi and Silvestre 2005, Lemma 3(i)],

$$((\xi + \omega \times x) \cdot \nabla v - \omega \times v) \in H(\Omega_R),$$

we find from (4-13) that $P_R \equiv p_R - \tilde{p}$ satisfies the following Neumann problem (in the sense of distributions):

$$(4-17) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta P_R &= \nabla \cdot F && \text{in } \Omega_R, \\ \frac{\partial P_R}{\partial n} &= F \cdot n && \text{at } \partial\Omega_R, \end{aligned}$$

where $F = -v_R \cdot \nabla v_R - v_R \cdot \nabla \tilde{u} - \tilde{u} \cdot \nabla v_R + \nu \Delta v_R$. Formally multiplying both sides of (4-17)₁ by P_R , integrating by parts over Ω_R and using (4-17)₂ we deduce

$$\|\nabla P_R\|_{2, \Omega_R}^2 = (F, \nabla P_R).$$

Thus, from the Schwarz inequality, from Lemma 4.1 and from the Sobolev-like inequality

$$(4-18) \quad \|w\|_{\infty, \Omega_R} \leq C (\|\nabla w\|_{2, \Omega_R} + \|P \Delta w\|_{2, \Omega_R}), \quad w \in H_0^1(\Omega_R) \cap H^2(\Omega_R),$$

(for which see [Galdi 1994a]) with $C = C(\Omega)$, we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \|\nabla P_R(t)\|_{2, \Omega_R}^2 dt \leq C,$$

where C depends only the data. Plugging this information back in (4-13)₁ and using again Lemma 4.1, (4-16) and (4-18) we show (4-14).

We next observe that the dependence of the constant C on R_0 in (4-14) is due to the presence of the term $\omega \times x \cdot \nabla v_R - \omega \times v_R$ in (4-13)₁. Therefore, if $\omega(t) = 0$, for all $t \in [0, T]$, the constant C becomes independent of R_0 and we obtain the stronger estimate (4-15). □

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is now achieved as follows. We multiply (4-13) by $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}_{T, p}$ and integrate over $\Omega \times [0, T]$. We then let, in the resulting equation, $R \rightarrow \infty$, along a suitable sequence, and take into account (4-12), Lemma 4.3, and the embedding $H^1(0, T; L^2(\Omega_{R_0})) \subset C([0, T]; L^2(\Omega_{R_0}))$, for all $R_0 > \delta(\mathcal{B})$.

References

[Adams 1975] R. A. Adams, *Sobolev spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1975. MR 56 #9247 Zbl 0314.46030

- [Farwig et al. 2004] R. Farwig, T. Hishida, and D. Müller, “ L^q -theory of a singular “winding” integral operator arising from fluid dynamics”, *Pacific J. Math.* **215**:2 (2004), 297–312. MR 2005f:20047 Zbl 1057.35028
- [Galdi 1994a] G. P. Galdi, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier–Stokes equations, I: Linearized steady problems*, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy **38**, Springer, New York, 1994. Revised edition, 1998. MR 95i:35216a Zbl 0949.35004
- [Galdi 1994b] G. P. Galdi, *An introduction to the mathematical theory of the Navier–Stokes equations, II: Nonlinear steady problems*, Springer Tracts in Natural Philosophy **39**, Springer, New York, 1994. Revised edition, 1998. MR 95i:35216b Zbl 0949.35005
- [Galdi 2000] G. P. Galdi, “An introduction to the Navier–Stokes initial-boundary value problem”, pp. 1–70 in *Fundamental directions in mathematical fluid mechanics*, edited by G. P. Galdi et al., Birkhäuser, Basel, 2000. MR 2002c:35207 Zbl 01574632
- [Galdi 2002] G. P. Galdi, “On the motion of a rigid body in a viscous liquid: a mathematical analysis with applications”, pp. 653–791 in *Handbook of mathematical fluid dynamics*, vol. I, edited by S. Friedlander and D. Serre, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2002. MR 2003j:76024 Zbl 01942878
- [Galdi 2003] G. P. Galdi, “Steady flow of a Navier–Stokes fluid around a rotating obstacle”, *J. Elasticity* **71**:1-3 (2003), 1–31. MR 2005c:76030 Zbl 02073398
- [Galdi and Silvestre 2002] G. P. Galdi and A. L. Silvestre, “Strong solutions to the problem of motion of a rigid body in a Navier–Stokes liquid under the action of prescribed forces and torques”, pp. 121–144 in *Nonlinear problems in mathematical physics and related topics*, vol. I, edited by M. S. Birman, Int. Math. Ser. **1**, Kluwer/Plenum, New York, 2002. MR 2003m:76041 Zbl 1046.35084
- [Galdi and Silvestre 2005] G. P. Galdi and A. L. Silvestre, “Strong solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations around a rotating obstacle”, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **176**:3 (2005), 331–350.
- [Galdi and Sohr 2004] G. Galdi and H. Sohr, “Existence and uniqueness of time-periodic physically reasonable Navier–Stokes flow past a body”, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **172**:3 (2004), 363–406. MR 2062429 Zbl 1056.76021
- [Heywood 1980] J. G. Heywood, “The Navier–Stokes equations: on the existence, regularity and decay of solutions”, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **29**:5 (1980), 639–681. MR 81k:35131 Zbl 0494.35077
- [Hishida 1999] T. Hishida, “An existence theorem for the Navier–Stokes flow in the exterior of a rotating obstacle”, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **150**:4 (1999), 307–348. MR 2001b:76024 Zbl 0949.35106
- [Kozono and Nakao 1996] H. Kozono and M. Nakao, “Periodic solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations in unbounded domains”, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **48**:1 (1996), 33–50. MR 96m:35252 Zbl 0860.35095
- [Ladyzhenskaya 1969] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, *The mathematical theory of viscous incompressible flow*, 2nd ed., Mathematics and its Applications **2**, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1969. MR 40 #7610 Zbl 0184.52603
- [Maremonti 1991a] P. Maremonti, “Existence and stability of time-periodic solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations in the whole space”, *Nonlinearity* **4**:2 (1991), 503–529. MR 92d:35227 Zbl 0737.35065
- [Maremonti 1991b] P. Maremonti, “Some theorems of existence for solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations with slip boundary conditions in half-space”, *Ricerche di Matematica* **40**:1 (1991), 81–135. MR 94b:35214 Zbl 0754.35110
- [Maremonti and Padula 1996] P. Maremonti and M. Padula, “Existence, uniqueness and attainability of periodic solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations in exterior domains”, pp. 142–182 in *Краевые задачи математической физики и смежные вопросы теории функций*,

- vol. 27, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI) **233**, 1996. In Russian; translation in *J. Math. Sci. (New York)* **93**:5 (1999), 719–746. MR 2000d:35182 Zbl 0930.35126
- [Morimoto 1971/72] H. Morimoto, “On existence of periodic weak solutions of the Navier–Stokes equations in regions with periodically moving boundaries”, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.* **18** (1971/72), 499–524. MR 52 #6218 Zbl 0258.35056
- [Prouse 1963] G. Prouse, “Soluzioni periodiche dell’equazione di Navier–Stokes”, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8) **35** (1963), 443–447. MR 30 #779 Zbl 0128.43504
- [Salvi 1995] R. Salvi, “On the existence of periodic weak solutions on the Navier–Stokes equations in exterior regions with periodically moving boundaries”, pp. 63–73 in *Navier–Stokes equations and related nonlinear problems* (Funchal, 1994), edited by A. Sequeira, Plenum, New York, 1995. MR 97a:35183 Zbl 0848.35092
- [Silvestre 2004] A. L. Silvestre, “On the existence of steady flows of a Navier–Stokes liquid around a moving rigid body”, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **27**:12 (2004), 1399–1409. MR 2005f:35251 Zbl 1061.35078
- [Temam 1984] R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes equations*, Studies in Mathematics and its Applications **2**, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984. MR 86m:76003 Zbl 0568.35002
- [Yamazaki 2000] M. Yamazaki, “The Navier–Stokes equations in the weak- L^n space with time-dependent external force”, *Math. Ann.* **317**:4 (2000), 635–675. MR 2001f:35324 Zbl 0965.35118

Received May 11, 2004.

GIOVANNI P. GALDI
DEPARTMENT OF MECHANICAL ENGINEERING
630 BENEDUM HALL
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH
PITTSBURGH, PA 15261
UNITED STATES
galdi@enr.pitt.edu

ANA L. SILVESTRE
CENTRO DE MATEMÁTICA E APLICAÇÕES
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA
INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TÉCNICO
AV. ROVISCO PAIS
1049-001 LISBOA
PORTUGAL
Ana.Silvestre@math.ist.utl.pt

