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By modifying Cole’s example, we construct explicit Riemann surfaces with large bounds on corona solutions in an elementary way.

1. Introduction

For a given Riemann surface \( R \), consider the algebra \( H^\infty(R) \) of bounded analytic functions on \( R \) separating the points in \( R \). The corona problem asks whether \( \iota(R) \) is dense in the maximal ideal space \( \mathcal{M}(R) \) of \( H^\infty(R) \), where \( \iota : R \to \mathcal{M}(R) \) is the natural inclusion defined by the point evaluation. If \( \iota(R) \) is dense in \( \mathcal{M}(R) \), we say that the corona theorem holds for \( R \). Otherwise \( R \) is said to have corona.

The corona theorem holds for \( R \) if and only if the following statement is true (see [Gamelin 1978, Chapter 4] or [Garnett 1981, Chapter VIII]): for every collection \( F_1, \ldots, F_n \in H^\infty(R) \) and any \( \delta \in (0, 1) \) with the property

\[
\delta \leq \max_j |F_j(\zeta)| \leq 1 \text{ for all } \zeta \in R,
\]

there exist \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \in H^\infty(R) \) such that

\[
F_1 G_1 + F_2 G_2 + \cdots + F_n G_n = 1.
\]

We refer to \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \) as corona solutions, \( F_1, \ldots, F_n \) as corona data, and \( \max\{\|G_1\|, \ldots, \|G_n\|\} \) as a bound on the corona solutions or corona constant. Here the notation \( \| \cdot \| \) indicates the uniform norm. Throughout this paper, we assume that the corona data satisfies (1-1) for the given \( \delta \). The letter \( \delta \) is reserved only for this use.

**Theorem 1** (B. Cole; see [Gamelin 1978, Theorem 4.1, pp. 47–49]). For any \( \delta \in (0, 1) \) and \( M > 0 \), there exist a finite bordered Riemann surface \( R \) and corona data \( F_1, F_2 \in H^\infty(R) \) such that any corona solutions \( G_1, G_2 \in H^\infty(R) \) have a bound at least \( M \); that is, \( \max\{\|G_1\|, \|G_2\|\} \geq M \).
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The purpose of this paper is to construct the Riemann surface $R$ in Theorem 1 in an elementary way and describe it explicitly. Once Theorem 1 is proved, it is possible to construct a Riemann surface with corona.

**Theorem 2** (B. Cole; see [Gamelin 1978, Theorem 4.2, pp. 49–52]). **There exists an open Riemann surface with corona.**

The basic idea of the proof of Theorem 2 is that if a Riemann surface $R$ is obtained by connecting two Riemann surfaces $R_1$ and $R_2$ with a thin strip, then any holomorphic function on $R$ behaves almost independently on $R_1$ and $R_2$.

The corona theorem holds for the unit disc [Carleson 1962], finitely connected domains in $\mathbb{C}$ [Gamelin 1970], Denjoy domains [Garnett and Jones 1985], and various other classes of planar domains and Riemann surfaces [Alling 1964; Behrens 1970; 1971; Jones and Marshall 1985; Stout 1965]. On the other hand, examples of Riemann surfaces with corona (other than Cole’s) can be found in [Barrett and Diller 1998] and [Hayashi 1999]. Furthermore, by modifying the proof of Theorem 2, Cole’s example can be used to obtain a Riemann surface $R$ with corona that is of Parreau–Widom type [Nakai 1982]. (This means that $\sum_{z \in E} G(z, w) < +\infty$, where $G(\cdot, w)$ is the Green’s function on $R$ with the pole $w$ and $E = \{z : \nabla G(z, w) = 0\}$.)

The corona problem for a general domain in $\mathbb{C}$ is still open, and the answer is also unknown for a polydisc or a unit ball in $\mathbb{C}^n$, for $n \geq 2$.

### 2. Proof of Theorem 1

For given $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $M > 0$, we choose a natural number $n$ such that $\delta^n \leq \min\{(16M)^{-1}, \frac{1}{4}\}$. Let $d = 4\delta^{n^2+n}$ and $c = 2\delta^{n^2}$. Since $2\delta^{n^2} < 2\delta^n \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\frac{4\delta^{n+1}}{1-c} \leq 8\delta^{n+1} < 8\delta^n \leq \frac{1}{2M}. \quad (2-1)$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{d}{c-d} = \frac{4\delta^{n^2+n}}{2\delta^{n^2} - 4\delta^{n^2+n}} = \frac{2\delta^n}{1-2\delta^n} \leq 4\delta^n < \frac{1}{2M}. \quad (2-2)$$

The important features in our choice of $c$, $d$ and $n$ are that $d^{1/n}$ is small (equation (2-1)), $d/c$ is small (equation (2-2)), and $(d/c)^{1/n}$ is not small — say greater than $\delta$.

Let $D$ be the unit disc in $\mathbb{C}$, $B := B(0, d) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < d\}$, and $A := \mathbb{D} \setminus \overline{B}$. Further, define

$$D := \{z : (z + c)/(1 + cz) \in A\},$$
$$D_1 := \{z : z^n \in A\} = \{z : d/z^n \in A\},$$
$$D_2 := \{z : z^{n^2} \in D\}.$$
Thus $D$ is the image of $A$ under the Möbius transformation $L(z) := (z-c)/(1-cz)$, and $D_1$ and $D_2$ are preimages of $A$ and $D$ under $h_1(z) := d/z^n$ and $h_2(z) := z^{n^2}$. Finally we define the bordered Riemann surface

$$R := \left\{ (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z_1 \in D_1, z_2 \in D_2 \text{ and } \frac{z_1^n - c}{1 - cz_1^n} = z_2^{n^2} \right\}.$$
Note that $R$ is an $n$-sheeted covering of $D_2$ and an $n^2$-sheeted branched covering of $D_1$. This is because $D_1$ is an $n$-sheeted covering of $A$ and $D_2$ is an $n^2$-sheeted branched covering of $D$.

We claim that the Riemann surface $R$, together with the holomorphic functions

$$F_1(z_1, z_2) = \frac{d^{1/n}}{z_1} \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(z_1, z_2) = z_2,$$

satisfies the conditions in Theorem 1.

First, note that $F_1$ and $F_2$ have values in $D_1$ and $D_2$, respectively. Thus we have $\max\{\|F_1\|, \|F_2\|\} \leq 1$. Furthermore, if $|F_2(z_1, z_2)| = |z_2| < \delta$, we have

$$|z_1^n - c| = |z_2|^n |1 - cz_1^n| < 2\delta^n,$$

and hence $|z_1|^n < c + 2\delta^n = 4\delta^n$. Therefore

$$|F_1(z_1, z_2)| = \frac{d^{1/n}}{|z_1|} > \frac{4^{1/n} \delta^{n+1}}{4^{1/n} \delta^n} = \delta,$$

and the inequality $\max\{|F_1(z_1, z_2)|, |F_2(z_1, z_2)|\} \geq \delta$ holds for all $(z_1, z_2) \in R$; i.e., $(F_1, F_2)$ becomes a pair of corona data for the given $\delta$.

It remains to show that $\max\{\|G_1\|, \|G_2\|\} \geq M$ for any corona solutions $G_1, G_2$ such that

$$(2-4) \quad F_1 G_1 + F_2 G_2 = 1.$$ \hspace{1cm} \text{In fact, we will show that} \|G_1\| \geq M. \text{ To prove this claim, we assume, without loss of generality, that} G_1 \text{ and } G_2 \text{ are holomorphic across the boundary of } R. \text{ Then we define for all } z \in A,$n

$$f(z) := \frac{1}{n^3} \sum F_1(z_1, z_2) G_1(z_1, z_2),$$

where the summation is over all the points $(z_1, z_2) \in R$ such that $z_1^n = z$, counting multiplicity. (Note that the map $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1^n$ is an $n^3$-sheeted branched covering from $R$ to $A$.) Then $f$ is analytic in (a neighborhood of) $A$.

Since $F_2(z_1, z_2) = z_2 = 0$ when $z_1^n = c$, it is easy to see from (2-4) that $f(c) = 1$. On the other hand, $|f(z)| \leq \|G_1\|$ for all $z \in A$ since $\|F_1\| \leq 1$, and $|f(z)| \leq 4\delta^{n+1} \|G_1\|$ for $|z| = 1$ since on $\{|z_1| = 1\}$ we have

$$|F_1(z_1, z_2)| = \frac{d^{1/n}}{|z_1|} = \frac{4^{1/n} \delta^{n+1}}{4^{1/n} \delta^n} \leq 4^{n+1}.$$
Therefore, by Cauchy’s integral formula,

\[ 1 = |f(c)| = \left\| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=1} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - c} d\xi - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=d} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - c} d\xi \right\| \leq \frac{4\delta^{n+1}\|G_1\|}{1 - c} + \frac{2\pi d\|G_1\|}{2\pi (c - d)}. \]

This inequality, together with (2-1) and (2-2), proves the claim. This completes the proof.

3. Further remarks

1. In the construction of \( R \), one can take \( F_1 \) as the projection map \((z_1, z_2) \mapsto z_1\), but then it is necessary to modify the definition (2-3) of \( R \) to

\[ R := \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : z_1 \in D_1, z_2 \in D_2 \text{ and } \frac{d/z_1^n - c}{1 - cd/z_1^n} = z_2^n\} \]

because we want to make the pair \((F_1, F_2)\) a set of corona data satisfying (1-1).

2. Consider the function \( h(z) := z^n \) defined on \( D_1 \). It is not difficult to see that the Riemann surface \( R \) constructed in Section 2 is nothing but the Riemann surface of the multivalued function

\[ h^{-1} \circ L^{-1} \circ h_2(z) = \left( \frac{z^n + c}{1 + cz^n} \right)^{1/n} \]

defined on \( D_2 \). This function takes values in \( D_1 \). Similarly, one can consider \( R \) as a Riemann surface of the multivalued function

\[ h_2^{-1} \circ L \circ h(z) = \left( \frac{z^n - c}{1 - cz^n} \right)^{1/n^2} \]

defined on \( D_1 \).

3. We can construct \( R \) by cutting and pasting. For example, we can construct the Riemann surface of \( h^{-1} \circ L^{-1} \circ h_2 \) over \( D_2 \) in the following way: we make \( n^2 \) cuts on \( D_2 \) radially so that each cut connects a hole to the outer boundary of \( D_2 \) (i.e., to the unit circle. We denote this region \((D_2 \text{ minus cuts})\) by \( D(1) \), and enumerate the cuts by \( e(1, k, l), k = 1, \ldots, n^2, l = 1, 2 \) so that \( e(1, k, 1) = e(1, k, 2) \) as sets, and as \( z \) approaches \( e(1, k, 1) \) the argument of \( z \) increases. Let \( D(j), j = 1, \ldots, n \), be the copies of \( D(1) \) with the corresponding cuts \( e(j, k, l), j = 1, \ldots, n, k = 1, \ldots, n^2, l = 1, 2 \). For all \( j \) (mod \( n \)), paste \( D(j) \) and \( D(j + 1) \) by identifying \( e(j, k, 1) \) with \( e(j + 1, k, 2) \), \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, n^2 \). The resulting surface is conformally equivalent to \( R \) with the natural projection map \( \pi \approx F_2 \). By analytic continuation, the map
$h^{-1} \circ L^{-1} \circ h_2 \circ \pi$ is well-defined on $R$, hence analytic. We leave the details to the reader.

4. One can recover the same Riemann surface $R$ via interpolation problems. Fix $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ and let $D'_1 := \{ z : \epsilon < |z| < 1 \}$. Choose a natural number $n$ sufficiently large so that $2^{-n} < \epsilon$, and let $E_n$ be the set of $n$-th roots of $2^{-n}$. Note that $|z| = \frac{1}{2}$ for all $z \in E_n$.

We consider two interpolation problems:

(1) Find $G_1 \in H^\infty(D'_1)$ (with the smallest uniform norm) such that $G_1(z) = \bar{z}$ for all $z \in E_n$.

(2) Find $F_2 \in H^\infty(D'_1)$ (with the largest $\delta_0 := \min_{z \in D'_1} \{ |F_2(z)|, |z| \}$) such that $\|F_2\| = 1$ and $F_2(z) = 0$ for all $z \in E_n$.

Any solution $G_1$ of (1) has uniform norm greater than $C/\epsilon$, for some absolute constant $C$. To see this, one can repeat the argument in Section 2; thus, for $w$ such that $\epsilon^n < |w| < 1$, define

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum z G_1(z),$$

where the summation is over all $z \in D'_1$ such that $(\epsilon/z)^n = w$. Note that $f(2^n \epsilon^n) = \frac{1}{4}$ since $z G_1(z)$ equals $\frac{1}{4}$ for $z \in E_n$, and then Cauchy’s integral formula gives a lower bound estimate $\|G_1\| \geq C/\epsilon$.

On the other hand, any solution $F_2$ of (2) should yield a small $\delta_0 = o(1)$ as $\epsilon \to 0$. To see this, let $F_1 = z$ and $F_2$ be the solution of (2). Now if $\delta_0$ were not $o(1)$, the pair $(F_1, F_2)$ would become a set of corona data on $D'_1$ with corresponding $0 < \delta \leq \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \delta_0$. But then any corona solutions $G_1$ and $G_2$ such that $F_1 G_1 + F_2 G_2 = 1$ would have a bound $\geq C/\epsilon$, because $G_1/4$ should be a solution of (1). This violates the corona theorem on annuli [Scheinberg 1963; Stout 1965]. (In fact, it violates a statement slightly stronger than the corona theorem, which is true for annuli; namely, for any annulus $D'_1$ and corona data defined on $D'_1$, there always exist corona solutions with bound $\leq M = M(\delta)$, where $M$ does not depend on $D'_1$. See [Gamelin 1978, p. 47] for details.) Therefore to make $F_1$ and $F_2$ corona data, or to get a solution for (2) with large $\delta_0$, we take a number $N$ such that the multivalued function

$$F(z) = \left( \frac{z^n - 2^{-n}}{1 - 2^{-n} z^n} \right)^{1/N},$$

has modulus $\geq \frac{1}{4}$ for $|z| < \frac{1}{4}$. (Such an $N$ should be asymptotically greater than a fixed multiple of $n^2$ as $n \to \infty$, as we have seen in Section 2. Also note that $F^N$ is a solution for (2).) Now since $F$ is not analytic on $D'_1$, we consider the Riemann surface of $F$ over $D'_1$, which gives us the Riemann surface $R$ constructed in Section 2 (with $\delta = \frac{1}{4}$).
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