

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**COBOUNDARY LIE BIALGEBRAS AND COMMUTATIVE
SUBALGEBRAS OF UNIVERSAL ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS**

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ AND GILLES HALBOUT

Volume 229 No. 1

January 2007

COBOUNDARY LIE BIALGEBRAS AND COMMUTATIVE SUBALGEBRAS OF UNIVERSAL ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ AND GILLES HALBOUT

We solve a functional version of the problem of twist quantization of a coboundary Lie bialgebra (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) . We derive from this that the formal Poisson manifolds \mathfrak{g}^* and G^* are isomorphic, and we construct an injective algebra morphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. When (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) can be quantized, we construct a deformation of this morphism. In the particular case when \mathfrak{g} is quasitriangular and nondegenerate, we compare our construction with Semenov-Tian-Shansky's construction of a commutative subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. We also show that the canonical derivation of the function ring of G^* is Hamiltonian.

1. Introduction

Let (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) be a coboundary Lie bialgebra over a field \mathbb{K} of characteristic 0. This means that \mathfrak{g} is a Lie bialgebra, the Lie cobracket δ of which is the coboundary of $r \in \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g})$: in symbols, $\delta(x) = [x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, r]$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. This condition means that $Z := \text{CYB}(r)$ belongs to $\wedge^3(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ (here CYB is the left hand side of the classical Yang–Baxter equation). Quasitriangular and triangular Lie bialgebras are particular cases of this definition.

It is an open question to construct a twist quantization of (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) , that is, a pair (J, Φ) , where $J \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ and $\Phi \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$ are invertible (\hbar is a formal series), Φ is \mathfrak{g} -invariant, (J, Φ) satisfies a cocycle relation and deforms (r, Z) . If (J, Φ) satisfies these conditions, then Φ satisfies the pentagon relation, is \mathfrak{g} -invariant and deforms Z . Such a Φ is called a quantization of Z . Drinfeld [1989b, Proposition 3.10] constructed a quantization Φ of Z . Any pair (J, Φ) can be made admissible (in the sense of [Enriquez and Halbout 2004]), and the associated formal functions (ρ, φ) then satisfy functional analogues of the pentagon and cocycle equations (this is explained in Section 6). We call this system of equations the functional analogue of twist quantization.

We describe the set of solutions of the functional analogue of twist quantization for (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) . Namely, we derive from Drinfeld's result that Z can be lifted to an

MSC2000: primary 17B63; secondary 17B80, 16W30.

Keywords: coboundary Lie bialgebras, commuting families, linearization, Poisson algebras.

element $\varphi \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\otimes 3}$ satisfying the functional pentagon relation (Proposition 2.1). We then prove that r can be lifted to an element $\rho \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\otimes 2}$, such that (ρ, φ) satisfies the functional cocycle relation (Theorem 2.3); here $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \subset \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is the maximal ideal of the ring of formal functions on \mathfrak{g}^* . We show that all solutions are related by suitable gauge transformations.

The first corollary is that the formal Poisson manifolds \mathfrak{g}^* and G^* are isomorphic (Corollary 3.1).

In Section 4, we prove another corollary (Theorem 4.3): we construct an injection of algebras $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ (here \mathfrak{g} acts on $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ by symmetric powers of the coadjoint action). This morphism is filtered; its associated graded morphism is the canonical inclusion $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. This way, we obtain a commutative subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. The fact that the graded subalgebra $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is Poisson commutative can be viewed as a classical limit of this situation. It can either be viewed as a corollary of the fact that $\mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{O}_G$ is a Poisson commutative subalgebra, or it can be proved directly (Lemma 4.2); here \mathbb{O}_G is the ring of formal functions on G , on which \mathfrak{g} acts by conjugation.

In Sections 7 and 8, assuming the existence of a twist quantization of \mathfrak{g} , we construct formal deformations of the algebra inclusions $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ and $\mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{O}_{G^*}$. All these results use the theory of duality of QUE (quantized universal enveloping) and QFSH (quantized formal series Hopf) algebras; this theory is recalled in Section 5.

In Section 9, we assume that \mathfrak{g} is quasitriangular. In that case, we show that $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ contains a family C_s of commutative subalgebras, indexed by $s \in \mathbb{K}$; this result may be viewed as a classical limit of Drinfeld's result about commutativity of twisted traces. We explain why only C_0 has an analogue in the general coboundary case. Semenov-Tian-Shansky [1984] defined an algebra morphism $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{\text{STS}} U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$; we show that its image coincides with C_1 , and is therefore in general different from the image C_0 of the morphism in our construction.

Finally, in Section 10, we show that the canonical derivation of \mathbb{O}_{G^*} is Hamiltonian. This derivation is equal to $\hbar^{-1}(S^2 - \text{id})|_{\hbar=0}$, where S is the antipode of any quantization of \mathbb{O}_{G^*} .

Notation. We use the standard notation for the coproduct-insertion maps: we say that an ordered set is a pair of a finite set S and a bijection $\{1, \dots, |S|\} \rightarrow S$. Given I_1, \dots, I_m disjoint ordered subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, a Hopf algebra (U, Δ) , and $a \in U^{\otimes m}$, we define

$$a^{I_1, \dots, I_m} = \sigma_{I_1, \dots, I_m} \circ (\Delta^{|I_1|} \otimes \dots \otimes \Delta^{|I_m|})(a),$$

with $\Delta^{(1)} = \text{id}$, $\Delta^{(2)} = \Delta$, $\Delta^{(n+1)} = (\text{id}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta^{(n)}$, and $\sigma_{I_1, \dots, I_m} : U^{\otimes \sum_i |I_i|} \rightarrow U^{\otimes n}$ is the morphism corresponding to the map $\{1, \dots, \sum_i |I_i|\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, n\}$

taking $(1, \dots, |I_1|)$ to I_1 , $(|I_1| + 1, \dots, |I_1| + |I_2|)$ to I_2 , etc. When U is cocommutative, this definition depends only on the sets underlying I_1, \dots, I_m .

2. Solutions of the functional twist equations

If \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra, we denote by $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ the formal series ring of functions on the formal neighborhood of 0 in \mathfrak{g}^* . We define by $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \subset \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ the maximal ideal of this ring. If k is an integer ≥ 1 , we denote by $\mathbb{O}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k} = \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} k}$ the ring of formal functions on $(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k$, by $\mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k}$ its maximal ideal, and by $\mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k}^i$ the i -th power of this ideal. Here, $\widehat{\otimes}$ is the completed tensor product, defined by $V_0 \llbracket x_1, \dots, x_n \rrbracket \widehat{\otimes} W_0 \llbracket y_1, \dots, y_n \rrbracket := V_0 \otimes W_0 \llbracket x_1, \dots, y_n \rrbracket$, where V_0, W_0 are vector spaces.

When equipped with the Poisson bracket, $\mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k}^2$ is a pronilpotent Lie algebra. If \mathfrak{a} is such a Lie algebra, the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff series $x \star y = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \dots + B_n(x, y) + \dots$ converges and defines a group structure on \mathfrak{a} . In particular, if $f, g \in \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k}^2$, the product $f \star g = f + g + \frac{1}{2}\{f, g\} + \dots + B_n(f, g) + \dots$ is convergent and defines a group structure on $\mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k}^2$.

If $f \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} n}$ and P_1, \dots, P_m are disjoint subsets of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, one defines f^{P_1, \dots, P_m} as in the Introduction using the cocommutative coproduct Δ_0 of $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ (dual to the addition of \mathfrak{g}^*).

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and $Z \in \bigwedge^3(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proposition 2.1. *There exists $\varphi \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3})^{\mathfrak{g}} (\subset \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^2)$ satisfying the functional pentagon equation*

$$\varphi^{1,2,34} \star \varphi^{12,3,4} = \varphi^{2,3,4} \star \varphi^{1,23,4} \star \varphi^{1,2,3},$$

whose image under the map $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^2)^{\otimes 3} = \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{\text{Alt}} \bigwedge^3(\mathfrak{g})$ equals Z (here Alt is the total antisymmetrization map). Such a φ (we call it a lift of Z) is unique up to the action of an element of $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ by $\sigma \cdot \varphi = \sigma^{2,3} \star \varphi^{1,23} \star \varphi \star (-\sigma)^{12,3} \star (-\sigma)^{1,2}$.

Proof. Drinfeld [1989b, Proposition 3.10] constructed a solution $\Phi \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3} \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket$ of the pentagon equation

$$(2-1) \quad \Phi^{1,2,34} \Phi^{12,3,4} = \Phi^{2,3,4} \Phi^{1,23,4} \Phi^{1,2,3}$$

such that $\varepsilon^{(2)}(\Phi) = 1$ and $\Phi = 1^{\otimes 3} + O(\hbar)$ (here $\varepsilon^{(2)} = \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon \otimes \text{id}$; applying ε to the second and third factors of (2-1), we also get $\varepsilon^{(1)}(\Phi) = \varepsilon^{(3)}(\Phi) = 1$).

In [Enriquez and Halbout 2004], we stated that Φ can be transformed into an admissible solution Φ' of the same equations, using an invariant twist. In the Appendix, we explain why the proof given in [Enriquez and Halbout 2004] is wrong, and we give a correct proof. The condition that Φ' is admissible means that it belongs to $(U(\mathfrak{g}) \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket')^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$, where $U(\mathfrak{g}) \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket' = U(\hbar \mathfrak{g} \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket) \subset U(\mathfrak{g}) \llbracket \hbar \rrbracket$ is the

subalgebra generated by $\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$. Then $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]'$ is a formal deformation of the Poisson algebra $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Then the reduction mod \hbar of $\hbar \log \Phi'$ belongs to $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}3}$, and in fact to $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}3}$. Since Φ' satisfies (2-1), this reduction satisfies the functional pentagon equation. This gives the existence of φ . One can also construct φ directly using cohomological methods, as will be done for ρ later.

To prove uniqueness, let φ and φ' be lifts of Z . The classes of φ and φ' are the same in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}3}/(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^3)$, as this space is 0. Let N be an integer ≥ 3 ; assume that we have found $\sigma_N \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}2})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\sigma_N \cdot \varphi$ and φ' are equal modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^N$. Write $\varphi' = \sigma_N \cdot \varphi + \psi$, with $\psi \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^N)^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Lemma 2.2 [Enriquez et al. 2003, p. 2477]. *For any $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$, $f, h \in \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k}^2$ and $g \in \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k}^n$, one has*

$$f \star (h + g) = f \star h + g, \quad (f + g) \star h = f \star h + g \text{ modulo } \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^k}^{n+1}.$$

Let $\overline{\psi}$ be the class of ψ in $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^N)^{\mathfrak{g}}/(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^{N+1})^{\mathfrak{g}} = (S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3})_N^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Then

$$\overline{\psi}^{1,2,3,4} + \overline{\psi}^{12,3,4} = \overline{\psi}^{2,3,4} + \overline{\psi}^{1,2,3,4} + \overline{\psi}^{1,2,3},$$

which means that $\overline{\psi}$ is a cocycle in the subcomplex $((S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes \cdot})^{\mathfrak{g}}, d)$ of the Cartier¹ complex $(S(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes \cdot}, d)$. Using [Drinfeld 1989b, Proposition 3.11], one can prove that the k -th cohomology group of this complex is $\wedge^k(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and that the antisymmetrization map coincides with the canonical map from the space of cocycles to the cohomology. For $N = 3$, the hypothesis implies that $\text{Alt}(\overline{\psi}) = 0$, so $\overline{\psi}$ is a coboundary of an element $\overline{\tau}_3 \in (S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2})_3^{\mathfrak{g}}$. For $N > 3$, $\overline{\psi}$ is the coboundary of an element $\overline{\tau}_N \in (S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2})_N^{\mathfrak{g}}$, since the degree N part of the relevant cohomology group vanishes. We then set $\sigma_{N+1} = \sigma_N + \tau_N$, where

$$\tau_N \in (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}2} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^2}^N)^{\mathfrak{g}}$$

is a lift of $\overline{\tau}_N$. Then $\sigma_{N+1} \cdot \varphi$ and φ' are equal modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^{N+1}$. The sequence $(\sigma_N)_{N \geq 3}$ has a limit σ . Then $\sigma \cdot \varphi = \varphi'$. \square

We now construct a lift of r :

Theorem 2.3. *There exists $\rho \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes}2}$ such that*

$$(2-2) \quad \rho^{1,2} \star \rho^{12,3} = \rho^{2,3} \star \rho^{1,23} \star \varphi,$$

and whose image in $\mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$ under the square of the projection $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^2 = \mathfrak{g}$ equals r . Such a ρ (we call it a lift of r) is unique up to the action of $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ by $\lambda \cdot \rho = \lambda^1 \star \lambda^2 \star \rho \star (-\lambda)^{12}$. We call Equation (2-2) the functional cocycle equation.

¹We denote by $S(\mathfrak{g})$ the symmetric algebra of \mathfrak{g} , and by $S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})$ the positive degree part; the index N means the part of total degree N .

Proof. We construct ρ by induction: we will construct a convergent sequence $\rho_N \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ ($N \geq 2$) satisfying (2-2) in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} / (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^N)$. When $N = 3$, we take for ρ_2 any lift of r to $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$; then Equation (2-2) is automatically satisfied.

Let N be an integer ≥ 3 ; assume that we have constructed ρ_N in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ satisfying Equation (2-2) in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} / (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^N)$. Set

$$\alpha_N := \rho_N^{1,2} \star \rho_N^{12,3} - \rho_N^{2,3} \star \rho_N^{1,23} \star \varphi.$$

Then α_N belongs to

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^N,$$

and the following equalities hold in $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 4} / (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 4} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^4}^{N+1})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_N^{12,3,4} &= \rho_N^{1,2} \star \alpha_N^{12,3,4} = \rho_N^{1,2} \star \rho_N^{12,3} \star \rho_N^{123,4} - \rho_N^{1,2} \star \rho_N^{3,4} \star \rho_N^{12,34} \star \varphi^{12,3,4} \\ &\quad (\text{using Lemma 2.2}) \\ &= (\alpha_N^{1,2,3} + \rho_N^{2,3} \star \rho_N^{1,23} \star \varphi^{1,2,3}) \star \rho_N^{123,4} - \rho_N^{3,4} \star \rho_N^{1,2} \star \rho_N^{12,34} \star \varphi^{12,3,4} \\ &= \alpha_N^{1,2,3} + \rho_N^{2,3} \star \rho_N^{1,23} \star \rho_N^{123,4} \star \varphi^{1,2,3} - \rho_N^{3,4} \star (\rho_N^{2,34} \star \rho_N^{1,234} \star \varphi^{1,2,34} \\ &\quad + \alpha_N^{1,2,34}) \star \varphi^{12,3,4} \\ &\quad (\text{using Lemma 2.2, the invariance of } \varphi \text{ and the definition of } \alpha_N^{1,2,34}) \\ &= \alpha_N^{1,2,3} + \rho_N^{2,3} \star (\alpha_N^{1,23,4} + \rho_N^{23,4} \star \rho_N^{1,234} \star \varphi^{1,23,4}) \star \varphi^{1,2,3} \\ &\quad - \alpha_N^{1,2,34} - \rho_N^{3,4} \star \rho_N^{2,34} \star \rho_N^{1,234} \star \varphi^{1,2,34} \star \varphi^{12,3,4} \\ &\quad (\text{using the definition of } \alpha_N^{1,23,4} \text{ and Lemma 2.2}) \\ &= \alpha_N^{1,2,3} + \alpha_N^{1,23,4} + (\rho_N^{3,4} \star \rho_N^{2,34} \star \varphi^{2,3,4} + \alpha_N^{2,3,4}) \star \rho_N^{1,234} \star \varphi^{1,23,4} \star \varphi^{1,2,3} \\ &\quad - \alpha_N^{1,2,34} - \rho_N^{3,4} \star \rho_N^{2,34} \star \rho_N^{1,234} \star \varphi^{1,2,34} \star \varphi^{12,3,4} \\ &\quad (\text{using the definition of } \alpha_N^{2,3,4} \text{ and Lemma 2.2}) \\ &= \alpha_N^{1,2,3} + \alpha_N^{1,23,4} - \alpha_N^{1,2,34} + \alpha_N^{2,3,4} \end{aligned}$$

(using Lemma 2.2, the invariance of φ and the fact that φ satisfies the functional pentagon equation).

Denote by $\bar{\alpha}_N$ the image of α_N in $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^N) / (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^{N+1}) = (S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes} 3})_N$. Then

$$\bar{\alpha}_N^{12,3,4} + \bar{\alpha}_N^{1,2,34} = \bar{\alpha}_N^{1,2,3} + \bar{\alpha}_N^{1,23,4} + \bar{\alpha}_N^{2,3,4}.$$

Thus $\bar{\alpha}_N$ is a cocycle for the subcomplex $(S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})^{\widehat{\otimes}}, d)$ of the Cartier complex. Using [Drinfeld 1989b, Proposition 3.11], one proves that the k -th cohomology group of this subcomplex is $\bigwedge^k(\mathfrak{g})$, and that antisymmetrization coincides with the canonical projection from the space of cocycles to the cohomology group. For $N = 3$, the equation $\text{CYB}(r) = Z$ implies $\text{Alt}(\bar{\alpha}_3) = 0$, hence $\bar{\alpha}_3$ is the coboundary

of an element $\bar{\beta}_3 \in (S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2})_3$. For $N > 3$, $\bar{\alpha}_N$ is the coboundary of an element $\bar{\beta}_N \in (S^{>0}(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2})_N$, since the degree N part of the cohomology vanishes. We set $\rho_{N+1} := \rho_N + \beta_N$, where $\beta_N \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\otimes 2} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^2}^N$ is a representative of $\bar{\beta}_N$. Then ρ_{N+1} satisfies (2-2) in

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\otimes 3} / (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\otimes 3} \cap \mathfrak{m}_{(\mathfrak{g}^*)^3}^{N+1}).$$

The sequence $(\rho_N)_{N \geq 2}$ has a limit ρ , which satisfies (2-2).

The second part of the theorem can be proved either by analyzing the choices for $\bar{\beta}_N$ in the proof above, or by following the proof of the previous proposition. \square

Remark 2.4. If φ is replaced by $\varphi' = \sigma \star \varphi$, then a solution of (2-2) is $\rho' = \rho \star (-\sigma)$.

3. Isomorphism of formal Poisson manifolds $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq G^*$

We assume that \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional coboundary Lie bialgebra. The following result was proved in [Enriquez et al. 2005] when \mathfrak{g} is quasitriangular; that result is itself a generalization of the formal version of the Ginzburg–Weinstein isomorphism [Ginzburg and Weinstein 1992; Alekseev 1997; Boalch 2001]. On the other hand, one knows that linearization does not hold for general Poisson–Lie groups [Chloup–Arnould 1997].

Corollary 3.1. *There exists an isomorphism of formal Poisson manifolds $\mathfrak{g}^* \simeq G^*$.*

Proof. Let $P : \wedge^2(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}) \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ be the Poisson bracket on $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ corresponding to the Lie–Poisson (or Kostant–Kirillov–Souriau, or linear Poisson) structure on \mathfrak{g}^* . Let m_0 be the product and Δ_0 be the cocommutative coproduct of $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \simeq \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g})$ (recall that elements of \mathfrak{g} are primitive for Δ_0). Then $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, m_0, P, \Delta_0)$ is a Poisson formal series Hopf (PFSH) algebra²; it corresponds to the formal Poisson–Lie group $(\mathfrak{g}^*, +)$ equipped with its Lie–Poisson structure.

Set ${}^\rho\Delta_0(f) = \rho \star \Delta_0(f) \star (-\rho)$ for any $f \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$. It follows from the fact that ρ satisfies the functional cocycle equation Equation (2-2) that $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, m_0, P, {}^\rho\Delta_0)$ is a PFSH algebra.

Denote by PFSHA and LBA the categories of PSFH algebras and Lie bialgebras. We have a category equivalence $c : \text{PFSHA} \rightarrow \text{LBA}$, taking $(\mathbb{O}, m, P, \Delta)$ to the Lie bialgebra $(\mathfrak{c}, \mu, \delta)$, where $\mathfrak{c} := \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ ($\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{O}$ is the maximal ideal), the Lie cobracket of \mathfrak{c} is induced by $\Delta - \Delta^{2,1} : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \wedge^2(\mathfrak{m})$, and the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{c} is induced by the Poisson bracket $P : \wedge^2(\mathfrak{m}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}$. The inverse of the functor c takes $(\mathfrak{c}, \mu, \delta)$ to $\mathbb{O} = \widehat{S}(\mathfrak{c})$ equipped with its usual product; Δ depends only on δ , and P depends on (μ, δ) .

²Recall that a PFSH algebra (A, m_A, P_A, Δ_A) is a quadruple such that (A, m_A) is a formal series algebra, (A, m_A, Δ_A) is a topological Hopf algebra, P_A is a Poisson structure on A , and $\Delta_A : A \rightarrow A^{\otimes 2}$ is a morphism of Poisson algebras.

Then c restricts to a category equivalence $c_{\text{fd}} : \text{PFSHA}_{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \text{LBA}_{\text{fd}}$ of subcategories of finite-dimensional objects (in the case of PFSH, we say that \mathbb{O} is finite-dimensional if and only if $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is).

Let $\text{dual} : \text{LBA}_{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \text{LBA}_{\text{fd}}$ be the duality functor. It is a category antiequivalence; we have $\text{dual}(\mathfrak{g}, \mu, \delta) = (\mathfrak{g}^*, \delta^t, \mu^t)$. Then $\text{dual} \circ c_{\text{fd}} : \text{PFSHA}_{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \text{LBA}_{\text{fd}}$ is a category antiequivalence. Its inverse is the usual functor $\mathfrak{g} \mapsto U(\mathfrak{g})^*$. If G is the formal Poisson–Lie group with Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{g} , one sets $\mathbb{O}_G = U(\mathfrak{g})^*$.

We apply the functor c to $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, m_0, P, {}^\rho\Delta_0)$. We obtain $\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{g}$; the Lie bracket is unchanged with respect to the case $\rho = 0$, so it is the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} . The Lie cobracket is given by $\delta(x) = [r, x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x]$, since the reduction of ρ modulo $(\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*})^2 \widehat{\otimes} \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} + \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \widehat{\otimes} (\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*})^2$ is equal to r .

Then applying $\text{dual} \circ c_{\text{fd}}$ to $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, m_0, P, {}^\rho\Delta_0)$, we obtain the Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{g}^* . So this PFSH algebra is isomorphic to the PFSH algebra of the formal Poisson–Lie group G^* . In particular, the Poisson algebras $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ and \mathbb{O}_{G^*} are isomorphic. It is easy to check that the map $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_{G^*}/\mathfrak{m}_{G^*}^2 = \mathfrak{g}$ induced by this isomorphism is the identity (here $\mathfrak{m}_{G^*} \subset \mathbb{O}_{G^*}$ is the maximal ideal). \square

Remark 3.2. When \mathfrak{g} is infinite dimensional, one can define \mathbb{O}_{G^*} as the image of \mathfrak{g} under $\text{LBA} \rightarrow \text{PFSHA}$, and then show that the Poisson algebras \mathbb{O}_{G^*} and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} = (\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}), \text{linear Poisson structure})$ are isomorphic.

4. The morphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$

In this section, \mathfrak{g} is a finite dimensional coboundary Lie bialgebra.

Lemma 4.1 [Semenov-Tian-Shansky 1985]. $\mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{O}_G$ is a Poisson commutative subalgebra.

Here the action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathbb{O}_G corresponds to the adjoint action of G . We recall the proof: if $f, g \in \mathbb{O}_G$, then $\{f, g\} = m((\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{R})(r)(f \otimes g))$, where \mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R} are the infinitesimal left and right actions, and m is the product map. If $\varphi \in \mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}}$, then $\mathbf{L}(a)(\varphi) = \mathbf{R}(a)(\varphi)$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$. Therefore if $f, g \in \mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}}$, then $(\mathbf{L} - \mathbf{R})(r)(f \otimes g)$ vanishes, hence $\{f, g\} = 0$.

The inclusion $\mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{O}_G$ is a morphism of Poisson algebras with a decreasing filtration. By passing to the associated graded algebras, we obtain:

Lemma 4.2. $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a Poisson commutative subalgebra.

Proof. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, then $[\alpha, \beta] = \text{ad}^*(R(\beta))(\alpha) - \text{ad}^*(R(\alpha))(\beta)$, where $R : \mathfrak{g}^* \mapsto \mathfrak{g}$ is given by $R(\xi) = (\text{id} \otimes \xi)(r)$.

Let $f, g \in S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ have degrees k and ℓ . Write

$$f = \sum_{\alpha} a_1^{\alpha} \cdots a_k^{\alpha}, \quad g = \sum_{\beta} b_1^{\beta} \cdots b_{\ell}^{\beta}.$$

Then

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} b_1^{\beta} \cdots \check{b}_j^{\beta} \cdots b_{\ell}^{\beta} \operatorname{ad}^*(R(b_j^{\beta}))(f) - \sum_{\alpha} \sum_{i=1}^k a_1^{\alpha} \cdots \check{a}_i^{\alpha} \cdots a_k^{\alpha} \operatorname{ad}^*(R(a_i^{\alpha}))(g).$$

When f and g are both invariant, this bracket vanishes. □

We now prove that $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is also the associated graded of an inclusion of noncommutative algebras with an increasing filtration:

Theorem 4.3. *There exists a morphism of filtered algebras:*

$$\theta : S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*),$$

where the associated graded morphism is the canonical inclusion $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Proof. We denote by FSHA the category of formal series Hopf (FSH) algebras and by FilAlg the category of filtered algebras. There is a contravariant functor (restricted duality) $\text{FSHA} \rightarrow \text{FilAlg}$, defined by $\mathbb{O} \mapsto \mathbb{O}^{\circ}$, where

$$\mathbb{O}^{\circ} = \{\ell \in \mathbb{O}^* \mid \ell(m^n) = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0\} \subset \mathbb{O}^*;$$

here $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{O}$ is the maximal ideal of \mathbb{O} . The algebra structure of \mathbb{O}° is defined by

$$(\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2)(f) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta(f));$$

its filtration is defined by $(\mathbb{O}^{\circ})_{\leq n} = \{\ell \in \mathbb{O}^* \mid \ell(m^{n+1}) = 0\}$.

We have a category equivalence $\text{FSHA} \rightarrow \text{LCA}$, where LCA is the category of Lie coalgebras, taking \mathbb{O} to $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$, equipped with the cobracket induced by $\Delta - \Delta^{2,1}$. Then the composed functor $\text{LCA} \rightarrow \text{FSHA} \rightarrow \text{FilAlg}$ is $\mathfrak{c} \mapsto U(\mathfrak{c}^*)$ (recall that \mathfrak{c}^* is a Lie algebra).

$(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0) = (\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}), \Delta_0)$ is a graded FSH algebra. Its restricted dual is the graded algebra $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. Recall that $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ is also a Poisson algebra. We define the set of Poisson traces on $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ as the subspace of all $\ell \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\circ}$, such that $\ell(\{u, v\}) = 0$ for any $u, v \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$. Then $\{\text{Poisson traces on } \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} \subset \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\circ}$ identifies with $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$; this is a graded subalgebra of $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\circ}$. This defines a graded algebra structure on $\{\text{Poisson traces on } \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\}$.

Consider the FSH algebra $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, {}^{\rho}\Delta_0)$. It is isomorphic (as a filtered vector space) to $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)$, and this isomorphism induces an algebra isomorphism between their associated graded FSH algebras. It follows that we have an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces between the filtered algebra $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, {}^{\rho}\Delta_0)^{\circ}$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, and the associated graded of this morphism is an algebra isomorphism $\operatorname{gr}((\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, {}^{\rho}\Delta_0)^{\circ}) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Recall that the vector spaces underlying $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ}$ and $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, {}^{\rho}\Delta_0)^{\circ}$ are the same, namely, $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\circ}$. We claim that the canonical inclusion

$$\{\text{Poisson traces on } \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} \subset (\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ$$

is a morphism of filtered algebras. Indeed, denote by \cdot_ρ the product in $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ$ and by \cdot the product in $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^\circ$. Let ℓ_1, ℓ_2 be Poisson traces on $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$, we have $(\ell_1 \cdot_\rho \ell_2)(x) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\rho \star \Delta_0(f) \star (-\rho))$. Now Leibniz's rule implies that $(\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\{u, v\}) = 0$ for any $u, v \in \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\otimes 2}$, therefore $(\ell_1 \cdot_\rho \ell_2)(x) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta_0(x)) = (\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2)(x)$. So $\{\text{Poisson traces on } \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} \subset (\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ$ is an algebra morphism. Since the filtrations on the vector spaces underlying $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^\circ$ and $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ$ are the same, and since the filtration on $\{\text{Poisson traces on } \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\}$ is induced by that of $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^\circ$, this morphism is filtered, and its associated graded is the canonical inclusion $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Now the FSH algebra isomorphism $\mathbb{O}_{G^*} \simeq (\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)$ (Corollary 3.1) induces a filtered algebra isomorphism $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{G^*}^\circ = U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. The fact that the associated graded of this morphism is the canonical isomorphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \text{gr}(U(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ follows from the fact that the completed graded of the FSH algebras \mathbb{O}_{G^*} and $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)$ are both $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)$.

We now compose the filtered algebra morphism $\{\text{Poisson traces on } \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} \subset (\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ$ with the filtered algebra isomorphism $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{G^*}^\circ$, and obtain a filtered algebra morphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, whose associated graded is the canonical inclusion $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

The situation may be summarized thus:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & (\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^\circ = S(\mathfrak{g}^*) & & \\
 & \nearrow (a) & \uparrow (c) & & \\
 S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} = \{\text{Poisson traces on } \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} & & & & \\
 & \searrow (b) & & & \\
 & & (\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ & \xrightarrow{(d)} & \mathbb{O}_{G^*}^\circ = U(\mathfrak{g}^*)
 \end{array}$$

Here $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ are graded algebras, $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \rho\Delta_0)^\circ$ and $\mathbb{O}_{G^*}^\circ$ are filtered algebras; (a) is a morphism of graded algebras, (c) is an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces, and (b) and (d) are morphisms of filtered algebras, (d) being an isomorphism. The associated graded of (c) is an isomorphism of graded algebras. □

Remark 4.4. The restricted dual of the isomorphism $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{G^*}$ appearing in the proof above is an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces $\sigma : S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, whose associated graded is the canonical isomorphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \text{gr}(U(\mathfrak{g}^*))$. These properties are also satisfied by the symmetrization map Sym , however σ depends on ρ , so in general Sym and σ are different.

Remark 4.5. One can check that the morphism θ is independent of the choice of (ρ, φ) (these choices are described in Remark 2.4 and Theorem 2.3).

5. Duality of QUE and QFSH algebras

We now recall some facts from [Drinfeld 1987]; proofs can be found in [Gavarini 2002]. We denote by QUE the category of quantized universal enveloping algebras and by QFSH the category of quantized formal series Hopf algebras. We denote by QUE_{fd} and QFSH_{fd} the subcategories corresponding to finite dimensional Lie bialgebras.

We have the contravariant functors $\text{QUE}_{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \text{QFSH}_{\text{fd}}$ sending U to U^* and $\text{QFSH}_{\text{fd}} \rightarrow \text{QUE}_{\text{fd}}$ sending \mathbb{O} to \mathbb{O}° . These functors are inverse to each other. U^* is the full topological dual of U (the space of all $(\hbar$ -adically) continuous $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -linear maps $U \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$), and \mathbb{O}° is the space of continuous $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -linear forms $\mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$, where \mathbb{O} is equipped with the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology (here $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathbb{O}$ is the kernel of $\mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, that is, the maximal ideal of \mathbb{O}).

We also have covariant functors $\text{QUE} \rightarrow \text{QFSH}$, $U \mapsto U'$ and $\text{QFSH} \rightarrow \text{QUE}$, $\mathbb{O} \mapsto \mathbb{O}^\vee$. These functors are also inverse to each other. U' is the subalgebra of U defined by

$$U' = \{x \in U \mid (\text{id} - \eta \circ \varepsilon)^{\otimes k} \circ \Delta^{(k)}(x) \in \hbar^k U^{\widehat{\otimes} k} \text{ for all } k \geq 0\},$$

where ε and η are the counit and unit of U , $\Delta^{(1)} = \text{id}$, $\Delta^{(2)} = \Delta$ is its coproduct, and

$$\Delta^{(k)} = (\Delta \otimes \text{id}^{\otimes k-2}) \circ \dots \circ \Delta.$$

On the other hand, \mathbb{O}^\vee is the \hbar -adic completion of

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \hbar^{-k} \mathfrak{m}^k \subset \mathbb{O}[1/\hbar].$$

We also have canonical isomorphisms $(U')^\circ \simeq (U^*)^\vee$ and $(\mathbb{O}^\vee)^* \simeq (\mathbb{O}^\circ)'$.

If \mathfrak{a} is a finite dimensional Lie bialgebra and $U = U_\hbar(\mathfrak{a})$ is a QUE algebra quantizing \mathfrak{a} , then $U^* = \mathbb{O}_{A, \hbar}$ is a QFSH algebra quantizing the Poisson–Lie group A (with Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{a}), and $U' = \mathbb{O}_{A^*, \hbar}$ is a QFSH algebra quantizing the Poisson–Lie group A^* (with Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{a}^*). If now $\mathbb{O} = \mathbb{O}_{A, \hbar}$ is a QFSH algebra quantizing A , then $\mathbb{O}^\circ = U_\hbar(\mathfrak{a})$ is a QUE algebra quantizing \mathfrak{a} , and $\mathbb{O}^\vee = U_\hbar(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ is a QFSH algebra quantizing \mathfrak{a}^* .

We now compute these functors explicitly in the case of cocommutative QUE and commutative QFSH algebras. If $U = U(\mathfrak{a})[[\hbar]]$ has a cocommutative coproduct (where \mathfrak{a} is a Lie algebra), then U' is a completion of $U(\hbar\mathfrak{a}[[\hbar]])$; this is a flat deformation of $\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ equipped with its linear Lie–Poisson structure. If G is a formal group with function ring \mathbb{O}_G , then $\mathbb{O} := \mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]$ is a QFSH algebra, and \mathbb{O}^\vee is a

commutative QUE algebra; it is a quantization of $(S(\mathfrak{g}^*), \text{commutative product, cocommutative coproduct, co-Poisson structure induced by the Lie bracket of } \mathfrak{g})$.

6. Relation between twist quantization and its functional version

We define a twist quantization of the coboundary Lie bialgebra (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) as a pair (J, Φ) , where $J \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$, $\Phi \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$, Φ is invariant, (J, Φ) satisfies the twisted cocycle relation

$$J^{1,2} J^{12,3} = J^{2,3} J^{1,23} \Phi,$$

and moreover $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(J) = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(J) = 1$, $J = 1^{\otimes 2} + O(\hbar)$, $\Phi = 1^{\otimes 3} + O(\hbar)$, $\text{Alt}((J - 1^{\otimes 2})/\hbar) = r + O(\hbar)$, and $\text{Alt}((\Phi - 1^{\otimes 3})/\hbar^2) = Z + O(\hbar)$. These conditions imply that Φ satisfies the pentagon relation, as well as $\varepsilon^{(i)}(\Phi) = 1^{\otimes 2}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. (We know that such a twist quantization always exists when \mathfrak{g} is triangular or quasitriangular.) Our purpose is to relate twist quantization with its functional version.

The first step is to show that (J, Φ) can be transformed into an admissible pair, in a sense which we now make precise.

Definition 6.1. *An element x in a QUE algebra U is admissible if $x \in 1 + \hbar U$ and if $\hbar \log x$ is in $U' \subset U$.*

We will use the isomorphism $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes k}[[\hbar]] \simeq U(\mathfrak{g}^{\oplus k})[[\hbar]]$ to view $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes k}[[\hbar]]$ as a QUE algebra.

Proposition 6.2. *Any twist quantization (J, Φ) of a coboundary Lie bialgebra (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) is gauge equivalent to an admissible twist quantization (J', Φ') (i.e., such that J' and Φ' are admissible).*

Proof. We set $U = U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$. According to Proposition A.1, one can find an invariant $F \in U^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, such that $F \in 1^{\otimes 2} + \hbar U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ and $\Phi' := {}^F \Phi = F^{2,3} F^{1,23} \Phi (F^{1,2} F^{12,3})^{-1}$ is admissible. In particular, $\Phi' \in 1^{\otimes 3} + \hbar^2 U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$.

Then if we set $J_0 := JF$, we have $J_0^{1,2} J_0^{12,3} = J_0^{2,3} J_0^{1,23} \Phi'$ and $J_0 \in 1^{\otimes 2} + \hbar U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$. For any $u \in 1^{\otimes 3} + \hbar U_0$, define ${}^u J_0 := u^1 u^2 J_0 (u^{12})^{-1}$. Then $({}^u J_0, \Phi')$ is a twist quantization of (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) . It remains to find u such that $J' := {}^u J_0$ is admissible.

We will construct u as a product $\cdots u_2 u_1$, where $u_n \in 1 + \hbar^n U_0$, in such a way that if $J_n := {}^{u_n \cdots u_1} J_0$, then $\hbar \log J_n \in U_0^{\prime \widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+2} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$.

We have already $\hbar \log J_0 \in \hbar^2 U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$.

Expand $J_0 = 1^{\otimes 2} + \hbar j_1 + \cdots$; then $\text{Alt}(j_1) = r$. Moreover, the coefficient of \hbar in $J_0^{1,2} J_0^{12,3} = J_0^{2,3} J_0^{1,23} \Phi$ yields $d(j_1) = 0$, where $d : U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 3}$ is the Cartier differential. It follows that for some $a_1 \in U(\mathfrak{g})_0$, we have $j_1 = r + d(a_1)$. Then if we set $u_1 := \exp(\hbar a_1)$ and $J_1 = {}^{u_1} J_0$, we get $J_1 \in 1^{\otimes 2} + \hbar r + \hbar^2 U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$. Then $\hbar \log J_1 \in \hbar^2 r + \hbar^3 U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} \subset U_0^{\prime \widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^3 U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$.

Assume that for $n \geq 2$, we have constructed u_1, \dots, u_{n-1} such that $\alpha_{n-1} := \hbar \log(J_{n-1}) \in U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+1} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$.

We denote by $\bar{\alpha}$ the image of the class of α_{n-1} in $U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} / (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})_{\leq n+1}$ under the isomorphism of this space with $(U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+1} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}) / (U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+2} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})$ (see Lemma A.2). Let $\alpha \in U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ be a representative of $\bar{\alpha}$, then $\alpha_{n-1} = \alpha' + \hbar^{n+1} \alpha$, where $\alpha' \in U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+2} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$. We set $\varphi' := \hbar \log \Phi'$; then the twist equation gives

$$(-\alpha' - \hbar^{n+1} \alpha)^{1,2,3} \star_{\hbar} (-\alpha' - \hbar^{n+1} \alpha)^{2,3} \star_{\hbar} (\alpha' + \hbar^{n+1} \alpha)^{1,2} \star_{\hbar} (\alpha' + \hbar^{n+1} \alpha)^{12,3} = \varphi',$$

where \star_{\hbar} is defined as in the Appendix. According to Lemma A.3, the image of this equality in

$$(U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} + \hbar^{n+1} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}) / (U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} + \hbar^{n+2} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3} / (U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3})_{\leq n+1}$$

is $d(\bar{\alpha})$, where d is the Cartier differential on $U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes \cdot} / (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes \cdot})_{\leq n+1}$. Since $n \geq 2$, the relevant cohomology group vanishes, so

$$\bar{\alpha} = d(\bar{\beta}), \quad \text{where } \bar{\beta} \in U(\mathfrak{g})_0 / (U(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{\leq n+1}.$$

Let $\beta \in U(\mathfrak{g})_0$ be a representative of $\bar{\beta}$, and set $u_n := \exp(\hbar^n \beta)$, $J_n := u_n J_{n-1}$, and $\alpha_n := \hbar \log J_n$. Then

$$\alpha_n = (\hbar^{n+1} \beta)^1 \star_{\hbar} (\hbar^{n+1} \beta)^2 \star_{\hbar} \alpha_{n-1} \star_{\hbar} (-\hbar^{n+1} \beta)^{12}.$$

According to Lemma A.3, the image of α_n in

$$(U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+1} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}) / (U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+2} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} / (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})_{\leq n+1}$$

is $\bar{\alpha} - d(\bar{\beta}) = 0$. So α_n belongs to $U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+2} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, as required. This proves the induction step. \square

If now (J', Φ') is an admissible twist quantization, then $\rho := \hbar \log J'_{|\hbar=0}$ and $\varphi := \hbar \log \Phi'_{|\hbar=0}$ are formal functions on $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$, solutions of the functional twist equation.

7. Quantization of $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}$

Using a (not necessarily admissible) twist quantization, we construct a formal non-commutative deformation of the inclusion of algebras of Lemma 4.1:

Proposition 7.1. *We have an injective algebra morphism $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{\mathfrak{g}}[[\hbar]] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G},\hbar}$ deforming $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}$, where $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G},\hbar}$ is a quantization of the PFSH algebra $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}$, and $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{\mathfrak{g}}[[\hbar]]$ is the trivial deformation of the commutative algebra $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G}}^{\mathfrak{g}}$ (it is also commutative).*

Proof. We first construct the QFSH algebra $\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{G},\hbar}$. For $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, set $J\Delta_0(x) = J\Delta_0(x)J^{-1}$, where Δ_0 is the usual cocommutative coproduct. Then $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) =$

$(U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]], m_0, {}^J\Delta_0)$ is a quantization of the Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{g} (here m_0 is the product on $U(\mathfrak{g})$). The dual $\mathbb{O}_{G,\hbar} := U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ of this QUE algebra is a QFSH algebra quantizing the PFSH algebra \mathbb{O}_G . The product in this QFSH algebra is defined by $(f \star g)(x) = (f \otimes g)(J\Delta_0(x)J^{-1})$ for $f, g \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ and $x \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$.

On the other hand, the FSH algebra \mathbb{O}_G is equal to $U(\mathfrak{g})^*$, and its product is defined by $(fg)(x) = (f \otimes g)(\Delta_0(x))$ for $f, g \in U(\mathfrak{g})^*$ and $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.

We say that $f \in U(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is a trace if and only if $f(xy) = f(yx)$ for any $x, y \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the inclusion {traces on $U(\mathfrak{g})$ } $\subset U(\mathfrak{g})^*$ identifies with $\mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{O}_G$. In the same way, we define {traces on $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ }; this is a subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]^* \simeq \mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]$, which identifies with $\mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}}[[\hbar]]$.

The canonical map {traces on $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ } $\rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is an algebra morphism. Indeed, if f_1, f_2 are traces on $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, then $f_1 \otimes f_2$ is a trace on $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$, so $(f_1 \star f_2)(x) = (f_1 \otimes f_2)(J\Delta_0(x)J^{-1}) = (f_1 \otimes f_2)(\Delta_0(x)) = (f_1 f_2)(x)$ for any $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, thus $f_1 \star f_2 = f_1 f_2$. So we have obtained an algebra morphism $\mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}}[[\hbar]] \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^* = \mathbb{O}_{G,\hbar}$. It is clearly a deformation of the canonical inclusion $\mathbb{O}_G^{\mathfrak{g}} \subset \mathbb{O}_G$. □

8. Quantization of $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$

Assume now that (J, Φ) is an admissible twist quantization. We construct a formal deformation of the inclusion of algebras of Theorem 4.3.

Theorem 8.1. *There is an injective algebra morphism:*

$$\theta_{\hbar} : S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}[[\hbar]] \hookrightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*),$$

where $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is a quantization of \mathfrak{g}^* . Its reduction modulo \hbar coincides with the morphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ from Theorem 4.3.

Proof. Recall that $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]'$ is a cocommutative QFSH algebra; we denote by m_0, Δ_0 its product and coproduct.

Since

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(J) = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(J) = 1,$$

we have $\hbar \log J \in \widehat{\mathfrak{m}}_0^{\otimes 2}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]'$ is the kernel of the counit. According to [Enriquez and Halbout 2003, Proposition 3.1], this implies that the inner automorphism $z \mapsto JzJ^{-1}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]'$ restricts to an automorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]'$.

We then equip $U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]'$ with the coproduct ${}^J\Delta : x \mapsto J\Delta_0(x)J^{-1}$. Then $(U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]', m_0, {}^J\Delta_0)$ is a QFSH algebra. Its classical limit is the PFSH algebra $(\mathbb{O}_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, m_0, P, {}^\rho\Delta_0)$. We have seen that this PFSH algebra is isomorphic to \mathbb{O}_{G^*} , hence $(U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]', m_0, {}^J\Delta_0)$ is a quantization of \mathbb{O}_{G^*} .

It now follows from Section 5 that $(U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]', m_0, {}^J\Delta_0)^{\circ}$ is a quantization of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, which we denote by $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

We say that $\varphi \in (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]')^\circ$ is a trace if $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx)$ for any $x, y \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]'$. Then $\{\text{traces on } U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]'\} \subset (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]')^\circ$ is a subalgebra. Indeed, if ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are traces, then $\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2$ is also a trace, so for $x, y \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]'$, we have

$$(\ell_1 \ell_2)(xy) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta(x)\Delta(y)) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta(y)\Delta(x)) = (\ell_1 \ell_2)(yx).$$

This inclusion identifies with the inclusion $(\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee)^\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee$. Indeed, the Drinfeld functors have the property that $(U')^\circ = (U^*)^\vee$ for any QUE algebra U .

Now we show that the map $\{\text{traces on } U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]'\} \subset (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]', J\Delta_0)^\circ$ is also an algebra morphism. Indeed, let \cdot_J be the product of the latter algebra. If ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are traces and $x, y \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, then $(\ell_1 \cdot_J \ell_2)(x) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(J\Delta_0(x)J^{-1}) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta_0(x)) = (\ell_1 \ell_2)(x)$, so $\ell_1 \cdot_J \ell_2 = \ell_1 \ell_2$. So we have constructed an algebra morphism $(\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee)^\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g})$. It is clearly a deformation of the morphism constructed in Theorem 4.3.

Recall that $\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee$ is the \hbar -adic completion of $\sum_{k \geq 0} \hbar^{-k} \mathfrak{m}_G^k \subset \mathbb{O}_G((\hbar))$.³ Then $\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee$ is a topologically free $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -commutative algebra; its specialization at $\hbar = 0$ is $\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee / \hbar \mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

The action of \mathfrak{g} on \mathbb{O}_G induces an action of \mathfrak{g} on $\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee$. Then $(\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee)^\mathfrak{g}$ is the \hbar -adic completion of $\sum_{k \geq 0} \hbar^{-k} (\mathfrak{m}_G^k)^\mathfrak{g}$. We have an inclusion of topologically free $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$ -algebras $(\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee)^\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee$.

Now the dual of the symmetrization map induces an algebra isomorphism

$$\widehat{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathbb{O}_\mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathbb{O}_G$$

(dual to the exponential map $\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$). This isomorphism induces a \mathfrak{g} -equivariant isomorphism of $(\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee)^\mathfrak{g}$ with the \hbar -adic completion of

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} \hbar^{-k} \mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{g}^k \subset \mathbb{O}_\mathfrak{g}((\hbar)).$$

So we have an algebra isomorphism $(\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee)^\mathfrak{g} \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*)[[\hbar]]$. It restricts to an isomorphism $(\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee)^\mathfrak{g} \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]]$.

Composing its inverse with the morphism $(\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee)^\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, we get the announced morphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^\mathfrak{g}[[\hbar]] \rightarrow U_\hbar(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. □

9. The quasitriangular case

A quasitriangular Lie bialgebra (QTLBA) is a pair (\mathfrak{g}, r') , where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra and $r' \in \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}$ is such that $\text{CYB}(r') = 0$ and $t := r' + r'^{2,1} \in S^2(\mathfrak{g})^\mathfrak{g}$. Any QTLBA gives rise to a coboundary Lie bialgebra (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) , where $r = (r' - r'^{2,1})/2$ and

³ $\mathbb{O}_G[[\hbar]]^\vee$ may therefore be viewed as the formal Rees algebra associated to the decreasing filtration $\mathbb{O}_G \supset \mathfrak{m}_G \supset \mathfrak{m}_G^2 \dots$.

$Z = [t^{1,2}, t^{2,3}]/4$. We call a QTLBA *nondegenerate* if \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional and t is nondegenerate.

Let $D : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the composition of the Lie cobracket $\delta : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g}^* . It is a derivation and a coderivation, and it induces a derivation of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, which we also denote by D (or sometimes $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$).

Proposition 9.1. *For any scalar s , the algebra $C_s := \text{Ker}(\delta - s(D \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_0)$ is a commutative subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.*

Proof. The condition $\ell \in C_s$ means that $\ell(\{u, v\} - sD^*(u)v) = 0$ for any $u, v \in \mathbb{C}_{G^*}$ (here D^* is the derivation of \mathbb{C}_{G^*} dual to the coderivation D).

Let ℓ_1, ℓ_2 belong to C_s . Then for any $u, v \in \mathbb{C}_{G^*}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell_1 \ell_2)(\{u, v\} - sD^*(u)v) &= (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\{\Delta(u), \Delta(v)\} - s\Delta(D^*(u))\Delta(v)) \\ &= (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\{u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}\} \otimes u^{(2)}v^{(2)} + u^{(1)}v^{(1)} \otimes \{u^{(2)}, v^{(2)}\} \\ &\quad - sD^*(u^{(1)})v^{(1)} \otimes u^{(2)}v^{(2)} - u^{(1)}v^{(1)} \otimes sD^*(u^{(2)})v^{(2)}) \\ &= 0; \end{aligned}$$

hence $\ell_1 \ell_2 \in C_s$. Here Δ is the coproduct of \mathbb{C}_{G^*} .

Moreover, we constructed in [Enriquez et al. 2003] an element $\varrho \in \mathfrak{m}_{G^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, such that $\Delta'(u) = \varrho \star \Delta(u) \star (-\varrho)$ for any $u \in \mathbb{C}_{G^*}$; if $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{R})$ is any quantization of (\mathfrak{g}, r') , then $\hbar \log \mathcal{R} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hbar}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{\hbar} \subset U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})'$ is the augmentation ideal, and the reduction of $\hbar \log \mathcal{R} \bmod \hbar$ equals ϱ . Then it follows from $(S^2 \otimes S^2)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$ that $(S_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}}^2)(\hbar \log \mathcal{R}) = \hbar \log \mathcal{R}$, where S is the antipode of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S_{\mathbb{C}} = S|_{U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})'}$ is the antipode of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})' \subset U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$; since the specialization for $\hbar = 0$ of $\hbar^{-1}(S_{\mathbb{C}}^2 - \text{id})$ is D^* , we get $(D^* \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D^*)(\varrho) = 0$.

Then if $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in C_s$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\ell_2 \ell_1)(u) &= (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta'(u)) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\varrho \star \Delta(u) \star (-\varrho)) \\ &= (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta(u)) + \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n!) (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\{\varrho, \varrho, \dots, \varrho, \Delta(u)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Now if $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{G^*}^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$, then $(\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\{f, f\}) = s(\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)((D^* \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D^*)(\varrho)f) = 0$. It follows that $\ell_2 \ell_1 = \ell_1 \ell_2$. □

Remark 9.2. If A is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra with antipode S , set

$$C_{s,A} := \{\ell \in A^* \mid \ell(ab) = \ell(bS^{-2s}(a)) \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it follows from [Drinfeld 1989a] that $C_{s,A}$ is a commutative algebra, and that we have isomorphisms $C_s \simeq C_{s+2}$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The isomorphism takes

$\ell \in C_s$ to the element $\bar{\ell} \in C_{s+2}$ defined by

$$\bar{\ell}(x) = \ell(xu^{-1}S(u)),$$

where $u = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S)(R)$; here m, R are the product and R -matrix of A . The definition of $C_{s,A}$ can be generalized to $s \in \mathbb{K}$ when $A = (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{R})$ is a quasitriangular QUE Hopf algebra. Define $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ as $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})')^{\circ} = (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*)^{\vee} \supset U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^*$. Then

$$C_{s,\hbar} := \{\ell \in (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})')^{\circ} \mid \ell(ab) = \ell(b(S^2)^{-s}(a)) \text{ for all } a, b \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})'\}$$

is a commutative subalgebra of $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, and its reduction modulo \hbar is contained in C_s . In this case, $u^{-1}S(u)$ does not necessarily belong to $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})'$, therefore $C_{s,\hbar}$ and $C_{s+2,\hbar}$ are not necessarily isomorphic.

Remark 9.3. If (\mathfrak{g}, r, Z) is a coboundary Lie bialgebra, then r is D -invariant if and only if $(\mu \otimes \text{id})(Z)$ is symmetric (where μ is the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g}). If this is not the case, if we set $\varrho := \rho^{2,1} \star (-\rho)$, then $(D^* \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D^*)(\varrho) \neq 0$, so unless $s = 0$, one cannot prove that C_s is commutative.

For each nondegenerate QTLBA (\mathfrak{g}, r') , Semenov-Tian-Shansky [1984] defined an algebra morphism $\Theta : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, where $Z(A)$ denotes the center of an algebra A . We recall the construction of Θ . There are unique Lie algebra morphisms $L, R : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, defined by $L(\ell) = (\ell \otimes \text{id})(r')$, $R(\ell) = -(\text{id} \otimes \ell)(r')$ for any $\ell \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. We denote by $\alpha : U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ the composed map

$$U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} U(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{L \otimes (S_0 \circ R)} U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{m_0} U(\mathfrak{g}).$$

Here m_0, Δ_0 are the standard product and coproduct maps. We still denote by L, R the algebra morphisms induced by L, R , and S_0 denotes the antipode of $U(\mathfrak{g})$. The associated graded of the map α is the isomorphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow S(\mathfrak{g})$ induced by t , hence α is an isomorphism. Then $\Theta : Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is defined as the restriction of α^{-1} to $Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$; one can prove that it is an algebra morphism.

We will show, together with Proposition 9.8:

Proposition 9.4. $\text{Im}(\Theta) = C_1 \subset U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. The associated graded algebra of C_1 (for the degree filtration of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$) is $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Remark 9.5. Let θ be as in Theorem 4.3. The image of $\theta : S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is $\{\text{Poisson traces on } \mathcal{O}_{G^*}\}$, that is, $C_0 = \text{Ker}(\delta)$, where $\delta : U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ is the co-Poisson map of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$. So the images of Θ and θ do not necessarily coincide.

Notice that C_1 is also the image of a morphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, since

$$Z(U(\mathfrak{g})) = U(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where the second equality is Duflo’s isomorphism [1969], and the third equality uses the nondegenerate pairing of \mathfrak{g} dual to t . Hence C_0 and C_1 are images of morphisms $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, whose associated graded is the canonical injection, but which do not necessarily coincide.

We now construct a deformation Θ_{\hbar} of Θ .

Lemma 9.6 [Drinfeld 1989a]. *Let (A, Δ, R) be a quasitriangular Hopf algebra with antipode S . Define a linear map $\alpha_A : A^* \rightarrow A$ by $\alpha_A(\ell) = (\ell \otimes \text{id})(R^{2,1}R)$. Then α_A induces an algebra morphism $C_{1,A} \rightarrow Z(A)$.*

Lemma 9.7. *Assume moreover that A is finite dimensional and $R^{2,1}R$ is nondegenerate. Then the map $C_1 \rightarrow Z(A)$ is a linear isomorphism. Its inverse induces an algebra morphism $\Theta_A : Z(A) \rightarrow A^*$.*

Proof. We have to check that if $\ell \in A^*$ is such that $\alpha_A(\ell) \in Z(A)$, then ℓ is a trace. The condition $\alpha_A(\ell) \in Z(A)$ means that for any $a \in A$, we have

$$(\ell \otimes \text{id})([R^{2,1}R, 1 \otimes a]) = 0.$$

It follows that

$$S^{-1}(a^{(4)})(\ell \otimes \text{id})([R^{2,1}R, a^{(2)}S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes a^{(3)}]) = 0$$

for any $a \in A$. Since $R^{2,1}R$ commutes with the image of Δ_A , we have

$$(\ell \otimes \text{id})((a^{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(a^{(4)})a^{(3)})[R^{2,1}R, S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes 1]) = 0.$$

Therefore $(\ell \otimes \text{id})((a^{(2)} \otimes 1)R^{2,1}R(S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes \text{id})) = \varepsilon(a)(\ell \otimes \text{id})(R^{2,1}R)$.

Since $R^{2,1}R$ is nondegenerate, this means that $\ell(a^{(2)}bS^{-1}(a^{(1)})) = \varepsilon(a)\ell(b)$ for any $b \in A$. Replacing $a \otimes b$ by $a^{(1)} \otimes S(a^{(2)})b$, we get $\ell(bS^{-1}(a)) = \ell(S(a)b)$, so $\ell \in C_1$. \square

The QUE algebra version of these lemmas is parts (i) and (ii) of the following proposition. Let (\mathfrak{g}, r') be a QTLBA and let $(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}), \Delta, \mathcal{R})$ be a quantization of (\mathfrak{g}, r') .

Proposition 9.8. (i) *The linear map $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^* \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$, $\ell \mapsto (\ell \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}^{2,1})$ extends to a map $\alpha_{\hbar} : U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$.*

(ii) *If (\mathfrak{g}, r') is nondegenerate, then α_{\hbar} is a linear isomorphism, and it restricts to an algebra isomorphism $C_{1,\hbar} \rightarrow Z(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}))$.*

(iii) *Proposition 9.4 is true.*

Proof. We prove part (i). Define $L_{\hbar}, R'_{\hbar} : U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})^* \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$L_{\hbar}(\xi) = (\xi \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}), \quad R'_{\hbar}(\xi) = (\text{id} \otimes \xi)(\mathcal{R}).$$

According to [Enriquez and Halbout 2003],

$$\hbar \log \mathcal{R} \subset (U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})'_0)^{\widehat{\otimes}^2} \subset U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})'_0 \widehat{\otimes} \hbar U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})_0,$$

so that $\log \mathcal{R} \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})'_0 \widehat{\otimes} U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})_0$. According to [Enriquez et al. 2003, Appendix], the image of $\log \mathcal{R}$ in $(\mathfrak{m}_{G^*}/\mathfrak{m}_{G^*}^2) \widehat{\otimes} U(\mathfrak{g})_0$ (by reduction mod \hbar followed by projection) is r' . It follows that $\mathcal{R} \in U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})' \widehat{\otimes} U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$, therefore L_{\hbar} extends to a map $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$; this map is necessarily a QUE algebra morphism. The quasitriangularity identities imply that the image of \mathcal{R} in $\mathbb{C}_{G^*} \widehat{\otimes} U(\mathfrak{g})$ has the form $\exp(\rho)$, where $\rho \in \mathfrak{m}_{G^*} \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ is a lift of r . It follows that the reduction mod \hbar of L_{\hbar} is the morphism induced by $\mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$, $\ell \mapsto (\ell \otimes \text{id})(r)$. In the same way, R'_{\hbar} extends to a (anti)morphism $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$.

Define $\alpha_{\hbar} : U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ by

$$x \mapsto m \circ (L_{\hbar} \otimes R'_{\hbar}) \circ \Delta.$$

Then α_{\hbar} extends $\ell \mapsto (\ell \otimes \text{id})(\mathcal{R}\mathcal{R}^{2,1})$.

We prove part (ii). The reduction mod \hbar of α_{\hbar} is α , which is a linear isomorphism; hence α_{\hbar} is a linear isomorphism. The second part is proved as Lemma 9.6.

We prove Proposition 9.4. Assume that $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})$ is as in [Etingof and Kazhdan 1996], hence $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ as algebras. Then $Z(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))[[\hbar]]$. Statement (ii) implies that α induces an isomorphism $(\text{mod } \hbar)(C_{1,\hbar}) \rightarrow Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))$; here $(\text{mod } \hbar)$ is the reduction modulo \hbar . On the other hand, $(\text{mod } \hbar)(C_{1,\hbar}) \subset C_1$, therefore $\Theta(Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))) \subset C_1$.

The map

$$\delta - (D \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_0 : U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes 2}$$

is filtered, and its associated graded is the dual $\delta : S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \wedge^2(S(\mathfrak{g}^*))$ of the Poisson bracket of $S(\mathfrak{g})$. We have a surjective morphism

$$S(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}} = S(\mathfrak{g})/\{\mathfrak{g}, S(\mathfrak{g})\} \twoheadrightarrow S(\mathfrak{g})/\{S(\mathfrak{g}), S(\mathfrak{g})\}$$

to the cokernel of this Poisson bracket, hence $\text{Ker}(\delta) \hookrightarrow (S(\mathfrak{g})_{\mathfrak{g}})^* = S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$. We have $\text{gr}(C_1) \subset \text{Ker}(\delta)$, hence $\text{gr}(C_1) \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Now since Θ is filtered and its associated graded takes $\text{gr}(Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))) \simeq S(\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ to $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$, we get $\text{gr}(C_1) = S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\Theta(Z(U(\mathfrak{g}))) = C_1$. \square

We denote by $\Theta_{\hbar} : Z(U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g})) \rightarrow U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ the algebra morphism inverse to α_{\hbar} , which is to say the QUE algebra version of Θ_A defined above.

The image of Θ_{\hbar} is $C_{1,\hbar}$. When the quantization is as in [Etingof and Kazhdan 1996], $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$, so this image is not the same as that of θ_{\hbar} , which is $\{\text{traces on } U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{g}')\} = C_{0,\hbar}$. Therefore in this case, the images of θ_{\hbar} and Θ_{\hbar} do not coincide.

10. On the canonical derivation of \mathbb{O}_{G^*}

Let $(\mathfrak{a}, \mu_{\mathfrak{a}}, \delta_{\mathfrak{a}})$ be a finite dimensional Lie bialgebra. Then \mathbb{O}_A is a Poisson–Lie group, dual to $U(\mathfrak{a})$. Set $D_{\mathfrak{a}} := \mu_{\mathfrak{a}} \circ \delta_{\mathfrak{a}}$, then $D_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a derivation of $U(\mathfrak{a})$, such that if $U_{\hbar}(\mathfrak{a})$ is any quantization of $U(\mathfrak{a})$ with antipode S , then $D_{\mathfrak{a}} = \hbar^{-1}(S^2 - \text{id})|_{\hbar=0}$; see [Drinfeld 1989a]. It follows that the dual derivation $D_{\mathfrak{a}}^*$ of \mathbb{O}_A has the same property.

When $\mathfrak{a} = (\mathfrak{g}, r')$ is a quasitriangular Lie bialgebra, $D_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is inner, given by $D_{\mathfrak{a}}(x) = -[\mu(r'), x]$ for any $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$; here μ is the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} [Drinfeld 1989a].

Proposition 10.1. *If \mathfrak{g} is a nondegenerate quasitriangular Lie bialgebra, then the derivation $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^*$ of \mathbb{O}_{G^*} is inner, that is, there exists a function $h \in \mathbb{O}_{G^*}$ such that $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^*(f) = \{h, f\}$ for any $f \in \mathbb{O}_{G^*}$.*

Proof. We assume that \mathfrak{g} is the double $\mathfrak{a}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_-$ of a Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{a}_+ (here $\mathfrak{a}_- = \mathfrak{a}_+^*$); the general case is similar. Then \mathfrak{g}^* is (as a Lie algebra) the direct sum $\mathfrak{a}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_-$. Let A_{\pm} be the formal groups corresponding to \mathfrak{a}_{\pm} . The morphism $\alpha : U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ is now $U(\mathfrak{a}_+) \otimes U(\mathfrak{a}_-) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$, $x_+ \otimes x_- \mapsto x_- S(x_+)$. The dual morphism $\alpha^* : \mathbb{O}_G \rightarrow \mathbb{O}_{G^*}$ takes $F \in \mathbb{O}_G$ to $f \in \mathbb{O}_{G^*}$ given by $f(g_+, g_-) := F(g_- g_+^{-1})$.

Lemma 10.2. *Let $D_{\mathfrak{g}}^*$, $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^*$ be the canonical derivations of \mathbb{O}_G and \mathbb{O}_{G^*} . Then $\alpha^* \circ D_{\mathfrak{g}}^* = D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^* \circ \alpha^*$. Moreover, $D_{\mathfrak{g}}^* = \mathbf{L}_{\mu(r')} - \mathbf{R}_{\mu(r')}$, where μ is the Lie bracket of \mathfrak{g} and $\mathbf{L}_a f(g) = (d/d\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} F(e^{\varepsilon a} g)$, $\mathbf{R}_a f(g) = (d/d\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} F(g e^{\varepsilon a})$.*

Proof. $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^*$ is a coderivation, so $\Delta_0 : U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\otimes 2}$ intertwines $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^*$ and $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^* \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^*$; L and R are Lie bialgebra morphisms, so they intertwine $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^*$ and $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$; S commutes with $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$; and $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a derivation, so m_0 intertwines $D_{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D_{\mathfrak{g}}$ with $D_{\mathfrak{g}}$. Hence $\alpha \circ D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^* = D_{\mathfrak{g}} \circ \alpha$. The first part follows.

According to [Drinfeld 1989a], $D_{\mathfrak{g}}(x) = -[\mu(r'), x]$, which implies the second part. □

In [Semenov-Tian-Shansky 1985], the image of the Poisson bracket on G^* under the formal isomorphism $\alpha : G^* \rightarrow G$ dual to α^* was computed. Let $f, h \in \mathbb{O}_{G^*}$, and $F = (\alpha^*)^{-1}(f)$, $H = (\alpha^*)^{-1}(h)$; then

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha^*)^{-1}(\{f, h\})(g) &= \langle (d_{\mathbf{R}} - d_{\mathbf{L}})F(g) \otimes d_{\mathbf{L}}H(g), r' \rangle + \langle (d_{\mathbf{R}} - d_{\mathbf{L}})F(g) \otimes d_{\mathbf{R}}H(g), (r')^{2,1} \rangle \\ &= \langle (d_{\mathbf{L}} - d_{\mathbf{R}})F(g), L(d_{\mathbf{R}}H(g)) - R(d_{\mathbf{L}}H(g)) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $g \in G$ and $d_{\mathbf{L}}F(g), d_{\mathbf{R}}F(g) \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ are the left and right differentials defined by $\langle d_{\mathbf{L}}F(g), a \rangle = (\mathbf{L}_a F)(g)$, $\langle d_{\mathbf{R}}F(g), a \rangle = (\mathbf{R}_a F)(g)$ for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Lemma 10.3. *There exists a function $H(g) \in \mathbb{O}_G$ such that*

$$L(d_{\mathbf{R}}H(g)) - R(d_{\mathbf{L}}H(g)) = \mu(r').$$

Proof. We prove this when \mathfrak{g} is the double $\mathfrak{a}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_-$ of a Lie bialgebra \mathfrak{a}_+ . Then set $a = (a_+, a_-)$, where $a_\pm \in \mathfrak{a}_\pm$. We have $\mathfrak{g}^* = \mathfrak{a}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{a}_-$, and we should solve: $d_{\mathbf{R}}H_a(g) = \mu(r')_- + u_+(g)$, $d_{\mathbf{L}}H_a(g) = \mu(r')_+ + u_-(g)$, where $u_\pm(g)$ are functions $G \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_\pm$. Now $d_{\mathbf{L}}H(g) = \text{Ad}(g)(d_{\mathbf{R}}H(g))$, hence

$$\mu(r')_+ + u_-(g) = \text{Ad}(g)(\mu(r')_- + u_+(g)).$$

We decompose $g = g_- g_+^{-1}$, where $g_\pm \in A_\pm = \exp(\mathfrak{a}_\pm)$; we get

$$\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(u_+(g)) - \text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(u_-(g)) = \text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_+) - \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-).$$

Therefore

$$u_+(g) = \text{Ad}(g_+)(\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_+) - \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-))_+,$$

and the condition is

$$d_{\mathbf{R}}H(g) = \text{Ad}(g_+)(\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-))_- + \text{Ad}(g_+)(\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_+))_+,$$

that is,

$$(10-1) \quad \mathbf{R}_\alpha H_a(g) = \langle \mu(r')_-, \text{Ad}(g_+)((\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\alpha))_+) \rangle \\ + \langle \mu(r')_+, \text{Ad}(g_-)((\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\alpha))_-) \rangle$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $A_\alpha(g)$ the right hand side of (10-1).

We compute $\mathbf{R}_\alpha A_\beta - \mathbf{R}_\beta A_\alpha$ for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{g}$. Recall that $g = g_- g_+^{-1}$; then we have $\mathbf{R}_\alpha(g) = g\alpha$, so $\mathbf{R}_\alpha(g_\pm^{-1}) = \pm(\text{Ad}(g_\pm^{-1})(\alpha))_\pm g_\pm^{-1}$. After computations, we find

$$\mathbf{R}_\alpha A_\beta - \mathbf{R}_\beta A_\alpha = A_{[\beta, \alpha]} + B_{\alpha, \beta},$$

where

$$B_{\alpha, \beta}(g) = -\langle [(\text{Ad}^*(g_+^{-1})(\beta))_+, (\text{Ad}^*(g_+^{-1})(\alpha))_+], (\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-))_- \rangle \\ + \langle [(\text{Ad}^*(g_+^{-1})(\beta))_-, (\text{Ad}^*(g_+^{-1})(\alpha))_-], (\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_+))_+ \rangle.$$

Now for $u, v \in \mathfrak{a}_+$, we have

$$\langle [u, v], (\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-))_- \rangle = \langle [u, v], \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-) \rangle \\ = \langle [\text{Ad}^*(g_+)(u), \text{Ad}^*(g_+)(v)], \mu(r')_- \rangle \\ = \langle [\text{Ad}^*(g_+)(u), \text{Ad}^*(g_+)(v)], \mu(r') \rangle \\ = \langle \text{Ad}^*(g_+)(u) \otimes \text{Ad}^*(g_+)(v), \delta(\mu(r')) \rangle = 0,$$

since $\delta(\mu(r')) = 0$ [Drinfeld 1989a]. In the same way, the second term of $B_{\alpha, \beta}(g)$ vanishes. Hence the system (10-1) has a solution (it is unique if we impose that H vanishes at the origin). \square

We continue the proof of the proposition. If $h = -\alpha^*(H)$ with H as in Lemma 10.3, and for any $f \in \mathbb{C}_{G^*}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}(f) &= \alpha^*(D_{\mathfrak{g}}^*(F)) = \alpha^*((\mathbf{L}_{\mu(r')} - \mathbf{R}_{\mu(r')})(F)) \\ &= \alpha^*(((d_{\mathbf{L}} - d_{\mathbf{R}})(F)(g), R(d_{\mathbf{L}}H(g)) - L(d_{\mathbf{R}}H(g)))) = \{h, f\}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Appendix. Proof that associators can be made admissible

In [Enriquez and Halbout 2004], Proposition 3.2, statement (2) should read ‘‘Assume that $x \in U'$ and for any trees $R \dots$ ’’. This affects Proposition 4.5 of the same paper, because the proof implicitly relies on the statement of Proposition 3.2 without the assumption $x \in U'$. Below we prove a particular case of Proposition 4.5 (the general case is similar).

Proposition A.1. *Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra and let $\Phi \in U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3}[[\hbar]]$ be an invariant solution of the pentagon equation (2-1), such that $\varepsilon^{(i)}(\Phi) = 1^{\otimes 2}$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, $\Phi = 1^{\otimes 3} + O(\hbar)$ and $\text{Alt}(\Phi) = O(\hbar^2)$. Then there exists an invariant twist F in $U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 2}[[\hbar]]$ such that $\varepsilon^{(i)}(F) = 1$ for $i = 1, 2$, $F = 1^{\otimes 2} + O(\hbar)$, and*

$${}^F\Phi = F^{2,3}F^{1,23}\Phi(F^{1,2}F^{12,3})^{-1}$$

is admissible, that is, $\hbar \log {}^F\Phi \in (U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]])^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$.

Proof. We will construct F as a product $\dots F_2 F_1$, where F_n belongs to $1^{\otimes 2} + \hbar^i U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2}$ and is such that if $\Phi_n := F_n \dots F_1 \Phi$, then $\hbar \log \Phi_n \in (U'_0)^{\widehat{\otimes} 2} + \hbar^{n+2} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$. Here $U = U(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$ and the index 0 denotes the augmentation ideals.

We first construct F_1 . Expand $\Phi = 1^{\otimes 3} + \hbar \phi_1 + \dots$, then $d(\phi_1)$ and $\text{Alt}(\phi_1)$ vanish, hence $\phi_1 = d(\psi_1)$, where $\psi_1 \in (U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ (here d is the Cartier differential of $(U_0^{\otimes \cdot})^{\mathfrak{g}}$). We then set $F_1 = 1^{\otimes 2} + \hbar \psi_1$; we get $\Phi_1 = 1^{\otimes 3} + \hbar^2 \phi'_2 + \dots$. Then $\hbar \log \Phi_1 \in \hbar^3 U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$.

Now $d(\phi'_2) = 0$, so there exists $\psi_2 \in (U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 2})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\phi'_2 = Z + d(\psi_2)$, where $Z \in \wedge^3(\mathfrak{g})[[\hbar]]$. Set $F_2 := 1^{\otimes 2} + \hbar^2 \psi_2$; we get

$$\hbar \log \Phi_2 \in \hbar^3 Z + \hbar^4 U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} \subset (U'_0)^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} + \hbar^4 U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}.$$

Let $n \geq 3$. Assume that we have constructed F_1, \dots, F_{n-1} , and we now construct F_n . By assumption, $\Phi_{n-1} \in 1^{\otimes 3} + \hbar U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}$ is such that

$$\varphi_{n-1} := \hbar \log \Phi_{n-1} \in (U'_0)^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} + \hbar^{n+1} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}.$$

Lemma A.2. *The quotient $(U' + \hbar^n U)/(U' + \hbar^{n+1} U)$ identifies with $U(\mathfrak{g})/U(\mathfrak{g})_{\leq n}$. In the same way, the quotient $(U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} k} + \hbar^n U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} k})/(U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} k} + \hbar^{n+1} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} k})$ identifies with $U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes k}/(U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes k})_{\leq n}$ and the quotient of \mathfrak{g} -invariant subspaces*

$$(U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} k} + \hbar^n U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} k})^{\mathfrak{g}}/(U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} k} + \hbar^{n+1} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} k})^{\mathfrak{g}}$$

identifies with $(U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes k})^{\mathfrak{g}} / (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes k})_{\leq n}^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The inverse of the first isomorphism takes the class of $\beta \in U(\mathfrak{g})$ to the class of $\hbar^n \beta \in U' + \hbar^n U$. Let $\bar{\alpha} \in (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 3})^{\mathfrak{g}} / ((U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 3})_{\leq n+1})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the image of the class of φ_{n-1} under the isomorphism above. Let $\alpha \in (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 3})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a representative of $\bar{\alpha}$. Then we have $\varphi_{n-1} = \varphi + \hbar^{n+1}\alpha$, where

$$\varphi \in (U'_0)^{\widehat{\otimes} 3} + \hbar^{n+2} U_0^{\widehat{\otimes} 3}.$$

Now φ_{n-1} satisfies the pentagon equation, so

$$(A-2) \quad (-\varphi - \hbar^{n+1}\alpha)^{1,2,3,4} \star_{\hbar} (\varphi + \hbar^{n+1}\alpha)^{2,3,4} \star_{\hbar} (\varphi + \hbar^{n+1}\alpha)^{1,2,3,4} \\ \star_{\hbar} (\varphi + \hbar^{n+1}\alpha)^{1,2,3} \star_{\hbar} (-\varphi - \hbar^{n+1}\alpha)^{1,2,3,4} = 0,$$

where $a \star_{\hbar} b$ is the CBH product for the Lie bracket $[a, b]_{\hbar} = [a, b]/\hbar$.

Lemma A.3. *Assume that $n \geq 2$. If $f_1, f_2 \in (U'_0)^2 + \hbar^{n+1}U_0$ and $g, h \in \hbar^n U_0$, then $(f_1 + g) \star_{\hbar} (f_2 + h) = g + h$ modulo $(U'_0)^2 + \hbar^{n+1}U_0$.*

Proof. The contribution of the degree 1 part of the CBH series is $f_1 + g + f_2 + h$, which gives $g + h$ modulo $(U'_0)^2 + \hbar^{n+1}U_0$.

We now prove that $[(U'_0)^2 + \hbar^n U_0, (U'_0)^2 + \hbar^n U_0]_{\hbar} \subset (U'_0)^2 + \hbar^{n+1}U_0$. Indeed, we have $[U'_0, U'_0]_{\hbar} \subset U'_0$, hence $[(U'_0)^2, (U'_0)^2]_{\hbar} \subset (U'_0)^2$; $[\hbar^n U_0, \hbar^n U_0]_{\hbar} \subset \hbar^{2n-1}U_0 \subset \hbar^{n+1}U_0$; and $[U'_0, \hbar^n U_0]_{\hbar} \subset \hbar^n U_0$, since $U'_0 \subset \hbar U_0$, so that

$$[(U'_0)^2, \hbar^n U_0]_{\hbar} \subset \hbar^n (U_0 U'_0 + U'_0 U_0) \subset \hbar^{n+1} (U_0)^2,$$

again because $U'_0 \subset \hbar U_0$.

It follows that the contributions of all the higher degree parts of the CBH series belong to $(U'_0)^2 + \hbar^{n+1}U_0$. This implies the lemma. \square

We continue the proof of the proposition. Lemma A.3 implies that the image of (A-2) in $(U^{\widehat{\otimes} 4} + \hbar^{n+1}U^{\widehat{\otimes} 4}) / (U^{\widehat{\otimes} 4} + \hbar^{n+2}U^{\widehat{\otimes} 4}) = U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 4} / (U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 4})_{\leq n+2}$ gives $d(\bar{\alpha}) = 0$, where

$$d : U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3} / (U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 3})_{\leq n+2} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 4} / (U(\mathfrak{g})^{\otimes 4})_{\leq n+2}$$

is the map induced by the Cartier differential.

According to [Drinfeld 1989b], the cohomology of the complex $C^2 \rightarrow C^3 \rightarrow C^4$ vanishes, where $C^k = (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes k})^{\mathfrak{g}} / (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes k})_{\leq n+2}^{\mathfrak{g}}$.

It follows that there exists $\bar{\beta} \in C^2$, such that $\bar{\alpha} = d(\bar{\beta})$. Let $\beta \in (U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 2})^{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a representative of $\bar{\beta}$. Set $F_n := \exp(\hbar^n \beta)$ and $\Phi_n = F_n \Phi_{n-1}$.

We get

$$\varphi_n = f_n^{2,3} \star_{\hbar} f_n^{1,2,3} \star_{\hbar} \varphi_{n-1} \star_{\hbar} (-f_n^{12,3}) \star_{\hbar} (-f_n^{1,2}),$$

where $f_n = \hbar^{n+1}\beta$. According to Lemma A.3, the class of φ_n in

$$((U'_0)^{\widehat{\otimes}^3} + \hbar^{n+1}U_0^{\widehat{\otimes}^3})/((U'_0)^{\widehat{\otimes}^3} + \hbar^{n+2}U_0^{\widehat{\otimes}^3})$$

is $\bar{\alpha} - d(\bar{\beta}) = 0$, hence $\varphi_n \in (U'_0)^{\widehat{\otimes}^3} + \hbar^{n+2}U_0^{\widehat{\otimes}^3}$. This proves the induction step. \square

Acknowledgment

We thank V. Dolgushev, P. Etingof and L.-C. Li for discussions.

References

- [Alekseev 1997] A. Y. Alekseev, “On Poisson actions of compact Lie groups on symplectic manifolds”, *J. Differential Geom.* **45**:2 (1997), 241–256. MR 99b:58086 Zbl 0912.53018
- [Boalch 2001] P. P. Boalch, “Stokes matrices, Poisson Lie groups and Frobenius manifolds”, *Invent. Math.* **146**:3 (2001), 479–506. MR 2003a:53127 Zbl 1044.53060
- [Chloup-Arnould 1997] V. Chloup-Arnould, “Linearization of some Poisson–Lie tensor”, *J. Geom. Phys.* **24**:1 (1997), 46–52. MR 99a:58062 Zbl 0888.22006
- [Drinfeld 1987] V. G. Drinfeld, “Quantum groups”, pp. 798–820 in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* (Berkeley, 1986), vol. 1, edited by A. M. Gleason, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1987. MR 89f:17017 Zbl 0667.16003
- [Drinfeld 1989a] V. G. Drinfeld, “Almost cocommutative Hopf algebras”, *Algebra i Analiz* **1**:2 (1989), 30–46. In Russian; translation in *Leningrad Math. J.* **1**:2, (1990), 321–342. MR 91b:16046 Zbl 0718.16035
- [Drinfeld 1989b] V. G. Drinfeld, “Quasi-Hopf algebras”, *Algebra i Analiz* **1**:6 (1989), 114–148. In Russian; translation in *Leningrad Math. J.* **1**:6, (1990), 1419–1457. MR 91b:17016 Zbl 0718.16033
- [Duflo 1969] M. Duflo, “Caractères des algèbres de Lie résolubles”, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B* **269** (1969), A437–A438. MR 40 #4320 Zbl 0254.17006
- [Enriquez and Halbout 2003] B. Enriquez and G. Halbout, “An \hbar -adic valuation property of universal R -matrices”, *J. Algebra* **261**:2 (2003), 434–447. MR 2004a:17015 Zbl 1015.17008
- [Enriquez and Halbout 2004] B. Enriquez and G. Halbout, “Poisson algebras associated to quasi-Hopf algebras”, *Adv. Math.* **186**:2 (2004), 363–395. MR 2005c:17029 Zbl 1061.17016
- [Enriquez et al. 2003] B. Enriquez, F. Gavarini, and G. Halbout, “Uniqueness of braidings of quasi-triangular Lie bialgebras and lifts of classical r -matrices”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **46** (2003), 2461–2486. MR 2004m:17045 Zbl 1044.17019
- [Enriquez et al. 2005] B. Enriquez, P. Etingof, and I. Marshall, “Comparison of Poisson structures and Poisson–Lie dynamical r -matrices”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **36** (2005), 2183–2198. MR 2006f:53120 Zbl 1092.53057
- [Etingof and Kazhdan 1996] P. Etingof and D. Kazhdan, “Quantization of Lie bialgebras. I”, *Selecta Math. (N.S.)* **2**:1 (1996), 1–41. MR 97f:17014 Zbl 0863.17008
- [Gavarini 2002] F. Gavarini, “The quantum duality principle”, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **52**:3 (2002), 809–834. MR 2003d:17016 Zbl 1054.17011
- [Ginzburg and Weinstein 1992] V. L. Ginzburg and A. Weinstein, “Lie–Poisson structure on some Poisson Lie groups”, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **5**:2 (1992), 445–453. MR 92h:17022 Zbl 0766.58018

[Semenov-Tian-Shansky 1984] M. A. Semenov-Tyan-Shansky, “Classical r -matrices and quantization”, *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov.* **133** (1984), 228–235. In Russian; translation in *J. Soviet Math.* **31**:6, (1985), 3411–3416. MR 85m:58083 Zbl 0538.58015

[Semenov-Tian-Shansky 1985] M. A. Semenov-Tian-Shansky, “Dressing transformations and Poisson group actions”, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* **21**:6 (1985), 1237–1260. MR 88b:58057 Zbl 0674.58038

Received April 4, 2005. Revised November 23, 2005.

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ
IRMA (CNRS)
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES
UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS PASTEUR
7, RUE RENÉ DESCARTES
F-67 084 STRASBOURG
FRANCE
enriquez@math.u-strasbg.fr

GILLES HALBOUT
IRMA (CNRS)
DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES
UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS PASTEUR
7, RUE RENÉ DESCARTES
F-67 084 STRASBOURG
FRANCE
halbout@math.u-strasbg.fr