COBOUNDARY LIE BIALGEBRAS AND COMMUTATIVE SUBALGEBRAS OF UNIVERSAL ENVELOPING ALGEBRAS

BENJAMIN ENRIQUEZ AND GILLES HALBOUT
We solve a functional version of the problem of twist quantization of a coboundary Lie bialgebra \((\mathfrak{g}, r, Z)\). We derive from this that the formal Poisson manifolds \(\mathfrak{g}^*\) and \(G^*\) are isomorphic, and we construct an injective algebra morphism \(S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*)\). When \((\mathfrak{g}, r, Z)\) can be quantized, we construct a deformation of this morphism. In the particular case when \(\mathfrak{g}\) is quasitriangular and nondegenerate, we compare our construction with Semenov-Tian-Shansky’s construction of a commutative subalgebra of \(U(\mathfrak{g}^*)\). We also show that the canonical derivation of the function ring of \(G^*\) is Hamiltonian.

1. Introduction

Let \((\mathfrak{g}, r, Z)\) be a coboundary Lie bialgebra over a field \(\mathbb{K}\) of characteristic 0. This means that \(\mathfrak{g}\) is a Lie bialgebra, the Lie cobracket \(\delta\) of which is the coboundary of \(r \in \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g})\): in symbols, \(\delta(x) = [x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x, r]\) for any \(x \in \mathfrak{g}\). This condition means that \(Z := \text{CYB}(r)\) belongs to \(\bigwedge^3(\mathfrak{g})\) (here CYB is the left hand side of the classical Yang–Baxter equation). Quasitriangular and triangular Lie bialgebras are particular cases of this definition.

It is an open question to construct a twist quantization of \((\mathfrak{g}, r, Z)\), that is, a pair \((J, \Phi)\), where \(J \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]]\) and \(\Phi \in \mathfrak{g}[[h]]\) are invertible (\(h\) is a formal series), \(\Phi\) is \(\mathfrak{g}\)-invariant, \((J, \Phi)\) satisfies a cocycle relation and deforms \((r, Z)\). If \((J, \Phi)\) satisfies these conditions, then \(\Phi\) satisfies the pentagon relation, is \(\mathfrak{g}\)-invariant and deforms \(Z\). Such a \(\Phi\) is called a quantization of \(Z\). Drinfeld [1989b, Proposition 3.10] constructed a quantization \(\Phi\) of \(Z\). Any pair \((J, \Phi)\) can be made admissible (in the sense of [Enriquez and Halbout 2004]), and the associated formal functions \((\rho, \varphi)\) then satisfy functional analogues of the pentagon and cocycle equations (this is explained in Section 6). We call this system of equations the functional analogue of twist quantization.

We describe the set of solutions of the functional analogue of twist quantization for \((\mathfrak{g}, r, Z)\). Namely, we derive from Drinfeld’s result that \(Z\) can be lifted to an
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element \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hat{g}^*}^3 \) satisfying the functional pentagon relation (Proposition 2.1). We then prove that \( r \) can be lifted to an element \( \rho \in \mathfrak{m}_{\hat{g}^*}^2 \), such that \((\rho, \varphi)\) satisfies the functional cocycle relation (Theorem 2.3); here \( \mathfrak{m}_{\hat{g}^*} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\hat{g}^*} \) is the maximal ideal of the ring of formal functions on \( \hat{g}^* \). We show that all solutions are related by suitable gauge transformations.

The first corollary is that the formal Poisson manifolds \( \hat{g}^* \) and \( G^* \) are isomorphic (Corollary 3.1).

In Section 4, we prove another corollary (Theorem 4.3): we construct an injection of algebras \( S(\hat{g}^*)^0 \hookrightarrow U(\hat{g}^*) \) (here \( g \) acts on \( S(\hat{g}^*) \) by symmetric powers of the coalgebra action). This morphism is filtered; its associated graded morphism is the canonical inclusion \( S(\hat{g}^*)^0 \subset S(\hat{g}^*) \). This way, we obtain a commutative subalgebra of \( U(\hat{g}^*) \). The fact that the graded subalgebra \( S(\hat{g}^*)^0 \subset S(\hat{g}^*) \) is Poisson commutative can be viewed as a classical limit of this situation. It can either be viewed as a corollary of the fact that \( \mathcal{O}_{\hat{g}}^0 \subset \mathcal{O}_G \) is a Poisson commutative subalgebra, or it can be proved directly (Lemma 4.2); here \( \mathcal{O}_G \) is the ring of formal functions on \( G \), on which \( g \) acts by conjugation.

In Sections 7 and 8, assuming the existence of a twist quantization of \( g \), we construct formal deformations of the algebra inclusions \( S(\hat{g}^*)^0 \hookrightarrow U(\hat{g}^*) \) and \( \mathcal{O}_G^0 \subset \mathcal{O}_{G^*} \). All these results use the theory of duality of QUE (quantized universal enveloping) and QFSH (quantized formal series Hopf) algebras; this theory is recalled in Section 5.

In Section 9, we assume that \( g \) is quasitriangular. In that case, we show that \( U(\hat{g}^*) \) contains a family \( C_s \) of commutative subalgebras, indexed by \( s \in \mathbb{K} \); this result may be viewed as a classical limit of Drinfeld’s result about commutativity of twisted traces. We explain why only \( C_0 \) has an analogue in the general coboundary case. Semenov-Tian-Shansky [1984] defined an algebra morphism \( U(\mathfrak{g})^0 \overset{STS}{\rightarrow} U(\hat{g}^*) \); we show that its image coincides with \( C_1 \), and is therefore in general different from the image \( C_0 \) of the morphism in our construction.

Finally, in Section 10, we show that the canonical derivation of \( \mathcal{O}_{G^*} \) is Hamiltonian. This derivation is equal to \( \hbar^{-1}(S^2 - \text{id})|_{\hbar=0} \), where \( S \) is the antipode of any quantization of \( \mathcal{O}_{G^*} \).

Notation. We use the standard notation for the coproduct-insertion maps: we say that an ordered set is a pair of a finite set \( S \) and a bijection \( \{1, \ldots, |S|\} \rightarrow S \). Given \( I_1, \ldots, I_m \) disjoint ordered subsets of \( \{1, \ldots, n\} \), a Hopf algebra \( (U, \Delta) \), and \( a \in U^\otimes m \), we define

\[
a^{I_1,\ldots,I_m} = \sigma_{I_1,\ldots,I_m} \circ (\Delta^{|I_1|} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Delta^{|I_m|})(a),
\]

with \( \Delta^{(1)} = \text{id}, \Delta^{(2)} = \Delta, \Delta^{(n+1)} = (\text{id}^\otimes n - 1 \otimes \Delta) \circ \Delta^{(n)} \), and \( \sigma_{I_1,\ldots,I_m} : U^\otimes \sum |I_i| \rightarrow U^\otimes n \) is the morphism corresponding to the map \( \{1, \ldots, \sum |I_i|\} \rightarrow \{1, \ldots, n\} \).
taking \((1, \ldots, |I_1|)\) to \(I_1\), \((|I_1| + 1, \ldots, |I_1| + |I_2|)\) to \(I_2\), etc. When \(U\) is cocommutative, this definition depends only on the sets underlying \(I_1, \ldots, I_m\).

2. Solutions of the functional twist equations

If \(\mathfrak{g}\) is a Lie algebra, we denote by \(C_{\mathfrak{g}'}^* = \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})\) the formal series ring of functions on the formal neighborhood of 0 in \(\mathfrak{g}^*\). We define by \(m_{\mathfrak{g}'}^* \subset C_{\mathfrak{g}'}^*\) the maximal ideal of this ring. If \(k\) is an integer \(\geq 1\), we denote by \(C_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^k = \hat{S}(\mathfrak{g})^\otimes k\) the ring of formal functions on \((\mathfrak{g}^*)^k\), by \(m_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^k\) its maximal ideal, and by \(m_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^i\) the \(i\)-th power of this ideal. Here, \(\otimes\) is the completed tensor product, defined by \(V_0[\mathfrak{x}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{x}_n]\otimes W_0[\mathfrak{y}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{y}_n] := V_0 \otimes W_0[\mathfrak{x}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{y}_n]\), where \(V_0, W_0\) are vector spaces.

When equipped with the Poisson bracket, \(m_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^2\) is a pronilpotent Lie algebra. If \(\mathfrak{a}\) is such a Lie algebra, the Campbell–Baker–Hausdorff series \(x \ast y = x + y + \frac{1}{2}[x, y] + \cdots \) converges and defines a group structure on \(\mathfrak{a}\). In particular, if \(f, g \in m_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^2\), the product \(f \ast g = f + g + \frac{1}{2}(f, g) + \cdots\) is convergent and defines a group structure on \(m_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^2\).

If \(f \in C_{\mathfrak{g}'}^n\) and \(P_1, \ldots, P_m\) are disjoint subsets of \(\{1, \ldots, m\}\), one defines \(f^{P_1, \ldots, P_m}\) as in the Introduction using the cocommutative coproduct \(\Delta_0\) of \(C_{\mathfrak{g}'}^*\) (dual to the addition of \(\mathfrak{g}^*\)).

Let \(\mathfrak{g}\) be a Lie algebra and \(Z \in \wedge^3(\mathfrak{g})_0\).

**Proposition 2.1.** There exists \(\varphi \in (m_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^3)_0 \subset (m_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^2)^3\) satisfying the functional pentagon equation

\[
\varphi^{1,2,3,4} \ast \varphi^{12,3,4} = \varphi^{2,3,4} \ast \varphi^{1,23,4} \ast \varphi^{1,23,4},
\]

whose image under the map \(m_{(\mathfrak{g}')}^3 \to (m_{\mathfrak{g}'}^3/m_{\mathfrak{g}'}^2)^\otimes 3 = \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 3} \xrightarrow{\text{Alt}} \wedge^3(\mathfrak{g})\) equals \(Z\) (here \(\text{Alt}\) is the total antisymmetrization map). Such a \(\varphi\) (we call it a lift of \(Z\)) is unique up to the action of an element of \((m_{\mathfrak{g}'}^2)^3\) by \(\sigma \cdot \varphi = \sigma^{2,3} \ast \varphi^{1,23} \ast \varphi \ast (-\sigma)^{12,3} \ast (-\sigma)^{1,2}\).

**Proof.** Drinfeld [1989b, Proposition 3.10] constructed a solution \(\Phi \in U(\mathfrak{g})^\otimes 3[\hbar]\) of the pentagon equation

\[
(2.1) \quad \Phi^{1,2,3,4} \ast \Phi^{12,3,4} = \Phi^{2,3,4} \ast \Phi^{1,23,4} \ast \Phi^{1,23,4},
\]

such that \(\epsilon^{(2)}(\Phi) = 1\) and \(\Phi = 1^{\otimes 3} + O(\hbar)\) (here \(\epsilon^{(2)} = \text{id} \otimes \epsilon \otimes \text{id}\); applying \(\epsilon\) to the second and third factors of (2.1), we also get \(\epsilon^{(1)}(\Phi) = \epsilon^{(3)}(\Phi) = 1\).

In [Enriquez and Halbout 2004], we stated that \(\Phi\) can be transformed into an admissible solution \(\Phi'\) of the same equations, using an invariant twist. In the Appendix, we explain why the proof given in [Enriquez and Halbout 2004] is wrong, and we give a correct proof. The condition that \(\Phi'\) is admissible means that it belongs to \(\left(U(\mathfrak{g})[\hbar]\right)^{\otimes 3}\), where \(U(\mathfrak{g})[\hbar]' = U(\hbar \mathfrak{g})[\hbar]\) is the
subalgebra generated by $g[\hbar]$. Then $U(g)[\hbar]$ is a formal deformation of the Poisson algebra $\widehat{S}(g)$.

Then the reduction mod $\hbar$ of $\hbar \log \Phi'$ belongs to $\widehat{S}(g)\otimes^3$, and in fact to $m_{g}^{\otimes^3}$. Since $\Phi'$ satisfies (2-1), this reduction satisfies the functional pentagon equation. This gives the existence of $\varphi$. One can also construct $\varphi$ directly using cohomological methods, as will be done for $\rho$ later.

To prove uniqueness, let $\varphi$ and $\varphi'$ be lifts of $Z$. The classes of $\varphi$ and $\varphi'$ are the same in $m_{g}^{\otimes^3}/(m_{g}^{\otimes^3} \cap m_{(g^*)}^3)$, as this space is 0. Let $N$ be an integer $\geq 3$; assume that we have found $\sigma_N \in (m_{g^*}^2)^{0}$ such that $\sigma_N \cdot \varphi$ and $\varphi'$ are equal modulo $m_{g}^{\otimes^3} \cap m_{(g^*^3)^3}^N$. Write $\varphi' = \sigma_N \cdot \varphi + \psi$, with $\psi \in (m_{g^*}^2 \cap m_{(g^*^3)^3})^0$.\footnote{We denote by $S(g)$ the symmetric algebra of $g$, and by $S^{>0}(g)$ the positive degree part; the index $N$ means the part of total degree $N$.}

**Lemma 2.2** [Enriquez et al. 2003, p. 2477]. For any $k \geq 1$, $n \geq 2$, $f, h \in m_{(g^*)^k}^2$ and $g \in m_{(g^*)^k}$, one has

$$f \ast (h + g) = f \ast h + g \mod m_{(g^*)^{k+1}}^2.$$

Let $\overline{\psi}$ be the class of $\psi$ in $(m_{g}^{\otimes^3} \cap m_{(g^*^3)^3})^{0}/(m_{g}^{\otimes^3} \cap m_{(g^*^3)^3}^N)^0 = (S^{>0}(g) \otimes^3)^0$. Then

$$\overline{\psi}_{1,2,3,4} + \overline{\psi}_{12,3,4} = \overline{\psi}_{2,3,4} + \overline{\psi}_{1,23,4} + \overline{\psi}_{1,2,3},$$

which means that $\overline{\psi}$ is a cocycle in the subcomplex $((S^{>0}(g) \otimes^3)^0, d)$ of the Cartier\footnote{We denote by $S(g)$ the symmetric algebra of $g$, and by $S^{>0}(g)$ the positive degree part; the index $N$ means the part of total degree $N$.} complex $(S(g) \otimes^3, d)$. Using [Drinfeld 1989b, Proposition 3.11], one can prove that the $k$-th cohomology group of this complex is $\wedge^k (g)^0$ and that the antisymmetrization map coincides with the canonical map from the space of cocycles to the cohomology. For $N = 3$, the hypothesis implies that $\text{Alt}(\overline{\psi}) = 0$, so $\overline{\psi}$ is a coboundary of an element $\tau_3 \in (S^{>0}(g) \otimes^2)^0$. For $N > 3$, $\overline{\psi}$ is the coboundary of an element $\tau_N \in (S^{>0}(g) \otimes^2)^0$, since the degree $N$ part of the relevant cohomology group vanishes. We then set $\sigma_{N+1} = \sigma_N + \tau_N$, where

$$\tau_N \in (m_{g}^{\otimes^2} \cap m_{(g^*^2)^3})^0$$

is a lift of $\overline{\psi}_N$. Then $\sigma_{N+1} \cdot \varphi$ and $\varphi'$ are equal modulo $m_{g}^{\otimes^3} \cap m_{(g^*^3)^3}^N$. The sequence $(\sigma_N)_{N \geq 3}$ has a limit $\sigma$. Then $\sigma \cdot \varphi = \varphi'$.

We now construct a lift of $r$:

**Theorem 2.3.** There exists $\rho \in m_{g}^{\otimes^2}$ such that

$$(2-2) \quad \rho^{1,2} \ast \rho^{12,3} = \rho^{2,3} \ast \rho^{1,23} \ast \varphi,$$

and whose image in $g^{\otimes^2}$ under the square of the projection $m_{g^*} \to m_{g^*}/m_{g^*}^2 = g$ equals $r$. Such a $\rho$ (we call it a lift of $r$) is unique up to the action of $m_{g^*}$ by $\lambda \cdot \rho = \lambda^1 \ast \lambda^2 \ast \rho \ast (-\lambda)^{12}$. We call Equation (2-2) the functional cocycle equation.
Proof: We construct $\rho$ by induction: we will construct a convergent sequence $\rho_N \in m_{g^2}^{\otimes 2} \otimes (m_{g^2}^{\otimes 3} \cap m_{(g^3)^1}^N)$. When $N = 3$, we take for $\rho_2$ any lift of $r$ to $m_{g^2}^{\otimes 2}$; then Equation (2-2) is automatically satisfied.

Let $N$ be an integer $\geq 3$; assume that we have constructed $\rho_N$ in $m_{g^2}^{\otimes 2}$ satisfying Equation (2-2) in $m_{g^2}^{\otimes 3} / (m_{g^2}^{\otimes 3} \cap m_{(g^3)^1}^N)$. Set

$$
\alpha_N := \rho_N^{1,2} \ast \rho_N^{12,3} - \rho_N^{2,3} \ast \rho_N^{1,23} \ast \varphi.
$$

Then $\alpha_N$ belongs to

$$
m_{g^2}^{\otimes 3} \cap m_{(g^3)^1}^N,
$$

and the following equalities hold in $m_{g^2}^{\otimes 4} / (m_{g^2}^{\otimes 4} \cap m_{(g^4)^1}^{N+1})$:

$$
\alpha_{N}^{12,3,4} = \rho_{N}^{1,2} \ast \alpha_{N}^{12,3,4} = \rho_{N}^{1,2} \ast \rho_{N}^{12,3} \ast \rho_{N}^{123,4} - \rho_{N}^{1,2} \ast \rho_{N}^{3,4} \ast \rho_{N}^{12,3,4} \ast \varphi^{12,3,4}
$$

(Using Lemma 2.2)

$$
= (\alpha_{N}^{1,2,3} + \rho_{N}^{2,3} \ast \rho_{N}^{1,23} \ast \varphi^{1,2,3}) \ast \rho_{N}^{123,4} - \rho_{N}^{3,4} \ast \rho_{N}^{1,2} \ast \rho_{N}^{12,3,4} \ast \varphi^{12,3,4}
$$

$$
= \alpha_{N}^{1,2,3} + \rho_{N}^{2,3} \ast \rho_{N}^{1,23} \ast \rho_{N}^{123,4} \ast \varphi^{1,2,3} - \rho_{N}^{3,4} \ast (\rho_{N}^{2,3} \ast \rho_{N}^{1,23} \ast \varphi^{1,2,3})
$$

$$
+ \alpha_{N}^{1,2,3,4} \ast \varphi^{12,3,4}
$$

(Using Lemma 2, the invariance of $\varphi$ and the definition of $\alpha_{N}^{12,3,4}$)

$$
= \alpha_{N}^{1,2,3} + \rho_{N}^{2,3} \ast (\alpha_{N}^{1,2,3,4} + \rho_{N}^{2,3} \ast \rho_{N}^{1,23} \ast \varphi^{1,2,3}) \ast \varphi^{1,2,3}
$$

$$
- \alpha_{N}^{1,2,3,4} - \rho_{N}^{3,4} \ast \rho_{N}^{1,2} \ast \varphi^{1,2,3,4} \ast \varphi^{12,3,4}
$$

(Using the definition of $\alpha_{N}^{1,2,3,4}$ and Lemma 2.2)

$$
= \alpha_{N}^{1,2,3} + \rho_{N}^{1,23,4} + (\rho_{N}^{3,4} \ast \rho_{N}^{2,3} \ast \varphi^{2,3,4} + \alpha_{N}^{2,3,4}) \ast \rho_{N}^{1,23,4} \ast \varphi^{1,2,3}
$$

$$
- \alpha_{N}^{1,2,3,4} - \rho_{N}^{3,4} \ast \rho_{N}^{2,3} \ast \rho_{N}^{1,23,4} \ast \varphi^{1,2,3,4} \ast \varphi^{12,3,4}
$$

(Using the definition of $\alpha_{N}^{2,3,4}$ and Lemma 2.2)

$$
= \alpha_{N}^{1,2,3} + \alpha_{N}^{1,23,4} - \alpha_{N}^{1,2,3,4} + \alpha_{N}^{2,3,4}
$$

(Using Lemma 2.2, the invariance of $\varphi$ and the fact that $\varphi$ satisfies the functional pentagon equation).

Denote by $\overline{\alpha}_N$ the image of $\alpha_N$ in $m_{g^2}^{\otimes 3} \cap m_{(g^3)^1}^N) / (m_{g^2}^{\otimes 3} \cap m_{(g^3)^1}^{N+1}) = (S^{>0}(g)^{\otimes 3})^N$. Then

$$
\overline{\alpha}_N^{12,3,4} + \overline{\alpha}_N^{1,2,3,4} = \overline{\alpha}_N^{1,2,3} + \overline{\alpha}_N^{1,23,4} + \alpha_{N}^{2,3,4}.
$$

Thus $\overline{\alpha}_N$ is a cocycle for the subcomplex $(S^{>0}(g)^{\otimes}, d)$ of the Cartier complex. Using [Drinfeld 1989b, Proposition 3.11], one proves that the $k$-th cohomology group of this subcomplex is $\bigwedge^k \langle g \rangle$, and that antisymmetrization coincides with the canonical projection from the space of cocycles to the cohomology group. For $N = 3$, the equation CYB($r$) = $Z$ implies $\text{Alt}((\overline{\alpha}_3)) = 0$, hence $\overline{\alpha}_3$ is the coboundary.
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\[ \text{If } \varphi \text{ is replaced by } \varphi' = \sigma \ast \varphi, \text{ then a solution of (2-2) is } \rho' = \rho \ast (-\sigma). \]

3. Isomorphism of formal Poisson manifolds
\( g^* \simeq G^* \)

We assume that \( g \) is a finite dimensional coboundary Lie bialgebra. The following result was proved in [Enriquez et al. 2005] when \( g \) is quasitriangular; that result is itself a generalization of the formal version of the Ginzburg–Weinstein isomorphism [Ginzburg and Weinstein 1992; Alekseev 1997; Boalch 2001]. On the other hand, one knows that linearization does not hold for general Poisson–Lie groups [Chloup-Arnould 1997].

Corollary 3.1. There exists an isomorphism of formal Poisson manifolds
\( g^* \simeq G^* \).

Proof. Let \( \rho : \wedge^2 (\mathcal{O}_g^*) \to \mathcal{O}_g^* \) be the Poisson bracket on \( \mathcal{O}_g^* \) corresponding to the Lie–Poisson (or Kostant–Kirillov–Souriau, or linear Poisson) structure on \( g^* \). Let

\[ m_0 \]

be the product and

\[ \Delta_0 \]

be the cocommutative coproduct of \( \mathcal{O}_g^* \simeq \hat{S}(g) \) (recall that elements of \( g \) are primitive for \( \Delta_0 \)). Then

\[ (\mathcal{O}_g^*, m_0, P, \Delta_0) \]

is a Poisson formal series Hopf (PFSH) algebra\(^2\); it corresponds to the formal Poisson–Lie group

\[ (g^*, +) \]

equipped with its Lie–Poisson structure.

Set

\[ \rho' \Delta_0(f) = \rho \ast \Delta_0(f) \ast (-\rho) \]

for any \( f \in \mathcal{O}_g^* \). It follows from the fact that \( \rho \)
satisfies the functional cocycle equation

Equation (2-2)

that

\[ (\mathcal{O}_g^*, m_0, P, \rho' \Delta_0) \]

is a PFSH algebra.

Denote by PFSHA and LBA the categories of PSFH algebras and Lie bialgebras. We have a category equivalence

\[ \mathcal{C} : \text{PFSH} \to \text{LBA}, \]

taking

\[ (\mathcal{C}, m, P, \Delta) \]

to

the Lie bialgebra\(^3\)

\[ (\mathcal{C}, \mu, \delta), \]

where

\[ \mathcal{C} := m / m^2 \]

(\( m \subset \mathcal{C} \) is the maximal ideal), the Lie cobracket of \( \mathcal{C} \) is induced by

\[ \Delta = \Delta^{2,1} : m \to \wedge^2(m) \]

and the Lie bracket of \( \mathcal{C} \) is induced by the Poisson bracket

\[ P : \wedge^2(m) \to m. \]

The inverse of the functor \( \mathcal{C} \) takes

\[ (\mathcal{C}, \mu, \delta) \]

to \( \mathcal{C} = \hat{S}(\mathcal{C}) \) equipped with its usual product; \( \Delta \) depends only on \( \delta \), and \( P \)
depends on \( (\mu, \delta) \).

---

\(^2\)Recall that a PFSH algebra

\[ (A, m_A, P_A, \Delta_A) \]

is a quadruple such that

\[ (A, m_A) \]

is a formal series algebra, \( (A, m_A, \Delta_A) \) is a topological Hopf algebra, \( P_A \) is a Poisson structure on \( A \), and

\[ A \to A \hat{\otimes}_2 \]

is a morphism of Poisson algebras.
Then $c$ restricts to a category equivalence $c_{fd} : \text{PFSHA}_{fd} \to \text{LBA}_{fd}$ of subcategories of finite-dimensional objects (in the case of PFSH, we say that $\mathcal{C}$ is finite-dimensional if and only if $m^2/m$ is).

Let dual : LBA$_{fd} \to$ LBA$_{fd}$ be the duality functor. It is a category antiequivalence; we have dual($g$, $\mu$, $\delta$) = ($g^*$, $\delta^*$, $\mu^f$). Then dual $oc_{fd} : \text{PFSHA}_{fd} \to \text{LBA}_{fd}$ is a category antiequivalence. Its inverse is the usual functor $g \mapsto U(g)^*$. If $G$ is the formal Poisson–Lie group with Lie bialgebra $g$, one sets $\mathcal{C}_G = U(g)^*$.

We apply the functor $c$ to $(\mathcal{C}_{g^*}, m_0, P, \rho \Delta_0)$. We obtain $c = m^2/m^2 = g$; the Lie bracket is unchanged with respect to the case $\rho = 0$, so it is the Lie bracket of $g$. The Lie cobracket is given by $\delta(x) = [r, x \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x]$, since the reduction of $\rho$ modulo $(m_{g^*})^2 \otimes m_{g^*} + m_{g^*} \otimes (m_{g^*})^2$ is equal to $r$.

Then applying dual $oc_{fd}$ to $(\mathcal{C}_{g^*}, m_0, P, \rho \Delta_0)$, we obtain the Lie bialgebra $g^*$. So this PFSH algebra is isomorphic to the PFSH algebra of the formal Poisson–Lie group $G^*$. In particular, the Poisson algebras $\mathcal{C}_{g^*}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g^*}$ are isomorphic. It is easy to check that the map $g = m_{g^*}/m_{g^*}^2 \to m_{g^*}/m_{g^*}^2 = g$ induced by this isomorphism is the identity (here $m_{g^*} \subset \mathcal{C}_{g^*}$ is the maximal ideal).

**Remark 3.2.** When $g$ is infinite dimensional, one can define $\mathcal{C}_{g^*}$ as the image of $g$ under LBA $\to$ PFSHA, and then show that the Poisson algebras $\mathcal{C}_{g^*}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{g^*} = (\tilde{S}(g)$, linear Poisson structure) are isomorphic.

**4. The morphism $S(g^*)^0 \hookrightarrow U(g^*)$**

In this section, $g$ is a finite dimensional coboundary Lie bialgebra.

**Lemma 4.1 [Semenov-Tian-Shansky 1985].** $\mathcal{C}_{G}^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_G$ is a Poisson commutative subalgebra.

The action of $g$ on $\mathcal{C}_G$ corresponds to the adjoint action of $G$. We recall the proof: if $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_G$, then $\{f, g\} = m((L - R)(r)(f \otimes g))$, where $L, R$ are the infinitesimal left and right actions, and $m$ is the product map. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}_G^0$, then $L(a)(\varphi) = R(a)(\varphi)$ for any $a \in g$. Therefore if $f, g \in \mathcal{C}_G^0$, then $(L - R)(r)(f \otimes g)$ vanishes, hence $\{f, g\} = 0$.

The inclusion $\mathcal{C}_G^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_G$ is a morphism of Poisson algebras with a decreasing filtration. By passing to the associated graded algebras, we obtain:

**Lemma 4.2.** $S(g^*)^0 \subset S(g^*)$ is a Poisson commutative subalgebra.

**Proof.** If $\alpha, \beta \in g^*$, then $[\alpha, \beta] = \text{ad}^* \left( R(\beta) \right)(\alpha) - \text{ad}^* \left( R(\alpha) \right)(\beta)$, where $R : g^* \to g$ is given by $R(\xi) = (\text{id} \otimes \xi)(r)$.

Let $f, g \in S(g^*)^0$ have degrees $k$ and $\ell$. Write

$$f = \sum_{\alpha} a_1^\alpha \cdots a_k^\alpha,$$

$$g = \sum_{\beta} b_1^\beta \cdots b_\ell^\beta.$$
Then
\[ \{ f, g \} = \sum_\ell \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} b_1^\beta \cdots b_j^\beta \cdots b_\ell^\beta \text{ad}^r \left( R(b_j^\beta) \right) (f) \]
\[ - \sum_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} a_i^\alpha \cdots a_i^\alpha \text{ad}^r \left( R(a_i^\alpha) \right) (g). \]

When \( f \) and \( g \) are both invariant, this bracket vanishes. \( \square \)

We now prove that \( S(g^*)^0 \subset S(g^*) \) is also the associated graded of an inclusion of noncommutative algebras with an increasing filtration:

**Theorem 4.3.** There exists a morphism of filtered algebras:

\[ \theta : S(g^*)^0 \to U(g^*), \]

where the associated graded morphism is the canonical inclusion \( S(g^*)^0 \subset S(g^*) \).

**Proof.** We denote by FSHA the category of formal series Hopf (FSH) algebras and by FilAlg the category of filtered algebras. There is a contravariant functor (restricted duality) FSHA \( \to \) FilAlg, defined by \( \mathcal{O} \mapsto \mathcal{O}^\circ \), where

\[ \mathcal{O}^\circ = \{ \ell \in \mathcal{O}^* | \ell(m^n) = 0 \text{ for some } n \geq 0 \} \subset \mathcal{O}^*; \]

here \( m \subset \mathcal{O} \) is the maximal ideal of \( \mathcal{O} \). The algebra structure of \( \mathcal{O}^\circ \) is defined by

\[ (\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2)(f) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta(f)); \]

its filtration is defined by \( (\mathcal{O}^\circ)_{<n} = \{ \ell \in \mathcal{O}^* | \ell(m^{n+1}) = 0 \} \).

We have a category equivalence FSHA \( \to \) LCA, where LCA is the category of Lie coalgebras, taking \( \mathcal{O} \) to \( m/m^2 \), equipped with the cobracket induced by \( \Delta - \Delta^2 \). Then the composed functor LCA \( \to \) FSHA \( \to \) FilAlg is \( \epsilon \mapsto U(\mathfrak{c}^*) \) (recall that \( \mathfrak{c}^* \) is a Lie algebra).

\( (\mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^*, \Delta_0) = (\hat{S}(g), \Delta_0) \) is a graded FSH algebra. Its restricted dual is the graded algebra \( S(g^*) \). Recall that \( \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \) is also a Poisson algebra. We define the set of Poisson traces on \( \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \) as the subspace of all \( \ell \in \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \) such that \( \ell([u, v]) = 0 \) for any \( u, v \in \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \). Then \{Poisson traces on \( \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \} \subset \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \) identifies with \( S(g^*)^0 \subset S(g^*) \); this is a graded subalgebra of \( \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \). This defines a graded algebra structure on \{Poisson traces on \( \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \}.

Consider the FSH algebra \( (\mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^*, \partial \Delta_0) \). It is isomorphic (as a filtered vector space) to \( (\mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^*, \Delta_0) \), and this isomorphism induces an algebra isomorphism between their associated graded FSH algebras. It follows that we have an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces between the filtered algebra \( (\mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^*, \partial \Delta_0)^\circ \) and \( S(g^*) \), and the associated graded of this morphism is an algebra isomorphism \( gr((\mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^*, \partial \Delta_0)^\circ) \to S(g^*) \).

Recall that the vector spaces underlying \( (\mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^*, \Delta_0)^\circ \) and \( (\mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^*, \partial \Delta_0)^\circ \) are the same, namely, \( \mathcal{O}^\circ_\mathfrak{g}^* \). We claim that the canonical inclusion
The restricted dual of the isomorphism \( \sigma \) induces a filtration.

The proof above is an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces and \( \rho \) the product in \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \) and by \( \cdot \) the product in \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \). Let \( \ell_1, \ell_2 \) be Poisson traces on \( C_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \).

Then for any \( x \in C_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \), we have \( (\ell_1 \cdot \rho \ell_2)(x) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(x) = (\ell_1 \cdot \Delta_0(f) \cdot (-\rho)) \). Now Leibniz’s rule implies that \( (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(u, v) = 0 \) for any \( u, v \in C_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \); therefore \( (\ell_1 \cdot \rho \ell_2)(x) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta_0(x)) = (\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2)(x) \).

So \{Poisson traces on \( C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} \subset (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \) is an isomorphism of graded algebras. Since the filtrations on the vector spaces underlying \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \) and \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \) are the same, and since the filtration on \{Poisson traces on \( C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} \) is induced by that of \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \), this morphism is filtered, and its associated graded is the canonical inclusion \( S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\circ} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \).

Now the FSH algebra isomorphism \( C_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \cong (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \) (Corollary 3.1) induces a filtered algebra isomorphism \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \rightarrow C_{G^*}, = U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \).

The fact that the associated graded of this morphism is the canonical isomorphism \( S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \text{gr}(U(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \) follows from the fact that the completed graded of the FSH algebras \( C_{G^*} \) and \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \) are both \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0) \).

We now compose the filtered algebra morphism \( \{\text{Poisson traces on } C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} \subset (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \) with the filtered algebra isomorphism \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \rightarrow C_{G^*}, = U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \), and obtain a filtered algebra morphism \( S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\circ} \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \), whose associated graded is the canonical inclusion \( S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\circ} \subset S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \).

The situation may be summarized thus:

\[
\begin{align*}
(C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} &= S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \\
S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\circ} &= \{\text{Poisson traces on } C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}\} \\
(C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} &= U(\mathfrak{g}^*)
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^{\circ} \) and \( S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \) are graded algebras, \( (C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}, \Delta_0)^{\circ} \) and \( C_{G^*} \), are filtered algebras; (a) is a morphism of graded algebras, (c) is an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces, and (b) and (d) are morphisms of filtered algebras, (d) being an isomorphism. The associated graded of (c) is an isomorphism of graded algebras.

\[\square\]

**Remark 4.4.** The restricted dual of the isomorphism \( \sigma : S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \) appearing in the proof above is an isomorphism of filtered vector spaces \( \sigma : S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g}^*) \), whose associated graded is the canonical isomorphism \( S(\mathfrak{g}^*) \rightarrow \text{gr}(U(\mathfrak{g}^*)) \). These properties are also satisfied by the symmetrization map Sym, however \( \sigma \) depends on \( \rho \), so in general Sym and \( \sigma \) are different.
Remark 4.5. One can check that the morphism $\theta$ is independent of the choice of $(\rho, \varphi)$ (these choices are described in Remark 2.4 and Theorem 2.3).

5. Duality of QUE and QFSH algebras

We now recall some facts from [Drinfeld 1987]; proofs can be found in [Gavarini 2002]. We denote by QUE the category of quantized universal enveloping algebras and by QFSH the category of quantized formal series Hopf algebras. We denote by QUE$_{fd}$ and QFSH$_{fd}$ the subcategories corresponding to finite dimensional Lie bialgebras.

We have the contravariant functors QUE$_{fd}$ → QFSH$_{fd}$ sending $U$ to $U^*$ and QFSH$_{fd}$ → QUE$_{fd}$ sending $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}^\circ$. These functors are inverse to each other. $U^*$ is the full topological dual of $U$ (the space of all $(h$-adically) continuous $\mathbb{k}[[h]]$-linear maps $U \to \mathbb{k}[[h]]$), and $\mathcal{C}^\circ$ is the space of continuous $\mathbb{k}[[h]]$-linear forms $\mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{k}[[h]]$, where $\mathcal{C}$ is equipped with the $m$-adic topology (here $m \subset \mathcal{C}$ is the the kernel of $\mathcal{C} \to \mathbb{k}$, that is, the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{C}$).

We also have covariant functors QUE → QFSH, $U \mapsto U^\ast$ and QFSH → QUE, $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \mathcal{C}^\vee$. These functors are also inverse to each other. $U^\ast$ is the subalgebra of $U$ defined by

$$U^\ast = \{ x \in U \mid (\id - \eta \circ \varepsilon)^{\otimes k} \circ \Delta^{(k)}(x) \in h^{k} U \hat{\otimes}^k \text{ for all } k \geq 0 \},$$

where $\varepsilon$ and $\eta$ are the counit and unit of $U$, $\Delta^{(1)} = \id$, $\Delta^{(2)} = \Delta$ is its coproduct, and

$$\Delta^{(k)} = (\Delta \otimes \id^{\otimes k-2}) \circ \cdots \circ \Delta.$$

On the other hand, $\mathcal{C}^\vee$ is the $h$-adic completion of

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} h^{-k} m^k \subset \mathcal{C}[1/h].$$

We also have canonical isomorphisms $(U^\ast)^\circ \simeq (U^\ast)^\vee$ and $(\mathcal{C}^\circ)^\ast \simeq (\mathcal{C}^\circ)^\vee$.

If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a finite dimensional Lie bialgebra and $U = U_h(\mathfrak{a})$ is a QUE algebra quantizing $\mathfrak{a}$, then $U^* = \mathcal{C}_{A,h}$ is a QFSH algebra quantizing the Poisson–Lie group $A$ (with Lie bialgebra $\mathfrak{a}$), and $U^\ast = \mathcal{C}_{A^*,h}$ is a QFSH algebra quantizing the Poisson–Lie group $A^*$ (with Lie bialgebra $\mathfrak{a}^*$). If now $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_{A,h}$ is a QFSH algebra quantizing $A$, then $\mathcal{C}^\circ = U_h(\mathfrak{a})$ is a QUE algebra quantizing $\mathfrak{a}$, and $\mathcal{C}^\vee = U_h(\mathfrak{a}^*)$ is a QFSH algebra quantizing $\mathfrak{a}^*$.

We now compute these functors explicitly in the case of cocommutative QUE and commutative QFSH algebras. If $U = U(\mathfrak{a})[[h]]$ has a cocommutative coproduct (where $\mathfrak{a}$ is a Lie algebra), then $U^\ast$ is a completion of $U(h\mathfrak{a}[[h]])$; this is a flat deformation of $\hat{S}(\mathfrak{a})$ equipped with its linear Lie–Poisson structure. If $G$ is a formal group with function ring $\mathcal{O}_G$, then $\mathcal{O} := \mathcal{O}_G[[h]]$ is a QFSH algebra, and $\mathcal{O}^\vee$ is a
commutative QUE algebra; it is a quantization of \((S(g^*),\text{commutative product, cocommutative coproduct, co-Poisson structure induced by the Lie bracket of } g)\).

6. Relation between twist quantization and its functional version

We define a twist quantization of the coboundary Lie bialgebra \((g, r, Z)\) as a pair \((J, \Phi)\), where \(J \in U(g)^{\otimes 2}\[\hbar]\), \(\Phi \in U(g)^{\otimes 3}\[\hbar]\), \(\Phi\) is invariant, \((J, \Phi)\) satisfies the twisted cocycle relation

\[ J^{1,2} J^{12,3} = J^{2,3} J^{1,23} \Phi, \]

and moreover \((e \otimes \text{id})(J) = (\text{id} \otimes e)(J) = 1, J = 1^{\otimes 2} + O(h), \Phi = 1^{\otimes 3} + O(h),\)

\[ \text{Alt}(J - 1^{\otimes 2})/h = r + O(h), \text{ and } \text{Alt}(\Phi - 1^{\otimes 3})/h^2 = Z + O(h). \]

These conditions imply \(\Phi\) satisfies the pentagon relation, as well as \(e^{(i)}(\Phi) = 1^{\otimes 2}\) for \(i = 1, 2, 3\). (We know that such a twist quantization always exists when \(g\) is triangular or quasitriangular.) Our purpose is to relate twist quantization with its functional version.

The first step is to show that \((J, \Phi)\) can be transformed into an admissible pair, in a sense which we now make precise.

Definition 6.1. An element \(x\) in a QUE algebra \(U\) is admissible if \(x \in 1 + hU\) and if \(h \log x\) is in \(U' \subset U\).

We will use the isomorphism \(U(g)^{\otimes k}[\hbar] \simeq U(g^{\otimes k})[\hbar]\) to view \(U(g)^{\otimes k}[\hbar]\) as a QUE algebra.

Proposition 6.2. Any twist quantization \((J, \Phi)\) of a coboundary Lie bialgebra \((g, r, Z)\) is gauge equivalent to an admissible twist quantization \((J', \Phi')\) (i.e., such that \(J'\) and \(\Phi'\) are admissible).

Proof. We set \(U = U(g)[\hbar]\). According to Proposition A.1, one can find an invariant \(F \in U^{\otimes 2}\), such that \(F \in 1^{\otimes 2} + hU^{\otimes 2}\) and \(\Phi' := F \Phi = F^{2,3} F^{1,23} \Phi (F^{1,2} F^{1,23})^{-1}\) is admissible. In particular, \(\Phi' \in 1^{\otimes 2} + hU^{\otimes 3}\).

Then if we set \(J_0 := J F\), we have \(J_0^{1,2} J_0^{12,3} = J_0^{2,3} J_0^{1,23} \Phi'\) and \(J_0 \in 1^{\otimes 2} + hU^{\otimes 2}\).

For any \(u \in 1^{\otimes 2} + hU_0\), define \(a J_0 := u^{1} u^{2} J_0 (u^{12})^{-1}\). Then \((a J_0, \Phi')\) is a twist quantization of \((g, r, Z)\). It remains to find \(u\) such that \(J' := a J_0\) is admissible.

We will construct \(u\) as a product \(\cdots u_2 u_1\), where \(u_n \in 1 + h^n U_0\), in such a way that if \(J_0 := a_{n-2} \cdots a_1 J_0\), then \(h \log J_0 \in U^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+2} U_0^{\otimes 2}\).

We have already \(h \log J_0 \in h^2 U_0^{\otimes 2}\).

Expand \(J_0 = 1^{\otimes 2} + h j_1 + \cdots\); then \(\text{Alt}(j_1) = r\). Moreover, the coefficient of \(h\) in \(J_0^{1,2} J_0^{12,3} = J_0^{2,3} J_0^{1,23} \Phi\) yields \(d(j_1) = 0\), where \(d: U(g)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow U(g)^{\otimes 3}\) is the Cartier differential. It follows that for some \(a_1 \in U(g)_0\), we have \(j_1 = r + d(a_1)\).

Then if we set \(u_1 := \exp(ha_1)\) and \(J_1 := a_1 J_0\), we get \(J_1 \in 1^{\otimes 2} + h r + h^2 U_0^{\otimes 2}\). Then \(h \log J_1 \in h^2 r + h^3 U_0^{\otimes 2} \subset U^{\otimes 2} + h^2 U_0^{\otimes 2} + h^3 U_0^{\otimes 3}\).
Assume that for \( n \geq 2 \), we have constructed \( u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1} \) such that \( \alpha_{n-1} := h \log(J_{n-1}) \in U_0^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+1}U_0^{\otimes 2} \).

We denote by \( \tilde{\alpha} \) the image of the class of \( \alpha_{n-1} \) in \( U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 2}/(U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 2})_{\leq n+1} \) under the isomorphism of this space with \( (U_0^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+1}U_0^{\otimes 2})/(U_0^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+2}U_0^{\otimes 2}) \) (see Lemma A.2). Let \( \alpha \in U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 2} \) be a representative of \( \tilde{\alpha} \), then \( \alpha_{n-1} = \alpha' + h^{n+1}\alpha \), where \( \alpha' \in U_0^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+2}U_0^{\otimes 2} \). We set \( \varphi' := h \log \Phi' \); then the twist equation gives

\[
(-\alpha' - h^{n+1}\alpha)^{1,2,3} \ast_h (-\alpha' - h^{n+1}\alpha)^{2,3} \ast_h (\alpha' + h^{n+1}\alpha)^{1,2} \ast_h (\alpha' + h^{n+1}\alpha)^{1,2,3} = \varphi',
\]

where \( \ast_h \) is defined as in the Appendix. According to Lemma A.3, the image of this equality in

\[
(U_0^{\otimes 3} + h^{n+1}U_0^{\otimes 3})/(U_0^{\otimes 3} + h^{n+2}U_0^{\otimes 3}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 3}/(U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 3})_{\leq n+1}
\]

is \( d(\tilde{\alpha}) \), where \( d \) is the Cartier differential on \( U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 3}/(U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 3})_{\leq n+1} \). Since \( n \geq 2 \), the relevant cohomology group vanishes, so

\[
\tilde{\alpha} = d(\tilde{\beta}), \quad \text{where } \tilde{\beta} \in U(\mathfrak{g})_0/(U(\mathfrak{g})_0)_{\leq n+1}.
\]

Let \( \beta \in U(\mathfrak{g})_0 \) be a representative of \( \tilde{\beta} \), and set \( u_n := \exp(h^n\beta) \), \( J_n := \exp(J_n) \), and \( \alpha_n := h \log J_n \). Then

\[
\alpha_n = (h^{n+1}\beta)^{1} \ast_h (h^{n+1}\beta)^{2} \ast_h \alpha_{n-1} \ast_h (-h^{n+1}\beta)^{12}.
\]

According to Lemma A.3, the image of \( \alpha_n \) in

\[
(U_0^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+1}U_0^{\otimes 2})/(U_0^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+2}U_0^{\otimes 2}) \simeq U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 2}/(U(\mathfrak{g})_0^{\otimes 2})_{\leq n+1}
\]

is \( \tilde{\alpha} - d(\tilde{\beta}) = 0 \). So \( \alpha_n \) belongs to \( U_0^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+2}U_0^{\otimes 2} \), as required. This proves the induction step. \( \square \)

If now \( (J', \Phi') \) is an admissible twist quantization, then \( \rho := h \log J'_{|h=0} \) and \( \varphi := h \log \Phi'_{|h=0} \) are formal functions on \( m_{\mathfrak{g}}^2 \) and \( m_{\mathfrak{g}}^3 \), solutions of the functional twist equation.

\section{Quantization of \( \mathcal{O}^0_G \subset \mathcal{O}_G \)}

Using a (not necessarily admissible) twist quantization, we construct a formal non-commutative deformation of the inclusion of algebras of Lemma 4.1:

\begin{proposition}
We have an injective algebra morphism \( \mathcal{O}^0_G \| \hbar \| \to \mathcal{O}_{G,h} \) deforming \( \mathcal{O}^0_G \subset \mathcal{O}_G \), where \( \mathcal{O}_{G,h} \) is a quantization of the PFSH algebra \( \mathcal{O}_G \), and \( \mathcal{O}^0_G \| \hbar \| \) is the trivial deformation of the commutative algebra \( \mathcal{O}^0_G \) (it is also commutative).
\end{proposition}

\begin{proof}
We first construct the QFSH algebra \( \mathcal{O}_{G,h} \). For \( x \in U(\mathfrak{g})\| \hbar \| \), set \( \Delta_0(x) = J \Delta_0(x)J^{-1} \), where \( \Delta_0 \) is the usual cocommutative coproduct. Then \( U_h(\mathfrak{g}) = \)
Theorem 4.3

There is an injective algebra morphism $\theta_h : S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^\hbar \hookrightarrow U_h(\mathfrak{g})^*$.

The dual $\mathcal{C}_{G,h} := U_h(\mathfrak{g})^*$ of this QUE algebra is a QFSH algebra quantizing the PFSH algebra $\mathcal{C}_G$. The product in this QFSH algebra is defined by $(f \star g)(x) = (f \otimes g)(J \Delta_0(x) J^{-1})$ for $f, g \in U_h(\mathfrak{g})^*$ and $x \in U_h(\mathfrak{g})$.

On the other hand, the FSH algebra $\mathcal{C}_G$ is equal to $U(\mathfrak{g})^*$, and its product is defined by $(fg)(x) = (f \otimes g)(\Delta_0(x))$ for $f, g \in U(\mathfrak{g})^*$ and $x \in U(\mathfrak{g})$.

We say that $f \in U(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is a trace if and only if $f(xy) = f(yx)$ for any $x, y \in U(\mathfrak{g})$. Then the inclusion $\{\text{traces on } U(\mathfrak{g})\} \subset U(\mathfrak{g})^*$ identifies with $\mathcal{C}_G^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_G$. In the same way, we define $\{\text{traces on } U(\mathfrak{g})^h\}$; this is a subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g})^h \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_G^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_G$.

We then equip $\mathcal{C}_G^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_G$ with the coproduct $\Delta : x \mapsto J \Delta_0(x) J^{-1}$. Then $(\mathcal{C}_G^0, m_0, J \Delta_0)$ is a QFSH algebra. Its classical limit is the PFSH algebra $(\mathcal{C}_G, m_0, P, \Delta_0)$. We have seen that this PSFH algebra is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_G^0$, hence $(\mathcal{C}_G^0, m_0, J \Delta_0)$ is a quantization of $\mathcal{C}_G^0$.

It now follows from Section 5 that $(\mathcal{C}_G^0, m_0, J \Delta_0)$ is a quantization of $U(\mathfrak{g})^*$, which we denote by $U_h(\mathfrak{g})^*$.

8. Quantization of $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^\hbar \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})^*$

Assume now that $(J, \Phi)$ is an admissible twist quantization. We construct a formal deformation of the inclusion of algebras of Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 8.1.** There is an injective algebra morphism:

$\theta_h : S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^\hbar \hookrightarrow U_h(\mathfrak{g})^*$,

where $U_h(\mathfrak{g})^*$ is a quantization of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. Its reduction modulo $\hbar$ coincides with the morphism $S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^\hbar \hookrightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$ from Theorem 4.3.

**Proof.** Recall that $U(\mathfrak{g})^h$ is a cocommutative QFSH algebra; we denote by $m_0$, $\Delta_0$ its product and coproduct.

Since

$$(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})(J) = (\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)(J) = 1,$$

we have $\hbar \log J \in m_0^\otimes 2$, where $m_0 \subset U(\mathfrak{g})^h$ is the kernel of the counit. According to [Enriquez and Halbout 2003, Proposition 3.1], this implies that the inner automorphism $z \mapsto JzJ^{-1}$ of $U(\mathfrak{g})^\otimes 2$ restricts to an automorphism of $U(\mathfrak{g})^\otimes 2$.

We then equip $U(\mathfrak{g})^h$ with the coproduct $\it{\Delta} : x \mapsto J \Delta_0(x) J^{-1}$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}^*, m_0, J \Delta_0)$ is a QFSH algebra. Its classical limit is the PFSH algebra $(\mathcal{C}_G^0, m_0, P, \it{\Delta}_0)$. We have seen that this PSFH algebra is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}_G$, hence $(\mathfrak{g}^*, m_0, J \Delta_0)$ is a quantization of $\mathcal{C}_G^0$.

It now follows from Section 5 that $(\mathfrak{g}^*, m_0, J \Delta_0)^0$ is a quantization of $U(\mathfrak{g})^*$, which we denote by $U_h(\mathfrak{g})^*$.
We say that \( \varphi \in (U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]])^0 \) is a trace if \( \varphi(xy) = \varphi(yx) \) for any \( x, y \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]] \).

Then \( \{ \) traces on \( U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]] \} \subset (U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]])^0 \) is a subalgebra. Indeed, if \( \ell_1, \ell_2 \) are traces, then \( \ell_1 \otimes \ell_2 \) is also a trace, so for \( x, y \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]], \) we have

\[
(\ell_1 \ell_2)(xy) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta(x)\Delta(y)) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta(y)\Delta(x)) = (\ell_1 \ell_2)(yx).
\]

This inclusion identifies with the inclusion \((\mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee)^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee). Indeed, the Drinfeld functors have the property that \((U')^0 = (U^*)^\vee\) for any QUE algebra \( U \).

Now we show that the map \( \{ \) traces on \( U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]]' \} \subset \big((U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]]')^0 \) is also an algebra morphism. Indeed, let \( \cdot \) be the product of the latter algebra. If \( \ell_1, \ell_2 \) are traces and \( x, y \in U(\mathfrak{g})[[h]], \) then \( (\ell_1 \cdot \ell_2)(x) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(J\Delta_0(x)J^{-1}) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)((\Delta_0(x)) = (\ell_1 \ell_2)(x), \) so \( \ell_1 \cdot \ell_2 = \ell_1 \ell_2. \) So we have constructed an algebra morphism \((\mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee)^0 \rightarrow U_h(\mathfrak{g}). \) It is clearly a deformation of the morphism constructed in Theorem 4.3.

Recall that \( \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee \) is the \( h \)-adic completion of \( \sum_{k \geq 0} h^{-k}m_G^k \subset \mathcal{C}_G((h)). \) Then \( \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee \) is a topologically free \( \mathbb{K}[[h]] \)-commutative algebra; its specialization at \( h = 0 \) is \( \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee/h\mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*)\).

The action of \( \mathfrak{g} \) on \( \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee \) induces an action of \( \mathfrak{g} \) on \( \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee. \) Then \((\mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee)^0\) is the \( h \)-adic completion of \( \sum_{k \geq 0} h^{-k}(m_G^k)^0. \) We have an inclusion of topologically free \( \mathbb{K}[[h]] \)-algebras \((\mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee)^0 \subset \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee. \)

Now the dual of the symmetrization map induces an algebra isomorphism

\[
\tilde{S}(\mathfrak{g}^*) = \mathcal{C}_0 \simeq \mathcal{C}_G
\]

(dual to the exponential map \( \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G \)). This isomorphism induces a \( \mathfrak{g} \)-equivariant isomorphism of \( \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee \) with the \( h \)-adic completion of

\[
\sum_{k \geq 0} h^{-k}m_G^k \subset \mathcal{C}_G((h)).
\]

So we have an algebra isomorphism \( \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*)[[h]]. \) It restricts to an isomorphism \( \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee)^0 \simeq S(\mathfrak{g}^*)[[h]]. \)

Composing its inverse with the morphism \((\mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee)^0 \rightarrow U_h(\mathfrak{g}^*), \) we get the announced morphism \( S(\mathfrak{g}^*)^0[[h]] \rightarrow U_h(\mathfrak{g}^*). \)

\[ \square \]

9. The quasitriangular case

A quasitriangular Lie bialgebra (QTLBA) is a pair \((\mathfrak{g}, r'),\) where \( \mathfrak{g} \) is a Lie algebra and \( r' \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \) is such that CYB\((r') = 0 \) and \( t := r' + r'^{-1} \in \mathcal{S}^2(\mathfrak{g})^0. \) Any QTLBA gives rise to a coboundary Lie bialgebra \((\mathfrak{g}, r, Z), \) where \( r = (r' - r'^{-1})/2 \) and

\[ \mathcal{C}_G[[h]]^\vee \] may therefore be viewed as the formal Rees algebra associated to the decreasing filtration \( \mathcal{C}_G \supset m_G \supset m_G^2 \cdots. \)
For any scalar $s$.

Let $D : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ be the composition of the Lie cobracket $\delta : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \wedge^2(\mathfrak{g}^*)$ with the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}^*$. It is a derivation and a coderivation, and it induces a derivation of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$, which we also denote by $D$ (or sometimes $D_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$).

**Proposition 9.1.** For any scalar $s$, the algebra $C_s := \text{Ker}(\delta - s(D \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_0)$ is a commutative subalgebra of $U(\mathfrak{g}^*)$.

**Proof.** The condition $\ell \in C_s$ means that $\ell(\{u, v\} - sD^*(u)v) = 0$ for any $u, v \in C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ (here $D^*$ is the derivation of $C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$ dual to the coderivation $D$).

Let $\ell_1, \ell_2$ belong to $C_s$. Then for any $u, v \in C_{\mathfrak{g}^*},$

$$(\ell_1 \ell_2)(u, v) - sD^*(u)v \ell_2 \ell_1 = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\{u, v\}) - s(\Delta(D^*(u))\Delta(v)) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\{u^{(1)}, v^{(1)}\} \otimes u^{(2)}v^{(2)} + u^{(1)}v^{(1)} \otimes \{u^{(2)}, v^{(2)}\}) - sD^*(u^{(1)})v^{(1)}(u^{(2)}v^{(2)} - u^{(1)}v^{(1)} \otimes sD^*(u^{(2)})v^{(2)}) = 0;$$

hence $\ell_1 \ell_2 \in C_s$. Here $\Delta$ is the coproduct of $C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$.

Moreover, we constructed in [Enriquez et al. 2003] an element $\varrho \in m_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^\otimes$, such that $\Delta'(u) = \varrho \ast \Delta(u) \ast (-\varrho)$ for any $u \in C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}$; if $(U_h(\mathfrak{g}), \mathcal{R})$ is any quantization of $(\mathfrak{g}, r')$, then $h \log \mathcal{R} \in m_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^\otimes$, where $m_{\mathfrak{g}^*} \subset U_h(\mathfrak{g})'$ is the augmentation ideal, and the reduction of $h \log \mathcal{R}$ mod $h$ equals $\varrho$. Then it follows from $(S^2 \otimes S^2)(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{R}$ that $(S^2 \otimes S^2)(h \log \mathcal{R}) = hx \log \mathcal{R}$, where $S$ is the antipode of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S_G = S_{U_h(\mathfrak{g})'}$ is the antipode of $U_h(\mathfrak{g})' \subset U_h(\mathfrak{g})$; since the specialization for $h = 0$ of $h^{-1}(S^2_\mathfrak{g} - \text{id})$ is $D^*$, we get $(D^* \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D^*)(\varrho) = 0$.

Then if $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in C_s$, then

$$(\ell_2 \ell_1)(u) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta'(u)) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)((\varrho \ast \Delta(u)) \ast (-\varrho)) = (\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)(\Delta(u)) + \sum_{n \geq 1} (1/n!)(\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)((\varrho, \varrho, \ldots, \varrho, \Delta(u))\}) .$$

Now if $f \in C_{\mathfrak{g}^*}^\otimes$, then $(\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)((\varrho, f)) = s(\ell_1 \otimes \ell_2)((D^* \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D^*)(\varrho) f) = 0$. It follows that $\ell_2 \ell_1 = \ell_1 \ell_2$. □

**Remark 9.2.** If $A$ is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra with antipode $S$, set

$$C_{s,A} := \{ \ell \in A^* \mid \ell(ab) = \ell(bS^{-2s}(a)) \quad \text{for all} \quad a, b \in A \}$$

for $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then it follows from [Drinfeld 1989a] that $C_{s,A}$ is a commutative algebra, and that we have isomorphisms $C_s \simeq C_{s+2}$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. The isomorphism takes
Let \( \ell \in C_1 \) to the element \( \overline{\ell} \in C_{s+2} \) defined by
\[
\overline{\ell}(x) = \ell(xu^{-1}S(u)),
\]
where \( u = m \circ (\text{id} \otimes S)(R) \); here \( m, R \) are the product and \( R \)-matrix of \( A \). The definition of \( C_{s,A} \) can be generalized to \( s \in \mathbb{K} \) when \( A = (U_{h}(g), \mathcal{R}) \) is a quasitriangular QUE Hopf algebra. Define \( U_{h}(g)^* \) as \( (U_{h}(g)^*)^\circ = (U_{h}(g)^*)^\vee \supset U_{h}(g)^* \).

Then
\[
C_{s,h} := \{ \ell \in (U_{h}(g)^*)^\circ | \ell(ab) = \ell(b(S^2)^{-s}(a)) \text{ for all } a, b \in U_{h}(g)^* \}
\]
is a commutative subalgebra of \( U_{h}(g)^* \), and its reduction modulo \( \hbar \) is contained in \( C_s \). In this case, \( u^{-1}S(u) \) does not necessarily belong to \( U_{h}(g)^* \), therefore \( C_{s,h} \) and \( C_{s+2,h} \) are not necessarily isomorphic.

**Remark 9.3.** If \((g, r, Z)\) is a coboundary Lie bialgebra, then \( r \) is \( D \)-invariant if and only if \((\mu \otimes \text{id})(Z)\) is symmetric (where \( \mu \) is the Lie bracket of \( g \)). If this is not the case, if we set \( \varrho := \rho^{2,1} \circ (−\rho) \), then \((D^* \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D^*)(\varrho) \neq 0 \), so unless \( s = 0 \), one cannot prove that \( C_s \) is commutative.

For each nondegenerate QTLBA \((g, r')\), Semenov-Tian-Shansky [1984] defined an algebra morphism \( \Theta : Z(U(g)) \to U(g^*) \), where \( Z(A) \) denotes the center of an algebra \( A \). We recall the construction of \( \Theta \). There are unique Lie algebra morphisms \( L, R : g^* \to g \), defined by \( L(\ell) = (\ell \otimes \text{id})(r') \), \( R(\ell) = −(\text{id} \otimes \ell)(r') \) for any \( \ell \in g^* \). We denote by \( \alpha : U(g^*) \to U(g) \) the composed map
\[
U(g^*) \xrightarrow{\Delta_0} U(g^*) \otimes L \xrightarrow{S_0 \circ R} U(g) \otimes 2 \xrightarrow{m_0} U(g).
\]
Here \( m_0, \Delta_0 \) are the standard product and coproduct maps. We still denote by \( L, R \) the algebra morphisms induced by \( L, R \), and \( S_0 \) denotes the antipode of \( U(g) \). The associated graded of the map \( \alpha \) is the isomorphism \( S(g^*) \to S(g) \) induced by \( \iota \), hence \( \alpha \) is an isomorphism. Then \( \Theta : Z(U(g)) \to U(g^*) \) is defined as the restriction of \( \alpha^{-1} \) to \( Z(U(g)) \); one can prove that it is an algebra morphism.

We will show, together with **Proposition 9.8**:

**Proposition 9.4.** \( \text{Im}(\Theta) = C_1 \subset U(g^*) \). The associated graded algebra of \( C_1 \) (for the degree filtration of \( U(g^*) \)) is \( S(g^*)^\theta \).

**Remark 9.5.** Let \( \theta \) be as in **Theorem 4.3.** The image of \( \theta : S(g^*)^\theta \to U(g^*) \) is \{Poisson traces on \( C_{G^*} \)\}, that is, \( C_0 = \ker(\delta) \), where \( \delta : U(g^*) \to \wedge^2 U(g^*) \) is the co-Poisson map of \( U(g^*) \). So the images of \( \Theta \) and \( \theta \) do not necessarily coincide.

Notice that \( C_1 \) is also the image of a morphism \( S(g^*)^\theta \to U(g^*) \), since
\[
Z(U(g)) = U(g)^\theta \simeq S(g)^\theta \simeq S(g^*)^\theta,
\]
where the second equality is Duflo’s isomorphism [1969], and the third equality uses the nondegenerate pairing of \( g \) dual to \( t \). Hence \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) are images of morphisms \( S(g^*)^0 \to U(g^*) \), whose associated graded is the canonical injection, but which do not necessarily coincide.

We now construct a deformation \( \Theta_h \) of \( \Theta \).

**Lemma 9.6 [Drinfeld 1989a].** Let \( (A, \Delta, R) \) be a quasitriangular Hopf algebra with antipode \( S \). Define a linear map \( \alpha_A : A^* \to A \) by \( \alpha_A(\ell) = (\ell \otimes \text{id})(R^{21}R) \). Then \( \alpha_A \) induces an algebra morphism \( C_{1,A} \to Z(A) \).

**Lemma 9.7.** Assume moreover that \( A \) is finite dimensional and \( R^{21}R \) is nondegenerate. Then the map \( C_1 \to Z(A) \) is a linear isomorphism. Its inverse induces an algebra morphism \( \Theta_A : Z(A) \to A^* \).

**Proof.** We have to check that if \( \ell \in A^* \) is such that \( \alpha_A(\ell) \in Z(A) \), then \( \ell \) is a trace. The condition \( \alpha_A(\ell) \in Z(A) \) means that for any \( a \in A \), we have

\[
(\ell \otimes \text{id})([R^{21}R, 1 \otimes a]) = 0.
\]

It follows that

\[
S^{-1}(a^{(4)})(\ell \otimes \text{id})([R^{21}R, a^{(2)}S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes a^{(3)}]) = 0
\]

for any \( a \in A \). Since \( R^{21}R \) commutes with the image of \( \Delta_A \), we have

\[
(\ell \otimes \text{id})(a^{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(a^{(4)}a^{(3)})[R^{21}R, S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes 1]) = 0.
\]

Therefore \( (\ell \otimes \text{id})(a^{(2)} \otimes 1)R^{21}R(S^{-1}(a^{(1)}) \otimes \text{id}) = \varepsilon(a)(\ell \otimes \text{id})(R^{21}R) \).

Since \( R^{21}R \) is nondegenerate, this means that \( \ell(a^{(2)}bS^{-1}(a^{(1)})) = \varepsilon(a)\ell(b) \) for any \( b \in A \). Replacing \( a \otimes b \) by \( a^{(1)} \otimes S(a^{(2)})b \), we get \( \ell(bS^{-1}(a)) = \ell(S(a)b) \), so \( \ell \in C_1 \). \( \square \)

The QUE algebra version of these lemmas is parts (i) and (ii) of the following proposition. Let \( (g, r') \) be a QTLBA and let \( (U_h(g), \Delta, \bar{\mathcal{H}}) \) be a quantization of \( (g, r') \).

**Proposition 9.8.** (i) The linear map \( U_h(g)^* \to U_h(g) \), \( \ell \mapsto (\ell \otimes \text{id})(\bar{\mathcal{H}}R^{21}) \) extends to a map \( \alpha_h : U_h(g^*) \to U_h(g) \).

(ii) If \( (g, r') \) is nondegenerate, then \( \alpha_h \) is a linear isomorphism, and it restricts to an algebra isomorphism \( C_{1,h} \to Z(U_h(g)) \).

(iii) Proposition 9.4 is true.

**Proof.** We prove part (i). Define \( L_h, R'_h : U_h(g)^* \to U_h(g) \) by

\[
L_h(\xi) = (\xi \otimes \text{id})(\bar{\mathcal{H}}), \quad R'_h(\xi) = (\text{id} \otimes \xi)(\bar{\mathcal{H}}).
\]
According to [Enriquez and Halbout 2003],
\[ h \log R \subset (U_h(g)_0)^{\otimes 2} \subset U_h(g)_0^0 \otimes hU_h(g)_0, \]
so that \( \log R \in U_h(g)_0^0 \otimes U_h(g)_0. \) According to [Enriquez et al. 2003, Appendix], the image of \( \log R \) in \( (m_{G^*}/m_{G^*}^2) \otimes U(g)_0 \) (by reduction mod \( h \) followed by projection) is \( r' \). It follows that \( R \in U_h(g)^\wedge \otimes U_h(g) \), therefore \( L_h \) extends to a map \( U_h(g^*) \to U_h(g) \); this map is necessarily a QUE algebra morphism. The quasitriviality identities imply that the image of \( R \) in \( \Theta_{G^*} \otimes U(g) \) has the form \( \exp(\rho) \), where \( \rho \in m_{G^*} \otimes g \) is a lift of \( r \). It follows that the reduction mod \( h \) of \( L_h \) is the morphism induced by \( g^* \to g, \ell \mapsto (\ell \otimes \text{id})(r) \). In the same way, \( R'_h \) extends to a (anti)morphism \( U_h(g^*) \to U_h(g) \).

Define \( \alpha_h : U_h(g^*) \to U_h(g) \) by
\[ x \mapsto m \circ (L_h \otimes R'_h) \circ \Delta. \]
Then \( \alpha_h \) extends \( \ell \mapsto (\ell \otimes \text{id})(R \otimes R^{2,1}) \).

We prove part (ii). The reduction mod \( h \) of \( \alpha_h \) is \( \alpha \), which is a linear isomorphism; hence \( \alpha \) is a linear isomorphism. The second part is proved as Lemma 9.6.

We prove Proposition 9.4. Assume that \( U_h(g) \) is as in [Etingof and Kazhdan 1996], hence \( U_h(g) \simeq U(g)[h] \) as algebras. Then \( Z(U_h(g)) \simeq Z(U(g))[h] \). Statement (ii) implies that \( \alpha \) induces an isomorphism (mod \( h \))(\( C_{1,h} \to Z(U(g)) \)); here (mod \( h \)) is the reduction modulo \( h \). On the other hand, (mod \( h \))(\( C_{1,h} \subset C_1 \), therefore \( \Theta(Z(U(g))) \subset C_1 \).

The map
\[ \delta - (D \otimes \text{id}) \circ \Delta_0 : U(g^*) \to U(g^*)^{\otimes 2} \]
is filtered, and its associated graded is the dual \( \delta : S(g^*) \to \wedge^2(S(g^*)) \) of the Poisson bracket of \( S(g) \). We have a surjective morphism
\[ S(g)_0 = S(g)/\{g, S(g)\} \twoheadrightarrow S(g)/\{S(g), S(g)\} \]
to the cokernel of this Poisson bracket, hence \( \text{Ker}(\delta) \hookrightarrow (S(g)_0)^* = S(g^*)^0 \). We have \( \text{gr}(C_1) \subset \text{Ker}(\delta) \), hence \( \text{gr}(C_1) \subset S(g^*)^0 \). Now since \( \Theta \) is filtered and its associated graded takes \( \text{gr}(Z(U(g))) \simeq S(g)^{\theta} \) to \( S(g^*)^\theta \), we get \( \text{gr}(C_1) = S(g^*)^\theta \) and \( \Theta(Z(U(g))) = C_1. \)

We denote by \( \Theta_h : Z(U_h(g)) \to U_h(g^*) \) the algebra morphism inverse to \( \alpha_h \), which is to say the QUE algebra version of \( \Theta_A \) defined above.

The image of \( \Theta_h \) is \( C_{1,h} \). When the quantization is as in [Etingof and Kazhdan 1996], \( U_h(g) \simeq U(g)[h] \), so this image is not the same as that of \( \theta_h \), which is \{traces on \( U_h(g) \} = C_{0,h} \). Therefore in this case, the images of \( \theta_h \) and \( \Theta_h \) do not coincide.
10. On the canonical derivation of \( \mathcal{O}_G \).

Let \((\alpha, \mu_\alpha, \delta_\alpha)\) be a finite dimensional Lie bialgebra. Then \(\mathcal{O}_A\) is a Poisson–Lie group, dual to \(U(\alpha)\). Set \(D_\alpha := \mu_\alpha \circ \delta_\alpha\), then \(D_\alpha\) is a derivation of \(U(\alpha)\), such that if \(U_\alpha(a)\) is any quantization of \(U(\alpha)\) with antipode \(S\), then \(D_\alpha = h^{-1}(S^2 - \text{id})|_{h=0}\); see [Drinfeld 1989a]. It follows that the dual derivation \(D^*_\alpha\) of \(\mathcal{O}_A\) has the same property.

When \(a = (g, r')\) is a quasitriangular Lie bialgebra, \(D_\alpha\) is inner, given by \(D_\alpha(x) = -\{\mu(r'), x\}\) for any \(x \in U(g)\); here \(\mu\) is the Lie bracket of \(g\) [Drinfeld 1989a].

**Proposition 10.1.** If \(g\) is a nondegenerate quasitriangular Lie bialgebra, then the derivation \(D^*_g\) of \(\mathcal{O}_G\) is inner, that is, there exists a function \(h \in \mathcal{O}_G\) such that \(D^*_g(f) = \{h, f\}\) for any \(f \in \mathcal{O}_G\).

**Proof:** We assume that \(g\) is the double \(a_+ \oplus a_-\) of a Lie bialgebra \(a_+\); (here \(a_- = a^\ast_+\)); the general case is similar. Then \(g^\ast\) is (as a Lie algebra) the direct sum \(a_+ \oplus a_-\). Let \(A\) be the formal groups corresponding to \(\alpha\). The morphism \(\alpha : U(g^\ast) \to U(g)\) is now \(U(a_+) \otimes U(a_-) \to U(g), x_+ \otimes x_- \mapsto x_+ S(x_-)\). The dual morphism \(\alpha^* : \mathcal{O}_G \to \mathcal{O}_{G^\ast}\) takes \(F \in \mathcal{O}_G\) to \(f \in \mathcal{O}_{G^\ast}\), given by \(f (g_+, g_-) := F(g^\ast_-, g^\ast_+)\).

**Lemma 10.2.** Let \(D^*_g, D^*_\theta\) be the canonical derivations of \(\mathcal{O}_G\) and \(\mathcal{O}_{G^\ast}\). Then \(\alpha^* \circ D^*_g = D^*_\theta \circ \alpha^*\). Moreover, \(D^*_g = L_{\mu(r')} - R_{\mu(r')}\), where \(\mu\) is the Lie bracket of \(g\) and \(L_\alpha, R_\alpha\) \(f(g) = (d/d\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} F(e^{\varepsilon\alpha} g), R_\alpha f(g) = (d/d\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} F(g e^{\varepsilon\alpha})\).

**Proof:** \(D^*_g\) is a coderivation, so \(\Delta_0 : U(g^\ast) \to U(g^\ast)^{\otimes 2}\) intertwines \(D^*_g\) and \(D^*_g \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D^*_g\); \(L\) and \(R\) are Lie bialgebra morphisms, so they intertwine \(D^*_g\) and \(D^*_g\); \(S\) commutes with \(D^*_g\); and \(D^*_g\) is a derivation, so \(m_0\) intertwines \(D^*_g \otimes \text{id} + \text{id} \otimes D^*_g\) with \(D^*_g\). Hence \(\alpha \circ D^*_g = D^*_g \circ \alpha\). The first part follows.

According to [Drinfeld 1989a], \(D_\theta(x) = -\{\mu(r'), x\}\), which implies the second part.

In [Semenov-Tian-Shansky 1985], the image of the Poisson bracket on \(G^\ast\) under the formal isomorphism \(\alpha : G^\ast \to G\) dual to \(\alpha^*\) was computed. Let \(f, h \in \mathcal{O}_{G^\ast}\), and \(F = (\alpha^*)^{-1}(f), H = (\alpha^*)^{-1}(h)\); then
\[
(\alpha^*)^{-1}((f, h))(g) = \langle (d_\mathcal{R} - d_\mathcal{L}) F(g) \otimes d_\mathcal{L} H(g), r' \rangle + \langle (d_\mathcal{R} - d_\mathcal{L}) F(g) \otimes d_\mathcal{R} H(g), (r')^2 \rangle
\]
\[
= \langle (d_\mathcal{L} - d_\mathcal{R}) F(g), L(d_\mathcal{R} H(g)) - R(d_\mathcal{L} H(g)) \rangle,
\]
where \(g \in G\) and \(d_\mathcal{L} F(g), d_\mathcal{R} F(g) \in g^\ast\) are the left and right differentials defined by \(\langle d_\mathcal{L} F(g), a \rangle = (\mathcal{L}_a F)(g), \langle d_\mathcal{R} F(g), a \rangle = (\mathcal{R}_a F)(g)\) for any \(a \in g\).

**Lemma 10.3.** There exists a function \(H \in \mathcal{O}_G\) such that
\[
L(d_\mathcal{R} H(g)) - R(d_\mathcal{L} H(g)) = \mu(r').
\]
Proof: We prove this when $g$ is the double $a_+ \oplus a_-$ of a Lie bialgebra $a_+$. Then set $a = (a_+, a_-)$, where $a_+ \in a_\pm$. We have $g^* = a_+ \oplus a_-$, and we should solve: $d_R H_a(g) = \mu(r')_+ + u_+(g)$, $d_L H_a(g) = \mu(r')_- + u_-(g)$, where $u_\pm(g)$ are functions $G \to a_\pm$. Now $d_R H(g) = \text{Ad}(g)(d_R H(g))$, hence

$$
\mu(r')_+ + u_-(g) = \text{Ad}(g)(\mu(r')_- + u_+(g)).
$$

We decompose $g = g_-g_+^{-1}$, where $g_\pm \in A_\pm = \exp(a_\pm)$; we get

$$
\text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(u_+(g)) - \text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(u_-(g)) = \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_+) - \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-).
$$

Therefore

$$
u_+(g) = \text{Ad}(g_+)(\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_+) - \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-))_+,
$$

and the condition is

$$
d_R H(g) = \text{Ad}(g_+)(\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_-)_+ + \text{Ad}(g_+)(\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_+))_+,
$$

that is,

$$
(10-1) \quad R_a H_a(g) = \{\mu(r')_-, \text{Ad}(g_+)((\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\alpha))_+)\} \\
+ \{\mu(r')_+, \text{Ad}(g_-)((\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\alpha))_-)\}
$$

for any $\alpha \in g$. We denote by $A_\alpha(g)$ the right hand side of (10-1).

We compute $R_\alpha A_\beta - R_\beta A_\alpha$ for $\alpha, \beta \in g$. Recall that $g = g_-g_+^{-1}$; then we have $R_\alpha(g) = g\alpha$, so $R_\alpha(g_+^{-1}) = \pm(\text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\alpha))_\pm g_+^{-1}$. After computations, we find

$$
R_\alpha A_\beta - R_\beta A_\alpha = A[\beta, \alpha] + B_{\alpha, \beta},
$$

where

$$
B_{\alpha, \beta}(g) = -\{[\text{Ad}^*(g_-^{-1})(\beta)_+], (\text{Ad}^*(g_-^{-1})(\alpha)_+)\}, \text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_-)_- \\
+ \{[\text{Ad}^*(g_-^{-1})(\beta)_-], (\text{Ad}^*(g_-^{-1})(\alpha)_-)\}, \text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})(\mu(r')_+)_+].
$$

Now for $u, v \in a_+$, we have

$$
\{[u, v], \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-)_-\} = \{[u, v], \text{Ad}(g_+^{-1})(\mu(r')_-)\} \\
= \{[\text{Ad}^*(g_+)(u), \text{Ad}^*(g_+)(v)], \mu(r')_-\} \\
= \{[\text{Ad}^*(g_+)(u), \text{Ad}^*(g_+)(v)], \mu(r')\} \\
= \{\text{Ad}^*(g_+)(u) \otimes \text{Ad}^*(g_+)(v), \delta(\mu(r'))\} = 0,
$$

since $\delta(\mu(r')) = 0$ [Drinfeld 1989a]. In the same way, the second term of $B_{\alpha, \beta}(g)$ vanishes. Hence the system (10-1) has a solution (it is unique if we impose that $H$ vanishes at the origin).
We continue the proof of the proposition. If \( h = -\alpha^*(H) \) with \( H \) as in Lemma 10.3, and for any \( f \in \mathbb{C}_{G^*} \), we have

\[
D_{g^*}(f) = \alpha^*(D_{g^*}(F)) = \alpha^*((L_{\mu(g)} - R_{\mu(g)})(F)) \\
= \alpha^*((dL_\mu(g) - dR_\mu(g))(g), R(dL_\mu(g) - L(dR_\mu(g)))) = \{h, f\}. \quad \square
\]

**Appendix. Proof that associators can be made admissible**

In [Enriquez and Halbout 2004], Proposition 3.2, statement (2) should read “Assume that \( x \in U' \) and for any trees \( R... \)”. This affects Proposition 4.5 of the same paper, because the proof implicitly relies on the statement of Proposition 3.2 without the assumption \( x \in U' \). Below we prove a particular case of Proposition 4.5 (the general case is similar).

**Proposition A.1.** Let \( g \) be a Lie algebra and let \( \Phi \in U(g) \otimes^3 \mathbb{h} \) be an invariant solution of the pentagon equation (2-1), such that \( \varepsilon(i)(\Phi) = 1^{\otimes 2} \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3 \), \( \Phi = 1^{\otimes 3} + O(h) \) and \( \text{Alt}(\Phi) = O(h^2) \). Then there exists an invariant twist \( F \) in \( U(g) \otimes^2 \mathbb{h} \) such that \( \varepsilon(i)(F) = 1 \) for \( i = 1, 2, F = 1^{\otimes 2} + O(h) \), and

\[
F \Phi = F^{2, 3} F^{1, 23} \Phi (F^{1, 2} F^{12, 3})^{-1}
\]

is admissible, that is, \( h \log F \Phi \in (U(g) \mathbb{h})^{\otimes 3} \).

**Proof.** We will construct \( F \) as a product \( \cdots F_2 F_1 \), where \( F_n \) belongs to \( 1^{\otimes 2} + h^i U_0^{\otimes 2} \) and is such that if \( \Phi_n := F_n \cdots F_1 \Phi \), then \( h \log \Phi_n \in (U_0')^{\otimes 2} + h^{n+2} U_0^{\otimes 3} \). Here \( U = U(g) \mathbb{h} \) and the index 0 denotes the augmentation ideals.

We first construct \( F_1 \). Expand \( \Phi = 1^{\otimes 3} + h \phi_1 + \cdots \), then \( d(\phi_1) \) and \( \text{Alt}(\phi_1) \) vanish, hence \( \phi_1 = d(\psi_1) \), where \( \psi_1 \in (U_0')^{\otimes 2} \) (here \( d \) is the Cartier differential of \( (U_0')^{\otimes} \)). We then set \( F_1 = 1^{\otimes 2} + h \psi_1 \); we get \( \Phi_1 = 1^{\otimes 3} + h^2 \phi_2 + \cdots \). Then \( h \log \Phi_1 \in h^3 U_0^{\otimes 3} \).

Now \( d(\phi_2) = 0 \), so there exists \( \psi_2 \in (U_0')^{\otimes 2} \) such that \( \phi_2 = Z + d(\psi_2) \), where \( Z \in \Lambda^3(g) \mathbb{h} \). Set \( F_2 := 1^{\otimes 2} + h^2 \psi_2 \); we get

\[
h \log \Phi_2 \in h^3 Z + h^4 U_0^{\otimes 3} \subset (U_0')^{\otimes 3} + h^4 U_0^{\otimes 3}.
\]

Let \( n \geq 3 \). Assume that we have constructed \( F_1, \ldots, F_{n-1} \), and we now construct \( F_n \). By assumption, \( \Phi_n-1 \in 1^{\otimes 3} + h U_0^{\otimes 3} \) is such that

\[
\varphi_{n-1} := h \log \Phi_{n-1} \in (U_0')^{\otimes 3} + h^{n+1} U_0^{\otimes 3}.
\]

**Lemma A.2.** The quotient \( (U' + h^n U)/(U' + h^{n+1} U) \) identifies with \( U(g)/U(g) \mathbb{g} \). In the same way, the quotient \( (U_0^{\otimes k} + h^n U_0^{\otimes k})/(U_0^{\otimes k} + h^{n+1} U_0^{\otimes k}) \) identifies with \( U(g_0^{\otimes k})/(U_0^{\otimes k}) \mathbb{g} \) and the quotient of \( g \)-invariant subspaces

\[
(U_0^{\otimes k} + h^n U_0^{\otimes k})^\mathbb{g} / (U_0^{\otimes k} + h^{n+1} U_0^{\otimes k})^\mathbb{g}
\]
identifies with \((U(g)^{\otimes k})^\Omega / (U(g)^{\otimes k})^{\leq n}\).

The inverse of the first isomorphism takes the class of \(\beta \in U(g)\) to the class of \(h^n \beta \in U' + h^n U\). Let \(\bar{\alpha} \in (U(g)^{\otimes 3})^\Omega / ((U(g)^{\otimes 3})^{\leq n+1})^\Omega\) be the image of the class of \(\varphi_{n-1}\) under the isomorphism above. Let \(\alpha \in (U(g)^{\otimes 3})^\Omega\) be a representative of \(\bar{\alpha}\). Then we have \(\varphi_{n-1} = \varphi + h^{n+1} \alpha\), where

\[
\varphi \in (U(g)^{\otimes 3})^\Omega + h^{n+2} U_{0}^{\otimes 3}.
\]

Now \(\varphi_{n-1}\) satisfies the pentagon equation, so

(A-2) \((-\varphi - h^{n+1} \alpha)^{1,2,3,4} \ast_h (\varphi + h^{n+1} \alpha)^{2,3,4} \ast_h (\varphi + h^{n+1} \alpha)^{1,23,4} \ast_h (\varphi + h^{n+1} \alpha)^{1,2,3} \ast_h (\varphi - h^{n+1} \alpha)^{12,3,4} = 0,
\]

where \(a \ast_h b\) is the CBH product for the Lie bracket \([a, b]_h = [a, b] / h\).

**Lemma A.3.** Assume that \(n \geq 2\). If \(f_1, f_2 \in (U_{0}')^2 + h^{n+1} U_0\) and \(g, h \in h^n U_0\), then \((f_1 + g) \ast_h (f_2 + h) = g + h \mod (U_{0}')^2 + h^{n+1} U_0\).

**Proof:** The contribution of the degree 1 part of the CBH series is \(f_1 + g + f_2 + h\), which gives \(g + h \mod (U_{0}')^2 + h^{n+1} U_0\).

We now prove that \([[U_{0}', U_{0}^2 + h^n U_0]_h \subset (U_{0}')^2 + h^{n+1} U_0\]. Indeed, we have \([U_{0}', U_{0}^2 + h^n U_0]_h \subset U_{0}'\), hence \([[U_{0}', U_{0}^2]_h \subset (U_{0}')^2\]; \([h^n U_0, h^n U_0]_h \subset h^{2n-1} U_0 \subset h^{n+1} U_0\); and \([U_{0}', h^n U_0]_h \subset h^n U_0\), since \(U_{0}' \subset h U_0\), so that

\[
[[U_{0}', U_{0}^2, h^n U_0]_h \subset h^n (U_0 U_{0}^2 + U_{0} U_0) \subset h^{n+1} (U_0)^2,
\]

again because \(U_{0}' \subset h U_0\).

It follows that the contributions of all the higher degree parts of the CBH series belong to \((U_{0}')^2 + h^{n+1} U_0\). This implies the lemma.

We continue the proof of the proposition. **Lemma A.3** implies that the image of (A-2) in \((U^{\otimes 4} + h^{n+1} U^{\otimes 4}) / (U^{\otimes 4} + h^{n+2} U^{\otimes 4}) = U(g)^{\otimes 4} / (U(g)^{\otimes 4})^{\leq n+2}\) gives \(d(\bar{\alpha}) = 0\), where

\[
d : U(g)^{\otimes 3} / (U(g)^{\otimes 3})^{\leq n+2} \to U(g)^{\otimes 4} / (U(g)^{\otimes 4})^{\leq n+2}
\]

is the map induced by the Cartier differential.

According to [Drinfeld 1989b], the cohomology of the complex \(C^2 \to C^3 \to C^4\) vanishes, where \(C^k = (U(g)^{\otimes k})^\Omega / (U(g)^{\otimes k})^{\leq n+2}\).

It follows that there exists \(\tilde{\beta} \in C^2\), such that \(\bar{\alpha} = d(\tilde{\beta})\). Let \(\beta \in (U(g)^{\otimes 2})^\Omega\) be a representative of \(\tilde{\beta}\). Set \(F_h := \exp(h^n \beta)\) and \(\Phi_h = F_h \Phi_{h-1}\).

We get

\[
\varphi_h = f_{h}^{2,3} \ast_h f_{h}^{1,23} \ast_h \varphi_{h-1} \ast_h (-f_{h}^{12,3}) \ast_h (-f_{h}^{1,2}),
\]
where \( f_n = \bar{h}^n + 1 \beta \). According to Lemma A.3, the class of \( \varphi_n \) in
\[
\left( (U_0')^{\otimes 3} + h^{n+2}U_0^{\otimes 3} \right) / \left( (U_0')^{\otimes 3} + h^{n+2}U_0^{\otimes 3} \right)
\]
is \( \bar{\alpha} - d(\bar{\beta}) = 0 \), hence \( \varphi_n \in (U_0')^{\otimes 3} + h^{n+2}U_0^{\otimes 3} \). This proves the induction step. □
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