

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

POWERS OF THETA FUNCTIONS

HENG HUAT CHAN AND SHAUN COOPER

POWERS OF THETA FUNCTIONS

HENG HUAT CHAN AND SHAUN COOPER

Dedicated to Michael Hirschhorn on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday.

The Ramanujan–Mordell Theorem for sums of an even number of squares is extended to other quadratic forms. A number of explicit examples is given. As an application, the value of the convolution sum

$$\sum_{1 \leq m < n/23} \sigma(m)\sigma(n - 23m)$$

is determined, where $\sigma(m)$ denotes the sum of the divisors of m .

1. Introduction

Throughout this work let τ be a complex number with positive imaginary part, and let $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Dedekind’s eta-function is defined by

$$(1) \quad \eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j).$$

Let

$$z = z(\tau) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \Lambda(\tau) = \frac{\eta(2\tau)^{12}}{z^6}.$$

The following result was stated by S. Ramanujan [1916; 2000, p. 159, eq. (14)] and first proved by L. Mordell in [1917].

Theorem 1.1 (Ramanujan–Mordell). *Suppose k is a positive integer. Then*

$$z^k = F_k(\tau) + z^k \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (k-1)/4} c_{j,k} \Lambda^j,$$

MSC2000: primary 11E25; secondary 33E05, 11F11, 05A19.

Keywords: sum of squares, Ramanujan, convolution sum, modular form, Eisenstein series.

The first author is funded by National University of Singapore Academic Research Fund R146000103112.

where $c_{j,k}$ are constants that depend on j and k , and $F_k(\tau)$ is an Eisenstein series given by:

$$F_1(\tau) = 1 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^j}{1+q^{2j}} = 1 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1} q^{2j-1}}{1-q^{2j-1}},$$

and for $k \geq 1$,

$$F_{2k}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{4k(-1)^k}{(2^{2k}-1)B_{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{2k-1}q^j}{1-(-1)^{k+j}q^j}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$F_{2k+1}(\tau) = 1 + \frac{4(-1)^k}{E_{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(2j)^{2k}q^j}{1+q^{2j}} - \frac{(-1)^{k+j}(2j-1)^{2k}q^{2j-1}}{1-q^{2j-1}} \right).$$

Here B_k and E_k are the Bernoulli numbers and Euler numbers, respectively, defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k!} x^k \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{sech} x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_k}{k!} x^k.$$

For the values $k = 1, 2, 3$ and 4 , the condition $1 \leq j \leq (k-1)/4$ is empty, and therefore [Theorem 1.1](#) gives a representation of z , z^2 , z^3 and z^4 solely in terms of an Eisenstein series. These are the familiar Lambert series for sums of 2, 4, 6 and 8 squares, originally due to C. G. J. Jacobi [1969]. The result for $k = 5$ was known in part to G. Eisenstein (without proof) [1988, p. 501], and stated in full by J. Liouville (without proof) in [1866]. The result for $k = 6$ was known in part to Liouville (without proof) in [1860; 1864]. The results for $1 \leq k \leq 9$ were proved by J. W. L. Glaisher in a series of papers culminating in [1907]. The general statement of [Theorem 1.1](#) is due to Ramanujan (without proof) [2000, Eqs. (145)–(147)], and the first proof is due to Mordell in [1917]. Other proofs of [Theorem 1.1](#) have been given by R. A. Rankin in [1977, pp. 241–244] and S. Cooper in [2001].

The goal of this work is to prove the analogue of the Ramanujan–Mordell Theorem for which the quadratic form $m^2 + n^2$ in the definition of z is replaced with $m^2 + mn + n^2$, $m^2 + mn + 2n^2$, $m^2 + mn + 3n^2$, $m^2 + mn + 6n^2$, or $2m^2 + mn + 3n^2$. Before stating the result we make some definitions. For $k \geq 1$, define the normalized Eisenstein series by

$$(2) \quad E_{2k}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{4k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{2k-1}q^j}{1-q^j},$$

where B_{2k} denotes the Bernoulli numbers. Let p be an odd prime. The generalized Bernoulli numbers $B_{k,p}$ are defined by

$$(3) \quad \frac{x}{e^{px} - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) e^{jx} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{k,p} \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

where $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$ is the Legendre symbol. Let k be a positive integer which satisfies

$$k \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{2}.$$

The generalized Eisenstein series $E_k^0(\tau; \chi_p)$ and $E_k^\infty(\tau; \chi_p)$ are defined by

$$E_k^0(\tau; \chi_p) = \delta_{k,1} - \frac{2k}{B_{k,p}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{k-1}}{1 - q^{pj}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right) q^{j\ell}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$E_k^\infty(\tau; \chi_p) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_{k,p}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) \frac{j^{k-1} q^j}{1 - q^j},$$

where $\delta_{m,n}$ is the Kronecker delta function, defined by

$$\delta_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n. \end{cases}$$

If p is a prime of the form $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, let

$$(4) \quad F_1(\tau; p) = E_1^\infty(\tau; \chi_p),$$

and for $k \geq 1$, let

$$(5) \quad F_{2k}(\tau; p) = \frac{E_{2k}(\tau) + (-p)^k E_{2k}(p\tau)}{1 + (-p)^k},$$

$$(6) \quad F_{2k+1}(\tau; p) = E_{2k+1}^\infty(\tau; \chi_p) + (-p)^k E_{2k+1}^0(\tau; \chi_p).$$

For $p = 3, 7, 11$ or 23 , let

$$(7) \quad z_p = z_p(\tau) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2 + mn + (p+1)n^2/4}$$

and

$$(8) \quad \Lambda_p = \Lambda_p(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(p\tau)}{z_p} \right)^{24/(p+1)}.$$

Furthermore, let

$$(9) \quad z'_{23} = z'_{23}(\tau) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2m^2+mn+3n^2}$$

and

$$(10) \quad \Lambda'_{23} = \Lambda'_{23}(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(23\tau)}{z'_{23}}.$$

The analogue of the Ramanujan–Mordell Theorem, and the main result of this work, is:

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose $p = 3, 7, 11$ or 23 and let k be a positive integer. Let $F_k(\tau; p)$, z_p and Λ_p be defined by (4)–(8). Then*

$$z_p^k = F_k(\tau; p) + z_p^k \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p+1)k/24} c_{p,k,j} \Lambda_p^j,$$

where $c_{p,k,j}$ are numerical constants that depend only on p, k and j .

A similar result holds for z'_{23} and Λ'_{23} defined by (9) and (10), namely

$$z'_{23}{}^k = F_k(\tau; 23) + z'_{23}{}^k \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} a_{k,j} \Lambda'_{23}{}^j,$$

where $a_{k,j}$ are numerical constants that depend only on k and j .

A proof of [Theorem 1.2](#) will be given in [Section 2](#). In the remainder of this section we describe some special cases of [Theorem 1.2](#).

Example 1. For $k = 1$ and $p = 3, 7$ or 11 , [Theorem 1.2](#) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+n^2} &= 1 + 6 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{3} \frac{q^j}{1-q^j}, \\ \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2} &= 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{7} \frac{q^j}{1-q^j}, \\ \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+3n^2} &= 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{11} \frac{q^j}{1-q^j}. \end{aligned}$$

These are equivalent to instances of a general theorem of Dirichlet; see [Landau 1958, Theorem 204]. When $k = 1$ and $p = 23$, Theorem 1.2 gives

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+6n^2} = 1 + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{23} \frac{q^j}{1-q^j} + \frac{4}{3} q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)(1-q^{23j}),$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2m^2+mn+3n^2} = 1 + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{23} \frac{q^j}{1-q^j} - \frac{2}{3} q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)(1-q^{23j}),$$

and these were proved by F. van der Blij in [1952]. They may be rearranged to give

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+6n^2} + 2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2m^2+mn+3n^2} = 3 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{23} \frac{q^j}{1-q^j},$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+6n^2} - \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2m^2+mn+3n^2} = 2q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)(1-q^{23j}).$$

The first of these is equivalent to another instance of Dirichlet's theorem [Landau 1958, Theorem 204], and the second formula was noted by J.-P. Serre in [1977, p. 242].

Example 2. For the case $p = 3$, results for $1 \leq k \leq 4$ were given (without proof) by Ramanujan [Andrews and Berndt 2005, pp. 402–403], and results for $3 \leq k \leq 6$ were given by H. Petersson in [1982, p. 90]. For $2 \leq k \leq 6$, these results are:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+n^2} \right)^2 &= 1 + 12 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j q^j}{1-q^j} - 36 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j q^{3j}}{1-q^{3j}}, \\ \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+n^2} \right)^3 &= 1 - 9 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{3} \frac{j^2 q^j}{1-q^j} + 27 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^2 q^j}{1+q^j+q^{2j}}, \\ \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+n^2} \right)^4 &= 1 + 24 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^3 q^j}{1-q^j} + 216 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^3 q^{3j}}{1-q^{3j}}, \\ \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+n^2} \right)^5 &= 1 + 3 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{3} \frac{j^4 q^j}{1-q^j} + 27 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^4 q^j}{1+q^j+q^{2j}}, \\ \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+n^2} \right)^6 &= 1 + \frac{252}{13} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^5 q^j}{1-q^j} - \frac{6804}{13} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^5 q^{3j}}{1-q^{3j}} \\ &\quad + \frac{216}{13} q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)^6 (1-q^{3j})^6. \end{aligned}$$

Results for $p = 3$, $1 \leq k \leq 20$, were given by G. Lomadze in [1989a; 1989b]. Lomadze's expansions for $6 \leq j \leq 20$ are different from ours. For example, Lomadze's formula for $k = 6$ has

$$\frac{1}{12} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{x_1^2+x_1y_1+y_1^2+x_2^2+x_2y_2+y_2^2=n} 9x_1^4 - 9nx_1^2 + n^2 \right) q^n$$

in place of

$$q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^6 (1 - q^{3j})^6,$$

and Lomadze's formulas become more complicated as k increases.

Example 3. For $p = 7$, the cases $k = 2$ and 3 of [Theorem 1.2](#) give

$$(11) \quad \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2} \right)^2 = 1 + 4 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{jq^j}{1 - q^j} - 28 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{jq^{7j}}{1 - q^{7j}}$$

and

$$(12) \quad \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2} \right)^3 \\ = 1 - \frac{7}{8} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \binom{j}{7} \frac{j^2 q^j}{1 - q^j} + \frac{49}{8} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^2 (q^j + q^{2j} - q^{3j} + q^{4j} - q^{5j} - q^{6j})}{1 - q^{7j}} \\ + \frac{3}{4} q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^3 (1 - q^{7j})^3.$$

The identity (11) was given by Ramanujan; see [[Andrews and Berndt 2005](#), p. 405, Entry 18.2.15]. See [[Chan and Ong 1999](#); [Cooper and Toh 2008](#); [Liu 2003](#)] and [[Williams 2006](#)] for other proofs.

The identity (12) is a consequence of the formulas for $E_3^\infty(q; \chi_7)$ and $E_3^0(q; \chi_7)$ in [[Chan and Cooper 2008](#)]. In [[Chan et al. 2008](#)], it was shown that

$$q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^3 (1 - q^{7j})^3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(m + n \left(\frac{1 + i\sqrt{7}}{2} \right) \right)^2 q^{m^2+mn+2n^2}.$$

Another result for z_7^3 can be obtained by combining two of Ramanujan's results, [[Andrews and Berndt 2005](#), p. 404, Entry 18.2.14] and [[Berndt 1991](#), p. 467, Entry 5 (i)]:

$$(13) \quad \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2} \right)^3 \\ = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^j)^7}{(1-q^{7j})} + 13q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)^3 (1-q^{7j})^3 + 49q^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{7j})^7}{(1-q^j)}.$$

Other proofs of (13) have been given by H. H. Chan and Y. L. Ong in [1999, Lemma 2.2] and Z.-G. Liu in [2003].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. We shall give a proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 2. The proof depends on three transformation formulas (Lemmas 2.1–2.3) for $\Gamma_0(p)$, as well as a result that says certain bounded functions must be constant (Lemma 2.4). A proof of the identity (13) using the same technique is also given. Some applications to convolution sums are given in Section 3.

2. Proofs

Let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1 \right\}, \\ \Gamma_0(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, ad - bc = 1, c \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

For $p = 3, 7, 11$ or 23 , define

$$(14) \quad \eta_p(\tau) = (\eta(\tau)\eta(p\tau))^{24/(p+1)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 hinges on the following four lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $p = 3, 7, 11$ or 23 and let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$. Then, for $\eta_p(\tau)$ defined by (14), we have*

$$\eta_p\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^{24/(p+1)} (c\tau + d)^{24/(p+1)} \eta_p(\tau)$$

and

$$\eta_p\left(\frac{-1}{\tau\sqrt{p}}\right) = (-i\tau)^{24/(p+1)} \eta_p\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}}\right).$$

Proof. These follow from the transformation formula for the Dedekind eta-function [Apostol 1990, p. 52, Theorem 3.4]. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $p = 3, 7, 11$ or 23 and let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$. Then, for $z_p(\tau)$ defined by (7), we have*

$$z_p\left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{p}\right) (c\tau + d) z_p(\tau)$$

and

$$z_p \left(\frac{-1}{\tau \sqrt{p}} \right) = -i \tau z_p \left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}} \right).$$

The same transformation formulas hold when z_{23} is replaced with z'_{23} .

Proof. The first result follows from [Schoeneberg 1974, p. 217, Theorem 4] by taking $r = 1$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & (p+1)/2 \end{pmatrix}$, $h = (0, 0)$, $k = 0$ and $P_k = 1$. The corresponding result for z'_{23} follows by taking $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$, with the other parameters being the same as for the case $p = 23$.

The second result is a direct consequence of [Schoeneberg 1974, p. 205, (5)]. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ be prime and let n be a positive integer. Let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p)$. Then for $F_k(\tau; p)$ defined by (4)–(6), we have*

$$F_k \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d}; p \right) = \left(\frac{d}{p} \right)^k (c\tau + d)^k F_k(\tau; p)$$

and

$$F_k \left(\frac{-1}{\tau \sqrt{p}}; p \right) = (-i\tau)^k F_k \left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}}; p \right).$$

Proof. For odd values of k , these follow from [Cooper 2008, Theorem 6.1] or [Kolberg 1968, (1.8)–(1.12)]. For even values of k with $k \geq 4$, these follow from the well-known transformation formulas for $E_{2k}(\tau)$, for example, see [Serre 1973, pp. 83, 92, 95–96]. For $k = 2$, the results are most easily proved by appealing to the transformation formulas for the function $\left(\frac{\eta(p\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right)^{24}$ in [Apostol 1990, pp. 84–85, Theorems 4.7 and 4.8], and then applying logarithmic differentiation. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f(\tau)$ be analytic and bounded in the upper half plane $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$, and suppose it satisfies the transformation property*

$$(15) \quad f \left(\frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = f(\tau) \quad \text{for all} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p).$$

Then f is constant.

Proof. This is Theorem 4.4 in [Apostol 1990, p. 79]. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $p = 3, 7, 11$ or 23 , and let k be a positive integer. Let ℓ be the smallest integer that satisfies $\frac{24\ell}{p+1} \geq k$. Consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\tau) &= \varphi_{p,k}(\tau) = \frac{F_k(\tau; p)}{(z_p(\tau))^k} \left(\frac{z_p(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(p\tau)} \right)^{24\ell/(p+1)} \quad \text{and} \\ \psi(\tau) &= \psi_p(\tau) = \left(\frac{z_p(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(p\tau)} \right)^{24/(p+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 2.1–2.3, $\varphi(\tau)$ and $\psi(\tau)$ satisfy the transformation property (15). Furthermore, φ and ψ are both analytic in the upper half plane $0 < \text{Im}(\tau) < \infty$, as $\eta(\tau)$ does not vanish in this region. Let us analyze the behavior at $\tau = i\infty$. From the q -expansions, we find that

$$\varphi(\tau) = \frac{(1 + O(q))}{(1 + O(q))^k} \left(\frac{1 + O(q)}{q + O(q^2)} \right)^\ell = q^{-\ell} + O(q^{-\ell+1}) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow i\infty.$$

Therefore $\varphi(\tau)$ has a pole of order ℓ at $i\infty$. Similarly, we find that $\psi(\tau)$ has a pole of order 1 at $i\infty$. It follows that there exist constants b_1, \dots, b_ℓ , such that the function

$$(16) \quad \lambda(\tau) := \varphi(\tau) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} b_j (\psi(\tau))^j$$

has no pole at $i\infty$. That is to say,

$$\lambda(\tau) = b_0 + O(q) \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow i\infty$$

for some constant b_0 . Let us consider the behavior of $\lambda(\tau)$ at $\tau = 0$. By the second result in each of Lemmas 2.1–2.3, we find that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{-1}{\tau\sqrt{p}}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi\left(\frac{-1}{\tau\sqrt{p}}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\lambda(\tau) = \lambda\left(\frac{-1}{p\tau}\right) \longrightarrow b_0 \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from the description of the fundamental region for $\Gamma_0(p)$ given in [Apostol 1990, p. 76, Theorem 4.2] that $\lambda(\tau)$ is bounded in the upper half plane. Hence by Lemma 2.4, $\lambda(\tau)$ is constant, that is, $\lambda(\tau) \equiv b_0$. Therefore, from (16) we have

$$\varphi(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j (\psi(\tau))^j.$$

Using the fact that $\psi(\tau) = 1/\Lambda_p(\tau)$, this is equivalent to

$$F_k(\tau; p) = z_p^k \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j \Lambda_p^{\ell-j} = z_p^k \sum_{0 \leq j \leq (p+1)k/24} c_j \Lambda_p^j,$$

where $c_j = b_{\ell-j}$. Letting $q = 0$ on both sides we deduce that $c_0 = 1$.

If we replace z_{23} and Λ_{23} by z'_{23} and Λ'_{23} , respectively, at every step in the proof, we establish the result for z'_{23} and Λ'_{23} .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. \square

Remarks. For $p = 3, 7, 11$ or 23 , the genus of the normalizer of $\Gamma_0(p)$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ (denoted by $\Gamma_0(p)_+$) is 0. It turns out that for each p , the field of functions invariant under $\Gamma_0(p)_+$ is generated by $\psi_p(\tau)$, which has a simple pole at $\tau = i\infty$. Since $\varphi_{p,k}(\tau)$ has a pole of order ℓ at $\tau = i\infty$ and $\varphi_{p,k}(\tau)$ is a function on $\Gamma_0(p)_+$, it follows that $\varphi_{p,k}(\tau)$ is a polynomial in $\psi_p(\tau)$ with degree exactly ℓ . This explains the existence of relation (16).

The identity (13) may be proved similarly.

Proof of (13). Let

$$F(\tau) = \frac{z_7^3}{\eta^3(\tau)\eta^3(7\tau)} \quad \text{and} \quad G(\tau) = \frac{\eta^4(\tau)}{\eta^4(7\tau)}.$$

Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 imply $F(\tau)$ satisfies the transformation formula (15). Furthermore, [Apostol 1990, p. 87, Theorem 4.9] implies that $G(\tau)$ also satisfies the transformation formula (15). The q -expansions are

$$(17) \quad F(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad G(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad \tau \rightarrow i\infty.$$

Hence $F(\tau)$ and $G(\tau)$ both have a pole of order 1 at $\tau = i\infty$.

By the second parts of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and by the transformation formula for the Dedekind eta-function [Apostol 1990, p. 52, Theorem 3.4], we have

$$(18) \quad F\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}\right) = F(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad G\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}\right) = \frac{49}{G(\tau)}.$$

Therefore at the point $\tau = 0$, $F(\tau)$ has a pole of order 1 and $G(\tau)$ has a zero of order 1.

Let

$$H(\tau) := F(\tau) - aG(\tau) - \frac{b}{G(\tau)},$$

where a and b are constants that will be chosen so that $H(\tau)$ has no pole at 0 or $i\infty$. In order for there to be no pole at $\tau = i\infty$, (17) implies $a = 1$. In order for there to be no pole at $\tau = 0$, (17) and (18) imply $b = 49$. It follows that the function $H(\tau)$ with these values of a and b is bounded in the upper half plane, and Lemma 2.4 implies that it is constant. That is,

$$\frac{z_7^3}{\eta^3(\tau)\eta^3(7\tau)} = c + \frac{\eta^4(\tau)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} + 49 \frac{\eta^4(7\tau)}{\eta^4(\tau)},$$

for some constant c . If we multiply by $\eta^3(\tau)\eta^3(7\tau)$ and compare coefficients of q on both sides, we find that $c = 13$. This completes the proof of (13). \square

3. Application to convolution sums

Let $\sigma_j(n)$ denote the sum of the j -th powers of the divisors of n , and let $\sigma(n) = \sigma_1(n)$. The convolution sum

$$W_k(n) = \sum_{1 \leq m < n/k} \sigma(m)\sigma(n - km)$$

has been evaluated for $1 \leq k \leq 14$ and $k = 16, 18$ and 24 . See [Alaca et al. 2007] and [Royer 2007] for references. In this section, we show how [Theorem 1.2](#) leads to an evaluation of $W_k(n)$ for the cases $k = 3, 7, 11$ and 23 . The case $k = 23$ is new. Let

$$\begin{aligned} P(q) &= E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j q^j}{1 - q^j}, \\ Q(q) &= E_4(\tau) = 1 + 240 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^3 q^j}{1 - q^j}, \\ S(q) &= -\frac{q}{24} \frac{d}{dq} P(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^2 q^j}{(1 - q^j)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.1. *For $p = 3, 7, 11$ and 23 we have*

$$P(q)P(q^p) = \frac{1}{p^2 + 1} (Q(q) + p^2 Q(q^p)) - \frac{144}{p} (S(q) + p^2 S(q^p)) - 576 z_p^4 u_p(\Lambda_p),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u_3(\Lambda_3) &= 0, \\ u_7(\Lambda_7) &= \frac{1}{70} \Lambda_7, \\ u_{11}(\Lambda_{11}) &= \frac{1}{671} (15\Lambda_{11} - 17\Lambda_{11}^2), \\ u_{23}(\Lambda_{23}) &= \frac{1}{2438} (77\Lambda_{23} - 222\Lambda_{23}^2 + 201\Lambda_{23}^3 - 30\Lambda_{23}^4). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By [Theorem 1.2](#) with $k = 2$ and 4 , we have

$$(19) \quad \frac{pP(q^p) - P(q)}{p - 1} = z_p^2 \left(1 - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p+1)/12} c_{p,j} \Lambda_p^j \right),$$

$$(20) \quad \frac{p^2 Q(q^p) + Q(q)}{p^2 + 1} = z_p^4 \left(1 - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p+1)/6} d_{p,j} \Lambda_p^j \right),$$

for some constants $c_{p,j}$ and $d_{p,j}$. If we square (19) and subtract the result from (20), we obtain

$$\frac{p^2 Q(q^p) + Q(q)}{p^2 + 1} - \frac{(pP(q^p) - P(q))^2}{(p - 1)^2} = z_p^4 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p+1)/6} d'_{p,j} \Lambda_p^j,$$

for some constants $d'_{p,j}$. This may be rewritten as

$$P(q)P(q^p) = \frac{1}{2p}(p^2 P^2(q^p) + P^2(q)) - \frac{(p-1)^2}{2p(p^2+1)}(p^2 Q(q^p) + Q(q)) \\ + z_p^4 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p+1)/6} d''_{p,j} \Lambda_p^j,$$

for some constants $d''_{p,j}$. Now use the result (see [Chan 2007; Glaisher 1885] or [Ramanujan 2000, p. 142, Eq. (30)])

$$P^2(q) = Q(q) - 288S(q)$$

to get

$$P(q)P(q^p) = \frac{1}{p^2+1}(Q(q) + p^2 Q(q^p)) - \frac{144}{p}(S(q) + p^2 S(q^p)) \\ + z_p^4 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq (p+1)/6} d''_{p,j} \Lambda_p^j.$$

The values of the coefficients $d''_{p,j}$ may be determined by expanding in powers of q and equating coefficients of q^j for $1 \leq j \leq (p+1)/6$. In this way we obtain the polynomials $u_p(\Lambda_p)$ given in the statement of the theorem. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 3.2. For $p = 3, 7, 11$ and 23 we have

$$W_p(n) = \frac{5}{12(p^2+1)} \left(\sigma_3(n) + p^2 \sigma_3\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \right) \\ + \left(\frac{1}{24} - \frac{n}{4p} \right) \sigma(n) + \left(\frac{1}{24} - \frac{n}{4} \right) \sigma\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) - c_p(n).$$

Here $c_p(n)$ is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_p(n) q^n = z_p^4 u_p(\Lambda_p),$$

and $u_p(\Lambda_p)$ is as in [Theorem 3.1](#).

Proof. Equate coefficients of q^n on both sides of the identity in [Theorem 3.1](#). \square

References

- [Alaca et al. 2007] A. Alaca, Ş. Alaca, and K. S. Williams, “Evaluation of the convolution sums $\sum_{l+18m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ and $\sum_{2l+9m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ ”, *Int. Math. Forum* **2**:1 (2007), 45–68. [MR 2007a:11052](#) [Zbl 05151598](#)
- [Andrews and Berndt 2005] G. E. Andrews and B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan’s lost notebook. Part I*, Springer, New York, 2005. [MR 2005m:11001](#) [Zbl 1075.11001](#)

- [Apostol 1990] T. M. Apostol, *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **41**, Springer, New York, 1990. [MR 90j:11001](#) [Zbl 0697.10023](#)
- [Berndt 1991] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's notebooks*, vol. III, Springer, New York, 1991. [MR 92j:01069](#) [Zbl 0733.11001](#)
- [van der Blij 1952] F. van der Blij, “Binary quadratic forms of discriminant -23 ”, *Indagationes Math.* **14** (1952), 498–503. [MR 14,623d](#) [Zbl 0047.28202](#)
- [Chan 2007] H. H. Chan, “Triple product identity, quintuple product identity and Ramanujan's differential equations for the classical Eisenstein series”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135**:7 (2007), 1987–1992. [MR 2007m:11027](#) [Zbl 1111.11024](#)
- [Chan and Cooper 2008] H. H. Chan and S. Cooper, “Eisenstein series and theta functions to the septic base”, *J. Number Theory* **128**:3 (2008), 680–699.
- [Chan and Ong 1999] H. H. Chan and Y. L. Ong, “On Eisenstein series and $\sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2}$ ”, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**:6 (1999), 1735–1744. [MR 99i:11029](#) [Zbl 0922.11039](#)
- [Chan et al. 2008] H. H. Chan, S. Cooper, and W.-C. Liaw, “On $\eta^3(a\tau)\eta^3(b\tau)$ with $a + b = 8$ ”, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* (2008). To appear.
- [Cooper 2001] S. Cooper, “On sums of an even number of squares, and an even number of triangular numbers: an elementary approach based on Ramanujan's ${}_1\psi_1$ summation formula”, pp. 115–137 in *q-series with applications to combinatorics, number theory, and physics* (Urbana, IL, 2000), edited by B. C. Berndt and K. Ono, *Contemp. Math.* **291**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001. [MR 2002k:11046](#) [Zbl 0998.11019](#)
- [Cooper 2008] S. Cooper, “Construction of Eisenstein series for $\Gamma_0(p)$ ”, *Int. J. Number Theory* (2008). To appear.
- [Cooper and Toh 2008] S. Cooper and P. C. Toh, “Quintic and septic Eisenstein series”, *Ramanujan J.* (2008). To appear.
- [Eisenstein 1988] G. F. Eisenstein, *Mathematische Werke*, 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1988. [MR 55 #66a](#) [Zbl 0339.01018](#)
- [Glaisher 1885] J. W. L. Glaisher, “On the square of the series in which the coefficients are the sums of the divisors of the exponents”, *Mess. Math.* **15** (1885), 156–163. [JFM 17.0434.01](#)
- [Glaisher 1907] J. W. L. Glaisher, “On the numbers of representations of a number as a sum of $2r$ squares, where $2r$ does not exceed eighteen.”, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (2)* **5** (1907), 479–490. [JFM 38.0225.03](#)
- [Jacobi 1969] C. G. J. Jacobi, *Gesammelte Werke. Bände I*, Herausgegeben auf Veranlassung der Königlich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Zweite Ausgabe, Chelsea, New York, 1969. [MR 41 #5181](#) [JFM 18.0016.03](#)
- [Kolberg 1968] O. Kolberg, “Note on the Eisenstein series of $\Gamma_0(p)$ ”, *Arbok Univ. Bergen Mat.-Natur. Ser.* **1968**:6 (1968), 20 pp. (1969). [MR 40 #5544](#) [Zbl 0233.10013](#)
- [Landau 1958] E. Landau, *Elementary number theory*, Chelsea, New York, N.Y., 1958. Translated by J. E. Goodman. [MR 19,1159d](#) [Zbl 0079.06201](#)
- [Liouville 1860] J. Liouville, “Nombre des représentations du double d'un entier impair sous la forme d'une somme de douze carrés”, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (2)* **5** (1860), 143–146.
- [Liouville 1864] J. Liouville, “Extrait d'une lettre adressée à M. Besge”, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (2)* **9** (1864), 296–298.
- [Liouville 1866] J. Liouville, “Nombre des Représentations d'un entier quelconque sous la forme d'une somme de dix carrés”, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (2)* **11** (1866), 1–8.

- [Liu 2003] Z.-G. Liu, “Some Eisenstein series identities related to modular equations of the seventh order”, *Pacific J. Math.* **209**:1 (2003), 103–130. [MR 2004c:11052](#) [Zbl 1050.11048](#)
- [Lomadze 1989a] G. A. Lomadze, “Representation of numbers by sums of the quadratic forms $x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2$ ”, *Acta Arith.* **54**:1 (1989), 9–36. [MR 90m:11147](#) [Zbl 0643.10014](#)
- [Lomadze 1989b] G. A. Lomadze, “Representation of numbers by the direct sum of quadratic forms of type $x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2$ ”, *Trudy Tbiliss. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astronom.* **26** (1989), 5–21. [MR 92m:11037](#) [Zbl 0900.11010](#)
- [Mordell 1917] L. J. Mordell, “On the representation of numbers as the sum of $2r$ squares”, *Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math.* **48** (1917), 93–104.
- [Pettersson 1982] H. Petersson, *Modulfunktionen und quadratische Formen*, vol. 100, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer, Berlin, 1982. [MR 85h:11021](#) [Zbl 0493.10033](#)
- [Ramanujan 1916] S. Ramanujan, “On certain arithmetical functions”, *Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **22**:9 (1916), 159–184.
- [Ramanujan 2000] S. Ramanujan, *Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan*, edited by G. H. Hardy et al., AMS Chelsea, Providence, RI, 2000. [MR 2008b:11002](#) [Zbl 1110.11001](#)
- [Rankin 1977] R. A. Rankin, *Modular forms and functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977. [MR 58 #16518](#) [Zbl 0376.10020](#)
- [Royer 2007] E. Royer, “Evaluating convolution sums of the divisor function by quasimodular forms”, *Int. J. Number Theory* **3**:2 (2007), 231–261. [MR 2333619](#)
- [Schoeneberg 1974] B. Schoeneberg, *Elliptic modular functions: an introduction*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften **203**, Springer, New York, 1974. Translated from the German by J. R. Smart and E. A. Schwandt. [MR 54 #236](#) [Zbl 0285.10016](#)
- [Serre 1973] J.-P. Serre, *A course in arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **7**, Springer, New York, 1973. Translated from the French. [MR 49 #8956](#) [Zbl 0256.12001](#)
- [Serre 1977] J.-P. Serre, “Modular forms of weight one and Galois representations”, pp. 193–268 in *Algebraic number fields: L-functions and Galois properties* (Durham, 1975), Academic Press, London, 1977. [MR 56 #8497](#) [Zbl 0366.10022](#)
- [Williams 2006] K. S. Williams, “On a double series of Chan and Ong”, *Georgian Math. J.* **13**:4 (2006), 793–805. [MR 2309261](#) [Zbl 05225723](#)

Received November 6, 2007. Revised December 7, 2007.

HENG HUAT CHAN
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE
KENT RIDGE 119260
SINGAPORE
matchh@nus.edu.sg

SHAUN COOPER
INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES
MASSEY UNIVERSITY – ALBANY
PRIVATE BAG 102904, NORTH SHORE MAIL CENTRE
AUCKLAND
NEW ZEALAND
s.cooper@massey.ac.nz