

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**LOWER BOUNDS FOR
THE VOLUME OF HYPERBOLIC n -ORBIFOLDS**

ILESANMI ADEBOYE

LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE VOLUME OF HYPERBOLIC n -ORBIFOLDS

ILESANMI ADEBOYE

We derive an explicit lower bound for the volume of a hyperbolic orbifold, dependent on the dimension and the maximal order of torsion in the orbifold's fundamental group.

1. Introduction

A complete orientable *hyperbolic n -orbifold* is an orbit space \mathbb{H}^n / Γ , where Γ is a discrete group of orientation-preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^n . An orientable hyperbolic n -manifold is the quotient of \mathbb{H}^n by a discrete *torsion-free* subgroup of $\text{Isom}_+(\mathbb{H}^n)$. Explicit lower bounds for the volume of a hyperbolic 3-manifold, as well as for the volume of a hyperbolic 3-orbifold, were given by Meyerhoff [1986]. Later, explicit bounds for manifolds in all dimensions were constructed by Martin [1989a] and Friedland and Hersonsky [1993]. Wang's finiteness theorem [1972] asserts that, for n greater than three, the set of volumes of hyperbolic n -orbifolds is discrete in the real numbers. Hence, a lower bound for the volume of a hyperbolic orbifold exists in all dimensions. In this paper we prove the following result.

Theorem 1 (Main Theorem). *Let Γ be a discrete group of orientation-preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^n . Assume that Γ has no torsion element of order greater than k . Then*

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbb{H}^n / \Gamma) \geq \mathcal{A}(n, k)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(n, k)$ is an explicit constant depending only on n and k , given by

$$\mathcal{A}(n, k) = \sup_{r>0} \left(1 + \left(\frac{e(n+1)(1+\cosh r)}{\sinh r} \right)^2 \cosh 6r \sin^{-2} \left(\frac{\pi}{k} \right) \right)^{-(n+1)^2} \omega_{n-1} \int_0^r \sinh^{n-1} u \, du.$$

Here $\omega_{n-1} = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2}+1)}$ is the volume of the standard sphere in euclidean n -space.

MSC2000: primary 57N15; secondary 57M50.

Keywords: hyperbolic orbifold, volume.

As a corollary, we obtain the following analogue of Hurwitz's formula for groups acting on surfaces.

Corollary 2. *If M is an orientable hyperbolic n -manifold and G is a group of orientation-preserving isometries of M containing no torsion elements of order greater than k , then*

$$|G| \leq \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{\mathcal{A}(n, k)}.$$

2. Preliminaries

We denote *hyperbolic n -space* by \mathbb{H}^n and define it as

$$\mathbb{H}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : -x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_{n+1}^2 = -1, x_1 > 0\}$$

together with the Riemannian metric induced on \mathbb{H}^n by the quadratic form

$$ds^2 = -dx_1^2 + dx_2^2 \dots + dx_{n+1}^2.$$

The Riemannian metric gives rise to a distance function. Given two vectors

$$x, y \in \mathbb{H}^n$$

the *hyperbolic distance* between x and y is denoted by $d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y)$ and defined by the equation

$$\cosh d_{\mathbb{H}}(x, y) = x_1 y_1 - \dots - x_{n+1} y_{n+1}.$$

Let e_i denote the standard basis element. We will make particular use of

$$e_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

which is an element of \mathbb{H}^n . The group of isometries of hyperbolic space will be identified with the Lie group $O^+(1, n)$ [Beardon 1983]. The subgroup $SO^+(1, n)$, consisting of all elements of $O^+(1, n)$ with determinant 1, corresponds to orientation-preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^n . The symbol I_n will denote the $n \times n$ identity matrix. The *torsion* elements of a discrete group of isometries of hyperbolic space, that is isometries of finite order, are called *elliptic*. We will use the two terms interchangeably.

For an element A of $O^+(1, n)$ we define its operator norm to be

$$\|A\| = \max\{|Av| : v \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \text{ and } |v| = 1\}.$$

A subgroup Γ of $O^+(1, n)$ is *discrete* if for each $c > 0$, the set

$$\{A \in \Gamma : \|A\| < c\}$$

is finite. The group Γ is *elementary* if and only if it has a finite orbit in $\overline{\mathbb{H}^n}$. Otherwise Γ is *nonelementary*. A finitely generated nonelementary subgroup of

$O^+(1, n)$ is discrete if and only if every two-generator subgroup is discrete [Martin 1989b].

Let A be any $n \times n$ matrix. The *spectrum*, denoted by $\sigma(A)$, is the set of all eigenvalues of A . The *spectral radius*, denoted by $r_\sigma(A)$, is defined by the equation

$$r_\sigma(A) = \max_{\lambda \in \sigma(A)} |\lambda|.$$

An important alternative definition for the operator norm is

$$\|A\| = \sqrt{r_\sigma(A^t A)}.$$

The *conformal ball model* of hyperbolic n -space consists of \mathbb{B}^n , the open unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , together with the metric

$$ds_{\mathbb{B}}^2 = \frac{4(dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2)}{(1 - |x|^2)^2}.$$

By [Gehring and Martin 1987, Theorem 3.7], if Γ is a discrete group of isometries of \mathbb{B}^n , then Γ has the following

Convergence property. *For each infinite sequence of elements in Γ there exists a subsequence $\{\gamma_j\}$ and points x_0, y_0 in $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ such that*

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j = y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_j^{-1} = x_0$$

uniformly on compact subsets in $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n \setminus \{y_0\}$, respectively.

Outline. The proof of the Main Theorem will come in three steps. In [Section 3](#) we prove that an upper bound on the order of an elliptic isometry A of \mathbb{H}^n gives a lower bound on the operator norm of $A - I_{n+1}$. In [Section 4](#) we show that, up to conjugation, an upper bound on the maximal order of torsion of a discrete group of isometries of \mathbb{H}^n leads to a uniform lower bound on $\|A - I_{n+1}\|$ for all $A \neq I_{n+1}$. Finally, in [Section 5](#), we establish an upper bound on the number of elements of a discrete group of isometries of \mathbb{H}^n that fail to move a ball of radius r off itself. This allows us to bound the volume of the image of such a ball in the orbit space.

3. Norm bound for low-order torsion elements

Proposition 3. *Let $A \in O^+(1, n)$ be an elliptic element of order at most k . Then*

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq c_k$$

where $c_k := 2 \sin^2(\frac{\pi}{k})e^{-2}$.

The first step is to prove a version of [Proposition 3](#) for the elements of the subgroup of $O^+(1, n)$ that fix e_1 . Next, we will consider the remaining elliptic

elements, which are all conjugate to elements which fix e_1 . Two different bounds on $\|A - I_{n+1}\|$ that depend on the distance between the fixed point set of A and e_1 will be developed. Finally, all results will be combined to prove the proposition.

Define $E(n)$ to be the subgroup of $O^+(1, n)$ that stabilizes the vector e_1 . We identify $E(n)$ with the *orthogonal group* $O(n)$ by noting that for each $A \in E(n)$, there exists $A^* \in O(n)$ such that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & A^* \end{pmatrix}$$

Using this identification we may carry over properties of $O(n)$ to $E(n)$. In particular

$$(3-1) \quad A \in E(n) \Rightarrow A^{-1} = A^t.$$

A basic result of linear algebra, the proof of which, for instance, can be found in [Gruenberg and Weir 1967, section 6.4], gives us the following

Lemma 4. *Given $A \in E(n)$ there exists $B \in E(n)$ such that*

$$(3-2) \quad BAB^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & A_1 & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & \\ & & & A_l & & \\ & & & & -I_s & \\ & & & & & I_t \end{pmatrix}$$

where l, s, t are nonnegative integers and

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta_i & -\sin \theta_i \\ \sin \theta_i & \cos \theta_i \end{pmatrix}$$

where $0 < \theta_i < \pi$.

Remark 5. If in Lemma 4 the order of A is at most k , then clearly

$$\frac{2\pi}{k} \leq \theta_i < \pi.$$

Since the set of eigenvalues of a matrix is conjugacy invariant, so is the operator norm.

Lemma 6. *Let A be a real $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix and let $B \in E(n)$, then*

$$\|BAB^{-1}\| = \|A\|.$$

The following proposition gives our result for elements of $E(n)$.

Proposition 7. *If A is a nonidentity element of $E(n)$ of order at most k , then*

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right).$$

Proof. Write $A = BA'B^{-1}$, where A' has the form of the right-hand side of (3-2) and B is the appropriate element of $E(n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - I_{n+1}\| &= \|BA'B^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|B(A' - I_{n+1})B^{-1}\| \\ &= \|A' - I_{n+1}\| \quad (\text{by Lemma 6}) \\ &\geq |(A' - I_{n+1})e_2| \\ &= |A'e_2 - e_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Assume $l \neq 0$, then

$$|A'e_2 - e_2| = \sqrt{(\cos \theta_1 - 1)^2 + \sin^2 \theta_1} = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\theta_1}{2}\right) \geq 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)$$

by Remark 5. If $l = 0$, then $k = 2$ and A' has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & -I_s & \\ & & I_l \end{pmatrix},$$

and therefore

$$|A'e_2 - e_2| = |-e_2 - e_2| = 2 = 2 \sin \frac{\pi}{2}. \quad \square$$

Now consider the more general case where A is an elliptic element of $O^+(1, n)$ which does not fix e_1 . Our first approach will give us a bound in the case where the fixed point set of A is “close” to e_1 . We will show that A is conjugate, by an isometry whose norm we can explicitly calculate, to an elliptic element of the same order which fixes e_1 . Proposition 7 can then be used to obtain a bound on $\|A - I_{n+1}\|$.

Proposition 8. *Let $A \in O^+(1, n)$ be an elliptic element of order at most k , which does not fix e_1 . Let δ be the hyperbolic distance from e_1 to the fixed point set of A . Then*

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) e^{-2\delta}.$$

Proof. Let b be the closest point in the fixed point set of A to e_1 . Let

$$\hat{b} = (\cosh \delta, \sinh \delta, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then $d_{\mathbb{H}}(e_1, b) = d_{\mathbb{H}}(e_1, \hat{b}) = \delta$. Therefore, there exists $\hat{A} \in E(n)$ such that $\hat{A}\hat{b} = b$.

Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh \delta & \sinh \delta & & & \\ \sinh \delta & \cosh \delta & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

define $B := \hat{A}T$ and let

$$\tilde{A} = B^{-1}AB.$$

Since \tilde{A} fixes e_1 , $\tilde{A} \in E(n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{A} - I_{n+1}\| &= \|B^{-1}AB - I_{n+1}\| \\ &= \|B^{-1}(A - I_{n+1})B\| \\ &\leq \|B^{-1}\| \|A - I_{n+1}\| \|B\| \\ &= \|B\|^2 \|A - I_{n+1}\|, \end{aligned}$$

since $\|B\| = \|B^{-1}\|$ for all $B \in O^+(1, n)$.

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} B^t B &= (\hat{A}T)^t (\hat{A}T) \\ &= T^t \hat{A}^t \hat{A} T \\ &= T^t \hat{A}^t \hat{A} T \quad (\text{by (3-1)}) \\ &= T^t T \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cosh 2\delta & \sinh 2\delta & & & \\ \sinh 2\delta & \cosh 2\delta & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The eigenvalues of $B^t B$ are 1, $e^{-2\delta}$ and $e^{2\delta}$, and thus $\|B\| = e^\delta$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - I_{n+1}\| &\geq \|\tilde{A} - I_{n+1}\| \|B\|^{-2} \\ &= \|\tilde{A} - I_{n+1}\| e^{-2\delta} \\ &\geq 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) e^{-2\delta} \quad (\text{by Proposition 7}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

In [Proposition 8](#) our bound goes to zero as $\delta \rightarrow \infty$. This is our estimate for “small” values of δ . The following proposition uses a different method to address the case where δ is “large”. If the fixed point set of an elliptic element A is far from e_1 then A must move e_1 a significant amount. This ensures, by the definition of the operator norm, that $\|A - I_{n+1}\|$ can be bounded away from zero.

Proposition 9. *Let $A \in O^+(1, n)$ be an elliptic element of order at most k , which does not fix e_1 . Let δ be the hyperbolic distance from e_1 to the fixed point set of A . Then*

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq 2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right).$$

The proof of the following lemma is straight-forward.

Lemma 10. *Let A be an elliptic isometry of \mathbb{H}^n of order at most k . Let b be a fixed point of A and let v be an element of \mathbb{H}^n such that the geodesic g_1 containing v and b is perpendicular to the fixed point set of A . Suppose g_2 is the geodesic containing b and $A(v)$, then the angle of intersection θ between g_1 and g_2 is greater than or equal to $2\pi/k$.*

Proof of Proposition 9. Let $A = (a_{ij})$. By the definition of the operator norm we have the following

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - I_{n+1}\| &\geq |(A - I_{n+1})e_1| \\ &= |Ae_1 - e_1| \\ &= |(a_{11} - 1, a_{21}, \dots, a_{(n+1)1})| \\ &\geq |a_{11} - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\cosh d_{\mathbb{H}}(e_1, Ae_1) = 1 \cdot a_{11} = a_{11}.$$

Therefore,

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq |\cosh d_{\mathbb{H}}(e_1, Ae_1) - 1|.$$

Let b be the point in the fixed point set of A closest to e_1 . We can apply hyperbolic cosine rule to the triangle with vertices e_1 , Ae_1 and b . If θ is the angle of the triangle at the point b , then

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh d_{\mathbb{H}}(e_1, Ae_1) &= \cosh d_{\mathbb{H}}(b, e_1) \cosh d_{\mathbb{H}}(b, Ae_1) \\ &\quad - \sinh d_{\mathbb{H}}(b, e_1) \sinh d_{\mathbb{H}}(b, Ae_1) \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \cosh d_{\mathbb{H}}(e_1, Ae_1) &= \cosh^2 \delta - \sinh^2 \delta \cos \theta \\ &= \cosh^2 \delta - \sinh^2 \delta \left(1 - 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)\right) \\ &= \cosh^2 \delta - \sinh^2 \delta + 2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ &= 1 + 2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - I_{n+1}\| &\geq \left| 1 + 2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) - 1 \right| \\ &= 2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right) \\ &\geq 2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) \quad (\text{by Lemma 10}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma follows immediately from Propositions 8 and 9.

Lemma 11. *Let $A \in O^+(1, n)$ be an elliptic element of order at most k . Let δ be the hyperbolic distance from e_1 to the fixed point set of A . Then*

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq \max\left\{2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right), 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2\delta}\right\}.$$

Hence, we have

Lemma 12. *Let $A \in O^+(1, n)$ be an elliptic element of order at most k . Then*

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq \inf_{\delta > 0} \max\left\{2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right), 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2\delta}\right\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 3. We must show that the bound of Lemma 12 agrees with the uniform bound c_k . We divide the proof into two cases. First, assume $\delta \geq 1$. Since $\sinh^2 \delta$ is an increasing function, we have

$$2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) \geq 2 \sinh^2(1) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) \geq 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2}.$$

Now assume $\delta \leq 1$. Note that $e^{-2\delta}$ is a decreasing function. Also note that $k \geq 1$ since A is nontrivial. Therefore,

$$\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) \leq \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right).$$

Hence,

$$2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2\delta} \geq 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2\delta} \geq 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 12,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A - I_{n+1}\| &\geq \inf_{\delta > 0} \max\left\{2 \sinh^2 \delta \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right), 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2\delta}\right\} \\ &\geq 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

4. Norm bound for low-order torsion groups

On his way to providing lower bounds for the volumes of hyperbolic manifolds, Martin proved the following theorem.

Theorem 13 [Martin 1989a]. *Let Γ be a discrete nonelementary torsion free subgroup of $O^+(1, n)$. Then there is an $\alpha \in O^+(1, n)$ such that*

$$\|A\| \|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}$$

for all $A \in \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}$, $A \neq I_{n+1}$.

In this section we prove an orbifold version of this result. That is we drop the condition that Γ is torsion free and replace it with a bound on the order of torsion. Our proof is similar in outline to Martin's, with Proposition 3 allowing us to control elliptic elements.

Recall from the beginning of Section 3,

$$c_k := 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right) e^{-2}.$$

The following proposition is our orbifold version of Theorem 13.

Proposition 14. *Let Γ be a discrete nonelementary subgroup of $SO^+(1, n)$ which contains no torsion elements of order greater than k . Then there is an $\alpha \in O^+(1, n)$ such that*

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq c_k$$

for all $A \in \alpha\Gamma\alpha^{-1}$, $A \neq I_{n+1}$.

The proof will be by contradiction. In proving Proposition 14, we will pass between the ball and hyperboloid model of hyperbolic n -space.

As Γ is discrete, it is countable. Therefore Γ has a countable number of parabolic and hyperbolic fixed points on the boundary of \mathbb{B}^n . Furthermore the set of fixed points of each elliptic element on the boundary of \mathbb{B}^n forms at most a codimension 2 subspace of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . Therefore, we may assume (by conjugation) that no element of the group Γ fixes the north or south poles (N , S respectively) of \mathbb{S}^{n-1} . For each $t > 0$ let α_t represent, in the ball or hyperboloid model, the hyperbolic isometry that corresponds to a pure translation by t in the geodesic from S to N .

Lemma 15. *For each fixed $A \in \Gamma - \{I_{n+1}\}$,*

$$(4-1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_t A \alpha_t^{-1}\| = \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\vec{0}$ be the origin in \mathbb{B}^n . Note that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_t^{-1}(\vec{0}) = S.$$

Hence, for $A \in \Gamma - \{I_{n+1}\}$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A \alpha_t^{-1}(\vec{0}) = A(S).$$

Since $A(S) \neq S$, there exists a neighborhood V of S in $\bar{\mathbb{B}}^n$ such that $A(S) \notin V$. Thus,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_t A \alpha_t^{-1}(\vec{0}) = N.$$

Transferring from the ball model to the hyperboloid model, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_t A \alpha_t^{-1}(e_1)| = \infty.$$

Therefore, (4-1) follows. \square

For the remainder of this section we will work under the assumption that [Proposition 14](#) fails. That is,

Assumption 16. *Let Γ be a discrete nonelementary subgroup of $SO^+(1, n)$ which contains no torsion elements of order greater than k . We assume that for all $\alpha \in O^+(1, n)$ there exists*

$$A \in \alpha \Gamma \alpha^{-1}, \quad A \neq I_{n+1},$$

such that

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| < c_k.$$

Under this assumption, we will construct an infinite sequence $\{A_i\}$ of elements of Γ and a diverging sequence $\{t(i)\}$ of positive real numbers, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_{t(i)} A_i \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| &< c_k, \\ \|\alpha_{t(i)} A_{i+1} \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| &< c_k \end{aligned}$$

for all i . We then use Martin and Friedland–Hersonsky’s generalization of Jørgensen’s inequality to show that $\{\|\alpha_{t(i)} A_i \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}\|\}$ is unbounded and obtain a contradiction.

Definition 17. For each $A \in \Gamma - \{I_{n+1}\}$, let

$$U_A := \{t > 0 : \|\alpha_t A \alpha_t^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| < c_k\}.$$

It is clear from [Lemma 15](#) and [Assumption 16](#) that

$$\mathfrak{U} = \{U_A : A \in \Gamma - \{I_{n+1}\}\}$$

forms an open cover of the positive real line by bounded sets.

Lemma 18. *There exists a sequence $t(i) \rightarrow \infty$ and a sequence $U_{A_i} \in \mathfrak{U}$ such that*

$$t(i) \in U_i \cap U_{i+1}.$$

Proof. For each $A \in \Gamma - \{I_{n+1}\}$, let

$$\mathfrak{U}_{[1,2]} := \{U_A \cap [1, 2]\}.$$

Then, $\mathcal{U}_{[1,2]}$ is an open cover of $[1, 2]$. For $x, y \in [1, 2]$, we say

$$x \sim y$$

if there exist $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a sequence

$$\{U_{A_i}^1\}_{i=r}^s \subset \mathcal{U}_{[1,2]}$$

such that $x \in U_{A_r}^1, y \in U_{A_s}^1$ and $U_{A_i}^1 \cap U_{A_{i+1}}^1 \neq \emptyset$ for all i with $r \leq i \leq s - 1$.

Reflexivity, symmetry and transitivity are immediate from the fact that $\mathcal{U}_{[1,2]}$ is an open cover. Therefore \sim is an equivalence relation on $[1, 2]$. Now, let $E \subset [1, 2]$ be an equivalence class and let $x \in E$. There exists an open set $U_{A_i}^1 \in \mathcal{U}_{[1,2]}$ such that $x \in U_{A_i}^1$. By definition of our equivalence relation, if $y \in U_{A_i}^1$ then $y \in E$. Therefore we have that $U_{A_i}^1 \subset E$. Thus E is an open set. Hence, $[1, 2]$ can be divided into disjoint, open equivalence classes. Since $[1, 2]$ is connected, there is only one equivalence class.

Since $1 \sim 2$ there exists an $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and a sequence

$$\{U_{A_i}^1\}_{i=1}^{m_1} \subset \mathcal{U}_{[1,2]}$$

such that

$$1 \in U_{A_1}^1, \quad 2 \in U_{A_{m_1}}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad U_{A_i}^1 \cap U_{A_{i+1}}^1 \neq \emptyset$$

for all i with $1 \leq i \leq m_1 - 1$. Define $t(1) := 1, t(m_1) := 2$ and select $t(i)$ from $U_{A_{i-1}}^1 \cap U_{A_i}^1$ for $2 \leq i \leq m_1 - 1$.

Now consider $\mathcal{U}_{[2,3]} = \{U_A^2\}$, where $U_A^2 := U_A \cap [2, 3]$. By repeating the program above, we can define $t(i)$ for $m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m_2$, where m_2 is an integer larger than m_1 and $t(m_2) = 3$. We can then define the corresponding U_{A_i} for $m_1 + 1 \leq i \leq m_2$.

Continuing in this way, we define the required sequences. \square

The next lemma will be the key in what follows.

Lemma 19. *For all i ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha_{t(i)} A_i \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| &< c_k, \\ \|\alpha_{t(i)} A_{i+1} \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| &< c_k. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. As $t(i) \in U_{A_i} \cap U_{A_{i+1}}$ the lemma follows immediately from [Definition 17](#). \square

Next, we prove that the set $\{\|\alpha_{t(i)} A_i \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}\|\}$ is unbounded. This will be shown to contradict [Lemma 19](#) and thus establish [Proposition 14](#). The following is a special case of [[Friedland and Hersonsky 1993](#), Theorem 2.11] and [[Martin 1989b](#), Theorem 4.5].

Theorem 20. *Let $\Gamma \subset O^+(1, n)$ be a discrete group. Let τ be the unique positive solution of the cubic equation $2\tau(1 + \tau)^2 = 1$. If $A, B \in \Gamma$ such that $\langle A, B \rangle$ is a discrete group and*

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| < \tau, \quad \|B - I_{n+1}\| < \tau,$$

then $\langle A, B \rangle$ is a nilpotent group.

Remark 21. We note here that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &> 0.2971 \\ &> 2e^{-2} \\ &\geq 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{k}\right)e^{-2} \quad \text{for all } k \\ &= c_k. \end{aligned}$$

We now prove the following claim.

Claim 22. *The set $\{\|\alpha_{t(i)}A_i\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}\|\}$ is unbounded.*

Proof. Since $\alpha_{t(i)}A_i\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}$ and $\alpha_{t(i)}A_{i+1}\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}$ are elements of the discrete group $\alpha_{t(i)}\Gamma\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}$,

$$\langle \alpha_{t(i)}A_i\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}, \alpha_{t(i)}A_{i+1}\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1} \rangle$$

is a discrete group. By [Lemma 19](#), [Theorem 20](#) and [Remark 21](#),

$$\langle \alpha_{t(i)}A_i\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}, \alpha_{t(i)}A_{i+1}\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1} \rangle$$

is nilpotent and thus elementary. Therefore $\langle A_i, A_{i+1} \rangle$ is discrete and elementary.

By [Assumption 16](#), if A_i is elliptic it has order at most k . This implies

$$\|\alpha_{t(i)}A_i\alpha_{t(i)}^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| \geq c_k$$

by [Proposition 3](#). However that directly contradicts the definition of U_{A_i} . We conclude that no element of $\{A_i\}$ is elliptic. So for all i , A_i and A_{i+1} are either both parabolic sharing a common fixed point or both hyperbolic sharing a common axis. Therefore, either each A_i is hyperbolic or each A_i is parabolic and the A_i all have a common fixed point set.

Let Δ be the subgroup of Γ generated by all A_i . Since the fixed points of any element of Δ is fixed by the entire group, Δ is elementary. The set $\{A_i\}$ is an infinite sequence in Δ , since each U_A is bounded and $t_i \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore there exists a subsequence $\{A_{i_j}\}$ and points $x_0, y_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{B}}^n$ such that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_{i_j} = y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_{i_j}^{-1} = x_0$$

uniformly on compact subsets in $\overline{\mathbb{B}}^n \setminus \{x_0\}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{B}}^n \setminus \{y_0\}$, respectively.

Since x_0 and y_0 are accumulation points of a discrete elementary group with no elliptic elements, they are fixed points of elements in Δ . Now

$$\{x_0, y_0\} \cap \{N, S\} = \emptyset$$

since N and S are not fixed points. Therefore, since

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{t(i_j)}^{-1}(\vec{0}) = S \neq x_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_{i_j} = y_0$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{B}^n \setminus \{x_0\}$, we have that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_{i_j} \alpha_{t(i_j)}^{-1}(\vec{0}) = y_0$. Hence,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{t(i_j)} A_{i_j} \alpha_{t(i_j)}^{-1}(\vec{0}) = N.$$

Thus, transferring from the ball model to the hyperboloid model, we have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha_{t(i_j)} A_{i_j} \alpha_{t(i_j)}^{-1}(e_1)| = \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_{t(i_j)} A_{i_j} \alpha_{t(i_j)}^{-1}\| = \infty. \quad \square$$

Proof Proposition 14. To complete the proof of [Proposition 14](#) we observe that by [Lemma 19](#),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|\alpha_{t(i)} A_i \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}\| - 1 \right| &= \left| \|\alpha_{t(i)} A_i \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}\| - \|I_{n+1}\| \right| \\ &\leq \|\alpha_{t(i)} A_i \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| \\ &< c_k < 1, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\|\alpha_{t(i)} A_i \alpha_{t(i)}^{-1}\| < 2,$$

contradicting [Claim 22](#). □

5. Proof of main result

In this section we prove several technical lemmas. These lemmas will be used to show that if the conclusion of [Proposition 14](#) holds we can establish an upper bound on the number of elements of Γ that fail to move a ball of radius r off itself. This result will allow us to bound the volume of the image of such a ball in the orbit space, thereby establishing [Theorem 1](#).

First, we see that a bound on the translation distance of a hyperbolic isometry implies a bound on the entries of the associated matrix.

Lemma 23. *Given $r > 0$, if $A \in O^+(1, n)$ is such that*

$$(5-1) \quad A(\overline{B(e_1, r)}) \cap \overline{B(e_1, r)} \neq \emptyset,$$

then for all i, j ,

$$|a_{ij}| \leq \kappa(r),$$

where $\kappa(r) := \frac{1+\cosh r}{\sinh r} \sqrt{\cosh 6r}$.

Proof. For all $j \neq 1$ and for all i ,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ij}| &\leq |Ae_j| \\ &= \left| A \left(\frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} e_1 + e_j - \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} e_1 \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sinh r} \left| A((\cosh r)e_1 + (\sinh r)e_j) \right| + \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} |Ae_1|. \end{aligned}$$

The assumption (5-1) implies that

$$A(\overline{B(e_1, r)}) \subset \overline{B(e_1, 3r)}.$$

Now, let

$$v = (\cosh r)e_1 + (\sinh r)e_j.$$

Then

$$\cosh d_{\mathbb{H}}(v, e_1) = \cosh r.$$

Since r and $d_{\mathbb{H}}(v, e_1)$ are nonnegative we have that $d_{\mathbb{H}}(v, e_1) = r$. Therefore

$$v \in \overline{B(e_1, r)}.$$

Clearly $e_1 \in \overline{B(e_1, r)}$. Furthermore, as any element of $\overline{B(e_1, 3r)}$ has euclidean length at most

$$\sqrt{\sinh^2 3r + \cosh^2 3r},$$

we have

$$|a_{ij}| \leq \left(\frac{1}{\sinh r} + \frac{\cosh r}{\sinh r} \right) \sqrt{\sinh^2 3r + \cosh^2 3r} = \frac{1 + \cosh r}{\sinh r} \sqrt{\cosh 6r}$$

for all $j \neq 1$ and for all i . For $j = 1$,

$$|a_{i1}| \leq |Ae_1| \leq \sqrt{\sinh^2 3r + \cosh^2 3r} = \sqrt{\cosh 6r}.$$

Since

$$\frac{1 + \cosh r}{\sinh r} > 1,$$

the result follows. \square

A bound on the entries of a matrix A implies a bound on its operator norm.

Lemma 24. *Let A be any $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ matrix. Given $d > 0$, if $|a_{ij}| \leq d$ for all i and j , then*

$$\|A\| \leq d(n+1).$$

Proof. Let v be a vector of unit length. Let A_i denote the i -th row of the matrix A . Then

$$|A_i \cdot v| \leq |A_i| |v| = |A_i| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (a_{ij})^2} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} d^2} = d\sqrt{n+1}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |Av| &= |(A_1 \cdot v, \dots, A_{n+1} \cdot v)| \\ &= \sqrt{(A_1 \cdot v)^2 + \dots + (A_{n+1} \cdot v)^2} \\ &\leq \sqrt{(n+1)(n+1)d^2} \\ &= d(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Since v was chosen arbitrarily, by our definition of the operator norm,

$$\|A\| \leq d(n+1). \quad \square$$

Given two matrices A and B , a bound on the distance between corresponding entries gives a bound on $\|AB^{-1} - I_{n+1}\|$.

Lemma 25. *Given $K, L > 0$, let*

$$\delta := \frac{L}{(n+1)^2 K}.$$

For $A, B \in O^+(1, n)$, if $|b_{ij}| \leq K$ and $|a_{ij} - b_{ij}| \leq \delta$ for all i and j , then

$$\|AB^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| \leq L.$$

Proof.

$$\|AB^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| = \|(A - B)B^{-1}\| \leq \|A - B\| \|B^{-1}\| = \|A - B\| \|B\|.$$

Therefore, by [Lemma 24](#),

$$\|AB^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| \leq (n+1)\delta(n+1)K = (n+1)^2\delta K. \quad \square$$

The following lemma bounds the size of a bounded uniformly discrete subset of \mathbb{R}^p .

Lemma 26. *Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and $q, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$ be given. Let $M \subset \mathbb{R}^p$ be such that*

- (i) $(a_i) \in M$ implies that $|a_i| \leq q$ for all i , and
- (ii) $(a_i), (b_i) \in M$ implies that $|a_i - b_i| > s$ for some i .

Then

$$|M| \leq \left(\frac{2q}{s} + 1\right)^p.$$

Proof. We divide the interval $[-q, q]$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &= [-q, -q + s), \\ E_2 &= [-q + s, -q + 2s), \\ &\vdots \\ E_{\lfloor \frac{2q}{s} \rfloor + 1} &= \left[-q + \left\lfloor \frac{2q}{s} \right\rfloor s, q\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now each $a = (a_i) \in M$ is an element of a p -cylinder

$$E_a = E_{j_1} \times E_{j_2} \times \cdots \times E_{j_p},$$

that is, $a_i \in E_{j_i}$, for all i . We note that by condition (ii) above, if

$$(a_i) \in E_a, \quad \text{and} \quad (a_i) \neq (b_i)$$

then $(b_i) \notin E_a$.

Hence we need only count the number of possible cylinders. As there are a maximum of $\lfloor \frac{2q}{s} \rfloor + 1$ choices for p different positions, our conclusion follows. \square

We now use our series of lemmas to bound the set of elements of a discrete group of hyperbolic isometries that fail to move a ball of radius r off itself.

Definition 27.

$$\mathcal{H}(r, \Gamma) := \{A \in \Gamma : A(\overline{B(e_1, r)}) \cap \overline{B(e_1, r)} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Lemma 28. *Let Γ be a subgroup of $O^+(1, n)$ such that $\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq c_k$ for all $A \in \Gamma - I_{n+1}$. For $r > 0$,*

$$|\mathcal{H}(r, \Gamma)| \leq \left(\frac{2\kappa(r)^2(n+1)^2}{c_k} + 1 \right)^{(n+1)^2},$$

where c_k and $\kappa(r)$ are the constants of [Proposition 3](#) and [Lemma 23](#) respectively.

Proof. Let $r > 0$ be given. Let A and B be distinct elements of $\mathcal{H}(r, \Gamma)$. Then A and B are distinct elements of Γ and, therefore, AB^{-1} is a nonidentity element of Γ . So by assumption, we have

$$\|AB^{-1} - I_{n+1}\| \geq c_k.$$

By [Lemma 23](#), $|b_{ij}| \leq \kappa(r)$ for all i, j . Thus by [Lemma 25](#), there exists i_0, j_0 , such that

$$|a_{i_0 j_0} - b_{i_0 j_0}| > \frac{c_k}{(n+1)^2 \kappa(r)}.$$

We now apply [Lemma 26](#), with

$$p = (n+1)^2, \quad q = \kappa(r) \quad \text{and} \quad s = \frac{c_k}{(n+1)^2 \kappa(r)}.$$

In this case,

$$\left(\frac{2q}{s} + 1\right)^p = \left(\frac{2\kappa(r)^2(n+1)^2}{c_k} + 1\right)^{(n+1)^2}. \quad \square$$

We are now prepared to prove our main result, which for convenience is restated below.

Theorem 29 (Main Theorem). *Let Γ be a discrete group of orientation-preserving isometries of \mathbb{H}^n . Assume that Γ has no torsion element of order greater than k . Then*

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbb{H}^n / \Gamma) \geq \mathcal{A}(n, k)$$

where $\mathcal{A}(n, k)$ is the constant depending only on n and k defined in the introduction.

Proof. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of $SO^+(1, n)$ which contains no torsion elements of order greater than k . If Γ is an elementary group, its covolume would be infinite. Therefore, we may assume that Γ is nonelementary. Hence by [Proposition 14](#), there exists a group Γ' , conjugate to Γ , such that

$$\|A - I_{n+1}\| \geq c_k$$

for all $A \in \Gamma'$ and $A \neq I_{n+1}$.

Let π be the covering projection from hyperbolic n -space onto $Q = \mathbb{H}^n / \Gamma'$. For $r > 0$, let

$$\mathcal{H} := \{A \in \Gamma' : A(\overline{B(e_1, r)}) \cap \overline{B(e_1, r)} \neq \emptyset\}.$$

The map π restricted to $B(e_1, r)$ is a local isometry away from the singular locus of Q . Notice that the singular locus has volume zero. If $x \in \pi(B(e_1, r))$ then

$$|\pi^{-1}(x) \cap B(e_1, r)| \leq |\mathcal{H}|.$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbb{H}^n / \Gamma) = \text{Vol}(\mathbb{H}^n / \Gamma') \geq \text{Vol}(\pi(B(e_1, r))) \geq \frac{\text{Vol}(B(e_1, r))}{|\mathcal{H}|}.$$

The numerator of the above fraction is the volume of a hyperbolic n -ball of radius r . This can be computed explicitly in terms of ω_{n-1} , the volume of a ball of radius 1 in euclidean n -space. The denominator is bounded by [Lemma 28](#). Hence,

$$\text{Vol}(\mathbb{H}^n / \Gamma) \geq \omega_{n-1} \int_0^r \sinh^{n-1}(u) du \left(\frac{2\kappa(r)^2(n+1)^2}{c_k} + 1\right)^{-(n+1)^2}. \quad \square$$

Remark 30. Explicit covolume estimates for Kleinian groups containing elliptics with order greater than or equal to 6 have been calculated in [\[Gehring and Martin 1999\]](#). Volume bounds for arithmetic hyperbolic orbifolds in even dimensions have been produced in [\[Belolipetsky 2004\]](#).

6. Corollaries

Corollary 2 follows readily from **Theorem 1**. We recall that the quotient Q of a hyperbolic manifold M by its group of orientation-preserving isometries G is an orientable hyperbolic orbifold, as long as $\pi_1(M)$ is not virtually abelian, in which case $\text{Vol}(M)$ is infinite and **Corollary 2** is vacuous. Note that

$$\text{Vol}(Q) = \frac{\text{Vol}(M)}{|G|}.$$

Since, under the assumptions of **Corollary 2**, $\text{Vol}(Q) \geq \mathcal{A}(n, k)$, we obtain our result.

The Mostow–Prasad rigidity theorem [**Mostow 1968**; **Prasad 1973**] implies that if M has finite volume then one can identify the group of isometries of M with $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$. With this in mind, we can give the following more topological version of **Corollary 2**.

Corollary 31. *If M is a finite volume orientable hyperbolic n -manifold and G is a subgroup of $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ containing no torsion elements of order greater than k , then*

$$|G| \leq \frac{2 \text{Vol}(M)}{\mathcal{A}(n, k)}.$$

Remark 32. The corollaries to **Theorem 1** are related to a volume bound for a closed hyperbolic n -manifold with a symmetry of prime order obtained in [**Gehring et al. 1998**]. This bound depends on the order of the symmetry and the dimension and volume of its fixed set.

Acknowledgment

I thank Professors Francis Bonahon and Mario Bonk for many helpful discussions. I am very grateful to Professor Dick Canary for reading several versions of this paper, as well as for his encouragement, support and mentoring over the years.

References

- [Beardon 1983] A. F. Beardon, *The geometry of discrete groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **91**, Springer, New York, 1983. [MR 85d:22026](#) [Zbl 0528.30001](#)
- [Belolipetsky 2004] M. Belolipetsky, “On volumes of arithmetic quotients of $\text{SO}(1, n)$ ”, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)* **3**:4 (2004), 749–770. [MR 2005k:11080](#) [Zbl 05058787](#)
- [Friedland and Hersensky 1993] S. Friedland and S. Hersensky, “Jorgensen’s inequality for discrete groups in normed algebras”, *Duke Math. J.* **69**:3 (1993), 593–614. [MR 94c:46100](#) [Zbl 0799.30033](#)
- [Gehring and Martin 1987] F. W. Gehring and G. J. Martin, “Discrete quasiconformal groups. I”, *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **55**:2 (1987), 331–358. [MR 88m:30057](#) [Zbl 0628.30027](#)

- [Gehring and Martin 1999] F. W. Gehring and G. J. Martin, “The volume of hyperbolic 3-folds with p -torsion, $p \geq 6$ ”, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **50**:197 (1999), 1–12. [MR 2000c:57031](#) [Zbl 0926.30027](#)
- [Gehring et al. 1998] F. W. Gehring, T. H. Marshall, and G. J. Martin, “Collaring theorems and the volumes of hyperbolic n -manifolds”, *New Zealand J. Math.* **27**:2 (1998), 207–225. [MR 2000j:30073](#) [Zbl 0958.30031](#)
- [Gruenberg and Weir 1967] K. W. Gruenberg and A. J. Weir, *Linear geometry*, D. Van Nostrand Co., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto, Ont.-London, 1967. [MR 36 #6423](#) [Zbl 0146.42001](#)
- [Martin 1989a] G. J. Martin, “Balls in hyperbolic manifolds”, *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **40**:2 (1989), 257–264. [MR 91b:30134](#) [Zbl 0709.30040](#)
- [Martin 1989b] G. J. Martin, “On discrete Möbius groups in all dimensions: a generalization of Jørgensen’s inequality”, *Acta Math.* **163**:3-4 (1989), 253–289. [MR 91g:30049](#) [Zbl 0698.20037](#)
- [Meyerhoff 1986] R. Meyerhoff, “Sphere-packing and volume in hyperbolic 3-space”, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **61**:2 (1986), 271–278. [MR 88e:52023](#) [Zbl 0611.57010](#)
- [Mostow 1968] G. D. Mostow, “Quasi-conformal mappings in n -space and the rigidity of hyperbolic space forms”, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **34** (1968), 53–104. [MR 38 #4679](#) [Zbl 0189.09402](#)
- [Prasad 1973] G. Prasad, “Strong rigidity of \mathbf{Q} -rank 1 lattices”, *Invent. Math.* **21** (1973), 255–286. [MR 52 #5875](#) [Zbl 0264.22009](#)
- [Wang 1972] H. C. Wang, “Topics on totally discontinuous groups”, pp. 459–487. *Pure and Appl. Math.*, Vol. 8 in *Symmetric spaces* (St. Louis, Mo., 1969–1970), edited by W. M. Boothby and G. L. Weiss, Dekker, New York, 1972. [MR 54 #2879](#) [Zbl 0232.22018](#)

Received November 10, 2007. Revised May 22, 2008.

ILESANMI ADEBOYE
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
3620 VERMONT AVENUE, KAP108
LOS ANGELES, CA 90089-2532
UNITED STATES
adeboye@usc.edu