PICARD–VESSIOT EXTENSIONS
WITH SPECIFIED GALOIS GROUP

TED CHINBURG, LOURDES JUAN AND ANDY R. MAGID

Picard–Vessiot extensions are determined by their differential module structure. For a fixed group $G$, Picard–Vessiot extensions with differential Galois group $G$ are all isomorphic as $G$-modules but not as differential rings. We show that isomorphism classes of Picard–Vessiot extensions with group $G$ correspond to $G$-orbits in a certain finite-dimensional vector space with $G$-action.

1. Introduction

The normal basis theorem states that if $K/L$ is a finite Galois extension of fields with group $\Gamma$, then $K$ is isomorphic to the group ring $L[\Gamma]$ as an $L[\Gamma]$-module. In this paper we will consider a counterpart of this result in differential Galois theory. This counterpart leads to considering the problem of recognizing a Picard–Vessiot extension $E$ of a differential field $F$ from information weaker than the structure of $E$ as a differential field.

Recall from [Van der Put and Singer 2003; Magid 1997] some basic definitions. Let $F$ be a differential field of characteristic 0 having an algebraically closed field of constants $C$. A field extension $E/F$ is a Picard–Vessiot extension if $E$ is differentially generated over $F$ by a full set of solutions of a monic homogeneous differential equation over $F$ and if $E$ has the same field of constants as $F$. The Picard–Vessiot ring $R$ of $E/F$ may be described as the ring of elements of $E$ whose iterated images under the derivation $D_E$ of $E$ span a finite-dimensional vector space over $F$. The fraction field of $R$ is equal to $E$. The differential Galois group $G$ of $E/F$ is the affine algebraic group over $C$ given by the differential automorphisms of $E$ over $F$. Let $C[G]$ be the affine coordinate ring of $G$ over $C$, and let $F[G] = F \otimes_C C[G]$.

Our counterpart of the normal basis theorem is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** There is an $F[G]$-comodule isomorphism between $R$ and $F[G]$.
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The normal basis theorem implies that the structure of $K$ as an $L[\Gamma]$-module is not sufficient in general to determine $K$ as a Galois extension of $F$. Similarly, Theorem 1.1 shows that the $F[G]$-comodule structure of $R$ does not in general determine $R$ or $E$. It is natural to try to minimize, in various ways, the amount of information about $E$ that is sufficient to determine $E$. Our first result along this line is proved in Proposition 2.1:

**Theorem 1.2.** The structure of $E$ as a differential $F$-module determines $E$.

Generally, $E$ has infinite dimension as an $F$ vector space. To try to determine $E$ from a finite amount of linear algebra data over $F$, one could simply note that the differential equation over $F$ that determines $E$ is specified by finitely many coefficients in $F$. More conceptually, the differential equation can be replaced by an associated finite-dimensional differential module over $F$ that is trivialized by $E$; see [Van der Put and Singer 2003]. However, there is no preferred choice for a differential equation giving $E$ or for an associated differential module. We will consider the following more canonical method, which depends on choosing a faithful finite-dimensional $G$-module $V$ that admits an embedding into $C[G]$.

We will show in Proposition 2.2 that there is an isomorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow F[G]$ respecting $F$- and $G$-module structure. Using this isomorphism, we can transport the derivation $D_R$ of $R$ to a $G$-endomorphism of $F[G]$ that makes $F[G]$ into a differential $F$-module. Define $\mathcal{W}$ to be the sum of the images of $V$ in $F[G]$ under all $G$-homomorphisms. We will show in Lemma 4.1 that $\mathcal{W}$ is a finite-dimensional $F$ vector space. We will also prove that $\mathcal{W}$ is a rational $G$-module that is stable under the above differential structure coming from $D_R$, and that this restriction determines $D_R$. Let $\Delta_R$ be the resulting differential structure on $\mathcal{W}$. The construction of $\mathcal{W}$ and $\Delta_R$ is canonical up to the choice of the $F$- and $G$-module isomorphism $\alpha : R \rightarrow F[G]$. Let $\text{End}_{F[G]}(\mathcal{W})$ and $\text{Aut}_{F[G]}(\mathcal{W})$ respectively be the groups of endomorphisms and automorphisms of $\mathcal{W}$ that respect the actions of $F$ and $G$ on $\mathcal{W}$. As a corollary of Theorem 4.2, we will prove:

**Theorem 1.3.** Isomorphism classes of Picard–Vessiot extensions $E$ of $F$ with differential Galois group $G$ correspond to the orbits of $\text{Aut}_{F[G]}(\mathcal{W})$ on $\text{End}_{F[G]}(\mathcal{W})$.

We note that $\mathcal{W}$ is a two-sided $G$-module. This observation permits the $F$- and $G$-endomorphism ring of $\mathcal{W}$ to be calculated in principle. We include a number of examples in Section 5.

We retain throughout the notations of this introduction. In addition, we refer to $F$ vector spaces with rational $G$ action trivial on $F$ as $F \cdot G$-modules. Some basic results on $F \cdot G$-modules are collected in Section 3 below. An $F \cdot D$-module is an $F$ vector space having a derivation that is compatible with the derivation of $F$ and that is the union of finite-dimensional $F$ subspaces that are stable under the derivation. We denote by $F \langle G \rangle$ the usual group algebra of $G$ over $F$. 
2. Differential modules

We begin by showing that the differential $F$-module structure alone distinguishes Picard–Vessiot extensions.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let $E_1$ and $E_2$ be Picard–Vessiot extensions of $F$, and assume that they are isomorphic as differential $F$ vector spaces. Then they are isomorphic as differential fields. This is equivalent to the Picard–Vessiot rings of the $E_i$ being isomorphic as differential $F$ vector spaces.

**Proof.** We may assume that both $E_1$ and $E_2$ are differential subfields of a Picard–Vessiot extension of $F$. Let $B : E_1 \to E_2$ be an $F$ linear differential isomorphism. Let $R_i \subset E_i$ be the set of elements that satisfy monic linear homogeneous differential equations over $F$. Suppose $\alpha \in E_1$ is in $R_1$ and satisfies the differential equation $L(\alpha) = 0$, where $L(X) = X(n) + a_{n-1}X^{(n-1)} + \cdots + a_0$ is a monic homogeneous linear differential operator over $F$. We may assume that $L^{-1}(0) \subset E_1$ and $\dim_F L^{-1}(0) = n$. Now $0 = B(L(\beta)) = L(B(\beta))$ for any $\beta \in L^{-1}(0)$, so $B(L^{-1}(0)) = L^{-1}(0)$ and $\alpha \in L^{-1}(0) = B(L^{-1}(0)) \subset R_2$. It follows that $R_1 \subseteq R_2$. Similar considerations apply to elements of $R_2$. We conclude that $R_1 = R_2$, and also that the isomorphism $B$ carries $R_1$ to $R_2$. Since $R_i$ is the Picard–Vessiot ring of $E_i$ and $E_i$ is the quotient field of $R_i$, we have $E_1 = E_2$. Thus the identity is the desired differential field isomorphism between $E_1$ and $E_2$; note that it does not, in general, coincide with $B$. An examination of the proof shows that it suffices to begin with an $F \cdot D$ isomorphism from $R_1$ to $R_2$. \hfill $\square$

**Proposition 2.1** implies that it is sufficient to consider the $F \cdot D$-module $(R, D_R)$, that is, the $F$ vector space $R$ with its designated endomorphism $D_F$ (which is $C$, but not necessarily $F$, linear). We now consider the $F \cdot G$-module structure of $R$.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let $R$ be the Picard–Vessiot ring of a Picard–Vessiot extension $E$ of $F$ with differential Galois group $G$. Then $R$ is isomorphic to $F[G]$ as an $F \cdot G$-module.

**Proof.** It is a consequence of Kolchin’s theorem [Magid 1997, Theorem 5.12] that there is a finite Galois extension $F_1$ of $F$ such that $F_1 \otimes_F R \cong F_1[G]$ as $F_1$ and $G$-modules. Let $n = [F_1 : F]$. As $F$ vector spaces with $G$ action, the two sides of the above are isomorphic to $R^{(n)}$ and $F[G]^{(n)}$, which means the two direct sums are isomorphic as $F \cdot G$-modules. This implies that the socles of the direct sums are isomorphic $F \cdot G$-modules, and then, by counting multiplicities of simple components, that the socles of $R$ and $F[G]$ are $F \cdot G$ isomorphic. Since $F[G]$ is $F \cdot G$ injective [Cline et al. 1977], the isomorphism of the direct sums implies that $R$ is also an injective $F \cdot G$-module. Finally, injective $F \cdot G$ modules with isomorphic socles are isomorphic. \hfill $\square$
3. $F \cdot G$-modules

We recall that $F[G] = F \otimes_C C[G]$. We associate $F$-valued functions on $G$ to elements of $F[G]$: If $f = \sum a_i \otimes \phi_i$, then $f(g) = \sum \phi_i(g)a_i$ for $g \in G$. It is clear that elements of $F[G]$ are determined by their associated functions. Using this functional representation, the actions of $G$ on $F[G]$ are the left action $\lambda(g)f = g \cdot f$ where $g \cdot f(x) = f(xg)$ and the right action $\rho(g)f = f \cdot g^{-1}$ where $f \cdot g(x) = f(gx)$.

Note that $\lambda(g)\rho(h) = \rho(h)\lambda(g)$.

We have evaluation functionals given by $\text{ev}_g : F[G] \to F$, $f \mapsto f(g)$. Note that the only element of $F[G]$ in the kernel of all the evaluation functionals is 0.

If $X \subset F[G]$ is a left (or right) $F \cdot G$-submodule, we use $\lambda$ (or $\rho$) to denote the $G$ action on $X$, and we use $\text{ev}_g : X \to F$ for the evaluation restrictions. In $\text{End}_F(X)$ we let $\Lambda = \sum_g F\lambda(g)$ (or $P = \sum_g F\rho(g)$). If $X$ is both a left and right $F \cdot G$-submodule, then $\Lambda$ and $P$ commute.

Suppose $X$ is finite-dimensional. Then the fact that intersections of the kernels of the evaluation functionals is trivial means that they span $\text{Hom}_F(X, F)$.

That $F[G]$ is $F \cdot G$ injective and that the multiplicities of the simple components of its socle are finite follow from the next lemma, whose proof and use are the same as the familiar case where $F = C$ [Cline et al. 1977, Proposition 1.4, page 9]:

**Lemma 3.1.** Let $W$ be a finite-dimensional $F \cdot G$-module, with associated $F[G]$ comodule structure $\gamma_W : W \to W \otimes_F F[G]$. Let $\text{ev}_e : F[G] \to F$ be evaluation at the identity. Then there is an isomorphism as (right) $G$-modules and $F$ vector spaces given by

$$\text{Hom}_{F,G}(W, F[G]) \to \text{Hom}_F(W, F)$$

with $\Phi \mapsto \text{ev}_e \circ \Phi$ and $f \mapsto (1 \otimes f) \circ \gamma_W$.

This “duality” lemma also implies a structural result about endomorphism rings:

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $W \subset F[G]$ be a finite-dimensional $F \cdot G$-submodule that is also a right $G$-submodule, and suppose every $F \cdot G$-morphism $W \to F[G]$ has image in $W$. Then $\text{End}_{F,G}(W) = P$.

**Proof:** By assumption we have $\text{End}_{F,G}(W) = \text{Hom}_{F,G}(W, F[G])$, and Lemma 3.1 says that

$$\text{Hom}_{F,G}(W, F[G]) \to \text{Hom}_F(W, F), \quad \Phi \mapsto \text{ev}_e \circ \Phi$$

is an isomorphism. For $g \in G$ we have that $\rho(g^{-1})$ is an $F \cdot G$-endomorphism of $W$, and one checks that $\text{ev}_e \circ \rho(g^{-1}) = \text{ev}_e$. Since $W$ is finite-dimensional, $\text{Hom}_F(W, F)$ is spanned by evaluations, which means that the subring $P$ of the $F \cdot G$-endomorphism ring maps onto $\text{Hom}_F(W, F)$ and hence coincides with the endomorphism ring. \qed
Another way to state the result of Lemma 3.2 is that the ring homomorphism $F(G) \to \text{End}_{F \cdot G}(W)$ induced from $\rho : G \to \text{End}_{F \cdot G}(W)$ is surjective.

This is not necessarily the case for automorphisms. However:

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $W$ be an $F \cdot G$-submodule of $F[G]$. The morphisms

$$\text{End}_{F \cdot G}(F[G]) \to \text{End}_{F \cdot G}(W) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Aut}_{F \cdot G}(F[G]) \to \text{Aut}_{F \cdot G}(W)$$

induced from restriction are surjective.

**Proof.** By construction, $W$ is an $F \cdot G$-submodule of the injective $F \cdot G$-module $F[G]$. This means that $F[G]$ contains an injective hull $I$ of $W$. Then $I$ is an essential extension of $W$, which in our context means that they have the same socle, and $I$, being injective, is also a direct summand of $F[G]$. Let $B$ be an automorphism of $W$. Composing $B$ with the inclusion of $W$ in $I$ is a monomorphism. Since $I$ is injective, the inclusion of $W$ into $I$ factors through this monomorphism, so that $B$ lifts to an endomorphism $B_0$ of $I$. The same argument, in the case that $B$ is only an endomorphism, also proves the first claim of the lemma. The kernel of $B_0$, if nontrivial, contains a simple submodule, which belongs to the socle of $I$ and hence in the kernel of $B$. That kernel is trivial, and thus so is the kernel of $B_0$. The image $I_0 = B_0(I)$ is then an injective submodule of $I$ (being isomorphic to $I$) and contains $B(W) = W$. Again, because $I$ is an essential extension of $W$, this implies that $I = I_0$ and $B_0$ is onto, and hence an automorphism of $I_0$. Now take $B_1$ to be an automorphism of $F[G]$ that is $B_0$ on $I$ and the identity on a complementary direct summand. \(\square\)

There is a derivation of $F[G]$ coming from $F$ given by $D_F \otimes 1$. We denote this by $\partial$ in this section. Note that $\partial$ is a $G$-morphism. Suppose that $X$ is a finite-dimensional $F \cdot G$-submodule of $F[G]$ with $\partial(X) \subset X$ and that $T : X \to X$ is an $F \cdot G$-endomorphism. We define

$$T' = \partial \circ T - T \circ \partial.$$

It is straightforward to check that $T'$ is also an $F \cdot G$-endomorphism. If $T = 1 \otimes \tau$ for a $G$ endomorphism of $C[G]$, then $T$ commutes with $\partial$ and $T' = 0$. It follows that

if $T = \sum f_i \rho(g_i)$ then $T' = \sum f'_i \rho(g_i)$,

which we call the differentiation of coefficients formula. In these notation, we also have the following conjugation formula:

**Lemma 3.4.** Let $X$ be a finite-dimensional $F \cdot G$-submodule of $F[G]$ such that $\partial(X) \subset X$, and let $B : X \to X$ be an $F \cdot G$-automorphism. Then

$$B^{-1} \partial B = \partial + B^{-1} B'.$
More generally, if \((X, \Delta)\) is an \(F \cdot D\) structure on \(X\) and \(T = \Delta - \partial\), then we have 
\(B^{-1} \Delta B = \partial + B^{-1} B' + B^{-1} TB\).

**Proof.** Let \(S = B^{-1} \partial B\), so \(BS = \partial \circ B\). By definition, \(\partial \circ B = B' + B \circ \partial\), so 
\(S = B^{-1} (B' + B \circ \partial) = B^{-1} B' + \partial\), as desired. The second formula is immediate from the first. \(\square\)

4. **Isomorphism classes of Picard–Vessiot extensions**

Let \(V\) be a faithful finite-dimensional \(G\)-module over \(C\).

**Definition 1.** Let \(Y\) be any rational \(G\)-module over \(C\). Then 
\[
\mathcal{W}(Y) = \sum \{ \phi(V) \mid \phi \in \text{Hom}_G(V, Y) \}.
\]

For \(Y = F[G]\), we let \(\mathcal{W}\) denote \(\mathcal{W}(F[G])\).

If \(f : Y \to Z\) is a \(G\)-module morphism, then \(f(\mathcal{W}(Y)) \subseteq \mathcal{W}(Z)\), and in particular \(\mathcal{W}(Y)\) is stable under \(G\)-endomorphisms of \(Y\). It is clear that \(\mathcal{W}(Y)\) is a \(G\)-submodule of \(Y\), and that \(\mathcal{W}(Y)\) is the image of 
\[
\text{Hom}_G(V, Y) \otimes_C V \to Y, \quad \phi \otimes y \mapsto \phi(y).
\]

In the special case \(Y = F[G]\) and \(W = F \otimes_C V\), we have 
\[
\text{Hom}_G(V, F[G]) = \text{Hom}_{F \cdot G}(W, F[G]) \quad \text{and} \quad \otimes_C V = \otimes_F W,
\]
so that \(\text{Hom}_{F \cdot G}(V, F[G]) \otimes_C V = \text{Hom}_{F \cdot G}(W, F[G]) \otimes_F W\), from which it follows that \(\mathcal{W}(F[G])\) is the image of 
\[
\text{Hom}_{F \cdot G}(W, F[G]) \otimes_F W \to F[G], \quad \psi \otimes w \mapsto \psi(w).
\]

Since \(\text{Hom}_{F \cdot G}(W, F[G]) = \text{Hom}_F(W, F)\) is a finite-dimensional \(F\)-module, this shows that \(\mathcal{W}(F[G])\) is a finite-dimensional \(F \cdot G\)-module, and that \(\mathcal{W}(F[G]) = \sum \{ \psi(W) \mid \psi \in \text{Hom}_{F \cdot G}(W, F[G]) \}\). Since \(R\) is \(F \cdot G\) isomorphic to \(F[G]\), we see that \(\mathcal{W}(R)\) is also a finite-dimensional \(F \cdot G\)-submodule of \(R\). Moreover, the restriction of \(D_E\) to \(\mathcal{W}(R)\) determines \(D_E\), as we now note:

**Lemma 4.1.** \(\mathcal{W}(R)\) is finite-dimensional over \(F\) and an \(F \cdot G\)- and \(F \cdot D\)-submodule of \(R\). The \(F\) subalgebra of \(R\) generated by \(\mathcal{W}(R)\) has quotient field \(E\). In particular, the restriction of \(D_E\) to \(\mathcal{W}(R)\) determines \(D_E\).

**Proof.** \(D\) is a \(G\)-endomorphism of \(R\), and hence preserves \(\mathcal{W}(R)\). This makes \(\mathcal{W}(R)\) an \(F \cdot D\)-submodule of \(R\), and hence the subalgebra generated over \(F\) by \(\mathcal{W}(R)\) is a differential subalgebra of \(R\), and its quotient field \(K\) is then an intermediate differential field of the Picard–Vessiot extension \(E \supset F\), and so is of the form \(E^H\) for a subgroup \(H\) of \(G\). By assumption, we have an embedding \(V \to C[G]\), hence \(V \to F[G]\), and therefore, by Proposition 2.2, an embedding \(\phi : V \to R\).
Then $\phi(V)$ is a faithful $G$-submodule of $W(R)$ and hence of $K$. Since no element of $G$ other then $e$ acts trivially on $K$, we have $H$ trivial and $K = E$. \hfill $\square$

We are now ready to construct the invariant. We recall from Proposition 2.1 that if we have two Picard–Vessiot rings $R_1$ and $R_2$ with corresponding derivations $D_1$ and $D_2$, then they are isomorphic as Picard–Vessiot rings if and only if there is an $F$ vector space isomorphism $B : R_1 \to R_2$ such that $D_2 = BD_1B^{-1}$. If there is such a $B$, then there will be one that is an $F \cdot G$-isomorphism.

We can select an $F \cdot G$-isomorphism $A_i : R_i \to F[G]$, as per Proposition 2.2, and consider the $G$ endomorphisms $A_iDA_i^{-1}$ of $F[G]$.

We have $A_i(W(R_i)) = W$, and hence, by Lemma 4.1, that $\Delta_i = A_iDA_i^{-1}$ is determined by its restriction to $W$, which we denote by the same symbol. As previously noted, the structures $\mathcal{M}_i = (W, \Delta_i)$ are $F \cdot D$-modules. If the $R_i$ are isomorphic, then clearly so are the $\mathcal{M}_i$, where by the latter we mean that there is an $F \cdot G$-module automorphism of $W$ carrying $\Delta_1$ to $\Delta_2$. We record this and its converse in the following result:

**Theorem 4.2.** For $i = 1, 2$, let $E_i$ be Picard–Vessiot extensions of $F$ with group $G$, let $R_i$ be the Picard–Vessiot ring of $E_i$, and let $A_i : R_i \to F[G]$ be an $F \cdot G$-isomorphism. Then the $E_i$ are isomorphic if and only if there is an $F \cdot G$-automorphism $B$ of $W$ such that

$$BA_1D_{R_1}A_1^{-1}B^{-1}|_W = A_2D_{R_2}A_2^{-1}|_W.$$  

**Proof.** If the $E_i$ are isomorphic, then there is a differential $F \cdot G$-isomorphism $R_1 \to R_2$ that produces $B$. Conversely, suppose we have $B$. If $B$ is the restriction to $W$ of an $F \cdot G$-automorphism $B_1$ of $F[G]$, then replacing $A_1$ by $B_1A_1$ gives an isomorphism of $R_1$ to $F[G]$ such that the resulting $F \cdot D$-module structure on $W$ coincides with that for $R_2$, so that the $R_i$ and hence $E_i$ are isomorphic. So the theorem follows from Lemma 3.3. \hfill $\square$

**Theorem 4.2** says that an isomorphism class of Picard–Vessiot extensions corresponds to an equivalence class of differential structures on $W$, the equivalence relation coming from conjugation by $F \cdot G$-automorphisms. We always have the differential structure $\partial$ on $W$ induced from $D_F \otimes 1$ on $F[G]$ as in Section 3. Then $(W, \Delta)$ is an $F \cdot D$-module if and only if $\Delta - \partial$ is an $F \cdot G$-endomorphism of $W$. The action of $F \cdot G$-automorphisms on differential structures on $W$ then translates to the following action on $F \cdot G$-endomorphisms:

For $B \in \text{Aut}_{F,G}(W)$ and $T \in \text{End}_{F,G}(W)$, let $T^B = BTB^{-1} + B^{-1}B'$, where $B' = \partial B - B \circ \partial$. This defines a right action of automorphisms on endomorphisms, called, for obvious reasons, conjugation plus logarithmic differentiation. Then Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 3.4 imply the following:
Corollary 4.3. Isomorphism classes of Picard–Vessiot extensions of $F$ with group $G$ correspond to $\text{Aut}_{F,G}(\mathbb{W})$ orbits on $\text{End}_{F,G}(\mathbb{W})$ under the conjugation plus logarithmic differentiation right action.

5. Examples

We use $\log(x)$ to denote the logarithmic derivative $x'/x$.

We begin with the case of finite $G$. Finite Galois extensions $E \supseteq F$ are Picard–Vessiot: There is a unique extension of the derivation $D_F$ to $E$, and this turns out to have field of constants $C$. Moreover, in this case the Picard–Vessiot ring $R$ coincides with $E$. On the other hand, it is never the case that $R$ is isomorphic as an $F$ algebra with $F[G]$, as the latter is always just a finite product of copies of $F$.

Example 1. $G = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. A Picard–Vessiot extension of $F$ with group $G$ is then of the form $E = F(\sqrt{d})$, where $d$ is a nonsquare of $F$. Assume $D_F$ is extended to $E$. Differentiating the equation $(\sqrt{d})^2 = d$ shows that $(\sqrt{d})' = d'/(2\sqrt{d})$ which we write as $\frac{1}{2}\log(d)\sqrt{d}$.

Let $e$ denote the identity and $g$ denote the nontrivial element of $G$. The ring $F[G]$ is all functions $F^G$, which is isomorphic to the group algebra $F(G) = Fe + Fg$. (The isomorphism has $e$ corresponding to the constant function 1 and $g$ to the function that is 1 on the identity and $-1$ on $g$.) There is a $G$ isomorphism $A : E \rightarrow F(G)$ by $1 \mapsto e + g$ and $\sqrt{d} \mapsto e - g$. (This is, of course, a special case of the normal basis theorem.) In terms of coordinates, $A(a + b\sqrt{d}) = (a + b)e + (a - b)g$ while $A^{-1}(ae + bg) = \frac{1}{2}(a + \beta) + \frac{1}{2}(a - \beta)\sqrt{d}$. Then if $D = D_E$, we calculate

$$ADA^{-1}(ae + bg) = (a' + \frac{1}{2}(a - \beta)\frac{1}{2}\log(d))e + (\beta' - \frac{1}{2}(a - \beta)\frac{1}{2}\log(d))g.$$ 

By Section 3, the derivation $\partial = D_F \otimes 1$ of $F(G)$ is given by $\partial(\alpha e + \beta g) = \alpha' e + \beta'g$, and hence the determining $F \cdot G$-module endomorphism $T = ADA^{-1} - \partial$ is given by

$$T(\alpha e + \beta g) = \frac{1}{2}\log(d)\frac{1}{2}(a - \beta)e + \frac{1}{2}(\beta - a)g.$$ 

We now specify the faithful $G$-module $\mathbb{V}$: We choose the one-dimensional module on which $g$ acts nontrivially, which appears here as the module spanned by $e - g$. It then follows that we may choose $F(e - g)$ for $\mathbb{V}$. On $\mathbb{V}$, $T$ becomes multiplication by $\frac{1}{2}\log(d)$. Now suppose $B$ is any $F \cdot G$-automorphism of $\mathbb{V}$. Then $B$ is multiplication by some nonzero element $a$ of $F$, so that $B$ commutes with $T$ and $B^{-1}B'$ is multiplication by $\log(a)$ and $B^{-1}TB + B^{-1}B'$ is multiplication by $\frac{1}{2}\log(d) + \log(a)$, which can be written $\frac{1}{2}\log(a^2 d)$.

This is interpreted as follows: Picard–Vessiot extensions of $F$ with group $G$ are quadratic extensions of $F$. Those isomorphic to $F(\sqrt{d})$ are of the form $F(\sqrt{c})$ where $c$ is equivalent to $d$ modulo squares, or $c = a^2 d$ for some $a \in F$. 

Example 2. $G = \mathbb{G}_m$. For Picard–Vessiot extensions $E \supset F$ with group $\mathbb{G}_m$, we have $E = F(y)$, where $y$ is transcendental over $F$ and satisfies $y' = ay$ for some $a \in F$. Furthermore, there is no $a \in F$ with $a' = aa$, which says that $a$ is not a logarithmic derivative in $F$. The Picard–Vessiot ring here is $F[y, y^{-1}]$, which is isomorphic as an $F$-algebra and $G$-module to $F[\mathbb{G}_m]$, the isomorphism $A$ carrying $y$ to the coordinate $t$ on $\mathbb{G}_m$. For $V$ we can take the $C$-module spanned by $t$, and then $W$ turns out to be $Ft$. We compute $ADA^{-1}$ on $W$ as $at \mapsto ay \mapsto (a'+a)t$. So $T = ADA^{-1} - \partial$ is multiplication by $a$. As in Example 1, an $F \cdot G$-automorphism $B$ of $W$ is a multiplier by some nonzero element $b$ of $F$, and so $\Phi^{-1}TB + \Phi^{-1}B'$ is multiplication by $a + \text{dlog}(b)$.

Suppose $K$ is a Picard–Vessiot extension of $F$ with group $\mathbb{G}_m$ such that $K$ is $\mathbb{G}_m$ differentially isomorphic to $C(y)$. Modeling $E$ on $C(y)$, we see that $E$ is generated by $z = by$ for some nonzero $b \in F$. Then $z$ satisfies $z' = (b'+ab)y = (\text{dlog}(b)+a)z$. Thus the invariant corresponding to $K$ is $a + \text{dlog}(b)$.

Example 3. $G = \text{SL}_2(C)$. As with Example 2, here all Picard–Vessiot rings are isomorphic to $F[\text{SL}_2(C)]$; see [Magid 1997, Theorem 5.12] and [Serre 1997, Proposition 33]. However, in this case the classification of extensions is not available, and so we confine our attention to the specific case $F = C(x)$, the field of rational functions with constant coefficients and with $x' = 1$, and the Picard–Vessiot extension $E \supset F$ for the Airy equation $Y'' - xy$. Then the Picard–Vessiot ring $R$ is known to be $F[y, x, y', y'']/{(y'z - zy' - 1)}$, where $y, z$ are solutions of the Airy equation [Magid 1997, Example 4.29].

In terms of the familiar matrix coordinates we can write

$$F[\text{SL}_2] = F[x_{11}, x_{12}, x_{21}, x_{22}] / (x_{11}x_{22} - x_{12}x_{21} - 1).$$

There is an obvious $F$ algebra isomorphism

$$A : R \to F[\text{SL}_2], \quad y \mapsto x_{11}, \quad z \mapsto x_{21}, \quad y' \mapsto x_{12}, \quad z' \mapsto x_{22}.$$ 

For $V$, we are going to use the $\text{SL}_2$-module $C^2$ (column 2-tuples with the usual left matrix multiplication action of $\text{SL}_2$). $V$ appears in $R$ as $Cy + Cz$, which we will use. For $A$ to be $\text{SL}_2$ linear, we need to use the *right* action of $\text{SL}_2$ on $F[\text{SL}_2]$: Thus if $X$ is the matrix $[x_{ij}]$ and $g \in \text{SL}_2(C)$ is the matrix $[x_{ij}(g)]$, then

$$x_{ij}^g = x_{ij}(gX) = x_{i1}(g)x_{1j} + x_{i2}(g)x_{2j}.$$ 

One checks then that $W$ is 4-dimensional over $F$, and hence equals $\sum Fx_{ij}$. Then $T = ADA^{-1}$ is given by $T(x_{11}) = x_{12}$ and $T(x_{12}) = xx_{11}$.

To determine the class of $T$, we need to know about the $F \cdot G$-automorphisms of $W$. According to Lemma 3.2, all $F \cdot G$-endomorphisms of $W$ are $F$ linear combinations of “right” (here left) $\text{SL}_2$ translations symbolized by $X \mapsto Xg$. Every 2-by-2 matrix $P$ in $M_2(F)$ can be written as an $F$-linear combination of matrices

...
in \( SL_2(C) \). Thus every \( F \cdot G \)-endomorphism \( B \) of \( \mathcal{W} \) can be written as \( X \mapsto XP \) for some \( P \) in \( M_2(F) \). For example, \( T \) is represented by the matrix \( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \). An endomorphism \( B \) will be an automorphism if and only if the representing matrix \( P \) is invertible, in which case \( B^{-1} \) will be represented by \( P^{-1} \). By the derivation of coefficients formula below, we know that \( B' \) is given by the matrix obtained from \( P \) by differentiating entries.

So let \( B \) be an \( F \cdot G \)-automorphism of \( \mathcal{W} \) represented by an invertible matrix \( P \), which we write in the form \( \delta Q \), where \( Q \) has determinant 1.

Let \( Q = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \). Then \( B^{-1}TB + B^{-1}B' \) is represented by the matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  d & -b \\
  -c & a
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  0 & x \\
  1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  a & b \\
  c & d
\end{bmatrix}
+ \begin{bmatrix}
  d & -b \\
  -c & a
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
  a' & b' \\
  c' & d'
\end{bmatrix}
+ d\log(\delta).
\]
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