

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**A GENERALIZATION OF THE PONTRYAGIN–HILL
THEOREMS TO PROJECTIVE MODULES
OVER PRÜFER DOMAINS**

JORGE MACÍAS-DÍAZ

A GENERALIZATION OF THE PONTRYAGIN–HILL THEOREMS TO PROJECTIVE MODULES OVER PRÜFER DOMAINS

JORGE MACÍAS-DÍAZ

*To Professor Patricia Arias Muñoz
on the occasion of her 39th academic anniversary.*

Motivated by the Pontryagin–Hill criteria of freeness for abelian groups, we investigate conditions under which unions of ascending chains of projective modules are again projective. We prove several extensions of these criteria for modules over arbitrary rings and domains, including a genuine generalization of Hill’s theorem for projective modules over Prüfer domains with a countable number of maximal ideals. More precisely, we prove that, over such domains, modules that are unions of countable ascending chains of projective, pure submodules are likewise projective.

1. Introduction

In the last century, Lev Pontryagin and Paul Hill studied conditions under which torsion-free abelian groups are free. A crucial concept was purity of subgroups: A subgroup H of an abelian group G is *pure* if every equation of the form $kx = a \in H$, with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, is solvable in H whenever it is solvable in G . Equivalently, solvability in G of each system of equations of the form

$$(1-1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m k_{ij}x_j = a_i \in H \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

with every $k_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$, implies its solvability in H .

In [1934], Pontryagin proved that a countable, torsion-free abelian group is free if and only if every finite rank, pure subgroup is free. Equivalently, every properly ascending chain of pure subgroups of finite rank is finite. From the proof of

MSC2000: primary 13C05, 13C10; secondary 16D40, 13F05.

Keywords: Pontryagin–Hill theorems, projective modules, Prüfer domains, $G(\aleph_0)$ -families of submodules, pure submodules, relatively divisible submodules.

this result, it follows that a torsion-free abelian group G is free if there exists an ascending chain

$$(1-2) \quad 0 = G_0 \hookrightarrow G_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow G_n \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } n < \omega,$$

consisting of pure subgroups of G whose union is equal to G , such that every G_n is free and countable.

Later, in [1970], Hill established that, in order for an abelian group G to be free, it is sufficient to prove that it is the union of a countable ascending chain (1-2) of free, pure subgroups. In other words, Hill proved that the condition of countability on the cardinality of the links G_n in Pontryagin's theorem was superfluous. The proof relies on some important facts about commutative groups, one of them being that subgroups of torsion-free abelian groups can be embedded in pure subgroups of the same rank. Applications of these criteria may be actually found in a variety of algebraic results [Cornelius 1971; Eklof 1976; Eklof and Shelah 2001; Mekler and Shelah 1993].

In view of the importance of the Pontryagin–Hill theorems in algebra, it is highly desirable to generalize these criteria to the more general scenario of projective modules over suitable rings. With that purpose in mind, this paper establishes positive answers in the search for extensions of these theorems. Section 2 states some useful results concerning relative divisibility, purity, projectivity and localizations of modules. In Section 3, we prove some propositions related to classes of families of sets that are relevant to our investigation, while Section 4 presents the generalizations of the Pontryagin–Hill criteria to projective modules over semihereditary domains.

2. Preliminaries

By a *ring* we mean a ring with an identity element, and by an *integral domain* or, simply, a *domain*, we understand a commutative ring without divisors of zero. In this context, a *Prüfer domain* is a semihereditary domain, that is, a domain in which finitely generated ideals are projective.

Over an integral domain, any two maximal independent subsets of a torsion-free module have the same cardinality, the common cardinal number being called the *rank* of the module. Clearly, if a torsion-free module over a domain has rank at most κ , for some cardinal number κ , then every submodule also has rank at most κ . Moreover, if a torsion-free module over an integral domain is at most κ -generated, then it has rank less than or equal to κ .

2.1. Pure submodules. Let R be a ring. We say a submodule N of an R -module M is *relatively divisible* if the inclusion $N \cap rM \hookrightarrow rN$ holds for every $r \in R$. Equivalently, solvability in M of equations of the form $rx = a \in N$, with $r \in R$,

implies their solvability in N . We say that N is *pure* in M if every finite system

$$(2-1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m r_{ij}x_j = a_i \in N \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n$$

of equations, with $r_{ij} \in R$, is solvable in N whenever it is solvable in M . Under these circumstances, a short-exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0$ is *RD*-exact (respectively, pure-exact) if N is a relatively divisible (respectively, pure) submodule of M . Evidently, purity implies relative divisibility, and they both coincide for modules over Prüfer domains [Warfield 1969]. Moreover, Prüfer domains are the only integral domains for which relative divisibility and purity are equivalent [Cartan and Eilenberg 1999].

The conditions of relative divisibility and purity have many interesting properties. For instance, they are closed under unions of ascending chains of arbitrary lengths. Also, the intersection of relatively divisible submodules of a torsion-free module M is again relatively divisible. Thus, for every subset X of M , there exists a smallest relatively divisible submodule of M containing X , called the *relatively divisible hull* of X . For torsion-free modules over Prüfer domains, this submodule of M is called the *purification* of X in M , in view that it coincides with the smallest pure submodule $\langle X \rangle_*$ of M containing X . It is worth noticing that, over integral domains, the relatively divisible hull of a submodule has the same rank as the submodule itself. For proofs of these and more properties on relative divisibility and purity of modules, refer to [Fuchs and Salce 2001, Sections I.7 and I.8].

2.2. Projective modules. Some elementary results on projective modules will be needed in the present work. For simplicity, modules are defined over an integral domain R unless stated otherwise.

The following result for modules over domains is of utmost importance. It was generalized by Endo [1962] to more general settings. Indeed, a more general result states that finitely presented, flat modules over arbitrary rings are projective.

Theorem 1 [Cartier 1958]. *Finitely generated, flat modules over integral domains are projective.*

Let B be a flat module. Our next result uses the fact [Fuchs and Salce 2001, Lemma VI.9.1] that an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is pure-exact if and only if C is flat.

Lemma 2. *Every finite rank, pure submodule of a projective module over an integral domain is a finitely generated, projective module.*

Proof. Let A be a finite rank, pure submodule of a projective module M . Without loss of generality, we can assume that M is a free module and that it is of finite rank. This means that M is finitely generated. Now, the purity of A implies that

M/A is a finitely generated, flat module. By Theorem 1, A is a direct summand of M and hence is a finitely generated, projective module. □

Lemma 3. *Every countable rank, pure submodule of a projective module over an integral domain is contained in a countably generated submodule.*

Proof. Let A be a countable rank, pure submodule of the projective module M . Then there exists a free module F which contains M as a direct summand. So A is pure in F and is contained in a countably generated, free summand N of F . Then, the image of N under the projection homomorphism of F onto M is again countably generated and contains A . □

Corollary 4. *Over integral domains, projective modules of countable rank are countably generated.*

Corollary 5. *Every countably generated module of projective dimension at most 1 over an integral domain is countably presented.*

2.3. Localizations. Throughout this section, R will represent an integral domain. Here, we obey the tradition of representing the localization of a torsion-free module M at a multiplicatively closed set $S \subseteq R$ by M_S , and the localization of M at the complement of a prime ideal P of R by M_P . We denote by $D(M)$ the localization of M with respect to $R \setminus 0$, and the set of maximal ideals of R by $\max R$.

Lemma 6. *Let R have countably many maximal ideals. A torsion-free R -module M is countably generated if and only if the localization of M at every maximal ideal P of R is a countably generated R_P -module.*

Proof. We only need to prove that the given condition is sufficient. For each maximal ideal P of R , choose a countable generating set of the R_P -module M_P , consisting of elements of the form $a_p^n/1$, with $a_p^n \in M$, for every $n < \omega$. Let M' be the R -submodule of $D(M)$ generated by

$$(2-2) \quad Y = \bigcup_{P \in \max R} \{a_p^n/1 : n < \omega\}.$$

Obviously, Y is contained in M , and therefore M' is contained in M as a submodule. Moreover, for every maximal ideal P of R , we have that $M_P = M'_P$ as R_P -modules; consequently, $M = M'$. □

Proposition 7. *Let R be any integral domain that is not a field, and let S be a countably infinite, multiplicatively closed subset of R that does not contain any unit of R . Then, there exists a countable ascending chain*

$$(2-3) \quad 0 = M_0 \hookrightarrow M_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow M_n \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } n < \omega,$$

of submodules of the R -module $J = R_S$, such that

- (i) every M_n is projective,
- (ii) none of the M_n is pure in J , and
- (iii) $J = \bigcup_{n < \omega} M_n$.

Proof. Let $S = \{s_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. For every positive integer n , let M_n be the R -submodule of J given by

$$(2-4) \quad M_n = \left\langle \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_n} \right\rangle \cong R.$$

Obviously the sequence $\{M_n\}_{n < \omega}$ forms an ascending chain (2-3) of R -modules satisfying (i) and (iii). To establish (ii), it suffices to prove that every M_n is not relatively divisible in M . Indeed, observe that the equation

$$(2-5) \quad s_{n+1}x = \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_n}$$

is solvable in the torsion-free R -module J , the only solution being

$$(2-6) \quad x = \frac{1}{s_1 \cdots s_n s_{n+1}}.$$

However, (2-5) is not solvable in M_n , so M_n is not pure in M . □

The existence of the set S in Proposition 7 is guaranteed for every integral domain R that is not a field. For instance, S can be the set of all positive integer powers of a nonzero, noninvertible element of R .

Proposition 8. *Let R be an integral domain that is not a field, and let S be a countably infinite, multiplicatively closed subset of R that does not contain any unit of R . Then there exists a pure-exact sequence*

$$(2-7) \quad 0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow F \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0,$$

with H and F free R -modules of countably infinite rank, and $J = R_S$.

Proof. Proposition 7 guarantees the existence of a countable ascending chain (2-3) of projective R -modules whose union equals J , and it follows by Auslander’s lemma [1955] that $\text{pd}_R J \leq 1$. However, J is an infinitely generated localization of R , so it cannot be projective. As a consequence, J is a flat module of projective dimension 1, and since it is countably generated, it is countably presented by Corollary 5. Thus, there exists a pure-exact sequence

$$(2-8) \quad 0 \rightarrow P \rightarrow F \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0$$

of R -modules, with P a countably generated, projective module, and F a free module of countably infinite rank. By a well-known result of Eilenberg [Fuchs and

Salce 2001, Exercise 1.1 in Chapter VI], there exists a free module H of countably infinite rank such that $P \oplus H \cong H$. Therefore, the induced sequence

$$(2-9) \quad 0 \rightarrow P \oplus H \rightarrow F \oplus H \rightarrow J \rightarrow 0$$

is pure-exact. The conclusion follows after noting $F \oplus H$ is isomorphic to F . \square

It is important to keep in mind that the R -module J in Proposition 8 is not projective. Also, it is useful to point out that localizations of Prüfer domains are again Prüfer domains; particularly, localizations of Prüfer domains at prime ideals are valuation domains [Fuchs and Salce 2001].

3. Families of modules

A $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of a module M over a ring R is a family \mathcal{B} consisting of submodules of M the properties that

- (i) $0, M \in \mathcal{B}$,
- (ii) \mathcal{B} is closed under unions of ascending chains of arbitrary lengths, and
- (iii) for every $A_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ and every countable set $H \subseteq M$, there exists $A \in \mathcal{B}$ containing A_0 and H , such that A/A_0 is countably generated.

Clearly, an intersection of a countable number of $G(\aleph_0)$ -families of submodules of M is again a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of submodules of M . Examples of $G(\aleph_0)$ -families are axiom-3 families. By an *axiom-3* family of M we mean a family \mathcal{B} of submodules of M satisfying (i) and (iii) above, plus the property that

- (ii)' \mathcal{B} is closed under arbitrary sums.

A $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of submodules of M is a *tight system* if, in addition,

- (iv) $\text{pd}_R A \leq 1$ and $\text{pd}_R(M/A) \leq 1$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

It is worth mentioning that every module has a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of submodules, namely, the collection of all its submodules. However, the existence of a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of pure submodules is not guaranteed in general for every R -module, not even when R is an integral domain. However, over a valuation domain, a torsion-free module of projective dimension less than or equal to 1 has a tight system of pure submodules [Bazzoni and Fuchs 1984]. In the proof of the following result, we use ideas previously applied in the investigation of the freeness of abelian groups [Hill 1973; 1974], and Butler groups of infinite rank [Bican and Rangaswamy 1998; Bican et al. 2000].

Theorem 9. *Let R be a Prüfer domain with a countable number of maximal ideals. Every torsion-free R -module of projective dimension at most equal to 1 has a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of pure submodules.*

Proof. Let $\{P_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ be all the maximal ideals of R , and let M be a torsion-free R -module of projective dimension at most equal to 1. For every positive integer n , the module M_{P_n} is torsion-free of projective dimension at most equal to 1 over the valuation domain R_{P_n} , and so it has a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family \mathcal{B}_n consisting of pure R_{P_n} -submodules. Clearly, every \mathcal{B}_n is also a family of pure submodules of M_{P_n} when considered as an R -module.

Let

$$(3-1) \quad \mathcal{B}'_n = \mathcal{B}_n \cap M = \{A \cap M : A \in \mathcal{B}_n\} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Obviously, every \mathcal{B}'_n is a family of pure R -submodules of M that is closed under unions of ascending chains, such that $0, M \in \mathcal{B}'_n$. Therefore, the intersection \mathcal{B} of all families \mathcal{B}'_n is a family of pure R -submodules of M satisfying properties (i) and (ii) of a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family, and we only need to prove (iii).

Let $B_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, and let $H_0 \subseteq M$ be a countable set. For every positive integer n , there exists $A_n^0 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ such that $B_0 = A_n^0 \cap M$. Our argument hinges on the construction of a countable ascending chain

$$(3-2) \quad B_0 \hookrightarrow B_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow B_m \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } m < \omega,$$

of submodules of the R -module M , such that

- (a) H_0 is contained in B_1 , and
- (b) B_{m+1} has countable rank over B_m for every $m < \omega$.

More precisely, for every $m < \omega$, B_{m+1} is the union of a countable ascending chain

$$(3-3) \quad B_m = B_0^{m+1} \hookrightarrow B_1^{m+1} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow B_k^{m+1} \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } k < \omega,$$

of pure R -submodules of M , satisfying, for every $k < \omega$,

- (c_m) $B_k^{m+1} = A_k^{m+1} \cap M$ with $A_k^{m+1} \in \mathcal{B}_k$,
- (d_m) A_k^{m+1} is a countably generated R_{P_k} -module over A_k^0 , and
- (e_m) B_k^{m+1} has countable rank over B_0 .

Assume that the links of the finite ascending chain

$$(3-4) \quad B_0 \hookrightarrow B_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow B_m$$

have been constructed as desired, for some $m < \omega$. Moreover, let $n < \omega$ and assume that the links of countable ascending chain (3-3) have also been constructed as required, for every $k \leq n$. Choose a complete set of representatives G_{n+1}^m of a countable generating system of the $R_{P_{n+1}}$ -module A_{n+1}^m modulo A_{n+1}^0 , and a complete set of representatives H_n^{m+1} of a maximal independent system of the R -module B_n^{m+1} modulo B_0 . Then, there exists $A_{n+1}^{m+1} \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ containing both A_{n+1}^0 and $G_{n+1}^m \cup H_n^{m+1} \cup H_0$, such that A_{n+1}^{m+1} is a countably generated $R_{P_{n+1}}$ -module

over A_{n+1}^0 . (This procedure ensures that B_1^1 will be a module of countable rank over B_0 , and that B_1^1 contains H_0 .)

Let $B_{n+1}^{m+1} = A_{n+1}^{m+1} \cap M$. Properties (c_m) and (d_m) are then satisfied for $k = n + 1$. Moreover, since the R -module A_{n+1}^{m+1} has countable rank over A_{n+1}^0 ,

$$(3-5) \quad \frac{B_{n+1}^{m+1}}{B_0} \cong \frac{A_{n+1}^0 + (A_{n+1}^{m+1} \cap M)}{A_{n+1}^0} \hookrightarrow \frac{A_{n+1}^{m+1}}{A_{n+1}^0}$$

is also a countable rank R -module, so property (e_m) is also satisfied for $k = n + 1$. By induction, we construct the countable ascending chain (3-3) with the desired properties, and let B_{m+1} be the union of the links of such chain.

Inductively, we construct a countable ascending chain (3-2) with the desired properties. Then $B = \bigcup_{m < \omega} B_m$ is an R -submodule of M that contains B_0 and H_0 , and

$$(3-6) \quad B = \bigcup_{m < \omega} \bigcup_{k < \omega} (A_k^m \cap M) = \left(\bigcup_{m < \omega} A_n^m \right) \cap M \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

The fact that $\bigcup_{m < \omega} A_n^m \in \mathfrak{B}_n$ for every index n implies that $B \in \mathfrak{B}$.

To prove that B/B_0 is a countably generated R -module, observe that exactness of the localization functors yields that

$$(3-7) \quad \left(\frac{B}{B_0} \right)_{P_n} = \frac{\left(\bigcup_{m < \omega} (A_n^m \cap M) \right)_{P_n}}{(A_n^0 \cap M)_{P_n}} = \frac{\bigcup_{m < \omega} A_n^m}{A_n^0} \quad \text{for } n < \omega$$

is a countably generated R_{P_n} -module. Lemma 6 implies now that B/B_0 is countably generated. □

For the rest of this section, we will assume that M is a torsion-free module over a Prüfer domain, for which there exists a countable ascending chain (2-3) of submodules, such that

- (P₁) every M_n is relatively divisible in M ,
- (P₂) every M_n has a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family \mathfrak{B}_n of relatively divisible submodules,
- (P₃) every factor module M_{n+1}/M_n has a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family \mathfrak{C}_n of relatively divisible submodules, and
- (P₄) $M = \bigcup_{n < \omega} M_n$.

Lemma 10. *For every $n < \omega$, the collection*

$$(3-8) \quad \mathfrak{B}'_n = \left\{ A \in \mathfrak{B}_n : \frac{(A + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}}{M_j} \in \mathfrak{C}_j \text{ for every } j < n \right\}$$

is a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of relatively divisible submodules of M_n .

Proof. The collection \mathcal{B}'_n clearly satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of submodules of M_n . So, let $A_0 \in \mathcal{B}'_n$, and let $H_0 \subseteq M_n$ be a countable set. We will construct an ascending chain

$$(3-9) \quad A_0 \hookrightarrow A_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow A_m \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } m < \omega,$$

of submodules of M_n , such that

- (a) A_1 contains H_0 ,
- (b) $A_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$ for every $i < \omega$,
- (c) A_{i+1}/A_i is countably generated for every $i < \omega$, and
- (d) for every $i < \omega$ and $j < n$, there exists a module $K_{i,j}/M_j \in \mathcal{C}_j$ such that

$$(3-10) \quad \frac{(A_i + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}}{M_j} \hookrightarrow \frac{K_{i,j}}{M_j} \hookrightarrow \frac{(A_{i+1} + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}}{M_j}.$$

To start with, we let $K_{0,j} = (A_0 + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}$ for every $j < n$. In general, suppose that, for some $m < \omega$, the links of the finite chain

$$(3-11) \quad A_0 \hookrightarrow A_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow A_m$$

have been constructed as desired. Since A_m/A_0 is countably generated, we can choose a countable set of representatives $X_j \subseteq M_{j+1}$ of a maximal independent system of the purification of $(A_m + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}$ modulo $(A_0 + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}$ for every $j < n$. For each such j , there exists $K_{m,j}/M_j \in \mathcal{C}_j$ containing both the module $((A_0 + M_j) \cap M_{j+1})/M_j$ and the quotient set X_j modulo M_j , such that

$$(3-12) \quad \frac{K_{m,j}/M_j}{((A_0 + M_j) \cap M_{j+1})/M_j} \cong \frac{K_{m,j}}{(A_0 + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}}$$

is countably generated. Thus, it is readily checked that the first inclusion of (3-10) is satisfied for $i = m$.

For every $j < n$, let $H_j^m \subseteq K_{m,j}$ be a complete set of representatives of a countable generating system of $K_{m,j}$ modulo $(A_0 + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}$. Then, there exists $A_{m+1} \in \mathcal{B}_n$ containing both A_m and $H_0 \cup (\bigcup_{j < n} H_j^m)$, such that A_{m+1}/A_m is countably generated. Our construction guarantees that

$$(3-13) \quad \frac{K_{m,j}}{(A_0 + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}} \hookrightarrow \frac{(A_{m+1} + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}}{(A_0 + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}} \quad \text{for } j < n,$$

so the second inclusion of (3-10) is satisfied also for $i = m$.

Inductively, we construct an ascending chain (3-9) of submodules of M_n , satisfying (a), (b), (c) and (d) above. Then, the module $A = \bigcup_{m < \omega} A_m$ is a member of \mathcal{B}_n that contains A_0 and H_0 , and is countably generated over A_0 . Also note that

property (d) implies that

$$(3-14) \quad \frac{(A + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}}{M_j} = \bigcup_{i < \omega} \frac{(A_i + M_j) \cap M_{j+1}}{M_j} = \bigcup_{i < \omega} \frac{K_{i,j}}{M_j} \in \mathcal{C}_j \quad \text{for } j < n.$$

Consequently, $A \in \mathcal{B}'_n$, as desired. □

Next, we construct a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of relatively divisible submodules of M .

Lemma 11. *The collection*

$$(3-15) \quad \mathcal{B} = \{A \hookrightarrow M : A \cap M_n \in \mathcal{B}'_n \text{ for every } n < \omega\}$$

is a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of relatively divisible submodules of M .

Proof. Again, conditions (i) and (ii) in the definition of a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family are obvious. So, let $A_0 \in \mathcal{B}$, and let H_0 be a countable subset of M . We will construct a countable ascending chain

$$(3-16) \quad A_0 \hookrightarrow A_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow A_n \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } n < \omega,$$

of submodules of M , such that

- (a) A_1 contains H_0 , and
- (b) the factor module A_n/A_0 is countably generated for every $n < \omega$.

More precisely, for every $n < \omega$, the module A_n is the union of a countable ascending chain

$$(3-17) \quad 0 = A_0^n \hookrightarrow A_1^n \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow A_k^n \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } k < \omega,$$

of submodules of M , for which

- (c_n) $A_k^n \in \mathcal{B}'_k$, for every $k < \omega$,
- (d_n) A_k^n is countably generated over $A_0 \cap M_k$, for every $k < \omega$, and
- (e_n) $A_k^n \hookrightarrow A_n \cap M_k \hookrightarrow A_k^{n+1}$, for every $k < \omega$.

Let $A_k^0 = A_0 \cap M_k$, for every $k < \omega$. Obviously, A_0 is the union of chain (3-17) with $n = 0$, and properties (c₀) and (d₀) are satisfied, as well as the first inclusion of (e₀). So, let m be now any nonnegative integer, and suppose that the links of chain (3-16) have been constructed as needed, for every $n \leq m$. Condition (b) implies that the module

$$(3-18) \quad \frac{A_m \cap M_k}{A_0 \cap M_k} \cong \frac{A_0 + (A_m \cap M_k)}{A_0} \hookrightarrow \frac{A_m}{A_0} \quad \text{for } k < \omega$$

has countable rank. Choose then a countable set $Y_k^m \subseteq M_k$ of representatives of a maximal independent system of the purification of $(A_m \cap M_k)/(A_0 \cap M_k)$ in $M/(A_0 \cap M_k)$. Clearly, there exists $B_k^m \in \mathcal{B}'_k$ containing both $A_0 \cap M_k$ and Y_k^m ,

such that B_k^m is countably generated over $A_0 \cap M_k$; so, we may fix a complete set of representatives $H_k^m \subseteq B_k^m$ of a countable generating set of B_k^m modulo $A_0 \cap M_k$. Moreover, since B_k^m is relatively divisible in M ,

$$(3-19) \quad \left\langle \frac{A_m \cap M_k}{A_0 \cap M_k} \right\rangle_* \hookrightarrow \frac{B_k^m}{A_0 \cap M_k} \hookrightarrow \frac{M_k}{A_0 \cap M_k} \quad \text{for } k < \omega.$$

Let k be a nonnegative integer. Assume that the links of the finite chain

$$(3-20) \quad 0 = A_0^{m+1} \hookrightarrow A_1^{m+1} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow A_k^{m+1}$$

have been constructed as required, and let $X_k \subseteq M_k$ be a complete set of representatives of a countable generating system of A_k^{m+1} modulo $A_0 \cap M_k$. Lemma 10 implies that there exists $A_{k+1}^{m+1} \in \mathcal{B}'_{k+1}$ that contains $A_0 \cap M_{k+1}$ and the countable set $X_k \cup H_{k+1}^m \cup (H_0 \cap M_{k+1})$, such that A_{k+1}^{m+1} is countably generated over $A_0 \cap M_{k+1}$.

Inductively, we can construct a countable ascending chain (3-17), with $n = m + 1$, and define the module A_{m+1} as the union of the links of (3-17) so that conditions (c_{m+1}) , (d_{m+1}) and (e_{m+1}) are satisfied. Moreover, our construction guarantees that A_m is contained in A_{m+1} . Furthermore, the fact that A_{m+1}/A_0 is countably generated follows from the isomorphism

$$(3-21) \quad \frac{A_k^{m+1}}{A_0 \cap M_k} \cong \frac{A_0 + (A_k^{m+1} \cap M_k)}{A_0} \quad \text{for } k < \omega.$$

Indeed, the left side of (3-21) is countably generated by properties (d_{m+1}) , so that the union over all indexes $k < \omega$ of the modules in the right side is countably generated too.

By induction, a countable ascending chain (3-16) satisfying properties (a) and (b) is constructed. The module $A = \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_n$ contains A_0 and H_0 , and is countably generated over A_0 . Moreover, properties (c_n) and (e_n) yield that

$$(3-22) \quad A \cap M_k = \bigcup_{n < \omega} (A_n \cap M_k) = \bigcup_{n < \omega} A_k^n \in \mathcal{B}'_k \quad \text{for } k < \omega.$$

Consequently, $A \in \mathcal{B}$. □

Lemma 12. $A + M_n$ is relatively divisible in M for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and $n < \omega$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that $(A + M_n) \cap M_k$ is relatively divisible in M for every $k > n$. First of all, observe that $A \cap M_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}'_{n+1}$, whence it follows that $(A + M_n) \cap M_{n+1}$ is likewise relatively divisible in M for every $n < \omega$. So, our claim is true for $k = n + 1$.

Assume now that $(A + M_n) \cap M_k$ is relatively divisible in M for some $k > n$. The modular law and the isomorphism theorem yield that

$$(3-23) \quad \frac{(A + M_k) \cap M_{k+1}}{(A + M_n) \cap M_{k+1}} \cong \frac{M_k}{(A + M_n) \cap M_k}.$$

The last module in (3-23) is torsion-free, so that the first is also. This implies that $(A + M_n) \cap M_{k+1}$ is relatively divisible in $(A + M_k) \cap M_{k+1}$. Finally, since $(A + M_k) \cap M_{k+1}$ is relatively divisible in M , we conclude that $(A + M_n) \cap M_{k+1}$ is also relatively divisible in M . Our claim follows now by induction. \square

4. A generalization of Hill's theorem

We now use the generalization of Pontryagin's criterion of freeness to projective modules, presented as [Fuchs and Salce 2001, Theorem 1.3, Chapter XVI], in order to provide a generalization of Hill's criterion of freeness. Particularly, we use the fact that a countable rank, torsion-free module over a Prüfer domain is projective if and only if every finite rank, pure submodule is projective.

It is important to mention first that the problem of generalizing Hill's criterion was attacked previously in [Fuchs and Salce 2001, Theorem 1.4, Chapter XVI]. However, the proof of that version of Hill's theorem for projective modules is wrong, one serious problem being that it is not generally true that the modules $U_1 \cap M_\nu$ in the proof of Lemma XVI.1.6 have rank less than or equal to κ .

Here is our generalization of Hill's theorem to projectivity of modules over Prüfer domains:

Theorem 13. *A module M over a Prüfer domain is projective if there exists a countable ascending chain*

$$(4-1) \quad 0 = M_0 \hookrightarrow M_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow M_n \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } n < \omega,$$

of submodules of M , such that

- (i) *every M_n is projective,*
- (ii) *every M_n is pure in M ,*
- (iii) *every factor M_{n+1}/M_n admits a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family \mathcal{C}_n of pure submodules, and*
- (iv) $M = \bigcup_{n < \omega} M_n$.

In this paragraph, assume the hypotheses of Theorem 13. By Kaplansky's theorem on decomposition of projective modules over Prüfer domains, every module M_n can be written as the direct sum of finitely generated modules M_α^n , for α in a set of indices Ω_n . Clearly, the set

$$(4-2) \quad \mathcal{B}_n = \{A \hookrightarrow M_n : A = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Lambda} M_\alpha^n \text{ for some } \Lambda \subseteq \Omega\}$$

is an axiom-3 family of direct summands of M_n for every $n < \omega$. By Lemma 10, the collection \mathcal{B}'_n given by (3-8) is a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of relatively divisible submodules of M_n . Moreover, Lemmas 11 and 12 state that the family \mathcal{B} provided by (3-15) is a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of relatively divisible submodules of M , such that $A + M_n$ is relatively divisible in M for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$ and every $n < \omega$.

Lemma 14. *For every $A \in \mathfrak{B}$, finite rank, pure submodules of M/A are projective.*

Proof. Let D be a submodule of M containing A such that D/A is a finite rank, pure submodule of M/A . Choose a maximal independent system $\{d_i + A : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ of D/A , and let $S = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\} \subseteq D$. Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be such that $S \subseteq M_k$. Since $A + M_k$ is pure, $D \hookrightarrow A + M_k$. So, $D/A \hookrightarrow (A + M_k)/A \cong M_k/(A \cap M_k)$, which is projective. By Lemma 2, D/A is likewise projective. \square

Proof of Theorem 13. Let α be any nonzero ordinal, and let

$$(4-3) \quad 0 = A_0 \hookrightarrow A_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow A_\gamma \hookrightarrow A_{\gamma+1} \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } \gamma < \alpha,$$

be an ascending chain of modules in \mathfrak{B} such that every factor module $A_{\gamma+1}/A_\gamma$ is projective. If α is a limit ordinal, we let $A_\alpha = \bigcup_{\gamma < \alpha} A_\gamma$. Otherwise, if there exists $x \in M \setminus A_{\alpha-1}$, we can pick a module $A_\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}$ that contains x and $A_{\alpha-1}$, such that $A_\alpha/A_{\alpha-1}$ has countable rank. By Lemma 14, finite rank, pure submodules of the torsion-free module $A_\alpha/A_{\alpha-1}$ are projective, so that $A_\alpha/A_{\alpha-1}$ itself is projective. So, transfinite induction provides a continuous, well-ordered, ascending chain

$$(4-4) \quad 0 = A_0 \hookrightarrow A_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow A_\alpha \hookrightarrow A_{\alpha+1} \hookrightarrow \dots, \quad \text{where } \alpha < \tau,$$

of submodules of M . This chain satisfies the hypotheses of [Fuchs and Salce 2001, Lemma XVI.1.1]. Consequently, M is projective. \square

Corollary 15. *Let R be a Prüfer domain with a countable number of maximal ideals. An R -module M is projective if there exists a countable ascending chain (4-1) of projective, pure submodules of M such that $M = \bigcup_{n < \omega} M_n$.*

Proof. For every $n < \omega$, M_{n+1} is torsion-free and contains M_n as a pure submodule, so M_{n+1}/M_n is a torsion-free module of projective dimension at most 1. Then, every factor module of (4-1) admits a $G(\aleph_0)$ -family of pure submodules by Theorem 9. By Theorem 13, M is projective. \square

Because valuation domains have a unique maximal ideal, Corollary 15 can be obviously improved:

Corollary 16. *Let M be a module over a valuation domain. If there exists a countable ascending chain (4-1) of free, pure submodules of M whose union is equal to M , then M itself is free.*

Let λ be an infinite cardinal number. We say that a module is λ -free if each of its submodules of rank less than λ can be embedded in a free, pure submodule.

Corollary 17. *Let λ be an infinite cardinal with co-finality equal to ω . Every torsion-free, λ -free module of rank λ over a valuation domain is free.*

Proof. Let M be a torsion-free, λ -free module over a valuation domain, and let $X = \{a_\alpha \in M : \alpha < \lambda\}$ be a maximal independent set in M , and let

$$(4-5) \quad \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_n < \cdots, \quad \text{where } n < \omega,$$

be a sequence of ordinals whose union is λ . For every $n < \omega$, the purification in M of the set $\{a_\alpha \in M : \alpha < \lambda_n\}$ has rank less than λ , and so it is contained in a free, pure submodule M_n of M . Obviously, the modules $\{M_n\}_{n < \omega}$ may be chosen to form a countable ascending chain of submodules of M whose union contains X . The conclusion of this result follows now from Corollary 16. \square

We remark in closing that we still do not know whether it is possible to extend Theorem 13 to projectivity of modules over integral domains in general.

Acknowledgment

I wish to acknowledge the guidance and support of Professor László Fuchs at practically every stage of this work. Likewise, I thank the referee for invaluable comments, which led to a substantial improvement of the manuscript overall and in particular to elegant simplifications of the proofs of several of the results.

References

- [Auslander 1955] M. Auslander, “On the dimension of modules and algebras, III: Global dimension”, *Nagoya Math. J.* **9** (1955), 67–77. MR 17,579a
- [Bazzoni and Fuchs 1984] S. Bazzoni and L. Fuchs, “On modules of finite projective dimension over valuation domains”, pp. 361–371 in *Abelian groups and modules* (Udine, 1984), edited by R. Göbel et al., CISM Courses and Lectures **287**, Springer, Vienna, 1984. MR 86h:13011
- [Bican and Rangaswamy 1998] L. Bican and K. M. Rangaswamy, “Smooth unions of Butler groups”, *Forum Math.* **10**:2 (1998), 233–247. MR 99d:20089 Zbl 0898.20034
- [Bican et al. 2000] L. Bican, K. M. Rangaswamy, and C. Vinsonhaler, “Butler groups as smooth ascending unions”, *Comm. Algebra* **28**:11 (2000), 5039–5045. MR 2001h:20079 Zbl 0969.20027
- [Cartan and Eilenberg 1999] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton University Press, 1999. MR 2000h:18022 Zbl 0933.18001
- [Cartier 1958] P. Cartier, “Questions de rationalité des diviseurs en géométrie algébrique”, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **86** (1958), 177–251. MR 21 #4957 Zbl 0091.33501
- [Cornelius 1971] E. F. Cornelius, Jr., “A generalization of separable groups”, *Pacific J. Math.* **39** (1971), 603–613. MR 51 #13077 Zbl 0246.20046
- [Eklof 1976] P. C. Eklof, “Whitehead’s problem is undecidable”, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **83**:10 (1976), 775–788. MR 57 #16071 Zbl 0354.20037
- [Eklof and Shelah 2001] P. C. Eklof and S. Shelah, “A non-reflexive Whitehead group”, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **156**:2-3 (2001), 199–214. MR 2001k:20117 Zbl 0973.20046
- [Endo 1962] S. Endo, “On flat modules over commutative rings”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **14** (1962), 284–291. MR 31 #3475 Zbl 0108.26301

- [Fuchs and Salce 2001] L. Fuchs and L. Salce, *Modules over non-Noetherian domains*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **84**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. MR 2001i:13002 Zbl 0973.13001
- [Hill 1970] P. Hill, “On the freeness of abelian groups: A generalization of Pontryagin’s theorem”, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1970), 1118–1120. MR 41 #8518 Zbl 0223.20058
- [Hill 1973] P. Hill, “New criteria for freeness in abelian groups”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **182** (1973), 201–209. MR 48 #4151 Zbl 0275.20096
- [Hill 1974] P. Hill, “New criteria for freeness in abelian groups, II”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **196** (1974), 191–201. MR 50 #4781 Zbl 0296.20026
- [Mekler and Shelah 1993] A. H. Mekler and S. Shelah, “Every coseparable group may be free”, *Israel J. Math.* **81**:1-2 (1993), 161–178. MR 94e:20074 Zbl 0793.20050
- [Pontrjagin 1934] L. Pontrjagin, “The theory of topological commutative groups”, *Ann. of Math. (2)* **35**:2 (1934), 361–388. MR 1503168 Zbl 0009.15601
- [Warfield 1969] R. B. Warfield, Jr., “Purity and algebraic compactness for modules”, *Pacific J. Math.* **28** (1969), 699–719. MR 39 #4212 Zbl 0172.04801

Received June 1, 2009. Revised August 31, 2009.

JORGE MACÍAS-DÍAZ
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS Y FÍSICA
UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE AGUASCALIENTES
AVENIDA UNIVERSIDAD 940
CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA
AGUASCALIENTES, AGS. 20100
MEXICO
jemacias@correo.uaa.mx

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://www.pjmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Paulo Ney de Souza, Production Manager

Silvio Levy, Senior Production Editor

Matthew Cargo, Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2010 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer-review and production is managed by EditFlow™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2010 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 246 No. 2 June 2010

Geometric structures associated to a contact metric (κ, μ) -space BENIAMINO CAPPELLETTI MONTANO and LUIGIA DI TERLIZZI	257
Multilinear singular operators with fractional rank CIPRIAN DEMETER, MALABIKA PRAMANIK and CHRISTOPH THIELE	293
A new proof of Reifenberg's topological disc theorem GUANGHAO HONG and LIHE WANG	325
Global classical solutions to hyperbolic geometric flow on Riemann surfaces FAGUI LIU and YUANZHANG ZHANG	333
An extension of the quintuple product identity and its applications ZHI-GUO LIU	345
A generalization of the Pontryagin–Hill theorems to projective modules over Prüfer domains JORGE MACÍAS-DÍAZ	391
Elliptic pseudodifferential equations and Sobolev spaces over p -adic fields J. J. RODRÍGUEZ-VEGA and W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO	407
Absolutely isolated singularities of holomorphic maps of \mathbb{C}^n tangent to the identity FENG RONG	421
Pullbacks of Eisenstein series from $\mathrm{GU}(3, 3)$ and critical L -values for $\mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ ABHISHEK SAHA	435
Isomorphism invariants of restricted enveloping algebras HAMID USEFI	487
Spacelike S -Willmore spheres in Lorentzian space forms PENG WANG	495



0030-8730(201006)246:2;1-B