

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

**PULLBACKS OF EISENSTEIN SERIES FROM $GU(3, 3)$ AND
CRITICAL L -VALUES FOR $GSp(4) \times GL(2)$**

ABHISHEK SAHA

PULLBACKS OF EISENSTEIN SERIES FROM $\mathrm{GU}(3, 3)$ AND CRITICAL L -VALUES FOR $\mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$

ABHISHEK SAHA

Let F be a genus two Siegel newform and g a classical newform, both of squarefree levels and of equal weight ℓ . We prove a pullback formula for certain Eisenstein series — thus generalizing a construction of Shimura — and use this to derive an explicit integral representation for the degree eight L -function $L(s, F \times g)$. This integral representation involves the pullback of a simple Siegel-type Eisenstein series on the unitary group $\mathrm{GU}(3, 3)$. As an application, we prove a reciprocity law — predicted by Deligne’s conjecture — for the critical special values $L(m, F \times g)$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $2 \leq m \leq \ell/2 - 1$.

Introduction

If $L(s, \mathcal{M})$ is an arithmetically defined (or motivic) L -series associated to an arithmetic object \mathcal{M} , it is of interest to study its values at certain critical points $s = m$. For these critical points, conjectures due to Deligne predict that the corresponding L -values satisfy the following reciprocity law:

- (a) $L(m, \mathcal{M})$ is the product of a suitable transcendental number Ω and an algebraic number $A(m, \mathcal{M})$.
- (b) If σ is an automorphism of \mathbb{C} , then $A(m, \mathcal{M})^\sigma = A(m, \mathcal{M}^\sigma)$.

In this paper, we prove a key special case of this conjecture when \mathcal{M} corresponds to the product $F \times g$, with F a Siegel modular form and g a classical modular form. Precisely, fix odd, squarefree integers M and N . Let F be a genus two Siegel newform of level M and g an elliptic newform of level N ; see Section 6 for the definitions of these terms. We assume that F and g have the same even integral weight ℓ and have trivial central characters. We also make the following assumption about F :

Suppose

$$F(Z) = \sum_{S>0} a(S)e(\mathrm{tr}(SZ))$$

MSC2000: 11F46, 11F67, 11F70.

Keywords: modular form, automorphic representation, L -function, special values, Siegel modular form, Eisenstein series, $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$, Deligne’s conjecture, pullback formula.

is the Fourier expansion; then we assume that

$$(0-1) \quad a(T) \neq 0 \quad \text{for some } T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $-d = b^2 - 4ac$ is the discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, and all primes dividing MN are inert in L .

We prove a reciprocity law (see Theorem 8.1 below) for the critical points $\{m : 2 \leq m \leq \ell/2 - 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of the degree eight L -function $L(s, F \times g)$. As is often the case for such problems, the key to our proof is the interpretation of the transcendental factor as the period arising from a certain integral representation. In Section 1, we associate to a Hecke character Λ of L a Siegel Eisenstein series $E_\Upsilon(g, s)$ on $\mathrm{GU}(3, 3; L)(\mathbb{A})$. Let R denote the subgroup of elements $(h_1, h_2) \in \mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GU}(1, 1; L)$ for which h_1 and h_2 have the same multiplier. We define in Section 2C an embedding $\iota : R \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GU}(3, 3; L)$. Let Φ and Ψ denote the adelizations of F and g , respectively. We can extend the definition of Ψ to $\mathrm{GU}(1, 1; L)(\mathbb{A})$ by defining $\Psi(ag) = \Psi(g)$ for all $a \in L^\times(\mathbb{A})$ and $g \in \mathrm{GL}(2)(\mathbb{A})$. Our integral representation is as follows.

Theorem 6.4. *We have*

$$\int_{r \in \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{A})R(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash R(\mathbb{A})} E_\Upsilon(\iota(g_1, g_2), s) \bar{\Phi}(g_1) \Psi(g_2) \Lambda^{-1}(\det g_2) dg = A(s) L(3s + \frac{1}{2}, F \times g),$$

where $r = (g_1, g_2)$, Λ is a suitable Hecke character of L and $A(s)$ is an explicit normalizing factor, defined in Section 6.

The first step towards proving Theorem 6.4 was achieved in our earlier work [Saha 2009], where we extended an integral representation due to Furusawa. That integral representation involved a complicated *Klingen* Eisenstein series attached to the cusp form g . The technical heart of this paper is a certain pullback formula in Theorem 2.1 that expresses our earlier Eisenstein series as the inner product of the cusp form and the pullback of the simpler higher-rank *Siegel* Eisenstein series E_Υ . Formulas in this spirit were first proved in a classical setting by Shimura [1997]. Unfortunately, Shimura only considers certain special types of Eisenstein series in his work, and his does not include ours (except in the full level case $M = 1, N = 1$). Furthermore his methods are classical and cannot be easily modified to deal with our case. The complicated sections at the ramified places and the need for precise factors make the adelic language the right choice for our purposes. We provide a complete proof of the pullback formula for our Eisenstein series that explicitly gives the precise factors at the ramified places we need.

We deduce Theorem 6.4 by combining the pullback formula with our previous work. The referee of our paper [Saha 2009] has said it may have been well known

to some experts that one could use such a pullback formula to rewrite the Furusawa integral representation.

From Theorem 6.4, we easily conclude that $L(s, F \times g)$ is a meromorphic function whose only possible pole on the right of the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ is simple and at $s = 1$. Moreover, with the aid of rationality results due to Garrett and Harris and the theory of nearly holomorphic functions due to Shimura, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 8.1. *Suppose that the Fourier coefficients of F and g are totally real and algebraic and that $\ell \geq 6$. For a positive integer k with $1 \leq k \leq \ell/2 - 2$, define*

$$A(F, g; k) = \frac{L(\ell/2 - k, F \times g)}{\pi^{5\ell - 4k - 4} \langle F, F \rangle \langle g, g \rangle}.$$

Then

- (a) $A(F, g; k)$ is algebraic, and
- (b) $A(F, g; k)^\sigma = A(F^\sigma, g^\sigma; k)$ for an automorphism σ of \mathbb{C} .

The completely unramified case $M = 1$ and $N = 1$ of this theorem was already known in [Heim 1999] and [Böcherer and Heim 2006], which used a very different integral representation from the one in this paper. Also, just the algebraicity part of this theorem (that is, part (a)) has been proved for the rightmost critical value (corresponding to $k = 1$) in various settings earlier by Furusawa [1993], Pitale and Schmidt [2009b] and the author [Saha 2009].

To relate Theorem 8.1 to Deligne’s conjecture for motivic L -functions, we note that Yoshida [2001] has shown that the set of all critical points for $L(s, F \times g)$ is $\{m : 2 - \ell/2 \leq m \leq \ell/2 - 1, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. In particular, the critical points are always noncentral (since the weight ℓ is even) and so the L -value is expected to be nonzero. Assuming the existence of a motive attached to F (this seems to be now known for our cases by [Weissauer 2005]) and the truth of Deligne’s conjecture for the standard degree 5 L -function of F , Yoshida also computes the corresponding motivic periods. According to his calculations, the relevant period for the point m is precisely the quantity $\pi^{4m + 3\ell - 4} \langle F, F \rangle \langle g, g \rangle$ that appears in the theorem above (once we substitute $m = \ell/2 - k$). We note here that Yoshida only deals with the full level case; however, as the periods remain the same (up to a rational number) for higher level, his results remain applicable to our case.

Thus, Theorem 8.1 is compatible with (and implied by) Deligne’s conjecture, and furthermore, it covers all the critical values to the right of $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ except for the L -value at the point 1. The proof for the critical values to the left of $\text{Re}(s) = \frac{1}{2}$ would follow from the expected functional equation. Extending our result to $L(1, F \times g)$ is intimately connected to proving the analyticity of the L -function at that point; see Corollary 6.5. These questions, related to analyticity

and the functional equation, are also of interest for other applications and will be considered in a future paper. In particular, once analyticity results are known for *all* $\mathrm{GL}(1)$ and $\mathrm{GL}(2)$ twists of F , one could try using the converse theorem to lift F to $\mathrm{GL}(4)$. This is work in progress with A. Pitale and R. Schmidt.

The integral representation of Theorem 6.4 is of interest for several other applications. For instance, we hope that this it pave the way to new results involving stability, hybrid subconvexity, and nonvanishing results for the L -function under consideration following the methods of [Michel and Ramakrishnan 2009]. We also hope that we can prove results related to nonnegativity of the central value $L(\frac{1}{2}, F)$. These results appear to be new for holomorphic Siegel modular forms. For example, the nonnegativity result is known in the case of *generic* automorphic representations by Lapid and Rallis [2002]; however, automorphic representations associated to Siegel modular forms are never generic. It would also be interesting to use the integral representation to construct p -adic L -functions.

We expect most of our results to hold for arbitrary totally real base fields. It would be particularly interesting to work out the special value results when the Hilbert–Siegel modular forms have different weights for each Archimedean place.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 1 lays down the basic definitions concerning the Eisenstein series. Section 2 states the crucial pullback formula, Theorem 2.1. Roughly speaking, it says that for a suitable choice of section Υ , the Petersson inner product $\langle E_\Upsilon(t(g, h), s), \Psi(h) \rangle$ equals a particular Klingen Eisenstein series $E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s)$ living on $\mathrm{GU}(2, 2)$. The proof involves extensive local harmonic analysis as well as a careful choice of local sections. Sections 3 and 4 do these computations and are possibly of independent interest. We use these local results in Section 5 to prove the pullback formula. In Section 6 we derive the crucial integral representation of Theorem 6.4 for $L(s, F \times g)$ by combining the pullback formula with a result from [Saha 2009] that says that $\langle E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s), \Phi(g, s) \rangle$ essentially equals $L(3s + 1/2, F \times g)$. We rewrite our integral representation classically in Theorem 6.9. In Section 7, we recall rationality results relating to Petersson inner products, Eisenstein series and nearly holomorphic modular forms. These results are due to Garrett, Harris and Shimura and are the key tools that when applied on our integral representation lead to the proof of Theorem 8.1.

Notation. The symbols \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{Z}_p and \mathbb{Q}_p have the usual meanings. \mathbb{A} denotes the ring of adèles of \mathbb{Q} , and \mathbb{A}_f the finite adèles. For a complex number z , $e(z)$ denotes $e^{2\pi iz}$.

For a matrix M , we denote its transpose by M^t . Denote by J_n the $2n$ by $2n$ matrix given by $J_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n \\ -I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We use J to denote J_2 .

For a positive integer n and commutative ring R , define the group $\mathrm{GSp}(2n)$ by

$$\mathrm{GSp}(2n, R) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(R) \mid g^t J_n g = \mu_n(g) J_n \text{ for some } \mu_n(g) \in R^\times\}.$$

Let $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ be the subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(2n)$ consisting of elements $g_1 \in \mathrm{GSp}(2n)$ with $\mu_n(g_1) = 1$.

For an imaginary quadratic extension L of \mathbb{Q} , define

$$\mathrm{GU}(n, n) = \mathrm{GU}(n, n; L)$$

by $\mathrm{GU}(n, n)(\mathbb{Q}) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(L) \mid (\bar{g})^t J_n g = \mu_n(g) J_n, \mu_n(g) \in \mathbb{Q}^\times\}$, where \bar{g} denotes the conjugate of g .

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= \mathrm{GU}(3, 3), \quad \mathcal{G} = \mathrm{GU}(2, 2), \quad G = \mathrm{GSp}(4), \quad H = \mathrm{GSp}(6), \quad \mathcal{F} = \mathrm{GU}(1, 1), \\ \mathcal{H}_1 &= U(3, 3), \quad \mathcal{G}_1 = U(2, 2), \quad G_1 = \mathrm{Sp}(4), \quad H_1 = \mathrm{Sp}(6), \quad \mathcal{F}_1 = U(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_n &= \{Z \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid i(\bar{Z} - Z) \text{ is positive definite}\}, \\ \mathbb{H}_n &= \{Z \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z = Z^t, i(\bar{Z} - Z) \text{ is positive definite}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GU}(n, n)(\mathbb{R})$ and $Z \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_n$, define $J(g, Z) = CZ + D$. The same definition works for $g \in \mathrm{GSp}(2n)(\mathbb{R})$ and $Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$.

For a commutative ring R , let $I(2n, R)$ be the Borel subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}(2n, R)$ consisting of the set of matrices that look like

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & \lambda(A^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where A is lower-triangular and $\lambda \in R^\times$. Denote by B the Borel subgroup of G defined by $B = I(4)$.

For a quadratic extension L of \mathbb{Q} and v be a finite place of \mathbb{Q} , we define $L_v = L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_v$. We denote by \mathbb{Z}_L the ring of integers of L and by $\mathbb{Z}_{L,v}$ its v -closure in L_v . For a prime p , denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times$ the group of units in $\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}$.

If p is inert in L , the elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times$ are of the form $a + b\sqrt{-d}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and such that at least one of a and b is a unit. Let $\Gamma_{L,p}^0$ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times$ consisting of the elements with $p \mid b$.

For a positive integer N the subgroups $\Gamma_0(N)$ and $\Gamma^0(N)$ of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0(N) &= \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}\}, \\ \Gamma^0(N) &= \{A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

For p a finite place of \mathbb{Q} , their local analogues $\Gamma_{0,p}$ (respectively Γ_p^0) are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{0,p} &= \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}\}, \\ \Gamma_p^0 &= \{A \in \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The local Iwahori subgroup I_p is defined to be the subgroup of $K_p = G(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ consisting of those elements of K_p that when reduced modulo p lie in the Borel subgroup of $G(\mathbb{F}_p)$. Precisely,

$$I_p = \left\{ A \in K_p \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

Let \tilde{R} denote the subgroup of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{F}$ consisting of elements $h = (h_1, h_2)$ such that $h_1 \in \mathcal{G}$, $h_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mu_2(h_1) = \mu_1(h_2)$. Let R denote the subgroup of \tilde{R} consisting of those (h_1, h_2) where $h_1 \in G$.

For a fixed element $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A})$, let $\mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{A})$ consisting of all elements h_2 such that $\mu_2(g) = \mu_1(h_2)$.

1. Eisenstein series on $\mathrm{GU}(3, 3)$

1A. Assumptions. Let S be a finite subset (possibly empty) of the finite places of \mathbb{Q} . Let S_1, S_2, S_3 be disjoint subsets of S such that $S = S_1 \sqcup S_2 \sqcup S_3$.

We let M denote the product of primes in $S_1 \sqcup S_2$, and let N denote the product of primes in $S_2 \sqcup S_3$. Thus M and N are positive, squarefree integers determined by S_1, S_2 and S_3 . Conversely, any choice of positive, squarefree integers M and N uniquely determines S_1, S_2 and S_3 since

- S_1 is the set of primes that divide M but not N ,
- S_2 is the set of primes that divide $\mathrm{gcd}(M, N)$, and
- S_3 is the set of primes that divide N but not M .

Let L denote an imaginary quadratic field such that all primes in $S_1 \sqcup S_2$ are inert in L . Fix an unitary character $\Lambda = \prod_v \Lambda_v$ of $L^\times(\mathbb{A})/L^\times$ such that

- (a) $\Lambda|_{\mathbb{A}^\times} = 1$,
- (b) Λ_∞ is trivial,
- (c) Λ is unramified outside $S_1 \sqcup S_2$, and
- (d) if $p \in S_1 \sqcup S_2$, then Λ_p is nontrivial on $\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times$ but trivial on the subgroup $\Gamma_{L,p}^0$.

Remark. To see that such characters exist, note that for each prime $q \in S_1 \sqcup S_2$, $L^\times \mathbb{A}^\times L_\infty (\prod_{p \neq q} \mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times) \Gamma_{L,q}^0$ is a subgroup of $L^\times L_\infty \mathbb{A}^\times (\prod_p \mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times)$ and is of index $(q + 1)/t > 1$. Here $2t$ is the cardinality of the group \mathbb{Z}_L^\times . See [Saha 2009, Section 9.3] for details.

1B. Eisenstein series. Let $P_{\mathcal{H}} = M_{\mathcal{H}}N_{\mathcal{H}}$ be the Siegel parabolic of \mathcal{H} , with

$$M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}) := \left\{ m(A, v) = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & v \cdot (A^{-1})^t \end{pmatrix} \mid A \in \mathrm{GL}_3(L), v \in \mathbb{Q}^\times \right\},$$

$$N_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}) := \left\{ n(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in M_3(L), \bar{b}^t = b \right\}.$$

For $s \in \mathbb{C}$, we form the induced representation

$$I(\Lambda, s) = \bigotimes_v I_v(\Lambda_v, s) = \mathrm{Ind}_{P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A})}(\Lambda \delta^s)$$

consisting of smooth functions Ξ on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A})$ such that

$$(1-1) \quad \Xi(nm(A, v)g, s) = |v|^{-9(s+1/2)} |N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\det A)|^{3(s+1/2)} \Lambda(\det A) \Xi(g, s)$$

for $n \in N_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{A})$, $m(A, v) \in M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{A})$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A})$. Here δ denotes the modulus character of $P_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Finally, given such a section Ξ , we form the Eisenstein series $E_{\Xi}(h, s)$ by

$$(1-2) \quad E_{\Xi}(h, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})} \Xi(\gamma h, s)$$

for $\mathrm{Re}(s)$ large, and defined elsewhere by meromorphic continuation.

1C. Some compact subgroups. For each finite place p of \mathbb{Q} , define the maximal compact subgroups $K_p^{\mathcal{H}}, K_p^{\mathcal{G}}, K_p^{\mathcal{F}}$ of (respectively) $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p), \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by

$$K_p^{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \mathrm{GL}_6(\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}),$$

$$K_p^{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}),$$

$$K_p^{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}).$$

Let $U_p^{\mathcal{H}}$ be the subgroup of $K_p^{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by

$$U_p^{\mathcal{H}} = \left\{ z \in K_p^{\mathcal{H}} \mid z \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & * & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

Let $r : K_p^{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the canonical map and define the subgroup

$$I_p^{\mathcal{H}} = r^{-1}I(6, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Also, put

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_\infty^{\mathcal{H}} &= \{g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \mu_3(g) = 1, g(iI_3) = iI_3\}, \\
 K_\infty^{\mathcal{G}} &= \{g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \mu_2(g) = 1, g(iI_2) = iI_2\}, \\
 K_\infty^{\mathcal{F}} &= \{g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \mu_1(g) = 1, g(i) = i\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By [Ichino 2007, p.5], any matrix k_∞ in $K_\infty^{\mathcal{H}}$ (respectively $K_\infty^{\mathcal{G}}, K_\infty^{\mathcal{F}}$) can be written in the form $k_\infty = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -B & A \end{pmatrix}$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$, and $A + iB, A - iB$ lie in $U(3; \mathbb{R})$ (respectively $U(2; \mathbb{R}), U(1; \mathbb{R})$) with $\det(A + iB) = \det(A - iB)$.

For a positive even integer ℓ , define

$$(1-3) \quad \rho_\ell(k_\infty) = \det(A - iB)^{-\ell}.$$

Note that an alternative definition for $\rho_\ell(k_\infty)$ is simply

$$\rho_\ell(k_\infty) = \det(k_\infty)^{\ell/2} \det(J(k_\infty, i))^{-\ell}.$$

Also note that if k_∞ has all real entries, then $\rho_\ell(k_\infty) = \det(J(k_\infty, i))^{-\ell}$.

1D. A particular choice of section. Fix an element $Q \in H_1(\mathbb{Z})$ and an element $\Omega \in \mathcal{H}_1(\mathbb{Z})$. We abuse notation and use Q and Ω to also denote their natural inclusions into $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ for any place v .

We impose the following condition on Ω for all primes $p \in S_2$:

$$\text{If } nm(A, v) \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap \Omega I_p^{f\mathcal{H}} \Omega^{-1}, \text{ then } \det(A) \in \Gamma_{L,p}^0.$$

We next define, for each place v , a particular section $\Upsilon_v(s) \in I_v(\Lambda_v, s)$. Recall that $I_v(\Lambda_v, s)$ consists of smooth functions Ξ on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ such that

$$\Xi(nm(A, t)g, s) = |t|_v^{-9(s+1/2)} |N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(\det A)|_v^{3(s+1/2)} \Lambda_v(\det A) \Xi(g, s)$$

for $n \in N_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$, $m(A, t) \in M_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ and $g \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$.

- Clearly $I_p(\Lambda_p, s)$ has a $K_p^{\mathcal{H}}$ fixed vector whenever Λ_p is unramified. For all finite places $p \notin S$, choose Υ_p to be the unique $K_p^{\mathcal{H}}$ fixed vector with

$$\Upsilon_p(1, s) = 1.$$

- For all finite places $p \in S_3$, choose Υ_p to be the unique $U_p^{\mathcal{H}}$ fixed vector with

$$\Upsilon_p(Q, s) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon_p(t, s) = 0 \quad \text{if } t \notin P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)QU_p^{\mathcal{H}}.$$

- Suppose $p \in S_2$. Choose Υ_p to be the unique $I_p^{f\mathcal{H}}$ fixed vector with

$$\Upsilon_p(Q, s) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Upsilon_p(t, s) = 0 \quad \text{if } t \notin P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)QI_p^{f\mathcal{H}}.$$

Such a well-defined vector exists because if $nm(A, v) \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap QI_p^{f\mathcal{H}}Q^{-1}$, then $\det(A) \in \Gamma_{L,p}^0$. This follows because $Q \in H_1(\mathbb{Z})$.

- Let $p \in S_1$. Choose Υ_p to be the unique $I_p^{r\mathcal{H}}$ fixed vector with

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_p(\Omega, s) &= 1 & \Upsilon_p(Q, s) &= 1, & \text{and} \\ \Upsilon_p(t, s) &= 0 & \text{if } t &\notin P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\Omega I_p^{r\mathcal{H}} \sqcup P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)Q I_p^{r\mathcal{H}}. \end{aligned}$$

It easy to check that such a vector exists by our assumption on Ω .

- Finally choose Υ_∞ to be the unique vector in $I_\infty(\Lambda_\infty, s)$ such that

$$(1-4) \quad \Upsilon_\infty(k_\infty, s) = \rho_\ell(k_\infty) \quad \text{for } k_\infty \in K_\infty^{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Let Υ be the factorizable section in $\text{Ind}_{P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{A})}^{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A})}(\Lambda \|\cdot\|^{3s})$ defined by

$$\Upsilon(s) = \bigotimes_v \Upsilon_v(s).$$

As explained in (1-2), this gives rise to an Eisenstein series $E_\Upsilon(g, s)$.

Note that Υ and E_Υ are right invariant by $\prod_{p \in S_1 \sqcup S_2} I_p^{r\mathcal{H}} \prod_{p \in S_3} U_p^{\mathcal{H}} \prod_{\substack{p \notin S \\ p < \infty}} K_p^{\mathcal{H}}$.

2. Statement of the pullback formula

2A. Assumptions. For the rest of this paper, we assume that all primes in S are odd and inert in L .

Let a and b be integers and d a positive integer such that $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$ and $-d = b^2 - 4a$.

Also, we henceforth fix

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further, define elements $\Theta \in \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{Z})$ and $s_1 \in G_1(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -\bar{\alpha} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } \alpha = \frac{b + \sqrt{-d}}{2}, \quad \text{and } s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

2B. Eisenstein series on $\text{GU}(2, 2)$. Let P be the maximal parabolic subgroup of \mathcal{G} consisting of all elements $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $g_{ij} = 0$ when $j = 1$ and $i = 2, 3, 4$. We have the Levi decomposition $P = MN$ with $M = M^{(1)}M^{(2)}$ where the groups $M, N, M^{(1)}$ and $M^{(2)}$ are as defined in [Furusawa 1993].

Precisely,

$$(2-1) \quad M^{(1)}(\mathbb{Q}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{a}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \middle| a \in L^\times \right\} \simeq L^\times,$$

$$(2-2) \quad M^{(2)}(\mathbb{Q}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 & \delta \end{array} \right) \middle| \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GU}(1, 1)(\mathbb{Q}), \lambda = \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \right\} \\ \simeq \text{GU}(1, 1)(\mathbb{Q}),$$

$$(2-3) \quad N(\mathbb{Q}) = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\bar{x} & 1 \end{array} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & y \\ 0 & 1 & \bar{y} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| a \in \mathbb{Q}, x, y \in L \right\},$$

We also write

$$m_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{a}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad m_2 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, let g be a normalized newform of weight ℓ for $\Gamma_0(N)$. It has a Fourier expansion $g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty b(n)e(nz)$ with $b(1) = 1$. It is then well known that the $b(n)$ are all totally real algebraic numbers.

We define a function Ψ on $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$\Psi(\gamma g_\infty k_0) = (\det g_\infty)^{\ell/2} (ci + d)^{-\ell} g(g_\infty(i)),$$

where $\gamma \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q})$, $g_\infty = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$, and

$$k_0 \in \prod_{p \notin S_2 \cup S_3} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p) \prod_{p \in S_2 \cup S_3} \Gamma_{0,p}.$$

Let σ be the automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ generated by Ψ . We know that $\sigma = \otimes \sigma_v$, where

$$\sigma_v = \begin{cases} \text{holomorphic discrete series} & \text{if } v = \infty, \\ \text{unramified spherical principal series} & \text{if } v \text{ is finite and } v \nmid N, \\ \xi \text{ St}_{\text{GL}(2)} \text{ with } \xi_v \text{ unramified and } \xi_v^2 = 1 & \text{if } v \mid N. \end{cases}$$

If $p \nmid N$, we let α_p and β_p denote the unramified characters of \mathbb{Q}_p^\times that induce the spherical local representation σ_p .

For a prime p , let $r : K_p^{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the canonical map and define the subgroup

$$I'_p = r^{-1}I(4, \mathbb{F}_p).$$

Also, let $U_p^{\mathcal{G}}$ be the subgroup of $K_p^{\mathcal{G}}$ defined by

$$U_p^{\mathcal{G}} = \left\{ z \in K_p^{\mathcal{G}} \mid z \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

Extend Ψ to $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{A})$ by $\Psi(ag) = \Psi(g)$ for $a \in L^\times(\mathbb{A})$ and $g \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$. Now define the compact open subgroup $U^{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ by

$$(2-4) \quad U^{\mathcal{G}} = \prod_{p \notin S} K_p^{\mathcal{G}} \prod_{p \in S_3} U_p^{\mathcal{G}} \prod_{p \in S_1 \cup S_2} I'_p.$$

Define

$$(2-5) \quad f_\Lambda(g, s) = \delta_p^{s+1/2} (m_1 m_2) \Lambda(\bar{m}_1)^{-1} \Psi(m_2) \rho_l(k_\infty) \quad \text{if } g = m_1 m_2 n \tilde{k} k \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}),$$

where $m_i \in M^{(i)}(\mathbb{A})$ for $i = 1, 2$, $n \in N(\mathbb{A})$, $k = k_\infty k_0$ with $k_\infty \in K_\infty^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $k_0 \in U^{\mathcal{G}}$, and $\tilde{k} = \prod_p k_p \in \prod_p K_p^{\mathcal{G}}$ is such that $k_p = 1$ if $p \notin S_1 \sqcup S_2$, $k_p \in \{1, s_1\}$ for $p \in S_2$ and $k_p \in \{1, \Theta\}$ for $p \in S_1$. Put $f_\Lambda(g, s) = 0$ if g is not of the form above. It can be easily verified that everything is well defined.

We define the Eisenstein series $E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s)$ on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$(2-6) \quad E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})} f_\Lambda(\gamma g, s).$$

2C. An important embedding. We define an embedding $\iota : \tilde{R} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$(2-7) \quad \iota : \left(\left(\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} A & B & & \\ & a & -b & \\ C & D & & \\ & -c & d & \end{bmatrix}.$$

An essential feature of this embedding is the following. Suppose

$$g_1 = m_1(a) m_2(b) n \in P(\mathbb{A}) \quad \text{and} \quad g_2 = b,$$

where

$$m_1(a) = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{a}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in M^{(1)}(\mathbb{A}), \quad n \in N(\mathbb{A}), \quad b = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{A}),$$

and

$$m_2(b) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma & 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in M^{(2)}(\mathbb{A}), \quad \text{where } \lambda = \mu_1 \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$(2-8) \quad Q \cdot \iota(g_1, g_2) Q^{-1} \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{A}).$$

It is this key fact that enables us to pass from Klingen Eisenstein series on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A})$ to Siegel Eisenstein series on $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A})$.

Henceforth, we fix

$$\Omega = Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, 1).$$

We note that Ω satisfies the condition stated at the beginning of Section 1D.

2D. The pullback formula. For an element $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A})$, let $\mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})$ denote the subset of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{A})$ consisting of all elements h_2 such that $\mu_2(g) = \mu_1(h_2)$. Clearly $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})$ by left multiplication.

We will compute the integral

$$(2-9) \quad \mathcal{E}(g, s) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} E_{\Upsilon}(\iota(g, h), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh.$$

Here, the measure is normalized by making all the local maximal compact subgroups $K_v^{\mathcal{F}}$ have measure 1. Define

$$\zeta^S(s) = \prod_{p \notin S} (1 - p^{-s})^{-1},$$

$$L^S(s, \chi_{-D}) = \prod_{\substack{p \notin S \\ \gcd(p, D)=1}} (1 - (\chi_{-D})_p(p) p^{-s})^{-1},$$

where χ_{-D} denotes the character of \mathbb{A}^\times associated to L .

Also, let $\rho(\Lambda)$ denote the representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ obtained from Λ by auto-morphic induction. Hence, for a prime $q \notin S$, we have

$$L(s, \sigma_q \times \rho(\Lambda_q)) = \begin{cases} (1 - \alpha^2(q)q^{-2s})^{-1} (1 - \beta^2(q)q^{-2s})^{-1} & \text{if } q \text{ is inert in } L, \\ (1 - \alpha(q)\Lambda_q(q_1)q^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta(q)\Lambda_q(q_1)q^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } q \text{ is ramified in } L, \\ (1 - \alpha(q)\Lambda_q(q_1)q^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta(q)\Lambda_q(q_1)q^{-s})^{-1} \\ \cdot (1 - \alpha(q)\Lambda_q^{-1}(q_1)q^{-s})^{-1} (1 - \beta(q)\Lambda_q^{-1}(q_1)q^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } q \text{ splits in } L, \end{cases}$$

where $q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{L,q}$ is any element with $N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(q_1) \in q\mathbb{Z}_q^\times$.

Also put

$$L(s, \sigma_p \times \rho(\Lambda_p)) = (1 - p^{-2s-1})^{-1} \quad \text{for a prime } p \in S_3, \text{ and}$$

$$L(s, \sigma \times \rho(\Lambda)) = \prod_{q \nmid M} L(s, \sigma_q \times \rho(\Lambda_q)).$$

Now define

$$(2-10) \quad B(s) = \frac{B_\infty(s)L(3s+1, \sigma \times \rho(\Lambda))}{\sigma_1(M)^2 \sigma_1(N/\gcd(M, N)) P_{S_3} L^S(6s+2, \chi_{-D}) \zeta^S(6s+3)},$$

where

$$\sigma_1(A) = \prod_{p|A} (p+1) \quad \text{and} \quad B_\infty(s) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell/2} 2^{-6s-1} \pi}{6s + \ell - 1}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (the pullback formula). *For $g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A})$ define $\mathcal{E}(g, s)$ as above and $E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s)$ as in Section 2B. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{E}(g, s) = B(s) E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s)$$

as an identity of meromorphic functions.

We will prove the pullback formula in Section 5 using the machinery developed in the next two sections.

3. The local integral and the unramified calculation

3A. Definitions. We retain the notations and definitions of the previous section. For any prime p , we define the following compact subgroups of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$:

- $\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}} = \left\{ A \in K_p^{\mathcal{F}} \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p} \right\}$.
- Let $r_p : K_p^{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \text{GU}(1, 1)(\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the canonical map. Let $K_p^{\prime\mathcal{F}} = r_p^{-1}(\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p))$. Define

$$\Gamma_{0,p}^{\prime\mathcal{F}} = K_p^{\prime\mathcal{F}} \cap \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}}.$$

3B. Some useful properties of the section Υ . Fix $(g_1, g_2) \in \tilde{R}(\mathbb{A})$.

- Let p be a prime not dividing MN . Let $k_1 \in K_p^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $k_2 \in K_p^{\mathcal{F}}$ with $\mu_2(k_1) = \mu_1(k_2)$. Note that $\iota(k_1, k_2) \in K_p^{\mathcal{H}}$. Because Υ_p is $K_p^{\mathcal{H}}$ -fixed, it follows that

$$(3-1) \quad \Upsilon(\iota(g_1 k_1, g_2 k_2), s) = \Upsilon(\iota(g_1, g_2), s),$$

- Let $p|N$ and $p \nmid M$. If $k_1 \in U_p^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $k_2 \in \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}}$ with $\mu_2(k_1) = \mu_1(k_2)$ then check that

$$(3-2) \quad \iota(k_1, k_2) \in U_p^{\mathcal{H}}.$$

Because Υ_p is $U_p^{\mathfrak{H}}$ -fixed, it follows that

$$(3-3) \quad \Upsilon(\iota(g_1k_1, g_2k_2), s) = \Upsilon(\iota(g_1, g_2), s),$$

- Let p be a prime dividing M . If $k_1 \in I'_p$ and $k_2 \in \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathfrak{F}}$ with $\mu_2(k_1) = \mu_1(k_2)$, then check that

$$(3-4) \quad \iota(k_1, k_2) \in I_p^{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

Because Υ_p is $I_p^{\mathfrak{H}}$ -fixed, it follows that

$$(3-5) \quad \Upsilon(\iota(g_1k_1, g_2k_2), s) = \Upsilon(\iota(g_1, g_2), s),$$

- Finally, let $k_1 \in K_\infty^{\mathfrak{G}}$, $k_2 \in K_\infty^{\mathfrak{F}}$ with $\mu_2(k_1) = \mu_1(k_2)$. Check that

$$(3-6) \quad \iota(k_1, k_2) \in K_\infty^{\mathfrak{H}}.$$

Hence we have

$$(3-7) \quad \Upsilon(\iota(g_1k_1, g_2k_2), s) = \rho_\ell(k_1)\rho_\ell(k_2)^{-1}\Upsilon(\iota(g_1, g_2), s).$$

3C. The key local zeta integral. Let $\psi = \prod_v \psi_v$ be a character of \mathbb{A} such that

- the conductor of ψ_p is \mathbb{Z}_p for all (finite) primes p ,
- $\psi_\infty(x) = e(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and
- $\psi|_{\mathbb{Q}} = 1$.

Let W_Ψ be the Whittaker model for Ψ . It is a function on $\mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{A})$ defined by

$$W_\Psi(g) = \int_{\mathbb{Q} \backslash \mathbb{A}} \Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right) \psi(-x) dx.$$

We have the Fourier expansion

$$(3-8) \quad \Psi(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} W_\Psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g\right).$$

By the uniqueness of Whittaker models, we have a factorization $W_\Psi = \bigotimes_v W_{\Psi,v}$.

Now, for each place v , and elements $g_v \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$ and $k_v \in K_v^{\mathfrak{G}}$, define the local zeta integral

$$(3-9) \quad Z_v(g_v, k_v, s) = \int_{\mathfrak{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_v)} \Upsilon_v(Q \cdot \iota(k_v, h_v), s) W_{\Psi,v}(g_v h_v) \Lambda_v^{-1}(\det h_v) dh_v.$$

The evaluation of this local integral at each place v lies at the heart of our proof of the pullback formula.

First of all, by (2-8) and the properties proved in the previous subsection, observe that it is enough to evaluate the integral for k_v lying in a fixed set of representatives of $(P(\mathbb{Q}_v) \cap K_v^{\mathcal{G}}) \setminus K_v^{\mathcal{G}}/U_v$, where

$$U_v = \begin{cases} K_v^{\mathcal{G}} & \text{if } v \notin S, \\ U_v^{\mathcal{G}} & \text{if } v \in S_3, \\ I'_v & \text{if } v \in S_1 \sqcup S_2. \end{cases}$$

For $1 \leq i \leq 5$, define the matrices $s_i \in G(\mathbb{Q})$ as follows:

$$s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$s_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad s_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define the set $Y_\infty = \{1\}$ and for a (finite) prime p , and define $Y_p \subset \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by

- $Y_p = \{1\}$ if $p \nmid MN$,
- $Y_p = \{1, s_1, s_2\}$ if $p \mid N$ and $p \nmid M$, and
- $Y_p = \{1, s_1, s_2, s_3, \Theta, \Theta s_2, \Theta s_4, \Theta s_5\}$ if $p \mid M$.

Remark. In the definition above, we consider the s_i and Θ as elements of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. This makes Y_v a subset of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Z}_v)$ for all places v .

Lemma 3.1. Y_v is a set of representatives for $(P(\mathbb{Q}_v) \cap K_v^{\mathcal{G}}) \setminus K_v^{\mathcal{G}}/U_v$ at all places v .

Proof. For v infinite or v a prime not dividing MN , this is obvious. Now let p be a prime dividing N but not M . If W denotes the eight element Weyl group, then W is a set of representatives for $(P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p^{\mathcal{G}}) \setminus K_p^{\mathcal{G}}/I_p^{\mathcal{G}}$, for $I_p^{\mathcal{G}}$ the Iwahori subgroup of $K_p^{\mathcal{G}}$. Since $U_p^{\mathcal{G}}$ is larger than $I_p^{\mathcal{G}}$, there is some collapsing, as expected. By explicit computation we find that $\{1, s_1, s_2\}$ do form a set of distinct representatives. The case when $p \mid M$ is also proved similarly by explicit computation. \square

The rest of this section and the next will be devoted to evaluating at each place v the integral $Z_v(g_v, k_v, s)$ for every $k_v \in Y_v$ and $g_v \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q}_v)$.

3D. The local integral at unramified places. In this subsection, q will denote a prime that does not divide MN . Hence, both Λ_q and σ_q are unramified.

In particular, σ_q is a spherical principal series representation induced from unramified characters α and β of \mathbb{Q}_q^\times .

By abuse of notation we use q to also denote its inclusion in \mathbb{Q}_q^\times . Thus q is an uniformizer in our local field.

Let $\rho(\Lambda)$ denote the representation of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ obtained from Λ by automorphic induction. Define $L(s, \sigma_q \times \rho(\Lambda_q))$ as in Section 2D.

For a character χ of \mathbb{Q}_q^\times define

$$L(s, \chi) = \begin{cases} (1 - \chi(q)q^{-s})^{-1} & \text{if } \chi \text{ is unramified at } q, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 3.2. *Let q be a prime such that $q \nmid MN$. Let $\mathbf{1}$ denote the trivial character and χ_{-D} denote the Hecke character associated to the quadratic extension L/\mathbb{Q} . Then we have*

$$Z_q(g_q, 1, s) = W_{\Psi, q}(g_q) \cdot \frac{L(3s + 1, \sigma_q \times \rho(\Lambda_q))}{L(6s + 2, (\chi_{-D})_q)L(6s + 3, \mathbf{1})}.$$

Proof. Let $K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ denote the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ defined by

$$K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} = \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_q) \cap \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_{L, q}).$$

Note that for $g \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_q)$, $k_1, k_2 \in K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1}$, we have using (1-1) and (3-1)

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, k_1 g k_2), s) &= \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(m_2(k_1)m_2(k_1)^{-1}, k_1 g k_2), s) \\ &= \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(m_2(k_1)^{-1}, g k_2), s) \\ &= \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, g), s). \end{aligned}$$

In other words $\Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, g), s)$ only depends on the double coset $K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} g K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1}$.

There are three distinct cases: q can be inert, split or ramified in L . We consider each of these cases separately.

Case 1: q is inert in L . In this case, L_q is a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}_q . We may write elements of L_q in the form $a + b\sqrt{-d}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_q$; then $\mathbb{Z}_{L, q} = a + b\sqrt{-d}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_q$. Also note that Λ_q is trivial.

We know (Cartan decomposition) that

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_q) = \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1}, \quad \text{where } A_n = \begin{pmatrix} q^n & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So (3-9) gives us

$$(3-10) \quad Z_q(g_q, 1, s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n), s) \int_{K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi, q}(g_q h_q) dh_q.$$

Given an element $k \in K_q^{\mathfrak{F}_1}$ we can find $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{L,q}^\times$ such that $kl \in \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)$. It follows that if

$$\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)A_n \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) = \bigsqcup_i a_i \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q), \quad \text{where } a_i \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q),$$

then $K_q^{\mathfrak{F}_1}A_n K_q^{\mathfrak{F}_1} = \bigsqcup_i a_i K_q^{\mathfrak{F}_1}$. The importance of this observation is that we can use the theory of Hecke operators for GL_2 to evaluate the integral in (3-10).

Recall that classically $T(q^k)$ denotes the Hecke operator corresponding to the set $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)S_k \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)$, where S_k is composed of the matrices of size 2 with entries in \mathbb{Z}_q whose determinant generates the ideal (q^k) . Also observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)S_{2n} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) &= \begin{pmatrix} q^n & 0 \\ 0 & q^n \end{pmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)A_n \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) \\ &\sqcup \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q)S_{2n-2} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3-11) \quad \int_{K_q^{\mathfrak{F}_1}A_n K_q^{\mathfrak{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,q}(g_q h_q) dh_q &= \sum_i W_{\Psi,q}(g_q a_i) \\ &= (\beta_{2n} - \beta_{2n-2})W_{\Psi,q}(g_q), \end{aligned}$$

where β_k is the eigenvalue corresponding to Ψ for the Hecke operator $T(q^k)$. We put $\beta_k = 0$ if $k < 0$.

Using [Bump 1997, Proposition 4.6.4], we have

$$(3-12) \quad \beta_k = \frac{q^{k/2}(\alpha(q)^{k+1} - \beta(q)^{k+1})}{\alpha(q) - \beta(q)} \quad \text{for } k \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, using (2-7) we see that $Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n)Q^{-1}$ is the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-n} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^{-n}-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1-q^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^n \end{pmatrix}$$

We can write $C = PK$, with $P \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_q)$, where

$$(3-13) \quad P = \begin{pmatrix} q^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & q^{-n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{-n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - q^n & q^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 - q^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

So, by (1-1) we have

$$(3-14) \quad \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n), s) = q^{-6n(s+1/2)} \Upsilon_q(KQ, s).$$

Also $KQ \cdot \in K_q^{\mathcal{H}}$; hence $\Upsilon_q(KQ, s) = 1$.

So, by (3-10), (3-11), (3-12) and (3-14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q(g_q, 1, s) &= W_{\Psi, q}(g_q) \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} q^{-6n(s+1/2)} \frac{q^n (\alpha(q)^{2n+1} - \beta(q)^{2n+1})}{\alpha(q) - \beta(q)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{n \geq 1} q^{-6n(s+1/2)} \frac{q^{n-1} (\alpha(q)^{2n-1} - \beta(q)^{2n-1})}{\alpha(q) - \beta(q)} \right) \\ &= W_{\Psi, q}(g_q) \frac{(1 - q^{-6s-3})(1 + q^{-6s-2})}{(1 - \alpha(q)^2 q^{-6s-2})(1 - \beta(q)^2 q^{-6s-2})} \\ &= W_{\Psi, q}(g_q) \cdot \frac{L(3s + 1, \sigma_q \times \rho(\Lambda_q))}{L(6s + 2, \chi_{-D})L(6s + 3, \mathbf{1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: q is split in L . We can identify L_q with $\mathbb{Q}_q \oplus \mathbb{Q}_q$, with \mathbb{Q}_q embedded diagonally as $t \mapsto (t, t)$.

For $g \in \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ let $g^* = J_n^{-1}(g^t)^{-1} J_n$. Note that $g^* = g/\det g$ for $n = 2$. Now there is a natural isomorphism of $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ into $U(n, n)(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ given by $g \mapsto (g, g^*)$. Thus specializing to the $n = 2$ case, $g \mapsto (g, g/\det g)$ takes $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ isomorphically onto $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_q)$.

The image $A_{m,k}$ of

$$\begin{pmatrix} q^{m+k} & 0 \\ 0 & q^m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{is equal to} \quad \begin{pmatrix} (q^{m+k}, q^{-m}) & 0 \\ 0 & (q^m, q^{-m-k}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Cartan decomposition gives us

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_q) = \bigsqcup_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_{m,k} K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1}.$$

Let q_1 denote the element $(q, 1) \in L_q$. So $N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(q_1) = q$. For brevity, denote $\Lambda_q(q_1)$ by λ . Note that $\Lambda_q(q^m, q^{-m}) = \lambda^{2m}$ for any integer m . Now, using (3-9),

we have

$$(3-15) \quad Z_q(g_q, 1, s) = \sum_{\substack{k \geq 0 \\ m \in \mathbb{Z}}} \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_{m,k}), s) \lambda^{-4m-2k} \int_{K_q^{\mathbb{F}_1} A_{m,k} K_q^{\mathbb{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,q}(g_q h_q) dh_q.$$

Using the conventions above, and the notation of the inert case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) S_k \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) &= \begin{pmatrix} q^{-m} & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-m} \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) A_{m,k} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) \\ &\sqcup \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q) S_{k-2} \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_q). \end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$(3-16) \quad \int_{K_q^{\mathbb{F}_1} A_{m,k} K_q^{\mathbb{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,q}(g_q h_q) dh_q = (\beta_k - \beta_{k-2}) W_{\Psi,q}(g_q),$$

where we put $\beta_k = 0$ if $k < 0$. Now $Q \cdot \iota(1, A_{m,k}) Q^{-1}$ is the matrix C , where

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^m - 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 - q^{m+k} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{m+k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(Note that by C we actually mean the pair (C, C^*) . This convention will be used throughout our treatment of the split case; thus the letters P, K etc. are really a shorthand for $(P, P^*), (K, K^*)$ etc.)

First we consider the case $m \geq 0$. We can write $C = PK$ where

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} q^{m+k} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^m & -q^m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^m & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q^m - 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 - q^{m+k} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & q^{m+k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $P \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_q)$ we have, using (1-1),

$$(3-17) \quad \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_{m,k}), s) = \lambda^{2m+k} q^{-3(2m+k)(s+1/2)} \Upsilon_q(KQ, s)$$

Since $KQ \in K_q^{\mathcal{H}}$, we have $\Upsilon_q(KQ, s) = 1$.

Thus when $m \geq 0$, we have

$$(3-18) \quad \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_{m,k}), s) = \lambda^{2m+k} q^{-(6m+3k)(s+1/2)}.$$

Now suppose $0 \geq m \geq -k$. For convenience we temporarily put $n = -m$, so that $0 \leq n \leq k$.

Writing C in the form PK , we verify that

$$(3-19) \quad \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_{m,k}), s) = \lambda^{-2n+k} q^{-3k(s+1/2)}.$$

So, when $-k \leq m \leq 0$ we have

$$(3-20) \quad \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_{m,k}), s) = \lambda^{2m+k} q^{-3k(s+1/2)}.$$

Finally, consider the case $m \leq -k$. Again put $n = -m$, so that $0 \leq k \leq n$. By similar calculations as above, we find that

$$(3-21) \quad \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_{m,k}), s) = \lambda^{2m+k} q^{(6m+3k)(s+1/2)}.$$

Substituting (3-12), (3-16), (3-18), (3-20) and (3-21) into (3-15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & Z_q(g_q, 1, s) \\ &= W_{\Psi,q}(g_q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\beta_k - \beta_{k-2}) \left(\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{-2m-k} q^{(-6m-3k)(s+1/2)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{m=-k}^0 \lambda^{-2m-k} q^{-3k(s+1/2)} + \sum_{m=-\infty}^{-k-1} \lambda^{-2m-k} q^{(6m+3k)(s+1/2)} \right) \\ &= \frac{W_{\Psi,q}(g_q)(1 - q^{-6s-3})(1 - q^{-6s-2})}{(1 - \alpha(q)\lambda q^{-3s-1})(1 - \beta(q)\lambda q^{-3s-1}) \cdot (1 - \alpha(q)\frac{1}{\lambda} q^{-3s-1})(1 - \beta(q)\frac{1}{\lambda} q^{-3s-1})} \\ &= W_{\Psi,q}(g_q) \cdot \frac{L(3s + 1, \sigma_q \times \rho(\Lambda_q))}{L(6s + 2, \chi_{-D})L(6s + 3, \mathbf{1})}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: q is ramified in L . We largely revert to the notation of the inert case. Write elements of L_q as $a + bq_1$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_q$ and q_1 a uniformizer in L_q , that is, $N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(q_1) \in q\mathbb{Z}_q^\times$. So $\mathbb{Z}_{L,q} = a + bq_1$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_q$. Put $\lambda = \Lambda_q(q_1)$. We have $\lambda^2 = 1$.

The Cartan decomposition takes the form

$$\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_q) = \bigsqcup_{n \geq 0} K_q^{\mathbb{F}_1} A_n K_q^{\mathbb{F}_1}, \quad \text{where } A_n = \begin{pmatrix} q_1^n & 0 \\ 0 & q_1^{-n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

So (3-9) gives us

$$(3-22) \quad Z_q(g_q, 1, s) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n), s) \int_{K_q^{\mathbb{F}_1} A_n K_q^{\mathbb{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,q}(g_q h_q) dh_q.$$

Now,

$$K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} = \begin{pmatrix} q_1^{-n} & 0 \\ 0 & q_1^{-n} \end{pmatrix} K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} \begin{pmatrix} q^n & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1}.$$

So, by the same argument as in the inert case, we have

$$(3-23) \quad \int_{K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n K_q^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,q}(g_q h_q) dh_q = (\beta_n - \beta_{n-2}) W_{\Psi,q}(g_q),$$

where, of course, we put $\beta_n = 0$ for negative n .

Now $\iota(1, A_n)$ is the same matrix as in the inert case, but with q replaced by q_1 . So the same choice of P and K work.

Thus, by (1-1) we have

$$(3-24) \quad \Upsilon_q(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n), s) = \lambda^n q^{-3n(s+1/2)}.$$

Substituting (3-12), (3-23) and (3-24) in (3-22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_q(g_q, 1, s) &= W_{\Psi,q}(g_q) \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \lambda^n q^{-3n(s+1/2)} \frac{q^{n/2}(\alpha(q)^{n+1} - \beta(q)^{n+1})}{\alpha(q) - \beta(q)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{n \geq 2} \lambda^n q^{-3n(s+1/2)} \frac{q^{n/2-1}(\alpha(q)^{n-1} - \beta(q)^{n-1})}{\alpha(q) - \beta(q)} \right) \\ &= W_{\Psi,q}(g_q) \frac{(1 - q^{-6s-3})}{(1 - \alpha(q)\lambda q^{-3s-1})(1 - \beta(q)\lambda q^{-3s-1})} \\ &= W_{\Psi,q}(g_q) \cdot \frac{L(3s + 1, \sigma_q \times \rho(\Lambda_q))}{L(6s + 2, \chi_{-D})L(6s + 3, \mathbf{1})}. \end{aligned}$$

(Note that $L(s, \chi_{-D}) = 1$ in this case.)

This completes the proof. □

4. The local integral for the ramified and infinite places

4A. The local integral for primes in S_3 . Let r be a prime dividing N but not M . Note that r is inert by our assumptions.

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

$$Z_r(g_r, k_r, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r+1} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r) \cdot L(3s + 1, \sigma_r \times \rho(\Lambda_r)) & \text{if } k_r = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k_r = s_1 \text{ or } s_2, \end{cases}$$

where the local L-function $L(s, \sigma_r \times \rho(\Lambda_r))$ is defined by

$$L(s, \sigma_r \times \rho(\Lambda_r)) = (1 - r^{-2s-1})^{-1}.$$

Proof. Recall that σ is the irreducible automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ generated by $\tilde{\Psi}$. Let σ_r be the local component of σ at the place r . We know that

$\sigma_r = \text{Sp} \otimes \tau$, where Sp denotes the special (Steinberg) representation and τ is a (possibly trivial) unramified quadratic character. We put $a_r = \tau(r)$; thus $a_r = \pm 1$ is the eigenvalue of the local Hecke operator $T(r)$.

We first deal with the case $k_r = 1$. We denote by $\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ the compact open subgroup of $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_r)$ defined by

$$\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} = \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_r).$$

Note that for $g \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_r)$ and $k_1, k_2 \in \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$, we have using (1-1) and (3-3)

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, k_1 g k_2), s) &= \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(m_2(k_1) m_2(k_1)^{-1}, k_1 g k_2), s) \\ (4-1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(m_2(k_1)^{-1}, g k_2), s) \\ &= \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, g), s). \end{aligned}$$

In other words $\Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, g), s)$ only depends on the double coset $\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} g \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$.

Because r is inert in L , L_r is a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}_r . We may write elements of L_r in the form $a + b\sqrt{-d}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_r$; then $\mathbb{Z}_{L,r} = a + b\sqrt{-d}$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_r$. Also note that Λ_r is trivial.

We know (Bruhat–Cartan decomposition) that

$$\begin{aligned} (4-2) \quad \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_r) &= \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \cup \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \cup \bigsqcup_{n>0} \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \cup \bigsqcup_{n>0} \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n w \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \\ &\quad \cup \bigsqcup_{n>0} \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \cup \bigsqcup_{n>0} \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n w \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} r^n & 0 \\ 0 & r^{-n} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So (3-9) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} (4-3) \quad Z_r(g_r, 1, s) &= \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, 1), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r h_r) dh_r \\ &\quad + \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, w), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r h_r) dh_r \\ &\quad + \sum_{n>0} \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r h_r) dh_r \\ &\quad + \sum_{n>0} \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n w), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n w \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r h_r) dh_r \\ &\quad + \sum_{n>0} \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r h_r) dh_r \\ &\quad + \sum_{n>0} \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n w), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n w \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r h_r) dh_r. \end{aligned}$$

Now $W_{\Psi,r}$ is an eigenvector for the Iwahori–Hecke algebra; hence each of the integrals in (4-3) evaluates to a constant multiple of $W_{\Psi,r}(g_r)$. Thus for some function $A(s)$ (not depending on g_r), we have $Z_r(g_r, 1, s) = A(s)W_{\Psi,r}(g_r)$. We may normalize $W_{\Psi,r}(1) = 1$; it follows that

$$(4-4) \quad Z_r(g_r, 1, s) = Z_r(1, 1, s)W_{\Psi,r}(g_r).$$

Given an element $k \in \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}$ we can find $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{L,q}^\times$ such that $kl \in \Gamma_{0,r}$. It follows that if

$$\Gamma_{0,r}A_n\Gamma_{0,r} = \bigsqcup_i a_i\Gamma_{0,r}, \quad \text{where } a_i \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}_q),$$

then $\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}A_n\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1} = \bigsqcup_i a_i\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}$. From [Miyake 1989, Lemma 4.5.6], we may choose

$$a_i = \begin{pmatrix} r^n & mr^{-n} \\ 0 & r^{-n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } 0 \leq m < r^{2n}.$$

Using the formula in [Gross and Kudla 1992, Lemma 2.1], we get $W_{\Psi,r}(a_i) = r^{-2n}$ and hence

$$(4-5) \quad \sum_{a \in \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}A_n\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}/\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(a) = 1.$$

Also, from [Miyake 1989] we have

$$\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}wA_nw\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1} = \bigsqcup_i b_i\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}, \quad \text{where } b_i = \begin{pmatrix} r^{-n} & 0 \\ -mr^{1-n} & r^n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using again the formula in [Gross and Kudla 1992, Lemma 2.1], and doing some simple manipulations, we have

$$(4-6) \quad \sum_{b \in \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}wA_nw\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}/\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathfrak{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(b) = 1.$$

Next, we check that the quantities $\Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n w), s)$ and $\Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n), s)$ are both equal to 0. Indeed $\Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, A), s) = 0$ whenever $Q \cdot \iota(1, A)$ as an element of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_r)$ does not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_r)QU_r^{\mathcal{H}}$. Let K be the matrix defined in (3-13) with q replaced by r . It suffices to prove that the quantities $KQ \cdot \iota(m(w), 1)$ and $KQ \cdot \iota(1, w) \cdot$ do not belong to $(P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_r) \cap K_r^{\mathcal{H}})QU_r^{\mathcal{H}}$. We check this by taking a generic element P of $(P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_r) \cap K_r^{\mathcal{H}})$ and showing that $Q^{-1}PK_0 \notin U_r^{\mathcal{H}}$, where K_0 is one of the quantities above. That is a simple computation and is omitted.

On the other hand, putting

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} r^n & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & r^{-n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^{-n} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_r),$$

we can check that $Q^{-1}P^{-1}Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n) \in U_r^{\mathcal{H}}$; hence

$$(4-7) \quad \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n), s) = r^{-6n(s+1/2)}.$$

Also, putting

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & r^n & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r^{-n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & r^{-n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_r),$$

we can check that $Q^{-1}P^{-1}Q \cdot \iota(m_2(w), A_n w) \in U_r^{\mathcal{H}}$; hence

$$(4-8) \quad \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, wA_n w), s) = \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(m_2(w), A_n w), s) = r^{-6n(s+1/2)}.$$

So, using (4-5), (4-6) (4-7) and (4-8),

$$\begin{aligned} Z_r(1, 1, s) &= \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, 1), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} dh_r \\ &+ \sum_{n>0} \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n), s) \left(\int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(h_r) dh_r + \int_{\Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(h_r) dh_r \right) \\ &= [K_r^{\mathcal{F}_1} : \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}]^{-1} (1 + 2 \sum_{n>0} \Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n), s)) \\ &= \frac{1}{r+1} (1 + 2 \sum_{n>0} r^{-6n(s+1/2)}) = \frac{1}{r+1} \frac{1+r^{-6s-3}}{1-r^{-6s-3}}, \end{aligned}$$

whence (4-4) implies

$$(4-9) \quad Z_r(g_r, 1, s) = \frac{1}{r+1} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r) \cdot \frac{1+r^{-6s-3}}{1-r^{-6s-3}}.$$

Finally, we deal with the case when $k_r = s_1$ or s_2 . The key observation is that if $k \in K_r^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ then

$$s_i^{-1} m_2(k) s_i \in U_r^{\mathcal{G}} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

By the same argument as in (4-1), it follows that $\Upsilon_r(Q \cdot \iota(s_i, g), s)$ only depends on the double coset $K_r^{\mathcal{F}_1} g \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$. So, if we can show that for all $h \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_r)$, we have

$$\sum_{a \in K_r^{\mathcal{F}_1} h \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} / \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r a) = 0,$$

it would follow that $Z_r(g_r, s_i, s) = 0$.

If we define

$$W(g_r) = \sum_{a \in K_r^{\mathcal{F}_1} h \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1} / \Gamma_{0,r}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,r}(g_r a),$$

then

$$W(g_r k) = W(g_r) \quad \text{for all } k \in K_r^{\mathcal{F}_1};$$

in other words W is a vector in the Whittaker space that is right $K_r^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ invariant. But the only such vector is the 0 vector and this completes the proof. \square

4B. The local integral for primes in S_2 .

Proposition 4.2. *Let p be a prime dividing $\gcd(M, N)$ and $k_p \in Y_p$. We have*

$$Z_p(g_p, k_p, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2} & \text{if } k_p = 1 \text{ or } k_p = s_1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recall that σ is the irreducible automorphic representation of $\text{GL}_2(\mathbb{A})$ generated by Ψ . Let σ_p be the local component of σ at the place p . We know that $\sigma_p = \text{Sp} \otimes \tau$, where Sp denotes the special (Steinberg) representation and τ is a (possibly trivial) unramified quadratic character. We put $a_p = \tau(p)$; thus $a_p = \pm 1$ is the eigenvalue of the local Hecke operator $T(p)$.

Define a compact open subgroup of $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ by $\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} = \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}} \cap \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

We first consider the case $k_p = 1$. By a similar argument as before, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, k_1 g k_2), s) &= \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(m_2(k_1) m_2(k_1)^{-1}, k_1 g k_2), s) \\ (4-10) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(m_2(k_1)^{-1}, g k_2), s) \\ &= \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, g), s). \end{aligned}$$

In other words $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, g), s)$ only depends on the double coset $\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} g \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$.

Because p is inert in L , L_p is a quadratic extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . We may write elements of L_p in the form $a + b\sqrt{-d}$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}_p$; then $\mathbb{Z}_{L,p} = a + b\sqrt{-d}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. Also note that Λ_p is not trivial.

Fix a set U of representatives of $\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times / \Gamma_{L,p}^0$. For definiteness we may take

$$U = \{1\} \cup \{b + \sqrt{-d} : b \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq b < p\}.$$

For $l \in L_p^\times$ put $\tilde{l} = \text{diag}(l, \bar{l}^{-1})$. We know that given $g \in \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times$ such that $g\tilde{l} \in \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$. From this fact and the Bruhat–Cartan decomposition (4-2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-11) \quad \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}_p) &= \bigsqcup_{l \in U} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \cup \bigsqcup_{l \in U} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \\
 &\cup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n > 0 \\ l \in U}} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \cup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n > 0 \\ l \in U}} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n w \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \\
 &\cup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n > 0 \\ l \in U}} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} \cup \bigsqcup_{\substack{n > 0 \\ l \in U}} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n w \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1},
 \end{aligned}$$

where as before $A_n = \text{diag}(p^n, p^{-n})$ and $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Now, in the proof of Proposition 4.1 we saw that the elements $Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n w)$ and $Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n)$ of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ do not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) Q U_p^{\mathcal{H}}$. In particular therefore, the elements $Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n w \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n \tilde{l})$ of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ cannot belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) Q I_p^{\mathcal{H}}$.

So (3-9) gives us

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4-12) \quad Z_p(g_p, 1, s) &= \sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, \tilde{l}), s) \int_{\tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,p}(g_p h_p) dh_p \\
 &+ \sum_{n > 0} \sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n \tilde{l}), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,p}(g_p h_p) dh_p \\
 &+ \sum_{n > 0} \sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n w \tilde{l}), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n w \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,p}(g_p h_p) dh_p.
 \end{aligned}$$

If we choose a_i, b_i as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} &= \bigsqcup_i a_i \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}, \\
 \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n w \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} &= \bigsqcup_i b_i \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the same argument as in the proof of that proposition, we have

$$\int_{\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} A_n \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,p}(g_p h_p) dh_p = \int_{\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} w A_n w \tilde{l} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,p}(g_p h_p) dh_p = [K_p^{\mathcal{F}} : \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}]^{-1}.$$

It is easy to check that the last quantity is equal to $1/(p+1)^2$.

So we have

$$(4-13) \quad Z_p(g_p, 1, s) = \frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2} \left(\sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, \tilde{l}), s) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n \tilde{l}), s) \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n w \tilde{l}), s) \right).$$

We can check that for $n > 0$, $Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n \tilde{l})$ does not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) Q I_p^{\mathcal{H}\ell}$; hence $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n \tilde{l}), s) = 0$. We can also check that for $l \in U$ with $l \neq 1$, $Q \cdot \iota(1, \tilde{l})$ does not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) Q I_p^{\mathcal{H}\ell}$; hence $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, \tilde{l}), s) = 0$.

Also, putting

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & p^n \tilde{l}^{-1} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p^{-n} l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & p^{-n} l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p),$$

we can check that $Q^{-1} P^{-1} Q \cdot \iota(w, A_n w \tilde{l}) \in I_p^{\mathcal{H}\ell}$; hence

$$(4-14) \quad \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n w \tilde{l}), s) = \Lambda_p(l) p^{-6n(s+1/2)}.$$

Thus we have $\Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n w \tilde{l}), s) = \Lambda_p^{-1}(l) p^{-6n(s+1/2)}$ and hence for all $n > 0$ we have

$$\sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n w \tilde{l}), s) = 0.$$

So we conclude that $Z_p(g_p, 1, s) = W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)/(p+1)^2$.

Next, we deal with the case $k_p = s_1$.

If $k \in \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$ then $s_1^{-1} m_2(k) s_1 \in I'_p$. So, by the same argument as before, we know that $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(s_1, g), s)$ depends only on the double coset $\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1} g \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}$.

By explicit computation, we check that $Q \cdot \iota(s_1, A_n w \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(s_1, w A_n \tilde{l})$ do not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) Q I_p^{\mathcal{H}\ell}$ for any $n \geq 0$. Moreover, the quantity $Q \cdot \iota(s_1, A_n \tilde{l})$ belongs to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) Q I_p^{\mathcal{H}\ell}$ if and only if $n = 0$ and $l = 1$. On the other hand, for $n > 0$, the quantity $Q \cdot \iota(s_1, w A_n w \tilde{l})$ does belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) Q I_p^{\mathcal{H}\ell}$. By explicit computation that we omit, one sees that

$$(4-15) \quad Z_p(g_p, s_1, s) = \frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2} \left(1 + \sum_{n>0} \sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(s_1, w A_n w \tilde{l}), s) \right).$$

However we do check that $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(s_1, wA_n w\tilde{l}), s) = \Lambda_p(l)p^{-6n(s+1/2)}$ and hence $\sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l)\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(s_1, wA_n w\tilde{l}), s) = 0$.

This completes the proof that $Z_p(g_p, s_1, s) = W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)/(p+1)^2$.

Next, we consider $k_p = s_2$. Let $\Gamma_p^{0, \mathbb{F}_1} = J_1 \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1} J_1$, where $J_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

If $k \in \Gamma_p^{0, \mathbb{F}_1}$, then $s_2^{-1}m_2(k)s_2 \in I'_p$. So, by the same argument as before, we know that $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(s_2, g), s)$ depends only on the double coset $\Gamma_p^{0, \mathbb{F}_1} g \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1}$.

Now, the Bruhat–Cartan decomposition (4-11) continues to hold if we replace the left $\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1}$ in each term by $\Gamma_p^{0, \mathbb{F}_1}$. So, to prove that $Z_p(g_p, s_2, s) = 0$ it is enough to prove that each of the elements $Q \cdot \iota(s_2, A_n \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(s_2, A_n w\tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(s_2, wA_n \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(s_2, wA_n w\tilde{l})$ cannot belong to $P_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)QI_p^{\mathcal{K}}$ for any $n \geq 0$. This we do by an explicit computation. The details are omitted.

Next, take $k_p = s_3$. Once again, we check that if $k \in \Gamma_p^{0, \mathbb{F}_1}$, then $s_3^{-1}m_2(k)s_3 \in I'_p$. On the other hand, an explicit computation again shows that the elements $Q \cdot \iota(s_3, A_n \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(s_3, A_n w\tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(s_3, wA_n \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(s_3, wA_n w\tilde{l})$ cannot belong to $P_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)QI_p^{\mathcal{K}}$. So by exactly the same argument as the previous case, we have $Z_p(g_p, s_3, s) = 0$.

Next consider the case $k_p = \Theta$. Define

$$\Gamma_{1,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1} = \left\{ A \in \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1} \mid A \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

We can check that if $k \in \Gamma_{1,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1}$, then $\Theta^{-1}m_2(k)\Theta \in I'_p$. We know that given $g \in \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1}$, there exists $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times$ such that $g\tilde{l} \in \Gamma_{1,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1}$. Thus, the Bruhat–Cartan decomposition (4-11) continues to hold when we replace the left $\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1}$ in each term by $\Gamma_{1,p}^{\mathbb{F}_1}$. An explicit computation again shows that the elements $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, A_n \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, A_n w\tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, wA_n \tilde{l})$ never belong to $P_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)QI_p^{\mathcal{K}}$. On the other hand, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, wA_n w\tilde{l})$ does belong to $P_{\mathcal{K}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)QI_p^{\mathcal{K}}$ if $n > 0$. Indeed, by explicitly writing down the decomposition, we see that

$$(4-16) \quad Z_p(g_p, \Theta, s) = \frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2} \left(\sum_{n>0} \sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l)\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, wA_n w\tilde{l}), s) \right).$$

But we see that $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, wA_n w\tilde{l}), s) = \Lambda_p(l)p^{-6n(s+1/2)}$ and hence

$$\sum_{l \in U} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l)\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, wA_n w\tilde{l}), s) = 0.$$

This completes the proof that $Z_p(g_p, \Theta, s) = 0$.

The rest of the proof is similar. By explicit computations, we check that

$$Z_p(g_p, \Theta s_2, s) = 0, \quad Z_p(g_p, \Theta s_4, s) = 0, \quad Z_p(g_p, \Theta s_5, s) = 0. \quad \square$$

4C. The local integral for primes in S_1 .

Proposition 4.3. *Let p be a prime dividing M but not N and $k_p \in Y_p$. We have*

$$Z_p(g_p, k_p, s) = \begin{cases} \frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2} & \text{if } k_p = 1 \text{ or } k_p = \Theta, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Recall that σ is the irreducible automorphic representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{A})$ generated by Ψ . Let σ_p be the local component of σ at the place p . We also let α, β be the unramified characters of \mathbb{Q}_p^\times from which σ_p is induced.

Let $\Gamma_{0,p}^{r\mathcal{F}_1}$ and $\Gamma_{1,p}^{r\mathcal{F}_1}$ be as defined in the previous subsection.

We first consider the case $k_p = 1$. As in the previous case, $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(1, g), s)$ only depends on the double coset $\Gamma_{0,p}^{r\mathcal{F}_1} g \Gamma_{0,p}^{r\mathcal{F}_1}$.

By explicit computation we check that $Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n \tilde{l}), Q \cdot \iota(1, A_n w \tilde{l}), Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(1, w A_n w \tilde{l})$ do not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \Omega I_p^{r\mathcal{H}}$. Thus only the section supported on Q contributes. So by the results of the previous subsection and by (4-11), we have $Z_p(g_p, 1, s) = W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)/(p+1)^2$.

Next, consider the case $k_p = s_1$. Again, by explicit computation, we check that for $n > 0$, $Q \cdot \iota(s_1, A_n \tilde{l}), Q \cdot \iota(s_1, A_n w \tilde{l}), Q \cdot \iota(s_1, w A_n \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(s_1, w A_n w \tilde{l})$ do not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \Omega I_p^{r\mathcal{H}}$. Furthermore $Q \cdot \iota(s_1, w \tilde{l})$ does not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \Omega I_p^{r\mathcal{H}}$ and $Q \cdot \iota(s_1, \tilde{l})$ belongs only when $l \neq 1$. So

$$(4-17) \quad Z_p(g_p, s_1, s) = \frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2} \left(\sum_{\substack{l \in U \\ l \neq 1}} \Lambda_p^{-2}(l) \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(s_1, \tilde{l}), s) + 1 \right),$$

where the 1 comes from the results of the previous subsection.

Noting that $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(s_1, \tilde{l}), s) = \Lambda_p(l)$ and that $\sum_{l \in U, l \neq 1} \Lambda_p^{-1}(l) = -1$, we get

$$Z_p(g_p, s_1, s) = -\frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2} + \frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2} = 0.$$

Next, we consider $k_p = s_2$. Let $\Gamma_p^{0, \mathcal{F}_1}$ be as in the previous subsection.

By the argument there, we know that $\Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(s_2, g), s)$ depends only on the double coset $\Gamma_p^{0, \mathcal{F}_1} g \Gamma_{0,p}^{r\mathcal{F}_1}$.

To prove that $Z_p(g_p, s_2, s) = 0$, it is enough to prove that each of the elements $Q \cdot \iota(s_2, A_n \tilde{l}), Q \cdot \iota(s_2, A_n w \tilde{l}), Q \cdot \iota(s_2, w A_n \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(s_2, w A_n w \tilde{l})$ cannot belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \Omega I_p^{r\mathcal{H}}$ for any $n \geq 0$. This we do by an explicit computation we omit.

Next, take $k_p = s_3$. Once again, an explicit computation shows that the elements $Q \cdot \iota(s_3, A_n \tilde{l}), Q \cdot \iota(s_3, A_n w \tilde{l}), Q \cdot \iota(s_3, w A_n \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(s_3, w A_n w \tilde{l})$ cannot belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \Omega I_p^{r\mathcal{H}}$. So by exactly the same argument as the previous case, $Z_p(g_p, s_3, s) = 0$.

Next, consider the case $k_p = \Theta$. By explicit calculation, we check that for $n > 0$ the elements $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, A_n w \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, w A_n \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, w A_n w \tilde{l})$ do not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\Omega I_p^{\mathcal{H}}$. Also check that $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, w \tilde{l}) \notin P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\Omega I_p^{\mathcal{H}}$. Also, provided $l \neq 1$, we have $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, w \tilde{l}) \notin P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\Omega I_p^{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus, the only term that contributes is $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, 1)$.

So by the same argument as before, we have

$$(4-18) \quad Z_p(g_p, \Theta, s) = \Upsilon_p(Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, 1), s) \int_{\Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}_1}} W_{\Psi,p}(g_p h_p) dh_p = \frac{W_{\Psi,p}(g_p)}{(p+1)^2}.$$

Next consider the case $k_p = \Theta s_2$. For $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $u(x)$ be as in the previous subsection. As before, to prove that $Z_p(g_p, \Theta s_2, s) = 0$, it is enough to check that the elements $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_2, u(x) A_n \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_2, u(x) A_n w \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_2, u(x) w A_n \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta, u(x) w A_n w \tilde{l})$ do not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\Omega I_p^{\mathcal{H}}$. This can be done by an explicit computation (omitted).

Next, consider the case $k_p = \Theta s_4$. To prove that $Z_p(g_p, \Theta s_4, s) = 0$, it is enough to check that the elements $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_4, u(x) A_n \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_4, u(x) A_n w \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_4, u(x) w A_n \tilde{l})$ and $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_4, u(x) w A_n w \tilde{l})$ do not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\Omega I_p^{\mathcal{H}}$. This is done by an explicit computation, which we omit.

Finally, we consider the case $k_p = \Theta s_5$. To prove that $Z_p(g_p, \Theta s_5, s) = 0$, it suffices to check that the elements $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_5, A_n \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_5, A_n w \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_5, w A_n \tilde{l})$, $Q \cdot \iota(\Theta s_5, w A_n w \tilde{l})$ do not belong to $P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)\Omega I_p^{\mathcal{H}}$. This is done by an explicit computation, which we omit. □

4D. The local integral at infinity.

Proposition 4.4. *We have*

$$Z_{\infty}(g_{\infty}, 1, s) = B_{\infty}(s) W_{\Psi,\infty}(g_{\infty}), \quad \text{where } B_{\infty}(s) = \frac{(-1)^{\ell/2} 2^{-6s-1} \pi}{6s + \ell - 1}.$$

Proof. Note that $K_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the maximal compact subgroup of $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{R})$. Furthermore, note that any element h of $\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{R})$ can be written in the form

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix} k \quad \text{where } x \in \mathbb{R}, b \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } k \in K_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Let us henceforth let $u(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $t(b) = \text{diag}(b, b^{-1})$. We normalize our Haar measures so that $K_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}}$ has volume 1. Also, note that Λ_{∞} is trivial and for $k \in K_{\infty}^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $g, h \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\Upsilon_{\infty}(Q \cdot \iota(1, hk), s) W_{\Psi,\infty}(ghk) = \Upsilon_{\infty}(Q \cdot \iota(1, h), s) W_{\Psi,\infty}(gh).$$

Hence we have

$$Z_\infty(g_\infty, 1, s) = \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty \Upsilon_\infty(Q \cdot \iota(1, u(x)t(b)), s) W_{\Psi, \infty}(g_\infty u(x)t(b)) b^{-3} dx db,$$

where dx and db are the usual Lebesgue measures.

Let $K_\infty^H = K_\infty^{\mathcal{H}} \cap H(\mathbb{R})$. To calculate $\Upsilon_\infty(Q \cdot \iota(1, u(x)t(b)), s)$ we need to write the Iwasawa decomposition of $Q \cdot \iota(1, u(x)t(b))$. However, finding an explicit decomposition is not really necessary. Indeed, we know that there exists some decomposition

$$Q \cdot \iota(1, u(x)t(b)) = \begin{pmatrix} A & X \\ 0 & (A^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} K \quad \text{with } K \in K_\infty^H \text{ and } A \in \text{GL}_3(\mathbb{R})$$

and that

$$(4-19) \quad \Upsilon_\infty(Q \cdot \iota(1, u(x)t(b)), s) = |\det(A)|^{6(s+1/2)} \det(J(K, i))^{-\ell}.$$

Now, let $A_x^b = Q \cdot \iota(1, u(x)t(b))$. By explicit computation, we see that

$$A_x^b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1/b \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & b & 0 & 0 & -x/b \end{pmatrix}$$

By (4-19) we have

$$\det(J(A_x^b, i)) = \det(A)^{-1} \det(J(K, i)).$$

Since $\det(J(A_x^b, i)) = (x - i(b^2 + 1))/b$, we have

$$\Upsilon_\infty(Q \cdot \iota(1, u(x)t(b)), s) = |\det(A)|^{6(s+1/2)} \det(A)^{-\ell} b^\ell (x - i(b^2 + 1))^{-\ell}.$$

On the other hand, we have $(A_x^b)(i) = (AA^t i + XA^t)$. By explicit computation, we see that

$$(A_x^b)(i) = \frac{1}{b^4 + 2b^2 + x^2 + 1} \cdot \left(\begin{pmatrix} b^4 + b^2 + x^2 & 0 & -x \\ 0 & b^4 + 2b^2 + x^2 + 1 & 0 \\ -x & 0 & b^2 + 1 \end{pmatrix} i + \begin{pmatrix} -x & 0 & b^2 + 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ b^2 + 1 & 0 & x \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

From this we get $\det(A) = b/\sqrt{b^4 + 1 + 2b^2 + x^2}$.

Therefore, we have

$$\Upsilon_\infty(Q \cdot \iota(1, u(x)t(b)), s) = b^{6s+3} (b^4 + 1 + 2b^2 + x^2)^{-3(s+1/2)+\ell/2} (x - i(b^2 + 1))^{-\ell}.$$

On the other hand, we know that the normalized Whittaker function satisfies

$$W_{\Psi, \infty}(u(x)t(b)) = e^{2\pi i x} e^{-2\pi b^2} b^\ell.$$

We will prove the proposition only for $g_\infty = 1$; the calculations in the general case are similar.

We need to evaluate the integral

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty b^{6s+\ell} (x - i(b^2 + 1))^{-3(s+\frac{1}{2})-\frac{\ell}{2}} (x + i(b^2 + 1))^{-3(s+\frac{1}{2})+\frac{\ell}{2}} e^{2\pi i x} e^{2\pi b^2} dx db.$$

Putting $b^2 = y$, the integral becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \int_{-\infty}^\infty y^{3s+\frac{\ell-1}{2}} (x - i(y+1))^{-3(s+\frac{1}{2})-\frac{\ell}{2}} (x + i(y+1))^{-3(s+\frac{1}{2})+\frac{\ell}{2}} e^{2\pi i x} e^{-2\pi y} dx dy.$$

Applying [Gross and Kudla 1992, (6.11)] to the inner integral, this integral becomes

$$\frac{(-1)^{\ell/2} (2\pi)^{6s+3}}{2\Gamma(3s + \frac{3}{2} + \frac{\ell}{2})\Gamma(3s + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\ell}{2})} \times \int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi(1+2t)} (t+1)^{3s+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\ell}{2}} t^{3s+\frac{1}{2}-\frac{\ell}{2}} \left(\int_0^\infty y^{3s+\frac{\ell-1}{2}} e^{-4\pi y(1+t)} dy \right) dt.$$

Now, $\int_0^\infty y^{3s+(\ell-1)/2} e^{-4\pi y(1+t)} dy$ evaluates to

$$2^{-6s-\ell-1} (\pi(1+t))^{-3s-\ell/2-1/2} \Gamma(3s + \ell/2 + 1/2).$$

Using this and the formula

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-2\pi(1+2t)} t^{3s+1/2-\ell/2} dt = 2^{-6s+\ell-3} e^{-2\pi} \pi^{-3s+\ell/2-3/2} \Gamma(3s + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{\ell}{2}),$$

our integral simplifies to

$$\frac{(-1)^{\ell/2} 2^{-6s-1} \pi}{6s + \ell - 1} W_{\Psi, \infty}(1). \quad \square$$

5. Proof of the pullback formula

In this section, we will prove Theorem 2.1.

Recall the definition of $\mathcal{E}(g, s)$ from Section 2D. Our main step in computing $\mathcal{E}(g, s)$ will be the evaluation of the integral

$$\Upsilon_\Psi(g, s) = \int_{Q_{\mathbb{F}_1}[g](\mathbb{A})} \Upsilon(\iota(g, h), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh.$$

By [Shimura 1997], we know that the integral above converges absolutely and uniformly on compact sets for $\text{Re}(s)$ large. We will evaluate this integral for such s .

Note that $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}) = P(\mathbb{A}) \prod_v K_v^{\mathcal{G}}$. Moreover if $k \in K_v^{\mathcal{G}}$, we may write

$$k = m_2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) k' \quad \text{where } \lambda = \mu_2(k),$$

so that $\mu_2(k') = 1$.

For any $p \in S_3$ we have, by the Bruhat decomposition,

$$K_p^{\mathcal{G}} = (P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p^{\mathcal{G}}) U_p^{\mathcal{G}} \sqcup (P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p^{\mathcal{G}}) s_1 U_p^{\mathcal{G}} \sqcup (P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p^{\mathcal{G}}) s_2 U_p^{\mathcal{G}}.$$

Also, for $p \mid M$, we have, by Lemma 3.1,

$$K_p^{\mathcal{G}} = \prod_{s \in Y_p} (P(\mathbb{Q}_p) \cap K_p^{\mathcal{G}}) s I'_p.$$

Recall the compact subgroup $U^{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ defined in (2-4).

So write $g = m_1(a)m_2(b)nk$, where $k \in \prod_v K_v^{\mathcal{G}}$ and $\mu_2(k) = 1$ and further write $k = k_{\infty} k_{\text{ram}} k_{\text{ur}}$, where

$$\infty \in K_{\infty}^{\mathcal{G}}, \quad k_{\text{ur}} \in U^{\mathcal{G}}, \quad k_{\text{ram}} = \prod_v (k_{\text{ram}})_v,$$

with

$$(k_{\text{ram}})_v \in \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } v \notin S, \\ \{1, s_1, s_2\} & \text{if } v \in S_3, \\ \{1, s_1, s_2, s_3, \Theta, \Theta s_2, \Theta s_4, \Theta s_5\} & \text{if } v \in S_1 \sqcup S_2. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon_{\Psi}(g, s) &= \int_{\mathcal{F}_1[m_2(b)](\mathbb{A})} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota(m_1(a)m_2(b)nk, b(b^{-1}h)), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh \\ &= \rho_{\ell}(k_{\infty}) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathcal{F}_1[m_2(b)](\mathbb{A})} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota(m_1(a)m_2(b)nk_{\text{ram}}, b(b^{-1}h)), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh \\ &\hspace{15em} \text{(using properties from Section 3B)} \\ &= \Lambda(a) |N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(a) \cdot \mu_2(b)^{-1} |^{3(s+1/2)} \rho_{\ell}(k_{\infty}) \\ &\quad \times \int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{A})} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota(k_{\text{ram}}, h), s) \Psi(bh) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh \hspace{5em} \text{(using (1-1)).} \end{aligned}$$

We write

$$U_b(k_{\text{ram}}, s) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{A})} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota(k_{\text{ram}}, h), s) \Psi(bh) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh.$$

Thus we have

$$(5-1) \quad \Upsilon_\Psi(g, s) = \Lambda(a) |N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}(a) \cdot \mu_2(b)^{-1}|^{3(s+1/2)} \rho_l(k_\infty) \times U_b(k_{\text{ram}}, s).$$

Recall the Whittaker expansion

$$(5-2) \quad \Psi(g) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} W_\Psi \left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} g \right).$$

Therefore

$$(5-3) \quad U_b(k_{\text{ram}}, s) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}^\times} Z \left(\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} b, k_{\text{ram}}, s \right),$$

where for $g \in \mathbb{F}(\mathbb{A})$, $k \in \prod_v K_v^g$ and $\mu_2(k) = 1$, we define

$$Z(g, k, s) = \int_{\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{A})} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota(k, h), s) W_\Psi(gh) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh.$$

Note that the uniqueness of the Whittaker function implies

$$Z(g, k, s) = \prod_v Z_v(g_v, k_v, s),$$

where the local zeta integral $Z_v(g_v, k_v, s)$ is defined as in (3-9).

So, by the results of the previous two sections, we have

$$(5-4) \quad Z(g, k_{\text{ram}}, s) = \begin{cases} B(s) W_\Psi(g) & \text{if } (k_{\text{ram}})_v \in Y'_v \text{ for all places } v, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we define

$$Y'_v = \begin{cases} \{1\} & \text{if } v \notin S_1 \sqcup S_2, \\ \{1, s_1\} & \text{if } v \in S_2, \\ \{1, \Theta\} & \text{if } v \in S_1. \end{cases}$$

From (5-1), (5-2), (5-3) and (5-4) we conclude that

$$(5-5) \quad \Upsilon_\Psi(g, s) = B(s) f_\Lambda(g, s),$$

where $f_\Lambda(g, s)$ is defined as in Section 2B.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Recall the definition of $B(s)$ from (2-10). Also recall that we defined

$$(5-6) \quad \mathcal{E}(g, s) = \int_{\mathbb{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathbb{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} E_\Upsilon(\iota(g, h), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh.$$

The pullback formula states that $\mathcal{E}(g, s) = B(s) E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s)$.

Since E_γ is left invariant by $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})$, we have

$$(5-7) \quad \mathcal{E}(g, s) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} E_\gamma(Q \cdot \iota(g, h), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh.$$

By abuse of notation, we use $\tilde{R}(\mathbb{Q})$ to denote its image in $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})$. Let $V(\mathbb{Q}) = Q\tilde{R}(\mathbb{Q})Q^{-1}$. First, we recall from [Shimura 1997] that $|P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}) / V(\mathbb{Q})| = 2$. We take the identity element as one of the double coset representatives, and denote the other one by τ . Thus $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}) = P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q})V(\mathbb{Q}) \sqcup P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q})\tau V(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let us denote by R_1, R_2 the corresponding sets of coset representatives, that is, $R_1 \subset V(\mathbb{Q})$ and $R_2 \subset \tau V(\mathbb{Q})$ and

$$P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q})V(\mathbb{Q}) = \bigsqcup_{s \in R_1} P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q})s \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q})\tau V(\mathbb{Q}) = \bigsqcup_{s \in R_2} P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q})s.$$

Recall that we defined

$$E_\gamma(h, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{\mathcal{H}}(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})} \Upsilon(\gamma h, s) \quad \text{for } \text{Re}(s) \text{ large.}$$

We can write $E_\gamma(h, s) = E_\gamma^1(h, s) + E_\gamma^2(h, s)$, where

$$E_\gamma^1(h, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in R_1} \Upsilon(\gamma h, s) \quad \text{and} \quad E_\gamma^2(h, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in R_2} \Upsilon(\gamma h, s).$$

By [Shimura 1997, 22.9] the orbit of τ is negligible for our integral, that is,

$$\int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} E_\gamma^2(Q \cdot \iota(g, h), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh = 0 \quad \text{for all } g.$$

It follows that

$$(5-8) \quad \mathcal{E}(g, s) = \int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} E_\gamma^1(Q \cdot \iota(g, h), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh.$$

On the other hand, by [Shimura 1997, 2.7] we can take R_1 to be the set

$$R_1 = \{Q \cdot \iota(m_2(\zeta)\beta, 1)Q^{-1} : \zeta \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}), \beta \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})\}.$$

For $\text{Re}(s)$ large, we therefore have

$$E_\gamma^1(Q \cdot \iota(g, h), s) = \sum_{\substack{\zeta \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \beta \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})}} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota((m_2(\zeta)\beta g, h), s).$$

Substituting in (5-8) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}(g, s) &= \int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} \sum_{\substack{\xi \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \beta \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})}} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota(m_2(\xi)\beta g, h), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh \\
 &= \int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} \sum_{\substack{\xi \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \beta \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})}} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota(\beta g, \xi^{-1}h), s) \Psi(\xi^{-1}h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det \xi^{-1}h) dh \\
 &= \sum_{\beta \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})} \int_{\mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} \Upsilon(Q \cdot \iota(\beta g, h), s) \Psi(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh \\
 &= \sum_{\beta \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})} \Upsilon_{\Psi}(\beta g, s) = B(s) \sum_{\beta \in P(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{Q})} f_{\Lambda}(\beta g, s) = B(s) E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $\text{Re}(s)$ large,

$$(5-9) \quad \int_{\mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash \mathcal{F}_1[g](\mathbb{A})} E_{\Upsilon}(\iota(g, h), s) \tilde{\Psi}(h) \Lambda^{-1}(\det h) dh = B(s) E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s)$$

(so that all sums and integrals converge nicely and our manipulations are valid).

However, $E_{\Upsilon}(\iota(g, h), s)$ is slowly increasing away from its poles, while $\Psi(h)$ is rapidly decreasing. Thus the left side above converges absolutely for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ away from the poles of the Eisenstein series. Hence (5-9) holds as an identity of meromorphic functions. \square

6. Integral representations for holomorphic forms

6A. Siegel newforms of squarefree level. For M a positive integer define these global congruence subgroups:

$$\begin{aligned}
 B(M) &:= \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 U_1(M) &:= \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 U_2(M) &:= \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}, \\
 U_0(M) &:= \text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Q}) \cap \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & M^{-1}\mathbb{Z} \\ M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & M\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

When $M = 1$ each of these groups is simply $\mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$. For $M > 1$, the groups are all distinct. If Γ' is equal to one of these groups, or (more generally) is any congruence subgroup, we define $S_k(\Gamma')$ to be the space of Siegel cusp forms of degree 2 and weight k with respect to the group Γ' .

More precisely, let $\mathbb{H}_2 = \{Z \in M_2(\mathbb{C}) \mid Z = Z^t \text{ and } i(\bar{Z} - Z) \text{ is positive definite}\}$. For any $g = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in G$, let $J(g, Z) = CZ + D$. Then $f \in S_k(\Gamma')$ if it is a holomorphic function on \mathbb{H}_2 , satisfies $f(\gamma Z) = \det(J(\gamma, Z))^k f(Z)$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma'$ and $Z \in \mathbb{H}_2$, and vanishes at the cusps. It is well known that f has a Fourier expansion

$$f(Z) = \sum_{S>0} a(S, F)e(\mathrm{tr}(SZ)),$$

where $e(z) = \exp(2\pi iz)$ and S runs through all symmetric semiintegral positive-definite matrices of size two.

Now let M be a squarefree positive integer. For any decomposition $M = M_1 M_2$ into coprime integers we define, following [Schmidt 2005], the subspace of oldforms $S_k(B(M))^{\mathrm{old}}$ to be the sum

$$S_k(B(M_1) \cap U_0(M_2)) + S_k(B(M_1) \cap U_1(M_2)) + S_k(B(M_1) \cap U_2(M_2)).$$

For each prime p not dividing M , there is the local Hecke algebra \mathfrak{H}_p of operators on $S_k(B(M))$, and for each prime q dividing M we have the Atkin–Lehner involution η_q also acting on $S_k(B(M))$. For details, see [Schmidt 2005].

By a newform for the minimal congruence subgroup $B(M)$, we mean an element $f \in S_k(B(M))$ with the properties that f is

- (a) in the orthogonal complement of the space $S_k(B(M))^{\mathrm{old}}$,
- (b) an eigenform for the local Hecke algebras \mathfrak{H}_p for all primes p not dividing M , and
- (c) an eigenform for the Atkin–Lehner involutions η_q for all primes q dividing M .

Remark. By [Schmidt 2005], if we assume that a nice L -function theory for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ exists, then (b) and (c) above follow from (a) and the assumption that f is an eigenform for the local Hecke algebras at *almost* all primes.

6B. Description of F and Λ . Let M be an odd square-free positive integer and

$$F(Z) = \sum_{T>0} a(T)e(\mathrm{tr}(TZ))$$

be a Siegel newform for $B(M)$ of even weight ℓ . We assume the following:

Assumption 6.1. $a(T) \neq 0$ for some

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} a & b/2 \\ b/2 & c \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $-d = b^2 - 4ac$ is the discriminant of the imaginary quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$, and all primes dividing MN are inert in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$.

We define a function $\Phi = \Phi_F$ on $G(\mathbb{A})$ by

$$\Phi(\gamma g_\infty k_0) = \mu_2(g_\infty)^l \det(J(g_\infty, iI_2))^{-l} F(g_\infty(i)),$$

where

$$\gamma \in G(\mathbb{Q}), \quad g_\infty \in G(\mathbb{R})^+, \quad k_0 \in \left(\prod_{p \nmid M} K_p\right) \cdot \left(\prod_{p \mid M} I_p\right).$$

Because we do not have strong multiplicity one for G , we can only say that the representation of $G(\mathbb{A})$ generated by Φ is a multiple of an irreducible representation π . However that is enough for our purposes.

We know that $\pi = \otimes \pi_v$, where

$$\pi_v = \begin{cases} \text{holomorphic discrete series} & \text{if } v = \infty, \\ \text{unramified spherical principal series} & \text{if } v \text{ finite, } v \nmid M, \\ \zeta_v \text{St}_{G\text{Sp}(4)}, \text{ where } \zeta_v \text{ is unramified and } \zeta_v^2 = 1 & \text{if } v \mid M. \end{cases}$$

Put $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})$. where d is the integer defined in Assumption 6.1. Thus, we have fixed a choice for the imaginary quadratic field L , which was until now assumed to be more or less arbitrary.

Next we need to make a choice for Λ . Basically, we need Λ to be a Hecke character satisfying the four assumptions of Section 1, so that F has a nontrivial Bessel model for Λ .

Fix the character Λ and define the quantity $a(\Lambda)$ as in [Saha 2009, Section 8.3].

6C. The integral representation.

Theorem 6.2 [Saha 2009, Theorem 8.5.1].

$$\int_{Z_G(\mathbb{A})G(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})} E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s) \bar{\Phi}(g) dg = C(s) \cdot L(3s + \frac{1}{2}, F \times g),$$

where

$$C(s) = \frac{Q_f \pi \overline{a(\Lambda)} (4\pi)^{-3s - \frac{3}{2}\ell + \frac{3}{2}} d^{-3s - \frac{\ell}{2}} \Gamma(3s + \frac{3}{2}l - \frac{3}{2})}{\sigma_1(M/f) P_{MN} (6s + \ell - 1) \zeta^{MN} (6s + 1) L(3s + 1, \sigma \times \rho(\Lambda))} \cdot \prod_{p \mid f} \frac{p^{-6s-3}}{1 - a_p w_p p^{-3s-3/2}},$$

and $f = \text{gcd}(M, N)$, $Q_A = \prod_{r \mid A, r \text{ prime}} (1 - r)$, and $\sigma_1(A)$, P_A , ζ^A are as before.

Remark. For related results, see [Furusawa 1993], [Pitale and Schmidt 2009a], and [Pitale and Schmidt 2009b].

Recall the definition of $B(s)$ from (2-10) and let $A(s) = B(s)C(s)$.

Lemma 6.3. $A(s)$ has no zeroes or poles for $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$.

Proof. This follows from a cursory examination of the definition of $A(s)$; none of the zeroes or poles of the constituent functions occur to the right of 0. \square

Let R denote the subgroup of \tilde{R} consisting of elements $h = (h_1, h_2)$ such that $h_1 \in G, h_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mu_2(h_1) = \mu_1(h_2)$. The theorem above, along with our pullback formula, implies the following result.

Theorem 6.4. *We have*

$$\int_{g \in Z(\mathbb{A})R(\mathbb{Q})R(\mathbb{A})} E_\Gamma(t(g_1, g_2), s) \bar{\Phi}(g_1) \Psi(g_2) \Lambda^{-1}(\det g_2) dg = A(s)L(3s + \frac{1}{2}, F \times g),$$

where $g = (g_1, g_2)$.

This new integral representation has a great advantage over the previous one: The Eisenstein series $E_\Gamma(g, s)$ is much simpler than $E_{\Psi, \Lambda}(g, s)$, even though it lives on a higher rank group. This is because it is induced from a one-dimensional representation of the Siegel parabolic. Thus, it is more suitable for applications, especially with regard to special value results.

Corollary 6.5. $L(s, F \times g)$ can be continued to a meromorphic function on the entire complex plane. Its only possible pole to the right of the critical line $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ is at $s = 1$.

Proof. The integral representation of Theorem 6.4 immediately proves the meromorphic continuation. Furthermore by [Ichino 2004], we know that the only possible poles of the Eisenstein series $E_\Gamma(g, s)$ to the right of $s = 0$ are at $s = 1/6$ and $s = 1/2$. However, as we remark in the proof of Proposition 6.8, there is no pole at $s = 1/2$. So the only possible pole of the Eisenstein series in that half plane is at $s = 1/6$, which corresponds to a pole of the L -functions at $s = 1$. \square

6D. Eisenstein series on Hermitian domains. Let

$$\mathcal{G}^+(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}) : \mu_2(g) > 0\}.$$

Define the groups $G^+(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{H}^+(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{F}^+(\mathbb{R})$ similarly.

Also recall the definitions of the symmetric domains \mathbb{H}_n and $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_n$ from the section on notations. We define the standard embedding of $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_2 \times \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_1$ into $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_3$ by

$$(Z_1, Z_2) \mapsto \text{diag}(Z_1, Z_2).$$

We use the same notation (Z_1, Z_2) to denote an element of $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_2 \times \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_1$ and its image in $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_3$ under the embedding above. Note that this embedding restricts to an embedding of $\mathbb{H}_2 \times \mathbb{H}_1$ into \mathbb{H}_3 .

We also define another embedding u of $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_2 \times \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_1$ into $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_3$ by

$$u(Z_1, Z_2) = (Z_1, -\bar{Z}_2).$$

Clearly this embedding also restricts to an embedding of $\mathbb{H}_2 \times \mathbb{H}_1$ into \mathbb{H}_3 .

Furthermore, the following is true, as can be verified by an easy calculation:

Let $g_1 \in \mathcal{G}_1(\mathbb{R}), g_2 \in \mathcal{F}_1(\mathbb{R})$, such that $g_1(i) = Z_1$ and $g_2(i) = Z_2$. In the event that $(Z_1, Z_2) \in \mathbb{H}_2 \times \mathbb{H}_1$, we may even take $g_1 \in G_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Then $u(Z_1, Z_2) = i(g_1, g_2)i$.

Now, let us interpret the Eisenstein series of the last section as a function on $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_3$. Recall the definitions of the sections $\Upsilon_v(s) \in \text{Ind}_{P_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}}^{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q}_v)}(\Lambda_v \|\cdot\|_v^{3s})$. Also, for $Z \in \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_n$, we set $\widehat{Z} = i(\bar{Z}^t - Z)/2$.

Lemma 6.6. *Let $g_\infty \in \mathcal{H}^+(\mathbb{R})$. Then*

$$\Upsilon_\infty(g_\infty, s) = \det(g_\infty)^{\ell/2} \det(J(g_\infty, i))^{-\ell} \det(\widehat{g_\infty(i)})^{3(s+1/2)-\ell/2}.$$

Proof. Let us write $g_\infty = m(A, v)nk_\infty$, where $m(A, v) \in M(\mathbb{A}), n \in N(\mathbb{A})$ and $k \in K_\infty^{\mathcal{H}}$. Then, (1-1) and (1-4) tells us that

$$\Upsilon_\infty(g_\infty, s) = v^{-9(s+1/2)} |\det A|^{6s+3} \det(k_\infty)^{\ell/2} \det(J(k_\infty, i))^{-\ell}.$$

On the other hand, we can verify that $\widehat{g_\infty(i)} = v^{-1}A\bar{A}^t$ and therefore

$$\det(\widehat{g_\infty(i)}) = v^{-3} |\det A|^2.$$

Also we see that $J(g_\infty, i) = v(\bar{A}^t)^{-1}J(k_\infty, i)$, which implies

$$\det(J(g_\infty, i)) = v^3 \det(\bar{A})^{-1} \det(J(k_\infty, i)).$$

Finally $\det(g_\infty) = v^3 \det(k_\infty) \det(A) \det(\bar{A})^{-1}$. Putting these equations together, we get the statement of the lemma. □

Corollary 6.7. *Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u_f \in \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{A}_f)$ be fixed. Then the function Σ on $\mathcal{H}^+(\mathbb{R})$ defined by*

$$\Sigma(g_\infty) = \det(g_\infty)^{-\ell/2} \det(J(g_\infty, i))^\ell E_\Upsilon(u_f g_\infty, \frac{1}{3}s + \frac{1}{6}\ell - \frac{1}{2})$$

depends only on $g_\infty(i)$.

Proof. We have $E_\Upsilon(u_f g_\infty, s) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})} \backslash \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})} \Upsilon_\infty(\gamma_\infty g_\infty, s) \Upsilon_f(\gamma_f u_f, s)$. So, by Lemma 6.6,

$$(6-1) \quad \Sigma(g_\infty) = \sum_{\gamma \in P_{\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})} \backslash \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{Q})} \det(\gamma)^{\ell/2} \det(J(\gamma, Z))^{-\ell} \det(\widehat{\gamma(Z)})^s \Upsilon_f(\gamma_f u_f, s),$$

where $Z = g_\infty(i)$. □

Now, consider the coset decomposition

$$(6-2) \quad \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{A}) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^h \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{Q})\mathcal{F}^+(\mathbb{R}) \operatorname{diag}(t_i, t_i^*), U^{\mathcal{F}},$$

where $t_i \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{A}_f)$, $t_i^* = \bar{t}_i^{-1}$, and

$$(6-3) \quad U^{\mathcal{F}} = \prod_{p \notin S} K_p^{\mathcal{F}} \prod_{p \in S_3} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\mathcal{F}} \prod_{p \in S_1 \sqcup S_2} \Gamma_{0,p}^{\prime \mathcal{F}}.$$

We note here that the constant h comes up because the class number of L may not be 1 and because the det map from $\Gamma_{0,p}^{\prime \mathcal{F}}$ to $\mathbb{Z}_{L,p}^\times$ is not surjective. In particular, note that if $M = 1$, we have $h = h(-d)$, the class number of L .

Also, we note that by the Chebotarev density theorem, we may choose t_i such that $(N_{L/\mathbb{Q}}t_i) = q_i^{-1}$, where q_i corresponds to an ideal of \mathbb{Z} that splits in L . In particular, $\gcd(q_i, MN) = 1$.

Now, let

$$\Gamma_i = SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap \operatorname{diag}(t_i, t_i^*)U^{\mathcal{F}} \operatorname{diag}(t_i^{-1}, (t_i^*)^{-1})\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = \Gamma_0(M) \cap \Gamma_0(Nq_i).$$

Also, we define the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_{M,N}$ of $\operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$\Gamma_{M,N} = B(M) \cap U_2(N).$$

Recall the definition of $U^{\mathcal{G}}$ from (2-4). Let us define the compact open subgroup U^G of $G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ by

$$(6-4) \quad U^G = U^{\mathcal{G}} \cap G(\mathbb{A}).$$

Observe that $\Gamma_{M,N} = U^G \operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R}) \cap \operatorname{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Q})$.

Next, put $s_i = \operatorname{diag}(t_i, t_i^*)$ and $r_i = \iota(1, s_i) \in \mathcal{H}_1(\mathbb{A}_f)$. For $Z \in \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_3$, define the Eisenstein series $E_{\Gamma}^i(Z; s)$ by

$$(6-5) \quad E_{\Gamma}^i(Z; s) = \det(g_{\infty})^{-\ell/2} \det(J(g_{\infty}, i))^{\ell} E_{\Gamma}(r_i g_{\infty}, \frac{s}{3} + \frac{\ell}{6} - \frac{1}{2}),$$

where $g_{\infty} \in \mathcal{H}^+(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $g_{\infty}(i) = Z$. We note that $E_{\Gamma}^i(Z, s)$ is well defined by Corollary 6.7.

Now, consider the function $E_{\Gamma}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 0)$ for $Z_1 \in \mathbb{H}_2$ and $Z_2 \in \mathbb{H}_1$.

Proposition 6.8. *Assume $\ell \geq 6$. Then $E_{\Gamma}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 0)$ is a modular form of weight ℓ for $\Gamma_{M,N} \times \Gamma_i$. Furthermore, for any s_0 , the function $E_{\Gamma}^i(Z_1, Z_2; s_0)$ (which is not holomorphic in Z_1 and Z_2 unless $s_0 = 0$) transforms like $E_{\Gamma}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 0)$ under the action of $\Gamma_{M,N} \times \Gamma_i$.*

Proof. We know that $E_{\Gamma}(g, s)$ converges absolutely and uniformly for $s > 1/2$. So if $\ell > 6$, it follows that $E_{\Gamma}^i(Z; 0)$ is holomorphic. Furthermore, the case $\ell = 6$ corresponds to the point $s = 1/2$ of $E_{\Gamma}(g, s)$. From the general theory of Eisenstein

series, we know that the residue of $E_\Gamma(g, s)$ restricted to K_∞^ℓ at $s = 1/2$ must be a constant function. However, because $E_\Gamma(g, s)$ is an eigenfunction of K_∞^ℓ with nontrivial eigencharacter, this residue must be zero. Hence $E_\Gamma^i(Z; 0)$ is a holomorphic function of Z even for $\ell = 6$.

Let $A \in \Gamma_{M,N}$, $B \in \Gamma_i$. It suffices to show that

$$E_\Gamma^i(AZ_1, BZ_2; s_0) = \det(J(A, Z_1))^\ell \det(J(B, Z_2))^\ell E_\Gamma^i(Z_1, Z_2; s_0).$$

For $g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ denote $\tilde{g} = \begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix}$. Let $g_1 \in G_1(\mathbb{R})$ and $g_2 \in SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $g_1 i = Z_1$ and $g_2 i = Z_2$. Put $s' = s_0/3 + \ell/6 - 1/2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} E_\Gamma^i(AZ_1, BZ_2; s_0) &= E_\Gamma^i(u(AZ_1, \overline{-BZ_2}); s_0) \\ &= E_\Gamma^i(\iota(Ag_1, \tilde{B}\tilde{g}_2)i; s_0) \\ &= \det(J(\iota(Ag_1, \tilde{B}\tilde{g}_2), i))^\ell E_\Gamma(r_i \iota(Ag_1, \tilde{B}\tilde{g}_2), s') \\ &= \det(J(\iota(Ag_1, \tilde{B}\tilde{g}_2), i))^\ell E_\Gamma(\iota(Ag_1, s_i \tilde{B}\tilde{g}_2), s'). \end{aligned}$$

Now, because $s_i^{-1} \tilde{B}s_i \in U^\mathfrak{F}$, we have

$$E_\Gamma(\iota(Ag_1, s_i \tilde{B}\tilde{g}_2), s') = E_\Gamma(\iota(g_1, s_i \tilde{g}_2), s').$$

On the other hand, we can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(J(\iota(Ag_1, \tilde{B}\tilde{g}_2), i))^\ell \\ = \det(J(A, Z_1))^\ell \det(J(B, Z_2))^\ell \det(J(g_1, i))^\ell \det(J(g_2, i))^\ell. \end{aligned}$$

Putting everything together, we see that

$$E_\Gamma^i(AZ_1, BZ_2; s_0) = \det(J(A, Z_1))^\ell \det(J(B, Z_2))^\ell E_\Gamma^i(Z_1, Z_2; s_0). \quad \square$$

6E. The integral representation in classical terms. Henceforth, we assume $\ell \geq 6$. Recall the definitions of the compact open subgroups U^G and $U^\mathfrak{F}$ from (6-4) and (6-3), respectively. Let us define $U^R \subset R(\mathbb{A}_f)$ to be the subgroup consisting of elements (g, h) with $g \in U^G$, $h \in U^\mathfrak{F}$ and $\mu_2(g) = \mu_1(h)$. Also put $K_\infty^R = K_\infty \times K_\infty^\mathfrak{F}$. Note that $K^R K_\infty^R$ is a compact subgroup of $R(\mathbb{A})$.

Also, define $V_{M,N} = [\mathrm{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma_{M,N}][K^\mathfrak{F} : U^\mathfrak{F}]$, where $K^\mathfrak{F} = \prod_{p < \infty} K_p^\mathfrak{F}$. We now rephrase Theorem 6.4 in classical terms.

Theorem 6.9. *For any k , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \Lambda^{-2}(t_i) \int_{\Gamma_i \backslash \mathbb{H}_1} \int_{\Gamma_{M,N} \backslash \mathbb{H}_2} E_\Gamma^i(Z_1, -\bar{Z}_2; 1-k) \overline{F(Z_1)} g(q_i Z_2) \det(Y_1)^\ell \det(Y_2)^\ell dZ_1 dZ_2 \\ = V_{M,N} A(\tfrac{1}{6}(\ell - 1 - 2k)) L(\tfrac{1}{2}\ell - k, F \times g), \end{aligned}$$

where for $i = 1, 2$, we define the invariant measure dZ_i on \mathbb{H}_{3-i} by

$$dZ_i = \frac{1}{2}(\det Y_i)^{i-4}dX_idY_i, \quad \text{where } Z_i = X_i + iY_i.$$

Proof. By Theorem 6.4, it suffices to prove that for $g = (g_1, g_2)$,

$$(6-6) \quad V_{M,N} \int_{Z(\mathbb{A})R(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash R(\mathbb{A})} E_\Gamma \left(\iota(g_1, g_2), \frac{\ell-1-2k}{6} \right) \bar{\Phi}(g_1)\Psi(g_2)\Lambda^{-1}(\det g_2)dg \\ = \sum_i \Lambda^{-2}(t_i) \int_{\mathfrak{F}_1} \int_{\mathfrak{F}_2} E_\Gamma^i(Z_1, -\bar{Z}_2; 1-k) \overline{F(Z_1)}g(q_i Z_2) \det(Y_1)^\ell \det(Y_2)^\ell dZ_1 dZ_2,$$

where \mathfrak{F}_1 is a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_i \backslash \mathbb{H}_1$ and \mathfrak{F}_2 a fundamental domain for $\Gamma_{M,N} \backslash \mathbb{H}_2$. Now, the quantity inside the integral in (6-6) is right invariant by $U^R K_\infty^R$. Also, we note that the volume of $U^R K_\infty^R$ is equal to $(V_{M,N})^{-1}$ (recall that we normalize the volume of the maximal compact subgroup to equal 1).

Hence we see that (6-6) equals

$$(6-7) \quad \int_{Z(\mathbb{A})R(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash R(\mathbb{A})/U^R K_\infty^R} E_\Gamma \left(\iota(g_1, g_2), \frac{\ell-1-2k}{6} \right) \bar{\Phi}(g_1)\Psi(g_2)\Lambda^{-1}(\det g_2)dg.$$

Now, by strong approximation for $\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{A})$ and (6-2), we know that

$$Z(\mathbb{A})R(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash R(\mathbb{A})/U^R K_\infty^R \\ = \prod_{i=1}^h (\Gamma_{M,N} \backslash \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})/K_\infty) \times \text{diag}(t_i, t_i^*)(\Gamma_i \backslash \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(2)).$$

Suppose $g \in \text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{R})$ and $h \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Also, put $s_i = \text{diag}(t_i, t_i^*)$, $r_i = \iota(1, s_i)$, $g(i) = Z_1$ and $h(i) = Z_2$.

We have

$$E_\Gamma(\iota(g, s_i h), \frac{1}{6}(\ell-1-2k)) = E_\Gamma(r_i \iota(g, h), \frac{1}{6}(\ell-1-2k)) \\ = \det(J(\iota(g, h), i))^{-\ell} E_\Gamma^i(Z_1, -\bar{Z}_2; 1-k).$$

But also $\bar{\Phi}(g) = \overline{F(Z_1)} \det(J(g, i))^{-\ell}$ and $\Psi(s_i h) = g(q_i Z_2) \det(J(h, i))^{-\ell}$.

The result now follows from the observations

$$\det(J(\iota(g, h), i)) = \det(J(g, i))\det(J(h, i)), \\ |\det(J(g, i))|^2 = \det(Y_1), \quad |\det(J(h, i))|^2 = \det(Y_2),$$

and that the Haar measure dg equals $dZ_1 dZ_2$ under the equivalence above. \square

Let us take a closer look at the quantity $A(\frac{1}{6}(\ell-1-2k))$ that appears in the statement of the theorem above in the case when k is an integer with $1 \leq k \leq \ell/2-2$.

Write $a \sim b$ if a/b is rational. From the definition of $A(s)$, it is clear that

$$A\left(\frac{1}{6}(\ell - 1 - 2k)\right) \sim \frac{\pi^{4+k-2\ell} \overline{a(\Lambda)} \sqrt{d}}{L(\ell + 1 - 2k, \chi_{-d}) \zeta(\ell - 2k) \zeta(\ell + 2 - 2k)}.$$

But it is well known that

$$\frac{L(\ell + 1 - 2k, \chi_{-d})}{\pi^{\ell+1-2k} \sqrt{d}}, \quad \frac{\zeta(\ell - 2k)}{\pi^{\ell-2k}}, \quad \frac{\zeta(\ell + 2 - 2k)}{\pi^{\ell+2-2k}}$$

are all rational numbers. It follows that

$$(6-8) \quad A\left(\frac{1}{6}(\ell - 1 - 2k)\right) \sim \pi^{7k+1-5\ell} \overline{a(\Lambda)}.$$

7. Near holomorphy, holomorphic projection and rationality properties

7A. Rationality of holomorphic Eisenstein series. Suppose f_1 and f_2 are modular forms of weight ℓ for some congruence subgroup Γ of $\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z})$ containing $\{\pm 1\}$. We define the Petersson inner product

$$\langle f_1, f_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} V(\Gamma)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}_n} f_1(Z) \overline{f_2(Z)} (\det Y)^{\ell-n-1} dX dY,$$

where $V(\Gamma) = [\text{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{Z}) : \Gamma]$.

These definitions are independent of our choice for Γ .

We henceforth use $E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i$ for E_{Γ}^i in order to show the dependence on Λ and ℓ , and use $a(F, \Lambda)$ for $a(\Lambda)$ to show the dependence on F . Moreover, for any other positive even integer k , we use $E_{\Lambda, k}^i(Z; s)$ to denote the Eisenstein series that is defined similarly except that the integer ℓ has been replaced by k everywhere. In particular, we know that $E_{\Lambda, k}^i(Z; 0)$ is a holomorphic Eisenstein series (of weight k) whenever $k \geq 6$.

By a result of M. Harris [1997, Lemma 3.3.5.3], we know how $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ acts on the Fourier coefficients of $E_{\Lambda, k}^i(Z; 0)$. In particular he proves the following result.

Proposition 7.1 (Harris). *Let $k \geq 6$. The Fourier coefficients of $E_{\Lambda, k}^i(Z; 0)$ lie in \mathbb{Q}^{ab} . Furthermore, if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{ab}/\mathbb{Q})$, then*

$$E_{\Lambda, k}^i(Z; 0)^\sigma = E_{\Lambda^\sigma, k}^i(Z; 0)$$

where $E_{\Lambda, k}^i(Z; 0)^\sigma$ is the action of σ on the Fourier coefficients of $E_{\Lambda, k}^i(Z; 0)$.

7B. Nearly holomorphic Eisenstein series. We can write any $Z \in \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_n$ uniquely as $Z = X + iY$, where X, Y are Hermitian and Y is positive definite. We can also write any $Z \in \mathbb{H}_n$ uniquely as $Z = X + iY$ where X and Y are symmetric and Y is positive definite. These decompositions are compatible with each other in the obvious sense under the inclusion $\mathbb{H}_n \subset \widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_n$.

We briefly recall Shimura’s theory of differential operators and nearly holomorphic functions. A thorough exposition of this material can be found in his book [Shimura 2000].

Let \mathbb{H} temporarily stand for \mathbb{H}_n or $\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_n$. For a nonnegative integer q , we let $\mathcal{N}^q(\mathbb{H})$ denote the space of all polynomials of degree $\leq q$ in the entries of Y^{-1} with holomorphic functions on \mathbb{H} as coefficients.

Suppose Γ is a congruence subgroup of Sp_{2n} (if $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_n$) or $U(n, n)$ (if $\mathbb{H} = \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_n$). For a positive integer k , we let $\mathcal{N}_k^q(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ stand for the space of functions $f \in \mathcal{N}^q(\mathbb{H})$ satisfying

$$f(\gamma Z) = \det(J(\gamma, Z))^k f(Z)$$

for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $Z \in \mathbb{H}$, with the standard additional (holomorphy at cusps) condition on the Fourier expansion if $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_1 = \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_1$. It is well known that $\mathcal{N}_k^q(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ is finite dimensional. In particular, if $q = 0$, then $\mathcal{N}_k^q(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ is simply the corresponding space of weight k modular forms.

We let $N = n^2$ if $\mathbb{H} = \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_n$ and $N = (n^2 + n)/2$ if $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{H}_n$.

Whenever convergent, the Petersson inner product for nearly holomorphic forms is defined exactly as in the previous section.

Any $f \in \mathcal{N}_q^t(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ has a Fourier expansion [Shimura 2000, page 117]

$$f(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}} Q_T((2\pi Y)^{-1}) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}TZ},$$

where \mathcal{L} is a suitable lattice and Q_T , for each T , is a polynomial in N variables and of degree $\leq t$. For an automorphism σ of \mathbb{C} we define

$$f^\sigma(Z) = \sum_{T \in \mathcal{L}} Q_T^\sigma((2\pi Y^{[\sigma]})^{-1}) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}TZ},$$

where Q_T^σ is obtained by letting σ act on the coefficients of Q_T and

$$Y^{[\sigma]} = \begin{cases} Y^t & \text{if } \mathbb{H} = \tilde{\mathbb{H}}_n \text{ and } \sqrt{-d}^\sigma = -\sqrt{-d}, \\ Y & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

We say that $f \in \mathcal{N}_q^t(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma; \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ if $f \in \mathcal{N}_q^t(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma)$ and $f^\sigma = f$ for all $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{C}/\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. We will occasionally omit the weight q and the congruence subgroup Γ when we do not wish to specify those. In particular, we write $\mathcal{N}_q^t(\mathbb{H}; \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ to denote $\bigcup_\Gamma \mathcal{N}_q^t(\mathbb{H}, \Gamma; \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, where the union is taken over all congruence subgroups Γ .

Now, from (6-1), it is easy to see that for a positive integer k (assume $k \leq \ell/2 - 2$ to ensure convergence) we have $E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z; 1 - k) \in \mathcal{N}^{3(k-1)}(\tilde{\mathbb{H}}_3)$. Then, exactly the same proof as Proposition 6.8 tells us that the restriction of this function to $\mathbb{H}_2 \times \mathbb{H}_1$ is a nearly holomorphic modular form with respect to the appropriate subgroups.

More precisely, we have

$$(7-1) \quad E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k) \in \mathcal{N}_{\ell}^{2(k-1)}(\mathbb{H}_2, \Gamma_{M, N}) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\ell}^{(k-1)}(\mathbb{H}_1, \Gamma_i).$$

For a general $f \in \mathcal{N}^{3(k-1)}(\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_3)$ we can only say that $f(Z_1, Z_2)$ belongs to $\sum \mathcal{N}^{\lambda_1}(\mathbb{H}_2) \otimes \mathcal{N}^{\lambda_2}(\mathbb{H}_1)$, where the sum should be extended over all (λ_1, λ_2) with $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = 3(k - 1)$. However, in this case, we know by (6-1) the exact nature of the polynomial of degree $3(k - 1)$; thus we can conclude that $\lambda_1 = 2(k - 1)$ and $\lambda_2 = k - 1$.

To prove the desired algebraicity result for critical L -values, we will need to know rationality properties for the nearly holomorphic modular forms in (7-1).

Proposition 7.2. *Let $\ell \geq 6$ and let k be an integer satisfying $1 \leq k \leq \ell/2 - 2$. Then the function $E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k)$ on $\mathbb{H}_2 \times \mathbb{H}_1$ belongs to*

$$\pi^{3(k-1)}(\mathcal{N}_{\ell}^{2(k-1)}(\mathbb{H}_2, \Gamma_{M, N}; \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \otimes \mathcal{N}_{\ell}^{(k-1)}(\mathbb{H}_1, \Gamma_i; \overline{\mathbb{Q}})).$$

Furthermore, for an automorphism σ of \mathbb{C} , we have

$$(\pi^{-3(k-1)} E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k))^{\sigma} = \pi^{-3(k-1)} E_{\Lambda^{\sigma}, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k).$$

Proof. Given (7-1) and since the Fourier coefficients of $E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k)$ are just sums of those of $E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z; 1 - k)$, it is enough to prove that

$$(7-2) \quad (\pi^{-3(k-1)} E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z; 1 - k))^{\sigma} = \pi^{-3(k-1)} E_{\Lambda^{\sigma}, \ell}^i(Z; 1 - k).$$

For positive integers p, q , we have the (modified) Maass–Shimura differential operator Δ_q^p that acts on the space of nearly holomorphic forms of weight q on $\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_3$, and which was defined by Shimura [2000, page 146]. By [2000, Theorem 14.12], we know that

$$\Delta_q^p \mathcal{N}_q^t(\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_3; \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \pi^{3p} \mathcal{N}_{q+2p}^{t+3p}(\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_3; \overline{\mathbb{Q}}).$$

However, more is true; in fact

$$(7-3) \quad ((\pi i)^{-3p} \Delta_q^p f)^{\sigma} = (\pi i)^{-3p} \Delta_q^p (f^{\sigma})$$

whenever $f \in \mathcal{N}_q^t(\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_3)$. This easily follows from [2000, page 118] since the Maass–Shimura operators are special cases of the operators considered there and the projection map is $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant. Another way to directly see (7-3) is to compute the action of the Maass–Shimura operator on the Fourier coefficients of a nearly holomorphic form. The symplectic case was worked out by Panchishkin [2005, Theorem 3.7]; the calculations in the unitary case are very similar.

We know that $E_{\Lambda, \ell+2-2k}^i(Z; 0) \in \mathcal{N}_{\ell+2-2k}^0(\widetilde{\mathbb{H}}_3; \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. So, we can apply (7-3) when $t = 0$, $p = k - 1$, $q = \ell + 2 - 2k$ and $f = E_{\Lambda, \ell+2-2k}^i(Z; 0)$.

Moreover, by the result of Harris stated in the previous section,

$$E_{\Lambda, \ell+2-2k}^i(Z; 0)^\sigma = E_{\Lambda^\sigma, \ell+2-2k}^i(Z; 0).$$

So, (7-2) will follow if we know that

$$(7-4) \quad \Delta_{\ell-2(k-1)}^{k-1} E_{\Lambda, \ell+2-2k}^i(Z; 0) = c \cdot i^{3(k-1)} \cdot E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z; 1-k)$$

for some rational number c . (The superscript i should not be confused with the imaginary unit $i = \sqrt{-1}$ that appears above.)

But (7-4) is precisely the content of Shimura’s calculations [2000, (17.27)]. The Eisenstein series Shimura considers has different sections than ours at the finite places dividing MN ; however that does not make a difference because the differential operator only depends on the archimedean section. In particular, we apply [Shimura 2000, Theorem 12.13] to each term of the definition of our Eisenstein series using (6-1) and observe that (7-4) follows with $c = 2^{-3(k-1)} c_{\ell-2(k-1)}^{k-1} (\frac{\ell}{2} - k + 1)$, where $c_q^p(s)$ is defined as in [Shimura 2000, (17.20)]. \square

7C. Holomorphic projection. Shimura noted [2000, page 123] that for $q > n + t$, there exists a holomorphic projection operator \mathfrak{A} on $\mathcal{N}_q^t(\mathbb{H}_n)$. For a nearly holomorphic form $f \in \mathcal{N}_q^t(\mathbb{H}_n)$, $\mathfrak{A}f$ is a modular form of weight q (that is, an element of $\mathcal{N}_q^0(\mathbb{H}_n)$). For any cusp form g of weight q on \mathbb{H}_n ,

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{A}f, g \rangle.$$

More precisely, by the proof of [Shimura 2000, Theorem 15.3], we can write

$$f = \mathfrak{A}f + L_q f',$$

where L_q is a rational polynomial of certain differential operators and f' is a certain nearly holomorphic form. The differential operators that are used to define L_q are $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant by [Shimura 2000, Theorem 14.12]. Thus, for an automorphism σ of \mathbb{C} , we have $f^\sigma = (\mathfrak{A}f)^\sigma + L_q(f'^\sigma)$, so we can conclude that $\mathfrak{A}(f^\sigma) = (\mathfrak{A}f)^\sigma$.

Furthermore, because the space of modular forms is a direct sum of the space of Eisenstein series and the space of cusp forms, there exists an orthogonal projection from the space of modular forms on \mathbb{H}_n to the space of cusp forms on \mathbb{H}_n . Because the space of Eisenstein series is preserved under automorphisms of \mathbb{C} , this cuspidal projection is also $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ -equivariant.

From these comments, we conclude the existence of a projection map $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{cusp}}$ from $\mathcal{N}_q^{t_1}(\mathbb{H}_2, \Gamma_2) \otimes \mathcal{N}_q^{t_2}(\mathbb{H}_1, \Gamma_1)$ to $S_q(\mathbb{H}_2, \Gamma_2) \otimes S_q(\mathbb{H}_1, \Gamma_1)$ for $q > 2 + t_i$ and congruence subgroups $\Gamma_2 \subset \text{Sp}_4, \Gamma_1 \subset \text{SL}_2$. This projection map satisfies, for any $\mathfrak{E}(Z_1, Z_2) \in \mathcal{N}_q^{t_1}(\mathbb{H}_2, \Gamma_2) \otimes \mathcal{N}_q^{t_2}(\mathbb{H}_1, \Gamma_1)$, $F^{(1)} \in S_q(\mathbb{H}_2, \Gamma_2)$ and $g^{(1)} \in S_q(\mathbb{H}_1, \Gamma_1)$, the properties that

- $\langle \langle \mathfrak{A}_{\text{cusp}} \mathfrak{E}(Z_1, Z_2), F^{(1)}(Z_1) \rangle, g^{(1)}(Z_2) \rangle = \langle \langle \mathfrak{E}(Z_1, Z_2), F^{(1)}(Z_1) \rangle, g^{(1)}(Z_2) \rangle,$
- $(\mathfrak{A}_{\text{cusp}} \mathfrak{E})^\sigma = \mathfrak{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\mathfrak{E}^\sigma).$

In particular, everything above can be applied to the case when $\mathfrak{E}(Z_1, Z_2) = \pi^{-3(k-1)} E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k).$

We use $g_i(z)$ to denote the cusp form $g(q_i z)$ on $\Gamma_0(Nq_i).$ Now Theorem 6.9 can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \Lambda^{-2}(t_i) \langle \langle E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k), F(Z_1) \rangle, g_i(Z_2) \rangle \\ = \frac{V_{M, N}}{V(\Gamma_i) V(\Gamma_{M, N})} A\left(\frac{1}{6}\ell - 1 - 2k\right) L\left(\frac{1}{2}\ell - k, F \times g\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that g_i has real Fourier coefficients. Together with (6-8) this implies that

$$(7-5) \quad \sum_i \Lambda^{-2}(t_i) \langle \langle E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k), F(Z_1) \rangle, g_i(Z_2) \rangle \sim \pi^{7k+1-5\ell} \overline{a(F, \Lambda)} L\left(\frac{1}{2}\ell - k, F \times g\right).$$

8. Deligne’s conjecture

8A. Motives and periods. Let $L(s, \mathcal{M})$ be the L -function associated to a motive \mathcal{M} over $\mathbb{Q}.$ Suppose \mathcal{M} has coefficients in an algebraic number field $E;$ then $L(s, \mathcal{M})$ takes values in $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$

Note that E sits naturally inside $E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}.$ Let d be the rank of \mathcal{M} and d^\pm the dimensions of the \pm eigenspace of the Betti realization of $\mathcal{M}.$ Deligne defined the motivic periods $c^\pm(\mathcal{M})$ and conjectured that for all critical points $m,$

$$\frac{L(m, \mathcal{M})}{(2\pi i)^{md^\epsilon} c^\epsilon(\mathcal{M})} \in E, \quad \text{where } \epsilon = (-1)^m.$$

Now, let F and g have algebraic Fourier coefficients. Assuming the existence of motives M_F and M_g attached to F and g respectively, Yoshida computed the critical points for $M_F \otimes M_g.$ He also computed the motivic periods $c^\pm(M_F \otimes M_g)$ under the assumption that Deligne’s conjecture holds for the degree 5 L -function for $F.$ We note here that Yoshida only deals with the full level case; however as the periods remain the same (up to a rational number) for higher level, his results remain applicable to our case.

Yoshida’s computations [2001, Theorem 13] show that Deligne’s conjecture implies the reciprocity law

$$\left(\frac{L(m, F \times g)}{\pi^{4m+3\ell-4} \langle F, F \rangle \langle g, g \rangle} \right)^\alpha = \frac{L(m, F^\alpha \times g^\alpha)}{\pi^{4m+3\ell-4} \langle F^\alpha, F^\alpha \rangle \langle g^\alpha, g^\alpha \rangle}$$

for all $2 - \ell/2 \leq m \leq \ell/2 - 1$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$.

In the next subsection we prove this statement for all the critical points m to the right of $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ except for the point 1. The proof for the critical values to the left of $\text{Re}(s) = 1/2$ would follow from the expected functional equation. The proof that $L(1, F \times g)$ behaves nicely under the action of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$ would probably require further work because we do not know that this quantity is even finite; see Corollary 6.5. Thus, the problem of extending our result to the remaining critical values is closely related to questions of analyticity and the functional equation for the L -function. These questions are also of interest for other applications, such as transfer to $\text{GL}(4)$ and will be considered in a future paper.

The integral representation of Theorem 6.4 is of interest for several other applications, and we hope that it will pave the way to stability, hybrid subconvexity, nonvanishing, nonnegativity and p -adic results for the L -function.

8B. The main result.

Theorem 8.1. *Let $\ell \geq 6$. Further, assume that F has totally real algebraic Fourier coefficients and define*

$$A(F, g; k) = \frac{L(\ell/2 - k, F \times g)}{\pi^{5\ell - 4k - 4} \langle F, F \rangle \langle g, g \rangle}.$$

Then, for k any integer satisfying $1 \leq k \leq \ell/2 - 2$, we have

- (a) $A(F, g; k) \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ and
- (b) $A(F, g; k)^\alpha = A(F^\alpha, g^\alpha; k)$ for all $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof. Let U be the least common multiple of M, N and all the q_i . Let Γ_1 be the principal congruence subgroup of $\text{Sp}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ of level U and let Γ_2 the principal congruence subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of level U . For each i , we can write

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\pi^{-3(k-1)} E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k)) = \sum_r F_1^r(Z_1) f_1^r(Z_2),$$

where F_1^r and f_1^r are a cusp forms for Γ_1 and Γ_2 , respectively, all of weight ℓ . Then

$$\sum_r \langle f_1^r, g_i \rangle \langle F_1^r, F \rangle = \pi^{-3(k-1)} \langle \langle E_{\Lambda, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k), F(Z_1) \rangle, g_i(Z_2) \rangle.$$

We also have

$$\sum_r \langle (f_1^r)^\alpha, g_i^\alpha \rangle \langle (F_1^r)^\alpha, F^\alpha \rangle = \pi^{-3(k-1)} \langle \langle E_{\Lambda^\alpha, \ell}^i(Z_1, Z_2; 1 - k), F^\alpha(Z_1) \rangle, g_i^\alpha(Z_2) \rangle$$

using Proposition 7.2 and the properties of holomorphic projection stated above.

By (7-5) we know that

$$(8-1) \quad A(F, g; k) = W \cdot (\overline{a(F, \Lambda)})^{-1} \cdot \sum_i \Lambda^{-2}(t_i) \frac{\sum_r \langle f_1^r, g_i \rangle \langle F_1^r, F \rangle}{\langle F, F \rangle \langle g, g \rangle}$$

for some rational number W .

Making α act on both sides of this equation, we get

$$A(F, g; k)^\alpha = W \cdot (\overline{a(F^\alpha, \Lambda^\alpha)})^{-1} \cdot \sum_i (\Lambda^\alpha)^{-2}(t_i) \left(\frac{\sum_r \langle f_1^r, g_i \rangle \langle F_1^r, F \rangle}{\langle F, F \rangle \langle g, g \rangle} \right)^\alpha.$$

We also note that $\langle g, g \rangle = \langle g_i, g_i \rangle$.

Now by a result of Garrett [1992, page 460], we know that for each r ,

$$\left(\frac{\langle f_1^r, g_i \rangle \langle F_1^r, F \rangle}{\langle F, F \rangle \langle g, g \rangle} \right)^\alpha = \left(\frac{\langle (f_1^r)^\alpha, g_i^\alpha \rangle \langle (F_1^r)^\alpha, F^\alpha \rangle}{\langle F^\alpha, F^\alpha \rangle \langle g^\alpha, g^\alpha \rangle} \right),$$

so we have

$$A(F, g; k)^\alpha = W \cdot (\overline{a(F^\alpha, \Lambda^\alpha; k)})^{-1} \cdot \sum_i (\Lambda^\alpha)^{-2}(t_i) \left(\frac{\sum_r \langle (f_1^r)^\alpha, g_i^\alpha \rangle \langle (F_1^r)^\alpha, F^\alpha \rangle}{\langle F^\alpha, F^\alpha \rangle \langle g^\alpha, g^\alpha \rangle} \right).$$

Using (8-1) for F^α, g^α and Λ^α , we conclude that

$$A(F, g; k)^\alpha = A(F^\alpha, g^\alpha; k). \quad \square$$

Remark. Theorem 8.1 was already known in the completely unramified case that $M = 1$ and $N = 1$ by Böcherer and Heim [2006], who used a different method.

Acknowledgments

I thankfully acknowledge the software Maple for performing many of the computations for this paper.

I thank Wee Teck Gan and Michael Harris for their valuable suggestions, Ameya Pitale and Ralf Schmidt for several useful discussions, and Paul Nelson for carefully reading a draft of this paper. Thanks are also due to the referee for suggestions that improved the paper.

This work was done while I was a graduate student at Caltech and represents part of my PhD thesis. I thank my advisor Dinakar Ramakrishnan for guidance, support and many helpful discussions.

References

[Böcherer and Heim 2006] S. Böcherer and B. E. Heim, “Critical values of L -functions on $\mathrm{GSp}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ ”, *Math. Z.* **254**:3 (2006), 485–503. MR 2007f:11053 Zbl 05064685

[Bump 1997] D. Bump, *Automorphic forms and representations*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **55**, Cambridge University Press, 1997. MR 97k:11080 Zbl 0868.11022

- [Furusawa 1993] M. Furusawa, “On L -functions for $\mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ and their special values”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **438** (1993), 187–218. MR 94e:11057 Zbl 0770.11025
- [Garrett 1992] P. B. Garrett, “On the arithmetic of Siegel–Hilbert cuspforms: Petersson inner products and Fourier coefficients”, *Invent. Math.* **107** (1992), 453–481. MR 93e:11060 Zbl 0769.11024
- [Gross and Kudla 1992] B. H. Gross and S. S. Kudla, “Heights and the central critical values of triple product L -functions”, *Compositio Math.* **81**:2 (1992), 143–209. MR 93g:11047 Zbl 0807.11027
- [Harris 1997] M. Harris, “ L -functions and periods of polarized regular motives”, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **483** (1997), 75–161. MR 98b:11070 Zbl 0859.11032
- [Heim 1999] B. E. Heim, “Pullbacks of Eisenstein series, Hecke–Jacobi theory and automorphic L -functions”, pp. 201–238 in *Automorphic forms, automorphic representations, and arithmetic* (Fort Worth, TX, 1996), edited by R. S. Doran et al., Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **66**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999. MR 2000f:11053 Zbl 1001.11019
- [Ichino 2004] A. Ichino, “A regularized Siegel–Weil formula for unitary groups”, *Math. Z.* **247**:2 (2004), 241–277. MR 2005g:11082 Zbl 1071.11022
- [Ichino 2007] A. Ichino, “On the Siegel–Weil formula for unitary groups”, *Math. Z.* **255**:4 (2007), 721–729. MR 2007m:11063 Zbl 05189578
- [Lapid and Rallis 2002] E. Lapid and S. Rallis, “Positivity of $L(1/2, \pi)$ for symplectic representations”, *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* **334**:2 (2002), 101–104. MR 2003a:11058 Zbl 0995.22006
- [Michel and Ramakrishnan 2009] P. Michel and D. Ramakrishnan, “Consequences of the Gross/Zagier formulae: Stability of average L -values, subconvexity, and non-vanishing mod p ”, Springer, 2009. To appear in the Serge Lang memorial volume.
- [Miyake 1989] T. Miyake, *Modular forms*, Springer, Berlin, 1989. MR 90m:11062 Zbl 1159.11014
- [Panchishkin 2005] A. A. Panchishkin, “The Maass–Shimura differential operators and congruences between arithmetical Siegel modular forms”, *Mosc. Math. J.* **5**:4 (2005), 883–918, 973–974. MR 2007k:11072 Zbl 1129.11021
- [Pitale and Schmidt 2009a] A. Pitale and R. Schmidt, “Bessel models for lowest weight representations of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{R})$ ”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2009**:7 (2009), 1159–1212. MR 2495302 Zbl 05553685
- [Pitale and Schmidt 2009b] A. Pitale and R. Schmidt, “Integral representation for L -functions for $\mathrm{GSp}_4 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ ”, *J. Number Theory* **129**:6 (2009), 1272–1324. MR 2010f:11079 Zbl 05559081
- [Saha 2009] A. Saha, “ L -functions for holomorphic forms on $\mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ and their special values”, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2009**:10 (2009), 1773–1837. MR 2505577 Zbl 05565833
- [Schmidt 2005] R. Schmidt, “Iwahori-spherical representations of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ and Siegel modular forms of degree 2 with square-free level”, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **57**:1 (2005), 259–293. MR 2005i:11065 Zbl 1166.11325
- [Shimura 1997] G. Shimura, *Euler products and Eisenstein series*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **93**, Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC, 1997. MR 98h:11057 Zbl 0906.11020
- [Shimura 2000] G. Shimura, *Arithmeticity in the theory of automorphic forms*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **82**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000. MR 2001k:11086 Zbl 0967.11001
- [Weissauer 2005] R. Weissauer, *Four dimensional Galois representations*, Astérisque **302**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2005. MR 2007f:11057 Zbl 1097.11027
- [Yoshida 2001] H. Yoshida, “Motives and Siegel modular forms”, *Amer. J. Math.* **123**:6 (2001), 1171–1197. MR 2003d:11071 Zbl 0998.11022

Received May 20, 2009. Revised October 2, 2009.

ABHISHEK SAHA
ETH ZÜRICH
HG G 68.1
RAEMISTRASSE 101
8092 ZÜRICH
SWITZERLAND
abhishek.saha@math.ethz.ch
<http://www.math.ethz.ch/~saha>

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://www.pjmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Paulo Ney de Souza, Production Manager

Silvio Levy, Senior Production Editor

Matthew Cargo, Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2010 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer-review and production is managed by EditFlow™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840

A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2010 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 246 No. 2 June 2010

Geometric structures associated to a contact metric (κ, μ) -space BENIAMINO CAPPELLETTI MONTANO and LUIGIA DI TERLIZZI	257
Multilinear singular operators with fractional rank CIPRIAN DEMETER, MALABIKA PRAMANIK and CHRISTOPH THIELE	293
A new proof of Reifenberg's topological disc theorem GUANGHAO HONG and LIHE WANG	325
Global classical solutions to hyperbolic geometric flow on Riemann surfaces FAGUI LIU and YUANZHANG ZHANG	333
An extension of the quintuple product identity and its applications ZHI-GUO LIU	345
A generalization of the Pontryagin–Hill theorems to projective modules over Prüfer domains JORGE MACÍAS-DÍAZ	391
Elliptic pseudodifferential equations and Sobolev spaces over p -adic fields J. J. RODRÍGUEZ-VEGA and W. A. ZÚÑIGA-GALINDO	407
Absolutely isolated singularities of holomorphic maps of \mathbb{C}^n tangent to the identity FENG RONG	421
Pullbacks of Eisenstein series from $\mathrm{GU}(3, 3)$ and critical L -values for $\mathrm{GSp}(4) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ ABHISHEK SAHA	435
Isomorphism invariants of restricted enveloping algebras HAMID USEFI	487
Spacelike S -Willmore spheres in Lorentzian space forms PENG WANG	495



0030-8730(201006)246:2;1-B