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We determine all hyperbolic 3-manifolds \( M \) such that \( M(\pi) \) contains a Klein bottle, \( M(\tau) \) contains an essential torus, and \( \Delta(\pi, \tau) = 5 \). As a corollary, we prove that if a hyperbolic 3-manifold \( M \) has two slopes \( \pi \) and \( \tau \) on its boundary torus such that \( M(\pi) \) is a lens space containing a Klein bottle and \( M(\tau) \) is toroidal, then \( \Delta(\pi, \tau) \leq 4 \).

1. Introduction

Let \( M \) be a compact, connected, orientable 3-manifold with a torus boundary component \( \partial_0 M \). A slope on \( \partial_0 M \) is the isotopy class of an essential simple closed curve in \( \partial_0 M \). Given a slope \( \gamma \) on \( \partial_0 M \), denote by \( M(\gamma) \) the 3-manifold obtained by \( \gamma \)-Dehn filling on \( M \) along \( \partial_0 M \), that is, \( M(\gamma) \) is obtained from \( M \) by gluing a solid torus \( V_\gamma \) along \( \partial_0 M \) so that \( \gamma \) bounds a meridional disk of \( V_\gamma \). For two slopes \( \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \) on \( \partial_0 M \), denote by \( \Delta(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \) the distance between the slopes, which is their geometric intersection number.

We shall say that a 3-manifold \( M \) is hyperbolic if \( M \) with its boundary tori removed admits a complete hyperbolic structure with totally geodesic boundary. A Dehn filling on \( M \) is said to be exceptional if it produces a nonhyperbolic 3-manifold, which is either reducible, boundary-reducible, annular, toroidal, or a small Seifert fiber space. It is a well-known theorem of Thurston that there are only finitely many exceptional Dehn fillings on each boundary torus of \( M \).

Gordon and Wu [2008] determined all hyperbolic 3-manifolds admitting two toroidal Dehn fillings at distance 4 or 5. In this paper, we determine all hyperbolic 3-manifolds \( M \) admitting two Dehn fillings at distance 5, one of which yields a Klein bottle, the other yielding an essential torus.

Following [Martelli and Petronio 2006], we use \( N \) to denote the magic manifold, the exterior of the chain link with three components in \( S^3 \), shown in Figure 1. Using the standard meridian-longitude framing on each boundary component of \( N \), we identify a slope \( \gamma \) with a number in \( \mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\} \). We denote by \( N(r) \) the result of
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Dehn filling on $N$ along a slope corresponding to the number $r$. Since $N$ admits an automorphism interchanging any two of its boundary components, $N(r)$ is defined independently of the choice of the boundary component of $N$. Partial Dehn fillings give $N(r, s)$ and $N(r, s, t)$. We also use $W$ to denote the Whitehead link exterior and use $W(r)$ and $W(r, s)$ to denote the corresponding Dehn-filled manifolds. The main result of this paper is the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $M$ be a hyperbolic 3-manifold with a torus boundary component $\partial_0 M$. Suppose that there are two slopes $\pi$ and $\tau$ on $\partial_0 M$ such that $M(\pi)$ contains a Klein bottle, $M(\tau)$ contains an essential torus, and $\Delta(\pi, \tau) = 5$. Then $M(\pi)$ is toroidal and either $M$ is equal to either $N(1, -1/3)$, $N(-5/3, -5/3)$, $N(1, 5)$, $N(2, 2)$, or $N(-4, (2n - 1)/2)$ for some integer $n \neq 0, -1$.

We remark that the manifolds in this theorem are identified with some of the manifolds in [Gordon and Wu 2008, Definition 21.3] as follows: $N(1, -1/3) = M_5$, $N(-5/3, -5/3) = M_7$, $N(1, 5) = M_8$, and $N(2, 2) = M_{12}$. Also, $N(-4) = M_3$ is the Whitehead sister link exterior and $N(1, -1/3) = M_5 = W(4/3)$ and $N(1, 5) = M_8 = W(-4)$. See the proofs of Lemmas 2.2, 6.1, 7.3, 7.4, and 8.1.

**Corollary 1.2.** Let $M$ be a hyperbolic 3-manifold with $\partial M$ a torus. Suppose that there are two slopes $\pi$ and $\tau$ on $\partial M$ such that $M(\pi)$ is a lens space containing a Klein bottle and $M(\tau)$ contains an essential torus. Then $\Delta(\pi, \tau) \leq 4$.

**Proof.** This follows from [Gordon 1999, Theorem 1.1] and Theorem 1.1. \hfill \Box

In an unpublished paper, Teragaito [2000] obtained the same result.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be a hyperbolic 3-manifold with a torus boundary component $\partial_0 M$ such that $M(\pi)$ contains a Klein bottle and $M(\tau)$ contains an essential torus for two slopes $\pi$ and $\tau$ on $\partial_0 M$. Assume $\Delta(\pi, \tau) = 5$.

**Lemma 2.1** [Oh 1997; Wu 1998]. $M(\pi)$ is irreducible.
Lemma 2.2. If $M(\tau)$ contains a Klein bottle, then $M(\pi)$ is toroidal and $M = N(1, 5) = W(-4)$.

Proof. Suppose that $M(\tau)$ contains a Klein bottle. Then we have $M = W(-4)$ by [Lee 2007, Theorem 1.4]. Figure 2 shows that $N(1, 5) = W(-4)$. In fact, $W(-4)$ is homeomorphic to $M5_1$ in [Martelli and Petronio 2006, Table A.4]. From the table, one sees that $M$ has only one pair of slopes along which Dehn fillings on $M$ give 3-manifolds containing a Klein bottle. Also, the resulting 3-manifolds are toroidal. □

From now on, we assume that $M(\tau)$ does not contain a Klein bottle.

Let $\hat{P}$ be a Klein bottle in $M(\pi)$, chosen so that the core $K_\pi$ of the attached solid torus $V_\pi$ intersects $\hat{P}$ transversely and minimally among all Klein bottles in $M(\pi)$. Then $\hat{P} \cap V_\pi$ is a union of meridian disks of $V_\pi$, $u_1, \ldots, u_p$, numbered successively along $V_\pi$. Similarly, we choose an essential torus $\hat{T}$ in $M(\tau)$ such that $\hat{T} \cap V_\tau$ is a union of meridian disks of $V_\tau$, $v_1, \ldots, v_t$, where $t$ is minimal.

Let $P = \hat{P} \cap M$ and $T = \hat{T} \cap M$. We may assume that $P$ and $T$ meet transversely. Then $P \cap T$ is a union of circles and arcs. In the usual way, the arc-components of $P \cap T$ define two labeled graphs $G_P$ and $G_T$ on $\hat{P}$ and $\hat{T}$, respectively. The vertices of $G_P$ and $G_T$ are the meridian disks $u_1, \ldots, u_p$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_t$, respectively, and the edges are the arc-components of the intersection. A point in $\partial u_x \cap \partial v_y$ is labeled $y$ in $G_P$ and $x$ in $G_T$. In $G_P$ and $G_T$, labels $1, \ldots, t$ and $1, \ldots, p$ respectively appear in order around each vertex, repeating $\Delta$ times.
Let \( q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4, q_5 \) be the points in \( \partial u_x \cap \partial v_y \), which are successively numbered along \( \partial u_x \). Then the points appear in the order of \( q_d, q_{2d}, q_{3d}, q_{4d}, q_{5d} \) on \( \partial v_y \) in some direction. The number \( d \) is called a jumping number, and \( d = 1 \) or \( 2 \). See [Gordon and Wu 1999, Lemma 2.10].

Orient the boundary circles of \( P \) (respectively \( T \)) so that they are mutually homologous on \( \partial_0 M \). Every edge of \( G_P \) (respectively \( G_T \)) has a rectangular neighborhood \( R \) whose opposite sides are contained in two (or possibly one) boundary components of \( P \) (respectively \( T \)). We say the edge is positive if some orientation of \( \partial R \) is compatible with the orientations of the boundary components. Otherwise, we say it is negative. Then we have the parity rule: an edge is positive in one graph if and only if it is negative in the other.

Let \( G = G_P \) or \( G_T \). We call an edge in \( G \) a level edge if it has the same label at its endpoints; we call it an \( x \)-edge if one of its endpoints is labeled \( x \). Let \( G^+ \) denote the subgraph of \( G \) consisting of all positive edges, and for a label \( x \), let \( G^+(x) \) denote the subgraph of \( G^+ \) consisting of all \( x \)-edges of \( G^+ \). A disk face of \( G^+(x) \) is called an \( x \)-face. The boundary of an \( x \)-face is called a Scharlemann cycle if the \( x \)-face is a disk face of \( G \). Note that each edge of a Scharlemann cycle has two consecutive labels, say \( x \) and \( x+1 \), at its endpoints. In this case, the Scharlemann cycle is called an \((x, x+1)\)-Scharlemann cycle. A Scharlemann cycle of length 2 is called an \( S \)-cycle. A cycle of positive edges is called an extended Scharlemann cycle if it immediately surrounds a Scharlemann cycle. Let \( \overline{G} \) denote the reduced graph of \( G \), the graph obtained by amalgamating parallel edges of \( G \) into a single edge. The weight of an edge \( \overline{e} \) of \( \overline{G} \) is the number of the edges of \( G \) in \( \overline{e} \).

We call a vertex \( u_x \) of \( G_P \) a level vertex if there exists a positive level \( x \)-edge in \( G_T \). Also, we call a vertex \( v_x \) of \( G_T \) a Scharlemann vertex if there exists a Scharlemann cycle with label \( x \) in \( G_P \). If \( e \) is a positive level \( x \)-edge in \( G_T \), then \( u_x \cup e \) has a Möbius band neighborhood in \( \hat{P} \).

**Lemma 2.3.** Suppose that \( p \geq 2 \). Then \( G_T \) satisfies the following.

1. At most two labels of \( G_T \) can be labels of positive level edges.
2. \( G_T \) cannot contain a Scharlemann cycle.
3. Any family of parallel positive edges in \( G_T \) contains at most \( p/2 + 1 \) edges. If the family contains \( p/2 + 1 \) edges, then the two outermost edges of the family are level.
4. Any family of parallel negative edges in \( G_T \) contains at most \( p \) edges.

**Proof.** See the proof of [Lee and Teragaito 2008, Lemma 6.2]. \( \Box \)

**Lemma 2.4.** \( G_P \) satisfies the following. Assume \( t \geq 3 \) in (6) and (7).

1. If \( G_P \) contains a Scharlemann cycle, then \( \hat{T} \) is separating in \( M(\tau) \).
(2) The edges of any Scharlemann cycle of \( G_P \) cannot be contained in a disk in \( \hat{T} \).

(3) If \( t > 2 \), then \( G_P \) cannot contain an extended Scharlemann cycle.

(4) If \( G_P \) contains two Scharlemann cycles on disjoint label pairs \( \{a, a + 1\} \) and \( \{b, b + 1\} \), then \( a \equiv b \pmod{2} \).

(5) \( G_P \) has at most four labels of Scharlemann cycles, that is, \( G_T \) has at most four Scharlemann vertices.

(6) Any family of parallel positive edges in \( G_P \) contains at most \( t/2 + 1 \) edges.
   If \( t \) is odd, then the family contains less than \( t/2 \) edges.

(7) Any family of parallel negative edges in \( G_P \) contains at most \( t + 1 \) edges. If \( G_P \) contains \( t + 1 \) parallel negative edges, then \( G_P^+ = G_T \).

Proof. For (1)–(5), see [Gordon and Wu 2008, Lemma 2.2, parts (4), (5) and (6), and Lemma 2.3, parts (2) and (4)], and for (6) and (7), see [Lee and Teragaito 2008, Lemma 2.5, parts (ii) and (iii)] and [Valdez-Sánchez 2007, Proposition 3.4]. □

Lemma 2.5 [Gordon 1998, Lemma 2.1]. No two edges are parallel in both graphs \( G_P \) and \( G_T \).

Lemma 2.6. \( G_P \) cannot contain two S-cycles on disjoint label pairs.

Proof. If \( G_P \) contained two S-cycles on disjoint label pairs, then the construction as in the proof of [Gordon and Luecke 1995, Lemma 3.10] would give a Klein bottle in \( M(\tau) \), contradicting our assumption. □

For any submanifold \( A \) of a manifold \( X \), we will use \( \eta(A) \) to denote a closed regular neighborhood of \( A \) in \( X \).

3. Generic case

In this section we will show that the generic case \( p \geq 3 \) and \( t = 3 \) or \( t \geq 5 \) cannot happen. To do this, we first estimate the number of negative (or positive) edge endpoints of the graphs \( G_P \) and \( G_T \). Note that the total number of edge endpoints of each graph is \( \Delta pt = 5pt \).

Using the argument of [Lee 2007, Section 3], one can prove the following two lemmas and proposition. See [Lee 2007, Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 and Proposition 3.4].

Lemma 3.1. Assume \( p \geq 3 \). Let \( x \) be a label of \( G_T \) that is not a label of a positive level edge. Then any \( x \)-face in \( G_T \) has at least 4 sides.

Lemma 3.2. Assume \( p \geq 3 \). If \( G_T \) contains a positive level \( x \)-edge, then \( G_T \) cannot contain an \( x \)-face.

Proposition 3.3. Assume \( p \geq 3 \).

(1) Any level vertex of \( G_P \) has at most \( 2t \) negative edge endpoints.
(2) Any nonlevel vertex of $G_P$ has at most $2t - 1$ negative edge endpoints.

In the following lemma, we use an Euler characteristic calculation to give an upper bound for the number of Scharlemann cycles in $G_P$ in terms of the number of negative edge endpoints at a vertex of $G_T$.

Lemma 3.4. Suppose that $G_T$ has $k \geq p$ negative edge endpoints at a vertex $v_x$. Then $G_P$ contains at least $k - p$ Scharlemann cycles.

Proof. There is no negative loop edge in $G_T$, since otherwise $\hat{T}$ would contain an orientation-reversing curve. Hence $G_T$ has $k$ negative edges incident to $v_x$ and by the parity rule $G_P$ has $k$ positive $x$-edges.

Let $V$, $E$ and $F$ be the number of vertices, edges, and disk faces of $G_P^+(x)$, respectively. Then $V = p$, $E = k$, and an Euler characteristic calculation for the graph $G_P^+(x)$ gives $V - E + F \geq \chi(\hat{P}) = 0$, so $F \geq E - V = k - p$. Recall that each disk face of $G_P^+(x)$ is an $x$-face in $G_P$. Hence the number of $x$-faces in $G_P$ is at least $k - p$, and each contains at least one Scharlemann cycle by [Hayashi and Motegi 1997, Proposition 5.1].

Lemma 3.5. Let $v_x$ be a vertex of $G_T$. Suppose that any $x$-face in $G_P$ has at least 3 sides. Then $v_x$ has at most $3p - 1$ negative edge endpoints.

Proof. This lemma is essentially [Lee 2007, Lemma 2.7]. Assume for contradiction that $v_x$ has at least 3 negative edge endpoints. Let $V$, $E$ and $F$ be as in the proof of Lemma 3.4. Then $V = p$, $E \geq 3p$, and $F \geq E - V \geq 2p$. Since any $x$-face in $G_P$ (and hence any disk face of $G_P^+(x)$) has at least 3 sides, we have $2E \geq 3F \geq 3(E - V)$, which gives $E \leq 3V = 3p$. Hence $E = 3p$, $F = 2p$, and every face of $G_P^+(x)$ is a 3-sided disk face. Since every face of $G_P^+(x)$ is a disk face, we can conclude that $G_P = G_P^+$. So, every $x$-edge in $G_P$ is positive and hence we have $E = 5p$. This is a contradiction.

Proposition 3.6. Assume $t \geq 5$.

(1) Any Scharlemann vertex of $G_T$ has at most $3p$ negative edge endpoints.

(2) Any non-Scharlemann vertex of $G_T$ has at most $3p - 1$ negative edge endpoints.

Proof. If $v_x$ is not a Scharlemann vertex, then any $x$-face in $G_P$ has at least 3 sides by Lemma 2.4(3), so $v_x$ has at most $3p - 1$ negative edge endpoints by Lemma 3.5. Thus we only need to prove the first statement of the proposition. By Lemma 2.4(5), $G_T$ has at most four Scharlemann vertices. We divide our argument into two cases according to the number of Scharlemann vertices of $G_T$.

First, suppose $G_T$ has at most three Scharlemann vertices. Then any Scharlemann cycle in $G_P$ has label pair $\{a - 1, a\}$ or $\{a, a + 1\}$ for some label $a$. Let $k$ be the number of negative edge endpoints of $G_T$ at $v_a$. Then there are exactly $k$
positive \( a \)-edges in \( G_p \), and by Lemma 3.4 there are at least \( k - p \) Scharlemann cycles in \( G_p \). Since \( t \geq 5 \), no two Scharlemann cycles can share an edge. Since each Scharlemann cycle has at least two edges, the number of positive \( a \)-edges in \( G_p \), which is equal to \( k \), is at least \( 2(k - p) \). So, we have \( k \geq 2(k - p) \) and hence \( k \leq 2p \). Thus \( v_a \) has at most \( 2p \) negative edge endpoints. If some other vertex \( v_x \) of \( G_T \) has more than \( 2p \) negative edge endpoints, then \( G_p \) has more than \( p \) Scharlemann cycles by Lemma 3.4. This implies that there are more than \( 2p \) positive \( a \)-edges in \( G_p \), which contradicts the fact that \( v_a \) has at most \( 2p \) negative edge endpoints. Hence we conclude that any vertex of \( G_T \) has at most \( 2p \) negative edge endpoints.

Now suppose that \( G_T \) has exactly four Scharlemann vertices, say \( v_1, v_2, v_b \) and \( v_{b+1} \). Relabeling if necessary, we may assume \( b + 1 < p \). By Lemma 2.4(4), we have \( 1 \equiv b \pmod{2} \). Since \( G_p \) cannot contain two \( S \)-cycles on disjoint label pairs, we may assume that any Scharlemann cycle on the label pair \( \{b, b + 1\} \) has length at least 3. Let \( m \) and \( n \) be the number of \( (1, 2) \)-Scharlemann cycles and \( (b, b + 1) \)-Scharlemann cycles in \( G_p \), respectively. If \( b = 3 \), then \( G_p \) may contain \((2, 3)\)-Scharlemann cycles. Let \( l \) be the number of \((2, 3)\)-Scharlemann cycles if \( b = 3 \), and let \( l = 0 \) otherwise.

**Claim.** Let \( \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \) be \((b, b + 1)\)-Scharlemann cycles. Then \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \) have the same length and there are two families of parallel edges of \( G_T \) such that each family contains the same number of edges from \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \).

**Proof.** By the existence of \( (1, 2) \)-Scharlemann cycles and Lemma 2.4(2), there exists an annulus \( A \) in \( \hat{T} \) that contains the edges of \( \sigma_1 \) and \( \sigma_2 \). The core of \( A \) is an essential curve in \( \hat{T} \). Let \( A_{b,b+1} \) be an annulus in \( \partial V \) with \( A_{b,b+1} \cap \hat{T} = \partial A_{b,b+1} = \partial v_b \cup \partial v_{b+1} \). Then \( F = (A - v_b \cup v_{b+1}) \cup A_{b,b+1} \) is a twice-punctured torus.

Let \( f_i \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) be the disk face of \( G_p \) bounded by \( \sigma_i \). Since \( f_i \) is bounded by positive edges, its boundary curve \( \partial f_i \) is a nonseparating curve in \( F \). If the two curves \( \partial f_1 \) and \( \partial f_2 \) are not parallel in \( F \), then we compress \( F \) along \( f_1 \) to obtain two disks, the boundaries of which are the two boundary curves of \( F \). This implies that either of these disks is a compressing disk for \( \hat{T} \), which gives a contradiction. So, the two curves \( \partial f_1 \) and \( \partial f_2 \) cobound an annulus in \( F \) and the restriction of the annulus onto \( A (\subset T) \) is a finite union of bigons, each realizing the parallelism in \( A \) between an edge of \( \sigma_1 \) and an edge of \( \sigma_2 \). The two border edges of each bigon are parallel in \( G_T \), or the bigon contains some vertices of \( G_T \) in its interior. The second possibility can be ruled out using the argument in the proof of [Lee 2007, Lemma 2.8].

Since \((b, b + 1)\)-Scharlemann cycles have length at least 3, there is a family of parallel edges of \( G_T \) containing at least two edges from each such cycle. This
family contains at most \( p \) edges by Lemma 2.3(4), so we have
\[ n \leq \frac{p}{2}. \]

**Claim.** \( G_P \) contains at most \( 2p \) Scharlemann cycles.

**Proof.** Let \( k \) be the number of negative edge endpoints of \( G_T \) at \( v_2 \). Then there are exactly \( k \) positive 2-edges in \( G_P \). Since each Scharlemann cycle in \( G_P \) has at least two edges and since any two Scharlemann cycles with label 2 cannot share an edge, the number of 2-edges in Scharlemann cycles in \( G_P \) is at least \( 2m + 2l \). Thus we have
\[ 2l + 2m \leq k. \]

Note that \( l + m + n \) is the total number of Scharlemann cycles in \( G_P \). By Lemma 3.4 there are at least \( k - p \) Scharlemann cycles in \( G_P \). So, we have
\[ k - p \leq l + m + n. \]

Combining the three inequalities above, we obtain
\[ l + m \leq k - l - m \leq n + p \leq \frac{p}{2} + p = \frac{3p}{2}. \]

Thus we have \( l + m + n \leq \frac{3p}{2} + \frac{p}{2} = 2p \).

By Lemma 3.4 and the previous claim, any vertex of \( G_T \) has at most \( 3p \) negative edge endpoints.

**Lemma 3.7.** \( p \leq 2 \) or \( t \leq 4 \).

**Proof.** Assume for contradiction that \( p \geq 3 \) and \( t \geq 5 \). Let \( \ell \) and \( s \) be the number of level vertices of \( G_P \) and Scharlemann vertices of \( G_T \), respectively. Then we have \( \ell \leq 2 \) and \( s \leq 4 \) by Lemma 2.3(1) and Lemma 2.4(5). Let \( K \) be the number of negative edge endpoints of \( G_P \). Then we have \( K \leq 2t\ell + (2t - 1)(p - \ell) \) by Proposition 3.3. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.6, any Scharlemann vertex of \( G_T \) has at least \( 2p \) positive edge endpoints and any non-Scharlemann vertex of \( G_T \) has at least \( 2p + 1 \) positive edge endpoints. By the parity rule, \( K \) is equal to the number of positive edge endpoints of \( G_T \). So, we have \( 2ps + (2p + 1)(t - s) \leq K \).

Combining these inequalities, we obtain
\[ 2ps + (2p + 1)(t - s) \leq K \leq 2t\ell + (2t - 1)(p - \ell). \]

This gives \( p + t \leq \ell + s \leq 2 + 4 = 6 \), which violates our initial assumption.

**Lemma 3.8.** If \( t = 3 \), then \( p = 2 \).

**Proof.** Assume \( t = 3 \). Since the number of edge endpoints of \( G_T \) (or \( G_P \)) is even, we cannot have \( p = 1 \).

Let \( p \geq 3 \). Since \( t = 3 \), \( \hat{T} \) is a nonseparating torus in \( M(\tau) \). So, any vertex of \( G_T \) has at most \( p \) negative edge endpoints by Lemma 2.4(1) and Lemma 3.4,
or equivalently it has at least $4p$ positive edge endpoints. Let $K$ be the number of negative edge endpoints of $G_P$. Then we have $4pt \leq K \leq 2t\ell + (2t - 1)(p - \ell)$, which gives $\ell \geq 2pt + p \geq 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 + 3 > 2$. This contradicts that $\ell \leq 2$. □

4. The case $p = 2$

In this case we will show that $t = 1, 2, or 4$. Assume for contradiction that $t = 3$ or $t \geq 5$. If $t \geq 5$, then any vertex of $G_T$ has at most $3p$ negative edge endpoints by Proposition 3.6 and hence $G_T$ has at least $2pt = 4t$ positive edge endpoints. If $t = 3$, we observed in the proof of Lemma 3.8 that any vertex of $G_T$ has at least $4p$ positive edge endpoints, so $G_T$ has at least $4pt = 8t$ positive edge endpoints.

In any case, $G_T^+$ has at least $2t$ edges. An Euler characteristic calculation shows that $G_T^+$ has at least $t$ disk faces. By Lemma 2.3(2), each disk face of $G_T^+$ has at least one level $i$-edge on its boundary for each $i = 1, 2$. The parity rule implies that each vertex of $G_P$ is a base of a negative loop edge. Then the proof of [Lee 2006, Lemma 5.1] remains valid here to show that $G_P$ is a subgraph of one of the graphs in Figure 3, where the thick edges are positive and the thin edges are negative. Note that the number of edges of $G_P$ is $\Delta pt/2 = 5t$.

**Lemma 4.1.** $G_P$ contains at least $2t$ positive edges.
Proof. Assume not. Then $G_T^+$ has more than $3t$ edges, so an Euler characteristic calculation shows that it has more than $2t$ disk faces. Since each disk face of $G_T^+$ has at least one level 1-edge and since any such level 1-edge is shared by at most two disk faces of $G_T^+$, the number of positive level 1-edges in $G_T$ is greater than $t$. Then, in $G_P$, the family of parallel negative loop edges based at $u_1$ contains more than $t$ edges. By Lemma 2.4(7), $G_T^+ = G_T$. Then $G_T^+$ has $5t$ edges and at least $4t$ disk faces. This also implies that the number of positive level 1-edges is at least $2t$. By Lemma 2.4(4), we have $2t \leq t + 1$ and hence $t \leq 1$. This contradicts our assumption that $t = 3$ or $t \geq 5$. □

For the first two graphs in Figure 3, each negative loop edge of $G_P^+$ has weight at most $t$ by Lemma 2.4(7). Hence $G_P^+$ has at least $3t$ positive edges, which are divided into at most four families of parallel edges. By Lemma 2.4(6), we have $3t \leq 4 \cdot t/2$ if $t$ is odd and $3t \leq 4 \cdot (t/2 + 1)$ if $t$ is even. Both are impossible, since we assumed $t = 3$ or $t \geq 5$.

For the remaining graphs in the figure, $G_P^+$ has at most three positive edges. By Lemma 4.1, $G_P$ has at least $2t$ positive edges. Hence by Lemma 2.4(6), we have $2t \leq 3 \cdot t/2$ if $t$ is odd and $2t \leq 3 \cdot (t/2 + 1)$ if $t$ is even. The first inequality is impossible. The latter one is possible only if $t = 6$ and $G_P^+$ is a subgraph of the graph in Figure 3(c). But, using (6) and (7) of Lemma 2.4, one can see that the lower vertex of the graph in Figure 3(c) has less than $5t$ edge endpoints in $G_P$. This is also impossible.

The following is what we proved in this section.

Lemma 4.2. If $p = 2$, then $t = 1, 2, or 4$.

5. The case $t = 4$

In this case we will prove $p = 1$. On the contrary we assume $p \geq 2$ throughout this section.

Lemma 5.1. Let $v_x$ be a vertex of $G_T$ such that $x$ is not a label of an $S$-cycle in $G_P$. Then $v_x$ has at most $3p - 1$ negative edge endpoints, or equivalently it has at least $2p + 1$ positive edge endpoints.

Proof. Since $x$ is not a label of an $S$-cycle of $G_P$, each $x$-face in $G_P$ has at least 3 sides by Lemma 2.4(3). Hence the result follows from Lemma 3.5. □

Lemma 5.2. $G_P$ contains at most $3p - 2$ Scharlemann cycles.

Proof. There exists a label of $G_P$ that is not a label of an $S$-cycle by Lemma 2.6. We may assume that the label is 4. We divide the Scharlemann cycles of $G_P$ into two disjoint families; one family $\mathcal{F}_1$ consists of all Scharlemann cycles having label 2 and the other family $\mathcal{F}_2$ consists of all Scharlemann cycles having label 4. Let $s_i (\geq 0)$ be the number of all Scharlemann cycles in $\mathcal{F}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then $s_1 + s_2$
is the total number of Scharlemann cycles in $G_P$. Note that no two Scharlemann cycles in $G_P$ can share an edge.

Since each Scharlemann cycle in $\mathcal{F}_2$ has at least 3 edges, there are at least $3s_2$ positive 4-edges in $G_P$. By the parity rule, $G_T$ has at least $3s_2$ negative edge endpoints at $v_4$. By Lemma 5.1 we have $3s_2 \leq 3p - 1$ and hence $s_2 \leq p - 1$.

Now let $k$ be the number of negative edge endpoints of $G_T$ at $v_2$. Then by Lemma 3.4 we have $k - p \leq s_1 + s_2$. On the other hand, $G_P$ has $k$ positive 2-edges. Since any Scharlemann cycle in $\mathcal{F}_1$ has at least two edges, we have $2s_1 \leq k$ and hence $2s_1 - p \leq k - p \leq s_1 + s_2$. This gives $s_1 \leq s_2 + p \leq (p - 1) + p = 2p - 1$.

We now have $s_1 + s_2 \leq 2p - 1$ and hence $s_2 \leq p - 1$.

Lemma 5.3. Any vertex of $G_T$ has at most $4p - 2$ negative edge endpoints, or equivalently it has at least $p + 2$ positive edge endpoints.

Proof. This follows from Lemmas 3.4 and 5.2.

Lemma 5.4. Assume $p \geq 3$. Then $\hat{T}$ is a separating torus in $M(\tau)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\hat{T}$ is nonseparating. Then any vertex of $G_T$ has at least $4p$ positive edge endpoints by Lemma 2.4(1) and Lemma 3.4, so $G_T$ contains at least $4pt/2 = 8p$ positive edges. Let $n$ be the number of positive edges of $G_T$, and let $q = p/2 + 1$ if $p$ is even and $q = (p + 1)/2$ if $p$ is odd. By Lemma 2.3(3), $G_T$ contains at most $nq$ positive edges. Hence we have $8p \leq nq$, which gives

$$8p/q \leq n.$$ 

On the other hand, by [Gordon and Wu 2008, Lemma 2.5], $\overline{G}_T$ contains at most $3t$ edges. Hence by (3) and (4) of Lemma 2.3, we have $10p = 5pt/2 \leq nq + (3t - n)p = nq + 12p - np$, which gives

$$n \leq 2p/(p - q).$$

Combining the two inequalities above, we obtain $8p/q \leq n \leq 2p/(p - q)$, which gives $4p \leq 5q$. Solving this inequality, we obtain $3p \leq 10$ if $p$ is even and $3p \leq 5$ if $p$ is odd. Both cases violate the assumption that $p \geq 3$.

Lemma 5.5. Assume $p \geq 3$. Then each component $\overline{X}$ of $\overline{G}_T^+$ is contained in an essential annulus but not in a disk on $\hat{T}$. There are only five possibilities for $\overline{X}$, as shown in Figure 4.

Proof. Since $\hat{T}$ is separating in $G_T$, each component of $\overline{G}_T^+$ has one or two vertices. By Lemma 2.3(3) and Lemma 5.3, each vertex of $\overline{G}_T^+$ has valency at least 2. Hence no component of $\overline{G}_T^+$ can be contained in a disk on $\hat{T}$, so each component is contained in an essential annulus on $\hat{T}$. By [Teragaito 2006a, Lemma 3.5], there are only five possibilities for $\overline{X}$.
Lemma 5.6. \( p \leq 2. \)

Proof. Assume \( p \geq 3. \) We may assume that label 4 is not a label of an \( S \)-cycle in \( G_P. \) Consider the component \( \Lambda \) of \( \overline{G}_T^+ \) containing \( v_4. \) By Lemma 5.1, \( v_4 \) has at least \( 2p + 1 \) positive edge endpoints in \( G_T, \) so by Lemma 2.3(3) it has valency at least 3 in \( \overline{\Lambda}. \) Hence \( \overline{\Lambda} \) is one of the graphs in Figure 4(c)–(e). In particular, \( \Lambda \) has exactly two vertices \( v_2 \) and \( v_4. \) Let \( K \) be the number of edge endpoints of \( \Lambda. \) Then by Lemmas 5.1 and 5.3 we have

\[
(2p + 1) + (p + 2) \leq K.
\]

If \( x \) is not a label of a level edge in \( \Lambda, \) then it appears in \( \Lambda \) at most 3 times, since otherwise \( \Lambda \) would contain a 2- or 3-sided \( x \)-face, contradicting Lemma 3.1. If \( x \) is a label of a level edge in \( \Lambda, \) then it appears in \( \Lambda \) at most 4 times, since otherwise \( \Lambda \) would contain an \( x \)-face, contradicting Lemma 3.2. Hence we have

\[
K \leq 3(p - \ell) + 4\ell = 3p + \ell \leq 3p + 2,
\]

where \( \ell \) is the number of labels of \( \Lambda \) that are a label of a level edge. Combining the two inequalities above, we obtain \( (2p + 1) + (p + 2) \leq K \leq 3p + 2. \) This gives a contradiction. \( \square \)
For the remainder of this section, we assume $p = 2$. Note that the number of edges of $G_T$ is $\Delta pt/2 = 20$.

**Lemma 5.7.** Any vertex of $G_T$ has at least four positive edge endpoints.

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.2, $G_P$ contains at most 4 Scharlemann cycles. Hence by Lemma 3.4, each vertex of $G_T$ has at most 6 negative edge endpoints, or equivalently has at least 4 positive edge endpoints. □

Using this lemma, one sees that $G_T^+$ has at least 8 edges. Hence $G_T^+$ contains at least four disk faces, each of which contains at least one level $i$-edge for each $i = 1, 2$. This shows that each vertex of $\bar{G}_P$ is a base of a negative loop edge. So, $\bar{G}_P$ is a subgraph of one of the eight graphs in Figure 3.

By Lemma 4.1, $G_P$ has at least 8 positive edges (so, $G_T^+ \neq G_T$). By part (6) of Lemma 2.4, any family of parallel positive edges in $G_P$ contains at most 3 edges. Hence $\bar{G}_P$ has at least 3 edges. It follows that $\bar{G}_P$ is a subgraph of one of the first three graphs in Figure 3.

Assume that $\bar{G}_P$ is a subgraph of the graph in Figure 3(a) or (b). Then $\bar{G}_P$ has exactly two negative edges, each of which containing at most 4 edges of $G_P$ by Lemma 2.4(7). Hence $G_P$ has at least 12 positive edges. In fact, by Lemma 2.4(6), $G_P$ has exactly 12 positive edges and $\bar{G}_P$ is the graph in Figure 3(a). Also, each positive edge of $\bar{G}_P$ contains exactly three edges of $G_P$. Examining the labels of $\bar{G}_P$, one sees that $G_P$ must contain two $S$-cycles on disjoint label pairs. This contradicts Lemma 2.6.

Hence $\bar{G}_P$ is a subgraph of the graph in Figure 3(c). Label the edges of $\bar{G}_P$ as in the figure, and let $|\cdot|$ denote the weight of the corresponding reduced edge. Then $|\alpha|, |\beta|, |\gamma| \leq 3$ and $|\lambda|, |\mu|, |\nu| \leq 4$. But, the number of edge endpoints of $G_P$ at the lower vertex of the graph in Figure 3(c) is $|\alpha| + |\beta| + 2|\mu| + |\nu| \leq 18$. This is impossible since each vertex of $G_P$ has $\Delta t = 20$ edge endpoints. Hence we conclude that $p = 2$ is impossible.

Summarizing the results obtained in this section, we have the following.

**Lemma 5.8.** If $t = 4$, then $p = 1$.

### 6. The case $t = 1$

In this case, the reduced graph $\bar{G}_T$ has at most 3 edges. See Figure 5. The number of edges of $G_T$ is $\Delta pt/2 = 5p/2$ (so, $p$ is even). By Lemma 2.3(3) we have $5p/2 \leq 3(p/2 + 1)$ and hence $p \leq 3$. Since $p$ is even, we have $p = 2$ and we can determine the graph pair $G_T, G_P$ as shown in Figure 6. One can see that the jumping number for the graph pair is 1, so the edge correspondence between the two graphs is as shown in the figure.
A thin neighborhood \( \eta(\hat{P}) \) of \( \hat{P} \) is a twisted \( I \)-bundle over the Klein bottle \( \hat{P} \). Its boundary, \( \hat{S} = \partial \eta(\hat{P}) \), is a torus. Let \( S = \hat{S} \cap M \). As done in Section 2, we construct two labeled graphs \( G_S \) and \( G'_T \) from the intersection of \( S \) and \( T \), where \( G'_T \) is obtained by doubling the edges of \( G_T \) and \( G_S \) double-covers \( G_P \). See Figure 7 for the graphs \( G'_T \) and \( G_S \) and the edge correspondence between them. The graph \( G_S \) is homeomorphic to the graph shown in Figure 8(a).

Let \( Z = M(\pi) - \text{Int}(\eta(\hat{P})) \). Then \( M(\pi) = \eta(\hat{P}) \cup Z \cap V_\pi \) and \( Z \cap V_\pi \) is a union of two 1-handles \( V_{41} \) and \( V_{23} \), where \( V_{i,i+1} \) is the part of \( V_\pi \) between two vertices of \( G_S \) labeled \( i \) and \( i+1 \). Let \( f \), \( g \), and \( h \) be the faces of \( G'_T \) bounded by the edges \( A' \cup B \), \( A \cup D' \cup E \), and \( B' \cup C \cup E' \), respectively. By compressing the genus 3 surface \( \partial(\eta(\hat{P}) \cup V_\pi) \) along the three disks \( f \), \( g \), and \( h \), one obtains a 2-sphere.
in $M(\pi)$, which bounds a 3-ball by the irreducibility of $M(\pi)$. This implies that $\eta(\hat{S} \cup V_{41} \cup V_{23} \cup f \cup g \cup h)$ is $Z$ minus a 3-ball. Thus $\eta(\hat{S} \cup V_{41} \cup V_{23} \cup f \cup g \cup h)$ and $Z$ have the same fundamental group.

To calculate $\pi_1(Z)$, we follow an argument in [Teragaito 2000]. As a base point of $Z$, we take a disk containing the vertices of $G_S$ as shown in Figure 8(b). The group $\pi_1(Z)$ has four generators $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu$ as shown in the figure, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are represented by the cores of $V_{41}$ and $V_{23}$, respectively. The two generators $\lambda$ and $\mu$ give a relation $\lambda \mu = \mu \lambda$ and the three disks $f$, $g$, and $h$ give three relations $\lambda \alpha \beta = 1$, $\lambda \alpha^{-2} \beta^{-1} = 1$, and $\mu \beta \alpha \lambda^{-1} \beta = 1$, respectively. Hence $\pi_1(Z)$ has the presentation $$\langle \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu : \lambda \mu = \mu \lambda, \lambda \alpha \beta = 1, \lambda \alpha^{-2} \beta^{-1} = 1, \mu \beta \alpha \lambda^{-1} \beta = 1 \rangle.$$ 

Using the last two relations, one can eliminate two generators $\lambda$ and $\mu$ to obtain $\pi_1(Z) = \langle \alpha, \beta : \alpha^3 \beta^2 = 1 \rangle$. This group is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the trefoil knot exterior, so $Z$ is not a solid torus. This implies that $\hat{S}$ is an essential torus in $M(\pi)$.

The graph pair in Figure 7 is homeomorphic to that in [Gordon and Wu 2008, Figure 11.10]. Hence $M$ is homeomorphic to $M_5$ in the notation of [ibid., Definition 21.3].

**Lemma 6.1.** If $t = 1$, then $M(\pi)$ is toroidal and $M = N(1, -1/3) = W(4/3)$.

**Proof.** We only need to show that $M = N(1, -1/3) = W(4/3)$. By applying similar moves as in Figure 2, one can see that $N(1, -1/3)$ is homeomorphic to $W(4/3)$. From [Martelli and Petronio 2006, Table A.4], one sees that $N(1, -1/3, -4)$ contains a Klein bottle and $N(1, -1/3, 1)$ is toroidal. Here, $\Delta(-4, 1) = 5$.

We already saw that if $t = 1$, then $M$ is uniquely determined ($M = M_5$). Hence we only need to show $N(1, -1/3)$ contains a properly embedded once-punctured torus with boundary slope 1. By a Rolfsen twisting (see Figure 2), slope 1 on $\partial N(1, -1/3)$ is changed into slope 0 on the boundary torus of $W(4/3)$. It is easy to see that slope 0 is a boundary slope of a once-punctured torus in $W(4/3)$. \qed
In this case the reduced graph $\overline{G}_P$ has one of the forms in Figure 9. Label the edges of $\overline{G}_P$ as in the figure. Note that $\alpha$ is positive while $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are negative. We write $G_P = \Gamma_1(|\alpha|, |\lambda|, |\mu|)$ or $\Gamma_2(|\alpha|, |\lambda|, |\mu|)$ according to whether $\overline{G}_P$ is the first or second graph in Figure 9. Up to homeomorphism of $\hat{P}$, we have $\Gamma_i(a, b, c) \cong \Gamma_i(a, c, b)$ for each $i = 1, 2$.

**Lemma 7.1.** $|\alpha| > 0$.

*Proof.* Assume for contradiction that $|\alpha| = 0$. We have $|\lambda|, |\mu| \leq t + 1$ by Lemma 2.4(7). The number of edges of $G_P$ is $\Delta pt/2 = 5t/2$ (so, $t$ must be even) and hence $5t/2 = |\lambda| + |\mu| \leq 2t + 2$, giving $t \leq 4$.

If $t = 4$, then $|\lambda| = |\mu| = 5$; this is impossible by [Teragaito 2006b, Lemma 8.6]. If $t = 2$, then $(|\lambda|, |\mu|) = (2, 3)$ or $(3, 2)$. We may assume $(|\lambda|, |\mu|) = (2, 3)$. Then using Lemma 2.5, we can determine the graph pair $G_P, G_T$ as in Figure 10. But a jumping number argument as in the first paragraph of the proof of [Goda and Teragaito 2005, Proposition 8.7] rules out this possibility. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 7.2.** $t \geq 4$ is impossible.

*Proof.* Assume $t \geq 4$. Since $|\alpha| > 0$, $G_T^+ \neq G_T$ and hence we have $|\lambda|, |\mu| \leq t$ by Lemma 2.4(7). The total number of edges of $G_P$ is $\Delta pt/2 = 5t/2$, so $|\alpha| \geq t/2$.

Hence $|\alpha| = t/2$ or $t/2 + 1$ by Lemma 2.4(6). But $\alpha = t/2 + 1$ is impossible by [Teragaito 2006b, Lemma 8.12]. Thus $G_P = \Gamma_1(t/2, t, t)$ or $\Gamma_2(t/2, t, t)$. The latter is impossible by [Teragaito 2006b, Lemma 8.11], the former is possible only if $t = 4$.
Figure 11. The graph pair $G_P, G_T$.

Figure 12. The graph pair $G_P, G_T$.

by [Teragaito 2006b, Lemma 8.10], and the graph pair $G_P, G_T$ is determined as in Figure 11.

Let $b_1, b_2$ and $b_3$ be the bigon faces of $G_P$ bounded by the edges $A \cup B$, $C \cup D$, and $I \cup J$, respectively. Let $V_{i,i+1}$ be the part of $V_{\tau}$ between $v_i$ and $v_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Then for each $j = 1, 2$, shrinking $V_{12}$ and $V_{34}$ to their cores in $b_j \cup b_3 \cup V_{12} \cup V_{34}$ gives a Möbius band $B_j$ in $M(\tau)$ such that $\partial B_j \subset \hat{T}$. Isotope $B_1$ so that $B_1 \cap B_2 = \partial B_1 = \partial B_2$. Then $B_1 \cup B_2$ is a Klein bottle in $M(\tau)$, contradicting our assumption that $M(\tau)$ does not contain a Klein bottle.

Hence $t = 2$. The proof of [Goda and Teragaito 2005, Proposition 8.7] shows that the only two possibilities for $G_P$ are $G_P \cong \Gamma_1(3, 1, 1)$ or $\Gamma_2(3, 2, 0)$.

Lemma 7.3. If $G_P \cong \Gamma_1(3, 1, 1)$, then $M(\pi)$ is toroidal and $M = N(2, 2)$.

Proof. Using Lemma 2.5, we can determine the graph pair $G_P, G_T$ as in Figure 12. The jumping number is 1 and the edge correspondence is as shown in the figure. The graph pair $G_S, G'_T$ obtained from $G_P$ and $G_T$ as in Section 6 is shown in Figure 13.
Figure 13. The graph pair $G_S, G'_T$.

Figure 14. Generators for $\pi_1(Z)$.

Let $Z = M(\pi) - \text{Int}(\eta(\hat{P}))$ and $V_{12} = V_\pi \cap Z$, and let $f$ and $g$ be the faces of $G'_T$ bounded by the edges $A' \cup C \cup E'$ and $B \cup C' \cup E$, respectively. Compressing the genus 2 surface $\partial(\eta(\hat{P}) \cup V_\pi)$ along the disks $f$ and $g$ gives a 2-sphere in $M(\pi)$, which bounds a 3-ball. Hence $\pi_1(\eta(\hat{S} \cup V_{12} \cup f \cup g)) \cong \pi_1(Z)$.

As a base point, we take a disk containing the two vertices of $G_S$ as shown in Figure 14. The group $\pi_1(Z)$ has three generators $\alpha, \lambda, \text{and } \mu$ as in the figure, where $\alpha$ is represented by the core of $V_{12}$. The torus $\hat{S}$ gives a relation $\lambda \mu = \mu \lambda$ and the disks $f$ and $g$ give two relations $\lambda \alpha \mu \alpha^{-1} \mu \alpha = 1$ and $\mu \alpha \mu \alpha^{-1} \mu^{-1} \alpha^{-1} = 1$. Hence $\pi_1(Z)$ has the presentation

$$\langle \alpha, \lambda, \mu : \lambda \mu = \mu \lambda, \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha^{-1} \mu \alpha = 1, \mu \alpha \mu \alpha^{-1} \mu^{-1} \alpha^{-1} = 1 \rangle.$$  

One sees that $\pi_1(Z) = \langle \alpha, \mu : \alpha \mu \alpha = \mu \alpha \mu \rangle$, which is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the trefoil knot exterior. This implies that $Z$ is not a solid torus. Hence $\hat{S}$ is an essential torus in $M(\pi)$.

The graph pair $G_S, G'_T$ is shown in [Gordon and Wu 2008, Figure 20.4] with the order reversed. By [ibid., Theorem 21.4], $M$ is homeomorphic to $M_{12}$ in the notation of that paper. They proved in [ibid., Lemma 22.2] that $M_{12}$ is the double branched cover of the tangle in [ibid., Figure 22.12(b)], which is the tangle on the top left in Figure 15. Using isotopies and the Montesinos trick, one can see that $M = M_{12}$ is homeomorphic to the 3-manifold in the top right of Figure 15, where a
Let $V$ be a solid torus and $K$ a knot on $\partial V$ that wraps around $V$ in the longitudinal direction $l$ times and in the meridional direction $m$ times. Push $K$ into
the interior of $V$ and remove its open regular neighborhood from $V$. The resulting manifold will be denoted by $C(l, m)$ and called a cable space of type $(l, m)$.

**Lemma 7.4.** If $G_P \cong \Gamma_2(3, 2, 0)$, then $M(\pi)$ is toroidal and $M = N(-4, \frac{1}{2}(2n-1))$ for some integer $n \neq 0, -1$.

**Proof.** Note that $\Gamma_2(3, 2, 0) \cong \Gamma_2(3, 0, 2)$. Assume $G_P \cong \Gamma_2(3, 0, 2)$. Using Lemma 2.5, we can determine $G_T$ as in Figure 12. Each face of $G_T$ is a disk, so there is no circle component of $P \cap T$. There exists a nondisk face in $G_P$; this face is homeomorphic to a Möbius band. Let $k$ be an orientation-reversing curve on the nondisk face.

Let $X = M - \text{Int}(\eta(k))$, $\hat{B} = \hat{P} - \text{Int}(\eta(k))$, $B' = \hat{P} \cap \eta(k)$ and $B = X \cap \hat{B}$. Then $\hat{B}$ and $B'$ are Möbius bands and $B$ is a once-punctured Möbius band. Since $M$ is bounded by a single torus (see [Lee 2007, Theorem 1.3]), $X$ is bounded by two tori $\partial M$ and $\partial \eta(k)$. Let $T_0 = \partial M$, $T_1 = \partial \eta(k)$, and $\partial_i B = \partial B \cap T_i (i = 0, 1)$. Let $X(\pi) = X \cup V_\pi$ and $X(\tau) = X \cup V_\tau$.

Note that $\hat{T}$ is essential in $X(\tau)$; it is incompressible in $X(\tau)$, since otherwise it would be compressible in $M(\tau)$, and it is not boundary-parallel in $X(\tau)$, since otherwise it would bound a solid torus in $M(\tau)$. Since $G_P$ contains Scharlemann cycles, by Lemma 2.4(1), $\hat{T}$ is separating in $M(\tau)$ (and hence in $X(\tau)$).

**Claim.** $X$ is hyperbolic.
Proof. We first show that $X$ is irreducible. On the contrary, suppose that $X$ contains an essential sphere $Q$. Since $M$ is irreducible, $Q$ is separating in $X$. In particular, $Q$ separates the two boundary components of $X$. By an isotopy of $Q$, we may assume that $Q$ meets each of $B$ and $T$ transversely. We may also assume that $Q$ meets each of $B$ and $T$ minimally among all essential spheres in $X$. Then $Q$ and $T$ are disjoint, since otherwise $T$ would be compressible. But $Q$ and $B$ cannot be disjoint because $B$ has one boundary component on each of $T_0$ and $T_1$. Since $Q$ and $T$ are disjoint, each component of $Q \cap B$ is parallel to $\partial_1 B$ in $B$. Compressing $\hat{P}$ along a disk component of $Q - B$ gives a projective plane in $M(\pi)$. This contradicts [Jin et al. 2003, Theorem 1.1]. Hence $X$ is irreducible.

Each $T_i$ for $i = 0, 1$ is incompressible in $X$, since otherwise after compression it would become a sphere bounding a 3-ball by the irreducibility of $X$, implying that $X$ is a solid torus. Thus $X$ is boundary-irreducible.

The manifold $M$, which is obtained from $X$ by Dehn filling, is hyperbolic. Hence $X$ cannot be Seifert fibered.

We only need to prove that $X$ is atoroidal. Suppose that $X$ contains an essential torus $U$. Since $M$ is atoroidal and irreducible, $U$ separates $X$ into two components. Let $X_0$ and $X_1$ be the two components, where $T_i \subset X_i$ for $i = 0, 1$ and $X_1 \cup \eta(k)$ is a solid torus. We may assume that $U$ was chosen so that $X_0$ contains no essential torus in its interior. We also assume that $U$ intersects each of $B$ and $T$ transversely and minimally. Since $M$ is orientable, each component of $U \cap B$ is parallel in $B$ to either $\partial_0 B$ or $\partial_1 B$.

Suppose some components of $U \cap B$ are parallel to $\partial_0 B$. Let $A (\subset B)$ be the annulus cut off by the outermost such component. Then $A$ is contained in $X_0$ and intersects the tori $T_0$ and $U$. The boundary circle of $A$ on $U$ is essential, since otherwise $X$ would be boundary-reducible. The frontier of $\eta(T_0 \cup A \cup U)$ is a torus in $X_0$. Since $X_0$ is irreducible and atoroidal, the torus bounds a solid torus in $X_0$. This implies that $X_0$ is a cable space, which contradicts the hyperbolicity of $M$.

Hence all the components of $U \cap B$ are parallel to $\partial_1 B$. The outermost component cuts off an annulus $A' (\subset B)$, which lies in $X_1$. One boundary component of $A'$ is $\partial_1 B$ and the other is an essential curve in $U$. Since $A' \cup B'$ is a Möbius band with boundary on $U$, $\eta(U \cup A' \cup B') = \eta(U \cup A') \cup \eta(k)$ is homeomorphic to the cable space $C(2, 1)$. One boundary component of $\eta(U \cup A' \cup B')$ is parallel to $U$ and the other bounds a solid torus $J$ in $X_1$ since otherwise either that component would be essential in $M$, contradicting the hyperbolicity of $M$, or it would compress into an essential sphere in $X_1$, contradicting the irreducibility of $X$. The core of $A'$, which is a Seifert fiber of $\eta(U \cup A' \cup B')$, is homotopic to the core of $J$, since otherwise $U$ would be an essential torus in $M$, contradicting the hyperbolicity of $M$ again. This implies that $X_1 \cong \eta(U \cup A') \cup J \cong U \times I$, showing that $U$ is boundary-parallel in $X$. This contradicts the choice of $U$. \qed
Neither $X(\pi)$ nor $X(\tau)$ is hyperbolic (the former contains a Möbius band $\hat{B}$ and the latter contains an essential torus $\hat{T}$) and $\Delta(\pi, \tau) = 5$, so it follows from [Lee 2007, Theorem 1.1] that $X$ is the exterior of the Whitehead sister link. Hence $M$ is the result of a Dehn filling on the link exterior.

The results of exceptional Dehn fillings on the Whitehead sister link exterior are shown in [Martelli and Petronio 2006, Table A.1]. From the table, one sees that each of $X(\pi)$ and $X(\tau)$ contains a unique essential torus cutting it into the trefoil knot exterior and the cable space $C(2, 1)$. Let $E$ and $C$ denote the knot exterior and the cable space, respectively. Let $V = \eta(k)$ and let $T_2$ be the common boundary torus of $E$ and $C$ in $X(\pi)$. Then $X(\pi) = E \cup T_2 C$, $M(\pi) = E \cup T_2 (C \cup T_1 V)$, and $\partial C = T_1 \cup T_2$.

**Claim.** $T_2$ is an essential torus in $M(\pi)$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $C \cup V$ is a solid torus. (Otherwise, $T_2 (= \partial(C \cup V))$ is an essential torus in $M(\pi)$.) Consider the curves on $T_2$. By an $(r, s)$-curve, we mean a curve on $T_2$ that wraps around the solid torus $C \cup V$ in the longitudinal direction $r$ times and in the meridional direction $s$ times. Then $C \cup V$ is a fibered solid torus whose regular fibers on $T_2$ are $(2, 1)$-curves.

Note that $E$ and $C$ are Seifert fiber spaces whose fibers intersect exactly once in their common boundary $T_2$. See [Martelli and Petronio 2006, Table A.1]. Suppose that an $(a, b)$-curve is a regular fiber of $E$. Then we have $a - 2b = 1$ and hence $a = 2b + 1$. This implies that $M(\pi)$ is a Seifert fiber space over the 2-sphere with three exceptional fibers of indices 2, 3, and $|2b + 1|$. (Note that $E$ is a Seifert fiber space over the disk with two exceptional fibers of indices 2 and 3.) Such a Seifert fiber space does not contain a Klein bottle, which contradicts the assumption that $M(\pi)$ contains a Klein bottle. \qed

Since the Whitehead sister link exterior $X$ has a self-homeomorphism interchanging its two boundary tori, we may assume that $T_0$ is the knotted boundary torus of $X$. Let $X(r_0, r_1)$ denote the 3-manifold obtained from $X$ by performing a Dehn filling on $T_i$ along slope $r_i$ for each $i = 0, 1$. Partial Dehn fillings give $X(r_0) = X(r_0, \cdot)$ and $X(\cdot, r_1)$. Recall that $M$ is obtained from $X$ by performing a Dehn filling along the torus $T_1$, so $M = X(\cdot, r)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\}$.

By [ibid., Proposition 1.5] we have

$$N(-\frac{3}{2}, a, \beta) = N(-4, -\frac{a + 1}{a + 2}, -\beta - 3) = N(-\frac{3}{2}, -\frac{2a + 5}{a + 2}, -\frac{2\beta + 5}{\beta + 2}).$$

Using this, one sees

$$N(-4, r, s) = N(-4, -\frac{r + 2}{r + 1}, -\frac{s + 2}{s + 1}).$$
Figure 17. \( N(-4) \) is homeomorphic to the Whitehead sister link exterior.

In particular, we have

\[
N(-4, r) = N\left(-4, -\frac{r+2}{r+1}\right).
\]

Figure 17 shows that

\[
N(-4, r) = X(\cdot, 4 + 1/r) \quad \text{and} \quad N(-4, r, s) = X(6 - s, 4 + 1/r).
\]

It is known that the Whitehead sister link exterior \( X \) has exactly 5 exceptional slopes on any boundary component (see [ibid., Table A.1]). One can see that the set \( \mathcal{E} \) of exceptional slopes of \( X \) on \( T_0 \) is \( \mathcal{E} = \{1/0, 6, 7, 8, 9, 13/2\} \). Here, \( \pi, \tau \in \mathcal{E} \) and \( \{\pi, \tau\} = \{9, 13/2\} \). (Note that \( \Delta(9, 13/2) = 5 \).)

Assume \( \pi = 13/2 \). Then \( \tau = 9 \). Let \( M = X(\cdot, 4 + 1/r) = N(-4, r) \) for some \( r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\} \). Then

\[
M(\pi) = X(\pi, r) = X\left(\frac{13}{2}, 4 + 1/r\right) = N(-4, r, -\frac{1}{2}) = N(-4, -\frac{1}{2}, r).
\]

Since \( M(\pi) \) contains a Klein bottle, \( r = \frac{1}{2}(2n - 1) \) for some integer \( n \neq 0 \). See the last row of [ibid., Table 3]. If \( n = -1 \), then

\[
M(\tau) = X(\tau, 4 + 1/r) = X(9, 4 + 1/r) = N(-4, r, -3)
= N(-3, -4, r) = N(-3, -4, -\frac{3}{2})
\]
Figure 18. The graph $G_T$.

is not toroidal. See the last row for slope $-3$ in [ibid., Table 2]. We conclude that $M = N(-4, \frac{1}{2}(2n - 1))$ for some integer $n \neq 0, -1$.

Assume $\pi = 9$. Then $\tau = 13/2$. Let $M = X(\cdot, 4 + 1/r) = N(-4, r)$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{1/0\}$. Then

$$M(\pi) = X(\pi, 4 + 1/r) = X(9, 4 + 1/r) = N(-4, r, -3) = N(-4, -3, r) = N(-4, -\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{r+2}{r+1}).$$

Since $M(\pi)$ contains a Klein bottle, $-\frac{r+2}{r+1} = \frac{1}{2}(2n - 1)$ for some integer $n \neq 0$. See the last row of [ibid., Table 3]. If $n = -1$, then

$$M(\tau) = X(\tau, 4 + 1/r) = X(\frac{13}{2}, 4 + 1/r) = N(-4, r, -\frac{1}{2}) = N(-4, -\frac{1}{2}, r) = N(-4, -3, -\frac{3}{2}) = N(-3, -4, -\frac{3}{2})$$

is not toroidal. See the last row for slope $-3$ in [ibid., Table 2]. Hence $M = N(-4, r) = N(-4, -\frac{r+2}{r+1}) = N(-4, \frac{1}{2}(2n - 1))$ for some integer $n \neq 0, -1$. □

8. The case $p \geq 2$ and $t = 2$

In this case, the argument in [Goda and Teragaito 2005, Section 9] shows the following.

- $p = 2$;
- nonloop edges of $G_T$ are negative; and
- $G_T$ is one of the graphs in Figure 18.

For the first graph in Figure 18, the argument in the second paragraph of the proof of [Teragaito 2006b, Lemma 7.4] shows that $M(\tau)$ contains a Klein bottle, contradicting our assumption. Hence $G_T$ is the second graph in Figure 18. Then the graph $G_P$ is uniquely determined as shown in Figure 19. See the third paragraph of the proof of [ibid., Lemma 7.4]. We obtain a graph pair $G_S, G_T^r$ from $G_P$ and $G_T$.
Figure 19. The graphs $G_P$ and $G_S$.

Figure 20. The graph pair $G_S, G'_T$.

as in Section 6. See Figure 19 for $G_S$. See Figure 20 for the edge correspondence between the graphs $G_S$ and $G'_T$. 
Let $Z = M(\pi) - \text{Int}\eta(\hat{P})$, and let $f$, $g$, and $h$ be the faces of $G'_T$ bounded by the edges $A' \cup B$, $D' \cup E$ and $A \cup C' \cup D$, respectively. The group $\pi_1(Z)$ has four generators $\alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu$ as shown in Figure 21, where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are represented by the cores of the two 1-handles $V_{41}, V_{23}$ in $V_{\pi} \cap Z$. The three disks $f$, $g$, and $h$ give three relations $\alpha \beta^{-1} = 1$, $\mu \lambda \beta \alpha = 1$, and $\alpha \mu \beta^{-1} \mu \beta \mu = 1$. Hence $\pi_1(Z)$ has the presentation

$$\langle \alpha, \beta, \lambda, \mu : \mu \lambda = \lambda \mu, \alpha \beta^{-1} = 1, \mu \lambda \beta \alpha = 1, \alpha \mu \beta^{-1} \mu \beta \mu = 1 \rangle.$$ 

Since $\alpha = \beta$ and $\lambda = \mu^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} = \mu^{-1} \alpha^{-2}$, we have

$$\pi_1(Z) = \langle \alpha, \mu : \alpha^2 \mu = \mu \alpha^2, \alpha \mu \alpha^{-1} \mu \alpha \mu = 1 \rangle.$$ 

Letting $\gamma = \mu \alpha$, one sees that $\pi_1(Z) = \langle \alpha, \gamma : \alpha^2 = \gamma^3 \rangle$, which implies that $Z$ is not a solid torus. Hence $\hat{S}$ is an essential torus and $M(\pi)$ is toroidal.

The graph pair $G_S, G'_T$ is shown in [Gordon and Wu 2008, Figure 16.6]. By [ibid., Theorem 21.4], $M$ is homeomorphic to $M_7$ in the notation of that paper. The double branched cover of the tangle on the top left in Figure 22 is $M_7$ (see [ibid., Lemma 22.2]). The figure shows that $M_7 = N(-5/3, -5/3)$.

Summarizing the results in this section, we obtain the following.

**Lemma 8.1.** If $p \geq 2$ and $t = 2$, then $M(\pi)$ is toroidal and $M = N(-5/3, -5/3)$.
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Figure 22. $N(-5/3, -5/3)$ is homeomorphic to $M_7$. 
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