

*Pacific
Journal of
Mathematics*

PARASURFACE GROUPS

KHALID BOU-RABEE

Volume 248 No. 1

November 2010

PARASURFACE GROUPS

KHALID BOU-RABEE

A residually nilpotent group is k -parafree if all of its lower central series quotients match those of a free group of rank k . Magnus proved that k -parafree groups of rank k are themselves free. We mimic this theory with surface groups playing the role of free groups. Our main result shows that the analog of Magnus' theorem is false in this setting.

Introduction

This article is motivated by three stories. The first story concerns a theorem of Wilhelm Magnus. Recall that the *lower central series* of a group G is defined to be

$$\gamma_1(G) := G \text{ and } \gamma_k(G) := [G, \gamma_{k-1}(G)] \text{ for } k \geq 2,$$

where $[A, B]$ denotes the group generated by commutators of elements of A with elements of B . The *rank* of G is the size of a minimal generating set of G . In [1939], Magnus gave a beautiful characterization of free groups in terms of their lower central series.

Theorem (Magnus' theorem on parafree groups). *Let F_k be a nonabelian free group of rank k and G a group of rank k . If $G/\gamma_i(G) \cong F_k/\gamma_i(F_k)$ for all i , then $G \cong F_k$.*

Following this result, Hanna Neumann inquired whether it was possible for two residually nilpotent groups G and G' to have $G/\gamma_i(G) \cong G'/\gamma_i(G')$ for all i without having $G \cong G'$; see [Liriano 2007]. Gilbert Baumslag [1967] gave a positive answer to this question by constructing what are now known as parafree groups that are not themselves free. A group G is *parafree* if

- (1) G is residually nilpotent, and
- (2) there exists a finitely generated free group F such that $G/\gamma_i(G) \cong F/\gamma_i(F)$ for all i .

MSC2000: 20F22.

Keywords: Magnus' theorem, Magnus' conjugacy theorem, parasurface, parafree, almost surface groups, automorphism-nilpotent separable.

By Magnus' theorem, Baumslag's examples necessarily have rank different from the corresponding free group. In this paper we give new examples addressing Neumann's question. Specifically, we construct residually nilpotent groups G that share the same lower central series quotients with a surface group but are not themselves surface groups. These examples are analogous to Baumslag's parafree groups, where the role of free groups is replaced by surface groups. Consequently, we call such groups *parasurface groups*. Since the parasurface examples constructed in this paper have the same rank as their corresponding surface groups, the analog of Magnus' theorem for parasurface groups is false.

Theorem 1. *Let Γ_g be the genus g surface group. There exists a rank $2g$ residually nilpotent group G such that $G/\gamma_i(G) \cong \Gamma_g/\gamma_i(\Gamma_g)$ for all i .*

We now turn towards the second story, which concerns residual properties in free groups. Our second story requires some notation. We say two elements $g, h \in G$ are *nilpotent-conjugacy equivalent* if the images of g and h in all nilpotent quotients of G are conjugate. We say a group G is *conjugacy-nilpotent separable* if any pair of nilpotent-conjugacy equivalent elements must be conjugate. Free groups are known to be conjugacy-nilpotent separable [Lyndon and Schupp 2001; Paris 2009]. A natural question to ask is whether the role of inner automorphisms may be played by automorphisms. In this vein, we say two elements $g, h \in G$ are *automorphism equivalent* if there exists some $\phi \in \text{Aut } G$ with $\phi(g) = h$. Further, we say g is *nilpotent-automorphism equivalent* to h if the images of g and h in all nilpotent quotients of G are automorphism equivalent. A group G is *automorphism-nilpotent separable* if all pairs of nilpotent-automorphism equivalent elements must be automorphism equivalent. Free groups are conjugacy-nilpotent separable; however:

Theorem 2. *Nonabelian free groups of even rank are not automorphism-nilpotent separable.*

In the same flavor, Orin Chein [1969] showed that there exist automorphisms of nilpotent quotients of F_3 that do not lift to automorphisms of F_3 .

Our third and final story concerns Magnus' conjugacy theorem for groups with one defining relator [Magnus et al. 1976, Theorem 4.11, page 261], which we state below. Let $\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle_H$ be the group generated by the H -conjugates of w for a subgroup H of G .

Theorem (Magnus' conjugacy theorem for groups with one defining relator). *Let s and t be elements in F_k such that $\langle\langle s \rangle\rangle_{F_k} = \langle\langle t \rangle\rangle_{F_k}$. Then s is conjugate to t^ϵ for $\epsilon = 1$ or -1 .*

Our next result demonstrates that this theorem does *not* generalize to one-relator nilpotent groups. Let $F_{k,i} = F_k/\gamma_i(F_k)$ be the *free rank k , i -step nilpotent quotient*. Let $\phi_{k,i}$ be the projection $F_k \rightarrow F_{k,i}$.

Theorem 3. *Let $F_4 = \langle a, b, c, d \rangle$ be the free group of rank 4. Let $w = [a, b][c, d]$. Then*

$$\langle\langle \phi_{4,i}(w[w, bwb^{-1}]) \rangle\rangle_{F_{4,i}} = \langle\langle \phi_{4,i}(w) \rangle\rangle_{F_{4,i}} \quad \text{for all } i.$$

However, for large i , the element w is not conjugate to $w[w, bwb^{-1}]$ in $F_{4,i}$.

1. Almost surface groups

Let Γ_g be the fundamental group of a closed hyperbolic surface of genus g . A group G is a *weakly g -parasurface group* if $G/\gamma_k(G) \cong \Gamma_g/\gamma_k(\Gamma_g)$ for all $k \geq 1$. If G is weakly g -parasurface and residually nilpotent, we say that G is *g -parasurface*. Let $G = F/N$ be a weakly g -parasurface group where F is a free group of rank $2g$ on generators $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2g-1}, a_{2g}$. Set $w = [a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{2g-1}, a_{2g}]$. Recall that $\langle\langle w \rangle\rangle_F$ is the normal closure of w in F . Then we have the following trichotomy (see Theorem 4 below) for such groups G :

(Type I) There exists an isomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi(N) \geq \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle_F$.

(Type II) There exists an isomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi(N) < \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle_F$.

(Type III) G is not of Type I or II.

The following theorem demonstrates that only examples of Type I or III may be residually nilpotent.

Theorem 4. *Groups of Type I must be surface groups. Further, groups of Type II are never parasurface.*

Our next two theorems show that although surface groups are residually nilpotent, there exist examples of Type II and III. That is, weakly parasurface groups that are not parasurface groups exist. Further, parasurface groups that are not surface groups exist.

Theorem 5. *Let $k > 2$ be even, and let $F_k = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \rangle$. Suppose $w = [a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{k-1}, a_k]$, and let γ be an element in F_k . If $w[w, \gamma w \gamma^{-1}]$ is cyclically reduced and of different word length than w in F_k , then the group*

$$G = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k : w[w, \gamma w \gamma^{-1}] \rangle$$

is weakly $k/2$ -parasurface of Type II.

In Theorem 5, one can take $\gamma = a_2$, for example.

Theorem 6. *Let $k > 2$ be even, let $F_k = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \rangle$, and let δ be in the commutator subgroup of $F(a_1, a_2)$. If $[a_1 \delta, a_2]$ is cyclically reduced and is of different word length than $[a_1, a_2]$ in $F(a_1, a_2)$, then the group*

$$G = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k : [a_1 \delta, a_2][a_3, a_4] \cdots [a_{k-1}, a_k] \rangle$$

is $k/2$ -parasurface of Type III.

In Theorem 6 one can take $\delta = [[a_1, a_2], a_1]$, for example.

2. Proofs of the main results

2.1. Preliminaries. We first list a couple of results needed in the proofs of our main theorems. The first is from Magnus, Korass and Solitar [1976, Lemma 5.9, page 350].

Lemma 7. *The Frattini subgroup of a nilpotent group contains the derived subgroup.*

For the following theorem, see [Azarov 1998, Theorem 1].

Theorem 8 (Azarov's theorem). *Let A and B be free groups, and let α and β be nonidentity elements of the groups A and B , respectively. Let $G = (A * B; \alpha = \beta)$. Let n be the largest positive integer such that $y^n = \beta$ has a solution in B . If $n = 1$, then G is a residually finite p -group.*

2.2. The proofs. Before proving Theorems 1, 2, and 3 from the introduction, we prove Theorems 4, 5, and 6.

Proof of Theorem 4. We first show that groups of Type I must be surface groups. For the sake of a contradiction, suppose that G is weakly g -parasurface of Type I and is not isomorphic to Γ_g . Let F and $K = \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle_F$ be as in the definition of Type I groups. By assumption, there exists an isomorphism $\phi : F \rightarrow F$ such that $\phi(N) \geq K$. The isomorphism ϕ^{-1} induces a homomorphism $\rho_i : \Gamma_g / \gamma_i(\Gamma_g) \rightarrow G / \gamma_i(G)$ that is surjective for all i . Since finitely generated nilpotent groups are Hopfian (see for example [de la Harpe 2000, Section III.A.19]), the maps ρ_i must be isomorphisms for all i . On the other hand, since G is not isomorphic to Γ_g , we must have some $\gamma \in \phi(N) - K$. Further, $F/K = \Gamma_g$ is residually nilpotent, so there exists some i such that $\gamma \neq 1$ in $\Gamma_g / \gamma_i(\Gamma_g)$. Since $\gamma \in \ker \rho_i$, we have a contradiction.

We now show that groups of Type II are never residually nilpotent. For the sake of a contradiction, suppose that G is a residually nilpotent group of Type II. Let F and $K = \langle\langle w \rangle\rangle_F$ be as in the definition of Type II groups. By assumption, the map $\phi : F \rightarrow F$ induces a map $\psi : G \rightarrow \Gamma_g$ that is onto with nontrivial kernel. Let $\gamma \in \ker \psi$. Since G is residually nilpotent, there exists i such that $g \notin \gamma_i(G)$. Hence, the induced map $\rho_i : G / \gamma_i(G) \rightarrow \Gamma_g / \gamma_i(\Gamma_g)$ is onto but not bijective, which is impossible since finitely generated nilpotent groups are Hopfian. \square

Proof of Theorem 5. Let G , w , and γ be as in the statement of Theorem 5. We first show that G is weakly parasurface:

Claim 9. *We have $w = 1$ in all quotients $G / \gamma_i(G)$.*

Proof of claim. Let $H_1 = \langle\langle \phi_{k,i}(w[w, \gamma w \gamma^{-1}]) \rangle\rangle$ and $H_2 = \langle\langle \phi_{k,i}(w) \rangle\rangle$ in $F_k/\gamma_i(F_k)$. Clearly $H_1 \leq H_2$. Further, the image of H_1 in $H_2/[H_2, H_2]$ generates as

$$\phi_{k,i}(w[w, \gamma w \gamma^{-1}]) = \phi_{k,i}(w) \pmod{[H_2, H_2]}.$$

Hence, since H_2 is nilpotent, Lemma 7 implies that $H_1 = H_2$, and so the claim follows. \square

If $w \neq 1$ in G , then the claim also shows that G is not residually nilpotent. Suppose, for the sake of a contradiction, that $w = 1$ in G . Then by Magnus' conjugacy theorem for groups with one defining relator, w^ϵ and $w[w, \gamma w \gamma^{-1}]$ are conjugate for $\epsilon = 1$ or -1 , but this is impossible since they are both cyclically reduced words of different word lengths [Magnus et al. 1976, Theorem 1.3, page 36]. Hence G is not residually nilpotent and G is not parasurface. Further, as $w = 1$ in all nilpotent quotients, G is weakly parasurface. The proof of Theorem 5 is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 6. Let G and δ be as in the statement of Theorem 6. That G is residually nilpotent follows from Theorem 8 applied to

$$A = F_{k-2} = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{k-2} \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad B = F_2 = \langle a_{k-1}, a_k \rangle,$$

with $\alpha = [a_1\delta, a_2][a_3, a_4] \cdots [a_{k-3}, a_{k-2}]$ and $\beta = ([a_{k-1}, a_k])^{-1}$ and the following claim:

Claim 10. *If $\beta = y^n$ in B , where $y \in B$, then $n = 1$.*

Proof of claim. If $\beta = y^n$ for some $n > 1$, then since $B/\langle\langle \beta \rangle\rangle$ is torsion-free, $y \in \langle\langle \beta \rangle\rangle$. Hence, $\langle\langle y \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle \beta \rangle\rangle$, and so by Magnus' conjugacy theorem for groups with one defining relator, y is conjugate to β or β^{-1} . But then β would have the same cyclically reduced form word length as β^n , contradicting [Magnus et al. 1976, Theorem 1.3, page 36]. \square

We now show that G is not a surface group. Let $H = \langle a_1\delta, a_2, \dots, a_{k-1}, a_k \rangle \leq G$. The next two claims imply that H is a nonfree proper subgroup of G of rank k . However, if G were a surface group, it would have to be the surface group $\Gamma_{k/2}$, of genus $k/2$. Any rank k proper subgroup of $\Gamma_{k/2}$ must be free, so H must be free, a contradiction.

Claim 11. *H is not a free group and is of rank k .*

Proof of claim. H is rank k , since $\{a_1\delta, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$ generate $G/[G, G]$ and since $G/[G, G] = \mathbb{Z}^k$. If H were free, it would be free of rank k . Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k be a free basis for H . The map $H \rightarrow H$ given by $x_1 \mapsto a_1\delta$ and $x_k \mapsto a_k$ for $k > 1$ is an isomorphism because H is Hopfian (being a free group). So H is freely generated by $\{a_1\delta, a_2, \dots, a_k\}$, but this is impossible since $[a_1\delta, a_2] \cdots [a_{k-1}, a_k] = 1$. \square

Claim 12. *H is a proper subgroup of G .*

Proof of claim. Indeed, the element a_1 cannot be in H . For suppose $a_1 \in H$, and let N be the normal subgroup generated by a_k for $k > 2$. Then we have $G/N = \langle a_1, a_2 : [a_1\delta, a_2] \rangle$. Since $a_1 \in H$, G/N is abelian, and so has presentation $G/N = \langle a_1, a_2 : [a_1, a_2] \rangle$. But then the normal subgroup generated by $[a_1, a_2]$ and the normal subgroup generated by $[a_1\delta, a_2]$ are equal in $F_2 = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$. By Magnus' conjugacy theorem for groups with one defining relator, $[a_1, a_2]^\epsilon$ must be conjugate to $[a_1\delta, a_2]$ for $\epsilon = 1$ or -1 in $F_2 = \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle$, which is impossible since $[a_1, a_2]$ and $[a_1\delta, a_2]$ are cyclically reduced and have different word lengths [Magnus et al. 1976, Theorem 1.3, page 36]. Hence $a_1 \notin H$. \square

We finish the proof of Theorem 6 by showing that all of the lower central series quotients of G match those of a surface group of genus $k/2$.

Claim 13. G is weakly $k/2$ -parasurface.

Proof of claim. Let ψ be the map defined by $a_1 \mapsto a_1\delta$ and $a_k \mapsto a_k$ for $k > 1$. This gives a well-defined map $F_{k,i} \rightarrow F_{k,i}$, where $F_{k,i} := F_k/\gamma_i(F_k)$. This is an epimorphism by Lemma 7. Since finitely generated nilpotent groups are Hopfian, $\psi : F_{k,i} \rightarrow F_{k,i}$ must be an isomorphism. Therefore, the induced map on $\Gamma_{k/2}/\gamma_i(\Gamma_{k/2}) \rightarrow G/\gamma_i(G)$ is an isomorphism, as claimed. \square

The proof of Theorem 6 is now complete. \square

We are now ready to quickly prove all of our theorems stated in the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1. Theorem 6 gives the desired parasurface groups. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof of Claim 13 with $\delta = [[a_1, a_2], a_1]$ shows that

$$[a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{k-1}, a_k] \quad \text{and} \quad [a_1\delta, a_2] \cdots [a_{k-1}, a_k]$$

are nilpotent-automorphism equivalent. However, $[a_1, a_2] \cdots [a_{k-1}, a_k]$ is not automorphism equivalent to $[a_1\delta, a_2] \cdots [a_{k-1}, a_k]$ in F_k by Theorem 6. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. The element w is not conjugate to $w[w, bwb^{-1}]$ in F_4 . Hence, since F_4 is conjugacy-nilpotent separable, there exists some large $N > 0$ such that $\phi_{4,i}(w)$ is not conjugate to $\phi_{4,i}(w[w, bwb^{-1}])$ in $F_{4,i}$ for all $i > N$. Moreover, the equality

$$\langle\langle \phi_{4,i}(w[w, bwb^{-1}]) \rangle\rangle_{F_{4,i}} = \langle\langle \phi_{4,i}(w) \rangle\rangle_{F_{4,i}} \quad \text{for all } i$$

is an immediate consequence of Claim 9. \square

3. Final remarks

We have shown that there exist groups that are almost surface groups in the sense that they share all their lower central series quotients with a surface group but are not themselves surface groups. In light of our examples, we pose the following question.

Question 14. What properties do parasurface groups share with surface groups?

As a small step in answering this question, we present this:

Theorem 15. *Any finite-index subgroup of a parasurface group is not free.*

Proof. Let G be a parasurface group. Note that G is not free, for if it were, Magnus' theorem would imply that the fundamental group of some compact surface is free. Further, G is torsion-free, for otherwise by residual nilpotence, there would exist torsion elements in $\Gamma_g/\gamma_k(\Gamma_g)$ for some g and k , but this is impossible by [Labute 1970].

Let $\text{cd}(G)$ denote the cohomological dimension of G . If $\Gamma \leq G$ is a free group of finite index, then by [Brown 1994, Theorem 3.1, page 190] and the fact that G is torsion-free, $\text{cd } G = \text{cd } \Gamma$. Hence, $\text{cd } G = 1$, but then by [Stallings 1968] and [Swan 1969], G must itself be free, a contradiction. \square

Acknowledgements. I am extremely grateful to my advisor, Benson Farb, for his teaching and inspiration, and to my coadvisor, Ben McReynolds, for all his time and help. Further, I thank Justin Malestein for some useful discussions and careful reading of this paper. Finally, I would like to thank Alex Wright, Tom Church, Blair Davey, and Thomas Zamojski for reading early drafts of this paper.

References

- [Azarov 1998] D. N. Azarov, "On the residual nilpotence of free products of free groups with cyclic amalgamation", *Mat. Zametki* **64**:1 (1998), 3–8. In Russian; translated in *Math. Notes* **64**:1 (1998), 3–7. MR 2000g:20038 Zbl 0922.20030
- [Baumslag 1967] G. Baumslag, "Groups with the same lower central sequence as a relatively free group, I: The groups", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **129** (1967), 308–321. MR 36 #248 Zbl 0153.35002
- [Brown 1994] K. S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **87**, Springer, New York, 1994. MR 96a:20072 Zbl 0823.20049
- [Chein 1969] O. Chein, "Subgroups of IA automorphisms of a free group", *Acta Math.* **123** (1969), 1–12. MR 41 #307 Zbl 0184.04101
- [de la Harpe 2000] P. de la Harpe, *Topics in geometric group theory*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2000. MR 2001i:20081 Zbl 0965.20025
- [Labute 1970] J. P. Labute, "On the descending central series of groups with a single defining relation", *J. Algebra* **14** (1970), 16–23. MR 40 #4342 Zbl 0198.34601
- [Liriano 2007] S. Liriano, "Algebraic geometric invariants of parafree groups", *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **17**:1 (2007), 155–169. MR 2008a:20056 Zbl 1131.20022
- [Lyndon and Schupp 2001] R. C. Lyndon and P. E. Schupp, *Combinatorial group theory*, Springer, Berlin, 2001. MR 2001i:20064 Zbl 0997.20037
- [Magnus 1939] W. Magnus, "Über freie Faktorgruppen und freie Untergruppen gegebener Gruppen", *Monatsh. Math. Phys.* **47**:1 (1939), 307–313. MR 1550819 Zbl 0021.30004
- [Magnus et al. 1976] W. Magnus, A. Karrass, and D. Solitar, *Combinatorial group theory: Presentations of groups in terms of generators and relations*, 2nd ed., Dover, New York, 1976. MR 54 #10423 Zbl 0362.20023

- [Paris 2009] L. Paris, “Residual p properties of mapping class groups and surface groups”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **361**:5 (2009), 2487–2507. MR 2010f:20037 Zbl 05551113
- [Stallings 1968] J. R. Stallings, “On torsion-free groups with infinitely many ends”, *Ann. of Math.* (2) **88** (1968), 312–334. MR 37 #4153 Zbl 0238.20036
- [Swan 1969] R. G. Swan, “Groups of cohomological dimension one”, *J. Algebra* **12** (1969), 585–610. MR 39 #1531 Zbl 0188.07001

Received August 12, 2009. Revised December 6, 2009.

KHALID BOU-RABEE
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
CHICAGO, IL 60637
UNITED STATES
khalid@math.uchicago.edu
<http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~khalid>

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

<http://www.pjmath.org>

Founded in 1951 by
E. F. Beckenbach (1906–1982) and F. Wolf (1904–1989)

EDITORS

V. S. Varadarajan (Managing Editor)
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
pacific@math.ucla.edu

Vyjayanthi Chari
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Riverside, CA 92521-0135
chari@math.ucr.edu

Darren Long
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-3080
long@math.ucsb.edu

Sorin Popa
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
popa@math.ucla.edu

Robert Finn
Department of Mathematics
Stanford University
Stanford, CA 94305-2125
finn@math.stanford.edu

Jiang-Hua Lu
Department of Mathematics
The University of Hong Kong
Pokfulam Rd., Hong Kong
jhlu@maths.hku.hk

Jie Qing
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Santa Cruz, CA 95064
qing@cats.ucsc.edu

Kefeng Liu
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
liu@math.ucla.edu

Alexander Merkurjev
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
merkurev@math.ucla.edu

Jonathan Rogawski
Department of Mathematics
University of California
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555
jonr@math.ucla.edu

PRODUCTION

pacific@math.berkeley.edu

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

Matthew Cargo, Senior Production Editor

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

ACADEMIA SINICA, TAIPEI
CALIFORNIA INST. OF TECHNOLOGY
INST. DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA
KEIO UNIVERSITY
MATH. SCIENCES RESEARCH INSTITUTE
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIV.
OREGON STATE UNIV.

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIV. OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE
UNIV. OF CALIFORNIA, SAN DIEGO
UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA BARBARA

UNIV. OF CALIF., SANTA CRUZ
UNIV. OF MONTANA
UNIV. OF OREGON
UNIV. OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
UNIV. OF UTAH
UNIV. OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY

These supporting institutions contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its contents or policies.

See inside back cover or www.pjmath.org for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2010 is US \$420/year for the electronic version, and \$485/year for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues from the last three years and changes of subscribers address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163, U.S.A. Prior back issues are obtainable from Periodicals Service Company, 11 Main Street, Germantown, NY 12526-5635. The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is indexed by Mathematical Reviews, Zentralblatt MATH, PASCAL CNRS Index, Referativnyi Zhurnal, Current Mathematical Publications and the Science Citation Index.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 0030-8730) at the University of California, c/o Department of Mathematics, 969 Evans Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840, is published monthly except July and August. Periodical rate postage paid at Berkeley, CA 94704, and additional mailing offices. POSTMASTER: send address changes to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, P.O. Box 4163, Berkeley, CA 94704-0163.

PJM peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW™ from Mathematical Sciences Publishers.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
at the University of California, Berkeley 94720-3840
A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Typeset in L^AT_EX

Copyright ©2010 by Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Volume 248 No. 1 November 2010

An existence theorem of conformal scalar-flat metrics on manifolds with boundary	1
SÉRGIO DE MOURA ALMARAZ	
Parasurface groups	23
KHALID BOU-RABEE	
Expressions for Catalan Kronecker products	31
ANDREW A. H. BROWN, STEPHANIE VAN WILLIGENBURG and MIKE ZABROCKI	
Metric properties of higher-dimensional Thompson's groups	49
JOSÉ BURILLO and SEAN CLEARY	
Solitary waves for the Hartree equation with a slowly varying potential	63
KIRIL DATCHEV and IVAN VENTURA	
Uniquely presented finitely generated commutative monoids	91
PEDRO A. GARCÍA-SÁNCHEZ and IGNACIO OJEDA	
The unitary dual of p -adic $\widetilde{\mathrm{Sp}}(2)$	107
MARCELA HANZER and IVAN MATIĆ	
A Casson–Lin type invariant for links	139
ERIC HARPER and NIKOLAI SAVELIEV	
Semiquandles and flat virtual knots	155
ALLISON HENRICH and SAM NELSON	
Infinitesimal rigidity of polyhedra with vertices in convex position	171
IVAN IZMESTIEV and JEAN-MARC SCHLENKER	
Robust four-manifolds and robust embeddings	191
VYACHESLAV S. KRUSHKAL	
On sections of genus two Lefschetz fibrations	203
SINEM ÇELİK ONARAN	
Biharmonic hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds	217
YE-LIN OU	
Singular fibers and 4-dimensional cobordism group	233
OSAMU SAEKI	



0030-8730(201011)248:1;1-C